≝**FEBS** Journal

STATE-OF-THE-ART REVIEW



Check for updates

Surviving death: emerging concepts of RIPK3 and MLKL ubiquitination in the regulation of necroptosis

Rebekka Karlowitz and Sjoerd J. L. van Wijk 🝺

Institute for Experimental Cancer Research in Pediatrics, Goethe-University Frankfurt, Germany

Keywords

deubiquitinating enzymes; MLKL; necroptosis; programmed cell death; RIPK3; ubiquitination

Correspondence

S. J. L. van Wijk, Institute for Experimental Cancer Research in Pediatrics, Goethe-University Frankfurt, Komturstrasse 3a, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany Tel: +49 69 67866574 Fax: +49 69 6786659158 E-mails: s.wijk@kinderkrebsstiftungfrankfurt.de; vanWijk@med.uni-frankfurt.de

(Received 27 August 2021, revised 14 October 2021, accepted 27 October 2021)

doi:10.1111/febs.16255

Lytic forms of programmed cell death, like necroptosis, are characterised by cell rupture and the release of cellular contents, often provoking inflammatory responses. In the recent years, necroptosis has been shown to play important roles in human diseases like cancer, infections and ischaemia/ reperfusion injury. Coordinated interactions between RIPK1, RIPK3 and MLKL lead to the formation of a dedicated death complex called the necrosome that triggers MLKL-mediated membrane rupture and necroptotic cell death. Necroptotic cell death is tightly controlled by posttranslational modifications, among which especially phosphorylation has been characterised in great detail. Although selective ubiquitination is relatively well-explored in the early initiation stages of necroptosis, the mechanisms and functional consequences of RIPK3 and MLKL ubiquitination for necrosome function and necroptosis are only starting to emerge. This review provides an overview on how site-specific ubiquitination of RIPK3 and MLKL regulates, fine-tunes and reverses the execution of necroptotic cell death.

Introduction

Mammalian cells are able to actively decide between survival and cell death and have the option to undergo a rich palette of different forms of programmed cell death (PCD). An adequate balancing of the fundamental decisions about life and death underlies organismal development and tissue homeostasis, in which some cells are instructed to die, while others are prompted to divide and proliferate [1–3]. Disturbances in activating specific PCD pathways underlie a wide variety of pathophysiological conditions, ranging from tumour formation to inflammation, infection and acute kidney injury and organ damage caused by ischaemia-reperfusion [4–6].

At present, around 15 distinct modes of PCD have been identified, some of which are based on strictly dedicated signalling pathways, while others use shared signalling networks [7,8]. Necroptosis, a prototypic form of lytic PCD, occurs upon membrane permeabilization that depends on the pseudokinase Mixed Lineage Kinase Domain-Like Protein (MLKL) [8]. Necroptotic cell death decisions rely on the type of stimulus and cellular context and are controlled by numerous checkpoints, fail-break mechanisms and regulatory systems [8]. Among these are proteolytic and non-proteolytic forms of ubiquitination, in which proteins are post-translationally modified with ubiquitin

Abbreviations

4HB, four-helix bundle; BR, brace region; DD, death domain; DUB, deubiquitinating enzyme; I, isoleucine; IAP, inhibitor of apoptosis protein; K, lysine; KD, kinase domain; MLKL, mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein; NF-κB, nuclear factor-κB; PCD, programmed cell death; PS, phosphatidylserine; PsKD, pseudokinase domain; RHIM, RIP homotypic interaction motif; RIPK, Receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase; TNFR1, TNFα receptor 1; TNFα, tumour necrosis factor α; Ub, ubiquitin; UBD, Ub-binding domain; UbL, Ub-like; USP, Ub-specific proteases; zVAD.fmk, n-benzyloxycarbonyl-Val-Ala-Asp(O-Me) fluoromethylketone.

The FEBS Journal 290 (2023) 37–54 © 2021 The Authors. The FEBS Journal published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Federation of European Biochemical Societies

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(Ub). Although the role of ubiquitination is reasonably well-understood in the regulation of upstream necroptosis signalling, for example, RIPK1 ubiquitination, it still remains unclear how end-point modifications of necroptosis executioner proteins, such as RIPK3 and MLKL, control or counteract necroptosis. Therefore, this review provides an overview on recent advances in site-specific ubiquitination and deubiquitination in the control of the final execution of necroptosis.

Ubiquitination and regulation by deubiquitinating enzymes

Ubiquitination refers to the covalent modification of substrate proteins with the small and conserved Ub protein [9]. Ub is highly conserved and widely expressed; it modifies the vast majority of cellular proteins during their lifetime in eukaryotes and is therefore an important factor in many biological processes. Failure to adequately balance ubiquitination is a major cause of a wide variety of human diseases, like genetic disorders, neurodegenerative diseases, infections and inflammation [10].

Substrates can be conjugated with one or multiple single Ub molecules, or with polymeric chains of different lengths. Ub chains are generated through linkages via the internal lysine (K) residues (K6, K11, K27, K29, K33, K48 and K63) or via the N-terminal methionine-1 (linear, head-to-tail or M1) [9,11,12] of Ub. Apart from homotypic chain types, consisting of a single type of linkage, also heterotypic, branched and hybrid chains, for example, K11/K48 and M1/K63, have been identified [13–15]. Ubiquitination critically controls the stability and abundance of substrates by mediating their recognition and degradation by the 26S proteasome [9]. Apart from substrate degradation, Ub also serves nondegradative functions, including DNA damage responses and signal transduction [12]. In general, mammalian ubiquitination occurs through the concerted activation of Ub-activating (E1s), -conjugating (E2s) and -ligating (E3s) enzymes that use adenosine triphosphate (ATP) for Ub activation and modification of substrates [16–18].

Besides ubiquitination, around 10 different Ub-like protein (UbL) families have been discovered, like interferon (IFN)-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15), small Ubrelated modifier (SUMO) and the neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally downregulated 8 (NEDD8), each equipped with dedicated E1-E2-E3-like conjugation machineries and specific biological functions [19]. Extensive cross-modifications of Ub, UbL and additional post-translational modifications have been reported [20], for example, the Really Interesting New Gene (RING)-type E3 Ub ligase RNF4 mediates polyubiquitination of SUMO chains [21] and hybrid Ub-NEDD8 chains protect against proteotoxicity [22].

R. Karlowitz and S. J. L. van Wijk

Ub signals are recognised by proteins that contain Ub-binding domains (UBDs) [23], such as Ubassociated (UBA), Ub-interacting motifs (UIM), zinc finger (ZnF) and the UBAN [Ub-binding domain in A20-binding inhibitor of Nuclear Factor- κ B (NF- κ B) activation (ABIN and NF- κ B essential modulator (NEMO)]. Up till now, around 25 subfamilies have been identified that display specificity and selectivity in Ub-binding targets [20,23].

Ubiquitination is counterbalanced and Ub signals are dynamically removed from substrates and hydrolysed by the action of deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) [24,25]. Around 100 DUBs have so far been identified that, based on their domain structure, can be classified as Ub-specific proteases (USP), ovarian tumour (OTU), Machado-Josephin domain (MJD), Ub C-terminal hydrolase (UCH), motif interacting with Ub-containing novel DUB family (MINDY), the ZnF and UFSP domain protein (ZUFSP)-related DUBs and the metalloproteases belonging to the Jab1/ Mov34/Mpr1 Pad1 N-terminal+ (MPN+) (JAMM) domain family [24,26-30]. Deubiquitination is mediated through at least one Ub-binding site (S1 site) at the surface of DUBs that recognise residues within the C-terminus and the I36 and I44 hydrophobic patches on the surface of Ub [25,31]. DUBs may be nonspecific, substrate-specific, either alone or incorporated in macromolecular complexes, such as the proteasome [32] or the Spt-Ada-Gcn5 acetvltransferase (SAGA) complex [33,34], or may act specifically for certain chain-types, like specific peptidase 30 (USP30) and cylindromatosis (CYLD), that allow direct recognition of Ub chains and targeted degradation of selective Ub chain types [25]. Additional determinants that define DUB activity are distal end processing (exo-DUB) or in-chain processing (endo-DUB), Ub chain length as well as the removal of mono-Ub modifications or complete chains en bloc [25].

Apart from removing Ub modifications from substrates, DUBs also serve important additional roles in maintaining cellular Ub homeostasis. Ub is expressed either as inactive precursors, in which single Ub molecules are fused to the ribosomal proteins L40 and S27A (UBA52 and UBA80, respectively), or as extended Ub polymers (UBB and UBC). Importantly, DUBs are also required to cleave these precursors and to control the pool of free Ub that can subsequently be used for conjugation by the E1-E2-E3 machinery [25,35–37].

Besides enzymes that remove Ub modification, UbL modifications are also dynamically regulated by specialised DUB-like enzymes, such as the NEDD8-specific proteases [38] or the SUMO-specific proteases [39] that catalyse the removal of NEDDylation or SUMOylation, respectively. DUBs distinguish Ub and UbL modifications with high accuracy due to the recognition of unique hydrophobic surfaces on Ub and selective binding of residues flanking inter-Ub bonds [9,40].

DUB function is strictly controlled by modulating cellular localisation, abundance and enzymatic activity. For example, phosphorylation, as well as ubiquitination itself, regulates the cellular localisation of specific DUBs [38,39,41–43], while the abundance of other DUBs is induced by transcriptional regulation, as is the case for A20 and CYLD by NF- κ B activation [44–46]. DUBs with higher abundance often serve house-keeping functions, like proteasome- and Ub-mediated protein degradation [24,25], while others are associated with specific organelles or cellular structures, such as the nucleus, nucleolus or endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and mitochondria or microtubuli [25]

DUB activity is also controlled by phosphorylation, such as inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B kinase subunit beta (IKK β)-mediated phosphorylation of the Ub carboxyl-terminal hydrolase CYLD that positively regulates its activity [47]. Furthermore, additional modifications, like SUMOylation and ubiquitination, are also regulating DUB activity and function [48,49]. Post-translational modifications of Ub itself may also add additional layers of regulation to DUB function, as is the case for USP30 that exhibits lesser activity towards phosphorylated K6-linked poly-Ub compared with unmodified Ub [50,51].

The extensive regulation of DUB functions ensures important roles of DUBs in organising DNA damage responses [52,53], cell cycle [34], inflammation [54,55] and cell death pathways [40,56]. Failure to regulate the function of DUBs often leads to dramatic physiological consequences and underlies many diseases, such as several neurological disorders [57–59]. In addition, several DUBs are well-known oncogenes or tumour suppressors and are intimately linked to tumour formation and progression [60–63].

The role of ubiquitination in PCD signalling

Cell death occurs in many ways and E3- and DUBmediated ubiquitination is an important regulator in the control of cellular signalling and the final execution of several PCD pathways. In contrast to passive cell death (necrosis), which is mostly a consequence of exposure to toxic substances, temperature or pressure, active or programmed forms of cell death rely on genetically encoded signal transduction pathways. Some forms of PCD are essential for organismal development and tissue homeostasis, while others play clearly defined roles in human diseases and are often dysregulated in inflammation and oncogenesis [64]. For example, loss-of-function mutations in key PCD effector proteins contribute to chemotherapy resistance, tumour formation and inflammatory responses in the tumour microenvironment (TME) [64–67].

At present, around 15 different types of PCD have been identified, ranging from apoptosis to necroptosis and ferroptosis [7]. Many modes of PCD are characterised by shared and overlapping signalling pathways, specific morphological features and different functional effects on the cellular microenvironment, for example, lytic or non-lytic forms with direct implications for local inflammation (necro-inflammation) [4,64]. While some forms of PCD are initiated by strictly dedicated and highly specialised signalling networks, others share similar signalling pathways. Although biochemically, morphologically and functionally relatively distinct, necroptosis largely shares NF-kB signalling with (extrinsic) apoptosis. As described in further detail later, ubiquitination and DUBs serve as important molecular switches and checkpoints in the control of Tumour Necrosis Factor α (TNF α)-mediated activation of TNF Receptor 1 (TNFR1)-induced NF-kB signalling and the balance between apoptotic and necroptotic cell death. Apart from TNFa-mediated activation, NF-kB can also be initiated via activation of TNFR2, Interleukin (IL)-1B, nucleotide-binding oligomerisation domain-containing protein 2 (NOD2) or toll-like receptors (TLRs), with important functions in the control of PCD [68-70]. In addition, ubiquitination and deubiquitination tightly control downstream necroptotic events as well and are critically involved in the timed initiation and fine-tuning of necroptosis execution [71].

NF-kB signalling typically relies on a wellorchestrated interplay of degradative and nonproteolytic forms of ubiquitination and DUB action. NF-KB comprises a family of transcription factors, like RelA/p65, that control the expression of numerous genes involved in pro-inflammatory responses, proliferation, migration, invasion and angiogenesis [68–70,72]. NF-KB is essential for cell fate control and normal cellular homeostasis and controls cellular responses on exposure to many different stimuli, like cytokines, toxic substances or pathogenic microorganisms [68]. Dysregulation of NF-KB underlies cancer, inflammation and autoimmune diseases as well as a defective development of immune responses [73]. For example, constitutive NF-KB activation is a common feature in a wide variety of tumours, including haematological malignancies like leukaemia, myelomas and B-cell lymphomas [74,75].

Activation of TNFR1 initiates the recruitment of the adaptor protein TNFR-associated death domain protein (TRADD) and receptor-interacting serine/ threonine-protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) to the TNFR1 death domain (DD), through homotypic DD interactions [76]. TRADD serves as platform that further recruits the E3 ligase TNF-associated factor 2 (TRAF2) and the cellular Inhibitor of Apoptosis (IAP) protein 1 and 2 (cIAP1/2), creating the prosurvival TRADD- and RIPK1-dependent complex I [77-79]. cIAP1/2 modifies several targets in complex I with K11-, K48- and K63-linked poly-Ub [80-84], that further recruits the linear Ub-specific E3 ligase chain assembly complex (LUBAC). LUBAC is composed of the central catalytic subunit Ran-binding protein 2 (RanBP2)-type and C3H4-type ZnF containing 1 (HOIP), RING finger protein 31 (RNF31, HOIL) and SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains protein 3 (SHANK)-associated RH domain interactor (SHAR-PIN) [85-88]. LUBAC modifies several targets, including K63-linked poly-Ub, TNFR1, RIPK1 and TRADD in complex I [13,89–94] and together with cIAP1/2-mediated ubiquitination recruits and activates several kinase complexes, like inhibitor of NF-KB kinase subunits α and β (NEMO/IKK α /IKK β), transforming growth factor-\beta-activated kinase 1 and MAP3K7-binding protein 1/2 (TAB1/2)/TGF-βactivated kinase 1 (TAK1) and TAK1-binding proteins 1 and 2 (TAB2/3) [68,69,95]. TAK1 phosphorylates IKKβ, leading to IKKβ-mediated phosphorylation and K48-dependent ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of NF- κ B inhibitor α (I κ B α) [68–70,95]. ΙκBα normally retains NF-κB transcription factors within the cytosol and IKK α/β -mediated degradation of IkBa allows the nuclear translocation of NF-kB and activation of pro-survival gene expression [68,95].

In addition, cIAP1/2 negatively regulates noncanonical NF- κ B signalling by controlling the constitutive ubiquitination and proteasomal degradation of NF- κ B-inducing kinase (NIK) [96–102]. Importantly, upon chemical inhibition or loss of cIAP1/2 expression, NIK is stabilised and induces the processing of p100 to p52 and translocation of the NF- κ B p52-RelB dimers to the nucleus to activate non-canonical NF- κ B signalling [98,101,102].

Apoptosis

Apoptosis plays important roles in cellular homeostasis and is involved in the clearance of damaged and transformed cells, T-cell development and embryogenesis [1,103]. Central effectors in apoptosis are cysteineaspartic proteases, or caspases, that are activated by autocatalytic cleavage from inactive precursors (procaspases) and mediate substrate cleavage and cell death [104–106]. Apoptosis is characterised by caspasemediated condensation of chromatin, nuclear DNA fragmentation, cell shrinkage, membrane blebbing, loss of adhesion and exposure of phosphatidylserine (PS) [1,103].

Generally, two distinct modes of apoptosis can be distinguished. Intrinsic apoptosis is triggered by internal cell stress and involves pro- and anti-apoptotic B-cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2) proteins that mediate mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilisation (MOMP), release of cytochrome c and second mitochondriaderived activator of caspases (Smac/DIABLO) [107], leading to the formation of the caspase-9-containing apoptosome, that activates caspase-3 and -7 [108,109] (Fig. 1).

In contrast, extrinsic apoptosis is mediated by extracellular cytokines, like CD95 ligand (TNFR superfamily member 6; Fas), TNFR superfamily member 10C (TRAIL) and TNFa [76]. Activation of these receptors induce the assembly of the death-inducing signalling complex (DISC) [110-112] that activates caspase-8, leading to caspase-3 and -7 activation and cleavage of the BH3interacting domain death agonist (BID), that promotes cytochrome c release and apoptotic cell death [113,114]. Upon TNFα-mediated TNFR1 activation, the cytoplasmic TRADD-dependent complex IIa, composed of TRADD. Fas-associated death domain protein (FADD). FLICE (FADD-like IL-1β-converting enzyme)-inhibitory protein (FLIP) and caspase-8 or a RIPK1-dependent complex IIb, consisting of RIPK1, FADD, caspase-8, FLIP and RIPK3 (ripoptosome) are formed [115-117]. CYLD is an important DUB that mediates RIPK1 deubiquitination to facilitate formation of the TRADDdependent complex IIa that induces caspase-8 activation and apoptosis [118]. Loss of the cIAP1/2 E3 ligases, for example, by SMAC mimetic-induced K48-linked autoubiquitination followed by proteasomal degradation, leads to the formation of the RIPK1-dependent complex IIb, or ripoptosome, that triggers RIPK1-dependent apoptosis [119]. Finally, when caspase-8 is inactivated or inhibited by broad-range caspase inhibitors, like *n*-benzyloxycarbonyl-Val-Ala-Asp(O-Me) fluoromethylketone (zVAD.fmk), quinoline-Val-Asp-difluorophenoxymethyl ketone (Q-VD-OPh) and Emricasan (IDN-6556), RIPK1 and RIPK3 cooperate to form the necrosome complex that allows MLKL activation and necroptosis induction (discussed later) [120,121] (Fig. 1).

In the regulation of cell fate, RIPK1 acts as central post-translational hub and can be modified with poly-



Fig. 1. Shared and unique features of apoptosis and necroptosis. Activation of death receptors (DRs), like TNFR1 by TNF α , triggers pro-survival NF- κ B signalling or, depending on RIPK1 ubiquitination and the caspase-8 (CASP8) status, either RIPK3- and MLKL-induced necroptosis, or extrinsic apoptosis. CASP8 activates caspase-3 and -7 (CASP3/7) and cleaves BID into truncated BID (tBID) that induces loss of mitochondrial membrane potential via BAK/BAX oligomerisation and the formation of pro-apoptotic BAK/BAX pores. These pores enable the release of mitochondrial proteins, like cytochrome c (CytC), that together with Apaf-1 and caspase-9 (CASP9) forms the apoptosome complex. The apoptosome activates the effector caspases CASP3/7 to induce apoptosis. In addition, Smac/DIABLO is also released from mitochondria and inhibits X-linked IAP (XIAP) to further activate CASP9 and CASP3/7. In contrast, the intrinsic apoptosis pathway is regulated by the balance between apoptosis-initiating BH3-only proteins and pro-survival BCL-2 proteins, controlled by cellular damage, oxidative stress, oncogenes and growth factor deprivation. Ub, ubiquitin, P, phosphorylation.

mono Ub as well as M1-, K11-, K48- and K63-linked Ub chains [80,82,84,86,93,122–124], and perhaps additional linkages as well [125], often in a complex interplay with phosphorylation [126]. Several DUBs, like CYLD, A20 and potentially OTULIN, have been reported to be involved in the removal of Ub signals from RIPK1 and to determine cell fate decisions. However, many details of RIPK1 deubiquitination and implications for cell survival still remain unclear.

Apart from M1, K11, K48 and K63 Ub chains, additional chain linkages are also involved in survival and cell death signalling. For example, the E3 ligase tripartite motif-containing protein 13 (TRIM13) promotes TRAF6 modification with K29-linked Ub chains to regulate NF- κ B signalling upon activation of TLR2 [127]. In addition, TRAF6 and TRAF7 mediate K29 ubiquitination and degradation of the anti-apoptotic protein $cFLIP_L$ [128]. Furthermore, the NF- κ B adaptor NEMO becomes modified with K27 Ub chains that inhibit NF- κ B in dendritic cells, and TRIM23-mediated K27 ubiquitination of NEMO mediates antiviral inflammatory responses as well [129].

Finally, ubiquitination controls not only regulatory signalling towards apoptosis, but also the abundance and function of apoptotic effector proteins (for an overview see [130]). Generally, apoptotic cells and cellular debris associated with apoptosis are taken up by neighbouring cells, among them macrophages, thereby often suppressing local immunological responses [64–67].

17424658, 2023, 1, Downloaded from https://febs

Necroptosis

In contrast to apoptosis, necroptosis, or programmed necrosis, is morphologically characterised by cell swelling and membrane rupture. By doing so, this lytic form of PCD generally releases damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), like high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), free DNA, RNA and cytokines, such as TNF α and IFNs [64–67], that further provoke inflammatory responses in the cellular microenvironment (necro-inflammation) [131,132].

Necroptosis can be triggered via activation of TNFRs, TRAILRs, CD95, TLRs, NOD2-like receptors (NLRs), as well as via recognition of double-stranded RNA or receptor activation by IFNs [2–4,112,119,133]. Necroptosis plays important roles in a wide variety of human diseases, including inflammatory bowel diseases, ischaemia-reperfusion injury, cancer and infections [132]. A common pathway of necroptosis activation relies on activation of the NF- κ B signalling cascade but, in contrast to extrinsic apoptosis, requires inhibition, or loss, of the cIAP1/2 E3 ligase activity to prevent RIPK1 ubiquitination and NF- κ B-mediated pro-survival signalling and loss of caspase-8 activity [133] (Fig. 2).

RIPK1 contains an N-terminal kinase domain (KD), a RIP homotypic interaction motif (RHIM) and a C-terminal DD [134,135]. RIPK1 provides a molecular scaffold that promotes pro-survival functions through DD-mediated interactions of RIPK1 with TNFR1, TRADD and FADD [78,136]. In contrast, the catalytic activity of RIPK1 mediates apoptotic and necroptotic functions [137] and is further fine-tuned by post-translational modifications and RHIM-based interactions with other RHIM-domain containing proteins, like Toll/IL-1 receptor domain-containing adaptor inducing IFN-β (TRIF), Z-DNA Binding Protein 1 (ZBP1) and RIPK3 [138-140]. RIPK3 contains an Nterminal kinase domain and a RHIM motif, flanked by unstructured C-terminal regions [4,133,141–144]. Activated RIPK1, together with RIPK3, initiates autoand trans-phosphorylation steps [145–147] that induce the formation of a heteroamyloid complex, the necrosome [148], of which especially RIPK3 oligomerisation is the driving force in necroptosis [148-154]. In humans, phosphorylation of RIPK3 at S227 recruits MLKL into the necrosome, which becomes phosphorylated by RIPK3 at residues T357 and S358 [142,151,155], although RIPK3 can also pre-assemble with MLKL [142,156]. In mice, phosphorylation of MLKL S345 is essential for necroptosis progression, illustrating important species-specific differences in the regulation of necroptosis at the level of RIPK3 and

MLKL activation [157-159]. MLKL contains a fourhelix bundle (4HB), an auto-inhibitory brace region (BR) and a C-terminal pseudokinase domain (PsKD). RIPK3-mediated MLKL phosphorylation inducing conformational changes in MLKL that expose the MLKL 4HB domain [156,159,160] thereby inducing the formation of necroptosis-proficient MLKL oligomers which are recruited to biological membranes, including the plasma membrane [157,159-162], the ER [163], mitochondria [163] and autophagic compartments, like lysosomes [163-166]. Although activated MLKL is located on several cellular membranes, MLKL accumulation at the plasma membrane mediates the release of cellular contents [132,133,161,163] and most likely leads to necroptotic cell death [133,151,155,157,163,167] (Fig. 2). How and in what kind of higher-order structures MLKL mediates membrane permeabilisation still remains unclear and MLKL trimers [160,168] and tetramers [159], but also hexamers [163], octamers [169] and higher-order polymers [150,170,171] have been described. In addition, the exact mechanisms of how MLKL mediates membrane disruption remain unclear. Positively charged amino acids in the human MLKL 4HB interact with phosphatidylinositol phosphates (PIPs) and regulate MLKL binding to biological membranes [162,167,172]. In addition, murine MLKL residues in the $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 4$ helix as well as residues in the first brace helix are important for necroptosis [173]. Moreover, highly phosphorylated forms of inositol phosphate 6 (IP6), generated by the IP kinases inositol polyphosphate multikinase (IPMK). inositol-tetrakisphosphate 1-kinase (ITPK1) [174] and inositol pentakisphosphate 2-kinase (IPPK) [175] bind to the MLKL 4HB and displace the BR to regulate necroptosis. The appearance of MLKL hotspots at the plasma membrane correlates with necroptotic membrane damage [170], increased Ca²⁺ influx and accumulation of PS at the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane [170,176,177].

Intriguingly, accumulation of activated MLKL at the plasma membrane is not the endpoint of necroptotic cell death and several cell-type specific biological mechanisms have been identified that can resuscitate necroptotic near-death experiences (Fig. 2). For example, membrane accumulation and necroptotic cell death downstream of activated MLKL can be inhibited by monobodies that specifically bind the 4HB of human MLKL [170]. Phosphorylated MLKL associates with the lipid raft-resided proteins flotillin-1 and -2 at the plasma membrane and becomes endocytosed and degraded by lysosomes in a cell-line specific manner [164]. Interestingly, plasma membrane-accumulated MLKL is also controlled by endosomal sorting



Fig. 2. Regulation of necroptosis execution. Necroptosis typically occurs upon activation of RIPK1, that involves a complex interplay between phosphorylation and ubiquitination. Activated RIPK1 interacts with RIPK3 through the RHIM domain, triggering auto- and transphosphorylation, leading to necrosome formation. Activated RIPK3 recruits and phosphorylates MLKL after which MLKL translocates to cellular membranes to mediate necroptosis. The initiation of necroptosis can be counteracted by exocytosis and endocytosis of activated MLKL in a context- and cell type-specific manner. A potential role of site-specific ubiquitination in these events remains unknown. P, phosphorylation.

complexes required for transport (ESCRT-), ALIX-, syntenin- and Rab27-mediated exocytosis that promote the shedding of MLKL in extracellular necroptotic vesicles, or exosomes, and balance membrane repair [164,177–180]. By doing so, both endocytic and exocytic measures balance the execution of necroptosis at the later stages of MLKL activation (Fig. 2).

Regulation of necroptosis by selective (de)ubiquitination of **RIPK3** and **MLKL**

Not only the initiation, but also the execution of necroptosis, as well as the cellular sensitivity towards necroptotic cell death, is controlled in a fine-tuned manner by selective ubiquitination. Apart from Ub modification of RIPK1, site-specific ubiquitination of RIPK3 and MLKL is emerging as regulatory mechanism of necroptosis execution.

RIPK3 is extensively modified with different types of poly-Ub linkages on multiple residues scattered

throughout the protein (Fig. 3A,B). Site-selective RIPK3 ubiquitination affects the RIPK1-RIPK3 interaction, the kinase activity of RIPK3 and necrosome formation. RIPK3 ubiquitination occurs in species-, cell type- and stimulus-specific manners, with different functional consequences related to degradative and nondegradative forms of ubiquitination as well as positive and negative effects on necroptosis (Fig. 3A,B). For example, the E3 ligase Pellino 1 (PELI1) mediates K48based ubiquitination, proteasomal degradation and necroptosis inhibition of human RIPK3 via residue K363, in a manner dependent on the kinase activity [181]. Of note, RIPK3 K363 is not present in murine RIPK3. Interestingly, PELI1 also modifies RIPK1 on K115, a residue that is conserved between mouse and human, with K63-linked poly-Ub, depending on the RIPK1 kinase activity and promotes the RIPK1-RIPK3 interaction to facilitate necroptosis [182] (Fig. 3A,B).

In addition, Carboxyl terminus of Hsp70-interacting protein (CHIP)-mediated K48-linked ubiquitination of



human RIPK3 at K55, K89, K363 and K501 has been described, of which modification of the conserved K55 and K363 are the main effector lysine residues that control RIPK3 degradation by the lysosomal pathway [183]. Loss of CHIP expression stabilises RIPK3 and sensitises cells towards necroptotic cell death. The regulatory roles of proteasomal degradation in the control of RIPK3 expression and necroptosis have been further confirmed by the observation that the proteasome inhibitors MG132 and bortezomib induce accumulation of K48-linked poly-Ub chains at the conserved K264 in murine RIPK3 [184]. Functionally, blockage of proteasome-mediated RIPK3 degradation triggered the increased appearance of RIPK3 oligomerisation, MLKL activation and necroptosis independently of caspase-8 [184].

Fig. 3. The role of site-specific ubiquitination of RIPK3 and MLKL in necroptosis. (A) Ub modifications on RIPK3 and MLKL. Schematic representation of the domain structures of human RIPK3 (Uniprot ID: Q9Y572) and MLKL (Uniprot ID: Q8NB16), with identified Ub acceptor sites. Ub: ubiquitin, RHIM: RIP homotypic interaction motif, 4HB: four-helix bundle, PsKD, pseudokinase domain. Representations are not drawn on scale. (B) (Potential) Roles of ubiquitination in the control of necroptosis. Ubiguitination and deubiquitination of RIPK1 are important determinants for initiating necroptotic signalling (1). Ubiguitination also controls RIPK3 and MLKL stability and proteasomal/ lysosomal degradation of un-complexed RIPK3 and MLKL, thereby regulating their availability for necroptosis (2). In addition, ubiquitination regulates early and late stage RIPK1 and RIPK3 functions as well as the formation of the necrosome (3). Finally, sitespecific ubiquitination of MLKL influences MLKL oligomerisation, membrane localisation and membrane rupture, either by directly influencing MLKL function or affecting additional, yet unidentified, UBDcontaining effector proteins (4). Of note, species- and cell type-specific differences in necroptotic signalling and spatio-temporal control of necroptosis might be influenced in different ways by ubiguitination as well. Ub, ubiquitin, P, phosphorylation.

Apart from K48 Ub chains, the hybrid E3 ligase and DUB A20 affect necroptosis by removing K63linked poly-Ub chains from murine RIPK3 at the conserved K5 and thereby preventing the RIPK1-RIPK3 interaction and necroptosis [56]. In addition, the E3 ligase Parkin suppresses necrosome formation by promoting K33-linked poly-ubiquitination of human RIPK3 at residues K197, K302 and K364, which are not conserved in murine RIPK3 [185].

The tripartite motif E3 ligase TRIM25 interacts also with RIPK3, but not with RIPK1 or MLKL, and mediates ubiquitination of human RIPK3 at K501 [186]. Although loss of TRIM25 expression sensitised human and murine cell lines towards necroptosis, due to TRIM25- and K48-linked poly-Ub-mediated degradation of RIPK3 by the 26S proteasome, RIPK3 and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

17424658, 2023, 1, Downloaded from https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/febs.16255 by Universitatshibliothek Johann, Wiley Online Library on [08/08/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/terms

K501 is not conserved in mice and the main RIPK3 acceptor lysine in mice remains unknown. Interestingly, TRIM25 has been demonstrated to mediate K63 poly-Ub of RIG-I and IFIH1 [187,188], while NLRP12 inhibits TRIM25 ubiquitination [189], suggesting potential roles of the regulation of RIPK3 expression in viral responses and innate immunity. In line with this, a viral inducer of RIPK3 degradation (vIRD) was identified in orthopoxviruses that bridge RIPK3 to the SKP1-Cullin1-F-box E3 ligase complex to mediate RIPK3 degradation and subsequently inhibition of necroptosis [190]. Infection of mice with vIRD-deficient viruses reduced inflammation, viral replication and mortality, linking RIPK3 stability and necroptosis induction to inflammation and virus-host evolution.

The RIPK3 ubiquitination status is regulated not only by E3 ligases, but also by DUBs. Recently, USP22, a DUB which is part of the ATXN7L3, ATXN7 and ENY2 DUB module of the SAGA complex [34,191,192] has been reported to regulate RIPK3 ubiquitination and necroptotic cell death [193]. Three novel, USP22- and TBZ-regulated human RIPK3 ubiquitination sites, K42, K351 and K518, have been identified, of which only K351 is not conserved in mice. The RIPK3 lysine-to-arginine (K-to-R) mutations K518R and K42R/K351R/K518R increased necroptotic cell death altering the RIPK3 ubiquitination status, necrosome formation and MLKL phosphorylation [193].

In contrast to the widespread ubiquitination of RIPK1 and RIPK3, Ub modification of MLKL and its relevance for necroptotic cell death remains largely unexplored. MLKL is modified with Ub during necroptosis [194-196] and the molecular mechanisms and cellular consequences of MLKL ubiquitination are starting to emerge (Fig. 3A,B). A proteome-scale yeast two-hybrid screen to identify protein-protein interactions in the human liver identified an interaction of MLKL with the E3 ligase RAD18 [197]. Interestingly, a modification of MLKL with mono-Ub has very recently been discovered that likely occurs after MLKL oligomerisation at membranes and might target MLKL for proteasomal and lysosomal degradation [198]. Four Ub sites have been identified in murine MLKL (K9, K51, K69 and K77), located in the 4HB, and although mutation of these lysine residues did not affect necroptosis, genetic fusion of the pan-DUB USP21 with subsequent loss of MLKL ubiquitination sensitised cells towards necroptosis, even in the absence of necroptotic stimuli [198]. Although the E3 ligase responsible for MLKL mono-ubiquitination remains to be identified, RAD18 is well-known to modify PCNA with mono-Ub

at stalled replication forks in DNA damage tolerance [199,200]. The potential involvement of RAD18 in MLKL ubiquitination remains unclear.

In addition, MLKL has been found to be modified by K63-linked poly-Ub prior to membrane accumulation in a manner requiring RIPK3-induced phosphorylation. Four endogenously ubiquitinated MLKL lysine residues (K51, K77, K172 and K219) have been identified in necroptotic murine dermal fibroblasts (MDFs) with K219 boosting necroptosis. Human MLKL K230, that corresponds with murine MLKL residue K219, has been reported as ubiquitination site as well [201], whereas the MLKL K230Q mutation has also been identified in colon carcinoma patients [159]. MLKL^{K219R/K219R} bone-marrow derived macrophages (BMDMs) and MDFs are resistant to necroptosis induced by various stimuli, while MLKL^{K219R/K219R} mice are protected against necroptotic tissue injury induced by the combination of the IAP antagonist ASTX660 and the caspase inhibitor Emricasan [196].

Concluding remarks

The first glimpses of selective RIPK3 and MLKL ubiguitination already suggest intriguing and crucial regulatory roles for necroptosis execution. Multiple forms of degradative and non-degradative Ub modifications have been detected in RIPK3 and MLKL, with different effects on necroptosis. However, many fundamental questions remain unanswered. For example, why are so many different residues on RIPK3 and MLKL modified with so many different types of Ub modifications? Can multiple Ub modifications occur on the same RIPK3 or MLKL molecules or are there specific RIPK3 and MLKL sub-populations that are selectively modified? How is RIPK3 and MLKL ubiquitination regulated in time and cellular space? Which E3 ligases and DUBs are involved in modifying RIPK3 and MLKL with ubiquitination? What kind of signalling functions do non-degradative chains on RIPK3 and MLKL have and is there any interplay with other UbL systems? Do Ub chains attract UBD proteins and are these connected to existing or novel cellular functions of RIPK3 and MLKL, perhaps even unrelated to cell death? Unravelling necroptosis signalling in greater detail will likely answer these questions and provide a deeper understanding of selective ubiquitination in necroptosis and its role in human diseases.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (WI 5171/1-1 and FU 436/20-

1), the Deutsche Krebshilfe (70113680), the Frankfurter Stiftung für krebskranke Kinder and the Eberhard and Hilde Rüdiger Foundation, as well as critical reading of the manuscript by Christina Hugenberg. Open Access funding enabled and organised by Projekt DEAL, Goethe-Universitat Frankfurt am Main.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

RK and SJLvW both wrote and edited the manuscript.

References

- 1 Meier P, Finch A & Evan G (2000) Apoptosis in development. *Nature* **407**, 796–801.
- 2 Vandenabeele P, Galluzzi L, Vanden Berghe T & Kroemer G (2010) Molecular mechanisms of necroptosis: an ordered cellular explosion. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 11, 700–714.
- 3 Vanden Berghe T, Linkermann A, Jouan-Lanhouet S, Walczak H & Vandenabeele P (2014) Regulated necrosis: the expanding network of non-apoptotic cell death pathways. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **15**, 135–147.
- 4 Peltzer N & Walczak H (2019) Cell Death and Inflammation - A Vital but Dangerous Liaison. *Trends Immunol* **40**, 387–402.
- 5 Annibaldi A & Meier P (2018) Checkpoints in TNFinduced cell death: implications in inflammation and cancer. *Trends Mol Med* **24**, 49–65.
- 6 Najafov A, Chen H & Yuan J (2017) Necroptosis and cancer. *Trends Cancer* **3**, 294–301.
- 7 Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Aaronson SA, Abrams JM, Adam D, Agostinis P, Alnemri ES, Altucci L, Amelio I, Andrews DW *et al.* (2018) Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. *Cell Death Differ* 25, 486–541.
- 8 Samson AL, Garnish SE, Hildebrand JM & Murphy JM (2021) Location, location, location: A compartmentalized view of TNF-induced necroptotic signaling. *Sci Signal* 14.
- 9 Komander D & Rape M (2012) The ubiquitin code. *Annu Rev Biochem* **81**, 203–229.
- 10 Popovic D, Vucic D & Dikic I (2014) Ubiquitination in disease pathogenesis and treatment. *Nat Med* 20, 1242–1253.
- 11 Walczak H, Iwai K & Dikic I (2012) Generation and physiological roles of linear ubiquitin chains. *BMC Biol* 10, 23.
- 12 Swatek KN & Komander D (2016) Ubiquitin modifications. Cell Res 26, 399–422.

R. Karlowitz and S. J. L. van Wijk

17424658, 2023, 1, Downloaded from https://febs.

onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/febs.16255 by Universitatsbibliothek Johann, Wiley Online Library on [08/08/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://online

- 13 Emmerich CH, Ordureau A, Strickson S, Arthur JS, Pedrioli PG, Komander D & Cohen P (2013) Activation of the canonical IKK complex by K63/M1linked hybrid ubiquitin chains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 110, 15247–15252.
- 14 Meyer HJ & Rape M (2014) Enhanced protein degradation by branched ubiquitin chains. *Cell* 157, 910–921.
- 15 Grice GL, Lobb IT, Weekes MP, Gygi SP, Antrobus R & Nathan JA (2015) The proteasome distinguishes between heterotypic and homotypic lysine-11-linked polyubiquitin chains. *Cell Rep* 12, 545–553.
- 16 Schulman BA & Harper JW (2009) Ubiquitin-like protein activation by E1 enzymes: the apex for downstream signalling pathways. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 319–331.
- 17 Ye Y & Rape M (2009) Building ubiquitin chains: E2 enzymes at work. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 755–764.
- 18 Buetow L & Huang DT (2016) Structural insights into the catalysis and regulation of E3 ubiquitin ligases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 17, 626–642.
- Hochstrasser M (2009) Origin and function of ubiquitin-like proteins. *Nature* 458, 422–429.
- 20 Kliza K & Husnjak K (2020) Resolving the Complexity of Ubiquitin Networks. *Front Mol Biosci* 7, 21.
- 21 Guzzo CM, Berndsen CE, Zhu J, Gupta V, Datta A, Greenberg RA, Wolberger C & Matunis MJ (2012) RNF4-dependent hybrid SUMO-ubiquitin chains are signals for RAP80 and thereby mediate the recruitment of BRCA1 to sites of DNA damage. *Sci Signal* 5, ra88.
- 22 Maghames CM, Lobato-Gil S, Perrin A, Trauchessec H, Rodriguez MS, Urbach S, Marin P & Xirodimas DP (2018) NEDDylation promotes nuclear protein aggregation and protects the Ubiquitin Proteasome System upon proteotoxic stress. *Nat Commun* 9, 4376.
- 23 Husnjak K & Dikic I (2012) Ubiquitin-binding proteins: decoders of ubiquitin-mediated cellular functions. *Annu Rev Biochem* 81, 291–322.
- 24 Mevissen TET & Komander D (2017) Mechanisms of Deubiquitinase Specificity and Regulation. *Annu Rev Biochem* 86, 159–192.
- 25 Clague MJ, Urbe S & Komander D (2019) Breaking the chains: deubiquitylating enzyme specificity begets function. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 338–352.
- 26 Nijman SM, Luna-Vargas MP, Velds A, Brummelkamp TR, Dirac AM, Sixma TK & Bernards R (2005) A genomic and functional inventory of deubiquitinating enzymes. *Cell* **123**, 773–786.
- 27 Abdul Rehman SA, Kristariyanto YA, Choi SY, Nkosi PJ, Weidlich S, Labib K, Hofmann K & Kulathu Y (2016) MINDY-1 Is a Member of an Evolutionarily Conserved and Structurally Distinct New Family of Deubiquitinating Enzymes. *Mol Cell* 63, 146–155.

conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules

of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons

Selective ubiquitination in necroptosis

- 28 Kwasna D, Abdul Rehman SA, Natarajan J, Matthews S, Madden R, De Cesare V, Weidlich S, Virdee S, Ahel I, Gibbs-Seymour I *et al.* (2018) Discovery and characterization of ZUFSP/ZUP1, a distinct deubiquitinase class important for genome stability. *Mol Cell* **70**, 150–164.
- 29 Hermanns T, Pichlo C, Woiwode I, Klopffleisch K, Witting KF, Ovaa H, Baumann U & Hofmann K (2018) A family of unconventional deubiquitinases with modular chain specificity determinants. *Nat Commun* 9, 799.
- 30 Hewings DS, Heideker J, Ma TP, AhYoung AP, El Oualid F, Amore A, Costakes GT, Kirchhofer D, Brasher B, Pillow T *et al.* (2018) Reactive-site-centric chemoproteomics identifies a distinct class of deubiquitinase enzymes. *Nat Commun* 9, 1162.
- 31 Zhu X, Menard R & Sulea T (2007) High incidence of ubiquitin-like domains in human ubiquitin-specific proteases. *Proteins* 69, 1–7.
- 32 Verma R, Aravind L, Oania R, McDonald WH, Yates JR 3rd, Koonin EV & Deshaies RJ (2002) Role of Rpn11 metalloprotease in deubiquitination and degradation by the 26S proteasome. *Science* **298**, 611–615.
- 33 Henry KW, Wyce A, Lo WS, Duggan LJ, Emre NC, Kao CF, Pillus L, Shilatifard A, Osley MA & Berger SL (2003) Transcriptional activation via sequential histone H2B ubiquitylation and deubiquitylation, mediated by SAGA-associated Ubp8. *Genes Dev* 17, 2648–2663.
- 34 Zhang XY, Pfeiffer HK, Thorne AW & McMahon SB (2008) USP22, an hSAGA subunit and potential cancer stem cell marker, reverses the polycomb-catalyzed ubiquitylation of histone H2A. *Cell Cycle* 7, 1522–1524.
- 35 Grou CP, Pinto MP, Mendes AV, Domingues P & Azevedo JE (2015) The de novo synthesis of ubiquitin: identification of deubiquitinases acting on ubiquitin precursors. *Sci Rep* **5**, 12836.
- 36 Ozkaynak E, Finley D & Varshavsky A (1984) The yeast ubiquitin gene: head-to-tail repeats encoding a polyubiquitin precursor protein. *Nature* 312, 663– 666.
- 37 Finley D, Bartel B & Varshavsky A (1989) The tails of ubiquitin precursors are ribosomal proteins whose fusion to ubiquitin facilitates ribosome biogenesis. *Nature* 338, 394-401.
- 38 Yuan J, Luo K, Zhang L, Cheville JC & Lou Z (2010) USP10 regulates p53 localization and stability by deubiquitinating p53. *Cell* 140, 384–396.
- 39 Zhang L, Zhou F, Drabsch Y, Gao R, Snaar-Jagalska BE, Mickanin C, Huang H, Sheppard KA, Porter JA, Lu CX *et al.* (2012) USP4 is regulated by AKT phosphorylation and directly deubiquitylates TGF-beta type I receptor. *Nat Cell Biol* 14, 717–726.

- 40 Komander D, Clague MJ & Urbe S (2009) Breaking the chains: structure and function of the deubiquitinases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **10**, 550–563.
- 41 Herhaus L, Perez-Oliva AB, Cozza G, Gourlay R, Weidlich S, Campbell DG, Pinna LA & Sapkota GP (2015) Casein kinase 2 (CK2) phosphorylates the deubiquitylase OTUB1 at Ser16 to trigger its nuclear localization. *Sci Signal* 8, ra35.
- 42 Mueller T, Breuer P, Schmitt I, Walter J, Evert BO & Wullner U (2009) CK2-dependent phosphorylation determines cellular localization and stability of ataxin-3. *Hum Mol Genet* 18, 3334–3343.
- 43 Mashtalir N, Daou S, Barbour H, Sen NN, Gagnon J, Hammond-Martel I, Dar HH, Therrien M & el Affar B (2014) Autodeubiquitination protects the tumor suppressor BAP1 from cytoplasmic sequestration mediated by the atypical ubiquitin ligase UBE2O. *Mol Cell* 54, 392–406.
- 44 Hymowitz SG & Wertz IE (2010) A20: from ubiquitin editing to tumour suppression. *Nat Rev Cancer* 10, 332–341.
- 45 Dixit VM, Green S, Sarma V, Holzman LB, Wolf FW, O'Rourke K, Ward PA, Prochownik EV & Marks RM (1990) Tumor necrosis factor-alpha induction of novel gene products in human endothelial cells including a macrophage-specific chemotaxin. *J Biol Chem* 265, 2973–2978.
- 46 Huang TT, Nijman SM, Mirchandani KD, Galardy PJ, Cohn MA, Haas W, Gygi SP, Ploegh HL, Bernards R & D'Andrea AD (2006) Regulation of monoubiquitinated PCNA by DUB autocleavage. *Nat Cell Biol* 8, 339–347.
- 47 Thein S, Pham A, Bayer KU, Tao-Cheng JH & Dosemeci A (2014) IKK regulates the deubiquitinase CYLD at the postsynaptic density. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 450, 550–554.
- 48 Todi SV, Winborn BJ, Scaglione KM, Blount JR, Travis SM & Paulson HL (2009) Ubiquitination directly enhances activity of the deubiquitinating enzyme ataxin-3. *EMBO J* 28, 372–382.
- 49 Meulmeester E, Kunze M, Hsiao HH, Urlaub H & Melchior F (2008) Mechanism and consequences for paralog-specific sumoylation of ubiquitin-specific protease 25. *Mol Cell* **30**, 610–619.
- 50 Wauer T, Swatek KN, Wagstaff JL, Gladkova C, Pruneda JN, Michel MA, Gersch M, Johnson CM, Freund SM & Komander D (2015) Ubiquitin Ser65 phosphorylation affects ubiquitin structure, chain assembly and hydrolysis. *EMBO J* 34, 307– 325.
- 51 Bingol B, Tea JS, Phu L, Reichelt M, Bakalarski CE, Song Q, Foreman O, Kirkpatrick DS & Sheng M (2014) The mitochondrial deubiquitinase USP30 opposes parkin-mediated mitophagy. *Nature* **510**, 370– 375.

conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

17424658, 2023, 1, Downloaded from https://febs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/febs.16255 by Universitats/bbliothek Johann, Wiley Online Library on [0808/2023]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinelibrary.wiley

R. Karlowitz and S. J. L. van Wijk

- 52 Lin Z, Yang H, Kong Q, Li J, Lee SM, Gao B, Dong H, Wei J, Song J, Zhang DD *et al.* (2012) USP22 antagonizes p53 transcriptional activation by deubiquitinating Sirt1 to suppress cell apoptosis and is required for mouse embryonic development. *Mol Cell* **46**, 484–494.
- 53 Wang A, Ning Z, Lu C, Gao W, Liang JX, Yan Q, Tan G & Liu JW (2017) USP22 Induces Cisplatin Resistance in Lung Adenocarcinoma by Regulating gamma H2AX-Mediated DNA Damage Repair and Ku70/Bax-Mediated Apoptosis. *Front Pharmacol* 8, 274.
- 54 Kovalenko A, Chable-Bessia C, Cantarella G, Israel A, Wallach D & Courtois G (2003) The tumour suppressor CYLD negatively regulates NF-kappaB signalling by deubiquitination. *Nature* 424, 801–805.
- 55 Peng L, Hu Y, Chen D, Jiao S & Sun S (2016) Ubiquitin specific peptidase 21 regulates interleukin-8 expression, stem-cell like property of human renal cell carcinoma. *Oncotarget* 7, 42007–42016.
- 56 Onizawa M, Oshima S, Schulze-Topphoff U, Oses-Prieto JA, Lu T, Tavares R, Prodhomme T, Duong B, Whang MI, Advincula R *et al.* (2015) The ubiquitinmodifying enzyme A20 restricts ubiquitination of the kinase RIPK3 and protects cells from necroptosis. *Nat Immunol* 16, 618–627.
- 57 Bhattacharyya BJ, Wilson SM, Jung H & Miller RJ (2012) Altered neurotransmitter release machinery in mice deficient for the deubiquitinating enzyme Usp14. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* **302**, C698–708.
- 58 Imai S, Mamiya T, Tsukada A, Sakai Y, Mouri A, Nabeshima T & Ebihara S (2012) Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 46 (Usp46) regulates mouse immobile behavior in the tail suspension test through the GABAergic system. *PLoS One* 7, e39084.
- 59 Xilouri M, Kyratzi E, Pitychoutis PM, Papadopoulou-Daifoti Z, Perier C, Vila M, Maniati M, Ulusoy A, Kirik D, Park DS *et al.* (2012) Selective neuroprotective effects of the S18Y polymorphic variant of UCH-L1 in the dopaminergic system. *Hum Mol Genet* 21, 874–889.
- 60 Carneiro AP, Reis CF, Morari EC, Maia YC, Nascimento R, Bonatto JM, de Souza MA, Goulart LR & Ward LS (2014) A putative OTU domaincontaining protein 1 deubiquitinating enzyme is differentially expressed in thyroid cancer and identifies less-aggressive tumours. *Br J Cancer* 111, 551–558.
- 61 Zhao Y, Thornton AM, Kinney MC, Ma CA, Spinner JJ, Fuss IJ, Shevach EM & Jain A (2011) The deubiquitinase CYLD targets Smad7 protein to regulate transforming growth factor beta (TGF-beta) signaling and the development of regulatory T cells. J Biol Chem 286, 40520–40530.
- 62 Saldana M, VanderVorst K, Berg AL, Lee H & Carraway KL (2019) Otubain 1: a non-canonical

deubiquitinase with an emerging role in cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **26**, R1–R14.

- 63 Coombs N, Sompallae R, Olbermann P, Gastaldello S, Goppel D, Masucci MG & Josenhans C (2011) Helicobacter pylori affects the cellular deubiquitinase USP7 and ubiquitin-regulated components TRAF6 and the tumour suppressor p53. *Int J Med Microbiol* **301**, 213–224.
- 64 Legrand AJ, Konstantinou M, Goode EF & Meier P (2019) The diversification of cell death and immunity: memento mori. *Mol Cell* **76**, 232–242.
- 65 Heckmann BL, Tummers B & Green DR (2019) Crashing the computer: apoptosis vs. necroptosis in neuroinflammation. *Cell Death Differ* **26**, 41–52.
- 66 Kim EH, Wong SW & Martinez J (2019) Programmed Necrosis and Disease: We interrupt your regular programming to bring you necroinflammation. *Cell Death Differ* 26, 25–40.
- 67 Messmer MN, Snyder AG & Oberst A (2019) Comparing the effects of different cell death programs in tumor progression and immunotherapy. *Cell Death Differ* **26**, 115–129.
- 68 Hayden MS & Ghosh S (2014) Regulation of NFkappaB by TNF family cytokines. *Semin Immunol* 26, 253–266.
- 69 Peltzer N, Darding M & Walczak H (2016) Holding RIPK1 on the Ubiquitin Leash in TNFR1 Signaling. *Trends Cell Biol* 26, 445–461.
- 70 Silke J (2011) The regulation of TNF signalling: what a tangled web we weave. *Curr Opin Immunol* **23**, 620–626.
- 71 Grootjans S, Vanden Berghe T & Vandenabeele P (2017) Initiation and execution mechanisms of necroptosis: an overview. *Cell Death Differ* 24, 1184– 1195.
- 72 Hayden MS & Ghosh S (2008) Shared principles in NF-kappaB signaling. *Cell* **132**, 344–362.
- 73 Ting AT & Bertrand MJM (2016) More to Life than NF-kappaB in TNFR1 Signaling. *Trends Immunol* 37, 535–545.
- 74 Gasparini C, Celeghini C, Monasta L & Zauli G (2014) NF-kappaB pathways in hematological malignancies. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 71, 2083–2102.
- 75 Shaffer AL 3rd, Young RM & Staudt LM (2012)
 Pathogenesis of human B cell lymphomas. *Annu Rev Immunol* 30, 565–610.
- 76 Ashkenazi A & Dixit VM (1998) Death receptors: signaling and modulation. *Science* **281**, 1305–1308.
- 77 Vince JE, Pantaki D, Feltham R, Mace PD, Cordier SM, Schmukle AC, Davidson AJ, Callus BA, Wong WW, Gentle IE *et al.* (2009) TRAF2 must bind to cellular inhibitors of apoptosis for tumor necrosis factor (tnf) to efficiently activate nf-{kappa}b and to prevent tnf-induced apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* 284, 35906–35915.

- 78 Hsu H, Huang J, Shu HB, Baichwal V & Goeddel DV (1996) TNF-dependent recruitment of the protein kinase RIP to the TNF receptor-1 signaling complex. *Immunity* 4, 387–396.
- 79 Hsu H, Xiong J & Goeddel DV (1995) The TNF receptor 1-associated protein TRADD signals cell death and NF-kappa B activation. *Cell* 81, 495–504.
- 80 Dynek JN, Goncharov T, Dueber EC, Fedorova AV, Izrael-Tomasevic A, Phu L, Helgason E, Fairbrother WJ, Deshayes K, Kirkpatrick DS *et al.* (2010) c-IAP1 and UbcH5 promote K11-linked polyubiquitination of RIP1 in TNF signalling. *EMBO J* 29, 4198–4209.
- 81 Bertrand MJ, Lippens S, Staes A, Gilbert B, Roelandt R, De Medts J, Gevaert K, Declercq W & Vandenabeele P (2011) cIAP1/2 are direct E3 ligases conjugating diverse types of ubiquitin chains to receptor interacting proteins kinases 1 to 4 (RIP1-4). *PLoS One* 6, e22356.
- 82 Bertrand MJ, Milutinovic S, Dickson KM, Ho WC, Boudreault A, Durkin J, Gillard JW, Jaquith JB, Morris SJ & Barker PA (2008) cIAP1 and cIAP2 facilitate cancer cell survival by functioning as E3 ligases that promote RIP1 ubiquitination. *Mol Cell* 30, 689–700.
- 83 Mahoney DJ, Cheung HH, Mrad RL, Plenchette S, Simard C, Enwere E, Arora V, Mak TW, Lacasse EC, Waring J et al. (2008) Both cIAP1 and cIAP2 regulate TNFalpha-mediated NF-kappaB activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105, 11778–11783.
- 84 Varfolomeev E, Goncharov T, Fedorova AV, Dynek JN, Zobel K, Deshayes K, Fairbrother WJ & Vucic D (2008) c-IAP1 and c-IAP2 are critical mediators of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFalpha)-induced NF-kappaB activation. J Biol Chem 283, 24295– 24299.
- 85 Haas TL, Emmerich CH, Gerlach B, Schmukle AC, Cordier SM, Rieser E, Feltham R, Vince J, Warnken U, Wenger T *et al.* (2009) Recruitment of the linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex stabilizes the TNF-R1 signaling complex and is required for TNFmediated gene induction. *Mol Cell* 36, 831–844.
- 86 Gerlach B, Cordier SM, Schmukle AC, Emmerich CH, Rieser E, Haas TL, Webb AI, Rickard JA, Anderton H, Wong WW *et al.* (2011) Linear ubiquitination prevents inflammation and regulates immune signalling. *Nature* **471**, 591–596.
- 87 Ikeda F, Deribe YL, Skanland SS, Stieglitz B, Grabbe C, Franz-Wachtel M, van Wijk SJ, Goswami P, Nagy V, Terzic J *et al.* (2011) SHARPIN forms a linear ubiquitin ligase complex regulating NF-kappaB activity and apoptosis. *Nature* **471**, 637–641.
- 88 Kirisako T, Kamei K, Murata S, Kato M, Fukumoto H, Kanie M, Sano S, Tokunaga F, Tanaka K & Iwai K (2006) A ubiquitin ligase complex assembles linear polyubiquitin chains. *EMBO J* 25, 4877–4887.

- 89 Tokunaga F & Iwai K (2012) LUBAC, a novel ubiquitin ligase for linear ubiquitination, is crucial for inflammation and immune responses. *Microbes Infect* 14, 563–572.
- 90 Tokunaga F, Nakagawa T, Nakahara M, Saeki Y, Taniguchi M, Sakata S, Tanaka K, Nakano H & Iwai K (2011) SHARPIN is a component of the NFkappaB-activating linear ubiquitin chain assembly complex. *Nature* 471, 633–636.
- 91 Tokunaga F, Sakata S, Saeki Y, Satomi Y, Kirisako T, Kamei K, Nakagawa T, Kato M, Murata S, Yamaoka S *et al.* (2009) Involvement of linear polyubiquitylation of NEMO in NF-kappaB activation. *Nat Cell Biol* 11, 123–132.
- 92 Hrdinka M & Gyrd-Hansen M (2017) The Metllinked ubiquitin machinery: emerging themes of (De) regulation. *Mol Cell* 68, 265–280.
- 93 Draber P, Kupka S, Reichert M, Draberova H, Lafont E, de Miguel D, Spilgies L, Surinova S, Taraborrelli L, Hartwig T *et al.* (2015) LUBAC-Recruited CYLD and A20 regulate gene activation and cell death by exerting opposing effects on linear ubiquitin in signaling complexes. *Cell Rep* 13, 2258–2272.
- 94 Wertz IE, Newton K, Seshasayee D, Kusam S, Lam C, Zhang J, Popovych N, Helgason E, Schoeffler A, Jeet S *et al.* (2015) Phosphorylation and linear ubiquitin direct A20 inhibition of inflammation. *Nature* **528**, 370–375.
- 95 Oeckinghaus A, Hayden MS & Ghosh S (2011) Crosstalk in NF-kappaB signaling pathways. *Nat Immunol* **12**, 695–708.
- 96 Vallabhapurapu S, Matsuzawa A, Zhang W, Tseng PH, Keats JJ, Wang H, Vignali DA, Bergsagel PL & Karin M (2008) Nonredundant and complementary functions of TRAF2 and TRAF3 in a ubiquitination cascade that activates NIK-dependent alternative NFkappaB signaling. *Nat Immunol* 9, 1364–1370.
- 97 Zarnegar BJ, Wang Y, Mahoney DJ, Dempsey PW, Cheung HH, He J, Shiba T, Yang X, Yeh WC, Mak TW et al. (2008) Noncanonical NF-kappaB activation requires coordinated assembly of a regulatory complex of the adaptors cIAP1, cIAP2, TRAF2 and TRAF3 and the kinase NIK. Nat Immunol 9, 1371–1378.
- 98 Xiao G, Harhaj EW & Sun SC (2001) NF-kappaBinducing kinase regulates the processing of NFkappaB2 p100. *Mol Cell* 7, 401–409.
- 99 Sun SC (2017) The non-canonical NF-kappaB pathway in immunity and inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol* 17, 545–558.
- 100 Cildir G, Low KC & Tergaonkar V (2016) Noncanonical NF-kappaB Signaling in Health and Disease. *Trends Mol Med* 22, 414–429.
- 101 Vince JE, Wong WW, Khan N, Feltham R, Chau D, Ahmed AU, Benetatos CA, Chunduru SK, Condon

SM, McKinlay M *et al.* (2007) IAP antagonists target cIAP1 to induce TNFalpha-dependent apoptosis. *Cell* **131**, 682–693.

- 102 Varfolomeev E, Blankenship JW, Wayson SM, Fedorova AV, Kayagaki N, Garg P, Zobel K, Dynek JN, Elliott LO, Wallweber HJ *et al.* (2007) IAP antagonists induce autoubiquitination of c-IAPs, NFkappaB activation, and TNFalpha-dependent apoptosis. *Cell* **131**, 669–681.
- 103 Giovannetti A, Pierdominici M, Di Iorio A, Cianci R, Murdaca G, Puppo F, Pandolfi F & Paganelli R (2008) Apoptosis in the homeostasis of the immune system and in human immune mediated diseases. *Curr Pharm Des* 14, 253–268.
- 104 Nicholson DW & Thornberry NA (1997) Caspases: killer proteases. *Trends Biochem Sci* 22, 299–306.
- 105 Riedl SJ & Shi Y (2004) Molecular mechanisms of caspase regulation during apoptosis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 5, 897–907.
- 106 Budihardjo I, Oliver H, Lutter M, Luo X & Wang X (1999) Biochemical pathways of caspase activation during apoptosis. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 15, 269–290.
- 107 Czabotar PE, Lessene G, Strasser A & Adams JM
 (2014) Control of apoptosis by the BCL-2 protein family: implications for physiology and therapy. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 15, 49–63.
- 108 Du C, Fang M, Li Y, Li L & Wang X (2000) Smac, a mitochondrial protein that promotes cytochrome cdependent caspase activation by eliminating IAP inhibition. *Cell* **102**, 33–42.
- 109 Deveraux QL, Takahashi R, Salvesen GS & Reed JC (1997) X-linked IAP is a direct inhibitor of cell-death proteases. *Nature* 388, 300–304.
- 110 Kischkel FC, Hellbardt S, Behrmann I, Germer M, Pawlita M, Krammer PH & Peter ME (1995) Cytotoxicity-dependent APO-1 (Fas/CD95)associated proteins form a death-inducing signaling complex (DISC) with the receptor. *EMBO J* 14, 5579–5588.
- 111 Walczak H & Krammer PH (2000) The CD95 (APO-1/Fas) and the TRAIL (APO-2L) apoptosis systems. *Exp Cell Res* 256, 58–66.
- 112 Geserick P, Hupe M, Moulin M, Wong WW, Feoktistova M, Kellert B, Gollnick H, Silke J & Leverkus M (2009) Cellular IAPs inhibit a cryptic CD95-induced cell death by limiting RIP1 kinase recruitment. J Cell Biol 187, 1037–1054.
- 113 Li H, Zhu H, Xu CJ & Yuan J (1998) Cleavage of BID by caspase 8 mediates the mitochondrial damage in the Fas pathway of apoptosis. *Cell* 94, 491–501.
- 114 Luo X, Budihardjo I, Zou H, Slaughter C & Wang X (1998) Bid, a Bcl2 interacting protein, mediates cytochrome c release from mitochondria in response to activation of cell surface death receptors. *Cell* 94, 481– 490.

- 115 Micheau O & Tschopp J (2003) Induction of TNF receptor I-mediated apoptosis via two sequential signaling complexes. *Cell* **114**, 181–190.
- 116 Wang L, Du F & Wang X (2008) TNF-alpha induces two distinct caspase-8 activation pathways. *Cell* 133, 693–703.
- 117 Feoktistova M, Geserick P, Kellert B, Dimitrova DP, Langlais C, Hupe M, Cain K, MacFarlane M, Hacker G & Leverkus M (2011) cIAPs block Ripoptosome formation, a RIP1/caspase-8 containing intracellular cell death complex differentially regulated by cFLIP isoforms. *Mol Cell* 43, 449–463.
- 118 O'Donnell MA, Perez-Jimenez E, Oberst A, Ng A, Massoumi R, Xavier R, Green DR & Ting AT (2011) Caspase 8 inhibits programmed necrosis by processing CYLD. *Nat Cell Biol* 13, 1437–1442.
- 119 Vanden Berghe T, Kaiser WJ, Bertrand MJ & Vandenabeele P (2015) Molecular crosstalk between apoptosis, necroptosis, and survival signaling. *Mol Cell Oncol* 2, e975093.
- 120 Kaiser WJ, Upton JW, Long AB, Livingston-Rosanoff D, Daley-Bauer LP, Hakem R, Caspary T & Mocarski ES (2011) RIP3 mediates the embryonic lethality of caspase-8-deficient mice. *Nature* 471, 368–372.
- 121 Oberst A, Dillon CP, Weinlich R, McCormick LL, Fitzgerald P, Pop C, Hakem R, Salvesen GS & Green DR (2011) Catalytic activity of the caspase-8-FLIP(L) complex inhibits RIPK3-dependent necrosis. *Nature* 471, 363–367.
- 122 Annibaldi A, Wicky John S, Vanden Berghe T, Swatek KN, Ruan J, Liccardi G, Bianchi K, Elliott PR, Choi SM, Van Coillie S et al. (2018) Ubiquitinmediated regulation of RIPK1 kinase activity independent of IKK and MK2. Mol Cell 69, 566–580.
- 123 Wu CJ, Conze DB, Li T, Srinivasula SM & Ashwell JD (2006) Sensing of Lys 63-linked polyubiquitination by NEMO is a key event in NF-kappaB activation [corrected]. *Nat Cell Biol* 8, 398–406.
- 124 Li H, Kobayashi M, Blonska M, You Y & Lin X (2006) Ubiquitination of RIP is required for tumor necrosis factor alpha-induced NF-kappaB activation. *J Biol Chem* 281, 13636–13643.
- 125 Simpson DS, Gabrielyan A & Feltham R (2021) RIPK1 ubiquitination: Evidence, correlations and the undefined. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 109, 76–85.
- 126 Degterev A, Ofengeim D & Yuan J (2019) Targeting RIPK1 for the treatment of human diseases. *Proc Natl* Acad Sci USA 116, 9714–9722.
- 127 Huang B & Baek SH (2017) Trim13 Potentiates Toll-Like Receptor 2-mediated nuclear factor kappab activation via K29-Linked polyubiquitination of tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6. *Mol Pharmacol* 91, 307–316.
- 128 Scudiero I, Zotti T, Ferravante A, Vessichelli M, Reale C, Masone MC, Leonardi A, Vito P & Stilo R (2012)

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 7 is required for TNFalpha-induced Jun NH2-terminal kinase activation and promotes cell death by regulating polyubiquitination and lysosomal degradation of c-FLIP protein. *J Biol Chem* **287**, 6053–6061.

- 129 Liu J, Han C, Xie B, Wu Y, Liu S, Chen K, Xia M, Zhang Y, Song L, Li Z *et al.* (2014) Rhbdd3 controls autoimmunity by suppressing the production of IL-6 by dendritic cells via K27-linked ubiquitination of the regulator NEMO. *Nat Immunol* 15, 612–622.
- 130 Gupta I, Singh K, Varshney NK & Khan S (2018) Delineating crosstalk mechanisms of the ubiquitin proteasome system that regulate apoptosis. *Front Cell Dev Biol* 6, 11.
- 131 Newton K & Manning G (2016) Necroptosis and Inflammation. *Annu Rev Biochem* **85**, 743–763.
- 132 Weinlich R, Oberst A, Beere HM & Green DR (2017) Necroptosis in development, inflammation and disease. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 18, 127–136.
- 133 Petrie EJ, Czabotar PE & Murphy JM (2019) The structural basis of necroptotic cell death signaling. *Trends Biochem Sci* 44, 53–63.
- 134 Zhang D, Lin J & Han J (2010) Receptor-interacting protein (RIP) kinase family. *Cell Mol Immunol* 7, 243– 249.
- 135 Duprez L, Bertrand MJ, Vanden Berghe T, Dondelinger Y, Festjens N & Vandenabeele P (2012) Intermediate domain of receptor-interacting protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) determines switch between necroptosis and RIPK1 kinase-dependent apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* 287, 14863–14872.
- 136 Varfolomeev EE, Boldin MP, Goncharov TM & Wallach D (1996) A potential mechanism of "crosstalk" between the p55 tumor necrosis factor receptor and Fas/APO1: proteins binding to the death domains of the two receptors also bind to each other. J Exp Med 183, 1271–1275.
- 137 Tanzer MC, Tripaydonis A, Webb AI, Young SN, Varghese LN, Hall C, Alexander WS, Hildebrand JM, Silke J & Murphy JM (2015) Necroptosis signalling is tuned by phosphorylation of MLKL residues outside the pseudokinase domain activation loop. *Biochem J* 471, 255–265.
- 138 Sun X, Yin J, Starovasnik MA, Fairbrother WJ & Dixit VM (2002) Identification of a novel homotypic interaction motif required for the phosphorylation of receptor-interacting protein (RIP) by RIP3. *J Biol Chem* 277, 9505–9511.
- 139 Kaiser WJ, Upton JW & Mocarski ES (2008) Receptor-interacting protein homotypic interaction motif-dependent control of NF-kappa B activation via the DNA-dependent activator of IFN regulatory factors. *Journal of Immunology* 181, 6427–6434.
- 140 Pasparakis M & Vandenabeele P (2015) Necroptosis and its role in inflammation. *Nature* **517**, 311–320.

- Selective ubiquitination in necroptosis
- 141 Kaiser WJ, Upton JW & Mocarski ES (2013) Viral modulation of programmed necrosis. *Curr Opin Virol* 3, 296–306.
- 142 Sun L, Wang H, Wang Z, He S, Chen S, Liao D, Wang L, Yan J, Liu W, Lei X et al. (2012) Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein mediates necrosis signaling downstream of RIP3 kinase. Cell 148, 213– 227.
- 143 Upton JW & Kaiser WJ (2017) DAI Another Way: Necroptotic Control of Viral Infection. *Cell Host Microbe* 21, 290–293.
- 144 Upton JW, Kaiser WJ & Mocarski ES (2010) Virus inhibition of RIP3-dependent necrosis. *Cell Host Microbe* 7, 302–313.
- 145 Cho YS, Challa S, Moquin D, Genga R, Ray TD, Guildford M & Chan FK (2009) Phosphorylationdriven assembly of the RIP1-RIP3 complex regulates programmed necrosis and virus-induced inflammation. *Cell* 137, 1112–1123.
- 146 He S, Wang L, Miao L, Wang T, Du F, Zhao L & Wang X (2009) Receptor interacting protein kinase-3 determines cellular necrotic response to TNF-alpha. *Cell* 137, 1100–1111.
- 147 Zhang DW, Shao J, Lin J, Zhang N, Lu BJ, Lin SC, Dong MQ & Han J (2009) RIP3, an energy metabolism regulator that switches TNF-induced cell death from apoptosis to necrosis. *Science* 325, 332–336.
- 148 Mompean M, Li W, Li J, Laage S, Siemer AB, Bozkurt G, Wu H & McDermott AE (2018) The structure of the necrosome RIPK1-RIPK3 core, a human hetero-amyloid signaling complex. *Cell* 173, 1244–1253.
- 149 Li J, McQuade T, Siemer AB, Napetschnig J, Moriwaki K, Hsiao YS, Damko E, Moquin D, Walz T, McDermott A *et al.* (2012) The RIP1/RIP3 necrosome forms a functional amyloid signaling complex required for programmed necrosis. *Cell* 150, 339–350.
- 150 Liu S, Liu H, Johnston A, Hanna-Addams S, Reynoso E, Xiang Y & Wang Z (2017) MLKL forms disulfide bond-dependent amyloid-like polymers to induce necroptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**, E7450– E7459.
- 151 McQuade T, Cho Y & Chan FK (2013) Positive and negative phosphorylation regulates RIP1- and RIP3induced programmed necrosis. *Biochem J* 456, 409–415.
- 152 Cook WD, Moujalled DM, Ralph TJ, Lock P, Young SN, Murphy JM & Vaux DL (2014) RIPK1- and RIPK3-induced cell death mode is determined by target availability. *Cell Death Differ* **21**, 1600–1612.
- 153 Orozco S, Yatim N, Werner MR, Tran H, Gunja SY, Tait SW, Albert ML, Green DR & Oberst A (2014) RIPK1 both positively and negatively regulates RIPK3 oligomerization and necroptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 21, 1511–1521.

- 154 Wu XN, Yang ZH, Wang XK, Zhang Y, Wan H, Song Y, Chen X, Shao J & Han J (2014) Distinct roles of RIP1-RIP3 hetero- and RIP3-RIP3 homointeraction in mediating necroptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 21, 1709–1720.
- 155 Chen W, Zhou Z, Li L, Zhong CQ, Zheng X, Wu X, Zhang Y, Ma H, Huang D, Li W et al. (2013) Diverse sequence determinants control human and mouse receptor interacting protein 3 (RIP3) and mixed lineage kinase domain-like (MLKL) interaction in necroptotic signaling. J Biol Chem 288, 16247–16261.
- 156 Garnish SE, Meng Y, Koide A, Sandow JJ, Denbaum E, Jacobsen AV, Yeung W, Samson AL, Horne CR, Fitzgibbon C *et al.* (2021) Conformational interconversion of MLKL and disengagement from RIPK3 precede cell death by necroptosis. *Nat Commun* 12, 2211.
- 157 Murphy JM, Czabotar PE, Hildebrand JM, Lucet IS, Zhang JG, Alvarez-Diaz S, Lewis R, Lalaoui N, Metcalf D, Webb AI *et al.* (2013) The pseudokinase MLKL mediates necroptosis via a molecular switch mechanism. *Immunity* **39**, 443–453.
- 158 Tanzer MC, Matti I, Hildebrand JM, Young SN, Wardak A, Tripaydonis A, Petrie EJ, Mildenhall AL, Vaux DL, Vince JE *et al.* (2016) Evolutionary divergence of the necroptosis effector MLKL. *Cell Death Differ* 23, 1185–1197.
- 159 Petrie EJ, Sandow JJ, Jacobsen AV, Smith BJ, Griffin MDW, Lucet IS, Dai W, Young SN, Tanzer MC, Wardak A *et al.* (2018) Conformational switching of the pseudokinase domain promotes human MLKL tetramerization and cell death by necroptosis. *Nat Commun* 9, 2422.
- 160 Hildebrand JM, Tanzer MC, Lucet IS, Young SN, Spall SK, Sharma P, Pierotti C, Garnier JM, Dobson RC, Webb AI *et al.* (2014) Activation of the pseudokinase MLKL unleashes the four-helix bundle domain to induce membrane localization and necroptotic cell death. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **111**, 15072–15077.
- 161 Cai Z, Jitkaew S, Zhao J, Chiang HC, Choksi S, Liu J, Ward Y, Wu LG & Liu ZG (2014) Plasma membrane translocation of trimerized MLKL protein is required for TNF-induced necroptosis. *Nat Cell Biol* 16, 55–65.
- 162 Dondelinger Y, Declercq W, Montessuit S, Roelandt R, Goncalves A, Bruggeman I, Hulpiau P, Weber K, Sehon CA, Marquis RW *et al.* (2014) MLKL compromises plasma membrane integrity by binding to phosphatidylinositol phosphates. *Cell Rep* 7, 971– 981.
- 163 Wang H, Sun L, Su L, Rizo J, Liu L, Wang LF, Wang FS & Wang X (2014) Mixed lineage kinase domain-like protein MLKL causes necrotic membrane

disruption upon phosphorylation by RIP3. *Mol Cell* **54**, 133–146.

- 164 Fan W, Guo J, Gao B, Zhang W, Ling L, Xu T, Pan C, Li L, Chen S, Wang H et al. (2019) Flotillinmediated endocytosis and ALIX-syntenin-1-mediated exocytosis protect the cell membrane from damage caused by necroptosis. Sci Signal 12, 81.
- 165 Frank D, Vaux DL, Murphy JM, Vince JE & Lindqvist LM (2019) Activated MLKL attenuates autophagy following its translocation to intracellular membranes. J Cell Sci 132, 789.
- 166 Goodall ML, Fitzwalter BE, Zahedi S, Wu M, Rodriguez D, Mulcahy-Levy JM, Green DR, Morgan M, Cramer SD & Thorburn A (2016) The autophagy machinery controls cell death switching between apoptosis and necroptosis. *Dev Cell* 37, 337–349.
- 167 Quarato G, Guy CS, Grace CR, Llambi F, Nourse A, Rodriguez DA, Wakefield R, Frase S, Moldoveanu T & Green DR (2016) Sequential engagement of distinct MLKL phosphatidylinositol-binding sites executes necroptosis. *Mol Cell* 61, 589–601.
- 168 Davies KA, Tanzer MC, Griffin MDW, Mok YF, Young SN, Qin R, Petrie EJ, Czabotar PE, Silke J & Murphy JM (2018) The brace helices of MLKL mediate interdomain communication and oligomerisation to regulate cell death by necroptosis. *Cell Death Differ* 25, 1567–1580.
- 169 Huang D, Zheng X, Wang ZA, Chen X, He WT, Zhang Y, Xu JG, Zhao H, Shi W, Wang X *et al.* (2017) The MLKL channel in necroptosis is an octamer formed by tetramers in a dyadic process. *Mol Cell Biol* 37, 66.
- 170 Samson AL, Zhang Y, Geoghegan ND, Gavin XJ, Davies KA, Mlodzianoski MJ, Whitehead LW, Frank D, Garnish SE, Fitzgibbon C *et al.* (2020) MLKL trafficking and accumulation at the plasma membrane control the kinetics and threshold for necroptosis. *Nat Commun* 11, 3151.
- 171 Samson AL, Fitzgibbon C, Patel KM, Hildebrand JM, Whitehead LW, Rimes JS, Jacobsen AV, Horne CR, Gavin XJ, Young SN *et al.* (2021) A toolbox for imaging RIPK1, RIPK3, and MLKL in mouse and human cells. *Cell Death Differ* 28, 2126–2144.
- 172 Su L, Quade B, Wang H, Sun L, Wang X & Rizo J (2014) A plug release mechanism for membrane permeation by MLKL. *Structure* 22, 1489–1500.
- 173 Sethi A, Horne CR, Fitzgibbon C, Wilde K, Davies KA, Garnish SE, Jacobsen AV, Samson AL, Hildebrand JM, Wardak A *et al.* (2021) Membrane permeabilization is mediated by distinct epitopes in mouse and human orthologs of the necroptosis effector, MLKL, *bioRxiv*, 5, 3.
- 174 Dovey CM, Diep J, Clarke BP, Hale AT, McNamara DE, Guo H, Brown NW Jr, Cao JY, Grace CR, Gough PJ *et al.* (2018) MLKL requires the inositol

phosphate code to execute necroptosis. *Mol Cell* **70**, 936–948.

- 175 McNamara DE, Dovey CM, Hale AT, Quarato G, Grace CR, Guibao CD, Diep J, Nourse A, Cai CR, Wu H *et al.* (2019) Direct activation of human MLKL by a select repertoire of inositol phosphate metabolites. *Cell Chem Biol* **26**, 863–877.
- 176 Gong YN, Guy C, Crawford JC & Green DR (2017) Biological events and molecular signaling following MLKL activation during necroptosis. *Cell Cycle* 16, 1748–1760.
- 177 Zargarian S, Shlomovitz I, Erlich Z, Hourizadeh A, Ofir-Birin Y, Croker BA, Regev-Rudzki N, Edry-Botzer L & Gerlic M (2017) Phosphatidylserine externalization, "necroptotic bodies" release, and phagocytosis during necroptosis. *PLoS Biol* 15, e2002711.
- 178 Yoon S, Kovalenko A, Bogdanov K & Wallach D (2017) MLKL, the protein that mediates necroptosis, also regulates endosomal trafficking and extracellular vesicle generation. *Immunity* 47, 51–65.
- 179 Gong YN, Guy C, Olauson H, Becker JU, Yang M, Fitzgerald P, Linkermann A & Green DR (2017) ESCRT-III Acts downstream of MLKL to regulate necroptotic cell death and its consequences. *Cell* 169, 286–300.
- 180 Douanne T, Andre-Gregoire G, Trillet K, Thys A, Papin A, Feyeux M, Hulin P, Chiron D, Gavard J & Bidere N (2019) Pannexin-1 limits the production of proinflammatory cytokines during necroptosis. *EMBO Rep* 20, e47840.
- 181 Choi SW, Park HH, Kim S, Chung JM, Noh HJ, Kim SK, Song HK, Lee CW, Morgan MJ, Kang HC *et al.* (2018) PELI1 Selectively targets kinase-Active RIP3 for ubiquitylation-dependent proteasomal degradation. *Mol Cell* **70**, 920–935.
- 182 Wang H, Meng H, Li X, Zhu K, Dong K, Mookhtiar AK, Wei H, Li Y, Sun SC & Yuan J (2017) PELI1 functions as a dual modulator of necroptosis and apoptosis by regulating ubiquitination of RIPK1 and mRNA levels of c-FLIP. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114, 11944–11949.
- 183 Seo J, Lee EW, Sung H, Seong D, Dondelinger Y, Shin J, Jeong M, Lee HK, Kim JH, Han SY et al. (2016) CHIP controls necroptosis through ubiquitylation- and lysosome-dependent degradation of RIPK3. Nat Cell Biol 18, 291– 302.
- 184 Moriwaki K & Chan FK (2016) Regulation of RIPK3- and RHIM-dependent necroptosis by the proteasome. J Biol Chem 291, 5948–5959.
- 185 Lee SB, Kim JJ, Han SA, Fan YF, Guo LS, Aziz K, Nowsheen S, Kim SS, Park SY, Lue QF *et al.* (2019) The AMPK-Parkin axis negatively regulates necroptosis and tumorigenesis by inhibiting the necrosome. *Nat Cell Biol* **21**, 940.

- 186 Mei P, Xie F, Pan J, Wang S, Gao W, Ge R, Gao B, Gao S, Chen X, Wang Y et al. (2021) E3 ligase TRIM25 ubiquitinates RIP3 to inhibit TNF induced cell necrosis. Cell Death Differ.
- 187 Gack MU, Shin YC, Joo CH, Urano T, Liang C, Sun L, Takeuchi O, Akira S, Chen Z, Inoue S *et al.* (2007) TRIM25 RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase is essential for RIG-I-mediated antiviral activity. *Nature* 446, 916–920.
- 188 Zeng W, Sun L, Jiang X, Chen X, Hou F, Adhikari A, Xu M & Chen ZJ (2010) Reconstitution of the RIG-I pathway reveals a signaling role of unanchored polyubiquitin chains in innate immunity. *Cell* 141, 315–330.
- 189 Chen ST, Chen L, Lin DS, Chen SY, Tsao YP, Guo H, Li FJ, Tseng WT, Tam JW, Chao CW *et al.* (2019) NLRP12 Regulates Anti-viral RIG-I activation via interaction with TRIM25. *Cell Host Microbe* 25, 602– 616.
- 190 Liu Z, Nailwal H, Rector J, Rahman MM, Sam R, McFadden G & Chan FK (2021) A class of viral inducer of degradation of the necroptosis adaptor RIPK3 regulates virus-induced inflammation. *Immunity* 54, 247–258.
- 191 Zhang XY, Varthi M, Sykes SM, Phillips C, Warzecha C, Zhu W, Wyce A, Thorne AW, Berger SL & McMahon SB (2008) The putative cancer stem cell marker USP22 is a subunit of the human SAGA complex required for activated transcription and cell-cycle progression. *Mol Cell* 29, 102–111.
- 192 Zhao Y, Lang G, Ito S, Bonnet J, Metzger E, Sawatsubashi S, Suzuki E, Le Guezennec X, Stunnenberg HG, Krasnov A *et al.* (2008) A TFTC/ STAGA module mediates histone H2A and H2B deubiquitination, coactivates nuclear receptors, and counteracts heterochromatin silencing. *Mol Cell* 29, 92–101.
- 193 Roedig J, Kowald L, Juretschke T, Karlowitz R, Ahangarian Abhari B, Roedig H, Fulda S, Beli P & van Wijk SJ (2021) USP22 controls necroptosis by regulating receptor-interacting protein kinase 3 ubiquitination. *EMBO Rep* 22, e50163.
- 194 Hildebrand JM, Kauppi M, Majewski IJ, Liu Z, Cox AJ, Miyake S, Petrie EJ, Silk MA, Li Z, Tanzer MC *et al.* (2020) A missense mutation in the MLKL brace region promotes lethal neonatal inflammation and hematopoietic dysfunction. *Nat Commun* **11**, 3150.
- 195 Lawlor KE, Khan N, Mildenhall A, Gerlic M, Croker BA, D'Cruz AA, Hall C, Kaur Spall S, Anderton H, Masters SL *et al.* (2015) RIPK3 promotes cell death and NLRP3 inflammasome activation in the absence of MLKL. *Nat Commun* 6, 6282.
- 196 Garcia LR, Tenev T, Newman R, Haich RO, Liccardi G, John SW, Annibaldi A, Yu L, Pardo M, Young SN et al. (2021) Ubiquitylation of MLKL at lysine

219 positively regulates necroptosis-induced tissue injury and pathogen clearance. *Nat Commun* **12**, 3364.

- 197 Wang J, Huo K, Ma L, Tang L, Li D, Huang X, Yuan Y, Li C, Wang W, Guan W et al. (2017) Toward an understanding of the protein interaction network of the human liver. *Mol Syst Biol* 13, 965.
- 198 Liu Z, Dagley LF, Shield-Artin K, Young SN, Bankovacki A, Wang X, Tang M, Howitt J, Stafford CA, Nachbur U *et al.* (2021) Oligomerization-driven MLKL ubiquitylation antagonises necroptosis, *bioRxiv* 5, 442209.
- 199 Hoege C, Pfander B, Moldovan GL, Pyrowolakis G & Jentsch S (2002) RAD6-dependent DNA repair is

linked to modification of PCNA by ubiquitin and SUMO. *Nature* **419**, 135–141.

- 200 Parker JL & Ulrich HD (2009) Mechanistic analysis of PCNA poly-ubiquitylation by the ubiquitin protein ligases Rad18 and Rad5. *EMBO J* 28, 3657– 3666.
- 201 Akimov V, Barrio-Hernandez I, Hansen SVF, Hallenborg P, Pedersen AK, Bekker-Jensen DB, Puglia M, Christensen SDK, Vanselow JT, Nielsen MM et al. (2018) UbiSite approach for comprehensive mapping of lysine and N-terminal ubiquitination sites. Nat Struct Mol Biol 25, 631–640.