A. Bud (2023) "Irreducibility of a Universal Prym–Brill–Noether Locus," International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 2023, No. 12, pp. 10174–10180 Advance Access Publication May 30, 2022 https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnac135

Irreducibility of a Universal Prym-Brill-Noether Locus

Andrei Bud*

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Rudower Chausee 25 12489, Berlin, Germany

*Correspondence to be sent to: e-mail: andreibud95@protonmail.com

For genus $g = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$, we prove that via the forgetful map, the universal Prym-Brill-Noether locus \mathcal{V}_g^r has a unique irreducible component dominating the moduli space \mathcal{R}_g of Prym curves.

1 Introduction

The moduli space \mathcal{R}_g of Prym curves was brought to the attention of algebraic geometers by Mumford in his influential paper [15], as a way of understanding principally polarized Abelian varieties. For an element $[C, \eta]$ of \mathcal{R}_g , we let $\pi : \widetilde{C} \to C$ be the associated double cover and let $\operatorname{Nm}_{\pi} : \operatorname{Pic}^{2g-2}(\widetilde{C}) \to \operatorname{Pic}^{2g-2}(C)$ be the norm map of this morphism of curves. In this situation, the preimage of ω_C consists of two disjoint varieties

$$P^{+} = \left\{ L \in \operatorname{Pic}^{2g-2}(\widetilde{C}) \mid \operatorname{Nm}(L) = \omega_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ and } h^{0}(\widetilde{C}, L) \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \right\}$$

and

$$P^{-} = \left\{ L \in \operatorname{Pic}^{2g-2}(\widetilde{C}) \mid \operatorname{Nm}(L) = \omega_{\mathcal{C}} \text{ and } h^{0}(\widetilde{C},L) \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

isomorphic to the Prym variety in $\operatorname{Pic}^{0}(\widetilde{C})$.

Received January 20, 2022; Revised January 20, 2022; Accepted April 7, 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Following this development, Welters emphasized in [17] that Prym–Brill–Noether theory can be employed in order to understand the geometry of subvarieties of Prym varieties. More precisely, he considered the loci

$$V^{r}(\mathcal{C},\eta) := \left\{ L \in \operatorname{Pic}^{2g-2}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}) \mid \operatorname{Nm}(L) \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}}, \ h^{0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}},L) \ge r+1, \text{ and } h^{0}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}},L) \equiv r+1 \pmod{2} \right\}$$

in order to study the singularities of the theta divisor of the associated Prym variety. The relation between Prym–Brill–Noether theory and the study of singularities of theta divisors piqued the interest of other mathematicians. The two papers [17] and [2] showed that when $g \ge \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$, the locus $V^r(C, \eta)$ is non-empty of dimension at least $g-1-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$. In addition, for a generic $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_g$, the locus $V^r(C, \eta)$ has exactly this dimension when $g \ge \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$ and is empty when $g < \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$; see [16]. Subsequently in [4], De Concini and Pragacz viewed $V^r(C, \eta)$ as a Lagrangian degeneracy locus (cf. [14]) and computed the class of $V^r(C, \eta)$ in the Prym variety when it has the expected dimension $g-1-\frac{r(r+1)}{2}$.

In recent years, two new perspectives for the study of Prym–Brill–Noether theory emerged. On one hand, it has been studied from the point of view of tropical geometry (see [3] and [13]), thus providing another proof for the dimension estimate of $V^r(C, \eta)$ for a generic $[C, \eta]$ and, on the other hand, from the perspective of moduli theory, with a view to understanding the birational geometry of \mathcal{R}_g for small values of g. It is natural to ask when $g \geq \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$ whether the universal Prym–Brill–Noether locus

$$\mathcal{V}_{g}^{r} := \left\{ [C, \eta, L] \mid [C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_{g} \text{ and } L \in V^{r}(C, \eta) \right\}$$

has a unique irreducible component dominating the moduli space \mathcal{R}_g . This is true for $g > \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$ because the fibre above a general $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_g$ is irreducible; see [5, Examples 6.2]. However, as pointed out in [12], this was not known for $g = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$. The present paper aims at showing that when $g = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$, the moduli space \mathcal{V}_g^r has a unique irreducible component dominating \mathcal{R}_g . In the interest of proving this result, we will consider the compactification $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ of the moduli space of Prym curves \mathcal{R}_g ; see [1] and [10]. Ultimately, we degenerate to the boundary locus of $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ and employ the theory of limit linear series, adapted to our situation.

2 Prym Linear Series

Our goal in this section is to provide a suitable definition of Prym linear series and then use it to prove our main result. We will start by recalling some definitions regarding limit linear series, while referring the reader to [6] for a thorough study. First, recall that a g_d^r on a smooth curve Y is defined to be a pair (V, L) of a degree d line bundle L together with an (r + 1)-dimensional vector subspace $V \subseteq H^0(Y, L)$. This definition extends naturally to curves of compact type.

Let Y be a genus g curve of compact type. A crude limit g_d^r consists of a g_d^r (V_i, L_i) for every irreducible component Y_i of Y, further satisfying the following property:

• Let q be a node of Y connecting two irreducible components, Y_j and Y_k , and let $0 \le a_0 < \cdots < a_r \le d$ and $0 \le b_0 < \cdots < b_r \le d$ be the vanishing orders at q of the sections in V_i and V_k , respectively. Then, for any $0 \le i \le r$ we have

$$a_i + b_{r-i} \ge d$$

If for any node q all the inequalities are in fact equalities, the limit linear system is called refined.

Lastly, we define the Brill–Noether number associated to a smooth pointed curve and a g_d^r on it. Let (Y, p_1, \ldots, p_n) be a smooth genus g curve together with n points on it, and let l = (V, L) be a g_d^r on Y. Let $0 \le a_0^i < \cdots < a_r^i \le d$ be the vanishing orders at p_i of the sections in V. The Brill–Noether number of the g_d^r with respect to the points p_i is defined as

$$\rho(l, p_1, \dots, p_n) := g - (r+1)(g - d + r) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^i + n \cdot \frac{r(r+1)}{2}.$$

Having these definitions, we are ready to particularize to our situation.

Let $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_g$ be a generic Prym curve. Then, we know from [17, Lemma 3.2] that a generic element $L \in V^r(C, \eta)$ satisfies $h^0(\widetilde{C}, L) = r + 1$. Moreover, when $g = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$ we know from [16, Theorem 1.1] that all $L \in V^r(C, \eta)$ satisfy $h^0(\widetilde{C}, L) = r + 1$. In particular, the line bundle L can be viewed as a g_{2g-2}^r on the curve \widetilde{C} . Furthermore, up to restricting to an open subset, we can view all irreducible components of \mathcal{V}_g^r dominating \mathcal{R}_g as contained in the moduli space $\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^r(\mathcal{R}_g)$ parametrizing limit g_{2g-2}^r over double covers $[\pi:\widetilde{C} \to C]$ where \widetilde{C} is of compact type. We ask what points can appear in the compactification of \mathcal{V}_q^r inside this space.

Let $[\pi: \widetilde{C} \to C] \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ such that *C* is of compact type and admits a unique irreducible component *X* satisfying $\eta_X \ncong \mathcal{O}_X$. For this component *X*, we denote by $p_1^X, \ldots, p_{s_X}^X$ its nodes and by $g_1^X, \ldots, g_{s_X}^X$ the genera of the connected components of $C \setminus X$ glued to *X* at these points. For an irreducible component *Y* of *C*, different from *X*, we denote by q^Y the node glueing *Y* to the connected component of $C \setminus Y$ containing *X*,

and by $p_1^Y, \ldots, p_{s_Y}^Y$ the other nodes of Y. We denote by $g_0^Y, g_1^Y, \ldots, g_{s_Y}^Y$ the genera of the connected components of $C \setminus Y$ glued to Y at these points.

Using the above notations, we can define the concept of a Prym limit g_{2a-2}^r :

Definition 2.1. A Prym limit g_{2g-2}^r , denoted *L*, is a crude limit g_{2g-2}^r on \tilde{C} satisfying the following two conditions:

1. For the unique component \widetilde{X} of \widetilde{C} above X, the \widetilde{X} -aspect $L_{\widetilde{X}}$ of L satisfies

$$Nm_{\pi_{ec{X}}}L_{\widetilde{X}}\cong\omega_X\Big(\sum_{i=1}^s2g_i^Xp_i^X\Big).$$

2. For a component Y of C different from X, we denote by Y_1 and Y_2 the two irreducible components of \tilde{C} above it. We identify these two components with Y via the map π . With this identification the Y_1 and Y_2 aspects of L satisfy the following:

$$L_{Y_1}\otimes L_{Y_2}\cong \omega_Y\Big((2g-2+2g_0^Y)q^Y+\sum_{i=1}^sg_i^Yp_i^Y\Big).$$

Because the points in the boundary need to respect the norm condition, we immediately obtain that:

Lemma 2.1. Let $[\pi: \widetilde{C} \to C] \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ with \widetilde{C} of compact type, and let $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_g^r$ be the closure of \mathcal{V}_g^r inside $\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^r(\mathcal{R}_g)$. Then the fibre of the map $\overline{\mathcal{V}}_g^r \to \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ over the point $[\pi: \widetilde{C} \to C]$ is contained in the locus of Prym limit g_{2g-2}^r on $[\pi: \widetilde{C} \to C]$.

We are now ready to use a degeneration argument in order to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.2. When $g = \frac{r(r+1)}{2} + 1$, the space \mathcal{V}_g^r has a unique irreducible component dominating \mathcal{R}_g .

Proof. We consider the boundary divisor $\Delta_1 \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ whose generic point is of the form $[Y \cup_x E, \mathcal{O}_Y, \eta_E \neq \mathcal{O}_E]$, where Y and E are components of genus g - 1 and 1, respectively. Let $[Y_1 \cup_{x_1} \widetilde{E} \cup_{x_2} Y_2 \rightarrow Y \cup_x E]$ be the double cover associated to a generic element of Δ_1 . We want to describe the locus of Prym limit g_{2g-2}^r 's on such a double cover.

Let *L* be a Prym limit g_{2g-2}^r on $[Y_1 \cup_{x_1} \widetilde{E} \cup_{x_2} Y_2 \to Y \cup_x E]$. The additivity of the Brill–Noether numbers implies the following:

$$\rho(2g-1,r,2g-2) = -r \ge \rho(L_{Y_1},x_1) + \rho(L_{\widetilde{E}},x_1,x_2) + \rho(L_{Y_2},x_2).$$

But we know from [7, Theorem 1.1] and [9, Proposition 1.4.1] that $\rho(L_{Y_1}, x_1) \geq 0$, $\rho(L_{Y_2}, x_2) \geq 0$ and $\rho(L_{\tilde{E}}, x_1, x_2) \geq -r$. It is clear that these are in fact equalities and L is a refined limit g_{2g-2}^r .

We denote by $0 \le a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_r \le 2g - 2$ and $0 \le b_0 < b_1 < \cdots < b_r \le 2g - 2$ the vanishing orders for the Y_1 and Y_2 aspects, respectively. The equality $\rho(L_{\widetilde{E}}, x_1, x_2) = -r$ implies that $a_i + b_{r-i} = 2g - 2$ for all $0 \le i \le r$.

The genericity of $[Y_2, x_2] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$ together with $\rho(L_{Y_2}, x_2) = 0$ imply that $h^0(Y_2, L_{Y_2}(-b_i x_2)) = r + 1 - i$ for all $0 \le i \le r$. Using that $L_{Y_1} \otimes L_{Y_2} \cong \omega_Y(2g \cdot x)$ and the Riemann-Roch theorem, we obtain

$$h^0(Y_1, L_{Y_1}(-(2+a_{r-i})q)) = g + r - 1 - a_{r-i} - i.$$

Choosing i = 0 we get $a_r = g + r - 1$. Inverting the roles of the a_i 's and b_i 's we obtain that $a_0 = g - r - 1$. Because we have the divisorial equivalences

$$a_i x_1 + b_{r-i} x_2 \equiv a_j x_1 + b_{r-j} x_2$$

on the elliptic curve *E* for every $0 \le i, j \le r$, we obtain that $a_i - a_{i-1} \ge 2$ for every $1 \le i \le r$. This implies that $a_i = g - r + 2i - 1$ for every $0 \le i \le r$.

We now view the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$ as embedded in $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ via the map $\pi: \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1} \to \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$ sending a pointed curve $[Y, x] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$ to $[Y \cup_x E, \mathcal{O}_Y, \eta_E]$ where [E, x] is a generic elliptic curve and η_E is a 2-torsion line bundle on E. For the ramification sequence $\alpha = (g - r - 1, \ldots, g - 1)$ associated to the vanishing orders $a = (a_0, \ldots, a_r) = (g - r - 1, \ldots, g + r - 1)$, we consider the locus $\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^r(\alpha)$ parametrizing pairs [C, p, L] where $[C, p] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$ and L is a g_{2g-2}^r having vanishing orders greater or equal to a at the point p. Then the locus of Prym limit g_{2g-2}^r over $\operatorname{Im}(\pi)$ is birationally isomorphic to $\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^r(\alpha)$.

We know from [8, Lemma 3.6] that $\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^r(\alpha)$ has a unique irreducible component dominating $\mathcal{M}_{q-1,1}$. Moreover,

$$\deg(\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^{r}(\alpha) \to \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}) = 2^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \cdot (g-1)! \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{(i-1)!}{(2i-1)!}$$

as stated on the second page of [11]. On the other hand, we have from [4, Theorem 9] that

$$\deg(\mathcal{V}_g^r \to \mathcal{R}_g) = 2^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \cdot (g-1)! \cdot \frac{r}{i=1} \frac{(i-1)!}{(2i-1)!}.$$

We conclude that all dominant irreducible components of \mathcal{V}_g^r contain $\mathcal{G}_{2g-2}^r(\alpha)$ in their closure. From this we get that each such component map to \mathcal{R}_g with degree at least $2^{\frac{r(r-1)}{2}} \cdot (g-1)! \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{(i-1)!}{(2i-1)!}$, implying unicity.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my advisor, Gavril Farkas, for suggesting this problem and for all his support and guidance along the way. I also want to thank the anonymous referee for the suggested improvements to this paper.

References

- Ballico, E., C. Casagrande, and C. Fontanari. "Moduli of Prym curves." Doc. Math. 9 (2004): 265–81.
- Bertram, A. "An existence theorem for Prym special divisors." Invent. Math. 90 (1987): 669-71.
- [3] Creech, S., Y. Len, C. Ritter, and D. Wu. "Prym-Brill-Noether loci of special curves." Int. Math. Res. Notices 2022 (2020): 2688–728.
- [4] De Concini, C. and P. Pragacz. "On the class of Brill-Noether loci for Prym varieties." Math. Ann. 302 (1995): 687–98.
- [5] Debarre, O. "Théorèmes de Lefschetz pour les lieux de dégénérescence." Bull. Soc. Math. France 128 (2000): 283–308.
- [6] Eisenbud, D. and J. Harris. "Limit linear series: basic theory." Invent. Math. 85 (1986): 337-72.
- [7] Eisenbud, D. and J. Harris. "The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus ≥ 23 ." *Invent. Math.* 90 (1987): 359–87.
- [8] Eisenbud, D. and J. Harris. "Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill-Noether number. I." Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 22 (1989): 33–53.
- [9] Farkas, G. *The Birational Geometry of the Moduli Space of Curves*. Academisch Proefschrift: Universitet van Amsterdam, 2000.
- [10] Farkas, G. and K. Ludwig. "The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties." J. Eur. Math. Soc. 12 (2010): 755–95.
- [11] Farkas, G. and N. Tarasca. "Pointed Castelnuovo numbers." Math. Res. Lett. 23 (2016): 389–404.
- [12] Jensen, D., and S. Payne. "Recent developments in Brill-Noether theory." (2021): Preprint, arXiv:2111.00351.

- [13] Len, Y. and M. Ulirsch. "Skeletons of Prym varieties and Brill-Noether theory." Algebra Number Theory 15 (2021): 785-820.
- [14] Mumford, D. "Theta characteristics of an algebraic curve." Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4 (1971): 181-92.
- [15] Mumford, D. "Prym varieties I." Contributions to Analysis (1974): 325-50.
- [16] Schwarz, I. "Brill-Noether theory for cyclic covers." J. Pure Appl. Algebra 221 (2017): 2420–30.
- [17] Welters, G. "A theorem of Gieseker–Petri type for Prym varieties." Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 18 (1985): 671–83.