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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 The Epigenetic Code

According to the central dogma of molecular biology, formulated by Francis Crick in 1958, genes are expressed from DNA by two processes known as transcription and translation. ${ }^{1-3}$ The genetic information of a cell is stored in the form of a DNA nucleotide sequence. During transcription, a part of this sequence is copied into messenger RNA (mRNA) by RNA polymerase (Figure 1). After exiting the nucleus, the mRNA provides the coding information to the ribosome, where its nucleotide triplet, or codon, sequence is translated into specific amino acids by pairing complementary transfer RNA (tRNA). The tRNAs each carry specific amino acids that are connected to form a polypeptide which later folds into an active protein. The genetic code therefore is the basis for the primary structure of the proteome and mutations of the DNA code have been implicated in many diseases. ${ }^{4}$


Figure 1: Gene expression from DNA via transcription and translation (adapted from the National Human Genome Research Institute). ${ }^{5}$ The genetic information is stored in the form of nucleotide triplets (codons). I) During transcription, RNA polymerase copies information from the DNA sequence into an RNA transcript, creating mRNA which then exits the nucleus through the nuclear pore complex. II) To initiate translation, the ribosome assembles around the mRNA and facilitates binding of the first complementary tRNA. During elongation, the last tRNA transfers its attached amino acid to the previous tRNA, creating a growing peptide chain. The polypeptide is released when the ribosome reaches a stop codon in the mRNA.

In addition to the unidimensional information encoded in the genome, a more complex mechanism is involved, regulating which gene is actively transcribed. "The study of mitotically and/or meiotically
heritable changes in gene function that cannot be explained by changes in DNA sequence" has been termed epigenetics. ${ }^{6}$ In contrast to genetic information, epigenetic information can be stored in a number of ways, including modification of DNA, RNA or proteins. ${ }^{7}$ While alterations in the genetic code rely on mutations to change the nucleotide sequence, changes to the epigenetic code can happen much more readily. Even though epigenetic marks are also "mitotically stable", meaning all cells that result from division or proliferation will have the same epigenome, epigenetic modifications can also occur due to environmental signals. ${ }^{8}$ Additionally, while all cells in one organism share the same genetic code, the epigenetic code is tissue specific.

### 1.1.1 DNA Methylation

One of the possible epigenetic modifications is the methylation of DNA by DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs). In mammals, DNA methylation can almost exclusively be found at CpG sites ${ }^{9}$, regions where a guanine nucleotide follows a cytosine nucleotide (Scheme 1). The methylation results in 5methylcytosine ( 5 mC ) and usually takes places on the cytosine of both strands. In the human genome, CpG nucleotides occur at only $21 \%$ of the frequency that would be expected from random distribution. ${ }^{10}$ This under-representation might be attributed to the tendency of methylated cytosines to deaminate over time, forming thymine and resulting in a mismatched guanine-thymine base pair. ${ }^{11}$ If the thymine is then complemented with adenine during DNA replication or by repair mechanisms, this introduces a permanent mutation.




Scheme 1: Loss of CpG sites through methylation. (a) Methylation of cytosine (C) to form 5-methylcytosine ( 5 mC ) catalyzed by a DNA methyltransferase (DNMT). (b) Spontaneous deamination of 5-methylcytosine, resulting in thymine $(T)$ and consequently a mismatched base pair with the complementary strand.

While DNMTs have been found to be responsible for DNA methylation, no direct DNA demethylase has been identified so far. Instead, demethylation seems to appear via passive dilution or through indirect pathways that involve deamination or oxidation of 5 mC together with base excision repair (BER). ${ }^{12}$ Even though most CpG sites are methylated, about five percent cluster into so-called CpG islands (CGIs), where this sequence is mostly unmethylated. ${ }^{13}$ In humans, the majority of promotor regions are embedded in $\mathrm{GCls}^{14,15}$, where methylation is generally associated with the silencing of genes. ${ }^{16}$ Accordingly, abnormal methylation in promotor regions has been frequently found in
different types of cancer. ${ }^{17-19}$ Especially DNA repair genes are often repressed due to hypermethylation of CGIs in their promotor regions ${ }^{20}$. At the same time, global hypomethylation can be observed in tumor cells, causing genetic instability and activation of oncogenes. ${ }^{21}$

### 1.1.2 Histone Modifications

Another important epigenetic mechanism is the modification of histones, structural proteins the DNA wraps around to form chromatin (Figure 2). Those modifications include methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitinylation, sumoylation, biotinylation and ADP-ribosylation ${ }^{22}$ and predominantly occur on the $N$-terminal tails of histone proteins. By modifying the histone tails, these chemical groups serve as dynamic marks that influence DNA accessibility and the recruitment of protein complexes involved in gene regulation. ${ }^{23}$


Figure 2: Structure of chromatin, a complex of DNA and histone proteins (adapted from the National Human Genome Research Institute). ${ }^{24}$ The DNA double helix is wrapped around core histone octamers (two copies of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4), forming nucleosomes. This structure then further coils around linker histones (H1), eventually resulting in chromosomes. Epigenetic marks occur mainly on the N -terminal histone tails.

One of the most investigated histone modifications is the acetylation of histones. It mainly occurs on the lysine residues of histone tails and is regulated by histone acetyl transferases (HATs) and histone deacetylases (HDACs). ${ }^{25}$ Under physiological conditions, the lysine's $\varepsilon$-amino group is protonated, creating a strong interaction with the negatively charged phosphate backbone of DNA. Acetylation removes the positive charge, resulting in a weaker interaction and therefore more open chromatin structure (Figure 3). ${ }^{26}$ This causes the DNA to be more accessible, facilitating the binding of transcription factors and other regulatory proteins.


Figure 3: Chromatin structure depending on the acetylation state. Histone acetyl transferases (HATs) add acetyl groups (light blue) to histone tails (orange) (adapted from the National Human Genome Research Institute). ${ }^{24}$ This removes the positive charge from lysine residues, causing weaker binding to DNA and therefore a more open chromatin structure. Histone deacetylases remove this acetyl group, resulting in chromatin that is less accessible for transcription.

Histone phosphorylation takes place on serine, threonine or tyrosine residues. The highly dynamic phosphorylation state is controlled by kinases and phosphatases and is believed to influence mitosis, cell death, repair, replication and recombination. While the phosphate's added negative charge clearly influences the chromatin structure, its precise function remains to be fully understood. ${ }^{27}$

In contrast to acetylation and phosphorylation, methylation does not directly alter the chromatin structure by adding or removing a charge. Instead, gene expression is regulated through recruiting chromatin remodelers or transcription factors that bind depending on the methylation state. ${ }^{28}$ Histone methylation can occur on lysine or arginine residues, where lysines can be mono-, di- or tri-methylated and arginines can be mono- or dimethylated. ${ }^{26}$ Are large number of different methyltransferases have been identified, each having specific substrates and reaction products. ${ }^{29,30}$ SET7/9 for example is only able to mono-methylate H3K4. ${ }^{31}$ Depending on the residue and the number of methyl groups, methylation can either enhance or suppress gene expression. Mono-methylated H3K9 and H3K27 correlate with gene activation, while di- and tri-methylation of those residues is associated with repression. ${ }^{32,33}$

In addition to the previously mentioned modifications, histones can also be modified through the attachment of small proteins, for example ubiquitin or small ubiquitin-related modifier (SUMO). The first protein that was found to be ubiquitinylated is histone H 2 A , with H 2 A and H 2 B being the most abundant ubiquitinylated proteins in the nucleus. ${ }^{34,35}$ Ubiquitin attachment occurs at lysine residues, primarily at H2AK119 and H2BK120. ${ }^{34,36}$ Besides monoubiquitinylation, histones can also be polyubiquitinylated, often as a response to DNA damage. ${ }^{37,38}$ While the addition or removal of ubiquitin definitely influences transcription, ubiquitinylation is probably the most complex and least understood type of modification to histones. ${ }^{39,40}$ Importantly, research also suggests "crosstalk" between different histone modifications, such as H2B ubiquitinylation influencing H3 methylation. ${ }^{41,42}$

### 1.1.3 RNA Epigenetics

In addition to the modifications of DNA and proteins, RNA also plays a significant role in the epigenetic gene regulation. Among those three components, RNA stands out as the most versatile. While most of the human genome is transcribed into RNA, only a small fraction of transcribed RNA is responsible for encoding proteins. ${ }^{43}$ Along with the previously mentioned mRNA, there is also non-coding RNA which can exhibit a variety of functions. One example for this is microRNA (miRNA), which selectively silences genes by binding to complementary mRNA. ${ }^{44}$ Additionally, some types of RNA, including long noncoding RNA (IncRNA), also play a role in gene regulation by influencing chromatin organization through architectural roles. ${ }^{45}$ In analogy to DNA methylation, RNA nucleotides can also carry modifications. The most common RNA modification is $N^{6}$-methyl adenosine ( $\mathrm{m}^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ ), followed by 5 -methylcytosine. ${ }^{46,47}$ Other possible modifications include the 2'-O-methylation of the ribose unit and the conversion of uridines to pseudouridines. ${ }^{48} \mathrm{~N}$-methylation of adenosine is reversible and has been observed to occur through two different processes. $\mathrm{M}^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ can be demethylated through successive oxidation by FTO (fat mass and obesity-associated protein). ${ }^{49,50}$ Additionally, direct demethylation mediated by ALKBH5 was also found. ${ }^{51}$ Diseases associated with $\mathrm{m}^{6} \mathrm{~A}$-binding proteins include a variety of different cancers. ${ }^{46,52}$

### 1.2 Histone Deacetylases (HDACs)

While its complexity makes it difficult, if not impossible, to fully understand the epigenetic code and its implications in gene expression in its entirety, some of its components have been investigated quite thoroughly. Especially histone acetylation is an epigenetic mark that has been extensively researched. Among the different kinds of acylation, including propionylation, butyrylation ${ }^{53}$, crotonylation ${ }^{54}$ and other types, that are associated with activating transcription ${ }^{55}$, acetylation is the most abundant. Acetylation marks are added to lysine residues of $N$-terminal histone tails by HATs and removed by HDACs. The acetyl moiety is transferred from acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA), cleaving a reactive thioester (Scheme 2). ${ }^{56}$ In cellular environments, the basic $\varepsilon$-amino group of lysine exists in a protonated state, creating positively charged histone tails. This enhances the affinity to the negatively charged phosphate backbone of DNA, resulting in a condensed form of chromatin, called heterochromatin. After acetylation by HATs, this charge is neutralized, weakening the interaction to DNA, generating uncondensed euchromatin and promoting binding of transcription factors. ${ }^{57}$ Histone deacetylation by HDACs is therefore associated with repressed transcriptional activity. Importantly, HDACs cannot only acetylate histones, but have a variety of additional substrates that are associated with tumor progression, cell cycle control and apoptosis. ${ }^{58}$ Noteworthy is, that the evolution of HDACs precedes the evolution of histones, which supports the idea that the primary targets may have not been histones. ${ }^{59}$




Scheme 2: Mechanism of histone lysine acetylation and deacetylation. The acetyl moiety is transferred by histone acetyl transferase (HAT) from coenzyme A (COA), reacting with a thioester, and cleaved by histone deacetylase (HDAC) in the presence of water. Deacetylation regenerates a positive charge that attracts the negatively charged DNA backbone, causing a less accessible chromatin structure.

### 1.2.1 HDAC Classification and Function

HDAC proteins are grouped into four classes, based on their structure, function and cellular localization (Figure 1). ${ }^{60}$ The "classical" HDACs, Class I, II (a and b) and IV, comprise HDAC1 to HDAC11, exhibit a zinc-dependent catalytic site and are consequently affected by zinc-chelating inhibitors, hydroxamic acids being the most common example. ${ }^{61}$ Distinguished from those are class III HDACs, consisting of SIRT1 to SIRT7, which belong to the sirtuin family. They are NAD ${ }^{+}$-dependent and therefore not affected by zinc-chelating compounds. ${ }^{62}$


Figure 4: Phylogenetic tree and classification of the HDAC family based on full-length sequence alignment (created using ClustalW). Class III HDACs, or sirtuins, consist of SIRT1-7 (not included in the figure).

The Class I family includes HDAC1, 2, 3 and 8. HDAC1-3 are ubiquitously expressed, primarily localized in the nucleus and involved in many cellular processes, including regulation of proliferation, apoptosis and DNA damage response. HDAC1 and HDAC2 are quite similar and can often be found together in primarily repressive complexes such as Sin3, the nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex (NuRD) and the corepressor of REST (CoREST). ${ }^{63,64}$ Additionally, HDAC1 and 2 are also part of the mitotic deacetylase complex (MiDAC), which has been shown to be important for chromosome alignment during mitosis in cancer cell lines. ${ }^{65}$ HDAC2 inhibition was also shown to cause chromatin decondensation and sensitization of tumor cells to chemotherapy. ${ }^{66}$ Apart from transcriptional regulation through deacetylation of histones, HDAC1 and 2 also mediate the deacetylation of the tumor suppressor p53, thereby deactivating it. ${ }^{67-69}$ HDAC3 has been found to be recruited to the corepressor complexes SMRT (silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor) and NCoR (nuclear receptor corepressor), where the enzymatic activity of HDAC3 is enhanced through
recruitment to these complexes. ${ }^{70-72}$ In contrast to the other members of class I, HDAC8 was not found to be involved in similar multiprotein complexes. ${ }^{73}$ In summary, class I HDACs appear to be crucial for cell survival and proliferation through transcriptional regulation. ${ }^{74}$

Class II HDACs occur in the cytoplasm, as well as the nucleus and are subdivided into class Ila and IIb. Unlike the members of class I, their function is usually tissue specific. ${ }^{58}$ The class Ila family comprises HDAC4, 5, 7 and 9 which regulate nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling. ${ }^{75}$ They are also recruited to the SMRT/NCoR-HDAC3 transcriptional repression complex. ${ }^{76}$ In contrast to other members of the HDAC family, class Ila HDACs exhibit a very low enzymatic activity. ${ }^{77}$ HDAC6, a member of class IIb, is the only deacetylase that contains two deacetylase domains. ${ }^{78}$ Among other things, it has been shown to regulate the deacetylation of $\alpha$-tubulin. ${ }^{99,80}$ Another member of this class, HDAC10, has independently been discovered by four different groups, but very little is currently known about its function. ${ }^{81-84}$ Its substrates have been shown to include ubiquitous acetylated polyamines, such as putrescine, spermidine and spermine. ${ }^{85}$

HDAC11 is the sole member of class IV. In contrast to the other deacetylases, it seems to primarily deacylate fatty acids from lysines and its ability to directly deacetylate histones has not yet been confirmed. ${ }^{86}$ Consisting of 347 amino acids, it is the smallest known HDAC, with the catalytic domain including over $80 \%$ of the protein sequence. ${ }^{87}$ It is the most recently discovered and probably least understood type of histone deacetylase.

### 1.2.2 HDAC Structure and Inhibitors

Class I and II HDACs share a highly conserved catalytic site of about 390 amino acids. ${ }^{88}$ This deacetylase domain consists of a tubular hydrophobic channel with a depth of $11 \AA$ and a $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ion near its bottom (Figure 5). ${ }^{89}$ This channel facilitates binding of an acetyl lysine residue and the zinc catalyzes the hydrolysis of its acetyl group. The existence of this zinc ion in the catalytic site of the classical HDACs is exploited by most HDAC inhibitors through employing a zinc-binding moiety.


Figure 5: Co-crystal structure of HDAC1 and peptide-based inhibitor $\mathbf{H} 4 \mathrm{~K} 16 \mathrm{Hx}$ (orange). The inhibitor mimics a histone lysine residue but employs a hydroxamic acid to increase affinity to the zinc ion (grey) (PDB: 5ICN). ${ }^{90}$

Some fatty acids, such as valproic and phenylbutyric acid have been found to be weak inhibitors of deacetylases. ${ }^{91,92}$ Much more potent binders than those, however, are hydroxamic acids. One of the first natural products found to inhibit HDACs is trichostatin A (1) (Figure 6), which exhibits a low nanomolar affinity to the zinc-dependent HDACs. ${ }^{93}$ The first HDAC inhibitor that has been approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL) is suberanilohydroxamic acid (SAHA) (2)..$^{94}$ The rather minimalistic structure of this pan-HDAC inhibitor illustrates a common design strategy for HDAC inhibitors, consisting of a zinc-binding group (ZBG), a hydrophobic linker and a capping group. Other representatives of approved hydroxamic acidbased drugs are belinostat (3), approved by the FDA for peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL), and panobinostat (4), approved by the FDA and European Medicines Agency (EMA) for multiple myeloma (MM). The potency of the hydroxamic acid warhead resulted in many additional inhibitors with similar structures to emerge as clinical candidates. ${ }^{95}$

trichostatin A (1)

belinostat (3)


SAHA/vorinosat (2)

panobinostat (4)

Figure 6: Hydroxamic acid pan-HDAC inhibitors. Compounds 2-4 are approved for the treatment of hematological neoplasms (represented by T-cell lymphomas and multiple myeloma).

Another natural product that was later discovered to be an inhibitor of histone deacetylases is the depsipeptide romidepsin (5), which acts as a prodrug. ${ }^{96}$ In cells, the disulfide bond is reduced, releasing the active compound $\mathbf{6}$, which again matches the typical design of HDAC inhibitors, containing a zincbinding thiol, a hydrophobic linker and a cyclic peptide which acts as a capping group (Figure 7). It exhibits a potent activity for HDAC1-3, 10 and 11 and is approved for the treatment of CTCL and PTCL. ${ }^{95}$


Figure 7: Mechanism of activation of romidepsin (5). In cells, the disulfide is reduced, releasing thiol 6, which potently binds the zinc ion of many HDACs.

Despite their on-target effect, the currently FDA-approved HDAC inhibitors still have some major disadvantages. They cause a number of significant side effects, such as cardiotoxicity, ${ }^{97,98}$ and compounds $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ were therefore not approved by the EMA. ${ }^{99,100}$ The hydroxamic acid warhead is intrinsically prone to hydrolysis and a pharmacokinetics study of inhibitor $\mathbf{2}$ found 4-anilino-4oxobutanoic acid and 6-anilino-6-oxohexanoic acid to be the major metabolites in vivo. Additionally, glucuronidation was found to be a substantial pathway of elimination. The low metabolic stability results in a short half-life of approximately $2 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{97}$ Another significant downside to the previously mentioned HDAC inhibitors is that they only seem to be efficacious against hematological malignancies. They were not effective against multiple tested solid tumors, potentially due to bad tissue penetration. ${ }^{98}$ In addition to the hydroxamic acids, which usually bind to all HDACs, some more selective warheads have been discovered. One of the first discovered alternative HDAC inhibitors is
the benzamide CI-994 (7), which has shown activity against different solid tumors (Figure 8). ${ }^{101-103}$ This has prompted the development of a new class of inhibitors that are selective for HDAC1-3 and bind by chelating the zinc ion with the amide oxygen and the aromatic nitrogen (Figure 9). ${ }^{104-108}$ The increased steric demand of this ZBG compared to hydroxamic acids or thiols is accepted by a lateral cavity that is only present in class I HDACs. While HDAC8 also possesses this cavity, the substitution of a tryptophan for a leucine residue results in not enough space for binding the phenyl moiety. ${ }^{95}$ The fluorinated derivative chidamide (8) has been approved by China's National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) for the treatment of PTCL and in Japan for the treatment of relapsed or refractory adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma (ATLL). ${ }^{\text {109-111 }}$


Figure 8: Benzamide-based HDAC inhibitors CI-994/tacedinaline (7) and chidamide/tucidinostat (8)
Since HDAC1 and 2 contain a $14 \AA$ Å long cavity adjacent to the catalytic site, further efforts were made to target that "foot pocket" and create more potent and selective inhibitors (Figure 9). Derivatization with additional aromatic residues resulted in different nanomolar inhibitors for HDAC1/2, such as BRD6929 (9), and decreased affinity for HDAC3, which possesses a smaller foot pocket due to the substitution of a tyrosine for a serine residue. ${ }^{112-116}$ Owing to a sequence similarity of the catalytic domains of $94 \%$, the development of an inhibitor that discriminates between HDAC1 and 2 appears to be rather difficult. Some inhibitors have been found to differ in binding kinetics between the two isoforms, thereby achieving a longer residence time and thus kinetic selectivity for HDAC2. ${ }^{117}$


Figure 9: Co-crystal structure of HDAC2 and inhibitor BRD6929 (9, orange). The thiophene reaches into a pocket present in HDAC1 and 2 (PDB: 4LY1).

Apart from the mentioned types of HDAC inhibitors, researchers have discovered new inhibitors with different ZBGs, such as ethyl ketone $\mathbf{1 0}^{118}$ and isoxazole $11^{119}$ (Figure 10). Both compounds potently bind class I HDACs in the low nanomolar range. When bound to the protein, ketone $\mathbf{1 0}$ exists as the hydrate, forming a bivalent interaction to the zinc ion. Additionally, inhibitors with a 2methylthiobenzamide warhead were found to be potent and selective for HDAC3. ${ }^{120}$



Figure 10: Ethyl ketone 10 and isoxazole 11 are potent inhibitors of class I HDACs.
As previously mentioned, HDAC1-3 form the catalytic subunit of a number of regulatory complexes. Being part of such a complex increases the enzymatic activity and importantly results in the same enzyme having different biological functions depending on the type of complex. ${ }^{121}$ Interestingly, HDAC inhibitors have been found to show selectivity for different complexes and not just isoforms. ${ }^{122,123}$ This aspect should be considered when developing HDAC inhibitors targeted at specific biological activities, as it might give additional means of achieving selectivity.

### 1.3 Bromodomains

While certain enzymes, such as HDACs, add epigenetic modifications, there are other enzymes which can "read" or bind to those modifications. Acetylated lysine residues of histone tails are recognized by bromodomains (BDs), small evolutionarily conserved protein substructures that can be found in 46 different BD-containing proteins (BCPs). ${ }^{124}$ These BCPs contain between one to six BDs and facilitate the recruitment of proteins to the transcriptional machinery or chromatin remodeling complexes, thereby serving an essential role in transcriptional regulation, DNA damage repair and cell proliferation. In humans, 61 distinct BDs have been identified and classified into eight subfamilies (Figure 11). The different families of BCPs include HATs, such as PCAF, GCN5L2 and EP300, ${ }^{125-128}$ histone methyl transferases, such as ASH1L and MLL, ${ }^{129,130}$ ATP-dependent chromatin remodeling complexes, such as BAZ1B, ${ }^{131}$ helicases, such as SMARCA2, ${ }^{132}$ and transcriptional coactivators, such as TRIMs that may also act as ubiquitin ligases. ${ }^{133}$


Figure 11: Classification of the 61 different bromodomains into eight subtypes, according to the domain architecture and homology. ${ }^{134}$

All BDs share a conserved structure that contains a bundle of four $\alpha$-helices ( $\alpha A, \alpha B, \alpha C$ and $\alpha Z$ ), which are connected by variable loops (ZA- and BC-loop). The loops line a deep hydrophobic pocket that serves as the binding site for acetylated lysine residues. The acetyl lysine binds to an asparagine residue which is present in most bromodomains (Figure 12). In the binding site, four highly conserved water molecules are present, with one of them being in direct contact to the acetyl lysine. ${ }^{135}$ Large sequence
variations between different BDs are responsible for substrate binding specificity. In contrast to the conserved catalytic site, the surface properties vary a lot between different BDs, ranging from predominantly positively to negatively charged. Additionally, the C and N termini might differ a lot, sometimes containing additional helices. ${ }^{136}$


Figure 12: Crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with an acetylated peptide (H3K14ac, orange). The four helices ( $\alpha A$, $\alpha B, \alpha C$ and $\alpha Z$ ) are connected by two loops (ZA-loop and BC-loop, light blue), which form a hydrophobic pocket that serves as the acetyl lysine binding site (PDB: 3JVK). ${ }^{137}$

### 1.3.1 The Bromodomain and Extra-Terminal (BET) Family

BCPs that have gained significant attention in recent years are the bromodomain and extra-terminal (BET) proteins. The BET family comprises BRD2, 3, 4 and BRDT, with each member containing two Nterminal BDs and one extra-terminal (ET) domain (Figure 13). While the BDs bind to acetylated histones, the more diverse C-terminal end of the protein is responsible for interacting with transcription factors, chromatin modifying factors and other proteins. Research suggests that the differences in biological activities between BET proteins result mainly from the different enzymes which interact with the ET domain and the C-terminal domain (CTD), which is present in BRD4 and BRDT. ${ }^{138,139}$ The respective first and second BDs (BD1 and BD2) in different BET proteins are more closely related to each other than BD1 and BD2 in the same protein.


Figure 13: Domain organization of the BET family members (adapted from literature ${ }^{140}$ ). All four BET proteins contain two bromodomains (BD1 and BD2) and one extra-terminal domain (ET). BRD4 and BRDT also contain a C-terminal domain (CTD).

BET proteins are involved in a variety of diverse cellular processes, including transcriptional regulation, ${ }^{141}$ hematopoiesis, ${ }^{142}$ adipogenesis, ${ }^{143}$ and spermatogenesis. ${ }^{144}$ BRD4, for example, is a key mediator in transcription by interacting with the positive transcription elongation factor b complex (pTEFb) through its CTD. P-TEFb, which comprises cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDK9) and its activator cyclin T1, is activated and recruited to chromatin by BRD4. The heterodimer afterwards phosphorylates and thus activates RNA polymerase II (RNAP II), thereby initiating transcriptional elongation (Figure 14). ${ }^{145-147}$


Figure 14: Transcriptional activation by BRD4 (adapted from literature ${ }^{148}$, created using BioRender). BRD4 binds to acetylated histones and recruits the positive transcription elongation factor $b$ ( $p-T E F b$ ) to chromatin. P-TEFb is activated by BRD4 and phosphorylates RNA polymerase II (RNAP II) to initiate gene transcription.

BRD4 and BRD2 have also been found to regulate transcription by occupying (super) enhancers, regions located distantly to gene promoters. ${ }^{149-151}$ By forming loops, DNA can bring enhancers and promoters in proximity, thereby facilitating the expression of certain genes (Figure 15). ${ }^{152}$ BRD4 appears to be particularly enriched at enhancer regions, some of which are associated with oncogenes
such as MYC. Inhibition of BET proteins leads to a preferential loss of BRD4 at enhancers, thereby targeting different oncogenic drivers and primarily affecting tumor cells. ${ }^{153}$


Figure 15: Mechanism of (super) enhancer regions (adapted from literature ${ }^{154}$, created using BioRender). Transcription factors bind to the enhancer and increase the activity of distantly located gene promoters. BRD4 binds preferentially to enhancers and interacts with the mediator complex, enhancing the expression of many oncogenes.

By facilitating the expression of growth-promoting oncogenes like MYC and BCL-2, BET proteins play an important role in different types of cancer. ${ }^{155,156}$ While BRD4, which is often overexpressed or dysregulated in tumor cells, suppresses apoptosis, inhibition of BRD4 seems to induce the programmed cell death in a variety of cancers. ${ }^{157}$ BRD4 and other BET proteins have been shown to be crucial for the development of hard-to-treat pancreatic cancer. ${ }^{158-160}$ Another aggressive type of cancer is the NUT (nuclear protein in testis) midline carcinoma (NMC), which features a fusion of the NUT protein with BRD4. ${ }^{161}$ The BRD4-NUT oncogene recruits CBP/p300, eventually leading to hyperacetylated chromatin domains and inactivation of p53. ${ }^{162}$ Research has shown that BET proteins are involved in many additional types of cancer ${ }^{163-171}$ and inflammation ${ }^{172-174}$.

### 1.3.2 BET Inhibitors

Enthusiasm for the anti-proliferative effects in cancer cells and the prospect of targeting undruggable oncogenes such as MYC, has resulted in the development of a variety of different BET inhibitors and a large number of clinical trials. ${ }^{175,176}$ The first potent and selective inhibitors for the BET family were the triazolodiazepines and I-BET762 (12) and JQ1 (13) (Figure 16A). ${ }^{172,177}$ The triazole moiety mimics acetyl lysine and forms hydrogen bonds to the conserved asparagine residue and to a conserved water molecule. A common feature of BET inhibitors are aromatic moieties that target the hydrophobic WFP shelf unique to BET proteins to increase potency and selectivity (Figure 16B). In preclinical studies, JQ1
proved to be effective against prostate, ${ }^{170}$ liver, ${ }^{178}$ breast, ${ }^{179,180}$ lung ${ }^{181,182}$ and pancreatic cancer, ${ }^{183,184}$ as well as leukemia. ${ }^{169,185}$ Its short half-life, however, limits its use in a clinical setup. ${ }^{186}$

A
-BET762 (12)



Figure 16: The first potent and selective triazolodiazepine-based BET inhibitors. (A) Benzodiazepine I-BET762 (12) and thienodiazepine JQ1 (13). (B) Crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with JQ1 (13) (orange). The triazole acts as an acetyl lysine mimetic and forms hydrogen bonds to a conserved asparagine residue (light blue) and to a conserved water molecule. The phenyl moiety interacts with the hydrophobic WPF shelf (light blue) (PDB: 3MXF).

The promising initial results from inhibiting BET proteins have encouraged researchers to further develop BET inhibitors with the goal of designing more potent and selective compounds. By employing a pyrrolopyridone moiety as an acetyl lysine mimetic, ABBV-075 (14) was discovered (Figure 17A). ${ }^{187}$ Low nanomolar affinity could be achieved through using a bidentate interaction to the conserved asparagine. Modification of this scaffold later yielded BD2-selective ABBV-744 (15). ${ }^{188}$ By examination of the respective crystal structures of the first and second BDs with ligand 15 , three key differences can be spotted to explain the selectivity (Figure 17B). The ethyl amide fills a cleft between H 437 and P434 and shows a hydrophobic interaction with the histidine in BD2, which is not happening with the aspartate in BD1. Since BD2 employs V439 instead of I146, it allows binding of slightly larger residues, explaining the better selectivity of the xylyl moiety. The substituent also makes an edge to face interaction with H437. The tertiary dimethyl alcohol further increases the selectivity for BD2, possibly due to BD1 not providing enough space for binding this moiety. Research suggests that the two BDs in BET proteins have different functions and different phenotypes have been observed if one or both BDs were inhibited. In prostate cancer cell lines, BD2-selective inhibitor 15 was shown to selectively replace

BRD4 from androgen receptor (AR) containing super-enhancers, while having less impact on global transcription compared to pan-BET inhibitor 14. ${ }^{189}$
A



Figure 17: Potent pyrrolopyridone-based BET inhibitors. (A) Pan-BET inhibitor ABBV-075 (14) and BD2-selective ABBV-744 (15). (B) Crystal structure of ABBV-744 (15) (orange) with BRD4 BD1 (wheat, PDB: 6ONY) and BRD4 BD2 (light blue, PDB: 6E6J). Important residues for binding and selectivity are shown and labeled.

In contrast to the already stated compounds, the BD1-selective inhibitor GSK789 exploits an interaction of a tertiary amine to the aforementioned D144 (PDB: 6Z7L). ${ }^{190}$ Inhibitor CDD-956 achieves selectivity for the first bromodomain by binding to a hydrophobic groove on the surface of the protein (PDB:7UBO). ${ }^{191}$ Studies indicate that BD1 is primarily responsible for chromatin binding and inhibition of BD1 seems to match the anti-proliferative effects for the unselective inhibition of BET proteins. ${ }^{192,193}$

The existence of the tandem BDs in BET proteins has been taken advantage of by many inhibitors in the recent years. By binding to both BDs at the same time, quite potent inhibitors were developed, sometimes reaching sub-nanomolar affinity. ${ }^{194-203}$ Since these inhibitors usually consist of two ligands like JQ1 connected by a linker, they reach quite high molecular weights, potentially limiting their usability in a clinical setting. Nevertheless, first studies show promising results for tumor growth inhibition in vivo for the bivalent inhibitor AZD5153, which was developed by fusing two acetyl lysine mimetics. ${ }^{196}$

### 1.4 Combined Inhibition

Inhibition of BET proteins has shown to be promising when combined with additional therapeutics. ${ }^{204,205}$ Especially complex diseases like cancer may require complex treatment in the form of a polypharmacy approach. ${ }^{206}$ This combination therapy is a common strategy to prevent compensatory mechanisms, such as resistance to single drugs. ${ }^{207}$ Apart from different kinase inhibitors, ${ }^{208-211}$ BET inhibitors are frequently used in combination with epigenetic drugs, such as HDAC inhibitors. ${ }^{212-218}$ The combination of HDAC inhibitors together with other classes of protein inhibitors has been frequently employed as well. ${ }^{219-221}$ At first, the combined inhibition of BET proteins and HDACs might seem counterintuitive, since HDACs remove the acetyl lysine marks, which are recognized by bromodomains. However, BET proteins and HDACs seem to induce similar genes and their combined inhibition appears to shift the ratio of pro- and anti-apoptotic proteins in cancer cells towards apoptosis. ${ }^{218}$ Simultaneous inhibition of both classes of proteins was shown to attenuate levels of the oncogenes MYC and BCL-2. ${ }^{212}$ In pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cells, which is one of the most lethal human cancers that is resistant to virtually all therapeutic approaches, ${ }^{222}$ the tumor suppressor p57 was de-repressed upon combined inhibition. ${ }^{223}$ A possible explanation for the observed synergy is that the hyperacetylation caused by HDAC inhibition results in a redistribution of BRD4 throughout the genome, delocalizing it from previous acetylation sites. ${ }^{224}$ This effect is then enhanced through inhibition of BET proteins, further decreasing BRD4 concentration at specific regions.

Since drug-drug interactions can be rather unpredictable and multiple therapeutics can exhibit a different pharmacokinetic behavior or biodistribution, it is advantageous to keep the number of medications to a minimum. ${ }^{225}$ Those issues can be circumvented by employing multi-target drugs. Many successful drugs exploit this polypharmacology, producing additive or even synergistic effects. While a number of medications, such as aspirin, reveal many of their interactions at a late stage, often including favorable off-target effects, multi-target drugs can also be intentionally designed. ${ }^{226,227}$

Using BET inhibitors $12^{228}, 13,{ }^{229-231} 15^{232}$ and other scaffolds, ${ }^{233-240}$ several inhibitors with dual BET/HDAC activity were developed in recent years. Most of these compounds are fused with HDAC inhibitor 2 by adding a simple short linker with a hydroxamic acid to the BET inhibiting moiety, resulting, among others, in compounds 16, 17 and 18 (Figure 18). The dual inhibitors could demonstrate promising results against different cancer cell lines, including NMC, ${ }^{230,234}$ PDAC, ${ }^{229,230}$ and leukemia. ${ }^{233,235,237}$

The BET/HDAC inhibitor TW9 (19) was designed by combining JQ1 (13) with the benzamide CI-994 (7). It was significantly more potent in inhibiting proliferation of PDAC cells compared to its parent compounds or a combination of both inhibitors. Compound 19 was shown to block cell-cycle progression by targeting the super-enhancer-associated transcription factor FOSL1. Additionally, synergy could be observed, when the dual inhibitor was used together with the chemotherapy drug gemcitabine. ${ }^{230}$ Unfortunately, those promising first results could not be reproduced in vivo, since the compound did not permeate into the tumor tissue of the treated mice.





Figure 18: Selected dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. Inhibitors $16,{ }^{234} 17^{228}$ and $18^{237}$ consist of different BET-inhibiting moieties and the HDAC inhibitor SAHA (2). Dual inhibitor TW9 (19) was designed by combining JQ1 (13) and $\mathrm{Cl}-$ 994 (7). ${ }^{230}$

A potential issue that many published dual inhibitors have, is that the combination of two pharmacophores inevitably results in a compound with higher molecular weight than its predecessors. Since larger molecules have been associated with poorer pharmacokinetic profiles, it should be desirable to keep the final inhibitor's size as small as possible while retaining the dual activities. ${ }^{241,242}$

## 2 Objective

The simultaneous inhibition of HDACs and BET proteins has shown promising anti-proliferative effects against different cancer cell lines. Reflecting the attractiveness of combined inhibition, many dual BET/HDAC inhibitors have been developed in recent years. ${ }^{243}$ Most dual inhibitors contain a hydroxamic acid warhead. The hydroxamic acid-based inhibitors are pan-HDAC inhibitors and are generally not metabolically stable. ${ }^{97}$ While a few published dual inhibitors contain the class I selective benzamide moiety, ${ }^{230,232}$ their molecular weight exceeds 600 Da , limiting their application in vivo. ${ }^{241,242}$ Inhibitor TW9 (19), which was developed by T. Weiser, showed promising in vitro results for the treatment of pancreatic cancer. ${ }^{230}$ Those results could unfortunately not be translated to in vivo mouse models, probably due to unfavorable pharmacokinetic characteristics. While the so far published dual BET/HDAC inhibitors can be described as simple adducts of a BET and HDAC inhibitor, it was hypothesized that the merging of two pharmacophores, creating a highly integrated dual inhibitor with a minimized molecular weight, might yield a better outcome. ${ }^{244}$

For this approach, the first important step was to find respective BET and HDAC ligands with a considerable structural similarity. As the class I selective HDAC inhibitor CI-994 (7) was chosen, BET inhibitors with good compatibility and structural overlap had to be selected. Compounds ABBV-075 (14) and the slightly more potent ( 0.6 nM ) cyclic derivative $\mathbf{2 0}{ }^{245}$ (Figure 19) were chosen for their high potency and good pharmacokinetic profiles. Inhibitor 21 was also determined to be a good starting point due to its high potency for BRD4. ${ }^{246}$ For the dual inhibitors based on compounds 14 and 20, multiple attachment points for the HDAC-binding moiety were to be explored.
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Figure 19: Selected BET inhibitors for the development of dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. Inhibitors ABBV-075 (14), 20 and 21 were used as starting points due to their high potency.

For a slightly differing approach, the azobenzene MS436 (22) was selected as a BET ligand because of its low starting molecular weight and significant structural similarity to 7 (Figure 20). ${ }^{247}$ This was thought to be ideal for merging the two pharmacophores, resulting in a dual inhibitor with a good balance between size and potency.


Figure 20: BET inhibitor MS436 (22) and HDAC inhibitor CI-994 (7). Both molecules show a good structural overlap, and the central phenyl moiety (blue) can be fused to become the center of the dual inhibitor.

As previously discussed, most published BET/HDAC inhibitors contain a pan-HDAC-binding warhead. While benzamide 7 only binds proteins of class I, it would be ideal to develop an inhibitor that is selective for HDAC1 and 2. This can likely be achieved through the addition of an aromatic substituent that targets the foot pocket, as seen for BRD6929 (9).

Another strategy that has been frequently employed in recent years, is to hijack the ubiquitinproteasome system (UPS) through so-called proteolysis targeting chimeras (PROTACs). By linking an inhibitor of the protein of interest (POI) to a ligand for an E3 ubiquitin ligase, a ternary complex between the POI, the PROTAC and the E3 ligase is created. The POI is then polyubiquitinylated and therefore tagged for proteasomal degradation. ${ }^{248}$ Advantages of this approach are the catalytic mechanism of the PROTAC and a potential better selectivity compared to the used POI inhibitor. Numerous groups could show the possible degradation of BRD4 using PROTACs that target the E3 ligase cereblon (CBRN) through employing thalidomide or related ligands. ${ }^{249-256}$ Additionally, by targeting the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) E3 ligase, many potent and sometimes selective BRD4 degraders were developed. ${ }^{257-262}$

HDACs were also repeatedly shown to be possible targets for PROTAC-induced degradation. ${ }^{263}$ Working PROTACs were, for example, reported for HDAC3 ${ }^{264}$ and HDAC6 ${ }^{265-267}$. Benzamide-based PROTACs targeting class I HDACs were also published ${ }^{268,269}$, but compared to BRD4 there are significantly less reported degraders for HDACs

Fischer et al. reported several PROTACs that were based on BET inhibitor 13, using different linker lengths and attachment points. They could show that some of those compounds induced degradation and were also able to crystallize the ternary complexes with CRBN for a few of the degraders. ${ }^{270}$ The crystal structure of dBET23, for example, suggests that adding an HDAC-binding moiety to the degrader should not interfere with ternary complex formation of BRD4 (Figure 21). Therefore, another strategy that was pursued was to develop PROTACs that simultaneously target BRD4 and HDAC class I. The degraders were designed based on dual inhibitor 19 and the developed novel inhibitors.


Figure 21: Co-crystal structure of a ternary complex of the first bromodomain of BRD4 BD1 (lightblue) and CRBN (beige) bound to PROTAC dBET23 (orange) (PDB: 6BN7).

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Increasing HDAC Selectivity

In an attempt to get a BET/HDAC inhibitor that is selective for HDAC1/2 while simultaneously improving potency, dual inhibitor 19 was used as a template, to which aromatic substituents were added. For the synthesis of the substituted dual inhibitors, commercially available 4-bromo-2-nitroaniline (23) was first Boc-protected, yielding intermediate 24 and Suzuki coupling with different arylboronic acids provided the respective biaryls 25a-d (Scheme 3). Iron and ammonium chloride were used for a mild reduction of the nitro group to the anilines 26a-d. Afterwards, amide coupling with Fmoc-protected paminobenzoic acid yielded intermediates 28a-d which were then deprotected with morpholine to give anilines 29a-d. Amide coupling with the acid derivative of JQ1 (30), followed by Boc-deprotection with TFA, finally provided inhibitors 31a-c.




a: $R=2$-thienyl
b: $R=2$-furyl
31a-c
c: $\mathrm{R}=4$-pyridyl

Scheme 3: Synthesis of substituted dual inhibitors 31a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 4 h; (b) arylboronic acid, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) Fe, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 h ; (d) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (e) morpholine/ACN, rt, 2 h ; (f) (1) HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

The activity of compounds 31a-c was assessed by a thermal shift assay using differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF), which measures the increase in the melting temperature ( $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) of the protein upon
ligand binding, which for a given protein domain correlates with the affinity of the ligand. The compounds were tested against both bromodomains of the four BET proteins with JQ1 (13) and TW9 (19) as controls (Table 1). Surprisingly, all three inhibitors showed significantly reduced binding compared with the control compounds. The low thermal shift was difficult to explain since the HDACbinding part of inhibitor 19, which was modified, protrudes outside of the bromodomain binding pocket ${ }^{230}$ and compounds 31a-c could not successfully be crystallized with BRD4.

Table 1: Modification of the initial dual inhibitor


|  | R | DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 | BRD3-BD1 | BRD3-BD2 | BRD2-BD1 | BRD2-BD2 | BRDT-BD1 | BRDT-BD2 |
| 13 | - | $11.6 \pm 0.8$ | $14.2 \pm 0.1$ | $9.1 \pm 0.1$ | $10.6 \pm 0.1$ | $9.4 \pm 0.1$ | $11.2 \pm 0.1$ | $7.9 \pm 0.1$ | $6.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| 19 | H | $7.0 \pm 0.7$ | $12.6 \pm 0.2$ | $4.4 \pm 0.2$ | $4.7 \pm 0.2$ | $4.6 \pm 0.1$ | n.d. | $3.0 \pm 0.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| 31a | 2-thienyl | $0.0 \pm 0.1$ | $3.0 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $-0.2 \pm 0.4$ | $-1.0 \pm 0.4$ | $-0.7 \pm 0.2$ | $0.5 \pm 0.4$ |
| 31b | 2-furyl | $-0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $2.9 \pm 0.4$ | $-0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.1 \pm 0.2$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.1 \pm 0.3$ | $-0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.1 \pm 0.3$ |
| 31c | 2-pyridyl | $2.7 \pm 1.4$ | $3.3 \pm 2.3$ | $0.6 \pm 0.8$ | $2.2 \pm 0.3$ | $2.2 \pm 0.3$ | $4.0 \pm 0.4$ | $0.7 \pm 0.5$ | $3.3 \pm 0.5$ |

${ }^{1}$ Mean and standard error of the mean (SEM) of two independent experiments that were themselves performed in technical triplicates.

To assess the inhibition of HDACs, a fluorogenic HDAC assay, with CI994 (7) and TW9 (19) as controls, was performed (Table 2). While the substitution does not appear to increase HDAC1 inhibition, selectivity against HDAC3 seems to improve, especially for inhibitors 31a and b.

Table 2: Influence of substitution on HDAC inhibition

| Compounds | $\mathbf{R}$ | Fluorogenic HDAC assay - $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | HDAC1 | HDAC3 |
| $\mathrm{CI994}$ (7) | - | 6.4 | 2.5 |
| 19 | H | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| 31a | 2-thienyl | 25.3 | 589.4 |
| 31b | 2-furyl | 4.5 | 622.8 |
| 31c | 2-pyridyl | 8.6 | 36.6 |

### 3.2 Novel Adducts

Since the substituted derivatives 31a-c essentially lost their binding affinity to BET proteins, novel compounds had to be designed. For the development of a dual inhibitor based on BET inhibitor 21, the synthesis of the dihydroquinoxalinone scaffold was adapted from literature. ${ }^{246}$ In analogy to Scheme 3, Boc-protected phenylenediamine 32 was first coupled to carboxylic acid 27 and the resulting Fmocprotected intermediate 33 was then deprotected with morpholine to provide aniline 34 (Scheme 4). According to a published protocol, ethyl isocyanoacetate 35 was reacted with previously diazotized $p$ toluidine 36 to create triazole 37 in a cycloaddition. ${ }^{271}$ Afterwards, reaction with sodium hydride and $N$-bromosuccinimide (NBS) provided intermediate 38.


Scheme 4: Synthesis of intermediates 34 and 38. Reagents and conditions: (a) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (b) morpholine/ACN, rt, 2 h ; (c) (1) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$; (2) $\mathrm{NaOAc} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 4 h ; (d) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{NBS}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

For the synthesis of the dihydroquinoxalinone core, 4-bromo-2-fluoro-1-nitrobenzene (39) was first reacted with D-alanine to receive the enantiopure intermediate 40 (Scheme 5). Reduction with sodium dithionite, followed by cyclization, provided dihydroquinoxalinone 41. Reductive amination with cyclopentanone gave substituted analogue 42 with was afterwards methylated to finish the asparagine binding scaffold 43. Miyaura borylation gave intermediate 44 which was afterwards reacted in a Suzuki coupling with compound 38 to yield diaryl triazole 45. Saponification with lithium hydroxide gave carboxylic acid 46 and amide coupling with aniline 34, followed by Boc-deprotection with TFA, provided dual inhibitor 47.





Scheme 5: Synthesis of dual inhibitor 47. Reagents and conditions: (a) D-alanine, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) phenylsilane, cyclopentanone, dibutyltin dichloride, THF, rt, 10 h ; (d) NaH , iodomethane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}, \mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $38, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl} 2, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, THF/H2O, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) LiOH • $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) (1) 34, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

The activity of the dihydroquinoxalinone based inhibitors was again assessed by a thermal shift assay (Table 3). While especially carboxylic acid 46 shows a significant thermal shift, the introduction of the HDAC-binding moiety in compound 47 appears to notably reduce binding. The balance between potency and molecular size was determined to be not ideal and for this reason, this scaffold was not further investigated.

Table 3: SAR of the dihydroquinoxalinone scaffold

| Compounds | DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 |
| JQ1 (13) | $11.6 \pm 0.8$ | $14.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| TW9 (19) | $7.0 \pm 0.7$ | $12.6 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $9.5 \pm 0.1$ | $8.2 \pm 0.8$ |
| $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $12.6 \pm 0.1$ | $9.3 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $7.4 \pm 0.1$ | $6 \pm 3$ |

[^0]For another approach, the pyrrolopyridone based BET inhibitors 14 and 20 were used for the development of different dual inhibitors. The synthesis of the pyrrolopyridone core was adapted from published protocols. ${ }^{187,272}$ 2-chloro-4-methyl-3-nitropyridine 48 was reacted with sodium methoxide to give methoxypyridine 49 which was afterwards brominated to yield intermediate 50 (Scheme 6). Reaction with $N, N$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal (DMF-DMA) provided compound 51 which after reductive cyclization with iron gave pyrrolopyridone 52. Protection with a tosyl group (Ts) provided compound 53 and acidic ether cleavage, followed by isomerization, yielded pyrrolopyridone 54. Methylation gave the finished asparagine binding scaffold 55 and Miyaura borylation provided boronate 56. If detosylation was performed under basic hydrolysis before the borylation, boronate 57 was received.


Scheme 6: Synthesis of the pyrrolopyridone scaffold. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 16 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) DMF-DMA, DMF, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) Fe, $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2 h ; (e) NaH , $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) HCl in dioxane, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) NaH, Mel, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (h) B2pin2, KOAc, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (i) (1) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) B2pin $\mathrm{B}_{2}$, KOAc, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$.

For the pyrrolopyridone based dual inhibitors the right attachment point of the HDAC-binding moiety had to be found. Based on the crystal structure (Figure 17), different exit vectors and attachment points were deemed possible and dual inhibitors were designed.





Scheme 7: Synthesis of dual inhibitors 66 and 67. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, EtOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 1 h ; (c) pyridine, THF, rt, 16 h ; (d) 32, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (e) 57, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (g) (1) paraformaldehyde, AcOH, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h.

The reaction of 2-bromo-1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene (58) with 2-aminopyridine (59) produced the highest yield for compound $\mathbf{6 0}$ (Scheme 7) when sodium hydride was used as a base. Reduction with sodium dithionite provided aniline 61 and subsequent reaction with sulfonyl chloride $\mathbf{6 2}$ gave compound 63.

Amide coupling with aniline 32 yielded intermediate 64 and subsequent Suzuki coupling with boronate 57 provided Boc-protected inhibitor 65. Reaction with TFA gave deprotected inhibitor 66, while Pictet-


Scheme 8: Synthesis of dual inhibitors 73 and 74. Reagents and conditions: (a) HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, 16 h ; (c) 61, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (d) 57, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (f) (1) paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

Spengler reaction with paraformaldehyde, followed by deprotection with TFA, provided cyclic inhibitor 67. To investigate the influence of an amide connection in comparison to the sulfonamide of compounds 66 and 67, another set of inhibitors was designed (Scheme 8). Aniline 32 was reacted with methyl terephthalate (68) to yield intermediate 69 and saponification provided carboxylic acid 70. Afterwards, amide coupling with aniline 61 gave compound 71 and subsequent Suzuki coupling with
boronate 57 yielded compound 72. Similar to Scheme 7, deprotection with TFA gave open inhibitor 73, while ring closure, followed by deprotection, provided cyclic inhibitor 74.

Since the introduction of the pyridine substituent in inhibitor $\mathbf{2 0}$ could not be achieved with a satisfying yield, it was tested if the synthetically more accessible cyclohexane derivative would show a similar potency. For the synthesis of this new BET inhibitor, nitrobenzene 75 was reduced with hydrogen and palladium on carbon to provide aniline 76 (Scheme 9). Iodination with $N$-iodosuccinimide (NIS) gave aryl iodide 77 and reductive amination yielded intermediate 78. Subsequent Suzuki coupling resulted in inhibitor $\mathbf{7 9}$ and after ring closure with paraformaldehyde, cyclic inhibitor 80 was obtained.


Scheme 9: Synthesis of BET inhibitors 79 and 80. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) NIS, DMF, rt, 1 h; (c) cyclohexanone, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 56, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

For the attachment of the HDAC-binding moiety directly on the pyrrolopyridone core, compound 53 was reacted with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) and ethyl chloroformate to obtain carbonyl functionalized intermediate 81, as described in the literature (Scheme 10). ${ }^{188}$ Ether cleavage produced pyrrolopyridone 82 and methylation gave compound 83 . Basic hydrolysis was performed to remove the tosyl group and the resulting carboxylic acid 84 was re-esterified with thionyl chloride in ethanol, providing intermediate $\mathbf{8 5}$. Since conversion under standard Miyaura borylation conditions using potassium acetate proved to be difficult for this substrate, potassium ethyl hexanoate was instead used as a base, as described by Barroso et al., ${ }^{273}$ yielding boronate 86.




Scheme 10: Synthesis of intermediate 86. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) ethyl chloroformate, $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h ; (b) (1) Nal, TMSCl, ACN, rt, 1 h (2) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Mel}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h ; (f) $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ pin2, potassium ethyl hexanoate, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, MeTHF, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h.

Reaction of carboxylic acid 84 with aniline 34 provided intermediate 87 (Scheme 11). Since borylation of aryl iodide $\mathbf{7 8}$ did not produce the desired product, aryl bromide 87 was instead borylated, yielding boronate 88. Subsequent Suzuki coupling with aryl iodide 78 then gave Boc-protected compound 89. And, similar to the previously described compounds, deprotection provided inhibitor 90 while after ring closure and subsequent deprotection, inhibitor 91 was obtained.





Scheme 11: Synthesis of dual inhibitors 90 and 91. Reagents and conditions: (a) 34, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (b) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}, \mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) 78, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $16 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{d})$ TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (e) (1) paraformaldehyde, AcOH, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

As for the previous compounds, binding of the described pyrrolopyridone-based inhibitors, together with compounds 92-95 (Figure 22) against BRD4 was assessed by a thermal shift assay, while inhibition of HDACs was determined by a fluorogenic HDAC assay (Table 4). When comparing thermal shifts of the BET inhibitors $\mathbf{2 0}$ and $\mathbf{8 0}$, the cyclohexyl residue seems to slightly reduced binding compared to the pyridyl residue ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=15.9$ vs. 17.1 K for BD1). The non-cyclic derivative $\mathbf{7 9}$ shows further reduced binding ( $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=12.6 \mathrm{~K}$ ) compared to the cyclic inhibitor. The same trend can be observed for the dual inhibitor pairs $\mathbf{6 6 / 6 7}$ and $\mathbf{7 3} / \mathbf{7 4}$, where the cyclic derivative is significantly more potent than the open compound. In the case of inhibitor 91, however, cyclization appears to almost completely remove binding affinity to BRD4. As expected, inhibitors $\mathbf{9 4}$ and 95 show a preference for the second BD due to their similarity to ABBV-744 (14). Generally, the introduction of the HDAC-binding moiety in the dual inhibitors does not seem to significantly reduce the thermal shift compared with the BET inhibitors. The $\Delta T_{m}$ values should not be seen as a real indication of binding affinity, as larger and more lipophilic compounds generally tend to have higher thermal shifts. The observed stabilization can, however, be used as a first qualitative assessment of inhibitor binding. When comparing HDAC1 inhibition,
sulfonamides 66 and 67 and diaryl ether 92 show the best activity in the new series. The other compounds exhibit a rather weak inhibition of HDAC1 and 3.
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Figure 22: Pyrrolopyridone-based BET inhibitors (A) and dual BET/HDAC inhibitors (B). ${ }^{1}$ Synthesis can be found in the master's thesis of Nicolas Bauer; ${ }^{2}$ Synthesis can be found in the master's thesis of Julian Breidenbach; ${ }^{3}$ Synthesis can be found in the master's thesis of Dennis Keller.

Table 4: Activity of the pyrrolopyridone-based inhibitors

| Compounds | DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  | Fluorogenic HDAC assay - $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 | HDAC1 | HDAC3 |
| JQ1 (13) | $11.6 \pm 0.8$ | $14.2 \pm 0.1$ | - | - |
| CI-994 (7) | - | - | 6.4 | 2.5 |
| TW9 (19) | $7.0 \pm 0.7$ | $12.6 \pm 0.2$ | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| ABBV-075 (14) | $17.9 \pm 0.1$ | $22.3 \pm 0.2$ | - | - |
| ABBV-744 (15) | $8.4 \pm 0.2$ | $20.4 \pm 0.2$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $17.1 \pm 0.1$ | $15.4 \pm 0.1$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{7 9}$ | $12.6 \pm 0.2$ | $10.0 \pm 0.3$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $15.9 \pm 0.3$ | $10.8 \pm 1.2$ | - | - |
| $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $9.5 \pm 0.2$ | - | 9.7 | 17.5 |
| $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $19.0 \pm 0.2$ | $21.1 \pm 0.2$ | 5.0 | 4.5 |
| $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $8.3 \pm 0.2$ | $9.7 \pm 0.2$ | 47.7 | 5.4 |
| $\mathbf{7 4}$ | $15.1 \pm 0.1$ | $14.7 \pm 0.2$ | 21.3 | 10.6 |
| $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $10.1 \pm 0.2$ | $2.0 \pm 0.3$ | 37.2 | 27.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 1}$ | $2.3 \pm 0.3$ | $1.8 \pm 0.4$ | - | 77.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $14.5 \pm 0.2$ | $18.1 \pm 0.2$ | 8.9 | 7.9 |
| $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $8.5 \pm 0.4$ | $10.6 \pm 0.5$ | 29.3 | 41.3 |
| $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $13.7 \pm 0.2$ | $21.6 \pm 0.3$ | 100.8 | 376.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.1$ | $20.9 \pm 0.2$ | 18.4 | 29.9 |

${ }^{1}$ Mean and SEM of two independent experiments that were themselves performed in technical triplicates.
Many inhibitors could be co-crystallized together with BRD4 to analyze their binding mode. Compound 80 binds similar to the published pyrrolopyridone-based inhibitors and the cyclohexyl moiety sits on top of the WPF shelf (Figure 23). The slightly reduced binding might be explained by the lost $\pi-\pi$ interaction to the tryptophan residue.


Figure 23: Co-crystal structures of BRD4 BD2 with 79 (left) and BD1 with 80 (right).
In the co-crystal structure of dual inhibitor 92, it can be observed that the HDAC-binding moiety exits into the solvent without interfering with binding to BRD4. The central phenyl ring interacts with the WPF shelf in a similar way to the parent BET inhibitors (Figure 24).



Figure 24: Co-crystal structures of BRD4 BD1 with ABBV-075 (14) (left) and dual inhibitor 92 (right). The dual inhibitor has a similar binding mode to the BET inhibitor and the HDAC-binding moiety points towards the solvent.

To further assess the activity of selected dual inhibitors, they were tested in the pancreatic cancer cell line Patu8988T. HDAC activity was evaluated by comparing histone H 3 acetylation after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitor (Figure 25A). Unfortunately, most dual inhibitors did not show a strong effect on acetylation. The highest acetylation levels of the new series can be observed for compound 93. Interestingly, acetylation results do not strongly correlate with the previous inhibition results (Table 5). The BET-inhibiting effect of the synthesized compounds was assessed by analyzing expression levels of the BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1, p57 and CDKN1C (Figure 25B and C) after treatment with the inhibitors. Most compounds show a strong increase in the mRNA levels of the selected biomarkers, but, in accordance with the DSF results, (Table 4) the dual compounds appear to lose some BET activity compared to the parent inhibitor. Next, the viability of pancreatic cancer cells (Patu8988T) after inhibitor treatment was evaluated (Figure 25D). From those results, BET inhibition seems to have a strong effect on cell viability. Interestingly, dual inhibitors 19 and 93 were the most potent in reducing the viability of PatuT cells. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) measurements further showed that dual inhibitor 92 binds to BRD4 BD1/BD2 with a $K_{D}$ value of $12 \mathrm{nM} / 16 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively (Figure 25E). Its attenuated effect in cells might be attributed to bad cell permeability compared to the parent BET inhibitor.


Figure 25: Biological effects of the dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. (A) Effect on histone H3 K9/K14 acetylation in pancreatic cancer cells (Patu8988T) 48 h after incubation with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound monitored by Western blot (WB) (two sets of inhibitors). (B) Upregulation of mRNA levels of BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1 and CDKN1C in Patu8988T cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. (C) Upregulation of mRNA levels of BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1 and p57 in Patu8988T cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound for a second set of inhibitors. (D) Cell viability of Patu8988T cells 3 d after treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitor. (E) ITC data of 92 binding to BRD4 BD1 (left) and BD2 (right).

### 3.3 Pharmacophore Merging

### 3.3.1 Dual inhibitor Development

While the previously discussed dual inhibitors generally exhibit good activity in inhibiting BET bromodomains and HDACs, the resulting molecules are also quite large, potentially limiting their biological application. For this reason, a novel series of inhibitors was designed. The BET inhibitor MS436 (22) was


Scheme 12: (A) Synthesis of diazobenzene-based inhibitor 100. Reagents and conditions: (a) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (b) morpholine/ACN, $\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) 5-amino-2-methylphenol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10 \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (d) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h . (B) Synthesis of diazobenzenebased inhibitors 102a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) 5-amino-2-methylphenol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10 \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (b) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .
used as a starting scaffold due to its structure and low molecular weight. A series of diazobenzenebased inhibitors with different HDAC-binding moieties was therefore synthesized.

The synthesis of the hydroxamic acid-based inhibitor started by reacting tetrahydropyranyl (THP)protected hydroxylamine 96 with carboxylic acid 27 (Scheme 12A), providing compound 97. After Fmoc-deprotection with morpholine, aniline 98 was obtained. Diazotization and subsequent azo coupling gave diazobenzene 99 and after deprotection with TFA, inhibitor 100 was obtained. To synthesize the benzamide-based inhibitors, the respective aniline was reacted in a diazotization and subsequent azo coupling, as previously described, yielding compounds 101a-c (Scheme 12). After Bocdeprotection with TFA, inhibitors 102a-c were obtained.

Inhibitor 100 showed the same $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ shift as the parent BET inhibitor $\mathbf{2 2}$ and for benzamide-based dual inhibitors 102a-c the $\Delta T_{m}$ was actually slightly increased (Table 5). An SAR (structure-activity relationship) investigation with different phenols 100a-g revealed that most additional substituents were not tolerated and that the original ASN binding group showed the highest stabilization of BRD4 (Supporting Table S 1). The cellular binding was measured via a NanoBRET target engagement assay. Here, inhibitors 100 and 102a showed a micromolar $E_{50}$ for HDAC1 and 2, while substituted analogues 102b and 102c bound in the nanomolar range, likely by targeting the previously discussed foot pocket.

Table 5: Merged dual inhibitors

| Compounds | DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  | ${\text { NanoBRET } \mathrm{EC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})^{2} \text { intact cells }}^{$$}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 | HDAC1 | HDAC2 |
| MS436 (22) | $4.0 \pm 0.4$ | $2.9 \pm 0.1$ | $2.85 \pm 0.4$ | $62 \pm 15$ | $18.8 \pm 1.0$ | $>50$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $4.1 \pm 0.6$ | $3.4 \pm 0.1$ | n.d. | n.d. | $31 \pm 13$ | $16.2 \pm 0.8$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2 a}$ | $5.2 \pm 0.3$ | $3.0 \pm 0.2$ | n.d. | n.d. | $10.8 \pm 5.1$ | $>50$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2 b}$ | $5.1 \pm 0.4$ | $2.4 \pm 0.1$ | n.d. | n.d. | $0.17 \pm 0.02^{3}$ | $0.26^{4}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 2 c}$ | $5.1 \pm 0.8$ | $3.7 \pm 0.4$ | n.d. | n.d. | $0.13 \pm 0.02^{3}$ | $0.19^{4}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ | $4.0 \pm 0.1$ | $2.0 \pm 0.9$ | n.d. | n.d. | $2.1^{4}$ | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 a}$ | $4.2 \pm 0.2$ | $4.8 \pm 0.2$ | n.d. | n.d. | $2.9^{4}$ | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{1 0 7 b}$ | $7.5 \pm 0.2$ | $5.2 \pm 0.2$ | $0.19 \pm 0.03$ | $0.05 \pm 0.01$ | $2.1 \pm 0.7$ | $1.3 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 4 a}$ | $4.0 \pm 0.2$ | $4.8 \pm 0.2$ | $0.18 \pm 0.03$ | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ | $23 \pm 11$ | $25 \pm 12$ |
| $\mathbf{1 1 4 b}$ | $4.7 \pm 0.2$ | $5.6 \pm 0.5$ | n.d. | n.d. | $58^{4}$ | n.d. |
| $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ | $1.9 \pm 0.2$ | $1.9 \pm 0.3$ | $2.55 \pm 0.08$ | $2.94 \pm 0.18$ | $2.3 \pm 0.6$ | $3.7 \pm 2.4$ |
| $\mathbf{1 2 3 a}$ | $3.9 \pm 0.4$ | $4.5 \pm 0.1$ | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | $2.6 \pm 1.1$ | $1.6 \pm 0.7$ |
| 128 | $2.0 \pm 0.3$ | $4.6 \pm 0.3$ | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. |
| 130 | $3.5 \pm 0.2$ | $5.1 \pm 0.9$ | $0.30^{4}$ | $0.13^{4}$ | $17.8 \pm 0.7^{3}$ | $30.0^{4}$ |
| (+)-JQ1 (13) | $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.6$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $0.11 \pm 0.01$ | n.d. | n.d. |
| Cl-944 (7) | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | $5.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ |

${ }^{1} \mathrm{n}=6 ;{ }^{2}$ Mean and SEM of at least three independent experiments that were themselves performed in technical duplicates. ${ }^{3} n=2 ;{ }^{4} n=1$

When comparing the co-crystal structures of dual inhibitor 102a with BET inhibitor 22, a similar but slightly shifted binding mode can be observed (Figure 26). In both cases, the phenol binds to the
conserved asparagine residue and the central phenyl moiety is sandwiched between the WPF shelf and a leucine residue.



Figure 26: Co-crystal structures of BRD4 BD1 with MS436 (22) (left, PDB: 4NUD) and 102a (right, PDB: 8P9F). The dual inhibitor possesses a similar binding mode to the parent BET inhibitor.

### 3.3.2 Structure-Guided Optimization of the BET-Binding Moiety and Linker Replacement

In an effort to improve binding to the critical asparagine, the phenol was replaced with a hydroxyindole. For the synthesis, the respective chloro- or methylindole 103a/b was reacted with pivaloyl (Piv) chloride giving intermediate 104a/b, which was afterwards borylated with boron tribromide and then hydroxylated using sodium perborate as described by Lv et al. ${ }^{274,275}$ to provide the respective hydroxyindole 105a/b (Scheme 13). As described before, azo coupling of the respective anilines 98 or 34, followed by Boc-deprotection with TFA, gave hydroxamic acid 106 and inhibitor 107a/b.


Scheme 13: Synthesis of hydroxyindole-based inhibitors 106 and 107a,b. Reagents and conditions: (a) PivCl, TEA, DMAP, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h ; (b) (1) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, sodium perborate, $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) 105a, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (3) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (d) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) 105a or $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (3) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h.

While inhibitor 106 had a similar thermal shift to phenol 100, the introduction of the hydroxyindole moiety showed a significant improvement for inhibitor 107b ( 7.5 K vs. 5.2 K for 102a, Table 5). By substituting a chloride for the methyl substituent, as in compound 107a, the $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ was impaired (4.2 K). It should be noted that all thermal shifts for the azo compounds should be evaluated carefully, since their red color might interfere with the DSF assay. Interestingly, the hydroxyindole-based inhibitors also showed improved cellular binding to $\operatorname{HDAC1}\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} \approx 2 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$.

In the next step, the azo-moiety was replaced, while at the same time substituting the 7-hydroxyindole moiety with the more stable pyrrolopyridone. This also facilitated compound synthesis. To test, which linking moiety would be accepted, different scaffolds were synthesized. First, quinoline 108 was oxidized to the $N$-oxide 109 with meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $m C P B A$ ) (Scheme 14). Reaction with mesyl chloride and water then provided 2-hydroxyquinoline 110 which was afterwards chlorinated to intermediate 111. After Suzuki coupling with boronate 56, compound 112 was received. Basic hydrolysis provided carboxylic acid 113 which was then coupled to the respective amine, providing inhibitor 114a/b.


Scheme 14: Synthesis of inhibitors 114a and b. Reagents and conditions: (a) mCPBA, DCM, 0 C to rt , 3 h ; (b) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{rt}, 45 \mathrm{~min} ;(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h ; (d) 56, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h ; (e) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (f) (1) 32 or 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

The quinoline-based inhibitors had a similar thermal shift to the initial compounds and 114a had a cellular $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ for BRD4 BD1 of 180 nM (Table 5). In the co-crystal structures of BRD4 with azobenzene 107b and quinoline 114a, a similar binding mode can be observed (Figure 27). Both scaffolds employ a bivalent interaction to the catalytic asparagine residue which likely improves their potency. The introduction of the central quinoline moiety seems to impair binding to HDAC1, however, with a tenfold decrease for inhibitor 114a, compared to compound 107b.


Figure 27: Co-crystal structure of BRD BD1 with 107b (left, PDB: 8P9G) and 114a (right, 8P9H). Both ligands employ a bivalent interaction to the catalytic asparagine. Inhibitor 114a binds similar to azobenzene 107b.

For the next derivative, transmetallation of compound 55 with isopropyl magnesium chloride, followed by reaction with dry ice, provided carboxylic acid 115 and after basic detosylation, intermediate 116 was obtained (Figure 15). The intermediate was first converted to the acyl chloride and then reacted with methyl 4-aminobenzoate to provide compound 117. Saponification gave carboxylic acid 118, which was afterwards coupled to phenylenediamine to give inhibitor 119.


Scheme 15: Synthesis of inhibitor 119. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{iPrMgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{THF},-40 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$, 0.5 h ; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) (1) SOCl , dioxane, $80 \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) methyl 4 -aminobenzoate, DIPEA, DMA, rt, 1 h ; (d) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h .

In cells, the amide-based inhibitor showed good potency for binding to HDAC1/2 but lost most of its potency for binding to BRD4 (Table 5).

For another approach, the HDAC-binding moiety was directly connected to the pyrrolopyridone scaffold. Suzuki coupling of the respective halobenzene 120a-f with boronate 56 provided methyl esters 121a-f (Scheme 16). Saponification gave carboxylic acids 122a-f and after subsequent amide coupling, inhibitors 123a-f were obtained.


Scheme 16: Synthesis of inhibitors 123a-f. Reagents and conditions: (a) 56, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h .

Compared to inhibitors 114a and 119, compound 123a showed the best balance between binding BRD4 and HDAC 1/2. In the cellular NanoBRET assay, the dual inhibitor bound to BRD4 in the nanomolar and to HDAC1 and 2 in the low micromolar range (Table 5).

To test the effects of an ethylamide substituent, additional analogues were synthesized. First, ethyl ester 83 was reacted with magnesium methoxide and ethylamine to provide ethylamide $\mathbf{1 2 4}$ (Scheme 17A). After Miyaura borylation, boronate 125 was obtained. Suzuki coupling of chloroquinoline 111 with boronate 125 then gave intermediate 126 (Scheme 17B). Saponification yielded carboxylic acid 127 and subsequent amide coupling provided inhibitor 128. Coupling of chloropicolinate 120d with boronate and subsequent saponification gave carboxylic acid (Scheme 17C). After reaction with phenylenediamine, inhibitor 130 was obtained.
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Scheme 17: (A) Synthesis of boronate 125. Reagents and conditions: (a) ethylamine, $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, potassium ethyl hexanoate, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, MeTHF, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$. (B) Synthesis of inhibitor 128. Reagents and conditions: (a) 125, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) LiOH $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h . (C) Synthesis of inhibitor 130. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) 125, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 75{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$; (2) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h.

As expected, inhibitors 128 and 130, showed a slight preference for the second bromodomain of BRD4
(Table 5), but both compounds exhibited really low solubility, likely due to strong intermolecular hydrogen bonding of the substituted pyrrolopyridone scaffold.

The SAR of compound 123a was further explored, testing the effect of additional substituents or heteroatoms in the central aromatic ring (Table 6). Replacing the phenyl group by a pyridine moiety in inhibitor 123d resulted in almost identical binding to BRD4, while slightly attenuating HDAC binding. Adding exocyclic substituents, as in compounds $\mathbf{1 2 3 b}$ and 123c, drastically impaired both BET and HDAC binding in cells. Also, the position of the endocyclic nitrogen appears to be critical because nicotinamide-containing $123 e$ and pyridazine-containing $123 f$ showed an about two-fold reduced binding affinity to BRD4 compared with inhibitor 123d.

Table 6: Modification of the central ring


| Compounds |  |  |  | DSF $^{*} T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  | NanoBRET EC $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ intact cells ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | Y | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | BRD4- <br> BD1 | BRD4- <br> BD2 | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 | HDAC1 | HDAC2 |
|  | CH | CH | H | $3.9 \pm 0.4$ | $4.5 \pm 0.1$ | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | $2.6 \pm 1.1$ | $1.55 \pm 0.73$ |
| 123b | CH | CH | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ | $1.7 \pm 0.3$ | $3.7 \pm 0.5$ | $2.05 \pm 0.37$ | $34.4 \pm 5.8$ | $39.7 \pm 9.0$ |
| 123c | CH | CH | OMe | $3.8 \pm 0.1$ | $4.3 \pm 0.3$ | $0.39 \pm 0.06$ | $0.23 \pm 0.07$ | $>50$ | $>50$ |
| 123d | N | CH | H | $4.2 \pm 0.4$ | $4.7 \pm 0.4$ | $0.24 \pm 0.02$ | $0.16 \pm 0.04$ | $4.8 \pm 2.3$ | $2.48 \pm 0.66$ |
| 123e | CH | N | H | $2.9 \pm 0.3$ | $3.6 \pm 0.2$ | $0.39 \pm 0.02$ | $0.29 \pm 0.12$ | $16.8 \pm 6.7$ | $4.56 \pm 0.57$ |
| 123f | N | N | H | $2.6 \pm 0.0$ | $3.4 \pm 0.2$ | $0.48 \pm 0.14$ | $0.32 \pm 0.13$ | $6.1 \pm 1.0$ | $3.09 \pm 0.13$ |
| (+)-JQ1 (13) | - | - | - | $6.7 \pm 0.1$ | $5.8 \pm 0.6$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $0.11 \pm 0.01$ | n.d. | n.d. |
| CI-944 (7) | - | - | - | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | n.d. | $5.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ |

[^1]
### 3.3.3 Optimization of the HDAC-Binding Moiety

In an effort to target the foot pocket of HDAC1 and 2 and further improve binding, additional aromatic substituents were introduced to the inhibitors. By reacting the carboxylic acids 122a or 122d with anilines 26a,b or d, compounds 131a-d were generated (Scheme 18). After deprotection with TFA, inhibitors 132a-d could be obtained.


Scheme 18: Synthesis of substituted inhibitors 132a-d. Reagents and conditions: (a) 26a,b,d, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (b) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h.

Through reacting carboxylic acid 122d with 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine, compound 133 was obtained, serving as a negative control for HDAC1/2 inhibition (Scheme 19).


Scheme 19: Synthesis of inhibitor 133. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h.

By introducing a phenyl or 2-thienyl moiety, the cellular affinity for HDAC1 and 2 could be significantly improved, resulting in nanomolar $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values (Table 7, Figure 29B), with phenyl-substituted 132b showing the strongest binding to HDAC1 ( $\mathrm{EC}_{50}=110 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and thienyl-substituted 132d the strongest binding to HDAC2 $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=60 \mathrm{nM}\right)$, while only weakly binding to HDAC3 (Supporting Figure $\mathbf{S}$ 1). Binding to BRD4 did not significantly change through the addition of an additional substituent. This was consistent with the co-crystal structure of BRD4 with 132b, which shows that the phenyl group of the HDAC warhead protrudes into the solvent, only weakly interacting with a tryptophan residue (Figure
28). As expected based on the literature, ${ }^{276} 4$-fluorination led to a decreased HDAC1/2 binding affinity in the case of compound 133.

Table 7: Optimization of the HDAC warhead


| Compounds |  |  |  | ${\text { NanoBRET } E_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M}) \text { intact cells }}^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | X | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | BRD4-BD1 | BRD4-BD2 | HDAC1 | HDAC2 | HDAC3 |
| 123a | CH | H | H | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ | $2.6 \pm 1.1$ | $1.55 \pm 0.73$ | $32 \pm 16$ |
| 123d | N | H | H | $0.24 \pm 0.02$ | $0.16 \pm 0.04$ | $4.8 \pm 2.2$ | $2.48 \pm 0.66$ | $>50$ |
| 132a | CH | phenyl | H | $0.42 \pm 0.11$ | $0.52 \pm 0.18$ | $0.19 \pm 0.03$ | $0.36 \pm 0.12$ | $6.5 \pm 2.8$ |
| 132b | N | phenyl | H | $0.36 \pm 0.13$ | $0.25 \pm 0.12$ | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ | $0.10 \pm 0.02$ | $13.6 \pm 4.8$ |
| 132c | N | 2-furyl | H | $0.37 \pm 0.05$ | $0.31 \pm 0.05$ | $1.32 \pm 0.98$ | $2.9 \pm 1.7$ | n.d. |
| 132d | N | 2-thienyl | H | $0.29 \pm 0.05$ | $0.18 \pm 0.04$ | $0.14 \pm 0.05$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $11.4 \pm 6.9$ |
| 133 | CH | H | F | $0.34 \pm 0.10$ | $0.10 \pm 0.01$ | $36 \pm 12$ | $14.2 \pm 4.5$ | $>50$ |
| Cl-944 (7) | - | - | - | n.d. | n.d. | $5.0 \pm 0.8$ | $2.0 \pm 0.7$ | $11.1 \pm 6.2$ |

${ }^{1}$ Mean and SEM of at least three independent experiments performed in technical duplicates.


Figure 28: Co-crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with 123a (left, PDB: 8P9I) and 132b (right, PDB: 8P9L).
For inhibitor 132b, an inhibition assay for HDAC1-11 was performed by Reaction Biology. The data was consistent with the NanoBRET data and showed high selectivity for HDAC1 and 2 (Figure 29D and Supporting Table S 5). At a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$, only HDAC1 and 2 were significantly inhibited, with a residual activity of $20.5 \%$ and $50.3 \%$, respectively. When measuring a DSF selectivity panel against 32 bromodomains, inhibitor 132a proved to be highly selective for the BET family (Figure 29A). While inhibitor 123a still showed binding to BRD7 and 9, this off-target activity was, interestingly, removed through addition of the additional substituent in compound 132a (Supporting Table S 6).


Figure 29: Biophysical data of the dual inhibitors. (A) DSF bromodomain selectivity panel for inhibitor 132a measured at a compound concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ showing high selectivity for the BET family domains. (B) NanoBRET data for inhibitor binding to HDAC1 measured in intact cells. (C) Zinc-dependent HDACs selectivity panel. Residual enzyme activity of HDAC1-11 after inhibition with different concentrations of 132 b compared with the uninhibited control reaction, showing high selectivity for HDAC1/2. Experiments were performed by Reaction Biology. Mean of duplicate measurements. (D) ITC data of 123a and 123b binding to BRD4 BD1. (E) Correlation of NanoBRET data for inhibitor binding to BRD4-BD1 in intact vs. lysed cells. The $\mathrm{pEC} 5_{50}$ is defined as the negative logarithm of the $\mathrm{EC}_{50} .{ }^{277}$

ITC experiments showed a binding affinity to BRD4 BD1 of 145 nM for inhibitor 123a and 46 nM for inhibitor 123d (Figure 29D and Supporting Table S 4). The additional aromatic substituent of inhibitors 132a-d reduced their solubility and for this reason, ITC could not be measured for those compounds. For BRD4, NanoBRET data for lysed and intact cells showed a good correlation for the tested compounds, not differing by more than a factor of two, suggesting good cell permeability (Figure 29E and Supporting Table S 2). For HDAC1 and 2, compounds were up to 5 times more potent in lysed
mode, with inhibitor 132 b showing an $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 27 nM (Supporting Table S 3). This might be attributed to HDAC1/2 being localized in the nucleus, whereas the isolated BRD4 bromodomains are expected to be found in the cytosol.

### 3.3.4 Biological Evaluation

The dual inhibitors were tested for their HDAC activity in pancreatic cancer cells by Western blot (WB) (Figure 30A). Most potent in inhibiting histone deacetylation were substituted inhibitors 132a-d, showing similar results to reference compound 9. Interestingly, inhibitor 123a also caused high acetylation levels and appeared to be more potent than parent HDAC inhibitor 7. A concentrationdependent effect could be shown for compounds 132a and 132b (Figure 30B), with inhibitor 132b appearing slightly more potent, consistent with its $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the NanoBRET assay.

To assess the effect of BET inhibition, we analyzed the mRNA levels of HEXIM1 and p57 by quantitative RT-PCR (Figure 30C). All dual inhibitors showed an increase in expression levels, with inhibitors 132ad again showing the strongest effect. Next, expression levels of the transcription factors MYC and TP63 in NMC HCC2429 cells were tested (Figure 30D). Both are oncogenes which are upregulated in NMC through the formation of hyperacetylated megadomains. ${ }^{278}$ All tested dual inhibitors caused a significant decrease in mRNA levels and were potent than the parent BET inhibitor $\mathbf{2 2}$, which correlates nicely with the BRD4 binding data.

The biological effect of the dual inhibitors was tested in pancreatic Patu8988T cells as well as NMC HCC2429 cells (Figure 30E and Supporting Table S 7). In PatuT cells, the combination of starting scaffolds 7 and 22 showed a synergistic effect at reducing cell viability. Intriguingly, the tested dual inhibitors were even more potent at reducing viability of the pancreatic cancer cells, having $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the low micromolar range. Interestingly, all inhibitors were more potent in reducing the viability of HCC2429 cells. No synergism could be observed for the combination of compounds $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ in the NMC cell line, which appears to be particularly sensitive to BET inhibition. The synthesized dual inhibitors were, however, still the most potent of the tested compounds.


Figure 30: Biological effects of the optimized dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. (A) Effect on histone H3 K9/K14 acetylation in Patu8988T cells 48 h after incubation with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound monitored by Western blot. (B) WB showing the concentration-dependent inhibition of histone H3 K9/K14 deacetylation in Patu8988T cells 48 h after treatment with 132a and 132b. (C) Upregulation of mRNA levels of BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1 and p57 in Patu8988T cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. (D) mRNA levels of oncogenic drivers MYC and TP63 in NMC cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound, showing that the optimized dual inhibitors significantly downregulated both transcription factors. (E) Cell viability of pancreatic cancer cell line PatuT (left) and NMC cell line HCC2429 (right) after 3d-treatment with different concentrations of inhibitors. ${ }^{277}$

The most promising inhibitor, 132b, was further evaluated in a pharmacokinetics (PK) study (Figure 31 and Supporting Table S 8). After oral (po), intravenous (iv) or intraperitoneal (ip) administration, plasma samples were taken from the treated mice to determine the plasma concentration of the administered compound. The observed area under the curve (AUC), indicative of total drug exposure, was highest for intraperitoneal injection ( $4807 \mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL}$ ), followed by oral ( $3168 \mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and intravenous administration ( $917 \mathrm{ng} \cdot \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) (Supporting Table S 9). Unfortunately, the tested inhibitor exhibited a rather short half-life of 1.4 h (ip) up to 6.1 h (po).


Figure 31: PK study results for inhibitor 132b. For each administration, 6 mice were treated with $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of inhibitor 132b. po: oral administration; iv: intravenous administration; ip: intraperitoneal administration. Samples were taken from the retrobulbar venous plexus. The study was performed by Pharmacelsus.

The optimized dual inhibitors could achieve satisfying binding affinities for BRD4 and HDAC1/2 and good cell permeability, which also translated into promising biological effects in pancreatic cancer cells. Some compound properties were, however, still leaving room for improvement. The developed compounds did not exhibit great solubility, likely owing to a large percentage of aromatic moieties. Pharmacokinetic investigation further suggested suboptimal metabolic stability and high clearance in the treated mice. Those results did therefore not encourage further in vivo studies without additional optimization of the inhibitors. Since the inhibitors already show good binding affinities, a promising strategy might be the application of bioisosteres to improve the pharmacokinetic characteristics.

### 3.3.5 Bioisosteric Replacement

Introduced by Philip E. Eaton, ${ }^{279-281}$ the cubane scaffold was suggested as a bioisostere of the phenyl ring, due to having virtually identical dimensions, apart from the obvious non-planarity. Reflecting its attractiveness as a potential replacement for the phenyl moiety, cubanes have often been used in medicinal chemistry. ${ }^{282-286}$ Additionally, indicative of its interesting chemical properties, a lot of research about cubane synthesis has been published in recent years, focusing on more efficient synthesis or novel functionalization of the cubane scaffold. ${ }^{287-303}$

It was hypothesized that a replacement of the central phenyl moiety in the developed dual BET/HDAC inhibitors with a cubane might improve their metabolic stability and possibly also their solubility by preventing excessive $\pi$ - $\pi$-stacking between molecules. Docking of the cubane analogue with BRD4 gave promising results by suggesting enough space in the binding site and similar binding affinity to the phenyl-based inhibitor (Figure 32).


Figure 32: Comparison of phenyl- and cubane-containing inhibitors. Co-crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with 123a (left) and model of the cubane-containing inhibitor with BRD4 BD1 (right). Docking was performed using SeeSAR. The synthesis of the cubane scaffold was adapted from a published procedure. ${ }^{295}$ Initially, cyclopentanone 134 was protected with ethylene glycol, providing ketal 135 (Scheme 20). Bromination gave intermediate 136, which was directly reacted with sodium hydroxide in methanol without previous isolation. Elimination gave diene 137, which spontaneously underwent Diels-Alder reaction, yielding compound 138. Deprotection in concentrated sulfuric acid provided diketone 139. Next, the most critical step in the synthesis was the photocyclization of compound 139. Unfortunately, no suitable photoreactor was available, which needed to produce emission in the region of 300 to 350 nm . Additionally, diketone 139 was produced on a scale of approximately 100 g , requiring high light
intensity or excessive reaction times from common laboratory light sources to achieve full conversion. Since UV light was necessary for the cyclization, it was speculated that exposing the reaction mixture to sunlight should also yield the desired product. Intriguingly, after simply leaving the reaction mixture outside, monitoring by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated full conversion without showing significant side reactions after about 3 weeks. This reaction could successfully and reproducibly be carried out on a 20 g scale to provide cyclized intermediate 140. Subsequent Favorskii rearrangement through reflux in aqueous sodium hydroxide solution, followed by acidification, finally yielded cubanedicarboxylic acid 141.


Scheme 20: Synthesis of cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (141). Reagents and conditions: (a) ethylene glycol, DOWEX 50W X8, benzene, reflux, 2 d ; (b) Br 2 , dioxane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h ; (c) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reflux, 16 h ; (d) in situ; (e) conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) hv (sunlight), cat. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 21 \mathrm{~d}$, the newly formed bonds are highlighted in red; (g) (1) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 3 h ; (2) aq. HCl .

Since traditional Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions have not been reported to be successful for the cubane scaffold, possibly due to isomerization, ${ }^{304-306}$ arylation of compound 141 was not straightforward. In 2016, the Baran group published the Fe-catalysed C-C coupling of a redox-active ester of cubane, but a rather limited scope was demonstrated. ${ }^{307}$ Recently, the MacMillan group reported the Cu-photoredox-catalyzed arylation, amination and alkylation of cubanes, employing redox-active esters, as well. ${ }^{293}$ To synthesize the redox-active ester of cubane, at first, dicarboxylic acid 141 was esterified, providing dimethylester 142 (Scheme 21). Through saponification, monomethylester 143 was accessible, which could afterwards be coupled to tetrachlorophthalimide to yield redox-active ester 144.




Scheme 21: Synthesis of redox-active ester 144. Reagents and conditions: (a) DOWEX 50W X8, MeOH, reflux, 18 h ; (b) (1) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH} / T H F, ~ r t, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) aq. HCl ; (c) EDC • HCl, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, rt, 16 h .

Similar to other coupling reactions from the MacMillan group, ${ }^{308,309}$ the proposed reaction mechanism utilized silyl radicals. For this, the appropriate aminosilane had to be synthesized by subsequently reacting supersilane 145 with triflic acid and tert-butylmethylamine, providing compound $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ (Scheme 22A). Using an Ir photocatalyst and different sources of blue light, the coupling of ester 144 with aryl bromide 55 was attempted. Unfortunately, none of the tested conditions could provide the desired product 147 , possibly due to not using a light source with the exactly right emission wavelength or intensity. Usually, only degradation of the starting material 144 was observed.

A
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Scheme 22: (A) Synthesis of aminosilane 146. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) $t B u M e N H$, DIPEA, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (B) Attempted arylation of cubane 144 through copper-mediated crosscoupling. Reagents and conditions: (a) $t \mathrm{BuMeNSi}(\mathrm{TMS})_{3}(146), \mathrm{NaOAc},\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{dFCF}_{3} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{bpy}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac}) 2$, hv (blue light), acetone, rt, 2 h .

Further developing the Baran-type coupling, Bernhard et al. published the Ni-catalyzed arylation of cubane. ${ }^{292}$ To test the published coupling conditions, aryl bromide was metallated with isopropylmagnesium chloride, followed by transmetallation, to provide the organozinc intermediate 148 (Scheme 23). Reaction with cubane 144 under nickel catalysis could successfully produce a small amount of compound 147, as indicated by HPLC-MS. Saponification yielded carboxylic acid 149, which
after coupling to phenylene diamine gave intermediate 150 . Detosylation, followed by purification via preparative HPLC, finally provided cubane-based inhibitor 151.


Scheme 23: Synthesis of cubane-containing inhibitor 151 through nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) iPrMgCl $\cdot \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{THF},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (2) $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) ( $4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{dtbbpy}$ ) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}$, 2 h ; (c) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h ; (e) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

To test the activity of the synthesized compound, a thermal shift assay with BRD4 and 7 was performed (Table 8). Unfortunately, substitution for the cubane moiety seemed to almost completely diminish binding to BRD4 and 7. Possible explanations for the reduced affinity might be the lost $\pi-\pi$ interaction or not enough space in the binding site to accommodate the bulkier substituent. Another suitable bioisostere might be the recently published oxabicyclooctane, ${ }^{310}$ but the same issues be could present there.

Table 8: Binding data for cubane-based inhibitor 151

| Concentration | DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BRD4-BD1 | BRD7 |
| $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.8 \pm 0.1$ |
| $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.7 \pm 0.1$ | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ |
| $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $1.4 \pm 0.4$ |

[^2]
### 3.4 BET/HDAC PROTACs

For another approach, it was tested if the PROTAC strategy would be suitable for the degradation of two different protein classes, using only one molecule. First, linker conjugates with the common CRBN ligand thalidomide had to be synthesized. By reacting linker 152 with hydroxy-thalidomide 153 in a Mitsunobu reaction, compound 154a could be provided (Scheme 24). Alternatively, thalidomide 153 could be reacted in a Williamson ether synthesis by alkylation with bromide linkers 155a/b, yielding conjugates $\mathbf{1 5 4 b}$ /c. And lastly, through nucleophilic aromatic substitution with amines $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ /b and fluoro-thalidomide 157, compounds 154d and 154 e were accessible.


Scheme 24: Synthesis of thalidomide-based conjugates 154a-e. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PPh ${ }_{3}$, DIAD, THF/DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (b) (1) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (c) (1) DIPEA, DMSO, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $16 \mathrm{~h} ;(2)$ TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

### 3.4.1 Pyrrolopyridone-Based PROTACs

One issue with developing PROTACs that target two different protein classes lies in that fact the necessary linker attachment must not interfere with binding to either protein. Fortunately, the binding data for inhibitor 130 indicated a possible attachment point that retains binding activity to BRD4 and HDAC1/2 (Table 5). Due to the improved binding affinity, a phenyl substituent as in inhibitor 132a was introduced. The synthesis started by reacting aniline 26d with bromobenzoyl chloride, yielding compound 158 (Scheme 25). Suzuki coupling with boronate 86 provided intermediate 159 and subsequent saponification gave carboxylic acid 160. Afterwards, amide coupling with different thalidomide-linker conjugates, followed by Boc-deprotection with TFA, provided the different CRBNbased PROTACs 161a-c.



Scheme 25: Synthesis of PROTACs 161a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-bromobenzoyl chloride, DIPEA, DCM, rt, 2 h ; (b) 86, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (c) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) (1) thalidomide-linker conjugate, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 30 min.

By coupling compound 160 with linkers 162a-c, followed by ester deprotection with TFA, carboxylic acids 163a-c could be received (Scheme 26). After reaction with the VHL ligand and subsequent Bocdeprotection, VHL-based PROTACs 164a-c were isolated.



Scheme 26: Synthesis of PROTACs 164a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2 h ; (2) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) (1) VHL ligand 1 (HCl), PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 30 min .

To assess, whether the attached E3 ligand would interfere with binding to BRD4 and HDAC1/2, the synthesized PROTACs were measured via a cellular NanoBRET target engagement assay (Table 9). In cells, all tested compounds retained most of their binding to HDAC1 and 2 , with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the high nanomolar range, also indicating sufficient cell permeability. For BRD4, binding appeared to be significantly impaired through the addition of the E3 ligand. For all dual PROTACs, $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values were reduced by a factor of approximately 10 , now binding to the first bromodomain in the micromolar range.

Table 9: Target engagement assay for PROTACs

| Compounds | E3-Ligase | X | NanoBRET EC $_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ intact cells ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | BRD4-BD1 | HDAC1 | HDAC2 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ | - | - | 0.3 | $17.8 \pm 0.7^{2}$ | 30.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 2 a}$ | - | - | $0.42 \pm 0.11^{3}$ | $0.19 \pm 0.03^{3}$ | $0.36 \pm 0.12^{3}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6 1 a}$ | CRBN | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ | 2.4 | 0.83 | 0.63 |
| 161b | CRBN | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 4.1 | 0.89 | 0.16 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 1 c}$ | CRBN | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{CO})$ | 2.0 | 0.89 | 0.18 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 4 a}$ | VHL | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 3.1 | 0.39 | 0.22 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 4 b}$ | VHL | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | 5.2 | 0.52 | 0.19 |
| $\mathbf{1 6 4 c}$ | VHL | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$ | 8.4 | 0.93 | 0.55 |

${ }^{1}$ Experiments performed in technical duplicates ( $n=1$ ). ${ }^{2} n=2$; ${ }^{3} n=3$

To assess, whether the synthesized PROTACs were actually capable of degrading BRD4, a HiBiT assay was performed. In this fluorescence-based assay, the HiBiT tag is fused to the POI. When bound to its complementation partner, the LgBiT, the NanoLuc luciferase is reconstituted and a bioluminescent signal is generated. ${ }^{248}$ Through a decrease of the fluorescence signal, a time and dose-dependent degradation of the POI can be measured. Unfortunately, none of the tested compounds could show significant degradation of BRD4, possibly owing to a weak binding affinity.

### 3.4.2 Diazepine-Based PROTACs

Since the pyrrolopyridone-based PROTACs were not able to degrade BRD4, another approach that was thought to be promising was to develop degraders based on the initial diazepine-based dual inhibitor 19. As one side of the core scaffold was already used for attachment of the HDAC-binding moiety, a new attachment point for the linker had to be created. According to a published procedure, BET inhibitor $\mathbf{7}$ could be further functionalized by adding a second carboxylic acid moiety. ${ }^{270}$

In the first step, the acid labile tert-butyl ester of starting material 7 had to be transformed into the methyl ester, providing compound 165 (Scheme 27). Selective oxidation of one methyl group with manganese(III) acetate in a mixture of acetic acid and acetic anhydride yielded acetylated intermediate 166. After deacetylation, alcohol 167 was isolated, which was afterwards oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP), providing aldehyde 168. In the following Pinnick oxidation, carboxylic acid 169 was produced. The synthesis could be optimized, increasing the overall yield from the published $23 \%$ to $62 \%$, while at the same time being scaled up, generating several grams of functionalized inhibitor 169. Since two orthogonal protecting groups were needed for both carboxylic acid functionalities, the first idea was to install a tert-butyl ester on the newly generated acid moiety, producing ester 170. This was, however, not successful under various tested reactions conditions. Typical conditions, such as the Steglich esterification, using different activating agents or reaction of the acyl chloride did not produce any product, likely due to the low nucleophilicity of tert-butanol.





Scheme 27: Functionalization of inhibitor 7. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, reflux, 20 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, then $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 3 d ; (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) DMP, DCM, rt, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.

By using isopropanol instead, isopropyl ester 171 could successfully be synthesized (Scheme 28). Following a protocol published by K. C. Nicolaou, ${ }^{311}$ the methyl ester could afterwards selectively be cleaved with trimethyltin hydroxide, yielding carboxylic acid 172. Amide coupling with aniline 34 and propanephosphonic acid anhydride (T3P) as an activating agent then gave compound 173. After subsequent saponification, acid-functionalized inhibitor 174 could be isolated.




Scheme 28: Synthesis of functionalized inhibitor 174. Reagents and conditions: (a) PyAOP, DMAP, DIPEA, iPrOH, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnOH}, \mathrm{DCE}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~d}$; (c) 34, T3P, pyridine, $\mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

By reacting compound 174 with the different thalidomide-linker conjugates 154a-f, followed by Bocdeprotection with TFA, the PROTACs 175a-f were provided (Scheme 29).



Scheme 29: Synthesis of CRBN-based PROTACs 175-f. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PyAOP, DMAP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h.

Additionally, carboxylic acid 174 was coupled to the VHL-based linker conjugates 176a-e (Scheme 30). After Boc-deprotection with TFA, PROTACs 177a-e were provided. In the acidic deprotection conditions the HDAC-binding moiety partially underwent condensation, creating the respective benzimidazole (Supporting Figure S 2). This issue was present for all PROTACs 175a-f and 177a-e and was the cause of a difficult chromatographic purification. Interestingly, this reaction did not occur for all previously synthesized HDAC inhibitors which were subjected to identical deprotection conditions.



Scheme 30: Synthesis of VHL-based PROTACs 176a-e. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PyAOP, DMAP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h.

The synthesized diazepine-based PROTACs were evaluated via a HiBiT assay to measure the concentration-dependent-degradation (Figure 33A). For the tested compounds, significant degradation of BRD2 and 4 could only be observed for PROTACs 175b, cand e. When comparing potency to the published BET degraders MZ1 and dBET6, a significantly higher dose of the dual PROTACs was needed to achieve degradation, with a $\mathrm{DC}_{50}$ in the micromolar range. Interestingly, protein levels did not reach zero with compounds 175b, cand e but instead appeared to plateau at approximately $30 \%$. Additionally, kinetics were measured to look at the time-dependent degradation of BRD2 and 4 (Figure 33B and C). The data shows that for compound $\mathbf{1 7 5 e}$ and $\mathbf{b}$, degradation was basically maximized after a few hours. In contrast to the references MZ1 and dBET6, no complete reduction of protein levels to zero was measured again (Supporting Figure S 3).


Figure 33: Effects on the degradation of BRD2/4. (A) Concentration-dependent levels of BRD2 (left) and BRD4 (right) 5 h after treatment with PROTACs measured via HiBiT . (B) Time-dependent proteins levels after treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 175e measured via HiBiT. (C) Time-dependent protein levels after treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathbf{1 7 5 b}$.

For further evaluation of the dual PROTACs, HDAC1 levels were monitored by WB in pancreatic PatuT cells (Figure 34A). After 24 h , no effect could be seen, while after 48 h , reduced HDAC1 levels could be detected for compound 175b, cand e. Increased histone acetylation, consistent with lower levels of HDAC1, could be observed for PROTAC 175e. Additionally, BRD2 and 4 levels in the pancreatic PSN1 and PatuT cells were monitored by WB (Figure 34B). In agreement with the HiBiT data, PROTACs 175b and $\mathbf{e}$, and, to a lesser extent, 177a, did show significantly reduced levels of BRD4 in both cell lines. Interestingly, and in contrast to the control MZ-1, the novel compounds appear to have a stronger effect on BRD4 than on BRD2.


Figure 34: Biological effects of the dual BET/HDAC PROTACs. (A) Effect on HDAC1 degradation and histone H3 K9/K14 acetylation in Patu8988T cells after incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound monitored by Western blot. (B) Effect on BRD2 and 4 degradation in pancreatic cancer cell lines PSN1 and Patu8988T 24 h after incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. (C) Viability of Patu8988T cells 3 d after treatment with different concentrations.

Lastly, some of the dual BET/HDAC PROTACs were evaluated for their effect on the viability of pancreatic cancer cells (Figure 34C). Compound 175e, which also showed the strongest effect on reducing HDAC1 levels, reduced cell viability the most of all tested compounds. Intriguingly, dual PROTAC 175e was also more potent than the parent inhibitor 19 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.8 \mu \mathrm{M} v s .2 .0 \mu \mathrm{M}$, Supporting Table S 10). As with the HiBiT measurement, the curve seems to reach a plateau when the compound concentration exceeds approximately $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This might be due to this being the maximum solubility of PROTAC 175e under the assay conditions.

## 4 Summary and Discussion

The term epigenetics describes stable heritable traits which cannot be explained by the DNA sequence and the sum of all epigenetic marks that influence the phenotype of a cell can be described as the epigenetic code. An important epigenetic mechanism is the modification of histones, structural proteins the DNA wraps around to form chromatin. Those modifications include methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitinylation, sumoylation, biotinylation and ADP-ribosylation ${ }^{22}$ and predominantly occur on the $N$-terminal tails of histone proteins. By modifying the histone tails, these chemical groups serve as dynamic marks that influence DNA accessibility and the recruitment of protein complexes responsible for gene regulation. The acetylation of histones is one of the most widely studied types of histone modification and by affecting the charge of histones, and therefore their binding to DNA, it is heavily involved in transcriptional regulation. Histone deacetylases (HDACs) remove those acetylation marks and bromodomain and extra-terminal domain (BET) proteins bind to acetylated histones, thereby initiating transcription. Due to their impact on the regulation of genes, including different oncogenes and tumor suppressors, both classes of proteins have sparked interest for the treatment of diverse diseases, such as cancer.

The simultaneous inhibition of HDACs and BET proteins has shown promising anti-proliferative effects against different cancer types, including the difficult to treat pancreatic cancer. ${ }^{229,230}$ Reflecting the attractiveness of combined inhibition, many dual BET/HDAC inhibitors have been developed in recent years. ${ }^{228-240,243}$ As an HDAC-binding moiety, most dual inhibitors contain a hydroxamic acid warhead, which is unselective and generally not metabolically stable. ${ }^{97}$ While some published dual inhibitors, such as inhibitor TW9 (19), contain the class I selective benzamide moiety ${ }^{232}$ and show promising in vitro results for the treatment of pancreatic cancer, ${ }^{230}$ their molecular weight exceeds 600 Da , limiting their application in vivo due to unfavorable pharmacokinetic characteristics. ${ }^{241,242}$

In this work, the strategy of concurrently targeting HDACs and BET proteins was further pursued by developing different types of dual inhibitors. As a way to improve potency and selectivity for HDAC1/2, dual inhibitor 19 was decorated with different aromatic substituents, to target the so-called "foot pocket" of those two enzymes. The resulting inhibitors 31a-c (Figure 35) unfortunately lost their binding affinity to BET proteins. This result was difficult to explain as the co-crystal structure of compound 19 with BRD4 showed the HDAC-binding moiety to protrude out of the binding site and into the solvent. ${ }^{230}$


19: $R=H$
31a: $R=2$-thienyl
31b: R = 2-furyl
31c: $\mathrm{R}=4$-pyridyl

Figure 35: Diazepine-based dual inhibitors. The substituted inhibitors 31a-c unfortunately lost their affinity to BRD4.

Dihydroquinoxalinone 21 and pyrrolopyridone 14 were chosen as alternative starting points for the development of dual inhibitors due to their high potency for BRD4. While dihydroquinoxalinone-based dual inhibitor 47 lost some affinity to BRD4 through addition of the HDAC-binding moiety, the different pyrrolopyridone-based inhibitors generally retained most of their potency (Figure 36). In a thermal shift assay, the dual inhibitors caused a high stabilization of BRD4, surpassing the reference BET inhibitor JQ1 (13). All three tested attachment points for the HDAC-binding moiety on the core scaffold seemed to be tolerated by the binding site. Those dual inhibitors were further investigated for their biological effect in pancreatic cancer cells. Analysis of the BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1, p57 and CDKN1C showed a strong upregulation from some dual inhibitors. When comparing the BET and dual BET/HDAC inhibitors, the dual inhibitors showed more attenuated effect in cells, which might be attributed to worse cell permeability.
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Figure 36: Dihydroquinoxalinone-based dual inhibitor 47 and pyrrolopyridone-based inhibitors. The HDACbinding moiety was attached to one of three different positions on the pyrrolopyridone core scaffold.

The effect on histone acetylation, however, appeared to be not exceptional. This was in accordance with HDAC1 inhibition data, where the dual inhibitors exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the micromolar range. Among the tested compounds, dual inhibitor 93 reduced the viability of pancreatic cancer cells the most, which was likely primarily a result of its potent BRD4 inhibition.

The dual BET/HDAC inhibitors described above are essentially simple adducts of a BET and HDAC inhibitor. It was hypothesized that the merging of two pharmacophores, creating a highly integrated dual inhibitor with a minimized molecular weight, might yield a better outcome. With the aim of creating a good balance between potency and molecular size, the BET inhibitor MS436 (22) was chosen as a starting scaffold due to its small size and structural similarity with HDAC inhibitor $\mathrm{Cl}-994$ (7). The merged dual inhibitor 102a (Figure 37) actually exhibited higher stabilization of BRD4 than its parent inhibitor, while additionally showing binding to HDAC1.


Figure 37: Strategy for the merging of BET and HDAC pharmacophores. The dual inhibitor 102a contains the acetyl lysine mimetic of BET inhibitor 22 and the zinc-binding group of HDAC inhibitor 7, while retaining a minimal size.

By exploiting a bivalent interaction to a conserved asparagine, the binding to BRD4 could be further improved, resulting in inhibitor 107b (Figure 38). For the sake of better stability and to facilitate compound synthesis, further optimization was needed. The best balance between BET and HDAC binding was achieved with compound 123a, which exhibited cellular target engagement to BRD4 in the nanomolar and


107b


123a


132a: $X=C H, R=$ phenyl
132b: $X=N, R=$ phenyl
132c: $X=N, R=2$-furyl
132d: $X=N, R=2$-thienyl

Figure 38: Stepwise optimization of the merged dual inhibitor. Replacement of the hydroxyindole improved stability and facilitated compound synthesis. The addition of aromatic substituents enhanced binding to HDAC1/2.
to HDAC1/2 in the low micromolar range. By introducing aromatic substituents, the HDAC binding could be significantly improved, with the best inhibitor 132b binding to HDAC1/2 with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 110 nM and 100 nM , respectively, in a cellular target engagement assay. A selectivity panel further revealed compound $\mathbf{1 3 2 b}$ to be selective for HDAC1/2 over all other zinc-dependent HDACs. In pancreatic cancer cells, histone deacetylation was effectively blocked by the substituted inhibitors 132a-d. Additionally, the tumor suppressors and markers of BET inhibition HEXIM1 and p57 were significantly upregulated. In NUT midline carcinoma (NMC) cells, the oncogenic drivers MYC and TP63 were downregulated. When investigating the viability of pancreatic cancer cells, the synergy of combined BET and HDAC inhibition could be confirmed. The dual inhibitors, however, were even more potent in reducing the cell viability with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of approximately $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$. In NMC cells, no synergistic effect could be observed for the combination of a BET and HDAC inhibitor, but dual inhibitor 132b still was the most potent in reducing cell viability $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=220 \mathrm{nM}\right) .{ }^{277}$ Overall, the promising in vitro results provide a basis for future studies of dual BET/HDAC inhibitors in pancreatic and other types of cancer. Since a pharmacokinetics study suggested suboptimal metabolic stability and high clearance for compound 132b, additional optimization of the inhibitors would be needed to encourage further in vivo studies.

In another approach, the emerging PROTAC strategy, with the aim of degrading the proteins of interest instead of simply inhibiting them, was pursued for the combined targeting of HDACs and BET proteins. Using the above-described dual inhibitor scaffold, ligands for the E3 ligases CBRN and VHL were attached, creating dual PROTACs 161a-c and 164a-c (Figure 39). In a cellular target engagement assay, the PROTACs


161a: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$
161b: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$
161c: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{CO})$


Figure 39: Pyrrolopyridone-based dual BET/HDAC PROTACs. The synthesized compounds exhibited cellular binding to BRD4 and HDAC1/2 but did not show degradation of BRD4.
bound weaker than the dual inhibitors, but still showed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values in the low micromolar range for BRD4 and in the nanomolar range for HDAC1/2. Evaluation via a fluorescence-based HiBiT assay, however, indicated no degradation of BRD4. For this reason, another series of PROTACs, based on diazepine 19, was designed and synthesized, yielding compounds 175a-f and 177a-e (Figure 40). In the HiBiT assay, some of the tested degraders were able to decrease BRD4 levels. Monitoring via Western blot also showed reduced BRD4 levels after treatment in two different pancreatic cancer cell lines, with the strongest effect from thalidomide-based PROTACs 175b and e. Interestingly, a slight selectivity for BRD4 could be seen, with only a small reduction of BRD2 levels being observed. Monitoring of HDAC1 levels showed an effect after treatment with compound 175e after 48 h . This was the only PROTAC for which reduced levels of HDAC1

175a: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$
175b: $Y=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$
175c: $Y=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3}$
175d: $Y=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
175e: $Y=N ; X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$
175f: $Y=N ; X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$


Figure 40: Diazepine-based dual BET/HDAC PROTACs. Compound 175e was shown to decrease levels of BRD4 and HDAC1 and to potently decrease the viability of pancreatic cancer cells.
could be seen together with increased histone acetylation. Additionally, PROTAC 175e potently decreased the viability of pancreatic PatuT cells with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 800 nM . This was more potent than all previously investigated dual BET/HDAC inhibitors and therefore encourages further biological investigation. The experiments should be repeated with the use of a proteasome inhibitor to determine whether the observed effects are truly a result of protein degradation. Additionally, the use of mass spectrometry-based proteomics profiling would be interesting to evaluate the selectivity of compound 175e. Overall, the application of PROTACs for the simultaneous targeting of two different classes of proteins appears to be a viable alternative strategy that needs to be further investigated.

In summary, this work has produced different approaches for simultaneously targeting epigenetic reader and modifier proteins. By developing a novel scaffold that selectively inhibits HDAC1/2 together with BET proteins in cells, an effective tool for the investigation of pancreatic cancer, and other diseases which are sensitive to epigenetic processes, was created. The compound's small size further gives the opportunity to further develop the inhibitor towards optimized pharmacokinetic properties, potentially resulting in a drug for cancer treatment.

A second novel approach that was pursued, was the development of a small-molecule degrader, targeting HDACs and BET proteins. Through synthesizing a variety of different molecules, a compound that was capable of lowering BRD4 levels and, at the same time, increasing histone acetylation was developed. While additional mechanistic investigations are needed to verify the degradation, the potent antiproliferative effects in pancreatic cancer cells encourage further studies following this alternative new strategy.

## 5 Zusammenfassung

Der Begriff Epigenetik beschreibt stabile vererbbare Merkmale, die sich nicht durch die DNA-Sequenz erklären lassen, und die Summe aller epigenetischen Markierungen, die den Phänotyp einer Zelle beeinflussen, kann als epigenetischer Code bezeichnet werden. Ein wichtiger epigenetischer Mechanismus ist die Modifikation von Histonen, Strukturproteinen, um die sich die DNA zur Bildung von Chromatin wickelt. Zu diesen Modifikationen gehören Methylierung, Acetylierung, Phosphorylierung, Ubiquitinylierung, Sumoylierung, Biotinylierung und ADP-Ribosylierung, die vor allem an den $N$-terminalen Schwänzen der Histonproteine auftreten. Durch die Veränderung der Histonschwänze dienen diese chemischen Gruppen als dynamische Markierungen, die die Zugänglichkeit der DNA und die Rekrutierung von Proteinkomplexen, die für die Genregulation verantwortlich sind, beeinflussen. Die Acetylierung von Histonen ist eine der am besten untersuchten Arten der Histonmodifikation und ist durch die Beeinflussung der Ladung von Histonen, und damit ihrer Bindung an die DNA, stark an der Transkriptionsregulation beteiligt. Histondeacetylasen (HDACs) entfernen diese Acetylierungsmarkierungen und Bromodomain and Extra-Terminal Domain (BET)Proteine binden an acetylierte Histone und leiten so die Transkription ein. Aufgrund ihres Einflusses auf die Regulierung von Genen, darunter verschiedene Onkogene und Tumorsuppressoren, haben beide Proteinklassen das Interesse an der Behandlung verschiedener Krankheiten, wie etwa Krebs, geweckt.

Die gleichzeitige Hemmung von HDACs und BET-Proteinen hat vielversprechende proliferationshemmende Wirkungen bei verschiedenen Krebsarten gezeigt, darunter auch beim schwer zu behandelnden Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebs. Angesichts der Attraktivität der kombinierten Inhibierung beider Proteine wurden in den letzten Jahren zahlreiche duale BET/HDAC-Inhibitoren entwickelt. Die meisten dualen Inhibitoren enthalten als HDAC-bindenden Teil ein Hydroxamsäuremotiv, welches unselektiv und im Allgemeinen nicht metabolisch stabil ist. Einige veröffentlichte duale Inhibitoren, wie der Inhibitor TW9 (19), enthalten zwar das Klasse-I-selektive Benzamidmotiv und zeigen vielversprechende in-vitro-Ergebnisse für die Behandlung von Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebs, ihr Molekulargewicht übersteigt jedoch 600 Da , was ihre Anwendung in vivo aufgrund ungünstiger pharmakokinetischer Eigenschaften einschränkt.

In dieser Arbeit wurde die Strategie, gleichzeitig HDACs und BET-Proteine zu beeinflussen, durch die Entwicklung verschiedener Arten von dualen Inhibitoren weiterverfolgt. Um die Wirksamkeit und Selektivität für HDAC1/2 zu verbessern, wurde der duale Inhibitor 19 mit verschiedenen aromatischen Substituenten versehen, die in die sogenannte "Fußtasche" dieser beiden Enzyme passen sollten. Die resultierenden Inhibitoren 31a-c (Abbildung 1) verloren leider ihre Bindungsaffinität zu den BETProteinen. Dieses Ergebnis war schwer zu erklären, da die Kristallstruktur von Verbindung 19 mit BRD4
zeigte, dass der HDAC-bindende Teil aus der Bindungsstelle heraus und in das Lösungsmittel hineinragt.


19: $R=H$
31a: $R=2$-thienyl
31b: $R=2$-furyl
31c: $\mathrm{R}=4$-pyridyl

Abbildung 1: Diazepin-basierte duale Inhibitoren. Die substituierten Inhibitoren 31a-c zeigten leider keine Affinität zu BRD4.

Dihydrochinoxalinon 21 und Pyrrolopyridon 14 wurden aufgrund ihrer hohen Potenz für BRD4 als alternative Ausgangspunkte für die Entwicklung von dualen Inhibitoren gewählt. Während der auf Dihydrochinoxalinon basierende duale Inhibitor 47 durch die Ergänzung des HDAC-bindenden Teils etwas an Affinität zu BRD4 verlor, behielten die verschiedenen Pyrrolopyridon-basierten Inhibitoren im Allgemeinen den Großteil ihrer Potenz bei (Abbildung 2). In einem Thermal Shift Assay bewirkten die dualen Inhibitoren eine hohe Stabilisierung von BRD4 und übertrafen den BET-Referenzinhibitor JQ1 (13). Alle drei getesteten Anknüpfungspunkte für das HDAC-bindende Motiv am Grundgerüst schienen von der Bindungsstelle toleriert zu werden. Diese dualen Inhibitoren wurden anschließend auf ihre biologische Wirkung in Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebszellen untersucht. Die Analyse der BET-Inhibitions-Biomarker HEXIM1, p57 und CDKN1C zeigte eine starke Hochregulierung durch einige duale Inhibitoren. Beim Vergleich der BET- und dualen BET/HDAC-Inhibitoren zeigten die dualen Inhibitoren eine schwächere Wirkung in den Zellen, was auf eine schlechtere Zellpermeabilität zurückzuführen sein könnte.
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Abbildung 2: Dihydrochinoxalinon-basierter dualer Inhibitor 47 und Pyrrolopyridon-basierte Inhibitoren. Der HDAC-bindende Teil wurde an einer von drei verschiedenen Positionen des Pyrrolopyridongerüsts angebracht.

Die Wirkung auf die Histon-Acetylierung schien jedoch nicht außergewöhnlich zu sein. Dies stand im Einklang mit den Daten zur HDAC1-Inhibition, bei denen die dualen Inhibitoren $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-Werte im mikromolaren Bereich aufwiesen. Von den getesteten Verbindungen verringerte der duale Inhibitor 93 jedoch die Viabilität von Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebszellen am stärksten, was wahrscheinlich in erster Linie auf seine starke BRD4-Inhibition zurückzuführen ist.

Während die bisher beschriebenen dualen BET/HDAC-Inhibitoren als Addukte eines BET- und eines HDAC-Inhibitors beschrieben werden können, wurde angenommen, dass die Verschmelzung von zwei Pharmakophoren zu einem hochintegrierten dualen Inhibitor mit einem minimalen Molekulargewicht ein besseres Ergebnis liefern könnte. Mit dem Ziel, ein ausgewogenes Verhältnis zwischen Wirksamkeit und Molekülgröße zu erreichen, wurde der BET-Inhibitor MS436 (22) aufgrund seiner geringen Größe und strukturellen Ähnlichkeit mit dem HDAC-Inhibitor CI-994 (7) als Ausgangsgerüst gewählt. Der fusionierte duale Inhibitor 102a (Abbildung 3) zeigte tatsächlich eine stärkere Stabilisierung von BRD4 als sein Ausgangsinhibitor, während er zusätzlich eine Bindung an HDAC1 zeigte.


Abbildung 3: Strategie für die Verschmelzung der BET- und HDAC-Pharmakophore. Der duale Inhibitor 102a vereint das Acetyllysin-Mimetikum des BET-Inhibitors 22 und die zinkbindende Gruppe des HDAC-Inhibitors 7, wobei er eine minimale Größe aufweist.

Durch die Ausnutzung einer bivalenten Wechselwirkung mit einem konservierten Asparagin konnte die Bindung an BRD4 weiter verbessert werden, was zu dem Inhibitor 107b führte (Abbildung 4). Im Hinblick auf eine bessere Stabilität und zur Erleichterung der Synthese war eine weitere Optimierung erforderlich. Das beste Verhältnis zwischen BET- und HDAC-Bindung wurde mit Verbindung 123a erreicht, die eine zelluläre Zielbindung an BRD4 im nanomolaren und an HDAC1/2 im niedrigen mikromolaren Bereich aufwies. Durch die Einführung aromatischer Reste konnte die HDAC-Bindung deutlich verbessert werden, wobei der beste Inhibitor 132b mit EC50-Werten von 110 nM bzw. 100 nM in einem zellulären Target-Engagement-Assay an HDAC1/2 band. Ein Selektivitätspanel zeigte außerdem, dass die Verbindung 132b selektiv für HDAC 1/2 gegenüber allen anderen zinkabhängigen HDACs ist.


107b


123a


132a: $X=C H, R=$ phenyl
132b: $X=N, R=$ phenyl
132c: $X=N, R=2$-furyl
132d: $X=N, R=2$-thienyl

Abbildung 4: Schrittweise Optimierung des fusionierten dualen Inhibitors. Die Substitution des Hydroxyindols verbesserte die Stabilität und erleichterte die Synthese. Die Ergänzung aromatischer Substituenten verbesserte die Bindung an HDAC1/2.

In Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebszellen wurde die Histondeacetylierung durch die substituierten Inhibitoren 132a-d wirksam blockiert. Außerdem wurden die Tumorsuppressoren und BET-InhibitionsBiomarker HEXIM1 und p57 signifikant hochreguliert. In NUT-Midline Carcinoma (NMC)-Zellen wurden die Onkogene MYC und TP63 herunterreguliert. Bei der Untersuchung der Viabilität von Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebszellen konnte die Synergie der kombinierten Hemmung von BET-Proteinen und HDACs bestätigt werden. Die dualen Inhibitoren waren bei der Verringerung der Zellviabilität mit $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-Werten von etwa $3 \mu \mathrm{M}$ jedoch noch wirksamer. Bei NMC-Zellen konnte kein synergistischer Effekt für die Kombination von BET- und HDAC-Inhibitoren beobachtet werden, aber der duale Inhibitor 132b war immer noch am effektivsten in der Verringerung der Zelllviabilität ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=220 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Insgesamt bilden die vielversprechenden in-vitro-Ergebnisse eine Grundlage für künftige Studien mit dualen BET/HDAC-Inhibitoren bei Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebs und anderen Krebsarten. Da eine pharmakokinetische Studie auf eine suboptimale metabolische Stabilität und eine hohe Clearance der Verbindung 132b hindeutet, wäre eine zusätzliche Optimierung der Inhibitoren erforderlich, um weitere in-vivo-Studien zu fördern.

Bei einem anderen Ansatz wurde die aufkommende PROTAC-Strategie, die darauf abzielt, die relevanten Proteine abzubauen, anstatt sie einfach zu hemmen, für das kombinierte Targeting von HDACs und BET-Proteinen verfolgt. Unter Verwendung des oben beschriebenen dualen Inhibitorgerüsts wurden Liganden für die E3-Ligasen CBRN und VHL angebracht, wodurch die dualen PROTACs 161a-c und 164a-c entstanden (Abbildung 5). In einem zellulären Target-Engagement-Assay banden die PROTACs schwächer als die dualen Inhibitoren, zeigten aber dennoch $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$-Werte im
niedrigen mikromolaren Bereich für BRD4 und im nanomolaren Bereich für HDAC1/2. Die Auswertung mittels eines fluoreszenzbasierten HiBiT-Assays zeigte jedoch keinen Abbau von BRD4.


161a: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$
161b: $X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$
161c: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{CO})$


164a: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$
164b: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3}$
164c: $X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$

Abbildung 5: Pyrrolopyridon-basierte duale BET/HDAC PROTACs. Die synthetisierten Verbindungen zeigten eine zelluläre Bindung an BRD4 und HDAC1/2, aber keinen Abbau von BRD4

Aus diesem Grund wurde eine weitere Reihe von PROTACs auf der Basis von Diazepin 19 entwickelt und synthetisiert, woraus die Verbindungen 175a-f und 177a-e hervorgingen (Abbildung 6). Im HiBiTAssay konnten einige der getesteten Degrader die BRD4-Werte senken. Die Analyse mittels Western Blot zeigte ebenfalls eine Verringerung der BRD4-Werte nach der Anwendung in zwei verschiedenen Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebs-Zelllinien, wobei die stärkste Wirkung von den Thalidomid-basierten PROTACs 175b und e ausging. Interessanterweise konnte eine leichte Selektivität für BRD4 festgestellt werden, wobei nur eine geringe Verringerung der BRD2-Werte zu beobachten war. Die Untersuchung der HDAC1-Werte zeigte eine Wirkung nach der Anwendung von Substanz 175e nach 48 Stunden. Dies war der einzige PROTAC, bei dem eine Verringerung der HDAC1-Werte zusammen mit einer erhöhten Histonacetylierung festgestellt werden konnte. Darüber hinaus verringerte PROTAC 175e die Viabilität von PatuT-Zellen der Bauchspeicheldrüse mit einem $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-Wert von 800 nM stark. Dies war wirksamer als alle zuvor untersuchten dualen BET/HDAC-Inhibitoren und spricht daher für weitergehende biologische Untersuchungen.

Die Experimente sollten unter Verwendung eines Proteasominhibitors wiederholt werden, um festzustellen, ob die beobachteten Wirkungen tatsächlich auf den Proteinabbau zurückzuführen sind. Darüber hinaus wäre der Einsatz von massenspektrometriebasierten Proteomics-Untersuchungen interessant, um die Selektivität von Verbindung 175e zu bewerten. Insgesamt scheint die Anwendung
von PROTACs für die gleichzeitige Anwendung auf zwei verschiedenen Klassen von Proteinen eine umsetzbare alternative Strategie zu sein, die weiter untersucht werden sollte.

175a: $Y=\mathrm{O} ; X=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}$
175b: $Y=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2}$
175c: $Y=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3}$
175d: $Y=\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
175e: $Y=N ; X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$
175f: $Y=N ; X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$

177a: $X=0$
177b: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
177c: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
177d: $X=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3}$
177e: $\mathrm{X}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$

Abbildung 6: Diazepin-basierte duale BET/HDAC PROTACs. Substanz 175e gelang es, die Werte von BRD4 und HDAC1 zu verringern und die Lebensfähigkeit von Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebszellen wirksam zu reduzieren.

Zusammenfassend lässt sich sagen, dass diese Arbeit verschiedene Ansätze für das gleichzeitige Adressieren von epigenetischen Leser- und Modifikationsproteinen hervorgebracht hat. Durch die Entwicklung eines neuartigen Gerüsts, das selektiv HDAC1/2 zusammen mit BET-Proteinen in Zellen hemmt, wurde ein wirksames Instrument für die Untersuchung von Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebs und anderen Krankheiten, die auf epigenetische Prozesse ansprechen, geschaffen. Die geringe Größe der Verbindung bietet zudem die Möglichkeit, den Inhibitor in Richtung optimierter pharmakokinetischer Eigenschaften weiterzuentwickeln, was zu einem Medikament für die Krebsbehandlung führen könnte.

Ein zweiter neuer Ansatz, der verfolgt wurde, war die Entwicklung eines Degraders, der auf HDACs und BET-Proteine abzielt. Durch die Synthese einer Vielzahl verschiedener Moleküle wurde eine Verbindung entwickelt, die in der Lage ist, den BRD4-Wert zu senken und gleichzeitig die HistonAcetylierung zu erhöhen. Obwohl weitere mechanistische Untersuchungen erforderlich sind, um den Abbau zu verifizieren, ermutigen die starken antiproliferativen Wirkungen in Bauchspeicheldrüsenkrebszellen zu weiteren Studien zu dieser neuen alternativen Strategie.

## 6 Methods

### 6.1 Biophysical Characterization

## Differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF)

The effects of inhibitor binding on the apparent melting temperature of recombinant bromodomains were determined by DSF in a 96-well plate (Starlab) at a protein concentration of $2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound in buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$, and 0.5 mM TCEP. SYPRO Orange (5000x, Invitrogen), a dye that shows strong fluorescence upon binding to hydrophobic regions of unfolded proteins, was added at a dilution of 1:1000 (final concentration of $5 x$ ). Protein unfolding profiles were recorded using an MX3005P real-time qPCR instrument (Agilent; excitation/emission filters $=492 / 610 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) while increasing the temperature from 25 to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ values were calculated after fitting the fluorescence curves to the Boltzmann equation. Differences in melting temperature upon compound binding are given as $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}=T_{\mathrm{m}}$ (protein with inhibitor) $-T_{\mathrm{m}}$ (protein without inhibitor). Measurements were performed in triplicates.

## Crystallization and structure determination.

Protein crystallization and structure solution was performed by Dimitrios-Ilias Balourdas. Crystals of BRD4 in complex with dual inhibitors were grown using the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion technique at 277 K utilizing a mosquito crystallization robot (TTP Labtech, Royston, UK). BRD4 BD1 protein (10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ in 25 mM HEPES $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, $5 \%$ glycerol) was incubated with inhibitors at a final concentration of 1 mM prior to setting up crystallization trials. Crystals were cryo-protected with mother liquor supplemented with $23 \%$ ethylene glycol and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. X-ray diffraction data sets were collected at 100 K at beamline X06SA of the Swiss Light Source, Villigen, Switzerland. The obtained diffraction data were integrated with the program XDS and scaled with AIMLESS, which is part of the CCP4 package. The structures were then solved by molecular replacement using PHASER or by difference Fourier analysis using PHENIX with PDB entry 6YQN as a starting model. Structure refinement was performed using iterative cycles of manual model building in COOT and refinement in PHENIX. Dictionary files for the compounds were generated using the Grade Web Server (http://grade.globalphasing.org).

## Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)

ITC measurements were performed by Dimitrios-Ilias Balourdas. Measurements were performed using a Nano ITC micro-calorimeter (TA Instruments, New Castle, Pennsylvania). For all experiments, reverse titration was performed (syringe containing the protein solution; cell containing the ligand) in ITC buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,150 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ TCEP, and $5 \%$ glycerol. All compounds were diluted from 50 mM DMSO stocks to $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in ITC buffer and BRD4-BD1 was diluted to $120 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in
a DMSO-adjusted ITC buffer. BRD4-BD1 (120 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) was titrated into the compound solution ( $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) with an initial injection ( $4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) followed by 29 identical injections ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), at a rate of $0.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ and with 150 or 200 s intervals. All experiments were performed at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ whilst stirring at 350 rpm . The heat of dilution was determined by independent titrations (protein into buffer) and was subtracted from the experimental raw data. Data were processed using the NanoAnalyze software (version 3.10.0) provided by the instrument manufacturer. The first injection was excluded from the analysis, and fitted curves were generated by applying the independent model (single binding site) to the raw data.

## NanoBRET assay

The NanoBRET assays were performed by Lena Marie Berger and Benedict-Tilman Berger. The assay was performed as described by Machleidt et al. ${ }^{312}$ In brief: BRD4 bromodomains and full-length HDACs were obtained as plasmids cloned in frame with a terminal NanoLuc fusion (Promega). Plasmids were transfected into HEK293T cells using FuGENE HD (Promega, E2312), and proteins were allowed to express for 20 h . Serially diluted inhibitor and the corresponding NanoBRET Tracer (Promega) at a concentration determined previously as the tracer $K_{\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{app}}$ were pipetted into white 384 -well plates (Greiner \#781207) for BRD assays or white 96-well plates (Corning \#3600) for HDAC using an Echo acoustic dispenser (Labcyte). The corresponding protein-transfected cells were added and reseeded at a density of $2 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ after trypsinization and resuspending in Opti-MEM without phenol red (Life Technologies). The system was allowed to equilibrate for 2 hours at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ prior to BRET measurements. To measure BRET, NanoBRET NanoGlo substrate + Extracellular NanoLuc Inhibitor (Promega, N2540) was added as per the manufacturer's protocol, and filtered luminescence was measured on a PHERAstar plate reader (BMG Labtech) equipped with a luminescence filter pair ( 450 nm BP filter (donor) and 610 nm LP filter (acceptor)). For lysed-mode NanoBRET experiments, digitonin (Promega, \#G9441) was added as per the manufacturer's instructions to a final concentration of $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Competitive displacement data were then graphed using a normalized 3-parameter curve fit with the following equation: $Y=100 /\left(1+10^{\wedge}\left(X-\log \mid C_{50}\right)\right)$.

## HDAC selectivity profile

Selectivity and inhibition of HDAC enzymatic activity was tested for compound $\mathbf{1 3 2 b}$ at a concentration of $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against all zinc-dependent human HDACs (HDAC1-11). The reactions were performed by Reaction Biology using a protease-coupled assay with fluorogenic substrates where, after deacetylation by the HDAC and subsequent proteolytic digest, the free fluorophore 7-amino-4methyl coumarin (AMC) can be quantified. ${ }^{313}$ The following substrates were used for the activity assays: HDACs 1, 2, 3, and 6,: fluorogenic tetrapeptide from p53 residues 379-382 (RHKK(Ac)AMC); HDACs 4, 5, 7, 9, and 11: fluorogenic HDAC class2a substrate (trifluoroacetyl lysine); HDAC8: twice
acetylated fluorogenic tetrapeptide from p53 residues 379-382 (RHK(Ac)K(Ac)AMC); HDAC10: Ac-spermidine-AMC. Data are given as \% residual activity compared with the uninhibited control reaction.

### 6.2 Biological Characterization

HiBiT assay

HiBiT measurements were performed by Martin Schwalm, as previously described. ${ }^{314}$
The biological evaluation of the synthesized compounds in cancer cell lines was performed by Xin Zhang, Joel R. Schneider and Nick A. Klopp from the group of Prof. Jens T. Siveke.

## Cell culture and reagents

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell line PaTu 8988T was obtained from ATCC and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10\% FBS, 25 mM glucose, 4 L-glutamine, 1 mM sodium pyruvate and 1\% penicillin-streptomycin. Nut midline carcinoma cell line HCC2429 was kindly provided by Lead Discovery Center GmbH (Dortmund, Germany) and was cultured in RPMI1640 medium containing $10 \%$ FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine and $1 \%$ penicillin-streptomycin. Cell line PaTu 8988T was authenticated using Multiplex human Cell line Authentication Test (MCA) by Multiplexion GmbH and cell line HCC2429 was authenticated using short tandem repeat (STR) profiling.

## Cell viability assays

The assays was performed using Promega's CellTiter-Glo Luminescent Cell Viability Assay kit (Cat. \#G7571). First, compounds dissolved in DMSO were printed in 96 -well plates (Corning) using Tecan D300e digital dispenser. Then, cells were seeded into the compound-printed plates using Thermo Mulitidrop reagent dispenser. 72 h later, one volume of diluted CellTiter-Glo reagent (1:4 dilution with PBS) was added to individual well using Thermo Multidrop reagent dispenser again. Plates were shaken for 2 min and incubated for 10 min in the dark. Luminescent signals were read by Tecan Spark Multimode Microplate Reader. The values were normalized to the DMSO control wells and presented as percentage of cell viability. Dose-response curves were drawn by GraphPad Prism 8.

## Immunoblot analysis

Protein samples were prepared in RIPA buffer (9806S, Cell Signaling Technology) containing protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). Proteins were separated in SDS-polyacrylamide gels, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes with Trans-Blot Turbo Transfer System (Bio-Rad) and incubated with antibodies dissolved in TBS buffer containing 5\% BSA and $0.1 \%$ Tween20. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti- $\beta$-actin (ab8227, Abcam) and rabbit anti-acetyl-histone H3 (Lys9/Lys14; 9677, Cell Signaling Technology). Primary antibodies were recognized by a peroxidasecoupled secondary antibody (Jackson), and signals were detected by chemiluminescence.

## RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from cell culture using Maxwell RSC simplyRNA Cells Kit (Promega) according to the manufacturer's protocol. cDNA was synthesized using PrimeScript Reverse Transcriptase (TakaRa) and amplified using home-made PCR master mix. The amplicon was detected by EvaGreen Dye using LightCycler 480 instrument (Roche). PCR conditions were 5 min at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 45 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{sec}, 59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 sec and $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 sec . The relative gene expression levels were normalized to GUSB and calculated using $2^{-\Delta \Delta C t}$ method.

### 6.3 Chemical Synthesis

## Chemistry

Compound synthesis is described in detail in the Supporting Information, including analytical data for all final products. All commercial chemicals were purchased from common suppliers in reagent grade and used without further purification. For compound purification by flash chromatography, a puriFlash XS 420 device with a UV-VIS multiwave detector (200-400 nm) from Interchim with pre-packed normal-phase PF-SIHP silica columns with particle sizes of $30 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ (Interchim) was used. Synthesized compounds were characterized by NMR and mass spectrometry (ESI). In addition, final inhibitors were identified by high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS), and their purity was evaluated by HPLC. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were measured on an AV300, an AV400, or an AV500 HD AVANCE III spectrometer from Bruker. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million (ppm). DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ was used as a solvent, and the spectra were referenced to the residual solvent signal: $2.50 \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) or 39.52 ppm ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). Abbreviations: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad signal, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublets, ddd $=$ doublet of doublets of doublets, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplets, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublets, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{quartet}$, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\{1 \mathrm{H}\}=1 \mathrm{H}$-decoupled

HRMS was measured on a MALDI LTQ Orbitrap XL from ThermoScientific. Determination of the compound purity by HPLC was carried out on an Agilent 1260 Infinity II device with a 1260 DAD HS detector (G7117C; $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 280 \mathrm{~nm}, 310 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and an LC/MSD device (G6125B, ESI pos. 100-1000). The compounds were analyzed on a Poroshell 120 EC-C18 (Agilent, $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}, 2.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) reversed phase column using $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water (A) and $0.1 \%$ formic acid in acetonitrile (B) as a mobile phase. The following gradient was used: 0 min : $5 \%$ B-2 min: $80 \%$ B-5 min: $95 \%$ B-7 min: $95 \%$ B (flow rate of $0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.). UV-detection was performed at 320 nm ( 150 nm bandwidth), and all compounds used for further biological characterization showed $>95 \%$ purity. Synthesis of the cubane scaffold was carried out together with Nicolai D. Raig.

## General procedure for $\mathbf{N}$-Boc deprotection

The Boc-protected aniline was dissolved in DCM/TFA (3/1) and stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure.

## General procedure for Suzuki coupling A

The respective arylboronic acid (1.2 eq), tert-Butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (33, 1.0 eq ), potassium carbonate ( 3.0 eq ), Pd XPhos G2 $(0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ and XPhos ( 0.05 eq ) were dissolved in DMF/water (2/1, 85 mM ) and purged with argon for 10 min . The mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled to ambient temperature and partitioned between water and DCM. The DCM layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the crude product. The residue was then triturated with methanol and filtered.

## General procedure for Suzuki coupling B

6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3c] pyridin-7-one (15, 1.0 eq ), the aryl halide ( 1.2 eq ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos $\mathrm{G} 2(0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ and XPhos $(0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dioxane/water $(4 / 1,50 \mathrm{mM})$ and purged with argon for 10 minutes. The mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , cooled to ambient temperature and partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the crude product.

## General procedure for reduction of nitro groups

A mixture of the starting material (1.0 eq), ammonium chloride ( 7.0 eq ) and iron powder ( 7.0 eq ) in methanol/water (9/1) was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was filtered through a plug of celite, and the filtrate was partitioned between water and DCM. The DCM layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure.

## General procedure for azo coupling

The aniline (1.0 eq) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN}(1 / 1)$ and cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution were added conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and iso-amyl nitrite (1.0 eq), and the mixture was stirred for 1 h , during which the mixture turned yellow due to the formation of the diazonium salt. In a separate flask, the phenol (1.0 eq) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}(3 / 2 / 1)$, the mixture was purged with argon for 10 min and cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dissolved diazonium compound was slowly added to the second mixture, which instantly turned red. The mixture was stirred for 2 h while being slowly warmed to ambient temperature. Then, the mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography to provide the title compound.

## General procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis

The ester and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dioxane/water $(4 / 1,100 \mathrm{mM})$ and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and brought to a pH of 3 by the addition of $5 \%$ aq HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried under reduced pressure to provide the product.

## General procedure for amide coupling

Carboxylic acid (1.0 eq), amine (1.2 eq) and (7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yloxy)tripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyAOP, 1.3 eq$)$ were dissolved in anh. DMF ( 40 mM ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ diisopropylethylamine ( 1.3 eq ) was added. The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h and partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure.

## tert-Butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (24)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ 4-Bromo-2-nitroaniline (23, 7.00 g , $32.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), was dissolved in anh. THF ( 100 mL ), cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sodium hydride $(60 \%$, $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 71.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2 \mathrm{eq})$ was carefully added. After 10 minutes, a solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $7.74 \mathrm{~g}, 35.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 60 mL ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 4 h . The reaction was quenched with ice and the mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate) provided the product as a pale yellow solid ( $9.45 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6) \delta 9.66$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=339.00$, found $=338.92$

## tert-Butyl (2-nitro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (25a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling A". tert-Butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (24, 1.90 g , $5.99 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and thiophen-2-ylboronic acid ( $920 \mathrm{mg}, 7.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was isolated as an orange solid (1.13 g, 59\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{g}), 8.15$ (d, J $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $5.0, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=343.07$, found $=343.09$

## tert-Butyl (4-(furan-2-yl)-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (25b)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling A". tert-Butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (1.94 g, $6.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and furan-2-ylboronic acid ( $821 \mathrm{mg}, 7.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was isolated as an orange solid (1.27 g, 68\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 9.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.10 (dd, $J=3.4, J=0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.63 (dd, $J=3.4, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.45 (s, 9H). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=327.10$, found $=327.09$

## tert-Butyl (3-nitro-[1,1\'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (25d)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling A". tert-Butyl (4-bromo-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (24, 2.00 g , $6.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and phenylboronic acid ( $923 \mathrm{mg}, 7.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was isolated as an orange solid ( $1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 9.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dd, J = 8.6, J = $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.81-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=337.12$, found $=337.11$

## tert-Butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (26a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for reduction of nitro groups".tert-Butyl (2-nitro-4-(thiophen-2$\mathrm{yl})$ phenyl)carbamate ( $\mathbf{2 5 a}, 1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 3.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product was isolated as a colorless solid (0.928 g, 93\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(300 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d 6) \delta 8.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44$ (dd, J=5.1, J=1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd, $J=3.6, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 313.10, found $=313.06$

## tert-Butyl (2-amino-4-(furan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (26b)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for reduction of nitro groups". tert-Butyl (4-(furan-2-yl)-2-nitrophenyl)carbamate (25b, $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 4.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product was isolated as a colorless solid ( $0.989 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 8.32$ (s, 1H), 7.66 (dd, J = 1.8, J = $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04$
$(d, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4, J=$ $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=297.12$, found $=297.11$

## tert-butyl (2-amino-4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)carbamate (26c)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling A". tert-butyl (2-amino-4-bromophenyl)carbamate (1.00 g, $3.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and phenylboronic acid ( $471 \mathrm{mg}, 3.83 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was isolated as an orange solid ( $480 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.62-8.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=286.16$, found $=286.19$
tert-Butyl (3-amino-[1,1\'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (26d)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for reduction of nitro groups". tert-Butyl (3-nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (25d, $1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 4.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product was isolated as a colorless solid ( $1.288 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ - $7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.99 (d, J = $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.83 (dd, $J=8.2, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=307.14$, found $=307.05$

## tert-Butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (29a)



4-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)benzoic acid (27, $217 \mathrm{mg}, 603 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate ( $298 \mathrm{mg}, 783 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $158 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 904 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF ( 20 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 0.5 h . Then, tert-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate ( $26 a, 176 \mathrm{mg}, 603 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Intermediate 28a was deprotected without further purification. The residue was then dissolved in DCM/morpholine ( $1 / 1,10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and stirred for 1.5 h at ambient temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/methanol) to provide a colorless solid ( $135 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82$ (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=432.14$, found $=432.07$

## tert-butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)-4-(furan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (29b)



4-((((9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)benzoic acid (27, $317 \mathrm{mg}, 882 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq})$, 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate ( $396 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diisopropylethylamine ( $182 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF ( 20 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 0.5 h . Then, tert-butyl (2-amino-4-(furan-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (26b, $220 \mathrm{mg}, 802 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate) to provide the Fmocprotected intermediate ( $260 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.05$ (s, 1H), 9.79 (s, 1H), 8.72
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.66-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=3.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=616.24$, found $=615.20$

The residue was then dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM} /$ morpholine $(1 / 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 1.5 h at ambient temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/methanol) to provide a colorless solid (122 mg, 76\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 394.17, found $=394.22$

## tert-butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)-4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)carbamate (29c)



4-((() 9 H -Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)benzoic acid (27, $604 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate ( $760 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $350 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF ( 20 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 0.5 h . Then, tert-butyl (2-amino-4-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)carbamate ( $\mathbf{2 6 c}, 480 \mathrm{mg}, 1.68 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate) to provide the crude Fmoc-protected intermediate. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=627.26$, found $=627.38$

The residue was then dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{morpholine}(1 / 1,10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 1.5 h at ambient temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/methanol) to provide a colorless solid (168 mg, 25\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.64-8.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.69-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=405.18$, found $=405.26$


4-((( 9 H -Fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)benzoic acid $\quad(230 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 640 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate ( $316 \mathrm{mg}, 832 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( $167 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 960 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF ( 20 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 0.5 h . Then, tert-butyl (26a, 3-amino-[1, $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ -biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 640 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then dissolved in DCM/morpholine (1/1, 10 mL ) and stirred for 1.5 h at ambient temperature. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/methanol) to provide a colorless solid ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ). The product was used in the next step without further characterization.
(S)-N-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-4-(2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2$f][1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)acetamido)benzamide (31a)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{MR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25 (dd, $J=3.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 169.13,164.88,163.29,155.00,149.96,144.26,143.03,142.03,136.73,135.28,132.32,130.78$, 130.14, 129.89, 129.55, 128.84, 128.81, 128.53, 128.22, 123.91, 123.84, 123.55, 123.21, 122.27, 121.01, 118.17, 116.38, 54.91, 53.68, 14.09, 12.71, 11.32. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=692.2$, found $=691.5$
(S)-N-(2-amino-5-(furan-2-yl)phenyl)-4-(2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-
$f][1,2,4]$-triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)acetamido)benzamide (31b)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}$ $=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right)$ $\delta 169.14,164.91,163.30,155.01,153.82,149.95,142.95,142.02,141.20,136.73,135.29,132.32$, $130.78,130.14,129.89,129.56,128.87,128.81,128.52,123.43,122.30,122.18,119.24,118.19$, $116.22,111.79,102.39,53.69,14.07,12.69,11.31$. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=676.2$, found $=$ 675.8
(S)-N-(2-amino-5-(pyridin-4-yl)phenyl)-4-(2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-$f][1,2,4]$-triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)acetamido)benzamide (31c)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58-8.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-butyl (2-aminophenyl)carbamate (32)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ Benzene-1,2-diamine ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 46.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 eq ) was dissolved in DCM ( 200 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate $(10.09 \mathrm{~g}, 46.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in DCM $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was crystallized from hexane/ethyl acetate to provide the title compound as colorless crystals ( $7.89 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.53 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=231.11$, found $=231.05$
(9H-Fluoren-9-yl)methyl (4-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-carbamate (33)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling".4-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)benzoic acid ( $27,5.30 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and compound $32(3.07 \mathrm{~g}, 14.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product ( 12.74 g ( $64 \%$ purity), quant yield assumed) was used in the next step without further purification. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=572.22$, found $=572.20$

## tert-Butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)phenyl)carbamate (34)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277} 33$ ( $12.74 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ purity, 14.8 mmol ) was dissolved in ACN/morpholine (1/1, 200 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . Afterwards, ice water was added and the resulting precipitate was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with DCM, the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( $3.43 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.48$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ (ddd, J=6.6, 3.7, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 328.16 , found $=328.20$

## Ethyl 1-(p-tolyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylate (37)



The synthesis was adapted from Zibinsky and Fokin. ${ }^{271} 4$-methyl aniline ( $36,5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 46.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a mixture of water ( 25 mL ) and HCl (conc., 14 mL ) and cooled down to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice/salt bath. A Solution of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 46.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to the solution of 4methyl aniline. After the addition was complete, the mixture was stirred for 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution of diazonium salt was added dropwise to the mixture of $40.8 \mathrm{~g}(300 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{NaOAc} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and ethyl 2-isocyanoacetate ( $35,5.28 \mathrm{~g}, 46.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After addition of a final portion of the diazonium solution, the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , warmed up to ambient temperature, and stirred for additional 3 h . After formation of a red precipitate, the mixture was transferred to a round bottom flask and most of methanol was removed in vacuo. The remaining suspension of 1,2,4-triazole in water was filtered and the remaining solid precipitate was washed with cold water. Recrystallization of the remaining solid yielded a pinkish solid ( $4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H), 1.33(t, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=254.09$, found $=254.08$

## Ethyl 5-bromo-1-( $p$-tolyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylate (38)



The synthesis was adapted from Zibinsky and Fokin. ${ }^{271} \mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in silicon oil, $973 \mathrm{mg}, 24.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $6.93 \mathrm{~g}, 38.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were subsequently added to a solution of ethyl 1-p-tolyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylate ( $37,2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 9.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Afterwards, sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added, and the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. Combined organic fractions were dried over sodium sulfate, and then solvents were removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( $2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32$ ( $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=332.00$, found $=331.87$

## (5-Bromo-2-nitrophenyl)-D-alanine (40)



The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al. ${ }^{246}$ To a solution of 4-bromo-2-fluoro-1-nitrobenzene (39, $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 45.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added D-alanine ( $4.45 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(6.91 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Upon completion, the reaction mixture was acidified with 1 Naq . HCl to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$. Then, the mixture was filtered, and the solid was dried to give the title compound as a yellow solid ( $9.12 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $13.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1$, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## ( R )-6-bromo-3-methyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (41)



The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al..$^{246}$ To a solution of compound $40(8.40 \mathrm{~g}, 29.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(8.03 \mathrm{~g}, 58.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(20.2 \mathrm{~g}, 116 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was acidified with 1 N aq. HCl to $\mathrm{pH} 7-8$. Then, the mixture was filtered, and the solid was dried to give the title compound as a yellow solid ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (dd, $J=8.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.24(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=240.99$, found $=240.96$

## (R)-6-bromo-4-cyclopentyl-3-methyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (42)



The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al. ${ }^{246} \mathrm{~A}$ solution of compound 41 ( $3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylsilane ( $4.83 \mathrm{~mL}, 39.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cyclopentanone ( $3.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 39.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dibutyltin dichloride ( $5.95 \mathrm{~g}, 19.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 30 mL ) were stirred at ambient temperature for 10 h . Upon completion, the solvent was evaporated, diluted with water, and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated by evaporation under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound ( $4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=309.05$, found $=308.99$

## (R)-6-bromo-4-cyclopentyl-1,3-dimethyl-3,4-dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (43)



The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al. ${ }^{246}$ To a solution of compound $42(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMF ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 25.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min , and then iodomethane in THF ( $2 \mathrm{M}, 9.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred at room temperature for another 2 h . Upon completion, the reaction mixture was acidified with $1 \mathrm{Naq} . \mathrm{HCl}$ to pH 7-8, diluted with water, and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound as a white solid ( $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{dtd}, J=15.6,8.2,7.7,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.76-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=323.07$, found $=322.97$

## (R)-4-cyclopentyl-1,3-dimethyl-6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-3,4-

dihydroquinoxalin-2(1H)-one (44)


The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al. ${ }^{246}$ To a solution of compound $43(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and KOAc ( $2.43 \mathrm{~g}, 24.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 10 mL ) was added bis(pinacolato)diboron ( $3.77 \mathrm{~g}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the mixture was bubbled with Ar for 5 min , then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(505 \mathrm{mg}, 619 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added, and the mixture was further bubbled with Ar for 5 min . Then, the mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound ( $2.89 \mathrm{~g}, 63 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=371.24$, found $=371.18$

Ethyl

## 1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylate (45)



The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al. ${ }^{246}$ To a solution of compound 44 ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(635 \mathrm{mg}, 7.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 14 mL ) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added compound 38 (1.29 g, 4.16 mmol ), the mixture was bubbled with Ar for 5 min , then $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(309 \mathrm{mg}, 378 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was added, and the mixture was further bubbled with Ar for 5 min . Then, the mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound ( $817 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 (dd, J=8.3, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.3,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=474.24$, found $=474.20$
(R)-5-(4-cyclopentyl-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoxalin-6-yl)-1-( $p$-tolyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxylic acid (46)


The synthesis was adapted from Hu et al. ${ }^{246}$ To a solution of compound $\mathbf{4 5}$ ( $560 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ methanol $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(496 \mathrm{mg}, 11.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred at rt overnight. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with water, acidified with 1 N aq. HCl to a pH of 3 and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic fractions were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated by evaporation under reduced pressure to give a white solid (487 mg, 92\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.96$ (br s, 1H), 7.37 (s, 4H), 7.20 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 1H), $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## (R)-N-(4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-5-(4-cyclopentyl-1,3-dimethyl-2-oxo-1,2,3,4-

 tetrahydro-quinoxalin-6-yl)-1-(p-tolyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole-3-carboxamide (47)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 34 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 305 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound $46(163 \mathrm{mg}, 367 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were used. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=777.35$, found $=777.49$

The Boc-protected intermediate was reacted according to "General procedure for N-Boc deprotection". Purification by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/methanol) gave the title compound as a colorless solid ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~s}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{td}, J=8.1,1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=655.31$, found $=655.12$

## 2-Methoxy-4-methyl-3-nitropyridine (49)



The synthesis was adapted from Crawford et al and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{272,277}$ Sodium methoxide ( $100 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.8 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in methanol ( 300 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of 2-chloro-4-methyl-3-nitropyridine ( $48,85.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.493 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol ( 400 mL ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated to reflux for 16 h . About half of the solvent was reduced under reduced pressure, and ice water was added. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide a beige solid ( $79.5 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.34-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 154.19, 148.05, 141.69, 135.62, 119.47, 54.34, 16.06.

## 5-Bromo-2-methoxy-4-methyl-3-nitropyridine (50)



The synthesis was adapted from Crawford et al and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{272,277}$ Bromine ( $65.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.27 \mathrm{~mol}, 2.7 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was slowly added to a suspension of 2-Methoxy-4-methyl-3nitropyridine ( $49,79.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.470 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and sodium acetate ( $139 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.6 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in acetic acid $(450 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Afterwards, ice water and sat. aq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ were added $(1.8 \mathrm{~L})$, and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide a beige solid ( 100.5 g , 87\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) ס 153.46, 149.19, 140.97, 135.78, 114.36, 54.87, 17.44.

## (E)-2-(5-Bromo-2-methoxy-3-nitropyridin-4-yl)-N,N-dimethylethen-1-amine (51)



The synthesis was adapted from Crawford et al and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{272,277} 5-$ Bromo-2-methoxy-4-methyl-3-nitropyridine (50, $100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.405 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in DMF $(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $N, N$-Dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal ( $500 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.75 \mathrm{~mol}, 9.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise, and the mixture was heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, water ( 3 L ) was added, and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide a red solid (120 g, $98 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2}$ ) $\delta 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.90 (s, 6H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 154.49,148.74,148.26,139.83,111.26,86.27$, 54.84.

## 4-Bromo-7-methoxy-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine (52)



The synthesis was adapted from Crawford et al and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{272,277} \mathrm{~A}$ mixture of (E)-2-(5-bromo-2-methoxy-3-nitropyridin-4-yl)- $N, N$-dimethylethen-1-amine (51, 60.0 g , $199 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and iron powder ( $50.0 \mathrm{~g}, 895 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in methanol/acetic acid/water (6/2/1,

450 mL ) was heated under reflux for 2 h . The mixture was filtered over a plug of celite, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide an off-white solid. The procedure was performed twice to provide a combined yield of $85 \mathrm{~g}(94 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 150.80,134.83,134.10,129.13$, 120.92, 105.17, 101.98, 53.51.

## 4-Bromo-7-methoxy-1-tosyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine (53)



The synthesis was adapted from Crawford et al and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{272,277}$ Sodium hydride ( $60 \%, 28.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.20 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was slowly added to a solution of 4-bromo-7-methoxy-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine ( $52,85.0 \mathrm{~g}, 374 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 900 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 30 min , tosyl chloride ( $99.9 \mathrm{~g}, 524 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was slowly added. After 2 h , the reaction was quenched by adding ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was recrystallized from acetonitrile to provide a beige solid ( $110 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ $\delta 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 149.95,145.53,139.14,138.55,134.93$, 132.20, 129.89, 127.72, 118.37, 105.78, 104.14, 53.18, 21.05.

## 4-Bromo-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (54)



The synthesis was adapted from McDaniel et al. and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{187,277} 4-$ Bromo-7-methoxy-1-tosyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine (53, $80.0 \mathrm{~g}, 210 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dioxane $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ and HCl in dioxane $(4 \mathrm{M}, 250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . About 300 mL of dioxane were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was triturated with diethyl ether. The precipitate was filtered and dried to provide an off-white solid ( $62 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 151.97,145.36,136.70,135.03,131.11,129.67$, 129.60, 128.39, 121.88, 106.24, 91.19, 21.09.

## 4-Bromo-6-methyl-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (55)



The synthesis was adapted from McDaniel et al. and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{187,277}$ Sodium hydride ( $60 \%, 3.63 \mathrm{~g}, 151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was slowly added to a solution of 4-bromo-7-methoxy1 -pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine (54, $37.0 \mathrm{~g}, 101 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMF ( 400 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 20 min , iodomethane ( $9.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 151 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was slowly added. After 2 h , the reaction was quenched by adding ice water. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide an off-white solid ( $36.37 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 151.78,145.30,136.10,135.09,134.17,131.38,129.62,128.40,121.42,105.95,90.79,36.31$, 21.08.

6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo-[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (56)


The synthesis was adapted from McDaniel et al. and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{187,277} 4-$ Bromo-6-methyl-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (55, $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $4,4,4^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 5,5,5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-octamethyl-2,2'-bi(1,3,2-dioxaborolane) ( $13.3 \mathrm{~g}, 52.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), potassium acetate ( $5.66 \mathrm{~g}, 57.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ), Pd XPhos G2 ( $825 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.04 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( 125 mg , $262 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.01 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dioxane ( 150 mL ) and purged with argon for 10 min . The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , cooled to ambient temperature, partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and filtered over a plug of celite. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue was triturated with hexane/diethyl ether (2/1), filtered and washed with hexane to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $8.68 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 152.86, 144.91, 143.05, 138.93, 135.53, 131.06, 129.55, 129.52, 128.11, 121.29, 107.80, 82.80, 36.29, 24.60, 21.06. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=429.16$, found $=429.10$

6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7one (57)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ Compound 55 ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(881 \mathrm{mg}, 21.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dioxane $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, the pH was brought to 3 through the addition of aq $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \%)$, the dioxane was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide a colorless solid (1.074 g, 90\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=226.97$, found $=225.84$

The intermediate ( $730 \mathrm{mg}, 3.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4,4,4', $4^{\prime}, 5,5,5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime}$-octamethyl-2, $2^{\prime}$-bi(1,3,2-dioxaborolane) $(1.63 \mathrm{~g}, 6.43 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium acetate ( $947 \mathrm{mg}, 9.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Pd XPhos G2 ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 161 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and XPhos ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in dioxane ( 15 mL ) and purged with argon for 10 min . The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h , cooled to ambient temperature, partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and filtered over a plug of celite. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound ( $593 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=297.14$, found $=297.18$

## N -(2-bromo-4-nitrophenyl)pyridin-2-amine (60)



Sodium hydride ( $60 \%, 2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 54.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of pyridin-2-amine (59, 3.85 g , 40.9 mmol ) in DMF ( 60 mL ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , 2-bromo-1-fluoro-4-nitrobenzene (58, 6.00 g , 27.3 mmol ) dissolved in DMF ( 40 mL ) was added dropwise. After 16 h , the reaction was quenched by adding ice and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound ( $4.965 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 250 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=293.99$, found $=294.03$

## 2-Bromo- $\mathbf{N}^{1}$-(pyridin-2-yl)benzene-1,4-diamine (61)


$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(12.4 \mathrm{~g}, 71.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of compound $60(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 11.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(5 / 1,300 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, the pH was brought to 1 through the addition of aq HCl (conc.) and the mixture was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was neutralized with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound $(2.69 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}), 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, A), $7.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{G}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{D}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{E}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F} \& \mathrm{H})$, $6.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=264.02$, found $=264.03$


4-(chlorosulfonyl)benzoic acid ( $62,501 \mathrm{mg}, 2.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of compound 61 ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 2.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and pyridine ( 5 mL ) in THF ( 60 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and DCM and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH/AcOH) gave the title compound ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.9,7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81$ (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72$ (ddd, $J=7.1,5.0,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=447.99$, found $=$ 448.00
tert-butyl (2-(4-(N-(3-bromo-4-(pyridin-2-ylamino)phenyl)sulfamoyl)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate (64)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 64 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 335 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 32 ( $77 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH) gave the title compound ( $211 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.8,7.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=638.25$, found $=638.10$


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". Compound 64 ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 57 ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 164 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and the organic phase was filtered to provide the title compound (62 mg, 53\%). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=706.24$, found $=706.17$

N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-(N-(3-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)-4-(pyridin-2ylamino)phenyl)sulfamoyl)benzamide (66)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". Compound 65 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound (19 mg, 73\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=606.2$, found $=606.2$

N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-(N-(10-methyl-11-oxo-4-(pyridin-2-yl)-3,4,10,11-tetrahydro-1H-1,4,10-triazadibenzo[cd,f]azulen-7-yl)sulfamoyl)benzamide (67)


A mixture of compound $65(28 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and paraformaldehyde ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in AcOH ( 2.5 mL ) was heated in a sealed vial at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{-}=716.24$, found $=717.68$

The residue was reacted according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.82(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{p}, J=2.5$, $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75$ (dd, $J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=618.2$, found $=618.2$

## Methyl 4-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)carbamoyl)benzoate (69)



The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 4(methoxycarbonyl)benzoic acid ( $\mathbf{7 0}, 600 \mathrm{mg}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 32 ( $694 \mathrm{mg}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification.

## 4-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)carbamoyl)benzoic acid (70)



A mixture of compound $69(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 3.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mg}, 24.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 15 mL ), $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . Afterwards, the pH was brought to 1 through the addition of aq $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \%)$ and most of the organic solvents was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound ( $960 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 9.93$ (s, 1H, E), 8.68 (s, 1H, J), $8.13-8.00$ (m, 4H), $7.60-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=379.14$, found $=379.13$

## tert-butyl (2-(4-((3-bromo-4-(pyridin-2-ylamino)phenyl)carbamoyl)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate

 (71)

The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 70 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 61 ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. Purification by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) gave the title compound ( $580 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $10.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.07$ (ddd, $J=5.0,2.0,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-$ 7.52 (m, 3H), 7.20 (dtd, J = 22.7, 7.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H), $6.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74$ (ddd, J = 7.1, 5.0, 0.9 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=602.13$, found $=602.23$
tert-butyl


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". Compound 71 ( $385 \mathrm{mg}, 638 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound $57(175 \mathrm{mg}, 638 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were used. The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and the organic phase was filtered to provide the title compound ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=670.27$, found $=670.16$
$\boldsymbol{N}^{1}$-(2-aminophenyl)- $\mathbf{N}^{4}$-(3-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)-4-(pyridin-2ylamino)phenyl)terephthalamide (73)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". Compound 72 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 134 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19$ $-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{ddd}, J=9.0$, 7.1, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,7.2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69-6.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=570.2$, found $=570.2$

## $N^{1}$-(2-aminophenyl)- $N^{4}$-(10-methyl-11-oxo-4-(pyridin-2-yl)-3,4,10,11-tetrahydro-1H-1,4,10-triazadibenzo[cd,f]azulen-7-yl)terephthalamide (74)



A mixture of compound 72 ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 187 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and paraformaldehyde ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in AcOH $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a sealed vial at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{-}=682.27$, found $=682.23$

The residue was reacted according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19-8.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.98$ (dd, J = 5.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (dd, J = 8.6, $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=582.2$, found $=582.2$

## 4-((methylsulfonyl)methyl)aniline (76)



The synthesis was adapted from Fidanze et al. ${ }^{245}$ 1-((Methylsulfonyl)methyl)-4-nitrobenzene (75, $617 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 7 mL ) and combined with Pd/C ( $10 \%, 74 \mathrm{mg}$ ). The flask was evacuated and filled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ several times and the mixture was afterwards stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 90 min. The suspension was filtered through celite and the solvent was removed under vacuum to provide the title compound (514 mg, 97\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d 6$ ): $\delta 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-iodo-4-((methylsulfonyl)methyl)aniline (77)



The synthesis was adapted from Fidanze et al. ${ }^{245} \mathrm{~N}$-lodosuccinimide ( $739 \mathrm{mg}, 3.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a solution of 4-((methylsulfonyl)-methyl)aniline (76, $549 \mathrm{mg}, 296 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMF ( 18 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature. After 1 h , the reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The precipitate was filtered, suspended in water and stirred for 10 min . The solid was filtered again, washed with water and dried to provide the title compound ( $708 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta 7.58$ (d, J = $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.10(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3, \mathrm{~J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## N-cyclohexyl-2-iodo-4-((methylsulfonyl)methyl)aniline (78)



A solution of compound 77 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cyclohexanone ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{AcOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, sodium triacetoxyborohydride ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 4.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 2 h , the reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) gave the title compound (497mg, 79\%).

## 4-(2-(Cyclohexylamino)-5-((methylsulfonyl)methyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-

 c]pyridin-7-one (79)

The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". Compound 78 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 56 ( $436 \mathrm{mg}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH) gave the title compound ( $0.520 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.02$ - $7.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), $7.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$ (s, 3H), $3.27-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{J}=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.07$ (dd, $J=14.5,8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=568.19$, found $=568.28$

The intermediate was reacted according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound ( $0.287 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}\right) \delta 12.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56$ (ddt, J = 29.5, 12.5, 3.8 Hz, 3H), 1.41-1.25 (m, 2H), 1.15-0.97 (m, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 154.20, 145.02, 133.13, 131.25, 129.15, 129.05, 126.82, 123.25, 121.62, 115.29, 111.60, 110.31, 102.56, 59.07, 50.67, 35.55, 32.46, 25.37, 24.49. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=414.18$, found $=$ 414.14


A mixture of compound 79 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and paraformaldehyde ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in AcOH $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a sealed vial at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound ( 40 mg , $78 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.91$ - $11.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22$ (dd, J = 8.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), $7.19-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-$ $2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~J}=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 154.25,150.10,134.40,131.11,130.68$, $129.63,128.42,127.80,126.42,123.14,122.72,117.84,113.52,59.55,57.62,46.48,46.44,36.43$, 25.97, 24.96.

## Ethyl 4-bromo-7-methoxy-1-tosyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylate (81)



The synthesis was adapted from Sheppard et al. ${ }^{188} 4$-Bromo-7-methoxy-1-tosyl-1H-pyrrolo[2,3c]pyridine ( $53,16.00 \mathrm{~g}, 41.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 200 mL ) and chilled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of LDA ( 2.0 M in THF/heptane/ethylbenzene, $33.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 67.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Afterwards, ethyl chloroformate ( 6.0 mL , $63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 16 h . The reaction was quenched with sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was triturated with $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}(1 / 10)$ to provide the crude product $(18.0 \mathrm{~g})$ which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 8.21-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H$ ), $7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=455.00$, found $=455.00$

Ethyl 4-bromo-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylate (82)


The synthesis was adapted from Sheppard et al. ${ }^{188}$ Chlorotrimethylsilane ( $7.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 56 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added dropwise to a mixture of compound $81(18.0 \mathrm{~g}, 39.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Nal}(8.33 \mathrm{~g}, 55.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.4 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{ACN}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for $1 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}$ $20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and filtered. The collected solid was dissolved in DCM, filtered, and concentrated to give a brown solid which was washed with hexane and DCM to afford the crude product ( 16.0 g ) which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 11.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26$ (d, J $=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=440.99$, found $=440.95$

## Ethyl 4-bromo-6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylate (83)



The synthesis was adapted from Sheppard et al. ${ }^{188}$ Iodomethane ( $2.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a mixture of compound $82(16.0 \mathrm{~g}, 36.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(14.2 \mathrm{~g}, 43.7 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ in DMF $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . Water was added and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide the crude product $(15.6 \mathrm{~g})$ which was used in the next step without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}) \delta 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=455.00$, found $=455.00$


Compound 83 ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 22.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{~mol}, 8.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dioxane/water ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 / 1$ ) and heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure until about half of the initial volume was reached. The mixture was cooled and brought to a pH of 3 with diluted aq HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $2.13 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.18$ (br s, 1H), 12.99 $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 161.35,153.94$, 130.63, 130.45, 129.51, 125.29, 107.60, 92.45, 35.73. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=270.96$, found $=$ 270.95

## Ethyl 4-bromo-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylate (85)



Thionyl chloride ( $2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 28 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a solution of compound $84(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in ethanol ( 40 mL ) and the mixture was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Afterwards, the mixture was cooled to rt and ice was added. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $670 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 13.22$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.61 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 159.87,153.94,130.60,129.47,125.53,107.72,92.36,60.76,35.74,14.06 . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=299.00$, found $=299.00$

Ethyl 6-methyl-7-oxo-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylate (86)


Compound $85(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{B}_{2} \operatorname{pin}_{2}(1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 6.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq})$, potassium ethylhexanoate ( $1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 8.36 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), Pd XPhos G2 ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 167 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos $(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in MeTHF/dioxane ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 / 1$ ) and heated at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate, the combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) and afterwards triturated with hexane to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $525 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.73(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 160.35,155.15,139.92,131.33,129.20,125.52,109.68,83.33,60.52,35.79$, 24.67, 14.18. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=347.17$, found $=347.15$
tert-butyl (2-(4-(4-bromo-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamido)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate (87)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 84 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 369 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 34 ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 443 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, hexane/ethyl acetate) to provide the title compound as a colorless solid (110 mg, 51\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69$ (s, 1H, NH), $8.03-7.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.46 (s, 9H) ppm.

## tert-butyl

 (2-(4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamido)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate (88)

Compound 87 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 190 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq})$, KOAc ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.5 eq ), Pd XPhos G2 ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $5 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in dioxane ( 5 mL ) and heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate, the combined organic phases were washed with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ).
tert-butyl (2-(4-(4-(2-(cyclohexylamino)-5-((methylsulfonyl)methyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamido)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate (89)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". Compound 88 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound $78(61 \mathrm{mg}, 155 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound ( $62 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ).

N-(4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-4-(2-(cyclohexylamino)-5-
((methylsulfonyl)methyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2carboxamide (90)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". Compound 89 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound (18 mg, 65\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $9.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 7.21(d d, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, 7H), 1.08-1.02 (m, 3H).

## $N$-(4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-4-cyclohexyl-10-methyl-7-((methylsulfonyl)methyl)-11-

 oxo-3,4,10,11-tetrahydro-1H-1,4,10-triazadibenzo[cd,f]azulene-2-carboxamide (91)

A mixture of compound $89(30 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and paraformaldehyde ( $6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated in a sealed vial at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) gave the title compound (12 mg, 45\%).

## (9H-fluoren-9-yl)methyl (4-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)carbamoyl)phenyl)carbamate (97)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 4-(()(9H-Fluoren-9yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)benzoic acid (27, $4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $O$-(tetrahydro- 2 H -pyran-2$\mathrm{yl})$ hydroxylamine ( $96,2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 60 mL DMF were used. Instead of removing the solvent under reduced pressure, water was added and the resulting precipitate was dried to provide a colorless solid (4.97 g, 97\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.48$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.75 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 2H), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.35 (td, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 4.97 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), $4.52(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05$ (ddd, J = 11.9, 8.2, $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.57-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{THP}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=375.13$, found $=375.10$

## 4-Amino- N -((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)benzamide (98)



Compound 97 ( $4.95 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile/morpholine ( $80 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h . Water was added, the mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was extracted with $\operatorname{DCM}$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( $1.97 \mathrm{~g}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,8.7,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=237.12$, found $=237.20$
(E)-4-((2-amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)benzamide (99)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 466 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 5-amino-2-methylphenol ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 559 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( 127 mg , 66\%) was isolated.

## (E)-4-((3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxyphenyl)diazenyl)-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)benzamide (99a)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 466 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 2,6-dibromophenol ( $129 \mathrm{mg}, 512 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) was isolated. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=521.95$, found $=522.00$

## (E)-4-((4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-ureidophenyl)diazenyl)-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)benzamide

 (99b)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 466 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 1-(3-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)urea hydrochloride ( $113 \mathrm{mg}, 559 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.75$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 10.46 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 1H), $8.06-7.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=521.95$, found $=522.00$

## (E)-4-((2-guanidino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)- N -((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

## yl)oxy)benzamide (99c)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 466 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 1-(3-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)guanidinium hydrochloride ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 559 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) was isolated. The product was used in the next step without further characterization.

## (E)-4-((2-amino-5-chloro-4-hydroxyphenyl)diazenyl)-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)oxy)benzamide

 (99d)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 550 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 5-amino-2-chlorophenol ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( 215 mg , quant yield assumed) was isolated. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=391.11$, found $=391.05$
(E)-4-((2-(benzylamino)-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2yl)oxy)benzamide (99e)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 508 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 5-(benzylamino)-2-methylphenol $119 \mathrm{mg}, 559 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid (134 mg, 57\%) was isolated. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=461.21$, found $=461.20$

## (E)-4-((4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-(2-phenylacetamido)phenyl)diazenyl)-N-((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

 yl)oxy)benzamide (99f)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 550 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and $N$-(3-hydroxy-4-methylphenyl)-2-phenylacetamide ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 605 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ) was isolated. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=489.21$, found $=489.15$

## tert-butyl

## (E)-(2-((5-hydroxy-4-methyl-2-((4-)((tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-

 yl)oxy)carbamoyl)phenyl)diazenyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)carbamate (99g)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 550 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and tert-butyl (2-((3-hydroxy-4-methylpheny) amino)-2oxoethyl)carbamatephenylacetamide ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 605 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $212 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ) was isolated. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=528.24$, found $=528.20$
(E)-4-((2-Amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-hydroxybenzamide (100)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99 ( $127 \mathrm{mg}, 293 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. A red solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 163.82,161.07,154.53,146.20$, 131.83, 130.73, 127.86, 121.11, 114.52, 100.10, 15.18. MS (HRMS): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 287.1139 , found $=287.1140$

## (E)-4-((3,5-dibromo-4-hydroxyphenyl)diazenyl)- N -hydroxybenzamide (100a)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99a ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 395 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. A red solid ( $121 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 163.32,152.90,145.57,134.94,128.18,126.97,122.41,112.44$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=415.9063$, found $=415.9061$

## (E)-N-hydroxy-4-((4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-ureidophenyl)diazenyl)benzamide (100b)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99b ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 319 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. A red solid ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ 164.02, 161.73, 155.79, 154.32, 140.58, 133.79, 132.88, 128.36, 122.78, 119.30, 118.77, 104.67, 15.81. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=331.1230$, found $=331.1234$
(E)-4-((2-guanidino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-hydroxybenzamide (100c)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99c $(127 \mathrm{mg}, 308 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was used. A red solid ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) was isolated as a HCl salt. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 163.52$, 160.92, 156.91, 153.88, 137.98, 134.74, 134.00, 128.11, 124.16, 122.49, 118.26, 111.40, 15.72. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=329.1357$, found $=329.1365$

## (E)-4-((2-amino-5-chloro-4-hydroxyphenyl)diazenyl)-N-hydroxybenzamide (100d)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99d ( $215 \mathrm{mg}, 550 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. A red solid ( $79 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d ) $\delta$ $11.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=307.0592$, found $=307.0595$
(E)-4-((2-(benzylamino)-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)- N -hydroxybenzamide (100e)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99e ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 291 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. A red solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.49 (s, 1H), $7.39-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.26$ (ddd, J = 8.6, 5.4, 3.3 Hz, 1H), $6.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.04 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 163.77, 161.58, 154.30, 145.21, 139.19, 131.93, 130.76,
128.50, 127.89, 126.85, 126.80, 121.09, 114.24, 96.59, 45.71, 15.02. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=377.1608$, found $=377.1611$

## (E)-N-hydroxy-4-((4-hydroxy-5-methyl-2-(2-phenylacetamido)phenyl)diazenyl)benzamide (100f)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". Compound 99f ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 293 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. A red solid ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ 5.1, $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.98-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=6.7,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.78 - 1.63 (m, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 184.34,154.78,146.87,141.82,140.37,135.18$, 129.31, 128.83, 128.48, 127.87, 127.62, 127.33, 110.01, 46.35, 26.41, 17.20. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=405.15$, found $=405.15$
(E)-4-((2-(2-aminoacetamido)-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-hydroxybenzamide ( $\mathbf{1 0 0 g}$ )


Compound 99g (212 mg, $402 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in DCM ( 10 mL ) and HCl in dioxane ( $4 \mathrm{M}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mL}$, 4.0 mmol ) was added. After stirring at ambient temperature for 1 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as a HCl salt ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.72,161.24$, $154.15,137.21,134.77,134.32,128.36,123.16,122.05,118.60,107.76,16.05$. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=344.1353$, found $=344.1354$


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". tert-Butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)phenyl)carbamate (34, 75 mg , $0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(19 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), iso-amyl nitrite ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 5-amino-2-methylphenol ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(158 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/ethyl acetate) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.8,4.5,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=462.21$, found $=462.27$
tert-Butyl (E)-(2-(4-((2-amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)benzamido)-4-(thiophen-2yl)phenyl)carbamate (101b)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". tert-Butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (28a, $110 \mathrm{mg}, 269 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), conc. HCl $(22.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 269 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, iso-amyl nitrite ( $35.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 269 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 5-amino-2-methylphenol $(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(186 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (DCM/methanol) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( 79 mg , 54\%). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=544.19$, found $=544.22$
tert-Butyl (E)-(3-(4-((2-amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)benzamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4yl)carbamate (101c)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". tert-Butyl (3-(4-aminobenzamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (28d, $150 \mathrm{mg}, 372 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), conc. $\mathrm{HCl}(31.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $372 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), iso-amyl nitrite ( $49.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 372 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 5-amino-2-methylphenol ( 46 mg , $0.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(257 \mathrm{mg}, 1.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} /$ methanol) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=538.24$, found $=538.36$

## (E)-4-((2-Amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)- N -(2-aminophenyl)benzamide (102a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for $N-B o c$ deprotection". tert-Butyl (E)-(2-(4-( $(2-\mathrm{amino}$-4-hydroxy-5methylphenyl)diazenyl)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate (101a, $85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 7.86(d, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 6.80 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (td, J=7.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), $6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=362.15$, found $=362.18$. MS (HRMS): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=362.1612$, found $=362.1613$

## (E)-4-((2-Amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-(2-amino-5-(thiophen-2-

## yl)phenyl)benzamide (102b)



The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". tert-butyl ( $E$ )-(2-(4-((2-amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)benzamido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (101b, $79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (s, 2H), 7.05 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J = $8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 164.99, 161.29, 154.72, 146.26, 144.25, 143.11, 133.39, 130.78, 128.91, 128.21, 123.98, 123.95, 123.42, 123.20, 122.24, 121.01, 116.36, 114.69, 100.08, 15.24. MS (HRMS): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=444.1489$, found $=444.1480$
(E)-4-((2-amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)-N-(4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)benzamide (102c)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". tert-Butyl (E)-(3-(4-((2-amino-4-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)diazenyl)benzamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (101c, $95 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55$ (d, J=2.2 Hz, 1H), $7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-$ $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 165.5, 143.4, 140.7, 131.3, 129.4, 129.3, 128.6, 126.0, 125.3, 125.2, 124.1, 121.5, 121.5, 121.5, 121.4, 117.0, 100.6, 15.7. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=438.19$, found $=438.21$. MS (HRMS): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=438.1925$, found $=438.1918$

## 1-(6-chloro-1H-indol-1-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one (104a)



The synthesis was adapted from Lv et al. ${ }^{274}$ A mixture of 6-chloro-1H-indole (103a, $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), pivaloyl chloride ( $1.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DIPEA ( $3.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 40 mL ) was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EE) to provide a colorless solid ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 47 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{\left.-d_{6}\right)} \delta 8.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.30(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=236.08$, found $=236.05$

## 2,2-Dimethyl-1-(6-methyl-1H-indol-1-yl)propan-1-one (104b)


 (d, J = $3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.47 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09 (ddd, $J=7.9,1.6,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=216.13$, found $=216.10$

## 6-chloro-1H-indol-7-ol (105a)



The synthesis was adapted from Lv et al. ${ }^{275}$ 1-(6-Chloro-1H-indol-1-yl)-2,2-dimethylpropan-1-one (104a, $800 \mathrm{mg}, 3.39 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in anh. DCM ( 10 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, a solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{M}, 3.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in anh. THF. Sodium perborate ( $1.57 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ), followed by aq $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) were added. After stirring at ambient temperature for 1 h , volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EE) to provide a colorless solid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03$ (dd, J= $8.4,0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=3.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 168.01, found $=168.00$

## 6-Methyl-1H-indol-7-ol (105b)



The synthesis was adapted from Lv et al. ${ }^{275} \mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=148.07$, found $=$ 148.10
(E)-4-((6-chloro-7-hydroxy-1H-indol-4-yl)diazenyl)-N-hydroxybenzamide (106)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 98 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 508 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 6 -chloro- 1 H -indol-7-ol (105a, $101 \mathrm{mg}, 605 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $76 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ) was isolated. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=415.11$, found $=415.05$

The intermediate was reacted according to "general procedure for THP deprotection". A red solid ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ) was isolated. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=331.0592$, found $=331.0592$

## (E)-N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-((6-chloro-7-hydroxy-1H-indol-4-yl)diazenyl)benzamide (107a)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". Compound 34 ( 65 mg , 0.20 mmol ) and 6-chloro-1H-indol-7-ol (105a, $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. A red solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ) was isolated. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=528.24$, found $=506.10$

The intermediate was reacted according to "general procedure for Boc deprotection. A red solid (16 mg, 80\%) was isolated. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=428.0885$, found $=428.0883$

## (E)-N-(2-Aminophenyl)-4-((7-hydroxy-6-methyl-1H-indol-4-yl)diazenyl)benzamide (107b)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for azo coupling". tert-Butyl (2-(4-aminobenzamido)phenyl)carbamate (34, $160 \mathrm{mg}, 489 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and 6 -methyl-1H-indol-7-ol ( $\mathbf{1 0 5 b}, 86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The Boc-protected intermediate was used in the next step without further purification. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=486.21$, found $=486.20$

The intermediate was reacted according to "general procedure for N -Boc deprotection. Crude tertButyl (E)-(2-(4-((7-hydroxy-6-methyl-1H-indol-4-yl)diazenyl)benzamido)phenyl)carbamate (max. $237 \mathrm{mg}, 488 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to provide the title compound as a red solid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $11.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=386.1612$, found $=386.1611$

6-(Methoxycarbonyl)quinoline 1-oxide (109)


The synthesis was adapted from lto et al. and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277,315}$ Methyl quinoline-6-carboxlate ( $\mathbf{1 0 8}, 8.00 \mathrm{~g}, 42.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 200 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. meta-chloroperoxybenzoic acid ( $75 \%$, wet with water; $19.67 \mathrm{~g}, 85.47 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added in small portions to the stirring solution. After addition, the cooling bath was removed and the solution was stirred for 3 h at ambient temperature. Afterwards, the reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide a colorless solid ( $7.97 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.70(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5$,
$5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.26,142.43,136.99,131.09,129.85,129.43$, 128.99, 126.06, 122.87, 119.75, 52.58. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=204.06$, found $=204.05$

Methyl 2-hydroxyquinoline-6-carboxylate (110)


The synthesis was adapted from Xie et al. and performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277,316}$ Mesyl chloride ( $6.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 77.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a stirred solution of 6 -(methoxycarbonyl)quinoline 1-oxide ( $109,7.90 \mathrm{~g}, 38.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in acetonitrile/water $(1 / 1,140 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 45 minutes. The resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with hexane and dried to give a colorless solid ( $5.68 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.66,162.03,142.08,140.37,130.54,129.86,122.82,122.72,118.63,115.37$, 52.01. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=204.06$, found $=204.10$

## Methyl 2-chloroquinoline-6-carboxylate (111)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ Methyl 2-hydroxyquinoline-6-carboxylate (110, $5.64 \mathrm{~g}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in DCM ( 80 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DMF ( 5.0 mL ) and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 68.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$ were subsequently added and the mixture was stirred for 18 h . The reaction was quenched with ice and sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with DCM. The organic phase was washed with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product ( 8.80 g ) was recrystallized from chloroform to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $4.28 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.64(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 3.93 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.55,152.28,148.94,141.29,130.79,129.77,128.43$, 127.90, 126.10, 123.41, 52.49. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=222.02$, found $=222.00$
carboxylate (112)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 2.80 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), methyl 2-chloroquinoline-6-carboxylate ( $869 \mathrm{mg}, 3.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product could be filtered from the reaction mixture to give a colorless solid ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). The compound was not soluble enough in DMSO to give an NMR spectrum. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=488.12$, found $=488.15$

## 2-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid (113)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Methyl 2-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-carboxylate (112, $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The resulting yellow solid ( 0.85 g , quant) was not soluble enough in DMSO to give an NMR spectrum. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=320.10$, found $=320.10$

## N-(2-Aminophenyl)-2-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6carboxamide (114a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 2-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-carboxylic acid (113, $250 \mathrm{mg}, 783 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, \quad 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and tert-butyl (2aminophenyl)carbamate ( $32,179 \mathrm{mg}, 861 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The resulting colorless solid (399 mg, quant) was not soluble enough in DMSO to give an NMR spectrum. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=510.21$, found $=510.25$

The intermediate was reacted according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to give a beige solid ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $12.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.63 (td, $J=7.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 157.54,154.91$, 149.22, 143.67, 137.71, 132.65, 132.12, 129.12, 129.06, 128.93, 128.66, 128.17, 127.63, 127.19, $127.04,125.85,123.99,123.77,119.96,116.73,116.61,113.69,105.65,36.47$. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=410.15$, found $=410.10 \mathrm{HRMS}$ (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=410.1612$, found $=410.1618$

## N -(2-amino-4-fluorophenyl)-2-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (114b)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 113 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 313 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. After completion, water was added to the reaction mixture and the resulting precipitate was filtered. The crude product was then purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title
compound (74 mg, 55\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{\left.-d_{6}\right) ~ \delta ~} 12.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.63(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.2,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.39 (td, J = 8.5, $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.32 (br s, 2H), $3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 165.27,160.13$, 157.06, 154.42, 148.73, 145.56, 145.46, 137.22, 132.18, 131.52, 128.62, 128.52, 128.47, 128.20, $127.67,127.14,125.35,123.50,119.47,119.25,119.23,113.19,105.16,102.13,101.95,101.55$, 101.35, 35.98. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=428.14$, found $=428.20$

## 6-Methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4-carboxylic acid (115)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ 4-Bromo-6-methyl-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (55, $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in anh. THF ( 50 mL ) and cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of isopropylmagnesium chloride lithium chloride complex in THF (1.3 M, $12.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was slowly added. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and poured onto powdered dry ice. After stirring for 30 minutes, the reaction was quenched by adding sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the crude product ( 2.73 g , quant.). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=347.06$, found $=347.00$

## 6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4-carboxylic acid (116)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277} 6$-Methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4-carboxylic acid (115, $2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 7.88 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.65 \mathrm{~g}$, $63.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in dioxane/water ( $60 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and brought to a pH of 3 by the addition of $5 \% \mathrm{aq} \mathrm{HCl}$. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried under reduced pressure to provide the product as a colorless solid ( $1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{\left.-d_{6}\right)} \delta 12.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.7,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 166.58,154.72,136.59$,
127.70, 127.59, 122.58, 104.78, 104.03, 36.02. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=193.05$, found $=193.00$

## Methyl 4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4-carboxamido)benzoate (117)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ 6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4-carboxylic acid (116, $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(211 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $2.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.6 \mathrm{eq})$ were added to dioxane ( 15 mL ). The mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and cooled to ambient temperature. Afterwards, a solution of methyl 4-aminobenzoate ( 441 mg , $2.91 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.6 \mathrm{eq})$ and $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine $(381 \mu \mathrm{~L}, \quad 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ in $N, N-$ dimethylacetamide ( 8 mL ) was added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h and partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography ( $\mathrm{DCM} \rightarrow 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in DCM ) to provide the title compound as a colorless solid
 $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.87,164.48,154.49,143.94,133.34,130.14,127.59,127.55,123.77$, 122.81, 119.12, 108.77, 103.77, 51.84, 36.05. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=326.11$, found $=326.05$


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ Methyl 4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4-carboxamido)benzoate (117, $425 \mathrm{mg}, 1.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $329 \mathrm{mg}, 7.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 6 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in THF/methanol/water ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 3 / 2$ ) and heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and brought to a pH of 3 by the addition of $5 \%$ aq HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried under reduced pressure to provide the product as an off-white solid (397 mg, 98\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.18$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97-7.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{t}$, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 166.97,164.45,154.49,143.58,133.32$, $130.24,127.64,127.54,124.96,122.81,119.04,108.80,103.79,36.03$. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=312.09$, found $=312.05$

N-(4-((2-Aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4carboxamide (119)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 4-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-4carboxamido)benzoic acid (118, $190 \mathrm{mg}, 793 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( 86 mg , $0.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $161 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 164.78$, $164.40,154.52,143.13,142.29,133.17,128.89,128.58,127.66,127.55,126.66,126.37,123.54$,
122.86, 118.94, 116.31, 116.17, 108.94, 103.82, 36.06. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 402.15, found $=402.10$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=424.1380$, found $=424.1390$ Methyl 4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoate (121a)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (56, $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, methyl 4bromobenzoate (120a, $603 \mathrm{mg}, 2.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos G2 ( $92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=437.11$, found $=437.05$

Methyl 3-amino-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoate (121b)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one ( $56,1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), methyl 3-amino-4bromobenzoate ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 4.86 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathbf{1 0 2 b}, 1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 9.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos G2 ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 187 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $89 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product ( $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=452.12$, found $=452.10$


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one ( $56,1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), methyl 4-bromo-3methoxybenzoate (120c, $629 \mathrm{mg}, 2.57 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos G2 ( $110 \mathrm{mg}, 140 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=467.00$, found $=467.12$

## Methyl 5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinate (121d)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (56, $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 8.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), methyl 5chloropicolinate (120d, $1.68 \mathrm{~g}, 9.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(4.34 \mathrm{~g}, 20.43 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos G2 ( $193 \mathrm{mg}, 245 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.03 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 245 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.03 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in dioxane/water ( $160 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and purged with argon for 10 minutes. The mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , cooled to ambient temperature, diluted with water and the resulting solid was filtered. Dioxane was removed from the solution under reduced pressure, the solution was cooled to $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight and the resulting precipitate was filtered. The combined solids were dried under reduced pressure to provide the crude product ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=438.10$, found $=438.00$

## Methyl 6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)nicotinate (121e)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (56, $400 \mathrm{mg}, 934 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), methyl 6chloronicotinate (102e, $208 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(496 \mathrm{mg}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos G2 ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was used in the next step without being isolated. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=438.10$, found $=438.05$

## Methyl <br> 6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)pyridazine-3-

 carboxylate (121f)

The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 6-Methyl-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,6-dihydro-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-7-one (56, $400 \mathrm{mg}, 934 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), methyl 6-chloropyridazine-3-carboxylate ( $102 \mathrm{f}, 209 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(496 \mathrm{mg}, 2.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq})$, Pd XPhos G2 ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 47 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was used in the next step without being isolated. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=439.10$, found $=439.05$

## 4-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid (122a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Methyl 4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoate (121a, $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product was obtained as a colorless solid ( $602 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 3.60 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 167.19, 154.15, 141.70, 131.70, 131.30, 129.88, 128.94, 128.81, 127.97, 127.31, 127.14, 123.40, 113.71, 102.17, 35.64. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=269.08$, found $=269.05$

## 3-Amino-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid (122b)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Methyl 3-amino-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoate (121b, $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product ( $970 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=284.10$, found $=284.05$

## 3-Methoxy-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid (122c)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Methyl 3-methoxy-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoate (121c, $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product was obtained as a colorless solid ( $621 \mathrm{mg}, 97 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 12.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $12.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 167.11,156.58,154.11,130.89,130.63,130.31$, 129.57, 129.28, 126.62, 122.87, 121.69, 111.66, 111.07, 102.88, 55.35, 35.54. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=299.10$, found $=299.05$

## 5-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinic acid (122d)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Methyl 5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinate (121d, $1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was used. The product was obtained as a yellow solid ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96-8.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.18$ (dd, $J=8.1,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=2.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 166.05,154.18,147.54,146.18,136.09$, 135.27, 129.75, 127.65, 127.61, 124.89, 123.41, 110.43, 101.92, 35.74. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=270.08$, found $=270.00$

## 6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)nicotinic acid (122e)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Hydrolysis was performed directly after Suzuki coupling. $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(274 \mathrm{mg}, 6.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the solution of methyl 6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)nicotinate (121e, $409 \mathrm{mg}, 933 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 1 eq ). The product was obtained as a yellow solid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=270.08$, found $=270.00$

## 6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c] pyridin-4-yl)pyridazine-3-carboxylic acid (122f)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for ester and tosylamide hydrolysis". Hydrolysis was performed directly after Suzuki coupling. LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(274 \mathrm{mg}, 6.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 7 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the solution of methyl 6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)pyridazine-3-carboxylate $409 \mathrm{mg}, 933 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The product was obtained as a yellow solid ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=271.08$, found $=271.05$

## $N$-(2-Aminophenyl)-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzamide (123a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 4-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)benzoic acid (122a, $350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a colorless solid (198 mg, 42\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.72$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21$ (d, J=7.8 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.62 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ 165.03, 154.16, 143.18, 140.26, 132.67, 128.65, 128.36, $128.12,127.30,126.90,126.74,126.51,123.44,123.42,116.34,116.20,113.87,102.14,54.91,35.64$. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=359.14$, found $=359.10$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=381.1322$, found $=381.1332$

## 3-Amino-N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-

 yl)benzamide (123b)

The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 3-Amino-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid (122b, $970 \mathrm{mg}, 3.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( 555 mg , $5.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a beige solid ( $417 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{ddd}, J=17.0,7.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97$ (ddd, $J=8.1,7.3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5$, $1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.7,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO) $\delta 165.78,154.22,146.03,142.85,134.57,130.20,129.32,128.85,126.78,126.35,126.21$, 123.87, 123.78, 123.31, 116.38, 116.26, 115.18, 114.41, 111.71, 102.50, 35.55. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=374.15$, found $=374.10 . \mathrm{HRMS}$ (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=396.1431$, found $=396.1441$

## $N$-(2-Aminophenyl)-3-methoxy-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)benzamide (123c)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 3-Methoxy-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzoic acid (122c, $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( 189 mg , $1.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.3 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a colorless solid ( $388 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $9.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 164.91,156.54,154.14,143.29,134.76,130.36,129.75,129.10,128.77,126.84$, $126.63,126.58,123.30,122.89,120.06,116.29,116.16,111.30,110.77,102.87,55.51,35.57 . \mathrm{MS}(E S I):$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=389.15$, found $=389.10$. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=411.1428$, found $=411.1434$
$N$-(2-Aminophenyl)-5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamide (123d)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 5-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4$\mathrm{yl})$ picolinic acid (122d, $170 \mathrm{mg}, 631 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a pale yellow solid ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95$ (dd, $J=2.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$
(dd, J = 8.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 162.07,148.02,146.48,141.67,135.86,135.76,129.57,127.69,127.56,125.82,124.39$, 124.13, 123.39, 122.33, 117.06, 116.80, 110.49, 101.84, 35.72. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=360.14$, found $=360.05$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=382.1275$, found $=382.1286$

## $N$-(2-Aminophenyl)-6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)pyridazine-3carboxamide (123e)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 6-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)pyridazine-3-carboxylic acid (122e, $130 \mathrm{mg}, 481 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( 62 mg , $0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a pale yellow solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.66 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), $5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 161.32,159.32$, 154.39, 150.75, 142.43, 132.49, 127.45, 126.99, 126.35, 125.87, 125.47, 124.69, 123.41, 123.37, 116.72, 116.56, 109.96, 104.53, 36.04. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=361.13$, found $=$ 361.05. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=383.1227$, found $=383.1240$

## N-(2-Aminophenyl)-6-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)nicotinamide (123f)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 6-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4$\mathrm{yl})$ nicotinic acid ( $\mathbf{1 2 2 f}, 170 \mathrm{mg}, 631 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a beige solid (126 mg, 56\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.20 (dd, J = 7.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), $7.03-6.94$ (m, 2H), 6.80 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (td, J = 7.5, 1.4 Hz , 1H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 163.83,157.53,154.35,148.74,143.38$, 136.14, 131.19, 127.41, 127.22, 126.92, 126.73, 123.45, 122.81, 119.47, 116.12, 115.97, 112.76, 104.00, 35.90. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=360.14$, found $=360.10$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=382.1275$, found $=382.1285$

## 4-Bromo-N-ethyl-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (124)



The synthesis was adapted from Sheppard et al. ${ }^{188}$ Compound 83 ( $0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in ethylamine ( 2 M in THF, $4.41 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and to this was added magnesium methoxide (8$10 \%$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 4.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ). The mixture was heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h and then cooled to RT. After adding 20 mL of $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, the mixture was stirred for 30 min and the product precipitated as a colorless solid. The precipitate was dried under reduced pressure and the product was obtained as colorless solid ( $0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d} 6): \delta=12.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.44(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{-}$ $=296.00$, found $=295.96$ pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (125)


Compound 124 ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 403 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$ ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 805 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), potassium ethylhexanoate ( $183 \mathrm{mg}, 1.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), Pd XPhos G2 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in MeTHF ( 5 mL ) and heated at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate, the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH})$ and afterwards triturated with hexane to provide the title compound as a colorless solid (94 mg, 68\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d 6): ~ \delta=12.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=346.19$, found $=346.15$

Methyl 2-(2-(ethylcarbamoyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-carboxylate (126)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". 111 (87 mg, $0.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 125 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography to provide the title compound ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.36$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.8$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ $-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=405.15$, found $=405.15$

## 2-(2-(ethylcarbamoyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-

 carboxylic acid (127)

Compound $126(50 \mathrm{mg}, 124 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH}(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dioxane/methanol/water (3/2/1, 12 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 19 h . Afterwards, water was added and the pH of the solution was brought to 4 through the addition of diluted aq HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide the title compound ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=391.13$, found $=391.10$

## $\mathbf{N}$-(2-aminophenyl)-2-(2-(ethylcarbamoyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)quinoline-6-carboxamide (128)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 127 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and benzene-1,2-diamine ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. After completion, water was added to the reaction mixture and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide the title compound ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.72$ - 8.43 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-6.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.95-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=481.19$, found $=481.15$


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for Suzuki coupling B". Compound 120d ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and compound 125 ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. After the reaction was complete, $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{mg})$ was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Then, the pH was brought to 3 through the addition of aq $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \%)$ and the resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide the title compound ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=341.12$, found $=341.10$

4-(6-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)pyridin-3-yl)-N-ethyl-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (130)


The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 129 $(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzene-1,2-diamine ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was suspended in a small amount of MeOH and filtered to provide the title compound ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=431.18$, found $=431.15$
tert-Butyl

## biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (131a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 4-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)benzoic acid (122a, $160 \mathrm{mg}, 596 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and tert-butyl (3-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4$\mathrm{yl})$ carbamate ( $\mathbf{2 6 d}, 170 \mathrm{mg}, 596 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The obtained beige solid ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 535.23, found $=535.20$

## tert-Butyl (3-(5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (131b)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 5-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)picolinic acid (122d, $100 \mathrm{mg}, 371 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and tert-butyl (3-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4$\mathrm{yl})$ carbamate ( $\mathbf{2 6 d}, 116 \mathrm{mg}, 409 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The product was obtained as a beige solid (198 mg, quant.). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.29$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 10.58$ (s, 1H), 9.24 (s, 1H), 8.88 (dd, J = 2.2, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71$ - $7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ 161.98, 154.14, 153.93, 147.41, 146.41, 139.54, 137.37, 136.23, 136.11, 132.13, 129.78, 129.17, 129.00, 127.63, 127.48, 126.54, 123.37, 123.10, 122.49, 110.34, 101.69, 79.85, 35.73, 28.06. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=536.22$, found $=536.20$

## yl)picolinamido)-phenyl)carbamate (131c)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 5-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)picolinic acid (122d, $100 \mathrm{mg}, 371 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and tert-butyl (2-amino-4-(furan-2$\mathrm{yl})$ phenyl)carbamate (26b, $112 \mathrm{mg}, 409 \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product ( 195 mg , quant.) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 526.20 , found $=526.15$
tert-Butyl (2-(5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)carbamate (131d)


The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 5-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)picolinic acid (122d, $50 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 186 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and tert-butyl (2-amino-4-(thiophen-2yl )phenyl)carbamate ( $26 \mathrm{a}, 54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were used. The crude product ( 100 mg , quant.) was used in the next step without further characterization. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 542.18, found $=542.15$

## N-(4-Amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzamide (132a)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for N -Boc deprotection". tert-Butyl (3-(4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)benzamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (131a, $210 \mathrm{mg}, 393 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ). The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a beige solid ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.22$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1$ Hz, 2H), $7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (t, J=7.4 Hz, 1H), $7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 165.54,154.17,140.75,139.31,131.97,129.00,128.82,128.60,128.08,127.36$, 127.17, 126.96, 126.17, 124.97, 124.85, 123.44, 113.77, 102.14, 35.67. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=435.17$, found $=435.10$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=457.1635$, found $=457.1639$

## N-(4-Amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)-5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolin-amide (132b)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for N -Boc deprotection". tert-Butyl (3-(5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (131b, $198 \mathrm{mg}, 370 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ). The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a pale yellow solid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.28$ (s, 1H), 10.17 (s, 1H), 8.97 (dd, $J=2.2,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88$ (d, J = $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.68 (s, 1H), $7.60-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 162.29,154.15,147.99,146.50,141.51,140.31,135.89,135.82$, 129.60, 128.90, 128.80, 127.70, 127.58, 126.12, 125.65, 124.27, 124.16, 123.39, 122.80, 122.40, 117.11, 110.50, 101.84, 35.73. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=436.17$, found $=436.15$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=458.1588$, found $=458.1582$

## N-(2-Amino-5-(furan-2-yl)phenyl)-5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolin-amide (132c)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for $N$-Boc deprotection". tert-Butyl (4-(furan-2-yl)-2-(5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamido)phenyl)-carbamate (131c, $195 \mathrm{mg}, 371 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ). The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a beige solid ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $10.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54$ $(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 162.35,154.15,153.80,147.94,146.49,141.62,141.34,135.88,135.83,129.61,127.69$, $127.58,123.98,123.39,122.40,121.70,120.17,119.87,116.80,111.80,110.49,102.66,101.84,35.73$. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=426.15$, found $=426.10$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=448.1380$, found $=448.1385$

## N-(2-Amino-5-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamide (132d)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for N -Boc deprotection". tert-Butyl (2-(5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)picolinamido)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)phenyl)-carbamate (131d, 100 mg , $185 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $8 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ). The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a beige solid ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $12.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98-8.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.2,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.27-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85$ (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), $7.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.3,2.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.1,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.53$ (m, 1H), 5.08 (br s, 2H), 3.64 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta$ 162.40, 154.15, 147.88, 146.50, $144.18,135.88,135.87,129.62,128.24,127.69,127.59,124.39,123.54,123.39,123.37,123.33$, 123.31, 122.45, 121.98, 121.35, 117.24, 110.48, 101.84, 35.73. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+} \mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=442.13$, found $=442.10$. $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{MALDI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=464.1152$, found $=$ 464.1151

## N-(2-Amino-4-fluorophenyl)-5-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)picolinamide (133)



The synthesis was performed as described by Bauer et al. ${ }^{277}$ The synthesis was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". 5-(6-Methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4yl)picolinic acid (122d, $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine ( 51 mg , $0.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ were used. The crude product was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide the title compound as a beige solid ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), 8.94 (dd, $J=2.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.25 (dd, $J=8.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=2.8$,
$2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (471 MHz, DMSO-d $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta-$ 116.72 (ddd, $J=11.7,8.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) $\delta 162.51,154.14,148.00,146.45,144.60$, 144.50, 135.81, 135.75, 129.55, 127.70, 127.57, 126.95, 126.87, 123.38, 122.40, 119.70, 119.68, 110.50, 102.63, 102.45, 102.17, 101.97, 101.82, 35.72. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 378.13 , found $=360.10$. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=400.1180$, found $=400.1183$

## 1,4-dioxaspiro[4.4]nonane (135)



A mixture of cyclopentanone ( $134,250 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.82 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), ethylene glycol ( $189 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.38 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and DOWEX 50W X8 acidic ion exchange resin ( 4 g ) in benzene ( 500 mL ) was heated unter reflux for 3 d , while water was removed via a Dean-Stark apparatus. Distillation under reduced pressure provided the title compound as a colorless liquid ( $310 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.71 - 1.64 (m, 4H), 1.63 - 1.56 (m, 4H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 117.52,63.57,35.35,23.01$.
(3a'S,4'S,7'S,7a'R)-2',4'-dibromo-3a',4',7',7a'-tetrahydrodispiro[[1,3]dioxolane-2,1'-[4,7]methano-indene-8',2'-[1,3]dioxolane] (138)


The synthesis was adapted from Falkiner et al. ${ }^{295}$ To a solution of compound $135(144 \mathrm{~g}, 1.13 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dioxane ( 800 mL ), $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(185 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.61 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Afterwards, the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h under a stream of Ar to facilitate removal of HBr . Then, the mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(234 \mathrm{~g}, 5.86 \mathrm{~mol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(600 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. Afterwards, the reaction was heated under reflux for 16 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was poured onto ice and the resulting precipitate was filtered, subsequently washed with water and methanol, and dried to provide a beige solid ( $162.8 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta 6.17$ (dd, $J=6.4,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.05 (dd, $J=2.5,0.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.82 ( $\mathrm{dd}, J=6.5,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.26-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ (ddt, $J=4.8,3.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).


The synthesis was adapted from Falkiner et al. ${ }^{295}$ Compound 138 ( $162.8 \mathrm{~g}, 400.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was slowly added to concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ at ambient temperature and the reaction was stirred for 30 h . Afterwards, the mixture was poured onto ice and the resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried to provide a brown solid. Recrystallization from methanol then afforded a pale beige solid (98 g, 77\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d) $\delta 7.67$ (d, J = $2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.36 (dd, J = 6.9, 3.9 Hz, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25$ (dd, $J=7.0,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.59 (td, $J=4.5,3.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.52 (dd, $J=6.4,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.21 (dd, J = 6.4, 5.0 Hz, 1H).

## Intermediate 140



Conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of compound $139(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 62.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1.6 \mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was left outside and exposed to sunlight for 21 d . After monitoring by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR indicated full conversion, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was used in the next step without further purification.

## Cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (141)



Crude compound 140 ( $20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 62.9 \mathrm{mmol}$, quant. yield assumed) was dissolved in aq $\mathrm{NaOH}(20 \%$, 300 mL ) and heated under reflux for 3 h . Afterwards, the mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aq HCl (conc.) was added until a pH of 1-2 was reached. The mixture was left at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and the resulting precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried to provide a light brown solid ( $9.16 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.44$ ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=193.0495$, found $=193.0495$

## Dimethyl cubane-1,4-dicarboxylate (142)



A mixture of compound 141 ( $8.4 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DOWEX 50W X8 acidic ion exchange resin ( 700 mg ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated under reflux for 18 h . Afterwards, the mixture was filtered and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide a brown solid ( $6.62 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(250 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta 4.22$ (s, 6H), 3.69 (s, 6H).

4-(methoxycarbonyl)cubane-1-carboxylic acid (143)


A solution of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of compound 142 $(6.62 \mathrm{~g}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 90 mL ) and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . Afterwards, most of the solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was acidified with aq HCL (5\%) and extracted with DCM and ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a brown residue which was recrystallized from MeOH to provide the title compound as a beige solid ( $3.94 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 12.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 4,5,6,7-tetrachloro-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl 4-acetoxycubane-1-carboxylate (144)



The synthesis was adapted from Wiesenfeldt et al. ${ }^{293}$ EDC ( $846 \mathrm{mg}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 5 ml ). DIPEA ( $767 \mu \mathrm{l}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 15 minutes. Compound 143 ( $910 \mathrm{mg}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), 4,5,6,7-Tetrachloro-2-hydroxyisoindoline-1,3-dione ( $1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 4.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and DMAP ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), dissolved in DCM ( 12 ml ), were added to the reaction mixture and stirred at room temperature for 18 h . The solvent was removed in vacuo and the crude product was rapidly purified via flash chromatography (silica, DCM) to give a colourless solid ( $530 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroformd) $\delta 4.52-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 171.52,166.58$, 157.80, 141.21, 130.65, 124.89, 55.92, 52.91, 51.92, 47.86, 47.76.

## N-(tert-butyl)-N,1,1,1,3,3,3-heptamethyl-2-(trimethylsilyl)trisilan-2-amine (146)



The synthesis was adapted from Wiesenfeldt et al. ${ }^{293}$ Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( 0.94 mL , $11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in anh. DCM ( 30 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then, supersilane ( $3.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature over the course of 1 h . Then, a solution of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diisopropylethylamine ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tertbutyl-methyl amine ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ice bath was removed, and the resulting solution was stirred for 16 h at ambient temperature. Afterwards, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was triturated with methanol and filtered to provide a colorless solid (1.13 g, 33\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, Chloroform-d) $\delta 2.59$ (s, 3H), 1.14 (s, 9H), 0.20 (s, 27H).

## Methyl 4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)cubane-1-carboxylate

 (147)

Compound 55 ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.708 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) and cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Isopropylmagnesium chloride ( $980 \mu \mathrm{l}, 1.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.4 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 2 M ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . After full conversion of the starting material (monitored via HPLC-MS), $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(96 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was added to the reaction mixture and stirred at ambient temperature for 10 min .144 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.204 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and [4,4'-Bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)-2,2'-bipyridine] nickel (II) dichloride ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, slowly added to the reaction mixture and stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM (3x $10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed in vacuo to give a yellow resin. The crude product was used without further purification. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=463.12$, found $=463.10$


Compound 147 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 8 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in methanol/water ( $4 \mathrm{ml}, 3 / 1$ ) and heated at ambient temperature for 16 h . The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and quenched by the addition of sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{CL}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and the aqueous phase extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried in vacuo. The crude product was purified via flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.2 \%$ TFA ) to give the title compound as a yellow resin ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 16 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 449.11, found $=449.05$

## N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-1-tosyl-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)cubane-1carboxamide (150)



Compound 149 ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 6.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and PyAOP ( $4.1 \mathrm{mg}, 8.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$. DIPEA ( $2.6 \mu \mathrm{l}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 15 minutes. 1,2-Phenylenediamine ( $0.7 \mathrm{mg}, 6.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to the solution and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried in vacuo. The reaction mixture was purified via preparative HPLC ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) and the title compound was obtained as a yellow resin ( $0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=539.17$, found $=539.15$
( $2 r, 3 r, 5 r, 6 r, 7 r, 8 r$ )-N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-(6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-4-yl)cubane-1-carboxamide (151)


Compound $150(0.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 8 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in dioxane/water ( $1 \mathrm{ml}, 3 / 1$ ) and heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and quenched by the addition of sat. aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{CL}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( $3 \times 2 \mathrm{ml}$ ) and dried in vacuo to give the title compound as a yellow resin ( $0.2 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 385.16, found $=385.10$

## 4-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (154a)



A mixture of 4-hydroxy-thalidomide (153, $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, tert-butyl (2-(2hydroxyethoxy)ethyl)carbamate ( $\mathbf{1 5 2 , 3 9 3 \mathrm { mg } , 1 . 9 1 \mathrm { mmol } , 1 . 0 5 \mathrm { eq } \text { ), } \mathrm { PPh } _ { 3 } ( 9 5 6 \mathrm { mg } , 3 . 6 5 \mathrm { mmol } , 2 . 0 \mathrm { eq } ) ~}$ and $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(263 \mathrm{mg}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ in THF/DMF (10/1, 15 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of DIAD ( $716 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise. After 16 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=484.17$, found $=484.15$

The residue was dissolved in DCM/TFA (3/1, 20 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the title compound as a TFA salt ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 46 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.04$ (br s, 1H), $7.90(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.83$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.6,13.7,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.53$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=362.13$, found $=362.10$

## 4-(2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (154b)



A mixture of 4-hydroxy-thalidomide (153, $150 \mathrm{mg}, 547 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), tert-butyl (2-(2-(2bromoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)carbamate (155a, $188 \mathrm{mg}, 602 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), Nal ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol}$, $1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(129 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.8 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{DMF}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 d . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=528.20$, found $=528.15$

The residue was dissolved in DCM/TFA (3/1, 10 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the title compound as a TFA salt ( $107 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{q}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8,13.7$, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=406.15$, found $=$ 406.10

## 4-(2-(2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (154c)



A mixture of 4-hydroxy-thalidomide (153, $150 \mathrm{mg}, 547 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, tert-butyl (2-(2-(2-(2bromoethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)carbamate (155b, $214 \mathrm{mg}, 602 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ), $\mathrm{NaI}(82 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(129 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.8 \mathrm{eq})$ in DMF $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 d . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate.

The residue was dissolved in DCM/TFA (3/1, 10 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the title compound as a TFA salt ( $173 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.7,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.8,13.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.53$ $(m, 2 H), 2.06-1.99(m, 1 H) . M S(E S I): m / z$ calc. for $\left[M+H^{+}\right]^{+}=450.18$, found $=450.15$

## 4-((3-aminopropyl)amino)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (154d)



A mixture of 4-fluoro-thalidomide (157, $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), tert-butyl (3aminopropyl)carbamate (156a, $208 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and DIPEA ( $568 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMSO ( 2 mL ) was heated at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Afterwards, the mixture was partitioned between brine and ethyl acetate, the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH})$ to provide the Boc-protected intermediate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (ddd, $J=17.4,14.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

The residue was dissolved in DCM/TFA (3/1, 10 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the title compound as a TFA salt ( $239 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=331.13$, found $=331.05$

## 4-((8-aminooctyl)amino)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (154e)



A mixture of 4-fluoro-thalidomide (157, $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ), tert-butyl (156b, 8aminooctyl)carbamate ( $292 \mathrm{mg}, 1.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and DIPEA ( $568 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) in DMSO $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . Afterwards, the mixture was partitioned between brine and ethyl acetate, the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I)$ : $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=523.25$, found $=523.25$

The residue was dissolved in DCM/TFA (3/1, 12 mL ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, water/ACN) to provide the title compound as a TFA salt ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (ddd, J = 16.8, 13.7, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.79-2.72 (m, 2H), 2.65-2.44 (m, 2H), 2.09-1.97 (m, 1H), 1.54 (dp, $J=22.5,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=401.21$, found $=401.20$

## tert-butyl (3-(4-bromobenzamido)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)carbamate (158)



4-bromobenzoyl chloride ( $365 \mathrm{mg}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{2 6 d}$ ( 430 mg , $1.51 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\operatorname{DIPEA}(316 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred for 2 h while warming up to ambient temperature. Afterwards, the mixture was partitioned between water and DCM, the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM, the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-7.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}$ )

## Ethyl 4-(4-((4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-

 6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylate (159)

A mixture of compound $158(675 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, compound $86(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $766 \mathrm{mg}, 3.61 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ), Pd XPhos G2 ( $57 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.05 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and XPhos ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, 0.05 eq ) in dioxane/water ( $37.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 / 1$ ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Afterwards, the mixture was partitioned between water and DCM, the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM, the combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound ( $669 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=607.25$, found $=607.25$

## 4-(4-((4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxylic acid (160)



Compound 159 ( $669 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(185 \mathrm{mg}, 4.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF} /$ water ( $70 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 2 / 2$ ) and heated at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Afterwards, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure until about half of the initial volume was reached. The mixture was cooled, brought to a pH of 7 with diluted aq HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound ( $465 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(E S I)$ : $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=579.22$, found $=579.20$

## 4-(4-((4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-N-(6-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-

 dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)hexyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2carboxamide (161a)

4-((6-aminohexyl)oxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound $160(50 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The mixture was partitioned between water and DCM and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( 20 mg , 25\%).

The residue was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by prep HPLC ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 13 mg , $73 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{-d} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ ) $12.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-$ $7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H$ ), 2.01 (dtd, J = 13.0, 5.6, 5.2, $2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{p}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 172.76,169.94,166.83,165.30,159.44,155.99,154.21,139.99$, 139.82, 136.99, 133.97, 133.22, 132.67, 129.44, 128.83, 128.44, 127.60, 126.98, 126.25, 125.63, $124.82,124.68,119.75,116.20,115.10,113.69,104.70,68.74,48.72,38.84,35.81,35.76,30.94,30.76$, 28.86, 28.33, 26.10, 25.03, 21.98. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=834.32$, found $=834.35$

## 4-(4-((4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-N-(2-(2-(2-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-

 dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (161b)


4-(2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)-2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione
(154b, $35 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and compound $160(50 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The residue was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by prep HPLC (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid (52 mg, 70\%). 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 12.62$ - 12.41 (m, 1H), 11.08 (s, 1H), $10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.49 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), $7.48-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06$ (dd, $\mathrm{J}=12.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.9,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) , $3.57(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=13.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{ddt}, \mathrm{J}=13.0$, $5.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 172.74,169.90,166.77,165.34$, $165.23,159.59,155.77,154.21,140.01,139.50,136.88,133.76,133.19,132.31,129.50,128.92$, $128.50,127.50,126.98,126.83,125.95,124.83,124.77,119.90,116.71,116.27,115.33,114.40$, $113.60,104.98,70.07,69.71,68.91,68.82,68.68,48.74,44.88,35.80,30.93,23.61,21.96 . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=866.31$, found $=866.35$

4-(4-((4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-N-(2-(2-(2-(2-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-

## 1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)acetamido)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-

 pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (161c)
$N$-(2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-2-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)acet-amide ( $32 \mathrm{mg} 69 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound $160(40 \mathrm{mg}, 69 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The residue was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by prep HPLC (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $11.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.53(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ ( $\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=8.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.63-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{q}, J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.89 (ddd, $J=16.9,13.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{dtd}, J=13.0,5.2,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 172.73,169.84,166.88,166.69,165.41,165.23,159.63,154.92,154.21$, 139.88, 139.79, 136.85, 133.72, 132.98, 132.52, 129.47, 128.86, 128.45, 127.54, 126.98, 126.47, 125.75, 124.81, 124.78, 120.29, 116.72, 115.99, 113.64, 104.93, 69.60, 69.58, 68.95, 68.81, 67.49, 48.79, $38.38,35.80,30.92,21.97,1.12 . \mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=923.33$, found $=923.35$

## 3-(2-(2-(4-(4-((4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-

 oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamido)ethoxy)ethoxy)propanoic acid (163a)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 160 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl 3-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)propanoate (162a, $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the intermediate $t$ - Bu ester. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}(8 / 3 / 3)$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $87 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After stirring at ambient temperature for 30 h , the mixture was chilled and the pH was brought to 4 through the addition of aq $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \%)$. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide an off-white solid ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $12.14(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 126 MHz , DMSO) $\delta 172.60,165.15,159.64,154.24,153.42,140.19,139.33,135.83,133.78,132.42,131.24$, 129.93, 128.98, 128.26, 127.55, 127.36, 127.16, 126.37, 126.33, 124.78, 124.17, 123.81, 113.57, 104.90, 79.80, 69.57, 69.53, 68.94, 66.23, 35.82, 34.70, 28.06. $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=760.30$, found $=760.25$

## 1-(4-(4-((4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-

 6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridin-2-yl)-1-oxo-5,8,11-trioxa-2-azatetradecan-14-oic acid (163b)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 160 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl 3-(2-(2-(2-aminoethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)propanoate (162b, 35 mg , 0.12 mmol ) were used. The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the intermediate $t$-Bu ester. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}(8 / 3 / 3)$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(87 \mathrm{mg}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After stirring at ambient temperature for 20 h , the mixture was chilled and the pH was brought to 4 through the addition of aq $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \%)$. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide an off-white solid ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}$ $=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $3.52-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}\right) \delta 172.59,165.15$, $159.63,154.23,153.42,145.25,140.19,139.32,135.83,133.78,132.42,131.23,129.91,129.58$, $128.98,128.25,127.54,127.35,127.16,126.37,124.77,124.16,123.82,119.44,113.56,104.90,79.80$, $69.70,69.62,69.59,68.92,66.19,35.83,34.70,30.29,28.06,25.50,24.03,22.63 . \mathrm{MS}(E S I): m / z ~ c a l c$. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=782.33$, found $=782.30$

## 9-(4-(4-((4-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-

## 6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamido)nonanoic acid (163c)



The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 160 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butyl 9 -aminononanoate ( $162 \mathrm{c}, 29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate and the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the intermediate $t$ - Bu ester. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}(8 / 3 / 3)$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(87 \mathrm{mg}$, 2.1 mmol ) was added. After stirring at ambient temperature for 5 d , the mixture was chilled and the pH was brought to 4 through the addition of $\mathrm{aq} \mathrm{HCl}(5 \%)$. The resulting precipitate was filtered and dried to provide an off-white solid ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.99(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.34 - 1.21 (m, 12H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 174.47,165.14,159.40,154.23,153.42,145.25$, $140.21,139.32,135.84,134.01,132.42,131.22,129.92,129.54,128.98,128.25,127.57,127.35$, $127.17,126.37,124.67,124.15,119.44,113.58,104.66,79.80,35.81,33.63,28.93,28.69,28.63,28.52$, 28.05, 26.43, 24.47, 24.03. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=756.34$, found $=756.30$

4-(4-((4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-N-(2-(2-(3-(()S)-1-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)carbamoyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-3-oxopropoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (164a)


The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 163a ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and VHL ligand 1 (hydrochloride) ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=575.75$, found $=575.90$

The residue was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by prep HPLC ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 46 mg , $54 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=$ $5.8,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.21-8.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $7.50(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.4,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ $-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dp}, J=4.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $15.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{q}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.5,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.9,8.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 171.91,169.94,169.54,165.38,159.65,154.24,151.46,147.64$, $140.04,139.48,133.77,132.31,131.18$, 129.60, 129.53, 129.13, 128.94, 128.61, 128.52, 127.56, $127.41,127.19,127.01,126.87,125.98,124.87,124.84,124.80,116.72,114.41,113.65,104.98,69.59$, $69.49,68.98,68.87,66.96,58.71,56.37,56.30,41.66,37.93,35.83,35.65,35.36,26.30,15.90$. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=1050.45$, found $=1050.45$

## 4-(4-((4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-N-((S)-14-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-

 methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)carbamoyl)pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)-15,15-dimethyl-12-oxo-3,6,9-trioxa-13-azahexadecyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (164b)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 163b ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and VHL ligand 1 (hydrochloride) ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=597.77$, found $=597.95$

The residue was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by prep HPLC (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 38 mg , $68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.64-12.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60-8.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ $-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.5,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{td}, J=5.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $6.6,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.7,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.90 (ddd, $J=12.9,8.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.76-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta$ $172.38,170.40,170.02,165.90,160.12,154.73$, 151.94, 148.11, 140.57, 139.97, 139.85, 134.26, 132.72, 131.67, 130.08, 130.03, 129.44, 129.09, 129.02, 128.03, 127.90, 127.50, 127.48, 126.52, $125.36,125.32,125.28,117.11,114.80,114.11,105.47,70.22,70.19,70.11,69.94,69.40,69.35,67.40$, 59.19, 56.84, 56.77, 42.14, 38.41, 36.31, 36.13, 35.83, 34.83, 26.78, 16.36. MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=1094.47$, found $=1094.50$

## 4-(4-((4-amino-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)carbamoyl)phenyl)-N-(9-(((S)-1-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-

 methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)carbamoyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-9-oxononyl)-6-methyl-7-oxo-6,7-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[2,3-c]pyridine-2-carboxamide (164c)

The reaction was performed according to "general procedure for amide coupling". Compound 163c ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and VHL ligand 1 (hydrochloride) ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were used. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=597.77$, found $=597.95$

The residue was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $2 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by prep HPLC (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ with $0.1 \%$ TFA) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 40 mg , $71 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ) $\delta 12.41(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.54(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.18-8.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38-4.32(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=6.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.8,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,8.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92$ (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, DMSO) $\delta 172.06,171.92,169.72,165.37,159.41,154.24,151.45,147.64$, 140.05, 139.48, 133.99, 132.31, 131.18, 129.60, 128.93, 128.62, 128.60, 128.52, 127.58, 127.41, 127.02, 126.87, 125.97, 124.84, 124.69, 116.71, 114.40, 113.66, 104.73, 68.85, 58.68, 56.33, 56.26, 41.65, 38.86, 37.93, 35.82, 35.19, 34.82, 28.91, 28.64, 28.61, 28.59, 26.41, 26.34, 25.37, 15.89. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=523.75$, found $=523.85$

Methyl (S)-2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2,3,9-trimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)acetate (165)


The synthesis was adapted from Nowak et al. ${ }^{270}(+)$-JQ1 (7, $\left.9.90 \mathrm{~g}, 21.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}\right)$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 58 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.7 \mathrm{eq})$ and heated under reflux for 20 h . After cooling to ambient temperature, the mixture was neutralized with diluted aq NaOH and sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and afterwards extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound as a brown foam ( $7.88 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=415.09$, found $=415.10$

## methyl

(S)-2-(2-(acetoxymethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-

## $f][1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)acetate (166)



The synthesis was adapted from Nowak et al. ${ }^{270}$ Compound 165 ( $7.70 \mathrm{~g}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9.95 \mathrm{~g}, 371.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in a mixture of acetic acid ( 66 mL ), acetic anhydride ( 38 mL ) and conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 3 d . Additional $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4.98 \mathrm{~g}, 18.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 d . Afterwards, the mixture was poured onto ice and brought to a pH of 5 with diluted aq NaOH . The mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, the combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound as a brown foam ( $8.56 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $7.53-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}$,
$J=11.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}$ (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=473.10$, found $=473.05$
methyl
(S)-2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2$f][1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepin-6-yl)acetate (167)


The synthesis was adapted from Nowak et al. ${ }^{270}$ Compound 166 ( $8.45 \mathrm{~g}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added. After stirring at ambient temperature for 2 h , the mixture was neutralized with 1 N aq HCl and extracted with DCM and ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the title compound as a brown foam ( 7.77 g , quant.). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}$ $=431.09$, found $=431.05$
methyl (S)-2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-formyl-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]-diazepin-6-yl)acetate (168)


The synthesis was adapted from Nowak et al. ${ }^{270}$ Compound 167 ( $7.70 \mathrm{~g}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 180 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Dess-Martin periodinane ( $8.34 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . The mixture was diluted with additional DCM was washed with sat. aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the crude compound as a brown foam ( 10.24 g ( $75 \%$ purity), quant yield assumed). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.64$ (dd, J = 7.7, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.46 (dd, J=12.2, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=429.07$, found $=429.05$


The synthesis was adapted from Nowak et al. ${ }^{270}$ Compound 168 (10.24 g, 75\% purity, 17.9 mmol, $1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{ACN}(70 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $\mathrm{NaHPO}_{4}(2.14 \mathrm{~g}$, $17.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ in water $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%$ in water, $9.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 89.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were added. Afterwards, a solution of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(2.26 \mathrm{~g}, 25.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \mathrm{eq})$ was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min . To the mixture was added ice and sat. aq $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and the pH was brought to 4 through the addition of diluted aq HCl . The precipitate was filtrated, and the crude product was afterwards purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound as a yellowish solid ( $6.03 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=445.07$, found $=445.05$
isopropyl (S)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-6-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]-triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxylate (171)


Compound $169(3.30 \mathrm{~g}, 7.42 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq})$ and DMAP ( $227 \mathrm{mg}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.25 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DMF/iPrOH (1/1, 40 mL ). PyAOP ( $4.64 \mathrm{~g}, 8.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and DIPEA ( $1.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added and the solution was stirred at ambient temperature for 16 h . Afterwards, the mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate, the organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the crude product which was used without further purification. $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=487.11$, found $=487.10$
(S)-2-(4-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-(isopropoxycarbonyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3$a][1,4]$ diazepin-6-yl)acetic acid (172)


The procedure was adapted from Nicolaou et al. ${ }^{311}$ Compound 171 ( $3.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and trimethyltin hydroxide ( $9.83 \mathrm{~g}, 51.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 7.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in DCE ( 60 mL ) and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 d . Afterwards, water was added and the aqueous phase was brought to a pH of 5 through addition of diluted aq HCl and extracted with DCM. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was afterwards purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid (1.68 g, 48\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.15$ (hept, J=6.2 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.7,6.8,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.7,7.4,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=473.10$, found $=473.05$
isopropyl
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-ff[1,2,4]triazolo[4,3- $a$ ][1,4]diazepine-2carboxylate (173)


Compound 172 ( $497 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and Compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ ( $344 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were dissolved in ACN ( 15 mL ) and pyridine ( 1.5 mL ). T3P ( $50 \%$ in ethyl acetate, $1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h . Afterwards, the mixture was partitioned between water and DCM, the organic phase was washed with aq $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \%)$ and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to provide the product which was used without further purification ( $744 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.77(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (ddd, $J=11.1,7.9,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.51-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (dtd, $J=17.0,7.4,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16($ hept, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59$ (d, J = $6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.33$ (dd, $J=6.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=782.24$, found $=782.25$
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)phenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxylic acid (174)


Compound 173 ( $1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 2.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) and $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(468 \mathrm{mg}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.0 \mathrm{eq})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH} /$ water $(2 / 1,30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h . The residue was diluted with water and brought to a pH of 6 with diluted aq HCl . The resulting precipitate was filtered, dissolved in MeOH and dried under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the title compound as a brownish solid ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54$ (ddd, J = 9.2, 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 2H), $7.46(\mathrm{q}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (d, J = $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=740.20$, found $=740.25$
(6S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(2-(2-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)-ethoxy)ethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (175a)


Compound 174 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 154 a ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $66 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=1082.3$, found $=1083.3$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 5 mg , $9 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{td}, J=5.6,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.8,5.5,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{tdd}$, $J=13.7,7.9,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta 172.75,169.90,169.03$, $166.78,165.28,164.70,162.90,161.18,155.81,155.02,150.28,143.13,141.91,136.95,136.64$, $136.60,135.53,135.39,133.19,130.16,130.10,130.04,128.98,128.75,128.52,126.65,126.37$, $123.48,120.03,118.19,116.31,116.28,116.14,115.39,68.99,68.85,68.45,48.74,30.94,21.99,16.09$, 11.35. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1005.2516$, found $=1005.2515$
(6S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(2-(2-(2-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)-ethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (175b)


Compound 174 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 154 b ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}(-B o c)\right]^{+}=1027.51$, found $=1028.10$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid (19 mg, $50 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{-d_{6}}$ ) $\delta 11.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.97 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (dd, J = 8.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-$ $7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=8.0,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6$, $1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=12.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82$ $-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=17.0,13.9$, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.3,5.3,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.92 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 172.76, 169.91, 169.02, 166.79, 165.27, 164.70, $162.90,161.17,155.80,155.01,150.29,143.12,141.91,136.95,136.66,136.61,135.50,135.40$, 133.24, 130.17, 130.12, 130.03, 128.97, 128.74, 128.52, 126.65, 126.36, 123.48, 119.98, 118.18, $116.32,116.28,116.14,115.40,70.13,69.60,68.86,68.66,54.89,53.68,48.75,30.94,21.98,16.11$, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1049.2778$, found $=1049.2770$
(6S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(2-(2-(2-(2-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)-ethoxy)ethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]-diazepine-2-carboxamide (175c)


Compound 174 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 154 c ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=1171.40$, found $=1171.37$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 28 mg , $70 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{td}, J=8.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ - $6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 0 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.79-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.17(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.06 - $1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 172.76, 169.90, 169.01, 166.79, $165.25,164.70,162.91,161.18,155.82,155.02,150.30,143.12,141.91,136.95,136.67,136.62$, 135.50, 135.40, 133.24, 130.16, 130.04, 128.97, 128.74, 128.53, 126.65, 126.37, 123.49, 120.01, $118.18,116.32,116.28,116.14,115.38,70.16,69.81,69.73,69.55,68.84,68.68,68.60,48.74,48.59$, 30.94, 21.99, 16.12, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1093.3040$, found $=1093.3033$
(6S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(3-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)amino)-propyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-$f][1,2,4]$-triazole[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (175d)


Compound 174 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 154d ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=1052.6$, found $=1052.3$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as a yellow solid ( 16 mg , $51 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), $7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.94$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.0,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 12.7, $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=20.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.86-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 172.80$, $170.08,169.03,168.81,167.30,164.71,162.93,162.30,161.28,157.90,157.65,155.04,150.30$, $146.25,143.13,141.92,136.65,136.62,136.27,135.46,135.42,132.29,130.16,130.11,128.98$, $128.75,128.56,126.65,126.36,123.49,118.46,118.19,117.16,116.28,116.14,116.06,110.43$, $109.22,54.05,48.54,37.01,35.62,31.28,30.97,30.77,29.00,28.88,28.68,28.63,22.17,22.08,16.17$, 11.38. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=974.2570$, found $=974.2587$
(6S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(8-((2-(2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)amino)octyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2$f][1,2,4]$ triazole-[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (175e)


Compound 174 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound 154 e ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( 109 mg ( $63 \%$ purity), quant yield assumed). MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=1022.6$, found $=1022.2$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as a yellow solid ( 9 mg , $13 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.97 (d, J = $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.1,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (dd, J = 8.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 6.63-6.58(m, 1H), $6.51(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (ddd, J = 16.9, 13.8, $5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 172.79, 170.08, 169.02, $168.95,167.29,164.70,162.93,160.98,155.04,150.28,146.43,143.12,141.91,136.63,136.50$, $136.26,135.41,135.14,132.18,130.40,130.16,130.05,128.97,128.74,128.54,126.65,126.36$, $123.49,118.18,117.16,116.28,116.14,110.37,109.01,54.90,53.69,48.59,48.53,42.06,30.97,28.92$, 28.67, 28.64, 26.37, 26.26, 22.15, 16.10, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1044.3353$, found $=1044.3360$
(6S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(6-((2-

## (2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)-1,3-dioxoisoindolin-4-yl)oxy)hexyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-

$f][1,2,4]$ triazole-[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (175f)


Compound 174 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and compound $154 \mathrm{f}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $43 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{+}=996.30$, found $=996.20$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 20 mg , $30 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{ddd}, J=19.7,9.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.19(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.69(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88$ (ddd, J = 17.0, 13.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H), $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82$ $-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=14.7,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ 172.77, 169.94, 169.49, 169.11, 166.84, 165.32, 165.11, 163.00, 162.96, 160.99, 158.32, 158.03, 157.74, 156.00, 155.03, 154.98, 150.31, 149.12, 142.30, 137.00, 136.62, 136.50, 135.42, 135.20, 133.24, 130.40, 130.17, 130.07, 128.96, 128.55, 128.25, 126.76, 126.65, 125.51, 119.78, 119.31, 118.22, 116.23, 115.14, 113.95, 68.70, 54.18, 48.73, 30.95, 28.90, 28.30, 26.04, 24.98, 22.00, 16.12, 11.36. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1017.2880$, found $=1017.2893$
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(2-(2-(((S)-1-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)-carbamoyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethoxy)ethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-
$f][1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (177a)


Compound 176a ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature. After 1 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The deprotected amine and compound $174(50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were afterwards reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}-\mathrm{Boc}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=$ 577.19 , found $=577.40$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 28 mg , $68 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{-d_{6}}$ ) $\delta 10.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.43(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.25 (dd, $J=15.8,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.73,169.47,169.13,169.09,168.53,165.14,162.95,161.23,158.61,158.33,158.04$, $157.75,155.03,151.42,150.30,149.08,147.70,142.33,139.42,138.93,136.71,136.63,135.65$, $135.42,131.15,130.16,130.10,129.68,128.97$, 128.87, 128.69, 128.54, 128.19, 128.10, 127.45, $126.76,126.67,125.58,119.31,118.22,113.93,69.28,69.22,68.86,58.74,56.52,55.77,26.26,26.17$, 16.20, 15.89, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1175.3758$, found $=1175.3747$
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chloro-phenyl)-N-(2-(2-(2-(((S)-1-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)-carbamoyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-2-oxoethoxy)-ethoxy)ethyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2$f][1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (177b)


Compound 176b ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature. After 1 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The deprotected amine and compound $174(50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were afterwards reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). MS (ESI): m/z calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}-\mathrm{Boc}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=$ 599.21, found $=599.35$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 41 mg , $75 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.56(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.33(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-$ $7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-$ $6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.67 (dd, $J=10.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.60 (ddd, $J=16.3,7.0,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.45 (ddd, $J=27.2,13.4,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta 171.70,171.23,169.57,169.17,169.01,168.56,164.70,162.91,161.19,155.03,151.49$, 151.39, 150.29, 147.81, 147.74, 143.13, 141.92, 139.38, 136.67, 136.61, 135.60, 135.42, 131.12, 130.17, 130.04, 129.72, 128.97, 128.87, 128.75, 128.69, 128.53, 128.17, 127.45, 126.65, 126.37, $123.49,118.18,116.28,116.14,70.38,69.57,69.36,68.85,68.78,58.74,56.59,55.70,54.89,53.68$, 35.74, 35.53, 26.28, 26.18, 16.14, 15.95, 15.91, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 1219.4019, found $=1219.4029$
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chloro-phenyl)-N-((S)-3-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)carbamoyl)-pyrrolidine-1-carbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-5-oxo-7,10,13-trioxa-4-azahexadecan-16-yl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-

## $f][1,2,4]$ triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (177c)



Compound 176c ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature. After 1 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The deprotected amine and compound $174(50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were afterwards reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $74 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}-\mathrm{Boc}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=$ 628.23, found $=628.45$. The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid (34 mg, 50\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.78 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), $6.62-6.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=10.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 6 H ), $3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dt}, J=19.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=14.6,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta$ $171.91,169.92,169.52,169.00,164.70,162.92,162.30,161.19,155.03,151.42,150.30,147.71$, $143.12,141.92,139.49,136.66,136.63,135.50,135.41,131.15,130.18,130.14,130.04,129.63$, 128.97, 128.84, 128.74, 128.63, 128.53, 128.04, 127.42, 126.65, 126.36, 123.49, 118.18, 116.28, $116.14,69.73,69.69,69.54,69.46,68.86,68.63,66.94,58.70,56.35,56.28,53.68,35.90,35.66,35.35$, 35.11, 26.31, 16.11, 15.92, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1277.4438$, found $=$ 1277.4428
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(7-((S)-1-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)-carbamoyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-7-oxoheptyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-

## a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (177d)



Compound 176d ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature. After 1 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The deprotected amine and compound $174(50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were afterwards reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, DCM/MeOH) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{ESI}): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}-\mathrm{Boc}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=$ 590.22 , found $=590.45$. The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography ( C 18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{q}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63-6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66$ ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}=10.9,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.1,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.26 (dd, J = 14.4, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.17-2.09 (m, 1H), $2.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{dt}, \mathrm{J}=14.4$, $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.93 (s, 9H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\left(126 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right)$ ס 172.07, 171.94, 171.35, 169.71, 169.01, 164.71, 162.94, 160.99, 155.04, 151.42, 150.29, 147.71, 143.12, 141.92, 139.49, 136.63, 136.51, 135.41, 135.17, 131.16, 130.39, 130.16, 130.05, 129.63, 128.97, 128.83, 128.75, $128.63,128.55,128.03,127.41,126.65,126.36,123.49,118.19,116.28,116.15,68.86,58.68,56.34$, 56.27, 53.69, 28.88, 28.37, 26.45, 26.38, 26.20, 25.37, 16.11, 15.93, 11.37. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=1201.4278$, found $=1201.4267$
(S)-6-(2-((4-((2-aminophenyl)carbamoyl)phenyl)amino)-2-oxoethyl)-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(8-((S)-1-((2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-2-((4-(4-methylthiazol-5-yl)benzyl)-carbamoyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-3,3-dimethyl-1-oxobutan-2-yl)amino)-8-oxooctyl)-3,9-dimethyl-6H-thieno[3,2-f][1,2,4]triazolo[4,3-a][1,4]diazepine-2-carboxamide (177e)


Compound 176e ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature. After 1 h , all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The deprotected amine and compound $174(50 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ were afterwards reacted according to "general procedure for amide coupling". The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (silica, $\mathrm{DCM} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ ) to provide the Boc-protected intermediate ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). $\mathrm{MS}(E S I): \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calc. for $\left[\left(\mathrm{M}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}-\mathrm{Boc}\right) / 2\right]^{+}=$ 597.23, found $=597.40$

The intermediate was then dissolved in DCM/TFA ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 / 1$ ) and stirred at ambient temperature for 1 h . Afterwards, all volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (C18 silica, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}$ ) to provide the title compound as an off-white solid ( 28 mg , $44 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right) \delta 10.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.55(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.31(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.31-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}(126 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 172.07,171.94,171.35,169.71,169.47,169.09,165.12,162.96,160.97,158.60,158.32$, $158.03,155.03,151.45,150.31,149.11,147.68,142.31,139.51,136.62,136.48,135.43,135.14$, $131.18,130.43,130.17,130.06,129.62,128.96,128.84,128.63,128.55,128.24,127.42,126.75$, $126.65,125.51,119.32,118.22,113.94,68.86,58.68,56.33,56.25,41.68,37.92,35.20,34.86,34.50$, 28.96, 28.61, 28.46, 26.37, 25.38, 16.10, 15.92, 11.36. HRMS (MALDI): m/z calc. for $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]^{+}=$ 1215.4434, found $=1215.4470$
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## 8 List of abbreviations

| 5 mC | 5-methylcytosine |
| :---: | :---: |
| A | alanine |
| acac | acetylacetone |
| ACN | acetonitrile |
| AcOH | acetic acid |
| ADP | adenosine diphosphate |
| ASN | asparagine |
| ATLL | adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma |
| AUC | area under the curve |
| BCP | BD-containing protein |
| BD | bromodomain |
| BER | base excision repair |
| BET | bromodomain and extra-terminal |
| Boc | tert-butyloxycarbonyl |
| bpy | 2,2'-bipyridine |
| CBRN | cereblon |
| CDK9 | cyclin-dependent kinase 9 |
| CGI | CpG islands |
| CoA | coenzyme A |
| CoREST | corepressor of REST |
| CpG | cytosine-phosphate-guanine |
| CTCL | cutaneous T-cell lymphoma |
| CTD | C-terminal domain |
| D | aspartic acid |
| DCE | 1,2-dichloroethane |
| DCM | dichloromethane |
| DIAD | diisopropyl azodicarboxylate |
| DIPEA | $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine |
| DMAP | 4-dimethylaminopyridine |
| DMF | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide |
| DMF-DMA | $N, N$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal |
| DMP | Dess-Martin periodinane |
| DMSO | dimethyl sulfoxide |
| DNA | deoxyribonucleic acid |
| DNMT | DNA methyltransferase |
| DSF | differential scanning fluorimetry |
| $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ | half maximal effective concentration |
| EDC | 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide |
| EMA | European Medicines Agency |
| ESI | electrospray ionization |
| ET | extra-terminal |
| et al. | and others |
| EtOH | ethanol |
| F | phenylalanine |
| FDA | United States Food and Drug Administration |
| Fmoc | fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl |


| FTO | fat mass and obesity-associated protein |
| :---: | :---: |
| H | histidine |
| H2A/2B/3/4 | histone $2 \mathrm{~A} / 2 \mathrm{~B} / 3 / 4$ |
| HAT | histone acetyl transferase |
| HATU | O-(7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yl)- $N, N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethyluronium-hexafluorphosphate |
| HDAC | histone deacetylase |
| HEPES | 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid |
| HPLC | high-performance liquid chromatography |
| HRMS | high-resolution mass spectrometry |
| I | isoleucine |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | half maximal inhibitory concentration |
| ip | intraperitoneal |
| iPr | isopropyl |
| ITC | isothermal titration calorimetry |
| iv | intravenous |
| K | lysine |
| $K_{\text {D }}$ | dissociation constant |
| LDA | lithium diisopropylamide |
| IncRNA | long non-coding RNA |
| $\mathrm{m}^{6} \mathrm{~A}$ | $N^{6}$-methyl adenosine |
| MALDI | matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization |
| mCPBA | meta-chloroperoxybenzoic |
| MeOH | methanol |
| MeTHF | 2-methyltetrahydrofuran |
| MiDAC | mitotic deacetylase complex |
| miRNA | microRNA |
| MM | multiple myeloma |
| mRNA | messenger RNA |
| MS | mass spectrometry |
| MsCl | mesyl chloride |
| N | asparagine |
| NAD | nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide |
| NaOAc | sodium acetate |
| NBS | $N$-bromosuccinimide |
| NCoR | nuclear receptor corepressor |
| NIS | N -iodosuccinimide |
| NMC | NUT midline carcinoma |
| NMPA | National Medical Products Administration |
| NMR | nuclear magnetic resonance |
| NuRD | nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex |
| NUT | nuclear protein in testis |
| P | proline |
| PDAC | pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma |
| PDB | Protein Data Bank |
| pin | pinacol |
| Piv | pivaloyl |
| PK | pharmacokinetics |
| po | per os, by mouth |


| POI | protein of interest |
| :---: | :---: |
| PROTAC | proteolysis targeting chimera |
| PTCL | peripheral T-cell lymphoma |
| p-TEFb | positive transcription elongation factor $b$ |
| PyAOP | (7-Azabenzotriazol-1-yloxy)tripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate |
| Q | glutamine |
| RNA | ribonucleic acid |
| RNAP II | RNA polymerase II |
| RT-PCR | reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction |
| SAHA | suberanilohydroxamic acid |
| SAR | structure-activity relationship |
| SEM | standard error of the mean |
| SMRT | silencing mediator of retinoic acid and thyroid hormone receptor |
| SUMO | small ubiquitin-related modifier |
| supersilane | tris(trimethylsilyl)silane |
| T3P | propanephosphonic acid anhydride |
| TCEP | tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine |
| TFA | trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| THP | tetrahydropyranyl |
| $T_{\text {m }}$ | melting temperature |
| TMS | trimethylsilyl |
| TRIM | tripartite motif-containing protein |
| tRNA | transfer RNA |
| Ts | tosyl |
| UPS | ubiquitin-proteasome system |
| UV | ultraviolet |
| V | valine |
| VHL | von Hippel-Lindau |
| vs. | versus, against, opposed to |
| W | tryptophan |
| WB | Western blot |
| Xphos | dicyclohexyl[2',4',6'-tris(propan-2-yl)[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl]phosphane |
| ZBG | zinc-binding group |
| $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}$ | difference in melting temperature |
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Figure 1: Gene expression from DNA via transcription and translation (adapted from the National Human Genome Research Institute). ${ }^{5}$ The genetic information is stored in the form of nucleotide triplets (codons). I) During transcription, RNA polymerase copies information from the DNA sequence into an RNA transcript, creating mRNA which then exits the nucleus through the nuclear pore complex. II) To initiate translation, the ribosome assembles around the mRNA and facilitates binding of the first complementary tRNA. During elongation, the last tRNA transfers its attached amino acid to the previous tRNA, creating a growing peptide chain. The polypeptide is released when the ribosome reaches a stop codon in the mRNA.

Figure 2: Structure of chromatin, a complex of DNA and histone proteins (adapted from the National Human Genome Research Institute). ${ }^{24}$ The DNA double helix is wrapped around core histone octamers (two copies of H2A, H2B, H3 and H4), forming nucleosomes. This structure then further coils around linker histones (H1), eventually resulting in chromosomes. Epigenetic marks occur mainly on the N terminal histone tails
.3
Figure 3: Chromatin structure depending on the acetylation state. Histone acetyl transferases (HATs) add acetyl groups (light blue) to histone tails (orange) (adapted from the National Human Genome Research Institute)..$^{24}$ This removes the positive charge from lysine residues, causing weaker binding to DNA and therefore a more open chromatin structure. Histone deacetylases remove this acetyl group, resulting in chromatin that is less accessible for transcription.

Figure 4: Phylogenetic tree and classification of the HDAC family based on full-length sequence alignment (created using ClustalW). Class III HDACs, or sirtuins, consist of SIRT1-7 (not included in the figure)
. .7
Figure 5: Co-crystal structure of HDAC1 and peptide-based inhibitor H4K16Hx (orange). The inhibitor mimics a histone lysine residue but employs a hydroxamic acid to increase affinity to the zinc ion (grey) (PDB: 5ICN). ${ }^{90}$ .. 9

Figure 6: Hydroxamic acid pan-HDAC inhibitors. Compounds 2-4 are approved for the treatment of hematological neoplasms (represented by T-cell lymphomas and multiple myeloma)........................ 10

Figure 7: Mechanism of activation of romidepsin (5). In cells, the disulfide is reduced, releasing thiol 6, which potently binds the zinc ion of many HDACs.10

Figure 8: Benzamide-based HDAC inhibitors CI-994/tacedinaline (7) and chidamide/tucidinostat (8).

Figure 9: Co-crystal structure of HDAC2 and inhibitor BRD6929 (9, orange). The thiophene reaches into a pocket present in HDAC1 and 2 (PDB: 4LY1)12
Figure 10: Ethyl ketone 10 and isoxazole 11 are potent inhibitors of class I HDACs. ..... 12
Figure 11: Classification of the 61 different bromodomains into eight subtypes, according to the domain architecture and homology. ${ }^{134}$ ..... 13

Figure 12: Crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with an acetylated peptide (H3K14ac, orange). The four helices ( $\alpha A, \alpha B, \alpha C$ and $\alpha Z$ ) are connected by two loops (ZA-loop and BC-loop, light blue), which form a hydrophobic pocket that serves as the acetyl lysine binding site (PDB: 3JVK). ${ }^{137}$ 14

Figure 13: Domain organization of the BET family members (adapted from literature ${ }^{140}$ ). All four BET proteins contain two bromodomains (BD1 and BD2) and one extra-terminal domain (ET). BRD4 and BRDT also contain a C-terminal domain (CTD)

Figure 14: Transcriptional activation by BRD4 (adapted from literature ${ }^{148}$, created using BioRender). BRD4 binds to acetylated histones and recruits the positive transcription elongation factor $b$ ( $p-T E F b$ ) to chromatin. P-TEFb is activated by BRD4 and phosphorylates RNA polymerase II (RNAP II) to initiate gene transcription.

Figure 15: Mechanism of (super) enhancer regions (adapted from literature ${ }^{154}$, created using BioRender). Transcription factors bind to the enhancer and increase the activity of distantly located gene promoters. BRD4 binds preferentially to enhancers and interacts with the mediator complex, enhancing the expression of many oncogenes.

Figure 16: The first potent and selective triazolodiazepine-based BET inhibitors. (A) Benzodiazepine I-BET762 (12) and thienodiazepine JQ1 (13). (B) Crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with JQ1 (13) (orange). The triazole acts as an acetyl lysine mimetic and forms hydrogen bonds to a conserved asparagine residue (light blue) and to a conserved water molecule. The phenyl moiety interacts with the hydrophobic WPF shelf (light blue) (PDB: 3MXF).

Figure 17: Potent pyrrolopyridone-based BET inhibitors. (A) Pan-BET inhibitor ABBV-075 (14) and BD2-selective ABBV-744 (15). (B) Crystal structure of ABBV-744 (15) (orange) with BRD4 BD1 (wheat, PDB: 6ONY) and BRD4 BD2 (light blue, PDB: 6E6J). Important residues for binding and selectivity are shown and labeled.

Figure 18: Selected dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. Inhibitors $16,{ }^{234} 17^{228}$ and $18^{237}$ consist of different BETinhibiting moieties and the HDAC inhibitor SAHA (2). Dual inhibitor TW9 (19) was designed by combining JQ1 (13) and Cl-994 (7). ${ }^{230}$

Figure 19: Selected BET inhibitors for the development of dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. Inhibitors ABBV075 (14), 20 and 21 were used as starting points due to their high potency. ....................................... 21
Figure 20: BET inhibitor MS436 (22) and HDAC inhibitor CI-994 (7). Both molecules show a good structural overlap, and the central phenyl moiety (blue) can be fused to become the center of the dual inhibitor.

Figure 21: Crystal structure of a ternary complex of the first bromodomain of BRD4 BD1 (lightblue) and CRBN (beige) bound to PROTAC dBET23 (orange) (PDB: 6BN7).23

Figure 22: Pyrrolopyridone-based BET inhibitors (A) and dual BET/HDAC inhibitors (B). ${ }^{1}$ Synthesis can be found in the master's thesis of Nicolas Bauer; ${ }^{2}$ Synthesis can be found in the master's thesis of Julian Breidenbach; ${ }^{3}$ Synthesis can be found in the master's thesis of Dennis Keller.

Figure 23: Co-crystal structures of BRD4 BD2 with 79 (left) and BD1 with 80 (right).
Figure 24: Co-crystal structures of BRD4 BD1 with ABBV-075 (14) (left) and dual inhibitor 92 (right). The dual inhibitor has a similar binding mode to the BET inhibitor and the HDAC-binding moiety points towards the solvent.

Figure 25: Biological effects of the dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. (A) Effect on histone H3 K9/K14 acetylation in pancreatic cancer cells (Patu8988T) 48 h after incubation with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound monitored by Western blot (WB) (two sets of inhibitors). (B) Upregulation of mRNA levels of BETinhibition biomarkers HEXIM1 and CDKN1C in Patu8988T cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. (C) Upregulation of mRNA levels of BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1 and p57 in Patu8988T cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound for a second set of inhibitors. (D) Cell viability of Patu8988T cells 3 d after treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ inhibitor. (E) ITC data of 92 binding to BRD4 BD1 (left) and BD2 (right)
Figure 26: Co-crystal structures of BRD4 BD1 with MS436 (22) (left, PDB: 4NUD) and 102a (right, PDB: 8P9F). The dual inhibitor possesses a similar binding mode to the parent BET inhibitor. ................... 40

Figure 27: Co-crystal structure of BRD BD1 with 107b (left, PDB: 8P9G) and 114a (right, 8P9H). Both ligands employ a bivalent interaction to the catalytic asparagine. Inhibitor 114a binds similar to azobenzene 107b 43

Figure 28: Co-crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with 123a (left, PDB: 8P9I) and 132b (right, PDB: 8P9L).

Figure 29: Biophysical data of the dual inhibitors. (A) DSF bromodomain selectivity panel for inhibitor 132a measured at a compound concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ showing high selectivity for the BET family domains. (B) NanoBRET data for inhibitor binding to HDAC1 measured in intact cells. (C) Zincdependent HDACs selectivity panel. Residual enzyme activity of HDAC1-11 after inhibition with different concentrations of $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ b compared with the uninhibited control reaction, showing high selectivity for HDAC1/2. Experiments were performed by Reaction Biology. Mean of duplicate measurements. (D) ITC data of 123a and 123b binding to BRD4 BD1. (E) Correlation of NanoBRET data for inhibitor binding to BRD4-BD1 in intact vs lysed cells. The pEC 50 is defined as the negative logarithm of the $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ 50

Figure 30: Biological effects of the optimized dual BET/HDAC inhibitors. (A) Effect on histone H3 K9/K14 acetylation in Patu8988T cells 48 h after incubation with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound monitored by Western blot. (B) WB showing the concentration-dependent inhibition of histone H3 K9/K14 deacetylation in Patu8988T cells 48 h after treatment with 132a and 132b. (C) Upregulation of mRNA levels of BET-inhibition biomarkers HEXIM1 and p57 in Patu8988T cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. (D) mRNA levels of oncogenic drivers MYC and TP63 in NMC cells 6 h after treatment with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound, showing that the optimized dual inhibitors significantly downregulated both

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { transcription factors. (E) Cell viability of pancreatic cancer cell line PatuT (left) and NMC cell line } \\
& \text { HCC2429 (right) after 3d-treatment with different concentrations of inhibitors.................................. } 52
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 31: PK study results for inhibitor 132b. For each administration, 6 mice were treated with $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of inhibitor 132b. po: oral administration; iv: intravenous administration; ip: intraperitoneal administration. Samples were taken from the retrobulbar venous plexus. The study was performed by Pharmacelsus 53

Figure 32: Comparison of phenyl- and cubane-containing inhibitors. Co-crystal structure of BRD4 BD1 with 123a (left) and model of the cubane-containing inhibitor with BRD4 BD1 (right). Docking was performed using SeeSAR. 54

Figure 33: Effects on the degradation of BRD2/4. (A) Concentration-dependent levels of BRD2 (left) and BRD4 (right) 5 h after treatment with PROTACs measured via HiBiT. (B) Time-dependent proteins levels after treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 175e measured via HiBiT. (C) Time-dependent protein levels after treatment with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ 175b

66
Figure 34: Biological effects of the dual BET/HDAC PROTACs. (A) Effect on HDAC1 degradation and histone H3 K9/K14 acetylation in Patu8988T cells after incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound monitored by Western blot. (B) Effect on BRD2 and 4 degradation in pancreatic cancer cell lines PSN1 and Patu8988T 24 h after incubation with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound. (C) Viability of Patu8988T cells 3 d after treatment with different concentrations.

Figure 35: Diazepine-based dual inhibitors. The substituted inhibitors 31a-c unfortunately lost their affinity to BRD4.69

Figure 36: Dihydroquinoxalinone-based dual inhibitor 47 and pyrrolopyridone-based inhibitors. The HDAC-binding moiety was attached to one of three different positions on the pyrrolopyridone core scaffold.69

Figure 37: Strategy for the merging of BET and HDAC pharmacophores. The dual inhibitor 102a contains the acetyl lysine mimetic of BET inhibitor 22 and the zinc-binding group of HDAC inhibitor 7, while retaining a minimal size

Figure 38: Stepwise optimization of the merged dual inhibitor. Replacement of the hydroxyindole improved stability and facilitated compound synthesis. The addition of aromatic substituents enhanced binding to HDAC1/2.

Figure 39: Pyrrolopyridone-based dual BET/HDAC PROTACs. The synthesized compounds exhibited cellular binding to BRD4 and HDAC1/2 but did not show degradation of BRD4. 71

[^3]Scheme 1: Loss of CpG sites through methylation. (a) Methylation of cytosine (C) to form 5methylcytosine ( 5 mC ) catalyzed by a DNA methyltransferase (DNMT). (b) Spontaneous deamination of 5-methylcytosine, resulting in thymine ( $T$ ) and consequently a mismatched base pair with the complementary strand

Scheme 2: Mechanism of histone lysine acetylation and deacetylation. The acetyl moiety is transferred by histone acetyl transferase (HAT) from coenzyme A (CoA), reacting with a thioester, and cleaved by histone deacetylase (HDAC) in the presence of water. Deacetylation regenerates a positive charge that attracts the negatively charged DNA backbone, causing a less accessible chromatin structure.
.. 6
Scheme 3: Synthesis of substituted dual inhibitors 31a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) arylboronic acid, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, DMF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) Fe , $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (e) morpholine/ACN, rt, 2 h ; (f) (1) HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

Scheme 4: Synthesis of intermediates 34 and 38. Reagents and conditions: (a) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (b) morpholine/ACN, rt, 2 h ; (c) (1) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min} ;(2) \mathrm{NaOAc} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 4 h ; (d) NaH, NBS, THF, rt, 24 h . 26

Scheme 5: Synthesis of dual inhibitor 47. Reagents and conditions: (a) D-alanine, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) phenylsilane, cyclopentanone, dibutyltin dichloride, THF, rt, 10 h ; (d) NaH , iodomethane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}, \mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) 38, $\mathrm{Pd}($ dppf $) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (h) (1) 34, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

Scheme 6: Synthesis of the pyrrolopyridone scaffold. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) DMF-DMA, DMF, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) Fe , $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) HCl in dioxane, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) NaH , Mel, DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (h) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, KOAc, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (i) (1) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2.5 h ; (2) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, KOAc, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h} . \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ 28$

Scheme 7: Synthesis of dual inhibitors 66 and 67. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h , (b) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 1 h ; (c) pyridine, THF, rt, 16 h ; (d) 32, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (e) 57, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h ; (f) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (g) (1) paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h . 29

Scheme 8: Synthesis of dual inhibitors 73 and 74. Reagents and conditions: (a) HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) 61, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (d) 57, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h ; (e) TFA/DCM, $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) (1) paraformaldehyde, AcOH , $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

Scheme 9: Synthesis of BET inhibitors 79 and 80. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) NIS, DMF, rt, 1 h; (c) cyclohexanone, $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 56, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. 31

Scheme 10: Synthesis of intermediate 86. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) ethyl chloroformate, $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) (1) $\mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(2) \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 65{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, Mel, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (d) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) $\mathrm{SOCl} 2_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, potassium ethyl hexanoate, Pd XPhos $\mathrm{G} 2, \mathrm{XPhos}, \mathrm{MeTHF}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

Scheme 11: Synthesis of dual inhibitors 90 and 91. Reagents and conditions: (a) 34, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (b) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}, \mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) 78, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (e) (1) paraformaldehyde, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h. 33
Scheme 12: (A) Synthesis of diazobenzene-based inhibitor 100. Reagents and conditions: (a) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (b) morpholine/ACN, rt, 3 h ; (c) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h ; (2) 5-amino-2-methylphenol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10 \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (d) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h . (B) Synthesis of diazobenzene-based inhibitors 102a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h ; (2) 5-amino-2-methylphenol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10 \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h. 38
Scheme 13: Synthesis of hydroxyindole-based inhibitors 106 and 107a,b. Reagents and conditions: (a) PivCl, TEA, DMAP, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) (1) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, sodium perborate, $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) $105 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt , 2 h ; (3) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (d) (1) conc. HCl , isoamyl nitrite, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{ACN},-$ $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) 105a or b, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (3) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h . 41

Scheme 14: Synthesis of inhibitors 114a and b. Reagents and conditions: (a) mCPBA, DCM, 0 C to rt, 3 h ; (b) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{ACN}, \mathrm{rt}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$; (c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, ~ D M F, ~ D C M, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) 56, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h ; (f) (1) 32 or 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h .

Scheme 15: Synthesis of inhibitor 119. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{iPrMgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{THF},-40 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (s), 0.5 h ; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 90 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) (1) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, dioxane, $80 \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) methyl 4aminobenzoate, DIPEA, DMA, rt, 1 h ; (d) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (e) ophenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h. ................................................................................. 44
Scheme 16: Synthesis of inhibitors 123a-f. Reagents and conditions: (a) 56, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70 \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h. 45

Scheme 17: (A) Synthesis of boronate 125. Reagents and conditions: (a) ethylamine, $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, THF/MeOH, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{pin}_{2}$, potassium ethyl hexanoate, Pd XPhos G2, XPhos, MeTHF, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h . (B) Synthesis of inhibitor 128. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 2 5}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos,
dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h. (C) Synthesis of inhibitor 130. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) 125, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 90 min ; (2) LiOH $\cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) o-phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h.

# Scheme 18: Synthesis of substituted inhibitors 132a-d. Reagents and conditions: (a) 26a,b,d, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (b) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h. 

Scheme 19: Synthesis of inhibitor 133. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-fluorobenzene-1,2-diamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h.

Scheme 20: Synthesis of cubane-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (141). Reagents and conditions: (a) ethylene glycol, DOWEX 50W X8, benzene, reflux, 2 d ; (b) Br 2, dioxane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reflux, 16 h ; (d) in situ; (e) conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) hv (sunlight), cat. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}, 21 \mathrm{~d}$, the newly formed bonds are highlighted in red; (g) (1) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 3 h ; (2) aq. HCl .55

Scheme 21: Synthesis of redox-active ester 144. Reagents and conditions: (a) DOWEX 50W X8, MeOH, reflux, $18 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{b})(1) \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h} ;(2) \mathrm{aq}$. HCl ; (c) EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, DIPEA, DMAP, DCM, rt, 16 h . 56

Scheme 22: (A) Synthesis of aminosilane 146. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h} ;(2) t \mathrm{BuMeNH}, \mathrm{DIPEA}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 16 h ; (B) Attempted arylation of cubane 144 through coppermediated cross-coupling. Reagents and conditions: (a) tBuMeNSi(TMS) ${ }_{3}$ (146), NaOAc , $\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{dFCF}_{3} \mathrm{bpy}\right)_{2}\left(4,4^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) \mathrm{bpy}\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$, hv (blue light), acetone, rt, 2 h

Scheme 23: Synthesis of cubane-containing inhibitor 151 through nickel-catalyzed cross-coupling. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{iPrMgCl} \cdot \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{THF},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (2) $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) (4,4'dtbbpy) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$, DMF/THF, rt, 2 h ; (c) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) phenylenediamine, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h ; (e) LiOH • $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.57

Scheme 24: Synthesis of thalidomide-based conjugates 154a-e. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, THF/DMF, rt, 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ; (b) (1) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, $1 \mathrm{~h} ;(\mathrm{c})(1)$ DIPEA, DMSO, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h

Scheme 25: Synthesis of PROTACs 161a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-bromobenzoyl chloride, DIPEA, DCM, rt, 2 h ; (b) 86, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{Pd}$ XPhos G2, XPhos, dioxane, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) (1) thalidomide-linker conjugate, PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt , 16 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 30 min59

Scheme 26: Synthesis of PROTACs 164a-c. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2 h; (2) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) (1) VHL ligand 1 ( HCl ), PyAOP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 2 h ; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 30 min 60

Scheme 27: Functionalization of inhibitor 7. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, reflux, 20 h ; (b) $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$, then $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}$; (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) DMP, DCM, rt, 2 h ; (e) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{ACN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.62

Scheme 28: Synthesis of functionalized inhibitor 174. Reagents and conditions: (a) PyAOP, DMAP, DIPEA, $i \mathrm{PrOH}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SnOH}, \mathrm{DCE}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~d}$; (c) 34, T3P, pyridine, ACN, rt, 2 h ; (d) $\mathrm{LiOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$. 63
Scheme 29: Synthesis of CRBN-based PROTACs 175-f. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PyAOP, DMAP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h. ..... 64
Scheme 30: Synthesis of VHL-based PROTACs 176a-e. Reagents and conditions: (a) (1) PyAOP, DMAP, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 16 h; (2) TFA/DCM, rt, 1 h ..... 65
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## 12 Appendix

### 12.1 Supporting Tables

Supporting Table S 1: Stabilization of BRD4 by different substituted phenols

| Compound |  | DSF $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BRD4 BD1 | BRD4 BD2 |
| MS436 <br> (22) |  | 4.0 | 2.9 |
| 100 |  | 4.1 | 3.4 |
| 100a |  | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| 100b |  | 2.0 | 2.2 |
| 100c |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 100d |  | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| 100e |  | 2.2 | 1.9 |
| $100 f$ |  | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| 100g |  | -0.8 | -0.2 |
| 102a |  | 5.2 | 3.0 |
| 106 |  | 4.0 | 2.0 |

Supporting Table S 2: NanoBRET data for BRD4-BD1/2 in intact and lysed cells

|  | BRD4-BD1 EC50 $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{1}$ |  | BRD4-BD2 EC50 $(\mu \mathrm{M})^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Lysed cells | Intact cells | Lysed cells | Intact cells |
| 102a | > 50 (2) | $40.2 \pm 2.4$ (2) | > 50 (2) | >50 (1) |
| 107b | $0.35 \pm 0.06$ (2) | $0.19 \pm 0.03$ (2) | $0.16 \pm 0.02$ (2) | $0.05 \pm 0.01$ (2) |
| 114a | $0.30 \pm 0.03$ (3) | $0.18 \pm 0.03$ (3) | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.20 \pm 0.03$ (3) |
| 123a | $0.29 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.25 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.15 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ (3) |
| 123b | $2.34 \pm 0.14$ (3) | $3.7 \pm 0.5$ (3) | $1.32 \pm 0.15$ (3) | $2.05 \pm 0.37$ (3) |
| 123c | $0.38 \pm 0.05$ (3) | $0.39 \pm 0.06$ (3) | $0.17 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.23 \pm 0.07$ (3) |
| 119 | $1.38 \pm 0.09$ (3) | $2.55 \pm 0.08$ (3) | $1.37 \pm 0.30$ (3) | $2.94 \pm 0.18$ (3) |
| 123d | $0.24 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.24 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.10 \pm 0.03$ (3) | $0.16 \pm 0.04$ (3) |
| 123e | $0.38 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.39 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.20 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.29 \pm 0.12$ (3) |
| 123f | $0.35 \pm 0.06$ (3) | $0.48 \pm 0.14$ (3) | $0.19 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.32 \pm 0.13$ (3) |
| 132a | $0.61 \pm 0.19$ (3) | $0.42 \pm 0.11$ (3) | $0.94 \pm 0.34$ (3) | $0.52 \pm 0.18$ (3) |
| 133 | $0.21 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.34 \pm 0.10$ (3) | $0.16 \pm 0.03$ (3) | $0.10 \pm 0.01$ (3) |
| 132b | $0.32 \pm 0.07$ (3) | $0.36 \pm 0.13$ (3) | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ (3) | $0.25 \pm 0.12$ (3) |
| 132c | $0.43 \pm 0.06$ (3) | $0.37 \pm 0.05$ (3) | $0.29 \pm 0.05$ (3) | $0.31 \pm 0.05$ (3) |
| 132d | $0.33 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.29 \pm 0.05$ (3) | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ (3) | $0.18 \pm 0.04$ (3) |
| MS436 (22) | $3.61 \pm 0.54$ (2) | $2.85 \pm 0.40$ (3) | > 50 (2) | $61.7 \pm 14.9$ (3) |
| (+)-JQ1 (13) | $0.043 \pm 0.002(2)$ | $0.058 \pm 0.015$ (3) | $0.13 \pm 0.01$ (2) | $0.11 \pm 0.01$ (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}-994$ (7) | > 50 (3) | ND | > 50 (3) | ND |

${ }^{1}$ Mean of $n$ independent measurements with SEM. Number $n$ of independent measurements (each performed in technical duplicates) is given in parentheses.

Supporting Table S 3: NanoBRET data for HDAC1/2 in intact and lysed cells

|  | HDAC1 EC $50(\mu \mathrm{M})^{1}$ |  | HDAC2 EC $50(\mu \mathrm{M})^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Lysed cells | Intact cells | Lysed cells | Intact cells |
| 102a | $2.58 \pm 0.10$ (3) | $10.8 \pm 5.1$ (5) | $0.85 \pm 0.14$ (2) | > 50 (2) |
| 107b | $0.70 \pm 0.16$ (2) | $2.07 \pm 0.73$ (5) | $0.51 \pm 0.16$ (2) | $1.30 \pm 0.86$ (3) |
| 114a | $11.0 \pm 1.8$ (3) | $23.2 \pm 10.5$ (5) | $12.2 \pm 5.9$ (2) | $24.8 \pm 12.1$ (3) |
| 123a | $0.46 \pm 0.09$ (3) | $2.62 \pm 1.08$ (5) | $0.48 \pm 0.08$ (2) | $1.55 \pm 0.73$ (3) |
| 123b | $32.2 \pm 7.1$ (3) | $34.4 \pm 5.8$ (5) | $32.7 \pm 8.9$ (2) | $39.7 \pm 9.0$ (3) |
| 123c | > 50 (3) | > 50 (5) | $43.3 \pm 0.3$ (2) | > 50 (3) |
| 119 | $1.24 \pm 0.13$ (3) | $2.26 \pm 0.64$ (5) | $0.58 \pm 0.01$ (2) | $3.73 \pm 2.4$ (3) |
| 123d | $1.09 \pm 0.22$ (3) | $4.84 \pm 2.28$ (5) | $0.62 \pm 0.01$ (2) | $2.48 \pm 0.66$ (3) |
| 123e | $3.64 \pm 0.32$ (3) | $16.8 \pm 6.7$ (5) | $3.24 \pm 0.30$ (2) | $4.56 \pm 0.57$ (3) |
| 123f | $3.25 \pm 0.32$ (3) | $6.09 \pm 0.96$ (5) | $2.58 \pm 0.31$ (2) | $3.09 \pm 0.13$ (3) |
| 132a | $0.25 \pm 0.10$ (3) | $0.19 \pm 0.03$ (5) | $0.41 \pm 0.05$ (2) | $0.36 \pm 0.12$ (3) |
| 133 | $8.2 \pm 2.1$ (3) | $36.3 \pm 11.8$ (5) | $6.46 \pm 1.50$ (2) | $14.2 \pm 4.5$ (3) |
| 132b | $0.027 \pm 0.010$ (3) | $0.11 \pm 0.03$ (5) | $0.037 \pm 0.008(2)$ | $0.098 \pm 0.016$ (3) |
| 132c | $0.12 \pm 0.06$ (3) | $1.32 \pm 0.98$ (5) | $0.26 \pm 0.05$ (2) | $2.87 \pm 1.68$ (3) |
| 132d | $0.022 \pm 0.008$ (3) | $0.14 \pm 0.05$ (5) | $0.064 \pm 0.013$ (2) | $0.058 \pm 0.014$ (3) |
| MS436 (22) | > 50 (3) | $18.8 \pm 1.0$ (4) | > 50 (2) | > 50 (2) |
| (+)-JQ1 (13) | > 50 (2) | > 50 (2) | > 50 (2) | > 50 (2) |
| CI-994 (7) | $1.62 \pm 0.36$ (3) | $4.95 \pm 0.77$ (8) | $1.43 \pm 0.14$ (2) | $2.02 \pm 0.40$ (3) |

${ }^{1}$ Mean of $n$ independent measurements with SEM. Number $n$ of independent measurements (each performed in technical duplicates) is given in parentheses.

Supporting Table S 4: ITC thermodynamic data of inhibitors binding to BRD4 BD1

|  | Compound |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 123a | 123d |
| $K_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{M})$ | $1.52 \mathrm{E}-07 \pm 7.29 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $4.58 \mathrm{E}-08 \pm 3.51 \mathrm{E}-08$ |
| n | $0.996 \pm 0.029$ | $1.003 \pm 0.022$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $-10.36 \pm 0.501$ | $-7.844 \pm 0.360$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K})$ | -4.759 | 6.358 |
| $\Delta \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -8.99 | -9.676 |
| $-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | 1.371 | -1.832 |
| $K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ | $6.58 \mathrm{E}+06$ | $2.18 \mathrm{E}+07$ |
| Confidence Level (\%) | 95 | 95 |

Supporting Table S 5: Inhibition of zinc-dependent HDACs by compound 132b

| Target | $\%$ Enzyme activity (no inhibitor control as $100 \%$ activity) ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1 \mu \mathrm{M} 132 \mathrm{~b}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{M} 132 \mathrm{~b}$ |
| HDAC1 | $20.6 \pm 0.1$ | $10.3 \pm 0.6$ |
| HDAC2 | $50.3 \pm 0.9$ | $13.5 \pm 0.3$ |
| HDAC3 | $95.5 \pm 2.7$ | $76.4 \pm 1.0$ |
| HDAC4 | $91.5 \pm 0.9$ | $82.4 \pm 0.5$ |
| HDAC5 | $89.3 \pm 0.1$ | $84.1 \pm 5.7$ |
| HDAC6 | $100.3 \pm 1.1$ | $90.2 \pm 2.8$ |
| HDAC7 | $100.6 \pm 1.8$ | $97.9 \pm 1.8$ |
| HDAC8 | $96.4 \pm 2.2$ | $85.0 \pm 1.6$ |
| HDAC9 | $96.2 \pm 2.4$ | $98.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| HDAC10 | $98.1 \pm 1.1$ | $59.9 \pm 2.5$ |
| HDAC11 | $97.7 \pm 0.1$ | 1010 |

[^4]Supporting Table S 6: Bromodomain panel selectivity data

|  | Mean $\Delta T_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{K})^{1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Protein | $\mathbf{1 2 3 a}$ | 132 a |
| ATAD2A | $0.4 \pm 0.2$ | $-1.3 \pm 0.1$ |
| BAZ2B | $0.5 \pm 0.0$ | $1.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| BRD1 | $0.2 \pm 0.1$ | $1.1 \pm 0.1$ |
| BRD2 (1) | $3.1 \pm 0.5$ | $3.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| BRD2 (2) | $5.3 \pm 0.1$ | $3.6 \pm 0.4$ |
| BRD3 (1) | $3.8 \pm 0.3$ | $3.8 \pm 0.4$ |
| BRD3 (2) | $6.1 \pm 0.0$ | $4.6 \pm 0.7$ |
| BRD4 (1) | $3.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ |
| BRD4 (2) | $4.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.3 \pm 0.2$ |
| BRD7 | $5.6 \pm 0.3$ | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| BRD9 | $5.2 \pm 1.2$ | $1.0 \pm 0.8$ |
| BRDT(1) | $3.0 \pm 0.2$ | $3.3 \pm 0.1$ |
| BRDT(2) | $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{d}$. | $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{d}$. |
| BRPF1B | $0.8 \pm 0.1$ | $0.8 \pm 0.3$ |
| BRPF3 | $-0.5 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.1$ |
| CREBBPA | $1.8 \pm 0.3$ | $1.4 \pm 0.3$ |
| EP300A | $2.0 \pm 0.3$ | $1.0 \pm 0.3$ |
| PB1A(3) | $0.5 \pm 0.2$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |
| PB1A(4) | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $1.0 \pm 0.6$ |
| PB1A(5) | $0.0 \pm 0.1$ | $1.1 \pm 0.2$ |
| PB1A(6) | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $1.3 \pm 0.1$ |
| PCAFA | $0.1 \pm 0.4$ | $1.9 \pm 0.2$ |
| SMARCA2A | $-0.1 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.5$ |
| SP100 | $-0.2 \pm 0.1$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ |
| TAF1 (1) | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| TAF1 (2) | $1.3 \pm 0.0$ | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ |
| TAF1LA (1) | $0.3 \pm 0.1$ | $0.6 \pm 0.3$ |
| TAF1LA (2) | $1.7 \pm 0.1$ | $1.2 \pm 0.0$ |
| TRIM24 | $0.6 \pm 0.1$ | $0.7 \pm 0.5$ |
| TRIM28 | $0.6 \pm 0.4$ | $0.0 \pm 0.2$ |
| TRIM33B | $0.4 \pm 0.1$ | $0.5 \pm 0.0$ |
| WDR9A(1) | $-0.3 \pm 0.2$ | $0.0 \pm 0.0$ |

${ }^{1}$ Mean and SEM of an independent measurement performed in technical triplicates.
${ }^{2}$ Boltzmann fitting failed.
${ }^{3}$ Construct containing tandem PHD-BD.

Supporting Table S 7: Viability of PaTu8988t and HCC2429 cells upon treatment with dual BET/HDAC inhibitors for 3 days.

| Compound | Cell viability $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PaTu8988t | HCC 2429 |
| $\mathrm{CI}-994$ (7) | $13.1 \pm 2.4$ | $1.8 \pm 0.2$ |
| $\mathrm{MS436}$ (22) | $10.4 \pm 1.2$ | $0.81 \pm 0.15$ |
| $\mathrm{MS} 436+\mathrm{Cl}-994$ | $6.0 \pm 0.5$ | $0.96 \pm 0.17$ |
| 123a | $2.7 \pm 0.3$ | $0.40 \pm 0.03$ |
| 123d | $3.2 \pm 0.4$ | $0.22 \pm 0.02$ |
| 123e | $8.5 \pm 1.3$ | $0.54 \pm 0.06$ |
| 123f | $15.6 \pm 2.4$ | $0.93 \pm 0.08$ |
| 132a | $2.8 \pm 0.2$ | $0.63 \pm 0.08$ |
| 133 | $5.2 \pm 0.9$ | $0.24 \pm 0.02$ |
| 132b | $3.6 \pm 0.4$ | $0.42 \pm 0.05$ |
| 132c | $2.6 \pm 0.3$ | $0.32 \pm 0.04$ |
| 132d | $4.1 \pm 0.7$ | $0.55 \pm 0.06$ |

Supporting Table S 8: PK study data for compound 132a

| NB512 (132a) @ $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ po |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time (h) | Mouse ID | Plasma conc. [ng/ml] | Mean [ng/ml] | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ {[\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}]} \end{gathered}$ | CV\% |
| 0,25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#1 } \\ & \text { \#2 } \\ & \# 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1395 \\ 686 \\ 2207 \end{gathered}$ | 1429 | 761 | 53,3 |
| 0,5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#1 } \\ & \text { \#2 } \\ & \# 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1159 \\ 557 \\ 1619 \end{gathered}$ | 1112 | 533 | 47,9 |
| 1 | \#4 <br> \#5 <br> \#6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 783 \\ & 440 \\ & 316 \end{aligned}$ | 513 | 242 | 47,1 |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#1 } \\ & \text { \#2 } \\ & \text { \#3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49,0 \\ & 29,7 \\ & 39,0 \end{aligned}$ | 39,2 | 9,6 | 24,5 |
| 8 | \#4 <br> \#5 <br> \#6 | $\begin{gathered} 135 \\ 54,8 \\ 53,4 \end{gathered}$ | 81,2 | 46,9 | 57,8 |
| 24 | \#4 <br> \#5 <br> \#6 | $\begin{gathered} 91,1 \\ 5,5 \\ 1,2 \end{gathered}$ | 32,6 | 50,7 | 156 |
| NB512 (132a) @ $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ iv |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time (h) | Mouse ID | Plasma conc. [ng/ml] | Mean [ng/ml] | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ {[\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}]} \end{gathered}$ | CV\% |


| 0,083 | $\begin{gathered} \text { \#7* } \\ \# 8 \\ \# 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2^{*} \\ & 2685 \\ & 2540 \end{aligned}$ | 2613 | 102 | 3,9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0,25 | \#10 <br> \#11 <br> \#12 | $\begin{gathered} 67,8 \\ 118 \\ 84,7 \end{gathered}$ | 90,1 | 25,4 | 28,2 |
| 0,5 | \#7* <br> \#8 <br> \#9 | $\begin{gathered} 34.1^{*} \\ 208 \\ 1119 \end{gathered}$ | 663 | 644 | 97,1 |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \#10 } \\ & \# 11 \\ & \# 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28,6 \\ & 78,7 \\ & 34,5 \end{aligned}$ | 47,3 | 27,4 | 57,9 |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { \#7* } \\ \# 8 \\ \# 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.4^{*} \\ 30,6 \\ 39,0 \end{gathered}$ | 34,8 | 6,0 | 17,2 |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { \#10 } \\ & \text { \#11 } \\ & \text { \#12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8,8 \\ 18,9 \\ 13,5 \end{gathered}$ | 13,7 | 5,0 | 36,7 |
| NB512 (132a) @ $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ip |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time (h) | Mouse ID | Plasma conc. [ $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ] | Mean <br> [ng/ml] | $\begin{gathered} \text { SD } \\ {[\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}]} \end{gathered}$ | CV\% |
| 0,083 | \#13 <br> \#14 <br> \#15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2565 \\ 2182 \\ 207 \end{gathered}$ | 1651 | 1265 | 76,6 |
| 0,25 | \#16 <br> \#17 <br> \#18 | $\begin{gathered} 713 \\ 5903 \\ 3940 \end{gathered}$ | 3519 | 2621 | 74,5 |
| 0,5 | \#13 <br> \#14 <br> \#15 | $\begin{aligned} & 2644 \\ & 2654 \\ & 1121 \end{aligned}$ | 2140 | 882 | 41,2 |
| 1 | \#16 <br> \#17 <br> \#18 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 757 \\ 2484 \\ 1061 \end{gathered}$ | 1434 | 922 | 64,3 |
| 4 | \#13 <br> \#14 <br> \#15 | $\begin{gathered} 136 \\ 59,1 \\ 103 \end{gathered}$ | 99,3 | 38,4 | 38,7 |
| 8 | \#16 <br> \#17 <br> \#18 | $\begin{aligned} & 98,3 \\ & 40,0 \\ & 18,7 \end{aligned}$ | 52,3 | 41,2 | 78,7 |

Supporting Table S 9: PK study summary for compound 132b

| Animal Species | Mouse |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strain | CD1 |  |  |
| Gender | $35-39$ | male | $37-40$ |
| BW range (g) | po | $36-41$ | ip |
| Dose route | iv |  |  |


| Vehicle | 5\% DMSO/ <br> 95\% Cyclodextrin (10\%) | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \text { DMSO/ } \\ 95 \% \text { PBS } \end{gathered}$ | 5\% DMSO/ <br> 95\% Cyclodextrin (10\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PK analysis software | Kinetica 5.0 |  |  |
| Dosage (mg/kg) | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Application volume ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Test item | NB512 (132b) |  |  |
| Mol. Weight (free base) | 435,49 |  |  |
| Cmax ( $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) | 1429 | - | 3519 |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL})^{1}$ | - | 354 | - |
| tmax (h) | 0,25 | - | 0,25 |
| $\mathrm{Cz}(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})^{2}$ | 32,6 | 13,7 | 52,3 |
| tz (h) ${ }^{3}$ | 24 | 8 | 8 |
| t1/2z (h) ${ }^{4}$ | 6,1 | 3,8 | 1,4 |
| AUC(0-tz) (ng*h/ml) ${ }^{5}$ | 2882 | 841 | 4704 |
| AUC (0-inf) (ng*h/ml) ${ }^{6}$ | 3168 | 917 | 4807 |
| \%AUCextra | 9,0 | 8,3 | 2,1 |
| $\mathrm{Vz} / \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg})^{7}$ | 27721 | - | 4094 |
| $\mathrm{CL} / \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{ml} /(\mathrm{h} * \mathrm{~kg}))^{8}$ | 3156 | - | 2080 |
| $\mathrm{Vz}(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{kg})^{9}$ | - | 60583 | - |
| $\mathrm{CL}\left(\mathrm{mL} /\left(\mathrm{h}^{*} \mathrm{~kg}\right)\right)^{10}$ | - | 10908 | - |

${ }^{1}$ extrapolated initial concentration. ${ }^{2}$ last analytically quantifiable concentration. ${ }^{3}$ time of the last sample which has an analytically quantifiable concentration. ${ }^{4}$ half life of the terminal slope of a concentration-time curve. ${ }^{5}$ area under the concentration-time curve up to the time $t_{2}$ of the last sample. ${ }^{6}$ area under the concentration-time curve extrapolated to infinity. ${ }^{7}$ volume of distribution, in case of extravascular administration. ${ }^{8}$ total body clearance, in case of extravascular administration. ${ }^{9}$ volume of distribution. ${ }^{10}$ total body clearance.

Supporting Table S 10: Viability of PaTu8988t cells upon treatment with dual BET/HDAC PROTACs for 3 days.

|  | Cell viability $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50} ; \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Compound | PaTu8988t |
| CI-994 (7) | 13.7 |
| JQ1 (13) | 2.2 |
| TW9 (19) | 2.0 |
| 175e | 0.8 |
| 175b | 8.1 |
| 175c | 17.5 |
| 177c | 122.9 |

### 12.2 Supporting Figures



Supporting Figure S 1. NanoBRET data and fits of dual BET/HDAC inhibitors binding to HDAC2 (A) and HDAC3 (B) in intact cells.


Supporting Figure S 2. Observed side reaction for the Boc-deprotection of PROTACs 174a-f and 176a-e.


Supporting Figure S 3. Kinetics for the degradation of BRD2 and 4 measured via HiBiT.

### 12.3 HPLC

## Blank measurement



## NB102a



## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB161 |  | 4.872 | 0.088 | 200.9542 | 1.24 | 46.0820 |
| NB161 |  | 4.984 | 0.058 | 15612.18 | 55 | 96.48 |
| NB161 |  |  | 3.567 | 0.132 | 368.6589 | 2.28 |


| Max Area\% | 96.480 |
| :--- | :--- |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |

## 107b



## Sample Purity

Signal Description DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB390 |  | 4.490 | 0.029 | 54.1471 | 1.10 | 36.5637 |
| NB390 |  | 5.097 | 0.040 | 4800.5356 | 97.39 | 1741.9381 |
| NB390 |  | 5.317 | 0.031 | 74.5046 | 1.51 | 45.1006 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

114a


## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB437 |  | 4.175 | 0.033 | 14.8471 | 1.11 | 8.6162 |
| NB437 |  | 4.607 | 0.033 | 1286.3580 | 95.93 | 547.6205 |
| NB437 |  | 5.034 | 0.026 | 14.7228 | 1.10 | 9.8781 |
| NB437 | 5.909 | 0.038 | 25.0186 | 1.87 | 13.0276 |  |

Max Area\%
UV Signal Purity>95\%
Pass


## Sample Purity

Signal Description DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB480 |  | 3.338 | 0.024 | 948.8326 | 95.77 | 538.9740 |
| NB480 |  | 3.403 | 0.020 | 34.6199 | 3.49 | 34.1772 |
| NB480 |  | 3.565 | 0.015 | 7.3165 | 0.74 | 9.2308 |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

## 123a



## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB462 |  | 3.286 | 0.024 | 91.5371 | 0.92 | 66.2465 |
| NB462 |  | 4.506 | 0.053 | 9631.3271 | 97.13 | 2577.7595 |
| NB462 |  | 4.799 | 0.023 | 65.2411 | 0.66 | 52.4126 |
| NB462 |  | 6.484 | 0.030 | 127.9308 | 1.29 | 76.5870 |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |



## Sample Purity

Signal Description DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB469 |  | 4.271 | 0.031 | 1119.2117 | 97.09 | 499.0727 |
| NB469 |  | 4.540 | 0.034 | 23.7507 | 2.06 | 10.0126 |
| NB469 |  | 4.844 | 0.029 | 9.8229 | 0.85 | 4.3816 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

123c


## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB470 |  | 4.579 | 0.027 | 1101.4595 | 98.78 | 544.6414 |
| NB470 |  | 4.857 | 0.024 | 5.1854 | 0.47 | 4.1291 |
| NB470 |  | 5.443 | 0.026 | 8.4550 | 0.76 | 6.4661 |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off


## Sample Purity

Signal Description DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB500 |  | 3.584 | 0.033 | 6617.2954 | 97.23 | 2705.4158 |
| NB500 |  | 4.026 | 0.020 | 24.7576 | 0.36 | 22.2138 |
| NB500 |  | 4.182 | 0.026 | 163.5289 | 2.40 | 108.7853 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

## 123e

DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off


## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB501 |  | 3.463 | 0.027 | 1781.5571 | 96.17 | 889.6945 |
| NB501 |  | 3.574 | 0.029 | 30.4943 | 1.65 | 19.2803 |
| NB501 |  | 3.661 | 0.023 | 18.5934 | 1.00 | 13.7266 |
| NB501 |  | 4.493 | 0.047 | 21.9083 | 1.18 | 9.7645 |

Max Area\%
96.168

UV Signal Purity>95\% Pass


## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB502 | 3.321 | 0.025 | 634.7424 | 95.96 | 334.3840 |  |
| NB502 |  | 3.555 | 0.018 | 6.8211 | 1.03 | 6.7317 |
| NB502 |  | 4.469 | 0.039 | 19.9128 | 3.01 | 9.8161 |

## 132a



## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| NB503 |  |
| NB503 |  |
| NB503 |  |
|  |  |
| Max Area\% | 96.530 |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |



## Sample Purity

Signal Description DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB533 |  | 4.077 | 0.047 | 33.9675 | 1.10 | 10.5429 |
| NB533 |  | 4.192 | 0.038 | 2975.9663 | 96.45 | 1112.9037 |
| NB533 |  | 4.478 | 0.055 | 75.6843 | 2.45 | 19.4026 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

## 132c



## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB513 | 3.992 | 0.031 | 527.8755 | 95.67 | 207.0565 |  |
| NB513 |  | 4.168 | 0.023 | 7.1770 | 1.30 | 5.7963 |
| NB513 |  | 4.223 | 0.024 | 6.6385 | 1.20 | 5.2439 |
| NB513 |  | 4.466 | 0.026 | 10.0878 | 1.83 | 6.9494 |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

132d


## Sample Purity

Signal Description DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB514 |  | 4.136 | 0.030 | 455.5850 | 97.95 | 203.8892 |
| NB514 |  | 5.435 | 0.035 | 9.5244 | 2.05 | 5.4359 |
| Max Area\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| UV Signal Purity>95\% | Pass |  |  |  |  |  |

## 133



## Sample Purity

Signal Description
DAD1 C, Sig=320,150 Ref=off

| Sample Name | Name | RT | Width | Area | Area\% | Height |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| NB507 |  | 3.753 | 0.028 | 914.1124 | 97.29 | 433.9325 |
| NB507 |  | 3.961 | 0.023 | 9.2851 | 0.99 | 7.2258 |
| NB507 |  | 4.468 | 0.046 | 16.1563 | 1.72 | 6.7971 |

Max Area\%
UV Signal Purity>95\%
97.292

Pass

### 12.4 NMR and MS Spectra

MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 100 and simulated Spectrum

NB247_F3 \#1-13 RT: 0.01-0.54 AV: 13 NL: 4.95E7
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [200.00-900.00]


C14H14N4O3 +H: C14 H15 N4 O3 pa Chrg 1


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 102a and simulated Spectrum

NB161_D9 \#1-4 RT: 0.01-0.14 AV: 4 NL: 3.35E7
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [200.00-700.00]


S20H19N5O2 +H: C20 H20 N5 O2 pa Chrg 1


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 102b and simulated Spectrum

NB271_F6 \#1-6 RT: 0.00-0.22 AV: 6 NL: 4.45E7
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [200.00-900.00]


C24H21N5O2S1 +H: C24 H22 N5 O2 S1 pa Chrg 1


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 102c and simulated Spectrum

NB270_F5 \#1-6 RT: 0.00-0.23 AV: 6 NL: 5.58E7
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [200.00-900.00]


C26H23N5O2 +H: C26 H24 N5 O2 pa Chrg 1


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 107a and simulated Spectrum

NB383_A12 \#6 RT: 0.23 AV: 1 NL: 4.13E6
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-700.00]

$\mathrm{C} 21 \mathrm{H} 16 \mathrm{Cl} 1 \mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{O} 2+\mathrm{Na}: \mathrm{C} 21 \mathrm{H} 16 \mathrm{Cl} 1 \mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{Na} 1$ pa Chrg 1


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 107b and simulated Spectrum

NB390_C1 \#2-6 RT: 0.00-0.16 AV: 5 NL: 1.21E6
T: FTMS +p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]

C22H19N5O2 +H: C22 H2O N5 O2 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 114a


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 114a and simulated Spectrum

NB437_C2 \#1-7 RT: 0.01-0.28 AV: 7 NL: 4.83E6
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]


C24H19N5O2 +H: C24 H20 N5 O2 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 119


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 119 and simulated Spectrum

NB480_C6 \#1-6 RT: 0.00-0.23 AV: 6 NL: 8.70E6 T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]

$\mathrm{C} 22 \mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{O} 3+\mathrm{Na}: \mathrm{C} 22 \mathrm{H} 19 \mathrm{~N} 5 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{Na} 1$ pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 123a
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## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 123a and simulated Spectrum

NB462_C3 \#1-8 RT: 0.01-0.33 AV: 8 NL: 7.99E6
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]


C21H18N4O2 +Na: C21 H18 N4 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 2 3 b}$


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 123b and simulated Spectrum

NB469_C4 \#1-7 RT: 0.00-0.27 AV: 7 NL: 8.34E6
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]


C21H19N5O2 +Na: C21 H19 N5 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 123c


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 123c and simulated Spectrum

NB470_C5 \#1-7 RT: 0.00-0.27 AV: 7 NL: 4.39E7
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]

$\mathrm{C} 22 \mathrm{H} 20 \mathrm{~N} 4 \mathrm{O} 3+\mathrm{Na}: \mathrm{C} 22 \mathrm{H} 20 \mathrm{~N} 4 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{Na} 1$ pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 2 3 d}$


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 123d and simulated Spectrum

NB500_C7 \#1-6 RT: 0.00-0.23 AV: 6 NL: 9.44E6
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]

C20H17N5O2 + Na: C20 H17 N5 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 2 3 e}$



## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ e and simulated Spectrum

NB501_C8 \#1-4 RT: 0.01-0.14 AV: 4 NL: 1.34E7
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-650.00]


C19H16N6O2 +Na: C19 H16 N6 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 2 3 f}$


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of $\mathbf{1 2 3 f}$ and simulated Spectrum



C20H17N5O2 + Na: C20 H17 N5 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 3 2 a}$



## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 132a and simulated Spectrum



C27H22N4O2 + Na: C27 H22 N4 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 132b


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 132b and simulated Spectrum

NB512_B10 \#1-7 RT: 0.00-0.27 AV: 7 NL: 2.95E6
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [300.00-600.00]


C26H21N5O2 +Na: C26 H21 N5 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 132c

(


## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 132c and simulated Spectrum



C24H19N5O3 +Na: C24 H19 N5 O3 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 3 2 d}$



## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 132d and simulated Spectrum

RB514 B12\#1-6 RT: $0.01-0.23 \mathrm{AV}: 6 \mathrm{NL}: 3.08 \mathrm{E} 6$
C24H19N5O2S1 +Na: C24 H19 N5 O2 S1 Na1 pa Chrg 1

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 133



## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 132d and simulated Spectrum



C20H16F1N5O2 +Na: C20 H16 F1 N5 O2 Na1 pa Chrg 1


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ Spectrum of 141



## MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 141 and simulated Spectrum



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 161a



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 1 b}$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 161 c

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 4 a}$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 4 b}$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 4 c}$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ and 174

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 5 a}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 175a and simulated Spectrum


C49H43C11N1009S1 + Na: C49 H43 Cl1 N10 O9 S1 Na1 pa C.

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 5 b}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 175b and simulated Spectrum

IBSA20_C15\#1-8 RT: 0.01-0.29 AV: 8 NL: 5.78E6


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 5 c}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of $\mathbf{1 7 5 c}$ and simulated Spectrum

IBSA21_C16\#1-6 RI: 0.00-0.20 AV: 6 NL: 2.24E6


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 5 d}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 175d and simulated Spectrum
r: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [800.00-1400.00]
100 + p MALDI Full ms [800


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 5 e}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of $\mathbf{1 7 5}$ e and simulated Spectrum

NBSA14_C1Z\#1-6 KI: U.UU-U.16 AV: 6 NL: उ.2/E/
r: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [800.00-1400


253 H 52 Cl 1 N 11 O S1 + Na: C53 H52 Cl1 N11 O7 S1 Na1 pa C

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 5 f}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of $\mathbf{1 7 5 f}$ and simulated Spectrum

FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [800.00-1400.00]


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 7 a}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 177a and simulated Spectrum


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 177b


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 177b and simulated Spectrum

NBSAZb L18\#1-8 KI: U.U1-U.3U AV: ४ NL: S./YE/
T: FTMS + p MALDI Full ms [800.00-1400


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 177c

## 



MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 177c and simulated Spectrum

:63H71C11N12O10S2 +Na: C63 H71 C11 N12 O10 S2 Na1 pa...

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of 177d


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 177d and simulated Spectrum

NDOA $\angle Y ~ U \angle 1 \# 1-14$ RI: U.UU-U.DO AV: 14 INL: $\angle .4051$
T: FTMS + PMALDIFull ms [800.00-1400.00]


C61H67Cl1N12O7S2 +Na: C61 H67 Cl1 N12 O7 S2 Na1 pa C...

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Spectra of $\mathbf{1 7 7 e}$


MALDI HRMS Spectrum of 177d and simulated Spectrum

BSA28 C20\#1-5 RT: $0.00-0.17 \mathrm{AV}: 5 \mathrm{NL}: 8.65 E 7$
:FTMS + p MALDI Full ms $[800.00-1400.00]$




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mean and SEM of two independent experiments that were themselves performed in technical triplicates.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mean and SEM of three independent repeats performed in technical triplicates.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean and SEM of at least three independent experiments that were themselves performed in technical duplicates.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The experiments were performed in technical triplicates.

[^3]:    Figure 40: Diazepine-based dual BET/HDAC PROTACs. Compound 175e was shown to decrease levels of BRD4 and HDAC1 and to potently decrease the viability of pancreatic cancer cells.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Average of duplicate measurements

