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#### Abstract

Through the glasses of didactic reduction, we consider a (periodic) tessellation $\Delta$ of either Euclidean or hyperbolic $n$-space $M$. By a piecewise isometric rearrangement of $\Delta$ we mean the process of cutting $M$ along corank-1 tile-faces into finitely many convex polyhedral pieces, and rearranging the pieces to a new tight covering of the tessellation $\Delta$. Such a rearrangement defines a permutation of the (centers of the) tiles of $\Delta$, and we are interested in the group $\operatorname{PI}(\Delta)$ of all piecewise isometric rearrangements of $\Delta$. In this paper, we offer (a) an illustration of piecewise isometric rearrangements in the visually attractive hyperbolic plane, (b) an explanation on how this is related to Richard Thompson's groups, (c) a section on the structure of the group pei $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ of all piecewise Euclidean rearrangements of the standard cubically tessellated $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and (d) results on the finiteness properties of some subgroups of $\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$.
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## CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1 | GENERALITIES AND MAIN RESULT

## 1.1 | The groups

Let $M$ denote either Euclidean or hyperbolic $n$-space, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\Gamma \leq \operatorname{Isom}(M)$ a discrete group of isometries of $M$ with the property that $\Gamma$ admits a finite sided convex fundamental polyhedron $D$ with finite volume. ${ }^{\dagger}$ We aim to study certain groups of permutations of the orbit $\Omega:=\Gamma p$, for a given point $p \in M$. The major part of this paper is concerned with the most down-to-earth case when $\Omega:=\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, viewed as the set of tile centers of the tessellation dual to the standard tessellation of Euclidean $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ by unit cubes.

To define the notion of a piecewise $\Gamma$-isometric permutation $\pi: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ requires a notion of $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces of $\Omega$ on which $\pi$ should be isometric, and it is reasonable to require that the geometry of these pieces be related to the geometry of $\Gamma$. Thus, together with the base point $p \in M$ we choose a finite set $\mathcal{H}$ of ' $\Gamma$-relevant' closed half-spaces of $M$, and the resulting groups will - to some extent - depend on this choice: We fix a (finite-sided convex) fundamental polyhedron $D$ and take $\mathcal{H}$ to be an irredundant finite set of half spaces with the property that D is the intersection $D=\bigcap_{H \in \mathcal{H}} H$ and each member of $\mathcal{H}$ has its boundary spanned by a side of $D$.

By a convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral subset $P$ of $M$ we mean any finite intersection of $\Gamma$-translates $\mathrm{H} \gamma$, where $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$. And a general $\Gamma$-polyhedral subset of $M$ is a finite union of convex ones. By abuse of language, we call the intersection $S=\Omega \cap P$ (convex) $\Gamma$-polyhedral piece of $\Omega$ whenever $P \subseteq M$ is a (convex) $\Gamma$-polyhedral subset.

Definition. Let $S \subseteq \Omega$ be a $\Gamma$-polyhedral set, and $\Gamma^{*} \leqslant \Gamma$ a subgroup. A permutation $g: S \rightarrow S$ is said to be piecewise $\Gamma^{*}$-isometric if $S$ can be written as a disjoint union of finitely many $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \cdots \cup S_{k}$ with the property that the restriction of $g$ to each $S_{i}$ is also the restriction of an isometry $\varphi_{i} \in \Gamma^{*}$.

We write $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(S)$ for the group of all piecewise isometric $\Gamma^{*}$-permutations of $S$. The permutations in $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(S)$ with finite support form a normal subgroup of $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(S)$ which we denote by $\operatorname{sym}(S)$; the quotient group

$$
G_{\Gamma^{*}}(S) / \operatorname{sym}(S)
$$

is often particularly interesting.

[^1]
## Remark.

(1) Particularly nice is the situation when $M$ comes with a regular tessellation. In that case we take $\Omega$ as the centers of mass of the tiles, and $G(\Omega)$ could be viewed (and termed) as the group of all piecewise isometric tile-rearrangements: Here, $\Gamma$ is the group of all isometries of $M$ compatible with the tessellation, and $\mathcal{H}$ as the set of half-spaces bounded by the span of a corank-1 face of a tile-fundamental domain.
(2) In a recent preprint [19] Farley and Huges present a promising general abstract approach to the finiteness properties of what they call locally defined groups. In their terminology, our piecewise isometric permutations are locally defined by isometries and hence appear as a special case. The authors obtain unified proofs for (the positive direction of) type $F_{n}$, for several generalized Thompson groups, but they add the remark that our examples [10] appear to pose a more substantial challenge.

In this paper we consider the group $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(S)$ in two special cases:
(a) When $M=\mathbb{H}^{2}$ is the hyperbolic plane we consider triangle groups and their orientation preserving subgroups $\Gamma^{*} \leqslant \Gamma$ acting on the tessellation $\Delta$ by the $\Gamma$-translates of a hyperbolic triangle $D$. In the special case when $D$ is the ideal triangle (all three vertices at infinity) the quotient $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega) / \operatorname{sym}(\Omega)$ is Richard Thompson's groups $V$. In the more general case when $D$ has at least one vertex at infinity we can assume that one of these corresponds to the point $\infty \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$ in the upper half plane model, and that all tile-vertices of $\Delta$ in $\partial \Vdash^{2}$ correspond to rational numbers.

We show that in this situation the group $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(S)$ has a description in terms of the spine $T$ of the tessellation $\Delta$, which is a bipartite tree. This description can be used to prove finiteness properties of $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega) / \operatorname{sym}(\Omega)$ if and only if $\Gamma$ contains no hyperbolic elements with rational fixed points. We also outline how one could attack the general case.
(b) Our main concern then is the case when $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is Euclidean $n$-space, $\Gamma=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$, and $\Gamma^{*}$ either equal to $\Gamma$ or its translation subgroup $T \leqslant \Gamma$. We call the $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ the orthohedral subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, and consider the piecewise Euclidean isometry groups pei $(S)=$ $G_{\Gamma}(S)$ and its subgroup pet $(S) \leqslant \operatorname{pei}(S)$, the piecewise Euclidean translation groups $G_{T}(S)$ of arbitrary orthohedral subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.

If $S$ is the disjoint union of $h$ copies of $\mathbb{N}$ then the pet-group pet $(S)=G_{T}(S)$ is Houghton's group $H_{n}$ [22]. Known for more than 38 years was also the pet-group pet( $S$ ) when $S=\bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant h} \mathbb{N}^{2}$ is a disjoint union of $h$ quadrants: This was the topic of the second author's diploma thesis [34] in which she proved, among other things, that pet( $S$ ) is of type $F_{h-1}$ (see Section 1.5 for more information).

The fact that our groups have prominent relatives is not our only motivation: In Chapter 3 we make an effort to analyze the structure of pei( $S$ ), and this culminates at the end of Section 4 with full information on the normal subgroup lattice of pei(S). And in Chapter 4 we get concrete information on finiteness properties (finite presentability and high finiteness length see Section 1.2) of pet( $S$ ) and pei( $S$ ). Thus, here is a new playground - prominently located in a good neighborhood - to studying the interaction between structure and finiteness properties. From the tree-hyperbolic world where the monsters live (like Thompsons' group $V$ ), we have gotten used to seeing many examples which are simple groups of type $F_{\infty}$. What we find in our Euclidean analogue is similar, but interestingly different: Instead of simplicity we find the Bottleneck theorem (Theorem 4.18) which excludes hidden normal subgroups;
and instead of $F_{\infty}$ we find, for example, that pei $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ is of type $F_{2^{n}-1}$ - for the main results, see Section 1.3.

### 1.2 The finiteness length of a group

Every group is of type $F_{0}$; every finitely generated group is of type $F_{1}$; every finitely presented group (equivalently: every fundamental group $\pi_{1}(X)$ of a finite cell complex $X$ ) is of type $F_{2}$; and $\pi_{1}(X)$ is of type $F_{m}(m \geqslant 2)$ if $X$ is a finite cell complex and $\pi_{1}(X)=0$, for all $i$ with $2 \leqslant i<m$.

Ten years after Wall introduced these finiteness properties, Borel and Serre [12, 13] showed that all semi-simple S -arithmetic groups have special homological features; in particular they are of type $F_{\infty}$ (equivalently, type $F_{m}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ). And this was only the first of a number of important infinite families of groups that turned out to be of type $F_{\infty}$ in the following decades; many of them, just like arithmetic groups, in the center of mainstream group theory: automorphism groups of free groups [18], Thompson's groups [15], etc. More recent results in this direction are based on Brown's topological discrete Morse theory technique [14] and its powerful CAT(0)-version of Bestvina-Brady [3].

The insight that many important groups have much further reaching finiteness properties than finite presentability is great progress - but having 'good' finiteness properties is only one side of the concept: The focus on the finiteness length function $f l: \mathbf{G r} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0, \infty\}$, defined on all groups $G$ by

$$
f l(G):=\sup \left\{m \mid G \text { is of type } F_{m}\right\}
$$

takes both sides into account. Analogous algebraic length functions afl $l_{A}$ are defined for every $G$ module $A$, to be the supremum of all non-negative integers $m$ with the property that $A$ admits a free resolution which is finitely generated in all dimensions at most $m$. The functions $a f l_{A}$ have the considerable advantage that they extend immediately to monoids $G$. We write $a f l$ for $a f l_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ stands for the infinite cyclic group with the trivial $G$-action; by the Hurewicz theorem we know that $a f l$ coincides with $f l$ on all finitely presented groups (that is, whenever $f l(G) \geqslant 2$ ). An important feature of both $f l$ and $a f l_{A}$ is that they are constant on commensurability classes of groups.

In general, the finiteness length of a group is notoriously difficult to compute. Nevertheless, to study and interpret accessible parts of the pattern that these functions carve into group theory can be very fruitful. A convincing example is the following: If we fix a finitely generated group $G$, then the function $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{R}_{a d d}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0, \infty\}$, which associates with each homomorphism $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text {add }}$ the value of $a f l_{A}$ on the submonoid $\chi^{-1}([0, \infty)) \subseteq G$, imposes in the finitedimensional $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, \mathbb{R}_{a d d}\right)$ the pattern exhibited by the homological $\Sigma$-invariants $\Sigma^{k}(G ; A)$ of [9]. On the other hand, we can also evaluate $f l$ and $a f l_{A}$ on the commensurability classes of subgroups containing $G^{\prime}$, and this yields patterns on the rational Grassmann space of $\mathbb{Q}$-linear subspaces of $G / G^{\prime} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (which parametrizes these classes). The core of the main $\Sigma$-results of $[6,8,9],[33]$ consists then of exhibiting the precise relationship between the two patterns.

An intriguing point is that in all computable examples the finiteness length patterns have a polyhedral flavor: they turn out to be expressible in terms of finitely many inequalities. One of
the few general results here, polyhedrality of $\Sigma^{0}(G ; A)$ when $G$ is Abelian, was proved in [7] by methods which were later partly re-detected in tropical geometry. But polyhedrality questions on $\Sigma^{k}(G ; A)$ for non-Abelian $G$ and $k>0$ are wide open.

## 1.3 | The results

Chapter 2 has two goals: it illustrates piecewise isometric permutations in the visually attractive area of two-dimensional hyperbolic geometry, and it links our piecewise isometric permutations to the group theory revolving around Thompson's groups.

We mentioned already that for non-cocompact triangle groups $\Gamma$ we can express $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ in terms of the spine $T$ of $\Delta$. This exhibits $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ as a permutation group on the vertices of the tree $T$. We discuss whether this action respects almost all edges and cyclic star-orderings of $T$ (following the terminology of [11], [28, 29], [31], this would be an action by quasi-planar-tree automorphisms).

We find: If $\Gamma$ has signature $[\infty, \infty, \infty]$ then $T$ is the dyadic tree and the action of $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ on it is the one that has always been used to describe the elements of Thompson's groups in terms of generators (and is obviously quasi isometric). In the general case the action is always by piecewise planar-tree isometries, and by quasi-isometries if and only if the signature is [ $p, q, \infty$ ], with at least one of $p, q$ infinite or odd.

Chapter 3 and 4 are about the Euclidean case - more precisely, we restrict attention to the case when $M$ is Euclidean and carries the standard tessellation by unit cubes, that is, $\Gamma=\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$, and $\Gamma^{*}$ is either equal to $\Gamma$ or its translation subgroup $T \leqslant \Gamma$, and the goal is to make first steps toward evaluating the finiteness length functions $f l$ and $a f l$ on what we like to view as the pei- and pet-clouds around Isom $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$, respectively, $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$ : the groups pei $(S)=G_{\Gamma}(S)$, respectively, $\operatorname{pet}(S)=G_{T}(S)$, as $S$ runs through all orthohedral subsets of some $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$.

To state the main results requires the following notation: By an orthant of rank $n(n \in \mathbb{N})$ we mean any subset $L \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ isometric to the standard rank-n orthant $\mathbb{N}^{n}$. Each orthohedral set $S \subseteq$ $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is the disjoint union of finitely many orthants $S=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup \cdots \cup L_{k}$.

Definition (Rank and height). By the rank of $S$, denoted by $n=\operatorname{rk} S$, we mean the maximum rank of the orthants $L_{i}$; and the height of $S$, denoted by $h(S)$, is the number of orthants of rank rk $S$ among the $L_{i}$.

One observes that the orthohedral sets with the piecewise Euclidean-isometric maps between them (called pei-maps) form a category. Clearly, the pei-isomorphisms are the bijective peiisometries; and in Section 3.4 we observe that orthohedral sets are pei-isomorphic if and only if their rank and height agree.

Chapter 3 starts with introducing these basic concepts then turns to analyzing the group theoretic structure of $G:=\operatorname{pei}(S)$ for an arbitrary orthohedral subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$. The results are summarized in some detail at the end of Section 4.1. The key here is a structure at infinity of the orthoedral set $S$ - analogous to the structure at infinity of the tessellated hyperbolic plane which was used above to relate groups of piecewise hyperbolic isometries to Thompson's groups. This structure at infinity of $S$ consists of
(1) a rank-graded $G$-set $\Gamma^{*}(S)=\bigcup_{k} \Gamma^{k}(S)$, called the set of germs of $S$;
(2) a family of rank-k cosets $\langle\gamma\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ attached at the germs $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ which we call the rank-k tangent coset of $\gamma$. (The product $T^{k}:=\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)}\langle\gamma\rangle$ can be viewed as the rank-k part of the tangent space $T$ of $S$ at infinity);
(3) an induced action of $G$ on $\Gamma^{k}(S)$, and an induced action of $G$ on each $\langle\gamma\rangle$ by isometries $g_{\gamma}:\langle\gamma\rangle \rightarrow\langle\gamma g\rangle$.

The definition of germs is in Section 3.2, and their tangent cosets crop up first in Section 4.3. Here we mention merely:

- two orthants $L, L^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ are commensurable if $L, L^{\prime}$ and $L \cap L^{\prime}$ have the same rank, and the commensurability classes represented by rank-k orthants $L \subset S$ are the germs $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$;
- the tangent coset $\langle\gamma\rangle$ of $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ is the union of all members of the commensurability class $\gamma$ and thus $\langle\gamma\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k}$;
- for any given pair $(\gamma, g) \in \Gamma^{k}(S) \times G$, an orthant $L$ representing $\gamma$ can be chosen sufficiently far out to make that the restriction of $g$ to $L$ is an isometry $\left.g\right|_{L}: L \rightarrow L g$ (see Lemma 3.3). This restriction defines the action on both $\Gamma^{k}(S)$, and $T$.

Single elements $g \in G$ are supported on a finite set of orthants, and the maximum rank of these orthants is the rank of $g$, denoted by $\operatorname{rk}(g)$. From this we infer that $g_{\gamma}$ is the identity if and only if $\operatorname{rk}(g)<\operatorname{rk}(\gamma)$.

Now we consider the normal series

$$
1=G_{-1} \leqslant G_{0} \leqslant G_{1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant G_{k} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant G_{n}=G,
$$

where the rank-k subgroup $G_{k}$ consist of all elements $g \in G$ of rank at most $k$.
Its factors $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ exhibit clear footprints of the structure of $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ which is exhibited by the refinement

$$
G_{k-1} \leqslant C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \leqslant C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \leqslant G_{k},
$$

where $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ consists of all elements $g \in G$ which fix all rank-k germs of $S$, and $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ consists of all $g \in G$ with the property that, in addition, for all germs $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ the isometry $g_{\gamma}$ : $\langle\gamma\rangle \rightarrow\langle\gamma g\rangle$ is a translation.

We prove that the quotient $A_{k}:=C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}$ is free-Abelian (of rank $\infty$ for $k<n$ ); $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ is the direct product of symmetric groups of degree k , and $G_{k} / C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ is the finitary symmetric group of degree $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|$ - for more details, see Theorem 4.8.

In particular, $G$ is elementary amenable, and in the $G_{k} / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$-module $A_{k}$ we can track a congruence- subgroup type property - see Section 4.7.

The Bottleneck theorem (Theorem 4.18) in Section 4.9 finally shows that the rank-subgroups $G_{k}$ are characteristic; and, up to an index 2, all normal subgroups of $G$ can be tracked by the ones in the quotients $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$.

In Chapter 4 we use Brown's approach in [14] to compute the finiteness lengths of pet( $S$ ) and a lower bound on the finiteness lengths of pei $(S)$. Just as in Brown's paper each fl result comes together with a parallel afl-result, hence our results have the same feature. We found

Theorem A. Rank and height of an orthohedral set $S$ determines the group pei $(S)$ up to isomorphism, and we have fl( $\operatorname{pei}(S)) \geqslant h(S)-1$; in particular, $f l\left(\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)\right) \geqslant 2^{n}-1$.

For a more precise result see Section 5.1.
The exact value of $f l\left(\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)\right)<\infty$ remains a challenging open problem. In the pet-case we know more: The isomorphism class of pei $(S)$ is not determined by rank and height of the orthohedral set $S$. But we do have a precise result for the special case when $S$ is a stack of $h$ parallel orthants of the same rank:

Theorem B. If S is a stack of h rank-n orthants then $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S))=h(S)-1$.

A generalization to a stack of $k$-skeletons of an orthant is in Theorem 7.5.

Remark. Highly complex elementary amenable groups with high finiteness length can also be constructed in terms of permutational wreath products $A$ 2 $_{X} B$. Bartholdi, de Cornulier, and Kochloukova [2] provide the technique to compute $f l\left(A 2_{X} B\right)$ in favorable situations; and Kropholler-Martino [26] apply this to construct a sequence of wreath powers of Houghton's group $H_{n}, P(m):=H_{n}\left(2_{X} H_{n}\right)^{m}$ with constant finiteness length $f l(P(m))=f l\left(H_{n}\right)=n-1$ for all m . Thus they take $f l\left(H_{n}\right)$ for granted and provide a method to increase the complexity of $H_{n}$, whereas in the present work we extend Brown's computation of $f l\left(H_{n}\right)$ to new groups which are poly-(locally Houghton-by-finite) and analyze their structure.

## 1.4 | Outlook

Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group of (Euclidean or hyperbolic) isometries with polyhedral fundamental domain of finite volume. By generalizing the definition of the group pei $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ to the groups $\mathrm{G}_{\Gamma}(\Omega)$ of all piecewise $\Gamma$-isometric permutations of the orbit $\Omega=\Gamma p$, we have endowed each such group $\Gamma$ with the $G_{\Gamma}$-cloud of all piecewise $\Gamma$-isometric permutation groups $G_{\Gamma}(S)$ where $S$ runs through the $\Gamma$-polyhedral subsets of $\Omega$. The success with evaluating the finiteness length function on the clouds around $\operatorname{Isom}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, together with the observation that the groups around $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ are closely related to the highly respected Thompson groups, indicates that finding more of this might be a difficult but worthwhile program.

Particularly promising projects would be
(i) Finding the phi $(\Omega)$ when $\Omega$ is given by a regular tessellation of the hyperbolic plane, and the precise relationship between the induced group on the boundary, $\operatorname{phi}(\Omega) / \operatorname{sym}(\Omega)$, and Thompson's groups. First steps in this direction based on (a slight generalization of) Theorem 2.3 are suggested in Section 2.6.
(ii) There are strong indications that $f l(\operatorname{pei}(S))>h(S)-1$. In particular, Thomas Kilcoyne has a proof that $\operatorname{pei}(S) / \operatorname{sym}(S)$ is finitely presented if $S$ is a stack of at least 2 quadrants. Thus, progress in this direction seems accessible - whether pei $(S) / \operatorname{sym}(S)$ is better behaved than pei $(S)$ itself remains to be seen. For the Houghton groups this is trivially true, and the subtle difference between $Q V$ and $\tilde{Q} V$ (see [31]) might indicate that this is indeed the case.
(iii) Defining and studying a group pal $\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ of piecewise affine-linear permutations on $\mathbb{Z}^{n}$, and find the footprints of the structure of $S L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{n}\right)$ in its structure.

## 1.5 | Remark on the history of this paper

Houghton originally introduced his groups in [22]. Theorem B, in the Houghton group case, that is, when $S$ is a stack of rays, is due to Brown [14], and we follow his footsteps.

The inequality $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S)) \geqslant h(S)-1$ in the rank-2 case when $S$ is a stack of quadrants (as well as the equality for a certain 'diagonal subgroup' of pet $(S)$ ) is due to the second author and appears in her diploma thesis (Frankfurt 1992 [34]), to which the first author contributed little more than the definition ${ }^{\dagger}$ of the group. Her diploma thesis could have been the starting point of a promising PhD project - but she preferred starting a true-to-life career in software development.

Back then, hunting for further generalizations of such groups was not the first author's priority either - they looked artificial and in those days only of use as counterexamples to questions that nobody asked. Therefore the project went dormant for 22 years, until an increasing number of publications on Houghton's groups ([1], [16], [28], [35], [38], etc.) suggested that Sach's diploma thesis [34] should be published, translated, and generalized. We started our collaboration in 2014.

The (back then surprising) insight that our groups are not only generalized Houghton groups but fit in a more interesting general (Euclidean or hyperbolic) geometric framework, which could be described as the groups of tile-permutations induced by finitary rearrangements of tessellations, was added when we put the preprint [10] (together with the original diploma thesis [34]) on the arXiv in June 2016, and we submitted [10] to the LMS in May 2017. Sadly, our collaboration ended in 2018 due to serious health issues. Substantial results on the group structure (Section 4) and the hyperbolic triangle groups (Section 2) were added during the refereeing process, and the expanded paper with the new title was accepted for publication in October 2021.

## CHAPTER 2. ON THE HYPERBOLIC CASE

## 2 | PLANAR HYPERBOLIC EXAMPLES

### 2.1 Piecewise $\Gamma$-hyperbolic triangle groups

Let $D$ be a hyperbolic triangle of finite area in the compactified (Poincare disk model of the) hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^{2} \cup \partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$. We write $v_{i}$ for the vertices and $e_{i}$ for edges of $D, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$, and use the convention that $v_{i}$ is opposite to $e_{i}$. We write $H_{i} \subset \mathbb{H}^{2}$ for the half-plane which contains $D$ and is bounded by the line spanned by $e_{i}$, and we put $\mathcal{H}:=\left\{H_{1}, H_{2}, H_{3}\right\}$ to be the irredundant finite set of half-spaces as in the definition in Section 1.1.

Regardless of whether some of the $v_{i}$ are on $\partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$, the triangle $D$ has a unique inscribed circle (exhibited in the fundamental triangle in Figures 1 and 3). We will take its hyperbolic center as the base point $p \in D$ and call it the tile center of $D$. Let $t_{i} \in e_{i}$ denote the touching point of the inscribed circle on the edge $e_{i}$. Elementary geometric arguments show that if $e_{i}, e_{j}$ are the two edges emanating from $v_{k}$ then there is a hyperbolic disk $B_{k}$ centered at $v_{k}$ which has $t_{i}$ and $t_{j}$ on its boundary (if $v_{k} \in \partial H^{2}$ then $B_{k}$ is understood to be a horodisk centered at $v_{k}$ ).

We assume that the angle of $D$ at $v_{i}$ is $\frac{\pi}{q_{i}}$, where $q_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ with $\frac{1}{q_{1}}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}+\frac{1}{q_{3}}<1$. Then the hyperbolic reflections $\sigma_{i}$ over the edges $e_{i}$ define a particularly nice tessellation on $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ and generate the isometry group $\Gamma$. The triple $\left[q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right]$ is the signature of the triangle group $\Gamma$. $\Vdash^{2}$ is now endowed with a simplicial $\Gamma$-complex $\Delta$. Here are some elementary facts:
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FIGURE 1 The signature $[3,4, \infty]$ case
(1) if $P \subset \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is $\Gamma$-polyhedral, so is the closure of its complement;
(2) each edge $e$ of $\Delta$ spans a hyperbolic line $h[e]$ in the 1-skeleton $\Delta^{1}$;
(3) a hyperbolic line $h \subset \Delta^{1}$ is tessellated by infinitely many finite edges if and only if $h$ is a hyperbolic axis (the axis of a hyperbolic element $g \in \Gamma$ ).

We put $\Omega=\Gamma p$ and are interested in the group $G(\Omega)=\operatorname{phi}(\Omega)$ of all piecewise $\Gamma$-isometric permutations, and in subgroups $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega) \leqslant G(\Omega)$, when $\Gamma^{*} \leqslant \Gamma$ is a specified subgroup of $\Gamma$. $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ is now equipped with three $\Gamma$-orbits $\Gamma B_{i}$ of disks centered at the tile-vertices $g v_{i}$; these disks touch each other, and their mutual touching points coincide with the points $g t_{i}$. The hyperbolic segments connecting the tile centers of edge-neighboring tiles cross vertically at the points $g t_{i}$ through the edge $g e_{i}$ of $\Delta$ and constitute the edges of the dual tessellation $\Delta^{*}$ of $\Delta$. The dual tile with center $g v_{i} \in \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is a convex $2 q_{i}$-gon around the inscribed disk $g B_{i}$ (in the case when $g v_{j} \in \partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$ this is the area bounded by a doubly infinite sequence of finite dual edges tangent to the horodisk $g B_{j}$ with center $g v_{j}$ ).

The charm of $\Delta^{*}$ is that $\Omega$ stands for the tiles, and the edges of $\Delta^{*}$ indicate how tiles are glued together. This opens the possibility that the $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces can be described in terms of the 1 -skeleton of $\Delta^{*}$. If $D$ is compact this remains a challenge to be addressed somewhere else.

## 2.2 | The case when $\Gamma$ is a non-cocompact triangle group

The situation is simpler when $D$ is not compact, and from now on we assume that at least $q_{3}=\infty$ : The point is that we have now a $\Gamma$-equivariant retraction of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ along the hyperbolic lines emanating out of the horodisk centers $g v_{j}$ for $g \in \Gamma$ and $v_{j} \in \partial \Vdash^{2}$, and


FIGURE 2 The signature $[3, \infty, \infty]$ case
terminating on the boundaries of the horodisks $g B_{j}$. The truncated hyperbolic plane

$$
\mathbf{T}:=\mathbb{H}^{2}-\left(\bigcup_{g \in \Gamma, v_{j} \in \partial H^{2}} \operatorname{Int}\left(\mathrm{gB}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)\right),
$$

obtained by excision of all open horodisks $g B_{j}$, closely approximates the finite part $\Delta_{\text {fin }}^{*}$ of the complex $\Delta^{*}$. T is a tree-shaped union of bands meandering between the horodisks $g B_{l}$ toward $\partial \Vdash^{2}$, and it contains the finite part of the dual tessellation $\Delta_{\text {fin }}^{*} \subset \mathbf{T}$ is the cell complex with vertex set $\Omega=\Gamma p$, all dual edges of length equal to the diameter of the inscribed circle of $D$, and 2-cells semi-regular $2 q_{i}$-gons around the finite disks $g B_{i}$.

That $\mathbf{T}$ is tree-shaped can be seen by referring to either the retraction of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ onto $\mathbf{T}$, or to the fact that whenever a band enters an area bounded by two horodisks through its tight entrance there is no escape on a different route through another exit.

Illustrations. With signature $[2,3, \infty],[3, \infty, \infty],[\infty, \infty, \infty]$ are exhibited in Figures $1-3$. The colored part of the pictures exhibits the set $\mathbf{T}$ (the finite disks $B_{i}$ in green/blue, and the rest of $\mathbf{T}$ consists of little triangular red shapes, each containing exactly one point of $\Omega$ ). As the neighboring ones touch each other at a kissing point this red part of $\mathbf{T}$ actually contains and outlines the 1 -skeleton of $\Delta_{\text {fin }}^{*}$.

The retraction of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ onto $\mathbf{T}$ (or $\Delta_{\text {fin }}^{*}$ ) can be prolongated to a retraction $\rho: \mathbb{H}^{2} \rightarrow T$ onto a $\Gamma$ invariant tree $T \subseteq \mathbf{T}$ which we call the spine (of $X$ ). If $D$ has a finite edge $e$ this retraction pushes


FIGURE 3 The signature $[\infty, \infty, \infty]$ case
each triangle $g D$ onto $g e$. Hence $T$ is just the finite part of the 1 -skeleton of $\Delta$. It contains vertices of degrees $q_{1}$ and vertices of degree $q_{2}$.

If $\Gamma$ has signature $[q, \infty, \infty]$ only one vertex $v$ of $D$ is in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ and its opposite edge $e$ is a line. We write $h$ for the hyperbolic segment connecting $v$ with the nearest point $t$ on $e$. Then centered at the endpoints of each $g e$ we have two horodisks $g B_{j}, g B_{k}$ which touch each other in the point $g t$. The prolongated retraction sends the whole of $g D$ to the segment $g h$ which is one half of an edge of $T$ - the second half is its reflection over the axis $g e$. Hence, as above, the vertex set of $T$ is the set of vertices of $\Delta$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2}$, but now the edges of $T$ are the geodesic segments connecting vertices with their images under reflection over the opposite sides of their tiles.

If $\Gamma$ is of type $[\infty, \infty, \infty]$ then $T=\Delta_{\text {fin }}^{*}$ and all vertices are of degree 3 .

## 2.3 | Tessellated sectors and rooted subtrees of the spine

By a (open or closed) sector $S \subset \mathbb{H}^{2}$ we mean a subset bounded by two rays emanating from $v \in \mathbb{H}^{2}$. The two rays are the legs and their endpoints the feet of $S$. Occasionally it is convenient to include ideal sectors which have line-legs and their tip and feet in $\partial \Vdash^{2}$. $S$ is a tessellated sector (or a sector of $\Delta$ ) if its tip is a vertex and its legs lie in the 1 -skeleton of $\Delta$ and hence are tessellated by edges of $\Delta$.

We call a tessellated sector $S$ small if its legs are single edges of $\Delta$ and no proper subsector with the same tip has the same property. One observes that the star of a small sector consists of two tiles if the triangle $D$ has exactly one vertex at infinity, and of a single tile in all other cases. In any case two small sectors are $\Gamma$-translates of each other if and only if their tips are in the same $\Gamma$-orbit.

Closely related to sectors of $\Delta$ are the rooted subtrees of the spine $T$. If $v \in \operatorname{ver} R$ is a vertex of a subtree $R \subset T$ we write $\operatorname{deg}_{T}(v)$ for the degree of $v$ as a vertex in $T$. If $\operatorname{deg}_{R}(v)=1$ then $v$ is a leaf of $R$, and if $\operatorname{deg}_{R}(v)=\operatorname{deg}_{T}(v)$ then $v$ is an inner vertex of $R$. The subforest spanned by all
inner vertices of $R$ is the inner part of $R$, denoted by $R$, and the set of vertices of $R$ which are not inner is the boundary of $R$ in $T$, denoted by $\partial R \subset$ ver $R$. A rooted subtree $R \subset T$ is a subtree whose boundary in $T$ consists of a single vertex $r$, the root of $R$; and if the root is a leaf of $R$ we say that $R$ is a leaf-rooted subtree. The inner part of a leaf-rooted subtree is a rooted tree and best described as a half-tree of $T$, that is, one of the two connected components obtained by removing the interior of an edge of $T$.

Lemma 2.1. Under the assumption that $\Gamma$ is a hyperbolic triangle group with signature $\left[q_{1}, q_{2}, \infty\right]$ we have a 1-1-correspondence between the small tessellated sectors $S$ of $\Delta$ and the leaf-rooted subtrees of the spine $T$. This correspondence associates to $S$ the maximal subtree of $S \cap T$ and to $R$ the minimal subcomplex of $\Delta$ containing the convex closure $\bar{R}$ of $R$.

Proof. Elementary and left to the reader.

## 2.4 | The limit sets of $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces

Let $P \subset \mathbb{H}^{2}$ be an arbitrary $\Gamma$-polyhedral subset. We consider the boundary of $P$ in the completed hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^{2} \cup \partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$, and denote it by $\partial P=: \partial_{\mathrm{fin}} P \cup \partial_{\infty} P$, where $\partial_{\mathrm{fin}} P$ consists of finitely many edge paths, and $\partial_{\infty} P$ is the limit-set of $P$ and consists of finitely many segments of $\partial H^{2}$ - the connected components of $\partial_{\infty} P$ with respect to the $S^{1}$-topology of the disk model.

We need a slightly stronger connectivity concept: A segment $[x, y] \subset \partial_{\infty} P$ is strongly connected, if none of its inner points is a limit point of the complement $P^{\mathrm{c}}:=\mathbb{H}^{2}-P$; and the maximal strongly connected segments are the strongly connected components of $\partial_{\infty} P$. We claim that each connected component of $\partial_{\infty} P$ is 'tessellated' by (that is, the union with pairwise disjoint interiors of) its finite set of strongly connected components. Indeed, the only reason why a connected component might not be strongly connected is the possibility that it might contain a tip of the complement $P^{\mathrm{c}}:=\mathbb{H}^{2}-P$. As we know that $\Gamma$-polyhedrality of $P$ implies that the closure of $P^{\mathrm{c}}$ is also $\Gamma$-polyhedral (see Section 2.1), $P^{\mathrm{c}}$ has only finitely many tips. This proves the claim; and at the same time also the following.

Observation. If $P$ is convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral then $\partial_{\infty} P$ is not necessarily connected, but all its connected components are strongly connected.

Lemma 2.2. Let $P$ be a convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral set, $C \subset \partial_{\infty} P$ a connected component of its limit set, and assume that $C$ has two endpoints $x, y \in C$, but at neither of them $\partial P$ continues with a ray in $\Delta$ which is part of a hyperbolic axis. Then there is a canonical finite gallery $G[C] \subset P$, consisting of small sectors and single tiles, which tessellates a neighborhood of $C$ in $P$.

Proof. By assumption $\partial P$ continues at $x$ and $y$ with a ray-edge or a line-edge $e_{x}, e_{y}$ of $\Delta$. As $T$ is a tree, we have a canonical shortest path in $\Delta^{1}$ starting in $x$, passing through a unique reduced edge path $\omega \subset T$ of length $m \geqslant 0$ from $e_{x} \cap T$ to $e_{y} \cap T$, and ending at $y$. As $T \cap C=\emptyset$ the simple closed path $C \cup \omega$ is the boundary of a topological disk $B$.

For simplicity we first deal with the case when $T$ is contained in the 1-skeleton of $\Delta$, that is, the triangle $D$ has a unique vertex $v_{\infty}$ in $\partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$. In that case $B \cap \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is a subcomplex of $\Delta$, and we claim that this is the gallery we are looking for.

Each of the $m$ finite edges of $\omega$ is now the edge of a uniquely defined tile with its opposite vertex in $C$, and we can describe the union of these tiles as a finite set of pairwise disjoint fans $F_{z}$ (= finite
gallery of tiles with the common vertex $z$ ), where $z$ runs through a finite subset of $C \cap \Gamma v_{\infty}$. As $C$ is strongly connected each $F_{z}$ is contained in $P$. The closure of each connected component of the complement $B-\bigcup_{z} F_{z}$ is a sector of $\Delta$ with tip in $\omega$ and both legs ray-edges. Such sectors are finite unions of small sectors with the same tip. This shows that $G[C]:=B \cap \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is the gallery along $C$ as asserted.

In the signature $\left[q_{1}, \infty, \infty\right]$ case the vertices of $T$ are in $\Delta$ but not the edges. Nevertheless, the argument follows the same line: Instead of considering tiles with an edge $e \in \omega$ we now consider the quadrilaterals $\square$ consisting of tile pairs sharing a line-edge of $\Delta$, with the edge $e \in T$ on the short diagonal and a vertex of $\square$ in $C$. The signature $[\infty, \infty, \infty]$ case is even simpler. Here $\omega$ connects the centers of the tiles with vertices in the endpoints of $C$, and we argue by considering the gallery of tiles covering $\omega$.

We will now consider arbitrary $\Gamma$-polyhedral sets, that is, finite unions of convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces, $U:=\bigcup_{i} P_{i}$, and we can assume that the convex polyhedral sets $P_{i}$ have pairwise disjoint interiors. We find it convenient to express this by saying $U$ is tessellated by the pieces $P_{i}$, or that the family $\mathcal{P}:=\left(P_{i}\right)_{i}$ is a tessellation of $U$. But we will avoid calling these pieces 'tiles' - they are infinite unions of the original tiles.

By a refinement of $\mathcal{P}$ we mean a tessellation $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of $U$ with the property that each $P^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is contained in some $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 2.3. Each tessellation $\mathcal{P}$ of $\nVdash^{2}$ by a finite set of convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces admits a refinement of the following kind: There is a tessellation $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{\sharp}^{2}$ by finitely many single tiles and small sectors, which turns into a refinement of $\mathcal{P}$ when we take all pieces $P^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ which are small sectors whose middle ray $m$ is a hyperbolic axis, cut them along $m$ in two, and replace $P^{\prime}$ by the two fragments.

Proof. The boundary $\partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$ is tessellated by the connected components of the limit set $\partial_{\infty} P$, with $P$ running through $\mathcal{P}$. The points on $\partial \Vdash^{2}$ which are endpoints of these connected components are finite in numbers, and we consider the subset $X$ consisting of those points $x$ which are corner points of some $P \in \mathcal{P}$, in a position where a section of $\partial_{\infty} P$ turns into a section of $\partial_{\mathrm{fin}} P$ which lies on a hyperbolic axis $h_{x} \subset \Delta^{1}$. In order to apply Lemma 2.2 we have to get around the points $x \in X$. Note that $X$ is empty unless the signature of $\Gamma$ has two finite entries.

Each vertex on $h_{x}$ is the tip of a unique small sector with its middle ray on $h_{x}$ and with limit point $x$. These small sectors are nested and their intersection is the singleton set $\{x\}$. Since the legs of each sector $S$ isolate $x$ from the complement of $S$ we find that infinite edge paths of $\Delta^{1}$ can only reach $x$ through a ray on $h_{x}$. This shows: 1) $x$ is a limit point of only the two convex polyhedral corner pieces at $x, P_{x}^{+}, P_{x}^{-} \in \mathcal{P}$; and 2) if the tip of such a small sector $S$ is sufficiently close to $x$ then $S \subset U=P_{x}^{+} \cup P_{x}^{-}$.

Thus, we have a canonical choice by taking the one small sector $S_{x}$ with its middle line on the axis $h_{x}$, its tip in a vertex of $e_{x}$, and the property that $S_{x}$ is maximal with respect $S_{x} \cap\left(\mathbb{H}^{2}-U\right)=\emptyset$ Now we excise the interior of $S_{x}$ from the corner pieces $P_{x}^{+}$and $P_{x}^{-}$, noting that cutting off the corner $x$ along a ray-edge preserves both convexity and $\Gamma$-polyhedrality. Therefore, we can replace in $\mathcal{P}$ the pieces $P_{x}^{+}, P_{x}^{+}$by the remaining fractions. The result is a tessellation of $\mathbb{H}^{2}-S_{x}$. It avoids the corner $x$ as its boundary turns into the legs of $S_{x}$ before it meets the remaining finite fragment of the ray $e_{x}$.

When we have removed all corners $x \in X$ in this way we have transformed the tessellation $\mathcal{P}$ into a tessellation $\mathcal{P}^{*}$ of $\mathbb{\Perp}^{2}-\bigcup_{x \in X} S_{x}$. Then we can apply Lemma 2.2 to all convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral
pieces $P \in \mathcal{P}^{*}$ to find, along the connected components of their limit sets $\partial_{\infty} P$, galleries consisting of single tiles and small sectors. Together with the small sectors $S_{x}, x \in X$ they provide a tessellation $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ of a neighborhood of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$. As all our tiles have a vertex at infinity, such a tessellation must cover all tiles of $\Delta$; hence $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}$ is the tessellation of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ claimed to exist in the assertion of the theorem.

Remark (The scaly spider). Retrospectively, the type of tessellation that we can always achieve is easy to describe: We pick a finite subtree $T_{0}$ of the spine $T$ (the spider's body). Attached to the body are (1) all rays which emanate in the 1-skeleton of $\Delta$ out of $T_{0}$ and are not a single ray-edge (the spider's legs); and (2) all tiles of $\Delta$ that contain a one-dimensional part of an edge of $T$ (the spider's scales). Then the complement of the scaled body of the spider is the disjoint union of small sectors with the spider's legs on their middle line.

Theorem 2.3 shows that modulo finite permutations each piecewise isometric pemutation of the set $\Omega$ of all tile centers is given by isometries restricted to small tessellated sectors and halfs of small sectors (split along a hyperbolic axis), which form a finite gallery along the $\partial H^{2}$. Helpful is the simple fact that describing the restriction of an isometry $\varphi$ to a small sector $S$ requires only the image of the edge emanating at the root together with the information whether $\varphi$ preserves the orientation. Restricted to the spine, this corresponds to displacing a leaf-rooted subtree $R$ to another position (at a vertex with the same degree in $T$ ). To describe the half-sector moves in the spine is more subtle: They are not quasi-autmorphisms of $T$; rather one has to split the rooted subtree along its tree trunk (which lies on the hyperbolic axis) in two, and accept a copy of the trunk in both fragments to keep them connected.

## 2.5 | Piecewise planar tree isometric permutations

For simplicity we will from now on restrict the focus to the case when $\Delta^{1}$ contains no hyperbolic axis, and when the acting group is the orientation preserving subgroup of $\Gamma^{*} \leqslant \Gamma$. Then Theorem 2.3 asserts that each tessellation $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ by a finite set of convex $\Gamma$-polyhedral pieces admits a refinement consisting of single tiles and small sectors.

Thus, a piecewise $\Gamma^{*}$-isometric permutation $g \in G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ is now given by the restriction of isometries to finitely many tiles and sectors. As isometries respect the spine $T$, Lemma 2.1 tells us how to translate this information to the corresponding tessellation of the spine $T$ by a finite set of edges and a subforest $F$ of finitely many leaf-rooted subtrees $R_{i}$ (the maximal subtrees of $S \cap T$ as $S$ runs through the small sectors of the gallery along $\partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$ ). As $T$ and $R_{i}$ intersect the boundary of small sectors only in their tips the subtrees $R_{i}$ tessellate $T$ outside a finite subgraph. More precisely: The restriction of $g$ embeds each $R_{i}$ by a planar-tree isomorphism onto the trees of a subforest $F^{\prime}$ such that $T-F$ and $T-F^{\prime}$ have the same number of vertices.

In the present framework it is natural to say that $g$ induces on the spine $T$ a piecewise planartree isometric (ppti-isometric) vertex permutation. Thus we found a homomorphism of $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ into the group of all a piecewise planar-tree isometric vertex permutation of $T$ which we term $\operatorname{ppti}(T)$.

Conversely: Using Lemma 2.1 one observes that each tessellation of $T$ by finitely many leafrooted subtrees and single edges can be refined to a tessellation by finitely many single edges and leaf-rooted $R_{i}$ whose convex closure are (or at least contained in) small sectors $S_{i}$; and each
planar-tree isometry on $R_{i}$ can be represented by a metric-tree isometry on $R_{i}$ and then extended to an isometry on $S_{i}$. Hence $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega) \cong \operatorname{ppti}(T)$.

By definition piecewise planar-tree isometric vertex permutations respect not only the pairs of endpoints of almost all edges of $T$ but also the cyclic ordering of the stars at almost all vertices of $T$. Hence, $g$ can also be referred to as a quasi-(planar-tree) automorphism of the spine $T$; see [11], [28, 29], [31].

Hence we can also summarize:

Corollary 2.4. Let $\Gamma^{*} \leqslant \Gamma$ be the orientation preserving subgroup of a triangle group with signature [ $q_{1}, q_{2}, \infty$ ]. If at least one of $q_{1}$ or $q_{2}$ is odd or infinite, then the group of all piecewise $\Gamma^{*}$-isometric permutations of the tile centers, $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ coincides with the quasi-(planar-tree) automorphism group $\operatorname{ppti}(\operatorname{ver}(T))$ of the spine $T$ of the tessellation $\Delta$.

### 2.6 Connection with Thompson's groups

Interpreting the statement of Corollary 2.4 for the triangle group $\Gamma$ with signature [ $\infty, \infty, \infty$ ] yields the connection with Thompson's groups: in this case the spine $T$ is the infinite binary (planar) tree $T_{2}$ that has always been around when Thompson's groups (and their generalizations) have been investigated in terms of generators or as groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of the Cantor set on the real line or on $\partial \mathbb{H}^{2}$.

Thus, we infer that for triangle groups with signature [ $q_{1}, q_{2}, \infty$ ], and at least one of $q_{1}, q_{2}$ odd or infinite, the quotients $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega) / \operatorname{sym}(\Omega)$ are straightforward generalizations of Thompson's group $V$. Hence roofs in the literature (for example, [37]), showing that these generalized Thompson groups are of type $F_{\infty}$, also apply in our situation.

However, to extend the results on $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega) / \operatorname{sym}(\Omega)$ for more general $\Gamma$, or on the groups $G_{\Gamma^{*}}(\Omega)$ themselves, it seems more rewarding to skip the detour to the spine $T$ but rather try to modify tools that were successful for Thompson's groups: instead of tree-parameters and the partially ordered set of rooted subtrees of $T$ one might be able to use hyperbolic plane parameters and the partially ordered set of small sectors (or halves of small sectors) of the tessellated hyperbolic plane to find some understanding of $G_{\Gamma}(\Omega)$ when the 1-skeleton of $\Delta$ contains a hyperbolic axis - with a bit of luck even in the case when $\Gamma$ is a cocompact triangle group.

Instead of trying to do this one-handedly it would be interesting to know how much of that can already be covered (or promoted) by the cloning systems of [37] or the abstraction of [19].

## CHAPTER 3. THE EUCLIDEAN CASE I: THE STRUCTURE OF pei(S)

## 3 | ORTHOHEDRAL SETS

## 3.1 | Integral orthants in $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{N}}$

In the standard $N$-dimensional Euclidean integral lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ we consider affine-orthogonal transformations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau_{a, A}: \mathbb{Z}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N} \\
\tau_{a, A}(x)=a+A x,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $A \in O(N, \mathbb{Z})$ is an integral orthogonal matrix and $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$. Inside $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ we have the standard orthant of $\operatorname{rank} N, \mathbb{N}^{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$, and all images of its $k$-dimensional faces, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant N$, under affineorthogonal transformations. More precisely: the subsets $L=\tau_{a, A}\langle Y\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$, where $\langle Y\rangle$ stands for the monoid generated by the $k$-element set $Y$ of canonical basis vectors. We call $L$ an integral orthant (of rank- $k$, and based at $a \in L$ ) of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ or just a rank- $k$ orthant.

We write $\Omega^{k}$ for the set of all rank-k orthants of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ and $\Omega^{*}$ for the union $\bigcup_{k} \Omega^{k}$. $\Omega^{*}$ is partially ordered by inclusion, with $\Omega^{0}=\mathbb{Z}^{N}$. The subset of all orthants based at the origin 0 will be denoted by $\Omega_{0}^{*} \subseteq \Omega^{*}$; it retracts the order preserving projection $\tau: \Omega^{*} \rightarrow \Omega_{0}^{*}$ which associates to each orthant $L \in \Omega^{*}$ based at $a \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ its unique parallel translate $\tau(L)=-a+L \in \Omega_{0}^{*} . \tau(L)$ is characterized by its canonical basis $Y=\{y \in \pm X \mid a+\mathbb{N} y \subseteq L\}$ which indicates the directions of $L$; hence we call $\tau(L)$ the indicator of $L$. Note that $Y$ is given by the function $f: X \rightarrow\{0,1,-1\}$ with $f(x)=\epsilon \in\{1,-1\}$ if $\epsilon x \in Y$, and $f(x)=0$ if $\{x,-x\} \cap Y=\emptyset$; hence $\left|\Omega_{0}^{*}\right|=3^{N}$.

We call a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ orthohedral if it is the union of a finite set of orthants - without losing generality we can assume that the union is disjoint. The rank of $S$, denoted by $\mathrm{rk} S$, is the maximum rank of an orthant contained in $S$. If $S$ is isometric to $\mathbb{N}^{k} \times\{1,2, \ldots, h\}$, we call it a stack of orthants of height $h$. The terminology agrees with the height $h(S)$ of an arbitrary orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$, defined as the number of orthants of maximal rank, $\operatorname{rk} S$, which participate in a pairwise disjoint finite decomposition of $S=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}$ - see Section 1.1 in the introduction.

Lemma 3.1. Orthohedrality of subsets $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is closed under the set-theoretic operations of taking intersections, unions, and complements.

Proof. The main observation here is that the intersection of a finite set of half-spaces of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ (each defined by an upper or lower bound on one coordinate) is a disjoint union of finitely many orthants. We prove this by induction. If two of these half-spaces, $H, H^{\prime}$, are bounded by parallel hyperplanes then either one of them is redundant or their intersection $H \cap H^{\prime}$ is a (possibly empty) finite union of lower dimensional subspaces. In both cases we are reduced to the intersection of fewer half-spaces. If no pair of the half spaces have parallel boundary there are only $k \leqslant N$ of them, and their intersection is isometric to $\mathbb{Z}^{N-k} \oplus \mathbb{N}^{k}$ and hence is a finite union of $2^{N-k}$ rank-N orthants. The assertion of the lemma follows now by set-theoretic tautologies.

Remark. As a consequence we note that the orthohedral subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ are precisely the $\mathbb{Z}^{N_{-}}$ polyhedral subsets of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ as defined in Section 3.1.

We write $\Omega^{k}(S)=\left\{L \in \Omega^{k} \mid L \subseteq S\right\}$ for the set of all rank-k orthants of $S, \Omega^{*}(S)$ for the disjoint union over $k$, and $\Omega_{0}^{*}(S)$ for the set of all orthants of $S$ based at the origin 0 . We consider the restriction of the indicator map $\tau: \Omega^{*}(S) \rightarrow \Omega_{0}^{*}$. We write $S_{\tau} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ for the union of all orthants in $\tau\left(\Omega^{*}(S)\right)$ and call this the indicator image of $S$. Note that $\tau\left(\Omega^{*}(S)\right)=\Omega_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$, and we can view the indicator map as a rank preserving surjection $\tau: \Omega^{*}(S) \rightarrow \Omega_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$.

## 3.2 | Germs of orthants

Two orthants $L, L^{\prime}$ in $\Omega^{*}$ are said to be commensurable if $\operatorname{rk} L=\operatorname{rk}\left(L \cap L^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{rk} L^{\prime}$. We write $\gamma(L)$ for the commensurability class of $L$ and call it the germ of $L$. The union of all members of $\gamma(L)$
is a coset of a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$; we denote it by $\langle L\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ and call it the tangent coset of S at $\gamma$. The germs inherit from their representing orthants $L$ the rank, relations like parallelism and orthogonality, and also a partial ordering defined as follows: given two germs $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ we put $\gamma \leqslant \gamma^{\prime}$ if they can be represented by orthants $L, L^{\prime} \in \Omega^{*}$ with $L \subseteq L^{\prime}$. Note that if $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are arbitrary orthants representing $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$, respectively, then $\gamma \leqslant \gamma^{\prime}$ if and only if (1) $L^{\prime}$ contains an orthant parallel to $L$ and (2) $L \subseteq\left\langle L^{\prime}\right\rangle$.

We write $\Gamma^{*}(S)=\bigcup_{k} \Gamma^{k}(S)$ for the set of all germs of orthants in $S$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{*}(S)$ for the set of all germs represented by an orthant of $S$ based at the origin $0 . \Gamma^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{N}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{N}\right)$ are abbreviated as $\Gamma^{*}$ and $\Gamma_{0}^{*}$, respectively. As $\Gamma_{0}^{*}$ and $\Omega_{0}^{*}$ are canonically bijective, we will identify them when this is convenient. Note that $\Gamma^{*}(S)$ is a convex subset of $\Gamma^{*}$ in the sense that if $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}(S)$ then $\left\{\gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{*} \mid \gamma^{\prime} \leqslant \gamma\right\} \subseteq \Gamma^{*}(S)$. We can interpret the indicator map as an order and rank preserving surjection $\tau: \Gamma^{*}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma_{0}^{*}$ with $\tau\left(\Gamma^{*}(S)\right)=\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$. By max $\Gamma^{*}(S)$ we mean the set of all maximal germs of $S$.

Exercise. Observe that $\tau\left(\max \Gamma^{*}(S)\right) \supseteq \max \Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$, but this is not, in general, an equality.
Lemma 3.2. $\max \Gamma^{*}(S)$ is finite for each orthohedral set $S$. The set of all germs of rank $n=\operatorname{rk} S$ is a subset of max Gamma* $(S)$, whose cardinality coincides with the height $h(S)$. Hence $h(S)$ is independent of the particular decomposition of $S$.

Proof. Let $S=\bigcup_{j} L_{j}$ be an arbitrary decomposition of $S$ as a finite pairwise disjoint union of orthants $L_{j}$. Each orthant $L \subseteq$ is the disjoint union of the orthants $M_{j}=L \cap L_{j}$, and exactly one of them is commensurable to $L$. Hence $\gamma(L)=\gamma\left(M_{j}\right) \subseteq \gamma\left(L_{j}\right)$. This shows that each germ $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}(S)$ is smaller than or equal to one of the $\gamma\left(L_{j}\right)$. In particular, $\max \Gamma^{*}(S)$ is contained $\left\{\gamma\left(L_{j}\right) \mid j\right\}$ and hence finite. The orthants $L_{j}$ of rank $n$ form a complete set of representatives of all orthants of rank $n$.

Remark. We leave it to the reader to deduce that $h\left(S \cup S^{\prime}\right)=h(S)+h\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, if $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are orthohedral sets with $\operatorname{rk}(S)=\operatorname{rk}\left(S^{\prime}\right)>\operatorname{rk}\left(S \cap S^{\prime}\right)$.

## 3.3 | Piecewise isometric maps

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ be an orthohedral subset. We call a map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ piecewise-Euclidean-isometric (abbreviated as pei-map), if $S$ is covered by a finite set $\Lambda$ of pairwise disjoint orthants, with the property that the restriction of $f$ to each orthant $L \in \Lambda$ is an isometric embedding $f_{\mid L}: L \rightarrow S$. The support of $f \in G(S), \operatorname{supp}(f)=\{a \in S \mid a g \neq a\}$, is orthohedral, and we refer to its rank also as the rank of $f$, denoted by $\operatorname{rk}(f)$.

Analogously, we call $f$ a piecewise Euclidean-translation map (abbreviated as pet-map), if $S$ is a finite disjoint union of orthants with the property that the restriction of $f$ to each of them is a parallel shift.

If a bijection $f: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ is a pei-map (respectively, a pet-map), so is $f^{-1}$ and we say that $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are pei-isomorphic (respectively, a pet-isomorphic).

By the argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 one shows that if $f$ is a pei-map, then each orthant $L \subseteq S$ contains a commensurable suborthant on which $f$ restricts to an isometric embedding. In fact, we leave it to the reader to observe the following.

Lemma 3.3. Let $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ be an injective map on an orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$. Then $f$ is a pei(respectively, pet)-injection if and only if every orthant $L$ of $S$ contains a commensurable suborthant $L^{\prime} \subseteq L$ on which $f$ is given by an isometry (respectively, a translation) onto $f\left(L^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$.

It follows that every injective pei-map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ induces a rank preserving injection $f_{*}$ : $\Gamma^{*}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma^{*}(f(S)) . f_{*}$ does not preserve the ordering - not even if $f$ is a pet-map. But since it is rank-preserving, it does induce a bijection between the germs of maximal rank of $\Gamma^{*}(S)$ and $\Gamma^{*}(f(S))$, when $h(f(S))=h(S)$. The following observations can be left as an exercise:

Lemma 3.4. If $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is a pet-map, then $f_{*}(\gamma)$ is parallel to $\gamma$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}(S)$. Hence $\tau\left(f_{*}(\gamma)\right)=\tau(\gamma)$, and $S_{\tau}=f(S)_{\tau}$. In other words we have the commutative diagram


## 3.4 | Normal forms

Consider the disjoint union of orthants

$$
S=L_{1} \cup L_{2} \cup \ldots \cup L_{m}
$$

in $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$. Assuming that $\operatorname{rk} S<N$ we have enough space to parallel translate each $L_{i}$ to an orthant $L_{i}^{\prime}$ in such a way that the $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are still pairwise disjoint, but that each (oriented) parallelism class of the orthants $L_{i}^{\prime}$ is assembled to a stack. This describes a pet-bijection $S \rightarrow S^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j} S_{j}$, where the $S_{j}$ stand for pairwise disjoint and non-parallel stacks of orthants. We can go one step further by observing that when the maximal orthants of a stack $S_{i}$ are parallel to suborthants of the stack $S_{j}$, then there is a pet-bijection $S_{i} \cup S_{j} \rightarrow S_{j}$ which feeds $S_{i}$ into $S_{j}$. Hence we can delete all stacks $S_{i}$ of orthants that are parallel to a suborthant of some other $S_{j}$ and find

Proposition 3.5 (pet-Normal form). Each orthohedral set S is pet-isomorphic to a disjoint union of stacks of orthants $S^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j} S_{j}$, with the property that no maximal orthant of any $S_{j}$ is parallel to a suborthant in some $S_{k}$, if $k \neq j$.

Corollary 3.6 (pei-Normal form). Each orthohedral set $S$ is pei-isomorphic to a stack of orthants.

As rank $\operatorname{rk} S$ and height $h(S)$ are pei-invariant; hence they can be read off from the pei-normal form; and the pair ( $\operatorname{rk} S, h(S)$ ) characterizes $S$ up to pei-isomorphism. For the corresponding petresult we consider the height function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{S}: \Gamma_{0}^{*} \longrightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which assigns to each 0 -based orthant $L \in \Omega_{0}^{*}=\Gamma_{0}^{*}$ the number of maximal germs $\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)$ with $\tau(\gamma)=L$, which is finite by Lemma 3.2. The support $\operatorname{supp}\left(h_{S}\right) \subseteq \Gamma_{0}^{*}$ is the set of all 0 -based
orthants $L$ with $h_{S}(L)>0$. From the Exercise in Section 3.2 we infer that max $\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}\left(h_{S}\right)$, and that this is not, in general an equality. One observes easily that the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\max \Gamma^{*}(S)\right)=\max \Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right) \text { or equivalently: } \max \Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)=\operatorname{supp}\left(h_{S}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a necessary condition for $S$ to be in pet-normal form. Thus we call $S$ quasi-normal if the equation (2) holds. Of course, a quasi-normal orthohedral set is not necessarily in pet-normal form. But as quasi-normality implies that $\tau$ restricts to a surjection $\tau: \max \Gamma^{*}(S) \rightarrow \max \Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$, max $\Gamma^{*}(S)$ is the pairwise disjoint union of the fibers $f^{-1}(\gamma)$, which consist of $h_{S}(\gamma)$ germs parallel to $\gamma$. This can be viewed as a weak germ-version of the pet-normal form.

Lemma 3.7. If $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is a pet-injection of a quasi-normal orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$, then $f_{*}\left(\max \Gamma^{*}(S)\right) \subseteq \max \Gamma^{*}(f(S))$.

Proof. By Lemma $3.3 f$ induces a rank preserving bijection

$$
f_{*}: \Gamma^{*}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma^{*}(f(S)),
$$

and by Lemma $3.4 f(S)_{\tau}=S_{\tau}$. Let $\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)$. Then we know that $\tau(\gamma)$ is maximal in $\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$. Since $f$ is a pet map, we also know that $\tau\left(f_{*}(\gamma)\right)=\tau(\gamma)$; hence $\tau\left(f_{*}(\gamma)\right)$ is maximal in $\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)=$ $\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(f(S)_{\tau}\right)$. We claim that $f_{*}(\gamma)$ is maximal in $\Gamma^{*}\left(f(S)_{\tau}\right)$. Indeed, if $f_{*}(\gamma)$ is not in $\max \Gamma^{*}\left(f(S)_{\tau}\right)$, then $\tau\left(f_{*}(\gamma)\right.$ ) cannot be maximal in $\Gamma_{0}^{*}\left(f(S)_{\tau}\right)$. This shows that $f_{*}\left(\max \Gamma^{*}(S)\right) \subseteq \max \Gamma^{*}(f(S))$, as asserted.

Corollary 3.8. If $f: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ is a pet-isomorphism between quasi-normal orthohedral sets, then $f_{*}\left(\max \Gamma^{*}(S)\right)=\max \Gamma^{*}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ and $h_{S}=h_{S^{\prime}}$.

This shows, in particular, that the stack heights in a pet-normal form are uniquely determined and characterize $S$ up to pet-isomorphism.

## 4 | PERMUTATION GROUPS SUPPORTED ON ORTHOHEDRAL SETS

## 4.1 | pei- and pet-Permutation groups

Let $G=\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{N}\right)$ denote the group of all pei-permutations of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$. From now on it will be convenient to follow the permutation-group tradition to have the permutation group $G$ act on its set $\Omega=\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ from the right and interpret the product $g f$ of elements $g, f \in G$ as $g$ followed by $f$.

The support of an element $g \in G$ is defined as the union of all orthants on which $g$ restricts to a non-trivial isometry:

$$
\operatorname{supp}(g):=\bigcup\left\{L \in \Omega^{\star} \mid g_{\mid L} \text { is an isometric embedding } \neq \mathrm{id}_{L}\right\} .
$$

To say that a given subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ supports $g$ merely means that that $\operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq S$.
Exercise. Prove that $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ is the minimal orthohedreal subset containing the set $\left\{a \in \mathbb{Z}^{N} \mid a g \neq\right.$ $a\}$.

As we know that the support of an element $g \in G$ is orthohedral it makes sense to put $\mathrm{rk}(g):=$ $\operatorname{rk}(\operatorname{supp}(g))$, and call this the rank of the element $g$.

The support of a subgroup $H \leqslant G$ is the union of the supports of its elements.
The product of a finite number of elements $g_{i} \in G$ is disjoint if $\operatorname{supp}\left(g_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(g_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for all $\mathrm{i} \neq \mathrm{j}$.

If $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is orthohedral we write $G(S):=\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{supp}(g) \subseteq S\}$ for the subgroup of $G$ supported on $S$. As we know, by Lemma 3.1, that the complement of $S$ is also orthohedral each pei-bijection of $S$ extends to an element of $G$; hence the subgroup $G(S) \leqslant G$ is also the peiautomorphism group of $S$. We write also pei $(S)$ for $G(S)$ when this is convenient.

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.6 we have
Corollary 4.1. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is an orthohedral subset, then pei $(S)$ is isomorphic to pei $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, where $S^{\prime}$ is a stack of orthants of rank $\operatorname{rk} S$ and height $h(S)$.

The set of all pet-permutations on the orthohedral set $S$ is the pet-subgroup pet $(S) \leqslant G(S)$. As an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.5 and Corollary 3.8 we find

Corollary 4.2. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ is an orthohedral subset and $S^{\prime}=\bigcup_{j} S_{j}$ its pet-normal form, then $\operatorname{pet}(S)$ is isomorphic to pet( $\left.S^{\prime}\right)$.

Definition 4.3 (The rank groups $G_{k}$ ). As conjugation in $G=\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}\right)$ preserves the rank of the elements, putting $G_{-1}:=1$, and for $k \geqslant 0$

$$
G_{k}:=\{g \in G \mid \operatorname{rk}(g) \leqslant k\},
$$

yields the normal series $1=G_{-1} \leqslant G_{0} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant G_{k} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant G_{N}=G$ which plays the key role to understanding the structure of $G$. For each orthohedral subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}, G_{k}(S):=G_{k} \cap G(S)$ yields the corresponding normal sequence for $G(S)$.

Note that by the pei-normal form we have

$$
\operatorname{pei}(S)=G_{\mathrm{rk} S}(S) \cong G_{\mathrm{rk} S}\left(\bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant h(S)} \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{rk} S}\right)
$$

for every orthohedral set $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$.
In this section we are aiming for insight into the group theoretic structure of pei(S), are now in a position to outline its main results in a nutshell:

Theorem 4.4. If $G=\operatorname{pei}(S)$, with $S$ an orthohedral set of rank $\operatorname{rk} S=n$ then the following holds:
(i) $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ is an extension of a free-Abelian normal subgroup (of infinite rank when $1 \leqslant k<$ $\mathrm{rk}(S)$ ) with a locally finite factor group. In particular $G$ is elementary amenable.
(ii) The rank-groups $G_{k}$ are characteristic in $G$. Each normal subgroup $N \leqslant G$ is contained in some $G_{k}$ and intersects $G_{k-1}$ in a subgroup of index at most 2 . Consequently every Abelian-by-locallyfinite section of $G$ is a section of $G_{k} /$ alt $G_{k-1}$ for some $k \leqslant n$, and $n+1$ is the minimum length of normal series of $G$ with Abelian-by-locally-finite factors.
(iii) $G$ satisfies the maximal condition for normal subgroups.

For the proofs see Theorems 4.8 and 4.18, and Corollary 4.19.

### 4.2 The action of $G$ on the germs

By Lemma 3.3 we know that given a pei-permutation $g \in G$, each germ $\gamma \in \Gamma^{*}$ represented by an orthant $L$ contains a suborthant $L^{\prime}$ commensurable with $L$ on which $g$ restricts to an isometric embedding of $L^{\prime}$ into $L g$. Hence putting $\gamma g:=\gamma\left(L^{\prime} g\right) \in \Gamma^{*}$ well defines a rank preserving action of $G$ on $\Gamma^{*}$. For each orthohedral subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ this action restricts to an action of $G(S)$ on $\Gamma^{*}(S)$.

Lemma 4.5. $G_{k}$ acts on the set $\Gamma^{k}$ of rank-k germs by finite permutations; and for each orthohedral set $S$, the restricted action of $G_{k}(S)$ on $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is highly transitive in the sense that each bijection $f: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$ between finite subsets of $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is induced by the action of some $g \in G_{k}(S)$.

Proof. An element $g \in G_{k}$ can only dislocate the rank- $k$ germs in

$$
\Gamma^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(g)) \subseteq \Gamma^{k}
$$

and these are finite in number.
We claim that the bijection $f$ extends to a permutation $\pi$ of $F \cup F^{\prime}$. To see this consider the graph $\mathfrak{G}$ with vertex set ver $\mathfrak{G}=F \cup F^{\prime}$, and the oriented edge set edg $(\mathscr{G}=\{(a, f(a)) \mid a \in F\}$. Then one observes that $\mathfrak{G}$ can be completed to a permutation graph since $\left|F-F \cup F^{\prime}\right|=\left|F^{\prime}-F \cup F^{\prime}\right|$.

Now we represent the elements of $F \cup F^{\prime}$ by a set of pairwise disjoint orthants $\left\{L_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in F \cup F^{\prime}\right\}$, and we lift the graph $\mathfrak{G}$ as follows: we choose for each $\gamma \in F \cup F^{\prime}$ an isometry $\tilde{\pi}_{\gamma}: L_{\gamma} \rightarrow L_{f(\gamma)}$, but ensure that along each simple closed path the product of the chosen isometries is the identity. The union of these isometries is an element of $G_{k}(S)$, and induces the map $f$.

## 4.3 | Stabilizers of rank- $k$ germs

Next we consider the stabilizer

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\gamma):=\left\{g \in G_{k} \mid \gamma g=\gamma\right\}, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma^{k} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We attach to $\gamma$ the union $\langle\gamma\rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ of all orthants of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ representing $\gamma$. Thus $\langle\gamma\rangle$ is a coset of a coordinate subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^{N}$ and isometric to $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$; we call it the tangent coset of S at $\gamma$. The stabilizer $C(\gamma)$ acts canonically on $\langle\gamma\rangle$ : Indeed, given $g \in C(\gamma)$, we find an orthant $L^{\prime}$ representing $\gamma$ with the property that $g$ maps $L^{\prime}$ isometrically to $L^{\prime} g$ which is commensurable to $L$, and that isometry extends canonically to an isometry of $\langle\gamma\rangle$ onto itself. This yields a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\gamma}: C(\gamma) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}\langle\gamma\rangle . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\langle\gamma\rangle$ carries additional $C(\gamma)$-invariant structure: As commensurable orthants are canonically linked by a unique parallel translation we can endow the canonical basis of the orthants representing $\gamma$ with compatible orderings. Hence $\langle\gamma\rangle$ comes endowed with a canonical $C(\gamma)$-invariant set $X(\gamma)$ of k pairwise orthogonal coordinate directions. $C(\gamma)$ acts $k$-transitively on $X(\gamma)$; and the homomorphism (4) factors, modulo translations, through an epimorhism onto the symmetric permutation
group on $X(\gamma)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varphi}_{\gamma}: C(\gamma) \rightarrow \operatorname{sym}_{k}(X(\gamma)) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By an ordered germ we mean a germ $\gamma$ together with an ordering on the canonical basis-directions of $\langle\gamma\rangle$; and we write $C^{\text {ord }}(\gamma)$ for the stabilizer of the ordered germ $\gamma$. By choosing an ordering of the canonical monoid basis of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ we can impose germ orderings simultaneously on all germs of $\mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$; these orderings are preserved by all maps induced by inclusions and parallel translations, and in this situation we say that the germs are endowed with compatible orderings. It is easy to observe

## Lemma 4.6.

(i) $G_{k-1} \leqslant C^{\text {ord }}(\gamma) \leqslant C(\gamma)$;
(ii) $\operatorname{ker}\left(\bar{\varphi}_{\gamma}\right)=C^{\text {ord }}(\gamma)$;
(iii) $\operatorname{ker}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)=\{g \in G \mid g$ fixes an orthant representing $\gamma$ pointwise $\}$.

Exercise. The following conditions are equivalent for an orthohedral set S:
(1) S has a germ $\gamma$ with $\operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)$ non-zero:
(2) S has a germ $\gamma$ with $\operatorname{coker}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$;
(3) there is a number $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ a singleton set;
(4) S is pei-isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}^{k}$ with $k \geqslant 1$.

By Lemma 4.5 the stabilizers of all rank-k germs are conjugates of one another; hence their intersection $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right):=\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} C(\gamma)$ is a normal subgroup of $G(S)$, and so is $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right):=$ $\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} C^{\text {ord }}(\gamma)$. We claim that this yields the following refinement of the normal series based on ranks:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k-1}(S) \leqslant C^{\operatorname{ord}}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \leqslant C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \leqslant G_{k}(S) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \leqslant r k S$.
Indeed, the first two inclusions immediate from the first part of Lemma 4.6, while the remaining inclusion is the following observation: Given $g \in G(S)$, any rank- $(k+1)$ germ $\gamma$ is represented by an orthant $L$ on which $g$ restricts to an isometric embedding $f=\left.g\right|_{L}: L \rightarrow S$, and $f$ maps each rank-k face $F$ of $L$ to a face $F g$ of $L g$. Assuming $g \in C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right.$ ) implies that each $F g$ is commensurable to $F$ hence $f$ parallel shifts each $F$ to $F g$, and these shifts can be interpreted in the tangent coset $\langle\gamma\rangle$. Since $f$ is an isometry it follows that $f$ can only be the identity of $L$, when $\operatorname{rk}(g) \leqslant k$.

## 4.4 | Dynamics of the action of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\boldsymbol{k - 1}}$

If $S$ is an orthohedral set of rank $n$ then $\Gamma^{n}(S)$ is finite. $\Gamma^{n-1}(S)$ is infinite and comes with a rank-1 orthohedral structure: Each rank- $n$ orthant $L \subset S$ contributes $n-1$ maximal parallelism classes of rank- $(n-1)$ germs represented by parallel cross-sections of $L$; we call these the rays of $\Gamma^{n-1}(S)$. As $S$ is orthohedral we find that the union of $(n-1) h(S)$ such rays is cofinite in $\Gamma^{n-1}(S)$. Thus $\Gamma^{n-1}(S)$ has a one-dimensional piecewise isometric structure and one observes readily the induced action of $G(S)$ is piecewise isometric.

Let $g \in C(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}$. Let $L$ be a rank- $k$ orthant representing $\gamma$, with the property that $g$ restricted to $L$ is isometric. Then $L g$ is a rank- $k$ orthant commensurable to $L$; we put $f l_{\gamma}(g):=$ $h(L-L g)-h(L g-L)$ and note that this is an integer which does not depend on the particular choice of $L$. Thus, $f l_{\gamma}: C(\gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a well-defined homomorphism for all $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}$. It measures the balance of trading rank- $(k-1)$ germs toward and away from $\Gamma$, and we call it the corank-1 germ flow of $g \in C(\gamma)$ at $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}$. As the action of $\left.g\right|_{L}$ can be monitored in the tangent coset $\langle\gamma\rangle$ via the homomorphism (4) we have $f l_{\gamma}(g)=f l_{\gamma}\left(\varphi_{\gamma}(g)\right)$.

If $f l_{\gamma}(g)$ is positive $\gamma$ is a sink of $g$; if $f l_{\gamma}(g)$ is negative it is a source of $g$. Clearly, $f l_{\gamma}(g)$ vanishes when $\gamma \notin \Gamma^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(g))$. This shows that $g \in G$ has, if any, only finitely many sources and sinks in $\Gamma^{\mathrm{rk}(g)}$. Hence collecting the flow maps $f l_{\gamma}$ as $\gamma$ runs through $\Gamma^{k}$ yields the global flow homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
f l: C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) \rightarrow \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}} \mathbb{Z} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We say that the elements of its kernel are stagnant, call ker $f l$ the stagnant subgroup of $G_{k}$, denoted by $S T_{k}(S)$, and note that $G_{k-1} \leqslant S T_{k} \leqslant G_{k}$. Next we claim that the total flow-sum function vanishes on $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$, that is, we have for each $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} f l_{\gamma}(g)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We choose, for a given $g \in C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$, a finite set $\Lambda$ of pairwise disjoint orthants representing the rank- $k$ germs of $\operatorname{supp}(g)$, and with the property that $g$ restricted to each $L \in \Lambda$ is an isometric embedding $L \rightarrow S$. As $g$ fixes all rank- $k$ germs $L g$ is commensurable to $L$ for each $L \in \Lambda$.

We claim that without loss of generality we can assume that as $L$ runs through $\Lambda$, the sets $L \cup L g$ are pairwise disjoint. Indeed, the intersections $L^{\prime} g \cap L$ are necessarily of rank less than $k$ when $L^{\prime}$ and $L$ are different members of $\Lambda$; hence we find in $L$ a commensurable suborthant $K$ that avoids intersecting any of the $L^{\prime} g$ with $L \neq L^{\prime}$. Replacing $L$ by such a suborthant $K$ for all $L \in \Lambda$ justifies the claim.

Let $T:=\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L$. The complements of both $T$ and $T g$ in $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ are of rank $\leqslant k-1$ and since $g$ yields a pet-isomorphism between them we have $h(\operatorname{supp}(g)-T)=h(\operatorname{supp}(g)-T g)$. On the other hand, the two complements have decompositions into disjoint unions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{supp}(g)-T=(\operatorname{supp}(g)-T \cup T g) \cup(T g-T) \\
\operatorname{supp}(g)-T g=(\operatorname{supp}(g)-T \cup T g) \cup(T-T g)
\end{gathered}
$$

from which we infer that $h(T-T g)=h(T g-T)$. This establishes equation (8).

### 4.5 Generation in $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{k}}$

We start by introducing special elements $g \in G=G\left(\mathbb{Z}^{N}\right)$.

- We call $g$ a single-orthant-isometry if $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ is a single-orthant $L$ on which $g$ restricts to an isometry of $L$.
- We call $g$ an orthant- $n$-cycle if we are given a set of pairwise disjoint orthants, cyclically connected by a sequence of $n$ isometries

$$
L_{1} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} L_{2} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} L_{n} \xrightarrow{f_{n}} L_{1}, \text { with } f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{n}=I d_{L_{1}},
$$

and $g$ is the union $g=\bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} f_{i}$. An orthant-2-cycle is also called an orthant-transposition.

- We call $g$ a pei-translation from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ (or between $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ ) if $\operatorname{supp}(g)=L \cup L^{\prime}$ is the union of two disjoint orthants containing commensurable suborthants $K \subseteq L, K^{\prime} \subseteq L^{\prime}$ such that $g$ restricted to $K$ is the parallel shift that sends $K$ to $L$ and $g$ restricted to $L^{\prime}$ is the parallel shift that sends $L^{\prime}$ to $K^{\prime}$. This implies that $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ has exactly two rank- $k$ germs (a source and a sink), fixes them, and restricts to a pei-isomorphism $\left.g\right|_{L-K}:(L-K) \rightarrow\left(L^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)$, when $h(L-K)=$ $h\left(L^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)$, as is also seen from the vanishing of the total flow function, cf. (7).
- We call $g$ a an endotranslation if it is supported on an orthant $L$ and parallel shifts a commensurable suborthant $K \subseteq L$ to a commensurable suborthant $K g \subseteq L$. This implies that $h(L-K)=h(L-K g)$. Note that this includes all elements $g \in G_{k-1}$ as the special case when $\left.G\right|_{K}=\mathrm{id}_{K}$.

One observes easily that endotranslations are stagnant, cf. (7), and that products of endotranslations with commensurable supports are again endotranslations. Hence, the set of all endotranslations supported on orthants with one and the same germ $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ forms a subgroup $E_{k}(\gamma)$ with $G_{k-1} \leqslant E_{k}(\gamma) \leqslant S T_{k}(S)$, for all $\gamma$.

We write $E_{k}(S)$ for the group generated by all $E_{k}(\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$.

## Exercise.

1. Prove that $g$ is a rank-k endotranslation if and only if $g \in C$ is supported on a rank-k orthant $L, \Gamma^{k}(L)$ is the and singleton set $\{\gamma(L)\}$, and $g$ fixes the ordering of its boundary directions.
2. Prove that $E_{k}(L)$ is a normal subgroup of $\operatorname{pei}(L)$, and pei $(L)$ is the semi-direct product of is the semi-direct product of $E_{k}(L)$ with the subgroup $\operatorname{Isom}(L) \leqslant \operatorname{pei}(L)$.

- Special pei-translations $g: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ are those when $L-K$ and $L^{\prime}-K^{\prime}$ are different corank-1 faces $F, F^{\prime}$ of $L, L^{\prime}$ and the restriction of $g$ to $F$ is an order preserving isometry. We call these the unit-pei-translations from $L$ into $L^{\prime}$ and note that they are uniquely determined by the face pair $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ and a given ordering on the canonical basis of $\mathbb{N}^{N}$. For simplicity we will often use '(unit)-tanslation' for '(unit)-pei-translation' when this is unambiguous.

Similarly, we consider the special endotranslations $g: L \rightarrow L$ with the property that $K$ and $K$ and $K g$ are the complements of two different corank-1 faces $F=L-K$ and $F^{\prime}=L-K g$. We call these the unit-endotranslations, noting that they are uniquely given by the pair $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ of different faces and the isometry $\left.g\right|_{F \cup F^{\prime}}$. There are two possible canonical requirements that we can ask $\left.g\right|_{F \cup F^{\prime}}$ to fulfill: (1) $\left.g\right|_{F \cup F^{\prime}}$ is the orthant-transposition given by the restriction of a reflection on $L$, or (2) $\left.g\right|_{F \cup F^{\prime}}$ is given by the uniquely defined order preserving isometry $f: F \rightarrow F^{\prime}$. We will always use the first option unless making the statement to the contrary. Thus the unit-endotranslations on $L$ are uniquely determined by their face pair $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$.

For later reference, we collect some elementary facts on the arithmetics of these special elements.

Lemma 4.7. (A) Orthant-transpositions and pei-translations
(i) If $\tau: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ is an orthant-transposition and $\alpha: L \rightarrow L$ a single-orthant-isometry then $\alpha=$ $\alpha \tau \cdot \tau$ exhibits $\alpha$ as the product of two orthant-transpositions.

If $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}$ are single-orthant-reflections of L. $L^{\prime}$, respectively, then there is an orthanttransposition $\tau: L \rightarrow L^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \sigma^{\prime}=[\sigma, \tau]$.
(ii) Every unit-pei-translation $\lambda$ of rank-k is the product of two orthant-transpositions $\lambda=\tau \tau^{\prime}$ of rank-k. Related to this is the observation that $\lambda^{2}=\tau \tau^{\lambda}=[\tau, \lambda]$. The translation $\lambda$ itself is not necessarily a commutator (cf. Theorem 4.10). However, if $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 3$ then there is an orthanttransposition $\tau$ and a unit-pei-translation $\mu$, both of rank- $k$, with $\lambda=[\mu, \tau]$.
(iii) Assume that we are given two disjoint rank-k orthants $L$, $L^{\prime}$, together with rank-k suborthants $K \subseteq L$ and $K^{\prime} \subseteq L^{\prime}$. If $h(L-K)=h\left(L^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)$. Then there is a pei-translation $\lambda$ from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ which parallel shifts $K$ to $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ to $K^{\prime}$; and $\lambda$ can be chosen as a product of unit-peitranslations.
Moreover, each pei-translation of rank-k is equal, modulo $G_{k-1}$, to a product of unit-peitranslations of rank-k.

## (B) Single-orthant-reflections and endotranslations

(iv) Assume that we are given a rank-k orthant $L$ with two rank-k suborthants $K, K^{\prime} \subseteq L$. If h(L-$K)=h\left(L-K^{\prime}\right)$ then there is an endotranslation $\eta$ on $L$ which parallel shifts $K$ to $K^{\prime}$, and $\eta$ can be chosen as a product of unit-endotranslations.

Moreover, each endotranslation of rank-k is equal, modulo $G_{k-1}$, to a product of unitendotranslations of rank- $k$.
(v) Let $\sigma_{x y}: L \rightarrow L$ be the reflection of the orthant Linterchanging the canonical axes $x, y$ (orthogonal to faces $F_{x}, F_{y}$ ) and fixing the remaining ones. Let $t_{y}$ denote the parallel shift of L in direction $y$ by one unit into itself, and $\sigma_{x y}^{t_{y}}$ the corresponding reflection of $L t_{y}$. Putting $\eta_{x y}:=\sigma_{x y} \sigma_{x y}^{t_{y}}$ : $L \rightarrow L$ yields an explicit description of the unit-endotranslation of $L$ defined on the face pair $\left(F_{x}, F_{y}\right)$ by the restriction of $\sigma_{x y}$ : We have $L=L t_{x} \cup F_{x}$; on $L t_{x}, \eta_{x y}$ is the diagonal shift by one (diagonal) unit in direction $y-x$ onto $L t_{x}=L-F_{y}$, and on $F_{x}$ it is the restriction $\left.\sigma_{x y}\right|_{F_{x}}$ which maps $F_{x}$ onto $F_{y}$. Then we have

$$
\eta_{x y}^{\sigma_{x y}}=\eta_{y x}=\left(\eta_{x y}\right)^{-1},\left[\sigma_{x y}, \eta_{x y}\right]=\eta_{x y}^{2}, \text { and } \eta_{x y} \eta_{y x}^{t_{y}}=\left.\sigma_{x y}\right|_{F_{x} \cup F_{y}} .
$$

We observe that if $t_{y}$ is induced by a unit-pei-translation $\lambda$, then $\eta_{x y}$ is the commutator $\eta_{x y}=$ $\left[\sigma_{x y}, \lambda\right]$ and $\left.\sigma_{x y}\right|_{F_{x} \cup F_{y}}=\left[\eta_{x y}, \lambda\right]$.
Moreover, if $x, y, z$ are three pairwise different canonical basis elements of $L$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{x y} \eta_{y z} \eta_{z x}=\left.\sigma_{y z}\right|_{F_{x}} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{x z} \eta_{y x} \eta_{z y}=\left.\sigma_{y z}\right|_{F_{x} \cup F_{y}}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and note that $\sigma_{y z}$ is a reflection of the face $F_{x}$ of $L$ and $\left.\sigma_{y z}\right|_{F_{x} \cup F_{y}}$ is a canonical orthanttransposition of the form ( $F_{x}-F_{x} \cap F_{y}, F_{y}-F_{x} \cap F_{y}$ ).

Proof. Assertion (i) is obvious.
(ii) Let $\lambda$ be a unit-translation from $K$ to $L$ which maps the face $F$ of $K$ isometrically onto the face $F \lambda$ of $L$. Then the isometry $\left.\lambda\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow F \lambda$ extends uniquely to an isometry $K \rightarrow L$ which fixes $F \lambda$ pointwise, and thus defines an orthant-transposition $\tau=(K, L)$. Correspondingly, the restriction of $\left.\lambda^{2}\right|_{F}: F \rightarrow F \lambda^{2}$ extends uniquely to an isometry $K \rightarrow L-F \lambda$ and hence defines a
pei-transposition $\tau^{\prime}=(K, L-F \lambda)$. Both $\tau \tau^{\prime}=(L, K)(K, L-F \lambda)=\lambda$ and the formula $\lambda^{2}=\tau \tau^{\lambda}=$ $[\tau, \lambda]$ are easily seen by inspection.

If there is a third rank- $k$ orthant $M$ disjoint to both $K$ and $L$ we consider a new pei-transposition $\tau:=(L, M)$. Then $\lambda^{\tau}$ is a unit-translation from $K$ to $M . \lambda^{-1} \lambda^{\tau}=[\lambda, \tau]$ is a unit-pei-translation from $M$ to $L$. One checks that $[\lambda, \tau]$ is conjugate, by an appropriate choice of an orthant-3-cycle of the form $\pi=(M, L, K)$, to $\lambda=[\lambda, \tau]^{\pi}$. This shows that $\lambda=[\mu,(K, L)]$ with $\mu=\lambda^{(K . L . M)}$.
(iii) This assertion is easy to accept by viewing unit-translations from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ as the process of cutting a rank- $(k-1)$ orthant off from a face of $L$ and pushing it down onto a face of $L^{\prime}$. By repeating this process with changing face pairs one constructs an orthant- translation $\lambda$ from $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ which parallel shifts $K$ to $L$, and since arbitrary face pairings are possible we can achieve that $\lambda$ parallel shifts $L^{\prime}$ onto an arbitrary given rank- $k$ suborthant $K^{\prime}$ with $h\left(L^{\prime}-K^{\prime}\right)=h(L-K)$.

If $\lambda$ is an arbitrary pei-translation of rank- $k$ the procedure above constructs a product $\pi$ of unit-pei-translations of rank- $k$ that coincides with $\lambda$ on the one rank- $k$ orthant on which $\lambda$ is a nonzero isometry. $\pi$ depends on the special procedure, but $\operatorname{supp}\left(\lambda \pi^{-1}\right)$ is always of rank- $(k-1)$. This shows that modulo $G_{k-1}, \lambda$ is equal to $\pi$.
(iv) The argument for (iv) is similar to the one in (iii) above: instead of moving $K^{\prime}$ to $L$ by sequence of parallel shifts along coordinate axes we have to move $K^{\prime}$ directly to $L^{\prime}$ by a sequence of pushing/pulling pairs along two axis - details are left to the reader.
(v) All formulae are proved by inspection which can be left to the reader as an exercise. In the case of formulae (9), start by showing that the restriction of $\eta_{x y} \eta_{y z} \eta_{z x}$ to $(1,0,0)+L$ is the identity, and so is the restriction of $\eta_{x z} \eta_{y x} \eta_{z y}$ to $(1,0,0)+L$.

The following classifies $G_{k}(S) / G_{k-1}(S)$ for an arbitrary orthohedral set $S$ up to extensions.

## Theorem 4.8.

(i) Each transposition $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ of germs in $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ lifts to an orthant-transposition of representing orthants in $S$, and the action of $G_{k}(S)$ on $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ induces an isomorphism onto the finitary symmetric group,

$$
G_{k}(S) / C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \cong \operatorname{sym}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)
$$

(ii) The action of $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right.$ on the canonical coordinate directions $X(\gamma)$ in each $\langle\gamma\rangle, \gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ defines an isomorphism $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right.$ onto the finitary direct product of the symmetric permutation groups of degree $k$,

$$
C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / C^{\mathrm{ord}}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \cong \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} \operatorname{sym}_{k} X(\gamma)
$$

(iii) The homomorphism $\varphi=\oplus_{\gamma} \varphi_{\gamma}$ restricted to $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ induces a short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}(S) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} \operatorname{Trans}\langle\gamma\rangle \xrightarrow{\oplus_{\gamma} f l_{\gamma}} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

In particular, $A^{k}(S):=C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}(S)$ is free-Abelian of rank $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|-1$ (which is infinite for $k<\operatorname{rk}(S))$. Each $a \in A^{k}(S)$ can be represented by an element $g \in C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ with
the property that $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ is the union of a finite set of pairwise disjoint orthants that represent the non-trivial components of $\varphi(a)$; and $A^{k}(S)$ is generated by unit-translations and unitendotranslations.

## Proof.

(i) As $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ are different germs they can be represented by a pair of disjoint orthants, and any orthant-transposition between those lifts the transposition of the germs. The rest of assertion (i) is immediate from Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6.
(ii) Combining the homomorphisms (5) with $\gamma$ running through $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ yields a homomor$\operatorname{phism} \bar{\varphi}=\prod_{\gamma} \bar{\varphi}_{\gamma}: C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \rightarrow \prod_{\gamma} \operatorname{sym}(X(\gamma))$, and by Lemma 4.6 its kernel is $\bigcap_{\gamma} C^{\text {ord }}(\gamma)=$ $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$. If $\gamma$ is an arbitrary rank- $k$ germ with $\bar{\varphi}_{\gamma}(g) \neq I d$ then any orthant representing $\gamma$ is commensurable to an orthant in $\operatorname{supp}(g)$. This shows that $\bar{\varphi}_{\gamma}(g)$ has only finitely many non-vanishing components; hence we can infer that the image of $\bar{\varphi}$ is in the finitary product. As each permutation in $\operatorname{sym}(X(\gamma))$ can be lifted by a single-orthant-isometry, the image of $\bar{\varphi}$ is, in fact, the full finitary product.
(iii) Combining the homomorphisms (4) with $\gamma$ running through $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ yields a homomorphism $\varphi$ of $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ into the product $\prod_{\gamma} \operatorname{Isom}(\langle\gamma\rangle)$. As above in (ii) one argues that for $g$ of rank- $k$, $\varphi(g)$ has only finitely many non-vanishing components; hence we can infer that the restriction of $\varphi$ to the ordered germs yields a homomorphism into the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi: C^{\operatorname{ord}}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} \operatorname{Trans}\langle\gamma\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 4.6 the kernel of $\varphi$ consists of the elements $g$ that pointwise fix in each rank$k$ orthant a commensurable suborthant; that means $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ contains no rank- $k$ orthant. Hence $\operatorname{ker}(\varphi)=G_{k-1}(S)$ and $\varphi$ induces an embedding of $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}(S)$ into the Abelian group $\bigoplus_{\gamma} \operatorname{Trans}(\langle\gamma\rangle)$.

We choose, for a given $g \in C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$, a finite set $\Lambda$ of pairwise disjoint orthants representing the rank- $k$ germs of $\operatorname{supp}(g)$, and with the property that $g$ restricted to each $L \in \Lambda$ is an isometric embedding $L \rightarrow S$. As $g$ fixes all rank- $k$ germs $L g$ is commensurable to $L$ for each $L \in \Lambda$.

As we saw in the proof of equation (8) we can assume, without loss of generality, that as $L$ runs through $\Lambda$, the sets $L \cup L g$ are pairwise disjoint, and as in that proof we put $T:=\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L$. Then we observe that $h(T-T g)-h(T g-T)$ is the total flow $f l$ and deduce from equation (8) that $T-T g$ and $T g-T$ are pei-isometric.

Thus, by the pei-normal form, there is an pei-bijection $\beta: T g-T \rightarrow T-T g$. Let $\alpha: T \rightarrow$ $T g$ denote the restriction of $g$ to $T$ and put $K:=\alpha^{-1}(T \cap T g)$. The composition of $\alpha$ with the union $\operatorname{id}_{T \cap T g} \cup \beta: T g \rightarrow T$ now yields a pei-permutation $\mu: T \rightarrow T$ which coincides on $K$ with the restriction of $g$. Thus, the composition $g \mu^{-1}$ is supported in $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ and fixes $K$ pointwise, when $\operatorname{rk}\left(g \mu^{-1}\right)<k$. This shows that $g=\mu$ modulo $G_{k-1}(S)$. Hence every element of $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}(S)$ can be represented by an element $g \in C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ with the property that $g$ is supported on a set of pairwise disjoint orthants $L_{\gamma}$ each of which represents its index $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(g))$.

From here it is easy to prove that $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}(S)$ is represented by a product of translations. We use induction on the number $\left|\left\{\gamma \mid \varphi_{\gamma}(g) \neq 0\right\}\right|$ : Pick a pair of germs $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$, both with $\varphi_{\gamma}(g) \neq 0$. Then multiply $g$ with a sequence of translation $\mu_{i}$ from $L_{\gamma}$ to $L_{\gamma}^{\prime}$ in coordinate
directions such that the product $\mu=\prod_{i} \mu_{i}$ reverses the restriction of $g$ to a commensurable suborthant $K \subseteq L_{\gamma}$ that $g$ parallel shifts within $L_{\gamma}$. Then $g \mu$ fixes $K$ pointwise, hence modulo $G_{k-1}(S), g \mu$ is equal to a an element of $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ with smaller number $\left|\left\{\gamma \mid \varphi_{\gamma}(g \mu) \neq 0\right\}\right|$. The procedure ends when the $\varphi_{\gamma}(g)=0$ except for one germ $\gamma$, and then $g$ is $\bmod G_{k-1}(S)$ is an endotranslation. In view of parts (v) and (vi) of Lemma 4.7, this proves (iii).

As a consequence of Theorem 4.8 we obtain economical generation properties. The obvious crucial fact is that if $S$ is an orthohedral set of rank $r k S=n$ then $\left|\Gamma^{n}(S)\right| \in \mathbb{N}$, while $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|=\infty$ when $k<n$. The exceptional case when $\left|\Gamma^{n}(S)\right|=1$ - or equivalently: $G_{n}$ contains no rank-n orthant-transpositions - requires special treatment. In that case all rank- $n$ elements of $G_{n}$ are rank-n stagnant, and this is a serious restriction on the rank- $(n-1)$ elements that are products of rank- $n$ orthant-transpositions. For example, non-trivial pei-translations cannot be products of single-orthant-reflections.

Corollary 4.9. Let $S$ be a stack of $h(S)$ rank-n orthants, $G_{k}:=G_{k}(S) \leqslant \operatorname{pei}(S)$, and $\Gamma^{k}=\Gamma^{k}(S)$, with $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 2$, then $G_{k}$ is generated by its orthant-transpositions of rank-k.

If $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|=1$, then $k=n$ and $G_{n}=\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)$ is the normal subgroup generated by all single-orthant-reflections of rank $n$.
(ii) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 2$ then $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ is generated by its pei-translations of rank-k.

If $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|=1$ then $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ is the normal subgroup generated by the endotranslations of rank-k.
(iii) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 5$ then every product of two orthant-transpositions $g=\tau \tau^{\prime}$, where $\tau, \tau^{\prime} \in G_{k}$, can be written as a product $g=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}$, where each $v_{i}$ is either trivial or a product $v_{i}=\tau_{i} \tau_{i}^{\prime}$ of two disjoint orthant-transpositions (that is, $\operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{supp}\left(\tau_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, for each $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ ).

Proof.
(i) We start by proving that the claim holds true modulo $G_{k-1}$. By Theorem 4.8 this amounts to lift generators of the three sections $Q_{1}:=G_{k} / C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right), Q_{2}:=C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$, and $Q_{3}:=$ $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) / G_{k-1}$. Now, $Q_{1}$ is generated by germ transpositions, and those lift to orthanttranspositions. $Q_{2}$ is generated by transpositions of face directions, and those lift to single-orthant-reflections. $Q_{3}$ is generated by unit-pei-translations and unit-endotranslations. By Lemma 4.7 (iii) and (iv) we can thus infer that $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ is generated by orthanttranspositions, single-orthant-reflections, unit-pei-translations, and unit-endotranslations. In the exceptional case where $G_{k}=\operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{N}^{n}\right)$ contains neither orthant-transpositions nor peitranslations of rank-k, $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ is thus generated by single-orthant-reflections and unitendotranslations. Moreover, we know from Lemma 4.7(v) that unit-endotranslations are products of two single-orthant-reflections and that single-orthant-reflections actually suffice to generate $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ in that case.

The case when $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 2$ is similar: here the existence of a rank- $k$ orthant-transposition $\tau \in G_{k}$ allows to apply Lemma 4.7(i) showing that all single-orthant-reflections of rank $k$ can now be replaced by of products of two orthant-transpositions. Hence $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ is generated by its rank- $k$ orthant-transpositions in this case.

Next we prove that if $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 2$ then every rank- $(k-1)$ orthant-transposition $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$ is a product of rank- $k$ orthant transpositions. This is easy when $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are contained in disjoint rank- $k$ orthants, for then they are, in fact, faces of disjoint rank- $k$ orthants ( $L, L^{\prime}$ ), and $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)=\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)\left(L-F, L^{\prime}-F^{\prime}\right)$. And if $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ are contained in the same rank- $k$ orthant $L$,
we find a rank- $(k-1)$ orthant $F^{\prime \prime}$ supported in a rank-k orthant disjoint to $L$, and therefore $\left(F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(F, F^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)$. The corresponding weaker result in the exceptional case $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|=1$ is obvious: If ( $F, F^{\prime}$ ) is an arbitrary rank- $(k-1)$ orthant-transposition then we find a rank- $k$ orthant $L$ disjoint to $F \cup F^{\prime}$, and by Lemma 4.7(v) a face-transpositions ( $F_{x}, F_{y}$ ) which is a product single-orthant-reflections of rank-k. As $\left|\Gamma^{k-1}\right|=\infty$ any two rank[ $k-1$ ) orthant-transpositions are conjugate in pei $(S)$ hence the normal subgroup generated by ( $F_{x}, F_{y}$ ) contains ( $F, F^{\prime}$ ).

Now assertion (i) follows by induction on $k$ : Let $H \leqslant G_{k}$ be the subgroup generated by all rank- $k$ orthant-transpositions (respectively, the normal subgroup generated by all rank- $k$ reflections). In the case $k=0$, we have $H=G_{0}$ because $G_{0}$ is the finitary countable symmetric group and hence generated by its transpositions. If $k>1$ we have seen that the subgroup generated by rank- $k$ transpositions (respectively, the normal subgroup generated by all rank$k$ reflections) contains all rank- $(k-1)$ orthant-transpositions, and by induction those generate $G_{k-1}$. Thus the rank- $k$ orthant-transpositions (respectively, single-orthant-reflections generate both $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ and $G_{k-1}$, and hence $G_{k}$.
(ii) The proof along the lines of assertion (i) and can be left for the reader.
(iii) Let $\tau=(K, L), \quad \tau^{\prime}=(M, N)$. If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|=\infty$ one finds rank- $k$ orthants $X, Y$ such that $K, L, X, Y$ and $M, N, X, Y$ are pairwise disjoint quadruples, and $(K, L)(M, N)=$ $(K, L)(X, Y)(X, Y)(M, N)$ as needed. As $S$ is a stack of orthants we infer that if $k$ is finite at least 5 then $k=r k S$ and any two rank- $n$ orthants are either disjoint or commensurable. If both $K$ and $L$ are commensurable to $M$ or $N$ we find two rank-k orthants $X, Y$ as above, the argument above applies. In the remaining case we may assume that $K$ is commensurable to $M$ but $L \cap N=\emptyset$; then we find two rank- $n$ orthants $X, Y$ such that both $K, L, N, X, Y$ and $M, L, N, X, Y$ are pairwise disjoint quintuples, and $(K, L)(M, N)=$ $(K, L)(X, N)(X, N)(Y, L)(Y, L)(M, N)$.

Exercise. Prove that (a) The stagnant subgroup of $G_{k}$,

$$
S T_{k}=\operatorname{ker}\left(f l: C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is generated by $G_{k-1}$ together with all single-orthant-isometries of rank- $k$, and also equal to the normal subgroup generated by all single-orthant-reflections.
(b) The stagnant subgroup of $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$, that is,

$$
E_{k}(S):=S T_{k} \cap C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right),
$$

is the normal subgroup of $G_{k}$ generated by all endotranslations of rank- $k$. As a group it is generated by $G_{k-1}$ together with all endotranslations of rank- $k$.

## 4.6 | Conjugation, Abelianization, and alternation

As before, $S$ is a stack of orthants of rank- $n$ and $G:=\operatorname{pei}(S)$, and we recall that $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|$ is finite if and only if $k=n$. We say an element $g \in G_{k}, k \leqslant n$, is even if $g$ is equal to the product of an even number of rank- $k$ orthant-transpositions - by Lemma 4.7 this includes all single-orthant-
isometries of rank- $k$; and corank-1 faces of a rank- $k$ orthants can be written as products of two such. We write alt $G_{k} \leqslant G_{k}$ for the subgroup consisting of all even elements and observe that alt $G_{k}$ is the kernel of the rank-k parity homomorphism, $\operatorname{par}_{\Gamma^{k}}: G_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, which sends $g \in G_{k}$ to the parity of the permutation that $g$ induces on the rank- $k$ germs $\Gamma^{k}$. Hence alt $G_{k}$ is of index 2 in $G_{k}$. Moreover, by Corollary 4.9 (iii) $\operatorname{alt}\left(G_{k}\right)$ is generated by products of pairs of disjoint orthanttranspositions if $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right| \geqslant 5$.

We will also need a refinement of the action of $G_{k}$ on $\Gamma^{k}(S)$. When $g \in G_{k}$ sends the tangent coset $\langle\gamma\rangle$ to $\langle\gamma g\rangle$ then it also induces a map $g: X(\gamma) \rightarrow X(\gamma g)$ between the canonical axes directions of $\langle\gamma\rangle$ and $\langle\gamma g\rangle$. Given an orthant $L$ representing $\gamma$ on which $g$ is isometric, and a canonical axis-direction $x \in X(\gamma)$, we have $x$ orthogonal to a unique corank-1 face $F$ of $L$ and $x g$ is the canonical axis direction orthogonal to $F g$. Thus $G_{k}$ acts on the disjoint union $Y:=\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}} X(\gamma)$ by finite permutations; and we have a corresponding parity homomorphism $\operatorname{par}_{Y^{k}}: G_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Restricted to $C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ the parity map $\operatorname{par}_{Y^{k}}$ is easy to compute: On $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ even the action on $Y$ is trivial, hence we need consider it only on

$$
C\left(\gamma^{k}\right) / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)=S T_{k} / E_{k} .
$$

$S T_{k}$ is generated by all single-orthant-reflections, and as those are the transpositions of the symmetric groups $\operatorname{sym}(X(\gamma))$ an element of $S T_{k}$ has parity 0 (or is even) if and only if it is the product of an even number of single-orthant-reflections. This is a subgroup of index 2 in $S T_{k}$, we call it the alternating subgroup alt $S T_{k} \leqslant S T_{k}$, and have the normal series

$$
G_{k-1} \leqslant E_{k} \leqslant\left[S T_{k}, S T_{k}\right] \leqslant \operatorname{alt} S T_{k} \leqslant S T_{k} \leqslant C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{alt} G_{k} \leqslant G_{k} .
$$

## Theorem 4.10.

(i) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right| \geqslant 3$ then the following holds:
(a) In $G_{k}$ all unit-pei-translations of rank-k are conjugate, together they generate $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$, and $\left(G_{k}\right)_{a b} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (generated by an orthant-transposition and a single-orthantreflection).
(b) In $G_{k}$ all products $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$ of pairs of disjoint single-orthant-reflections of rank-k are conjugate, together they generate alt $S T_{k} \leqslant S T_{k}$, and $\left(C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)_{a b} \cong\left(S T_{k}\right)_{a b} \cong \bigoplus_{\Gamma^{k}(S)} \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right.$ (generated by single-orthant-reflections).
(ii) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right| \geqslant 4$ then all orthant-3-cycles $p=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}\right)$ of rank-k are conjugate (more generally: If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right| \geqslant m$ then any two orthant- $(m-1)$-cycles are conjugate) in $G_{k}$ and together they generate alt $G_{k}$.
(iii) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right| \geqslant 5$ then all products $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ of pairs of disjoint rank-k orthant-transpositions are conjugate and together they generate alt $G_{k}$.
(iv) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|=2$ then $\left(G_{k}\right)_{a b} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (generated by an orthant-transposition, a single-orthant-reflection, and a unit-pei-translation).
(v) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|=1$ (hence $G_{k} \cong \operatorname{pei}\left(\mathbb{N}^{k}\right)$ ) then $\left(G_{k}\right)_{a b} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (generated by a single-orthantreflection, and a unit-endotranslation).

Proof. (i)(a) Let $\lambda$, $\lambda^{\prime}$ be two rank- $k$ unit-pei-translations from $K$ to $L$, and $K$ to $L^{\prime}$ respectively. If $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are disjoint then $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are conjugate by an orthant-transposition $\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)$. If $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are nested (and hence commensurable) an auxiliary rank- $k$ orthant is available to construct a translation that sends $L$ to $L^{\prime}$ or vice versa, and thus a conjugation between $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$. In the general
case one finds inside $L$ a rank- $k$ suborthant which is either disjoint to or contained in $L^{\prime}$ and obtains the required conjugation in two steps. The general conjugation assertion is now obvious, and that the unit-pei-translations generate all of $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ was established in Lemma 4.7.

The action of the two parity homomorphisms yields an epimorphism $\operatorname{par}_{Y^{k}} \times \operatorname{par}_{\Gamma^{k}}: G_{k} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, whose kernel is generated by all translations together with all products of two single-orthant-reflections. By Lemma 4.7(i) and (ii) both are commutators.
(i)(b) The proof is analogous and easier than the one of (i)(a).
(ii) To prove this we start by observing that if $p=\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}\right)$ is an orthant-3-cycle of rank-k, given by given by the pair of isometries $L_{1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{1}} L_{2} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} L_{3}$, then the fact that there is an auxiliary rank- $k$ orthant $K$ disjoint to $\operatorname{supp}(p)$ provides the existence of translations $\vartheta_{i} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(K \cup L_{i}\right)$, with the property that $\vartheta_{i}(K)$ is an arbitrary given commensurable suborthant of $L_{i}$. We can put them together to an element $\vartheta \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(K \cup \bigcup_{i} L_{i}\right)$. Hence we find that $p$ is conjugate to orthant 3-cycles $p^{\prime}=\left(L_{1}^{\prime}, L_{2}^{\prime}, L_{3}^{\prime}\right)$, where the $L_{i}^{\prime}$ are arbitrary given commensurable suborthants of $L_{i}$.

If $q=\left(M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}\right)$ is an arbitrary second orthant-3-cycle we can choose the suborthants $L_{i}^{\prime} \subseteq$ $L_{i}$ to be either contained in $M_{i}$ (if $L_{i}$ and $M_{i}$ are commensurable) or disjoint to $M_{i}$ (if $L_{i}$ and $M_{i}$ are disjoint). Thus, in order to prove that $p$ and $q$ are commensurable we can now assume, without loss of generality, that each $L_{i}$ is either contained in or disjoint to $M_{i}$.

Now we complete the proof of (ii) in two steps: First we choose, for all indices $i$ with $L_{i} \cap M_{i}=\emptyset$, an arbitrary orthant-transposition $\tau_{i}=\left(M_{i}, L_{i}\right)$. Conjugation with these $\tau_{i}$ shows that we find a conjugate of $p$ which replaces $L_{i}$ by $M_{i}$ whenever $L_{i}$ is not contained in $M_{i}$. In other words we are now reduced to a case when $L_{i} \subseteq M_{i}$ for all $i$. Repeating the first step completes the proof. It is clear that the argument proves, in fact, the general statement for orthant-3-cycles generate all pairs of orthant-transpositions.
(iii) The argument is exactly like that of $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{a})$. Let $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}=(K, L)(M, N)$. We show first that $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is conjugate to $(K, L)\left(M, N^{\prime}\right)$ for each choice of $N^{\prime}$ disjoint to $K, L, M$. This is done by the same case distinction as in $\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{a})$. Then one can repeat the argument with $K, L$, and $M$. The generation assertion is covered by Corollary 4.9(iii).
(iv) If $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|=2$ then $S$ is the disjoint union of two rank- $k$ orthants $K, L$, and we consider in $G$ an orthant-transposition $\tau=(K, L)$, a unit-pei-translation $\lambda$ from $K$ to $L$, and a single-orthantreflection $\sigma$ of $K$. We have $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)=C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) S T_{k}$, and since the stagnant normal subgroup $S T_{k}$ contains all of $E_{k}$ but no non-trivial pei-translations $C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)=g p\left(S T_{k}\right)$ is the semi-direct product of the normal $S T_{k}$ with the infinite cyclic group $g p(\lambda)$. As $G_{k} / C\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right.$ is cyclic of order 2 generated by $\tau$ it follows that $G_{k} / S T_{k}$ is isomorphic to the infinite dihedral group $g p(\lambda, \tau)$, and its Abelianization is the Klein-4-group generated by $\lambda$ and $\tau$. As $G_{k} /$ alt $G_{k}$ is the Klein-4-group generated by $s$ and $\tau$, this shows that all three elements $\lambda, \tau, \sigma$ are needed to generate $\left(G_{k}\right)_{a b}$; and as $\lambda^{2}=[\tau, \lambda]$ we find the asserted result.
(v) $\left|\Gamma^{k}(S)\right|=1$. In that case $G_{k}=\operatorname{pei}(L)$, for a single rank- $k$ orthant $L$, and this is easily seen to be the semi-direct product $E_{k} \rtimes \operatorname{Isom}(L)$. The symmetric group Isom(L) acts transitively on the $k$ axes and hence on the unit-endotranslations supported on $L$ : Using the notation of Lemma 4.7(v) we have, for example, $\eta_{x y}^{\sigma_{x z}}=\eta_{z y}$, and can infer that $\left[\sigma_{x z}, \eta_{x y}^{-1}\right]=\eta_{z y} \eta_{x y}^{-1}$. It follows that all endotranslation of $L$ coincide in the Abelianization, and by Lemma 4.7(v) their square is a commutator.

Lemma 4.7(v) also shows that $G_{k}^{\prime}$ contains an orthant-transposition of rank equal to ( $k-1$ ). As $\left|\Gamma^{k-1}(S)\right|=\infty$ all rank- $(k-1)$ orthant-transpositions are conjugate and hence by Corollary 4.9 all of $G_{k-1}$ is contained in $G_{k}^{\prime}$. This shows that $\left(G_{k}\right)_{a b}$ is the Klein-4 group generated by $\sigma_{x y}$ and $\eta_{x y}$.

## 4.7 | The $\boldsymbol{G}_{\boldsymbol{k}} / \boldsymbol{C}^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$-module structure of $\boldsymbol{C}^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / \boldsymbol{G}_{k-1}$

Using Theorem 4.8(iii) we can consider $A^{k}(S):=C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right) / G_{k-1}$ as the kernel of the corank-1 germ-flow homomorphism sum in the direct sum

$$
\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} \operatorname{Trans}\langle\gamma\rangle .
$$

Thus, each $a \in A^{k}(S)$ is given by a finitely supported family of translations indexed by the (in general infinite) rank-k germs, $a=\left(t_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)}$, and each $t_{\gamma}$ is uniquely determined by its translation vector in $\mathbb{Z}^{k}$ with respect to the canonical basis of the tangent coset $\langle\gamma\rangle$. Hence we can write $t_{\gamma}$ as a row-vector $\left(a_{(\gamma, 1)}, \ldots, a_{(\gamma, k)}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, and note that the sum of its entries is the flow value $f l_{\gamma}(a)$.

Thus, in this section we organize the elements of $A^{k}(S)$ as the additive group of integral $\left(\Gamma^{k}(S) \times k\right)$-matrices $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{(\gamma, i)}\right)$ with only finitely many non-zero entries that add up to 0 . The row indices are the rank- $k$ germs $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ for a fixed number $k$, and they are endowed with a compatible ordering of the canonical bases of $\langle\gamma\rangle$. The column index $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ stands for the $i$ th canonical basis element in this ordering.

The quotient group $Q_{k}(S):=G_{k} / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ is the (finitary permutational) wreath product $S_{k}(\beta) \imath \operatorname{sym}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$, where $\beta \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ is a chosen base germ and $S_{k}(\beta)$ the symmetric group on the canonical basis of $\langle\beta\rangle$. We interpret $\operatorname{sym}\left(\Gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ as the permutation group on the entries which stabilizes all columns and acts diagonally by the symmetric group the set of all rank- $k$ germs.

The flow $f l_{\gamma}(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ of a matrix $\mathbf{a} \in A^{k}(S)$ at $\gamma$ is the sum of the entries in the $\gamma$-row. The total flow $f l(\mathbf{a})$ is the sum of all entries of $\mathbf{a}$ and by (8) we have $f l(\mathbf{a})=0$.

## Row-subgroups

A general $\gamma$-row-matrix represents an element of $A^{k}(S)$ if and only if its row-sum is zero, and then it is represented by an endotranslation on any $\gamma$-representing orthant. We write $E_{\gamma} \leqslant A_{k}(S)$ for the subgroup of all $\gamma$-row-matrices. The unit-endotranslation at $\gamma$ represent the $\gamma$-row-matrices consisting of a lone pair of entries $(1,-1)$, by which we mean that all other entries are zero. Let $E \leqslant A^{k}(S)$ denote the subgroup of $A^{k}(S)$ generated by all row-subgroups, and observe that $E=$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(f l: A^{k}(S) \rightarrow \oplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. In particular, $E$ is a $Q_{k}(S)$-submodule of $A^{k}(S)$, and as any finite set of germs can be represented by pairwise disjoint orthants we have $E=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)} E_{\gamma}$.

## Column-subgroups

Lei $i$ be a natural number $\leqslant k$. A general finite $i$ th-column-matrix $\left(n_{\gamma}\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}}$ is given by a finitely supported map $f_{i}: \Gamma^{k}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, n_{i}:=f_{i}(\gamma)$ and defines the parallel shift of a finite set of pairwise disjoint orthants $L_{\gamma}$ of $\langle\gamma\rangle$ in the direction of their $i$ th axis onto $n_{i} L_{\gamma}$. This defines an element of $A^{k}(S)$ if and only if the column sum $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}} n_{\gamma}$ is zero, and we write $C_{i} \leqslant A^{k}(S), i=1,2, \ldots, k$, for the subgroup of all $i$ th-column matrices. Note that every matrix $\mathbf{a}$ with a lone pair of unit entries $1,-1$ in different rows $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ is represented by a unit-pei-translation $\lambda$; and $\mathbf{a}$ is a column matrix if and only if the two unit shifts of $\lambda$ are anti-parallel.

The diagonal subgroup $D \leqslant A^{k}(S)$
The column subgroups $C_{i}$ are invariant under the order preserving action of $\operatorname{sym}\left(\gamma^{k}(S)\right)$ but not, of course, under all of $Q_{k}(S)$ - nor is the direct sum $\bigoplus_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant k} C_{i}$. Only the diagonally embedded copy of $C_{1}$ into $A^{k}(S)$, that is, the group $D \leqslant A^{k}(S)$ of all matrices with constant rows and zero column sums is actually a $Q_{k}(S)$-submodule of $A^{k}(S)$.

Lemma 4.11. For every $Q_{k}(S)$-submodule $M \leqslant A^{k}(S)$ we have
(i) If $\mathbf{m}$ is a matrix in $M$, so is the matrix $\left(f l_{1}(\mathbf{m}), \ldots, f l_{k}(\mathbf{m})\right) \in D$ which has in each of its columns the flow-column of $\mathbf{m}$.
(ii) If $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is infinite then we have for every choice of a pair $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ of different germs in $\Gamma^{k}(S)$, M is generated by $E_{\gamma} \cap M$ together with the lone-pair-of-rows matrices supported on the $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$-rows. (In other words: $M$ is generated by its endotranslations of $\gamma$-orthants and its pei-translations between $\gamma$ and $\gamma^{\prime}$ ).
(iii) Either $M \leqslant D$ or there is a unique minimal natural number $q$ with $q E \leqslant M$.
(iv) Either $M \leqslant E$ or there is a unique minimal natural number $p$ with $p D \leqslant M$.

Proof.
(i) As $\mathbf{m}$ has only finitely many non-zero rows we find an $n$ element $\vartheta \in Q_{k}(S)$ which permutes its columns cyclically. It follows that $\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{m} \vartheta+\cdots+\mathbf{m} \vartheta^{k-1}$ is contained in $M$ and has the required form.
(ii) As every matrix $0 \neq \mathbf{m} \in M$ has only finitely many non-zero rows, $\mathbf{m}$ contains both a nonzero row and a zero row, and $Q^{k}(S)$ contains a transposition that interchanges the two. Thus, $M$ contains a lone-row-pair matrix of the form $\mathbf{m}(1-\tau)=\binom{-\alpha}{\alpha}$ for every row $\alpha$ of $\mathbf{m}$. As $Q^{k}(S)$ acts 2-transitively on the rows we can assume that here the entry $-\alpha$ stands in a pre-chosen $\gamma$-row while $\alpha$ has its original position. If we subtract all these lone-pair-of-rows matrices for all non-zero rows $\neq \gamma$ from $\mathbf{m}$ we find the $\gamma$-row matrix whose entries are the column sums of $\mathbf{m}$, that is, $\mathbf{m}^{\prime}:=\left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}} n_{\gamma 1}, \ldots, \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}} n_{\gamma k}\right) \in M$. The flow of $\mathbf{m}^{\prime}$ is the total flow of $\mathbf{m}$ and hence zero. This shows that $\mathbf{m}^{\prime} \in E_{\gamma}$. Thus $M$ is generated by $E_{\gamma} \cap M$ together with all lone-pair-of-rows matrices in $M . \operatorname{As} Q_{k}(S)$ acts $k$-transitively, each lone-pair-of-rows matrix of $M$ is conjugate to a lone ( $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ )-pair-of-rows matrix of $M$.
(iii) We assume that $M$ is not contained in $D$, that is, it contains a matrix a which contains a $\gamma$-row with two different entries $x \neq y \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tau \in S_{k}(\gamma)$ be the transposition that interchanges those two entries. Then $\mathbf{a}(1-\tau)$ is a matrix in $E_{\gamma} \cap M$ with a lone pair of entries of the form $(z,-z)$. We consider the smallest natural number $q$ with the property that $E_{\gamma} \cap M$ contains a matrix with a lone pair of entries of the form $(q,-q)$, and call this a minimal lone-pair-ofentries matrix $E_{\gamma} \cap M$. Since $Q_{k}(S)$ acts highly transitively on the rows this applies to each rank- $k$ germ $\gamma$. With familiar arguments, one observes that each row matrix of $M$ with a lone pair of entries is a multiple of a minimal lone-pair-of-entries row matrix; hence the latter generate in each $E_{\gamma}$ the subgroup $\left.q\left(E_{\gamma} \cap M\right) \leqslant M\right)$ and in $E \cap M$ the $Q_{k}(S)$-submodule $q E \leqslant M$.
(iv) Now we assume that $M$ is not contained in $E$. Then there is a matrix $\mathbf{a} \in M$ with non-zero flow-column $\mathbf{f l}(\mathbf{a}):=\left(f l_{\gamma}(\mathbf{a})\right)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. As the sum of the entries of $\mathbf{f l}(\mathbf{a})$ is zero, its entries cannot be constant; hence $\mathbf{f l} \mathbf{( a )}$ contains a pair of non-equal entries. Therefore a contains a pair of non-equal rows, and we find a row-transposition $\tau$ which interchanges these two rows. $\mathbf{a}(1-\tau)$ is then a lone-pair-of-rows matrix in $M$ of the form $\binom{\alpha}{-\alpha}$ which has a lone pair of non-zero entries in its flow column $\mathbf{f l}(\mathbf{a}(1-\tau))$. It follows that there is a smallest natural number $p$ with the property that $M$ contains a lone-pair-of-rows matrix $\mathbf{m}=\binom{\alpha}{-\alpha}$ with a lone-pair-of-entries flow-column of the form $\binom{ \pm p}{\mp p}$. We call $\mathbf{m}$ a flow-minimal lone-pair-ofrows matrix $M$.

By part (i) it follows that the constant lone-pair-of-rows matrix

$$
\pm p\binom{1, \ldots, 1}{-1, \ldots,-1}
$$

is also a flow-minimal lone-pair-of-rows matrix in $M$, when $p D \leqslant M$.
We are now in a position to describe all $Q_{k}(S)$-submodules of $A^{k}(S)$.
Theorem 4.12. The $Q_{k}(S)$-submodules $0 \neq M \leqslant A^{k}(S)$ are of the following types:

- $p D \leqslant M \leqslant D$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}, D / p D$ contains only finitely many $Q_{k}(S)$-submodules, and if $p$ is minimal and $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is infinite then $M=p D$;
- $q E \leqslant M \leqslant E$ for some $q \in \mathbb{N}, E / q E$ contains only finitely many $Q_{k}(S)$-submodules, and if $q$ is minimal and $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is finite then $M=\mathbb{Z} Q_{k}(S)\left(E_{\gamma} \cap M\right)$;
- $p D+q E \leqslant M \leqslant A^{k}(S)$ for some $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A^{k}(S) / p D+q E$ contains only finitely many $Q_{k}(S)$-submodules.

Proof. Note that $D$ is free-Abelian with a countable basis $X$, and $Q:=Q^{k}(S)$ acts on $D=\mathbb{Z}[X]$ via the symmetric group $\operatorname{sym}(X)$. If $M$ is contained in $D$ it cannot be contained in $E$ hence by Lemma 4.11(vi) there is a unique minimal $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p D \leqslant M$. Thus, $D / p D \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$. If $X$ is finite so is $D / p D$. If $X$ is infinite and $x, y \in X$ are two different elements then (a special case of) Lemma 4.11(ii) implies that every $Q$-submodule of $M / p D \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$ is generated by lone-pair-ofrow matrices in $\left\{t x-t y \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$, which is a finite subset of $D / p D$ independent of $M$. Thus, in both cases we find that $D / p D$ contains only finitely many $Q$-submodules.

The case when $M \leqslant E$ is similar: $M$ cannot be in $D$; hence Lemma 4.11(iii) applies and asserts that there is a unique minimal $q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $q E \leqslant M$. If $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is finite, so is $E / q E$. If $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is infinite then (a special case of) Lemma 4.11 (ii) implies that for any chosen $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ all $Q$-submodules $M \leqslant E$ are generated by $E_{\gamma} \cap M$. Hence every submodule of $E / q E$ is generated by elements in the finite set $E_{\gamma} / q E_{\gamma}$. Thus, in both cases we find that $D / p D$ contains only finitely many $Q$ submodules.

If $M$ is neither contained in $D$ nor in $E$ then $p D+q E \leqslant M$, and therefore $t(D+E) \leqslant M$ for $t:=\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)$. In this situation we fix a germ $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ and consider the $\gamma$-row matrices $\mathbf{a}:=(t, t, \ldots, t), \mathbf{b}:=(t,-t, 0, \ldots, 0)$, both elements of $D+E \leqslant M$, and the element $\vartheta \in Q$ which cyclically permutes the entries of the $\gamma$-rows. By observing that

$$
\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{b}\left(1+\vartheta+2 \vartheta^{2}+3 \vartheta^{3}+\cdots+k \vartheta^{k}\right)=(k t, 0, \ldots, 0) \in t(D+E) \leqslant M
$$

we can infer that $k t A^{k}(S) \leqslant D+E \leqslant M$. Hence it remains to show that $A^{k}(S) / k t A^{k}(S)$ contains only finitely many submodules. This is again obvious when $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is finite, for in that case $A^{k}(S) / k t A^{k}(S)$ is a finite Abelian group. If $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ is infinite Lemma 4.11(ii) asserts that for any two different germs $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ we know that all submodules $M$ of $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ are generated by $E_{\gamma} \cap M$ together with all lone pairs of $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$-rows in $M$. Modulo $k t$, this shows that all submodules of $A^{k}(S) / k t A^{k}(S)$ are generated by a subset of a finite set which depends only on $D$ and $E$. Hence $A^{k}(S) / k t A^{k}(S)$ contains only finitely many submodules.

The fact that $A^{k}(S)$ is generated by two $Q_{k}(S)$-orbits - unit-pei-translations and unitendotranslations - shows that $A^{k}(S)$ is a finitely generated $Q_{k}(S)$-module. From Theorem 4.12 we infer that all submodules of $A^{k}(S)$ are finitely generated; in other words:

Corollary 4.13. The $Q^{k}(S)$-module $A^{k}(S)$ is Noetherian.

## 4.8 | The finite subgroups

Our next results will be used in Section 4.9 to classify all normal subgroups of pei( $S$ ). On the side it also yields all finite subgroups.

Lemma 4.14. Let $S$ be orthohedral, $L \subset S$ an orthant of rank-k, and $g \in G_{k}$. If $g$ has the property that its image in $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ of finite order $m$ then we can find a commensurable suborthant $K \subset L$ with the property that the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \xrightarrow{g} K g \xrightarrow{g} K g^{2} \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \xrightarrow{g} K g^{|\Lambda|-1} \xrightarrow{g} K g^{|\Lambda|}=K \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

goes through a set $\Lambda$ of pairwise disjoint orthants representing the germs $\gamma(L) g^{j}, j \geqslant 0$, and ends with an isometry $\alpha:=\left.g^{|\Lambda|}\right|_{K}: K \rightarrow K$.

We call the sequence (11) the covering orthant-orbit of the germ-orbit $\gamma(L) g p(g)$.
Remark. This applies also in the case when $\gamma g=\gamma$ (and even when $\mathrm{rk}(g)<k$ ): Then the assertion is $\gamma$ is represented by a rank- $k$ orthant $K$ pointwise fixed by $g$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3 we can assume that the restriction of $g$ to $L$ is an isometric embedding. If $L g$ is not commensurable to $L$ then the intersection $L \cap L g^{j}$ is of smaller rank for all $1 \leqslant j<t=$ length of the length of the $g$-orbit of the germ of $L \in \Gamma^{k}$. Hence we find a commensurable suborthant $K \subset L$ with the property that $K g^{j} \cap K=\emptyset$ for all $1 \leqslant j<t$. This implies that we have a sequence like the one asserted to exist in the lemma, except that it ends with an isometry $\alpha: K \rightarrow K g^{t}$ onto a commensurable orthant $K g^{t}$. But by assumption $\alpha^{\prime}$ cannot be of infinite order, hence further powers $g^{J}$ will, after finitely many steps, come back to $K$. Taking their intersections yields the claimed assertion.

The following theorem extends the lemma from a single element $g$ to a finitely generated subgroup $H$.

Theorem 4.15. Let $H \leqslant G_{k}$ be a finitely generated subgroup whose rank- $(k-1)$ subgroup $N:=$ $H \cap G_{k-1}$ is of finite index in $H$. Then we find a set $\Lambda$ of pairwise disjoint orthants representing the germs in $\Gamma^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(H))$ with the property that $H$ acts on $S^{\prime}:=\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L$ by isometries (on and between the members of $\Lambda$ ) - with the understanding this includes the assertion that $N$ fixes $S^{\prime}$ pointwise.

Proof. The set of rank- $k$ germs $\Gamma^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(H))$ is finite and permuted by $H$, and we can represent the germs $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ by pairwise disjoint rank-k orthants $L_{\gamma}$. Moreover, by passing, if necessary, to commensurable suborthants we may, for a given finite set $P \subseteq H$, assume that the restrictions $\left.f\right|_{L_{\gamma}}$ are isometric for all $f \in P$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$. The proof of Lemma 4.14 shows that this is true
for a single element $g$, and the general case follows by induction on $|P|$ : For the inductive step we can assume that the orthants $L \gamma$ we start with already satisfy the conclusion for a proper subset of $P$ and then go through arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.14 for an additional element. Note also that each $n \in N \cap P$ will have the feature to act trivially on $S^{\prime}$. Now we take advantage of this by applying it to a set $P$ which we choose as follows: First we pick a transversal $T \subset H$ of $H / N$ which contains the unit element of $H$ and put $X:=T^{ \pm 1}$; then we consider all triple products $X X X \subset H$ and pick a finite subset $Y \subset N$ which contains the set $N \cap X X X$ and generates $N$ as a monoid; finally we put $P:=X \cup Y$ and note that $P$ generates $H$ as a monoid. Now we observe:

- As $Y \subset P$ we have $\operatorname{supp}(N) \cap L_{\gamma}=\emptyset\left(\right.$ in particular $\left.L_{\gamma} y=L_{\gamma}\right)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$.
- As $T^{ \pm 1} \subseteq P$ the translates $L_{\gamma} t$ are orthants commensurable to $L_{\gamma t}$ for all $t \in T$, and the $T_{\gamma t} t^{-1}$ are orthants commensurable to $L_{\gamma}$.
- For each $\gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)$ we now consider the intersection

$$
K_{\gamma}:=\bigcap_{t \in T} L_{\gamma t} t^{-1} .
$$

This is a finite intersection of orthants commensurable to $L_{\gamma}$ and hence is a suborthant contained in and commensurable to $L_{\gamma}$. Thus $\Lambda:=\left\{K_{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma^{k}(S)\right\}$ is a pairwise disjoint set of representatives of the germs in $\Gamma^{k}(S)$ on which all restrictions of elements in $P$ are isometric injections.

We aim to prove that the elements of $P$ act on and/or permute the members of $\Lambda$ by isometries. For the elements in $Y$ we know this already. To prove it for $x \in X=T^{ \pm 1}$ we note that for each pair $(t, x) \in T \times X$ there is a unique $s \in T$ with $n:=t^{-1} x s \in N$. From here we find, on the one hand, $L_{\gamma t} t^{-1} x=L_{\gamma t}\left(t^{-1} x\right)=L_{\gamma t} n s^{-1}=L_{\gamma t} s^{-1}$ since $n=\in P$; and on the other hand, $\gamma t=\gamma x s n^{-1}=x s n^{-1}(x s)^{-1} x s=\gamma x s$ since $x s n^{-1}(x s)^{-1} \in N$ which acts trivially on the rank- $k$ germs. Hence $L_{\gamma t} t^{-1} x=L_{\gamma x s} s^{-1}$, and we find

$$
K_{\gamma} x=\left(\bigcap_{t \in T} L_{\gamma t} t^{-1}\right) x=\bigcap_{t \in T} L_{\gamma t}\left(t^{-1} x\right)=\bigcap_{t \in T} L_{\gamma x s} s^{-1}=\bigcap_{s \in T} L_{\gamma x s} s^{-1}=K_{\gamma x} .
$$

This shows that the monoid generators of $H$ and hence $H$ itself acts on the union $S^{\prime \prime}:=\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} L$ as asserted. Thus $S$ has the $H$-invariant decomposition of $S$ as the union of $S^{\prime \prime}$ and its complement $S^{\prime}:=S-S^{\prime \prime}$; and as $S^{\prime \prime}$ covers all rank- $k$ germs of $S$ its complement is of rank at most $(k-1)$.

Corollary 4.16. A subgroup $H \leqslant G_{k}$ is finite if and only if $\operatorname{supp}(H)$ is the union of a finite set $\Lambda$ of pairwise disjoint orthants $\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda}$ L on which $H$ acts faithfully by means of isometries on and between the members of $\Lambda$.

## 4.9 | The normal subgroups of pei(S)

Throughout this section $S$ is an orthohedral set of rank $r k S=n$, germs $\Gamma^{k}:=\Gamma^{k}(S)$, and height $h(S)=\left|\Gamma^{n}\right|$; and $G:=\operatorname{pei}(S)$. The most important normal subgroups of $G$ we have met so far are the rank subgroups, and between them the (ordered and unordered) germ stabilizers $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) \leqslant C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$. But in addition to those we found also the stagnant subgroups $S T_{k} \leqslant C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ (with $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) S T_{k}=C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$, see (4)), the endotranslation subgroup $E_{k}:=S T_{k} \cap C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ (see the

Exercise at the end of Section 4.5), and the alternating subgroups alt $G_{k}$ (see Theorem 4.10). The lattice of these normal subgroups is exhibited in the diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leqslant C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) \leqslant \\
G_{k-1} \leqslant E_{k} & \leqslant \operatorname{alt} S T_{k} \leqslant S T_{k} \leqslant \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The $G$-module structure of $A^{k}(S)=G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ exhibited in Section 4.7 provides detailed information on the normal subgroups between $G_{k-1}$ and $C^{\text {ord }}$. The goal is now to show that what we have seen so far covers essentially all normal subgroups of $G$.

To prove this requires detailed information on the normal subgroups $g p_{G}(g)$ of $G$ generated by specific elements $g \in G_{k}, k \leqslant n$, and we start by investigating the special case when the canonical image of $g$ in $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ is of positive finite order $m$. In this case Lemma 4.14 describes the covering orthant-orbit $\Lambda$ of a given $g$-orbit of $\Gamma^{k}$, and how $g$ acts on the union $\bigcup \Lambda$ by isometries on and between its members $L \in \Lambda$.

Lemma 4.17. Let $g \in G_{k}$, with $k \leqslant n=r k S$, be an element whose image in $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ is of finite order. Then the following holds (but note that if $k=n$ then $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|$ is finite, and if $k<n$ then $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|=\infty$ and only assertion (v) is relevant).
(i) $\operatorname{rk}(g)=k$ alone implies already that $g p_{G}(g)$ contains alt $G_{k-1}$.
(ii) If $g$ acts non-trivially on $\Gamma^{k}$ then $g p_{G}(g)$ contains in addition to alt $G_{k-1}$ certain products of pairs of disjoint rank-k elements of the form $\varphi \varphi^{g}$, where $\varphi \in G_{k}$ is a single-orthant-reflection or an endotranslation of rank-k.
(iii) If g acts non-trivially on $\Gamma^{k}$ and $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 3$ then $g p_{G}(g)$ contains alt $S T_{k}$ (which includes $G_{k-1}$ and $E_{k}$ ). In addition we have: $g p_{G}(g)$ contains either an orthant-3-cycles of rank-k, or the product of a pair of disjoint orthant-transpositions. (In the second case we have $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 4$ and further consequences below apply).
(iv) If $g$ acts non-trivially on $\Gamma^{k}$ and $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 4$ then $g p_{G}(g)$ contains

$$
C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)^{2} \text { alt } S T_{k}
$$

which is a subgroup of finite index in G. If g acts on $\Gamma^{k}$ by a 3-cycle or by a single transposition then $g p_{G}(g)$ contains the commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$.
(v) If $g$ acts non-trivially on $\Gamma^{k}$ and $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 5$ then $g p_{G}(g)$ contains alt $G_{k}$.

Proof. (i) Let $L \in \Lambda$ be an orthant contained in $\operatorname{supp}(g)$. Then - regardless of whether $L g=L$ or $L g \neq L$ - there is a rank- $(k-1)$ face $F$ of $L$ with $F \neq F g$. We claim that one can choose an orthant-transposition $\tau=\left(K, K^{\prime}\right)$ of rank- $(k-1)$ supported on suborthants of $L$ parallel to $F$ and of distance 1 to each other, with the feature that $\tau$ and $\tau g$ are disjoint. Indeed, if $L g \neq L$ then taking $K:=F$ and $K^{\prime}$ its parallel neighbor of distance 1 will do; and if $L g=L$ then we can take $K$ to be the orthant obtained by shifting $F$ diagonally into itself by two diagonal units, and $K^{\prime}$ its parallel neighbor of distance 1 (which has distance $>1$ from all other faces). Due to Lemma 3.1 $\tau \in G_{k}$. Then the commutator $[\tau, g]=\tau \tau^{g}$ is the product of two disjoint rank- $(k-1)$ orthant-transpositions and contained in $g p_{G_{k}}(g)$. As $\left|\Gamma^{k-1}\right|=\infty$ we know from Theorem 4.10(iii) that the conjugates of $[\tau, g]$ generate alt $G_{k-1}$.
(ii) By assumption $\Gamma^{k}$ has a $g$-orbit of length at least 2, and we consider the corresponding covering orthant-orbit $\Lambda$. Let $L \in \Lambda, K \subseteq L$ an arbitrary rank- $k$ suborthant, and $\sigma$ a single-orthantreflection of $K$. Due to Lemma $4.6 \sigma \in G_{k}$, hence $[g, \sigma]=\sigma^{g} \sigma \in g p_{G_{k}}(g)$, and $K \cap K g=\emptyset$. Thus, $g p_{G_{k}}(g)$ contains a product of two disjoint $g$-conjugate single-orthant-reflections of rank- $k$.

We can apply this to the suborthant $K t_{y} \subset K$ and recall from Lemma 4.7(v) that $\eta=\sigma \sigma^{t_{y}}$ is a unit-endotranslation. As both $\sigma^{g} \sigma$ and $\sigma^{t_{y} g} \sigma^{t_{y}}$ are in $g p_{G_{k}}(g)$, so is their product $\left(\sigma^{g} \sigma\right)\left(\sigma^{t_{y} g} \sigma^{t_{y}}\right)=$ $\eta^{g} \eta$. This shows that $g p_{G}(g)$ also contains products of pairs of disjoint $g$-conjugate (unit)endotranslations.
(iii) We assume that $g$ acts non-trivially on $\Gamma^{k}$ and $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 3$. Three cases occur:

Case 1: $\Gamma^{k}$ contains a $g$-orbit of length $\geqslant 3$. Let $\Lambda$ be the corresponding covering orthant-orbit, and $K \subseteq L$ an arbitrary rank- $k$ suborthant of some $L \in \Lambda$. Then $K, K g, K g^{2}$ are pairwise disjoint. We put $\tau:=(K, K g)$ to be the orthant-transposition defined by the restriction $\left.g\right|_{K}: K \rightarrow K g$, and observe that the commutator $[g, \tau]=\tau^{g} \tau=g^{-1} g^{\tau}$ is contained in $g p_{G}(g)$ and is the orthant-3cycle

$$
K \xrightarrow{g} K g \xrightarrow{g} K g^{2} \xrightarrow{g^{-2}} K .
$$

Case 2: $\Gamma^{k}$ contains a $g$-orbit of length 2 (with covering orthant-orbit $L \xrightarrow{g} L g \xrightarrow{g} L$ ), and disjoint to it is a $g$-invariant rank-k orthant $L^{\prime}$ (with covering orthant-orbit $L^{\prime} \xrightarrow{g} L^{\prime}$ ). We choose arbitrary rank- $k$ suborthants $K \subseteq L, K^{\prime} \subseteq L^{\prime}$, pick an orthant-transposition $\tau:=\left(K^{\prime}, K\right)$, and observe that the commutator $[g, \tau]=\tau^{g} \tau=g^{-1} g^{\tau}$ is contained in $g p_{G}(g)$ and is the orthant-3-cycle

$$
K \xrightarrow{\tau} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\tau^{g}} K g \xrightarrow{\tau^{g} \tau} K .
$$

Appropriate products of two orthant-3-cycles are products of pairs of disjoint orthanttranspositions. By Theorem 4.10(i)(b) all products of pairs of disjoint orthant-transpositions are conjugate and generate alt $S T_{k}$. Hence $g p_{G}(g)$ contains the unique subgroup of index 2 in $C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ and all alternating finite orthant-permutations; together these generate the commutator subgroup of $G_{k}$.

Case 3: $\Gamma^{k}$ contains two $g$-orbit of length 2 (with corresponding covering orthant-orbits $L_{i} \xrightarrow{g}$ $L_{i} g \xrightarrow{g} L_{i}, \mathrm{i}=1,2$ ). We choose arbitrary rank-k suborthants $K_{1} \subseteq L_{1}, K_{2} \subseteq L_{2}$, pick an orthanttransposition $\tau:=\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$, and observe that the commutator $[g, \tau]=\tau^{g} \tau=g^{-1} g^{\tau}$ is contained in $g p_{G}(g)$ and is the product $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ of two disjoint $g$-conjugate orthant-transpositions. By Theorem 4.10(i)(b) all products of pairs of disjoint orthant-transpositions are conjugate and generate alt $S T_{k}$.
(iv) Now we assume $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 4$. By Theorem 4.10(ii) we know that all orthant-3-cycles are conjugate. Thus, if $g p_{G}(g)$ contains an orthant-3-cycle of rank- $k$ then $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 4$ implies that it contains all of them. We claim that this implies $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right) \leqslant g p_{G}(g)$.

To prove this recall that every unit-pei-translation $\lambda$ is the product of two orthant-transpositions $\lambda=\tau \tau^{\prime}$ of the form $(K, L)(K, L-F)$ (see the proof of Lemma 4.7(ii)). As an additional rank- $k$ orthant $M$ disjoint to $K \cup L$ is available we can write $\tau \tau^{\prime}$ as the product $\lambda=(K, L)(K, L-F)=$ $(K, L)(K, M)(K, M)(K, L-F)=(K, L, M)(K, M, L-F) \quad$ of two orthant-3-cycles, when $\lambda \in g p_{G}(g)$. Our claim follows since the unit-pei-translations generate $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$.

By (iii) we know that $g p_{G}(g)$ contains also alt $S T_{k}$, and together with $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ this yields the unique subgroup of index 2 in $C\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$. Moreover the orthant-3-cycles generate, in the symmetric group $G / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$, the alternating subgroup of index 2 . Hence $g p_{G}(g)$ contains a normal subgroup of index 4 ; this can only be the commutator subgroup $G^{\prime}$.

It remains to consider the case $g p_{G}(g)$ contains no orthant-3-cycle - this happens only when $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right|=4$ (which implies that $k=n=\operatorname{rk}(S)$ ) and $\Gamma^{k}$ is the union of two $g$-orbits of length 2 . In that case assertion (iii) still tells us that $g p_{G}(g)$ contains all of $E_{k}$ and products $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ of pairs of disjoint orthant-transpositions of rank-k.

From pairs of disjoint orthant-transpositions we can obtain the result for pairs of disjoint translations: We use our disjoint orthant-transpositions $\tau_{i}=\left(K_{i}, L_{i}\right)$ to construct the disjoint unit-peitranslations $\lambda_{j}=\left(K_{i}, L_{i}\right)\left(K_{i}, L_{i}-F_{i}\right)$, where $F_{i}$ stands for a rank- $(k-1)$-face of $L_{i}$. Then we have $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}=\left(K_{1}, L_{1}\right)\left(K_{2}, L_{2}\right)\left(K_{1}, L_{1}-F_{1}\right)\left(K_{2}, L_{2}-F_{2}\right)$ which shows that $\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \in g p_{G}(g)$. It follows that all products of pairs of disjoint unit-pei-translations are in $g p_{G}(g)$. By multiplying two appropriate such pairs we find that $g p_{G}(g)$ contains all squares of unit-pei-translations and therefore all translations of even length, that is, $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)^{2} \leqslant g p_{G}(g)$. Hence $g p_{G}(g)$ contains $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)^{2}$ alt $S T_{k}$ and all products of disjoint pairs of orthant-transpositions. We leave it to the reader to prove that this is a finite index subgroup of $G_{k}$ is a subgroup of finite index in $G_{k}$.
(v) We assume that $g$ acts non-trivially on $\Gamma^{k}$ and $\left|\Gamma^{k}\right| \geqslant 5$. We know by assertion (iii) that $g p_{G}(g)$ contains either an orthant-3-cycle or a product of two disjoint orthant-transpositions. As $(K, L, M)(L, M, N)=(K, M)(L, N)$ we have products of disjoint orthant-transpositions in either case and we know, by Theorem 4.10, that they generate alt $G_{k} \leqslant G_{k}$ as a normal subgroup.

We can now prove that the index-2 pairs alt $G_{k}<G_{k}$ are 'bottlenecks' for the normal subgroups $N$ of $G$, that is, either $N \leqslant G_{k}$ or alt $G_{k} \leqslant N$. Or, equivalently:

Theorem 4.18 (Bottleneck Theorem). For every normal subgroup $N \leqslant G$ of $\operatorname{rank} \operatorname{rk}(N)=k$ we have alt $G_{k-1} \leqslant N \leqslant G_{k}$; (recall that $G_{-1}:=1$ ).

Proof. The key here is proving that the assertion i) of Lemma 4.17, that is, $\operatorname{rk}(g)=k$ alone implies alt $G_{k-1} \leqslant g p_{G}(g)$, holds without the assumption that the image of $g \in G_{k}$ in $G_{k} / G_{k-1}$ be of finite order. To prove this, we can now assume that $g$ is of infinite order.

As $\Gamma^{k}(\operatorname{supp}(g))$ is finite and $G_{k} / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{k}\right)$ is a torsion group, some power $g^{p}$ is a non-trivial peiisometry of rank- $k$ which fixes the germ $\gamma(L)$ of some rank- $k$ orthant $L$. Hence $g^{p}$ parallel shifts t $L$ to an orthant $L g^{p} \neq L$ commensurable to $L$. Then one finds inside $L$ rank- $(k-1)$ othants $K \subset$ $L$ with the property that $K, K g^{p}, K g^{2 p}, K g^{3 p}, \ldots$ are sequences of length $\geqslant 3$ of pairwise disjoint parallel orthants. As in the proof of Lemma 4.17(iii), Case 1. We find in $g p_{G}(g)$ an orthant-3-cycle of rank- $(k-1)$. Since $\left|\Gamma^{k-1}\right|=\infty$ assertion v) of Lemma 4.17 applies in rank- $(k-1)$ and yields alt $G_{k-1} \leqslant g p_{G}(g)$.

We use the Bottleneck theorem to recover the rank of elements $g \in G=\operatorname{pei}(S)$ as a group theoretic property. For this we introduce the translation-rank of the elements $g \in G$, by putting

$$
\operatorname{trk}(g):=\min _{0 \leqslant k \leqslant \operatorname{rk}(g)}\left\{k \mid G_{k} \cap g p(g) \neq 1\right\} .
$$

Note that $\operatorname{trk}(g) \leqslant \operatorname{rk}(g)$, and $\operatorname{trk}(g)=0$ if and only if $g$ is a torsion element. And if $g$ is torsion-free then $\operatorname{trk}(g)$ is the maximal $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property that $\operatorname{supp}(g)$ contains a rank-t orthant $L$ on which the restriction $\left.g^{p}\right|_{L}: L \rightarrow S$ of some $g^{p} \in g p(g)$ is also induced by a non-trivial translation $\vartheta:\langle L\rangle \rightarrow\langle L\rangle$.

Now we put $t=\operatorname{trk}(g)$, choose a generator $g^{q}$ of $G_{k} \cap g p(g)$ and consider the sequence of normal subgroups $N_{p}:=g p_{G}\left(g^{p}\right), p \in \mathbb{N}$. As $t \leqslant r k\left(g^{p}\right)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$ we know from the Bottleneck theorem that alt $G_{t-1} \leqslant N_{p}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, as $G_{t} / C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{t}\right)$ is a torsion group and $g^{q} \in G_{t}$ we know some power $g^{p}$ of $g$ is contained in $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{t}\right)$. Since $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{t}\right)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G$ it follows that $N_{p}=g p_{G}\left(g^{p}\right)$ is also contained in $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{t}\right)$.

Now we can use that $C^{\text {ord }}\left(\Gamma^{t}\right) / G_{t-1}$ is free-Abelian and hence residually finite: the subgroup $N_{p} / G_{t-1}$ is generated by the $G$-translates of $g^{p} / G_{t-1}$, hence for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $N_{p i} / G_{t-1}=$ $g p_{G}\left(g^{p i} / G_{t-1}\right)=\left(N_{p} / G_{t-1}\right)^{i}$, when $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_{p i} \leqslant G_{t-1}$.

Putting things together we find that the intersection of all normal subgroups $N_{p}$ (a quantity that depends only on the group structure of $G$ ) satisfies, for each $g \in G$,

$$
\operatorname{alt} G_{\operatorname{trk}(g)-1} \leqslant \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{N}} g p_{G}\left(g^{p}\right) \leqslant G_{\operatorname{trk}(g)-1} .
$$

Since alt $G_{t-1}$ and $G_{t-1}$ uniquely determine one another this shows that they are characterized in terms of the group structure of $G=\operatorname{pei}(S)$.

Summarizing we have

Corollary 4.19. $G=\operatorname{pei}(S)$ satisfies the maximal condition for normal subgroups.
The rank-groups $G_{k}$ are uniquely determined by the group structure of pei(S). In particular, the poly-(Abelian-by-locally-finite) length of $G$ which is equal to $\mathrm{rk} S+1$, is an invariant of the group structure.

Exercise. In [32, 36] Osin and Wesolek-Williams define fine-meshed (ordinal valued) ranks which measure the complexity of elementary amenable groups $G$. Use the Bottleneck theorem to compute these ranks for $G=\operatorname{pei}(S)$.

## CHAPTER 4. THE EUCLIDEAN CASE II: THE FINITENESS LENGTH

## 5 A LOWER BOUND FOR THE FINITENESS LENGTH OF pei(S)

In this section we will define a certain 'diagonal' subgroup, pei $_{\text {dia }}(S) \leqslant \operatorname{pei}(S)$, and prove

Theorem 5.1. For every orthohedral set $S$ we have

$$
f l(\operatorname{pei}(S)) \geqslant f l\left(\operatorname{pei}_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\right)=h(S)-1 .
$$

We follow the strategy of Brown's proof in the influential paper [14] which covers the case when $S$ is a stack of rays; and we also take full advantage of the technical results and the insight provided by the second author's diploma thesis [34].

## 5.1 | The height of a pei-injection $f: S \rightarrow S$

We start with a general observation on the set of germs, when an orthohedral set $S$ comes with a decomposition of a disjoint union $S=A \cup B$ of two orthohedral subsets. In that case every orthant
$L \subseteq S$ inherits the decomposition $L=(A \cap L) \cup(B \cap L)$, which shows that one of the orthants of either $A$ or $B$ is commensurable to $L$. This shows that the germs of $S$ have an induced disjoint decomposition $\Gamma^{k}(S)=\Gamma^{k}(A) \cup \Gamma^{k}(B)$ for each $k$.

Now let $S$ be an orthohedral set of rank $\operatorname{rk} S=n$. We can represent the rank- $n$ germs of $S$ by pairwise disjoint orthants $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{h}, h=h(S)$, with the property that the restriction of $f$ to each $L_{i}$ is an isometric embedding into $S . f\left(L_{i}\right)$ is then commensurable to some $L_{j}$, and since $f$ is injective it follows: $f$ permutes the germs $\gamma\left(L_{1}\right), \ldots, \gamma\left(L_{p}\right)$, and $\operatorname{rk}(S-f(S))<\operatorname{rk} S$.

As $S-f(S)$ is an orthohedral set, we now obtain that the number of rank- $(n-1)$ germs in $S-f(S)$ is finite. We call this number the height of $f$, denoted by $h(f)=h(S-f(S))=h(S-S f)$.

## Lemma 5.2.

(i) If $g, f: S \rightarrow S$ are two pei-injections, then $h(g f)=h(g)+h(f)$.
(ii) If $A \subseteq S$ is an orthohedral subset whose complement $A^{\mathrm{c}}=S-A$ has rank $\operatorname{rk} A^{\mathrm{c}}<n=\operatorname{rk} S$, then the height of any pei-injection $f: S \rightarrow S$ is given by $h(f)=h\left(A \cap f(A)^{\mathrm{c}}\right)-h\left(A^{\mathrm{c}} \cap f(A)\right)$.

Proof. (i) Consider the disjoint union $S=(S-S g) \cup S g$. As $f$ is injective $S f=(S f-S g f) \cup S g f$ is also a disjoint union. Hence so is

$$
S=(S-S f) \cup S f=(S-S f) \cup(S f-S g f) \cup S g f
$$

and we find

$$
S-S g f=(S-S f) \cup(S f-S g f)
$$

Now, $f$ is a pei-bijection between $(S-S g)$ and $(S-S g) f=(S f-S g f)$; and a pei-bijection of a an orthohedral set induces a pei-bijection on its germs. Thus the number of rank- $(n-1)$ germs of ( $S-S g$ ) and ( $S f-S g f$ ) are the same. This proves (i).
(ii) Each pei-injection $f: S \rightarrow S$ induces an injection $f^{*}: \Gamma^{n-1}(S) \rightarrow \Gamma^{n-1}(S)$. We abbreviate $B=A^{\mathrm{c}}$ and know from $\mathrm{rk} B<n$ that $\Gamma^{n-1}(B)$ is finite. Hence $f^{*}$ restricts to a bijection $f^{*}: \Gamma^{n-1}(B) \rightarrow \Gamma^{n-1}(f(B))$. On the complement we find the induced injection $f^{*}: \Gamma^{n-1}(A) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma^{n-1}(f(A))$.

We use the abbreviation $P^{*}:=\Gamma^{n-1}(P)$ for $P=S, A, B$, and consider the disjoint union

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{*}-f^{*}\left(S^{*}\right)= & \left(A^{*}-A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(S^{*}\right)\right) \cup\left(B^{*}-B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(S^{*}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(A^{*}-A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)-A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) \\
& \cup\left(B^{*}-B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)-B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By definition, $h(f)=h\left(S^{*}-f^{*}\left(S^{*}\right)\right)$. Using the fact that $B^{*}$ as well as $A^{*}-f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)$ are finite, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(f)= & h\left(A^{*}-A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)\right)-h\left(A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) \\
& +h\left(B^{*}\right)-h\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)\right)-h\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we apply that $h\left(B^{*}\right)=h\left(f\left(B^{*}\right)\right)$ and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-h\left(A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) & +h\left(B^{*}\right)-h\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =-h\left(A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right)+h\left(f\left(B^{*}\right)\right)-h\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =h\left(f\left(B^{*}\right)-h\left(\left(A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right) \cup\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(B^{*}\right)\right)\right)=0 .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence our expression for $h(f)$ simplifies to

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(f) & =h\left(A^{*}-A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)\right)-h\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)\right) \\
& =h\left(A^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{c}}\right)-h\left(B^{*} \cap f^{*}\left(A^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as asserted.

## 5.2 | Monoids of pei-injections

Let $S$ be an orthohedral set of rank $n=\operatorname{rk} S$ in pet-normal form. In particular $S$ is the pairwise disjoint union of finitely many specified stacks of orthants. By Lemma 3.2 the set of all maximal germs of S , $\max \Gamma^{*}(S)$, is finite. We write $M(S)$ for the monoid of all pei-injections $S \rightarrow S$. It is endowed with the height function $h: M(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of Section 5.1. Let $M_{0}(S)$ be the submonoid of all pei-endoinjections of $S$, which fix all maximal germs of $S . M_{0}(S)$ is of finite index in $M(S)$ since $\max \Gamma^{*}(S)$ is finite. Just as we have observed for pei-permutations, each $f \in M_{0}$ induces an isometry $\tau_{(f, \gamma)}:\langle\gamma\rangle \rightarrow\langle\gamma\rangle$ on the tangent coset of each germ $\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)$. Thus we have a homomorphism

$$
\begin{gather*}
\kappa: M_{0}(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)} \operatorname{Isom}(\langle\gamma\rangle) \text {, given by }  \tag{5.1}\\
\kappa(f)=\bigoplus_{\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)} \tau_{(f, \gamma)} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The translation submonoid $M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \subseteq M_{0}(S)$ consists of all $f \in M_{0}(S)$ with the property that the induced maps $\tau_{(f, \gamma)}:\langle\gamma\rangle \rightarrow\langle\gamma\rangle$ are translations for each $\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)$. Since the translation subgroup of Isom $(\langle\gamma\rangle)$ is of finite index, $M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ has finite index in $M_{0}(S)$. And restricting (5.1) yields a surjective homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)} \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{rk}(\gamma)}=\mathbb{Z}^{N}, \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N=\Sigma_{\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)} \mathrm{rk}(\gamma)$.
Every orthant $L$ contains a characteristic diagonal element $u_{L} \in L$ : the sum of the canonical basis of $L$. We write $t_{L}: L \rightarrow L$ for the translation given by addition of $u_{L}$ and call this the diagonal unit-translation of $L$. The general diagonal translations on $L$ (that is, on $\langle L\rangle$ ) are given by addition of an integral multiple of $u_{L}$. By the diagonal submonoid $M_{\text {dia }}(S) \subseteq M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ we mean the set of all elements $f \in M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ with the property that for each $\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)$ the induced isometry $\tau_{(f, \gamma)}$ : $\langle\gamma\rangle \rightarrow\langle\gamma\rangle$ is a diagonal translation. Restricting (5.2) yields the homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \max \Gamma^{*}(S)} \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}^{\max \Gamma^{*}(S) \mid} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\max \Omega^{*}(S)$ for the set of all maximal orthants of the stacks of S , and consider the set $T=\left\{t_{L} \mid L \in \max \Omega^{*}(S)\right\}$ of all diagonal unit-translations of these orthants. Each $t_{L} \in T$ extends canonically to a pei-injection on $t_{L}: S \rightarrow S$, which is the identity on $S-L$. We denote it by the same symbol $t_{L}$, and with this interpretation $T$ generates a free-Abelian submonoid $\operatorname{mon}(T) \leqslant$ $M_{\text {dia }}(S)$.

Following the strategy of [14] we put
Definition 5.3. Given $f, f^{\prime} \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ we define $f \leqslant f^{\prime}$ if there is some $t \in \operatorname{mon}(\mathrm{~T})$ with $t f=f^{\prime}$.
Observation. $M_{\text {dia }}(S)$ is a directed partially ordered set.

It is an important fact that the height function $h: M(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is order preserving and its restrictions to totally ordered subsets of $M(S)$ are injective. We will also have to consider slices of $M_{\text {dia }}(S)$. For given $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, r \leqslant s$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
M^{[r, s]} & :=\left\{f \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid r \leqslant h(f) \leqslant s\right\} \text { and } \\
M^{[r, \infty]} & :=\left\{f \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid r \leqslant h(f)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$M^{[r, \infty]}$ inherits the partial ordering from $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ and is also a directed set.

## 5.3 | Maximal elements less than $\boldsymbol{f}$ in $M_{\text {dia }}(S)$

From now on we assume that all maximal orthants of the stacks of $S$ have the same finite rank $n=\operatorname{rk} S$. We put $\Lambda:=\max \Omega^{*}(S)$. We write

$$
M_{<f}=\left\{a \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid a<f\right\}, \quad M_{\leqslant f}=\left\{a \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid a \leqslant f\right\}
$$

for the 'open, respectively, closed cones below $f$ " and aim to understand the set of all maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. For this it will be convenient to introduce an abbreviation for the points on the (finite) boundary of the maximal orthants $L$; so we set $\partial L:=L-L t_{L}$.

Lemma 5.4. Let b be a maximal element of $M_{<f}$. Then there is a unique maximal orthant $L \in \Lambda$ with the property that $f=t_{L} b$ and $h(f)=h(b)+n$. Furthermore $b$ is given as the union $b=b^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime \prime}$, where $b^{\prime}: \partial L \rightarrow(S-S f)$ is a pei-injection, and $b^{\prime \prime}:(S-\partial L) \rightarrow S f$ is the restriction $\left.\left(t_{L}^{-1} f\right)\right|_{(S-\partial L)}$. Conversely, if $c^{\prime}: \partial L \rightarrow(S-S f)$ is an arbitrary pei-injection distinct from $b^{\prime}$, then the union $c=c^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime \prime}$ is a maximal element of $M_{<f}$ distinct from $b$.

Proof. For each element $b \in M_{<f}$ there is some $t \in \operatorname{mon}(T)$ with $f=t b$. $t$ has a unique reduced expansion as a product of elements of $T$; let $l(t)$ denote the length of this expansion. As $h\left(t_{L}\right)=L=$ $n$ for each $L \in \Lambda$ we have $h(t)=n l(t)$. It follows that if $b$ is maximal, then $h(t)=n$ and $t=t_{L} \in T$ for some $L \in \Lambda$. The maximal orthant $L$ is uniquely determined by the fact that the restriction of $f$ and $b$ coincide on $S-L$. The restriction $b^{\prime \prime}$ of $b$ to $(S-\partial L)$ coincides with $\left.\left(t_{L}^{-1} f\right)\right|_{(S-\partial L)}$, and has its image in $S f$. The restriction $b^{\prime}$ of $b$ to $\partial L$ is not determined by $f$ and $L$. As $b$ and $f$ are
injective we know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\emptyset=(\partial L) b \cap(S-\partial L) b & =(\partial L) b \cap\left((S-L) b \cup L t_{L} b\right) \\
& =(\partial L) b \cap((S-L) f \cup L f) \\
& =(\partial L) b \cap S f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $b^{\prime}$ can be viewed as a pei-injection $\partial L \rightarrow(S-S f)$. If we replace $b^{\prime}$ by another peimap $c^{\prime}: \partial L \rightarrow(S-S f)$, the union $c=c^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime \prime}$ will still satisfy $f=t_{L} c$ and $h(f)=h(c)+n$. This shows that $c$ will also be maximal in $M_{<f}$.

Lemma 5.5. Let $B \subseteq M_{<f}$ be a finite set of maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) the elements of $B$ have a common lower bound $\delta$ in $M_{<f}$;
(ii) for every pair $\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \in B \times B$ with $b \neq b^{\prime}$ and $t b=f=t^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ for diagonal unit-translations $t, t^{\prime}$, we have
(a) $t \neq t^{\prime}$ and
(b) $b(\partial L) \cap b^{\prime}\left(\partial L^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, where $L$, respectively, $L^{\prime}$ are the maximal orthants of $S$ on which $t$, respectively, $t^{\prime}$ acts non-trivially.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let $\delta$ be a common lower bound of the elements of $B$. Then for every pair $\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \in$ $B \times B$ there are diagonal translations $d, d^{\prime} \in \operatorname{mon}(T)$ with $d \delta=b$ and $d^{\prime} \delta=b^{\prime}$. From $t b=f=$ $t^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ we obtain $t d \delta=t^{\prime} d^{\prime} \delta$ and conclude $t d=t^{\prime} d^{\prime}$. The assumption $t=t^{\prime}$ would nowimply $d=d^{\prime}$ and hence $b=b^{\prime}$.

Let $L$, respectively, $L^{\prime}$ denote the maximal orthants of $S$ on which $t$, respectively, $t^{\prime}$ acts nontrivially. As $d, d^{\prime}$ are diagonal translations, we have $d(L) \subseteq L$ and $d^{\prime}\left(L^{\prime}\right) \subseteq L^{\prime}$. From $t \neq t^{\prime}$ we know $L \cap L^{\prime}=\emptyset$, and hence $(\partial L) d \cap\left(\partial L^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime}=\emptyset$. Since $\delta$ is injective, this implies $\emptyset=(\partial L) d \delta \cap$ $\left(\partial L^{\prime}\right) d^{\prime} \delta=(\partial L) b \cap\left(\partial L^{\prime}\right) b^{\prime}$, as asserted.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). For each $b \in B$ we have some diagonal unit-translation $t_{b} \in T$ with $t_{b} b=f$, and we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{B}:=\prod_{b \in B} t_{b} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (i) the maximal orthants $L_{b}$ on which $t_{b}$ is a diagonal unit-translation are pairwise disjoint. Thus $|B| \leqslant h(S)$, and $S$ decomposes in the disjoint union $S=\left(\bigcup_{b \in B} L_{b}\right) \cup S^{\prime}$. We define the pei-injection $\delta_{B}: S \rightarrow S$ as follows:

$$
\delta_{B}:= \begin{cases}t_{b}^{-1} f & \text { on each } L_{b} t_{b} \\ b & \text { on the complements } \partial L_{b}=L_{b}-L_{b} t_{b} \\ f & \text { on } S^{\prime} .\end{cases}
$$

Assumption (ii) guarantees that the restriction of $\delta_{B}$ to the union

$$
\bigcup_{b \in B} \partial L_{b}=\bigcup_{b \in B}\left(L_{b}-L_{b} t_{b}\right)=\left(S-S t_{B}\right)
$$

is injective. And since the image of each $\partial L_{b}$ is disjoint to $f(S)$, we also find that the image of ( $S-$ $\left.S t_{B}\right)$ is disjoint to $f(S)$, and also to $f\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subseteq f(S)$. This shows that $\delta$ is a pei-injection. It remains to prove that $\delta_{B}$ is a common lower bound for the elements of $B$. By commutativity we find elements $s_{b} \in \operatorname{mon}(T)$ with $t_{B} \delta_{B}=t_{b} s_{b} \delta_{B}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{b}=\prod_{x \in(B-\{b\})} t_{x} . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

One observes that $s_{b} \delta_{B}$ and $b$ agree on $\left(S-S t_{b}\right)=\left(L_{b}-L_{b} t_{b}\right)$, and that $t_{B} \delta_{B}=f=t_{b} b$. Hence $b$ and $s_{b} \delta_{B}$ agree on $S$.

Lemma 5.6. In the situation of Lemma 5.5 we have for the lower bound $\delta_{B}$ defined in the proof:
(i) $\delta_{B}$ is, in fact, a largest common lower bound of the elements of $B$;
(ii) $h\left(\delta_{B}\right) \geqslant h(f)-h(S) n$.

Proof. (i) We compare an arbitrary common lower bound $\gamma$ with $\delta_{B}$, the lower bound constructed in the proof above. Thus for each $b \in B$ we are given $u_{b} \in \operatorname{mon}(T)$ with $u_{b} \gamma=b$. We fix a base element $b^{\prime} \in B$ and define the diagonal translation $t^{\prime} \in \operatorname{mon}(T)$ by its action on $S$ as

$$
t^{\prime}:= \begin{cases}u_{b^{\prime}} & \text { on } S^{\prime} \\ u_{b} & \text { on each } L_{b}\end{cases}
$$

We use the elements $s_{b}$ of (5.5) and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x t^{\prime} \gamma=x u_{b^{\prime}} \gamma=x b^{\prime}=x s_{b^{\prime}} \delta_{B}=x \delta_{B} \quad \text { for } x \in S^{\prime} \\
& x t^{\prime} \gamma=x u_{b} \gamma=x b=x s_{b} \delta_{B}=x \delta_{B} \quad \text { for } x \in L_{b} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $t^{\prime} \gamma=\delta_{B}$, hence $\delta_{B} \geqslant \gamma$.
(ii) For the translation $t$ of (5.4) we have $t \delta_{B}=f$ and can deduce that $h\left(\delta_{B}\right)=h(f)-h(t)=$ $h(f)-|B| n \geq h(f)-h(S) n$.

## 5.4 | The simplicial complex of $\boldsymbol{M}_{\text {dia }(S)}$

We consider the simplicial complex $\left|M_{\text {dia }}(S)\right|$, whose vertices are the elements of $M_{\text {dia }}(S)$ and whose chains of length $k, a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k}$, are the $k$-simplices. As the partial ordering on $M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ is directed, $\left|M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\right|$ is contractible.

In this section we aim to prove
Lemma 5.7. If $h(f) \geqslant 2 \cdot \operatorname{rk} S \cdot h(S)$, then $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of $(h(S)-1)$ spheres.

The first step toward proving Lemma 5.7 is to consider the covering of $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ by the subcomplexes $\left|M_{\leqslant b}\right|$, where $b$ runs through the maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. We write $N(f)$ for the nerve of this covering. Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 show that all finite intersections of such subcomplexes $\left|M_{\leqslant b}\right|$ are again cones and hence contractible. It is a well-known fact that in this situation the space is
homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the covering. Hence we have

$$
\left|M_{<f}\right| \text { is homotopy equivalent to the nerve } N(f)
$$

and it remains to compute the homotopy type of $N(f)$.
The next step is to use the results of Section 5.3 to find a combinatorial model for the nerve $N(f)$. The vertices of $N(f)$ are the maximal elements of $M_{<f}$, and hence, by Lemma 5.4, in 1-1-correspondence to the disjoint union $A=\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} A_{L}$, where $A_{L}$ stands for the set of all peiinjections $a: \partial L \rightarrow(S-S f)$. Lemma 5.4 allows to translate the simplicial structure of $N(f)$ into a simplicial complex $\Sigma(f)$ on $A$ : the $p$-simplices of $N(f)$ are the $p$-element sets of maximal elements $B \subseteq M_{<f}$ with a common lower bound, and the corresponding p-simplices of $\Sigma(f)$ are the sequences $\left(a_{L}\right)_{L \in \Lambda^{\prime}}$, where $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a $p$-element subset of $\Lambda$ and $a_{L} \in A_{L}$ with the property

The intersections of the images $a_{L}(\partial L), L \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, are pairwise disjoint.

The next Lemma 5.8 on colored graphs will enable us to determine the homotopy type of $\Sigma(f)$. This is a natural generalization of the second author's (Sach's) Lemma 4.7 [34], where it served as a major technical key in extending computation of $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S))$ from the case when $S$ is a stack of rays (the Houghton group result of [14], to the case when $S$ is a stack of quadrants. Sach's lemma and its proof were based on but are in parts rather different from Brown's lemmas 5.2 or 5.3. Ken Brown, in turn, remarks that the inductive proof of his Lemma 5.3 uses 'a method due to K. Vogtmann [private communication]'.

Let $\Gamma=(V, E)$ be a combinatorial graph, given by a set $V$ of vertices and set $E$ of edges, where an edge is a set consisting of two non-equal vertices. A clique of $\Gamma$ is any subset $C \subseteq V$ with the property that any two vertices of $C$ are joined by an edge of $\Gamma$. The flag-complex $K(\Gamma)$ is the simplicial complex on $V$ whose $p$-simplices are the cliques consisting of $p+1$ vertices of $V$. Our main example here is the complex $\Sigma(f)$, which is easily seen to be the flag-complex of its 1 -skeleton $\Gamma(f)$.

Let $h$ be a natural number. We say that the graph $\Gamma_{h}=(V, E)$ is $h$-colored if its vertex set $V$ is the pairwise disjoint union of $h$ subsets $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{h}$ (where the index $i$ is the color of the vertices in $V_{i}$ ), and no edge has endpoints with the same color.

Lemma 5.8. If all colors $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, h\}$ of an h-colored graph $\Gamma_{h}=(V, E)$ satisfy the two properties
(1) $V_{i}$ contains at least two distinct elements, and
(2) for any choice of $2(h-1)$ vertices $u^{1}, \ldots, u^{2(h-1)}$ in $V-V_{i}$ there are two vertices $v, w$ in $V_{i}$ which are adjacent to each $u^{j}$; in other words, for each $j \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2(h-1)\}$ there is an edge path of length 2 in $\Gamma_{h}$ joining $v$ and $w$ via $u^{j}$.

Then the flag-complex $K\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of $(h-1)$-spheres.
Remark. Note that (2) holds vacuously if $h=1$; and if $h>1$ then (1) actually follows from (2).
Proof. We use induction on $h$, starting with the observation that the statement is trivial when $h=1$. For $h \geqslant 2$ we assume that $K\left(\Gamma_{h-1}\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $(h-2)$-spheres, if $\Gamma_{h-1}$ is an $(h-1)$-colored graph which satisfies the properties (1) and (2). We construct $K\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)$
in several steps, similar to the method applied in Brown's proof for Hougthon's groups [14]. We start with choosing a base vertex $v_{1} \in V_{1}$ and consider its star in $K\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)$,

$$
K_{0}:=\operatorname{st}_{K(\Gamma h)}\left(v_{1}\right) .
$$

Then we proceed with $i=1,2, \ldots, h$ by taking the union of $K_{i-1} \cup V_{i}^{\prime}$, where $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is the set of all vertices of $V_{i}$ which are not joined with the base vertex $v_{1}$ by an edge. And we put

$$
K_{i}:=\text { full subcomplex of } K\left(\Gamma_{h}\right) \text { generated by } K_{i-1} \cup V_{i}^{\prime} .
$$

One observes that $\left(V_{1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{i}\right) \subseteq K_{i}$ and $\left(V_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_{h}\right) \cap K_{i}=K_{0}$. In particular, $K_{h}=$ $K\left(\Gamma_{h}\right) . K_{i}$ is obtained from $K_{i-1}$ by adjoining vertices $v \in V_{i}$ that are not connected to the base vertex $v_{1}$ by an edge; then taking the full subcomplex of $K\left(\Gamma_{h}\right)$. Thus $K_{i}$ is obtained from $K_{i-1}$ by adjoining for these vertices $v$ the cone over

$$
\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right):=\text { the link of } v \text { in } K_{i-1}
$$

The 1 -skeleton of $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right)$ has vertex set

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{=}= & W_{1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{i-1} \cup W_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup W_{h} \text { with } \\
W_{j}:= & \text { set of vertices of } V_{j} \text { which are joined with } v \text { by an edge, } \\
& \text { for } j=1, \ldots, i-1 \\
W_{j}:= & \text { set of vertices of } V_{j} \text { which are joined with } v \text { and } v_{1} \text { by an } \\
& \text { edge, for } j=i+1, \ldots, h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the 1-skeleton of $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right)$ is an $(h-1)$-colored subgraph $\Gamma_{h-1}$ of $\Gamma_{h}$ with vertex set $W$ and colors $\{1,2, \ldots, h\}-\{i\}$, and $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right)$ is the flag-complex $K\left(\Gamma_{h-1}\right)$. Now we consider any $2(h-2)$ vertices $u^{1}, \ldots, u^{2(h-2)}$ of $W-W_{j}$ with colors in $\{1,2, \ldots, h\}-\{i, j\}$ for some $j \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, h\}-\{i\}$.

Together with the vertices $v_{1}$ and $v$, we obtain $2(h-1)$ vertices $u^{1}, \ldots, u^{2(h-2)}, v_{1}, v$ of $V-V_{j}$. By property (2) of $\Gamma_{h}$, there exists two vertices $w, w^{\prime}$ in $V_{j}$, which can be joined by an edge path of length 2 via $u^{k}$ for each $k \in\{1,2, \ldots, 2(h-2)\}$, and additionally via $v_{1}, v$. In particular, $w$ and $w^{\prime}$ can be joined by an edge with $v_{1}$ and $v$, and so they are vertices of $W_{j}$. Hence $\Gamma_{h-1}$ satisfies the two properties of the lemma, and in view of the inductive hypothesis, $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $(h-2)$-spheres.

From here we can use the same arguments as in the proof of [14, in Lemma 5.3]: Starting with the contractible complex $K_{0}, K_{1}$ is obtained from $K_{0}$ by adjoining for each vertex $v \in V_{1}^{\prime}$ a cone over $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{0}, v\right)$. Using the homotopy type of $1 \mathrm{k}\left(K_{0}, v\right)$, we can deduce that $K_{1}$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $(h-1)$-spheres. For the next steps in the construction of $K_{h}$, we know that $K_{i}$ is obtained from $K_{i-1}$ by adjoining for each vertex $v \in V_{i}^{\prime}$ a cone over $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right)$. In view of the homotopy type of $\operatorname{lk}\left(K_{i-1}, v\right)$, we see that, up to homotopy, the passage from $K_{i-1}$ to $K_{i}$ consists of the adjunction of $(h-1)$-cells to a bouquet of $(h-1)$-spheres.

We will now apply Lemma 5.8 to the 1-skeleton $\Gamma(f)$ of $\Sigma(f)$. By definition its vertex set is the disjoint union $A=\bigcup_{L \in \Lambda} A_{L}$, and we regard the various $A_{L}$ as the coloring of $\Gamma(f)$. The edges of $\Gamma$ are the pairs of such pei-injections $\left\{a_{L}, a_{L^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right\}$ with disjoint images. Thus $\Gamma(f)$ is an $h(S)$-colored graph $\Gamma(f)_{h(S)}$ in the sense above, and in order to establish Lemma 5.7 it remains to prove the following.

Lemma 5.9. If $h(f) \geqslant 2 \cdot \mathrm{rk} S \cdot h(S)$, then $\Gamma(f)_{h(S)}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.8.
Proof. Let $n:=S$ and $h:=h(S)$. By the remark following Lemma 5.8 we can assume $h>1$ and have to prove (2). For this we fix $L \in \Lambda$ and consider a set of $2(h-1)$ elements $F \subseteq A-A_{L}$. We have to show that there are two elements $a, b \in A_{L}$ with the property that for each $c \in F$ the image $\operatorname{im}(c)=c(\partial L(c))$ is disjoint to both $a(\partial L)$ and $b(\partial L)$. In other words: there are two pei-injections

$$
a, b: \partial L \rightarrow(S-S f)-\left(\bigcup_{c \in F} \operatorname{im}(c)\right)
$$

To show this it suffices to compare the height function - that is, the number of rank- $(n-1)$ germs - of domain and target. Clearly, $h(a(\partial L)))=h(\partial L)=n$, and the same applies to every vertex of $A$. Hence $h\left(\bigcup_{c \in F} \operatorname{im}(c)\right) \leqslant 2(h-1) n$. By assumption $h(S-S f) \geqslant 2 h n$, and so the target orthohedral set has height at least $2 h n-2(h-1) n=2 n$, which is more than the height $h(\partial L)=n$ of the domain. In this situation one observes easily that there are arbitrarily many different peiinjections in $A_{L}$ whose image is disjoint to $\bigcup_{c \in F} \mathrm{im}(c)$. This proves the lemma.

Remark. If we replace $M_{<f}$ by the subset $M_{r, f}:=\left\{a \in M_{\mathrm{dia}}(S) \mid r \leq h(a)\right.$ and $\left.a<f\right\}$, the assertion of Lemma 5.7 is true, provided $f$ satisfies the additional condition $h(f) \geqslant r+h(S)$. In this case we know by Lemma 5.6 that $h\left(\delta_{B}\right) \geqslant r$, where $\delta_{B}$ stands for the largest lower bound of a finite set $B$ of maximal elements of $M_{r, f}$. Thus $\delta_{B}$ is an element of $M_{r, f}$ and the proof of Lemma 5.6 works the same way for the reduced simplicial complex $\left|M_{r, f}\right|$.

## 5.5 | Stabilizers and cocompact skeletons of $M(S)$

The group $G(S)$ of all pei-permutations acts on $M(S)$ from the right, and as $h(g)=0$ for all $g \in G(S)$ the height function $h: M(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is invariant under this action. Correspondingly, $G_{\#}(S):=G(S) \cap M_{\#}(S)$ acts on $M_{\#}(S)$, where \# stands for 0 , tr , or dia. We will also restrict attention to the various $G_{\#}(S)$-invariant subsets $M_{\#}^{[r, s]}(S)=\left\{f \in M_{\#}(S) \mid r \leqslant h(f) \leqslant s\right\}$ for prescribed numbers $r \leqslant s$ in $\mathbb{N}_{0}$. And also, mutatis mutandis, for the corresponding pet-groups pet ${ }_{\#}(S)$, note that $\operatorname{pet}_{0}(S)=\operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$.

We start with the following simple observation:
Lemma 5.10. Two elements $f, f^{\prime} \in M(S)$ are in the same pei(S)-orbit if and only if $(S-S f)$ and ( $S-S f^{\prime}$ ) are pei-isomorphic.

Proof. As both $S f$ and $S f^{\prime}$ are pei-isomorphic to $S$ there is always a pei-isomorphism $g^{\prime}: S f \rightarrow$ $S f^{\prime}$. Assuming there is also a pei-isomorphism $g^{\prime \prime}:(S-S f) \rightarrow\left(S-S f^{\prime}\right)$ implies that the union $g=g^{\prime} \cup g^{\prime \prime}$ is a pei-permutation of $S$ with $f g=f^{\prime}$. Conversely, $f g=f^{\prime}$ implies $\left(S-S f^{\prime}\right)=$ $(S g-S f g)=(S-S f) g$, hence $\left(S-S f^{\prime}\right)$ is pei-isomorphic to $(S-S f)$.

Since orthohedral sets of the same rank and height are pei-isomorphic by Corollary 3.6, it follows that pei $(S)$ acts transitively on the set of all pei-injections of a given $\mathrm{rk}(S-S f)$ and height $k$. The very same can be said for the action of $G_{\#}(S)$ on $M_{\#}(S)$.

Let $\Delta=\left(a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k-1}<a_{k}\right)$ be a $k$-simplex of $|M(S)|$. By definition there are elements $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k} \in \operatorname{mon}(T)$, with $a_{i}=t_{i} a_{0}$ for all $i$; they are uniquely defined and form a $k$-simplex $\Delta^{\prime}=$ (id $\left.<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k-1}<t_{k}\right) \in|\operatorname{mon}(T)|$. Moreover, putting $\sigma(\Delta):=\left(\Delta, a_{0}\right)$ defines a bijection

$$
\sigma:|M(S)| \longrightarrow|\operatorname{mon}(T)| \times M(S)
$$

The action of pei $(S)$ on $|M(S)|$ is given by $\left(a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k}\right) g=\left(a_{0} g<a_{1} g<\cdots<a_{k-1} g<\right.$ $\left.a_{k} g\right)$. We can leave it to the reader to observe that this action induces, via $\sigma$, on $|\operatorname{mon}(T)| \times M(S)$ the $G(S)$-action given by simple right action on $M(S)$.

The simple structure of the $G_{\#}(S)$-action on $\left|M_{\#}(S)\right|$ has two immediate consequences:

## Corollary 5.11.

(i) The stabilizer of a $k$-simplex of $\left|M_{\#}(S)\right|$ coincides with the stabilizer of its minimal vertex $f$ and is isomorphic to $G_{\#}(S-S f)$.
(ii) For every numbers $r \leqslant \sin \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ the simplicial complex of

$$
M_{\#}^{[r, s]}(S)=\left\{f \in M_{\#}(S) \mid r \leqslant h(f) \leqslant s\right\}
$$

is cocompact under the $G_{\#}(S)$-action.

## Proof.

(i) One observes that right action of $g \in G_{\#}(S)$ on $M_{\#}(S)$ fixes an element $f \in M_{\#}(S)$ if and only if $g$ restricted to $S f$ is the identity. In other words, the stabilizer of the vertex $f \in M_{\#}(S)$ is isomorphic to $G_{\#}(S-S f)$.
(ii) We use the interpretation of a simplex $\Delta=\left(a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k-1}<a_{k}\right) \in|M(S)|$ in $|\operatorname{mon}(T)| \times M(S)$. Since $G_{\#}(S)$ acts transitively on the set of all pei-injections in $M_{\#}(S)$ of a given $\mathrm{rk}(S-S f)$ and height $k$, the bound on $h\left(a_{0}\right)$ allows only finitely many $G_{\#}(S)$-orbits on the second component $M(S)$. The bound on $h\left(a_{i}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ allows only finitely many simplices in the first component $|\operatorname{mon}(T)|$.

## 5.6 | The conclusion

Here we put things together to prove Theorem 5.1, that is, $f l(G(S)) \geqslant f l\left(G_{\text {dia }}(S)\right)=h(S)-1$.
Proof. We will first show, by induction on $n=S$, that $f l\left(G_{\text {dia }}(S)\right)=h(S)-1$. If $n=1$, then the group $G_{0}(S)$ is the Houghton group on $h(S)$ rays and has finite index in $G(S)$. In that case the assertion is due to Brown [14]. Now we assume $n>1$. Here we use $M^{[r, s]}=\{f \in$ $\left.M_{\text {dia }}(S) \mid r \leqslant h(f) \leqslant s\right\}, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $f \in M^{[r, s]}$ is a diagonal pei-injection, the height of $f$ is a multiple of $n$. So we fix the lower bound $r=n k_{0}, k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the filtration of $M:=M^{[r, \infty]}$ in terms of $M^{k}:=M^{[r, n k]}$, with $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we follow the argument of Brown [14].

- First we note that $M$ is a directed partially ordered set and hence $|M|$ is contractible.
- $\left|M^{k+1}\right|$ is obtained from $\left|M^{k}\right|$ by adjoining cones over the subcomplexes $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ for each $f$ with $h(f)=k+1$. By Lemma 5.7 and the Remark at the end of Section 5.4, we know that the sub-
complexes $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ have the homotopy type of a bouquet of $(h(S)-1)$-spheres for $k$ sufficiently large. This shows that the embedding $\left|M^{k}\right| \subseteq\left|M^{k+1}\right|$ is homotopically trivial in all dimensions less than $h(S)$.
- By Corollary 5.11 we know that the $\left|M^{k}\right|$ have cocompact skeleta.
- The stabilizers, $\operatorname{stab}_{G(S)}(f)$, of the vertices $f \in M$ - in fact of all simplices - are of the form $G(S-S f)$. As $\operatorname{rk}(S-S f)<\mathrm{rk} S$ the inductive hypothesis applies. The assumption that $M$ contains only injections $f$ with $h(f) \geqslant r$ implies now, that $f l\left(\operatorname{stab}_{G(S)}(f)\right) \geqslant r-1$ for each $f \in M$.

We can choose $r$ arbitrarily; if we choose $r \geqslant h(S)+1$ the main results of [14] apply and it follows that $f l\left(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\right)=h(S)-1$. This completes the inductive step.

In order to prove that $f l(G(S)) \geqslant f l\left(G_{\text {dia }}(S)\right)$ we note that $f l(G(S))=f l\left(G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)\right)$, since $G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ is of finite index in $G(S)$. Then we observe that $G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ is a normal subgroup of $G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ with $Q=$ $G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) / G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)$ finitely generated Abelian. As $f l(Q)=\infty$ this implies $f l\left(G_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)\right) \geqslant f l\left(G_{\mathrm{dia}}(S)\right)$.

## 6 I A LOWER BOUND FOR THE FINITENESS LENGTH OF pet(S) FOR A STACK OF ORTHANTS

In this section we will show

Theorem 6.1. If $S$ is a stack of orthants then $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S)) \geqslant h(S)-1$.

The steps to prove this lower bound of $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S))$ are similar to those in Section 5 for the corresponding pei-result. We will use a certain poset of injective pet-maps $f: S \rightarrow S$ to form a simplicial complex, and we will choose a diagonal subgroup of pet( $S$ ) for the action on the complex. However, the part concerning the finiteness length of the stabilizers of $f$ is more difficult here, because the set $(S-S f)$ is generally not pet-isomorphic to a stack of orthants with lower rank (there are different parallelism classes of rank- $(n-1)$ germs in $S$ if $\operatorname{rk} S=$ $n)$. So even if the stabilizers are isomorphic to $\operatorname{pet}(S-S f)$, there is no base for an induction argument.

In order to set up an inductive proof we need a version of Theorem 6.1, which makes the assertion not only for stacks of orthants but also for stacks $S$ of parallel copies of a 'rank- $n$-skeleton' of an orthant. In combination with special injective pet-maps $f$ (the 'super-diagonal' maps), such a stack $S$ leads to a set $(S-S f)$, which has the structure of a stack of rank- $(n-1)$-skeletons.

## 6.1 | Stack of skeletons of an orthant

Let $X$ be the canonical basis of the standard orthant $\mathbb{N}^{N}$. Every orthant $L$ is of the form $a+\oplus_{y \in Y} \mathbb{N} y$, where $Y$ is a subset of $X$. $L$ carries the structure of a simplex whose faces, indexed by the subsets $Z \subseteq Y$, are the suborthants $L_{Z}=a+\oplus_{z \in Z} \mathbb{N} Z \subseteq L$. We refer to $L_{Z}$ as a rank-k-face of $L$ if $|Z|=k$. By the rank- $k$-skeleton of $L$, denoted by $L^{(k)}$, we mean the union of all rank- $k$-faces of $L$. Thus the skeleta of $L$ form an ascending chain of orthohedral set

$$
\{a\}=L^{(0)} \subseteq L^{(1)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L^{(k)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L^{(\mathrm{rk} L)}=L
$$

Let $L^{(n)}$ be the rank- $n$-skeleton of a rank-r orthant $L=a+\bigoplus_{y \in Y} \mathbb{N} y$. Then $L^{(n)}$ is the union of $h\left(L^{(n)}\right)=\binom{r}{n}$ pairwise non-parallel rank- $n$ orthants.

Now we consider a stack $S$ of parallel copies of the rank- $n$-skeleton $L^{(n)}$ of an rank-r orthant in other words, $S=R^{(n)}$ is the rank- $n$-skeleton of a stack $R$ of rank- $r$ orthants. We call each copy of $L^{(n)}$ in such a stack $S$ a component of $S$, and we write $c(S)$ for the number of components of $S$. Note that $h(S)=c(S)\binom{r}{n}$. The next proposition shows a lower bound for $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S))$, and the case $n=r$ yields the assertion of Theorem 6.1.

Proposition 6.2. If $S$ is a stack of rank-n-skeletons of an orthant then $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S)) \geqslant c(S)-1$.
For later purpose in this section we consider the subset $S \subseteq S$ of all regular points of $S$, which is defined as follows: If $S$ is an orthant, then $S$ is the image $t_{S}(S)$ of $S$ under the diagonal unittranslation; and if $S$ is a stack of rank- $n$-skeletons of an orthant, a point $p \in S$ is regular if $S$ contains a maximal suborthant of rank equal to $S$, which contains $p$ as a regular point. The complement, denoted by $\operatorname{sing}(S)=S-S^{\circ}$, is the set of all singular points of $S$. In the case when $S$ is a stack of orthants, we will also use the geometrically more suggestive notation $\partial S$ for $\operatorname{sing}(S)$. If $S=R^{(n)}$ is the $n$-skeleton of a stack of rank- $r$ orthants $L$, then $\operatorname{sing}(S)=R^{(n-1)}$ and $S$ has the canonical decomposition as the disjoint union of the regular points of the maximal orthants of $S$. By a component of $S$ i we mean $C \cap S$, the intersection of $S$ ith a component $C$ of $S$. Note that $c(S)=c(S$ ) .

Lemma 6.3. For the sets $S$ and $S$ the following holds:
(i) $S$ and $S$ are pet-isomorphic. Hence pet( $S$ ) is isomorphic to pet(Sㅇ);
(ii) $h(\operatorname{sing}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}))=h(\operatorname{sing}(S))(r-n+1)$, where $r$ is the rank of the stack $R$ with $S=R^{(n)}$.

Proof.
(i) $S$ is the disjoint union of $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$ and $(S-\stackrel{\circ}{S})$. As each maximal orthant of $(S-\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ is parallel to a subortant of $S$, the assertion follows from the pet-normal form.
(ii) Since $\stackrel{\circ}{S}=R^{(n)}-R^{(n-1)}$, $\operatorname{sing}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ is the disjoint union of $h\left(R^{(n)}\right) \cdot n$ rank- $(n-1)$ orthants. So $h(\operatorname{sing}(S))=h\left(R^{(n)}\right) n$. For the height of $S$ and $\operatorname{sing}(S)$ we have $h(S)=h\left(R^{(n)}\right)=c(S)\binom{r}{n}$ and $h(\operatorname{sing}(S))=h\left(R^{(n-1)}\right)=c(S)\binom{r}{n-1}$. As $\binom{r}{n} n=\binom{r}{n-1}(r-n+1)$, we get $h\left(R^{(n)}\right) n=$ $h\left(R^{(n-1)}\right)(r-n+1)$.

### 6.2 Reduction to the diagonal subgroup

From now on we assume that $S$ is a stack of rank- $n$-skeletons of an orthant. Since $S$ and $S$ are petisomorphic, it suffices to establish Proposition 6.2 for the set $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$, which is more suitable for some parts of the proof. As noted above $S$ is canonically in pet-normal form. In particular, every maximal germ of $S$ (or $\dot{S}$ ) is represented by a unique maximal orthant of $\dot{S}$. Thus we can conceptually simplify matters by replacing the set of all maximal germs, $\max \Gamma^{*}(S)=\max \Gamma^{*}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$, by the set of the canonical representatives max $\Omega^{*}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$, the set of all maximal orthants of $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$.

Let $M_{\text {dia }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ denote the monoid of all diagonal pei-injections of $\stackrel{S}{ }$ introduced in Section 5.2. $M_{\text {dia }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ is a submonoid of $M_{\text {tr }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$, the translation submonoid of $M(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$. Its elements $f$ have the property that they induce, for each $L \in \max \Omega^{*}(S)$, a diagonal translation $\tau_{(f, L)}:\langle L\rangle \rightarrow\langle L\rangle$. Now
we consider the submonoid $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}) \subseteq M_{\text {dia }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ consisting of all diagonal pet-injections $f:{ }^{\circ} \rightarrow \stackrel{\circ}{S}$ which satisfy the additional super-diagonality condition:
(6.1) When two maximal orthants $L, L^{\prime}$ of $S^{\circ}$ are contained in the same component of $S$, then the diagonal translations $\tau_{(f, L)}$ and $\tau_{\left(f, L^{\prime}\right)}$ have the same translation length.

The restriction of the homomorphism (5.2) of Section 5.2 to $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S \times)$ can thus be interpreted as a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda: M_{\mathrm{sdia}}^{\mathrm{pet}}(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{C \in \operatorname{Comp}(\mathcal{S})} \mathbb{Z}=\mathbb{Z}^{c(S)}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which associates to each super-diagonal pet-injection $f$ the translation length $\lambda(f, C)$ on each component $C$ of $S$.

The group of all invertible elements of $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S)$ is the super-diagonal pet-group pet ${ }_{\text {sdia }}(S)$.
Let be $\operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ the group of all invertible elements of $M_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$. It is a subgroup of pet $(\mathbb{S})$, which has finite index in $\operatorname{pet}(S \mathfrak{S})$. Analogous to (5.2) in Section 5.2 is a homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa: \operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{L \in \max \Omega^{*}(\mathcal{S})} \operatorname{Tran}(\langle L\rangle), \quad \text { given by } \kappa(g)=\bigoplus_{L \in \max \Omega^{*}(\mathcal{S})} \tau(g, L) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which associates to each pet-injection $g \in \operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)$ the translation length $\tau(g, L)$ on each maximal orthant $L$ of $\max \Omega^{*}(S)$. We observe that a permutation $g \in \operatorname{pet}_{\text {tr }}(S)$ is in pet ${ }_{\text {sdia }}(S)$, if and only if the translations $\tau_{(g, L)}$ are diagonal for each $L$ and its translation length constant as $L$ runs through the maximal orthants of a component $C$ of $S$.

Given a component $C$ of $\dot{S}$, we consider the set $\Lambda(C):=\max \Omega^{*}(C)$ of all $h(C)=\binom{r}{n}$ rank-n orthants of $C$. For each orthant $L \in \Lambda(C)$, we write $Y(L)$ for its canonical basis. The translation $\tau_{(g, L)}:\langle L\rangle \rightarrow\langle L\rangle$ has the canonical decomposition into the direct sum of translations $\tau_{(g, L)}^{y}$ in the directions $y \in Y(L)$, and we write $l^{y}(g, L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the corresponding translation lengths.

Therefore, for $g \in \operatorname{pet}(S)$ to be super-diagonal, means that the numbers $l^{y}(g, L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ coincide for all pairs in $P(C):=\{(y, L) \mid y \in L \in \Lambda(C)\}$ - and this is so for all components $C$. Hence, associating to $g$ the sequence

$$
\left(l^{y}(g, L)-l^{y^{\prime}}\left(g, L^{\prime}\right)\right)_{(i(C), C)},
$$

with $i(C)$ running through all pairs $\left((y, L),\left(y^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)\right) \in P(C)$, and $C$ through the components of $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$, exhibits the super-diagonal pet-group pet sdia $\left(S^{\circ}\right)$ as the kernel of a homomorphism of pet ${ }_{\text {tr }}(S)$ into a finitely generated Abelian group. It is well known that in this situation $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S)\right) \geqslant f l\left(\right.$ pet $\left._{\text {sdia }}(S)\right)$. Since $\operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}\left(S^{\circ}\right)$ has finite index in pet $(\stackrel{\circ}{( })$, we have $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S \subseteq))=f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\mathrm{tr}}(S ீ)\right)$, hence

$$
f l(\operatorname{pet}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})) \geqslant f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S)\right) .
$$

The proof of Proposition 6.2 is thus reduced to a proof of $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S)\right)=c(S)-1$. To show this, we follow the arguments in the proof of the corresponding pei-result: $f l\left(\right.$ pei $\left._{\text {dia }}(S)\right)=h(S)-1$, where $S$ was a stack of $h(S)$ orthants of rank $n$. In the present situation, where $S$ is the set of regular points of the $n$-skeleton of the stack $R$ of $h(R)$ orthants, the components $C$ of $S$ have to take over the role previously played by the orthants $L$ of the stack $S$. Correspondingly we now have to work with the multiplicative submonoid $\operatorname{mon}(T) \subseteq M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S)$ freely generated by the set $T$ of all super-diagonal
unit-translations $t_{C}: C \rightarrow C$ as $C$ runs through the components of $S$, where each $t_{C}=\prod_{L \in \Lambda(C)} t_{L}$ is the composition of the diagonal unit-translations $t_{L}$ defined in Section 5.2. As at the end of Section 5.2 we use the action of $\operatorname{mon}(T)$ by left multiplication to endow $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ with a partial ordering; and we observe that this partial ordering is directed.

## 6.3 | Maximal elements $<\boldsymbol{f}$ in $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$

To adapt notation to the one used in the corresponding pei-situation in Section 5, we write $\Lambda$ for the set of all components $C$ of $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$, and $\partial C:=C-C t_{C}$ for each component $C \in \Lambda$. Note that $C$ is the disjoint union of $h(C)=\binom{r}{n}$ rank- $n$ orthants, using the notation of Section 6.1 one for each $n$-element set $Z \subseteq Y$. Hence $h(\partial C)=n\binom{r}{n}$. We are still in the situation that all maximal orthants of $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$ have the same finite rank $n=r k S$. And given $f \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ we write

$$
M_{<f}=\left\{a \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S) \mid a<f\right\}, \quad M_{\leqslant f}=\left\{a \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S ̊) \mid a \leqslant f\right\},
$$

for the 'open, respectively, closed cones below $f$ ', aiming to understand the set of all maximal elements of $M_{<f}$.

Lemma 6.4. Let b be a maximal element of $M_{<f}$. Then there is a unique component $C$ of $\dot{S}$ with the property that $f=t_{C} b$, and $h(f)=h(b)+n$. Furthermore, $b$ is given as the union $b=b^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime \prime}$, where $b^{\prime}: \partial C \rightarrow(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f)$ is a pet-injection, and $b^{\prime \prime}:(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\partial C) \rightarrow \check{S} f$ is the restriction $\left.t_{C}^{-1} f\right|_{(\dot{S}-\partial C)}$. Conversely, if $c^{\prime}: \partial C \rightarrow(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f)$ is an arbitrary pet-injection distinct to $b^{\prime}$, then the union $c=c^{\prime} \cup$ $b^{\prime \prime}$ is a maximal element of $M_{<f}$ distinct to $b$.

Proof. See argument in Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 6.5. Let $B \subseteq M_{<f}$ be a finite set of maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) The elements of $B$ have a common lower bound $\delta$ in $M_{<f}$.
(ii) For every pair $\left(b, b^{\prime}\right) \in B \times B$, with $b \neq b^{\prime}$ and $t b=f=t^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ for super-diagonal unittranslations $t, t^{\prime}$, we have
(a) $t \neq t^{\prime}$, and
(b) $b(\partial C) \cap b^{\prime}\left(\partial C^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset$, where $C$, respectively, $C^{\prime}$ are the components of $S^{\circ}$ on which $t$, respectively, $t^{\prime}$ acts non-trivially.

Proof. For each $b \in B$ we have a super-diagonal unit-translation $t_{b} \in T$ with $t_{b} b=f$, and we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{B}:=\prod_{b \in B} t_{b} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (a) the components $C_{b}$, on which $t_{b}$ acts non-trivially, are pairwise disjoint. Thus $|B| \leqslant c(S)$, and $S$ decomposes in the disjoint union $S=\left(\bigcup_{b \in B} C_{b}\right) \cup S^{\prime}$. We define the pet-
injection $\delta_{B}: S \rightarrow S$ as follows:

$$
\delta_{B}:= \begin{cases}t_{b}^{-1} f & \text { on each } C_{b} t_{b} \\ b & \text { on the complements } \partial C_{b}=C_{b}-C_{b} t_{b} \\ f & \text { on } S^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

To show that $\delta_{B}$ is a common lower bound, see arguments in Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 6.6. In the situation of Lemma 6.5 we have for the lower bound $\delta_{B}$ defined in the proof:
(i) $\delta_{B}$ is, in fact, a largest common lower bound of the elements of $B$;
(ii) $h\left(\delta_{B}\right) \geqslant h(f)-h(S) n$.

Proof. For (i) see argument in Lemma 5.6. For (ii) we use the translation $t=\Pi_{b \in B} t_{b}$ of (6.4) which satisfies $t \delta_{B}=f$ and yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(\delta_{B}\right)=h(f)-h(t) & =h(f)-|B| \cdot h\left(t_{C}\right) \\
& =h(f)-|B| \cdot h(C) n \\
& \geqslant h(f)-c(S) h(C) n=h(f)-h(S) n .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6.4 | The simplicial complex of $\boldsymbol{M}_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\boldsymbol{S})$

We consider the simplicial complex $\left|M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S)\right|$, whose vertices are the elements of $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S \times)$ and whose chains of length $k, a_{0}<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{k}$, are the $k$-simplices. As the partial ordering on $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S)$ is directed, $\left|M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S)\right|$ is contractible.

In this section we aim to prove
Lemma 6.7. If $h(f) \geqslant 2 \cdot \operatorname{rk} S \cdot h(S)$ then $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of $(c(S)-1)$ spheres.

The first step toward proving Lemma 6.7 is to consider the covering of $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ by the subcomplexes $\left|M_{\leqslant b}\right|$, where $b$ runs through the maximal elements of $M_{<f}$. We write $N(f)$ for the nerve of this covering. Lemma 6.6(i) asserts that all finite intersections of such subcomplexes $\left|M_{\leqslant b}\right|$ are again cones and hence contractible. It is a well-known fact that in this situation the space is homotopy equivalent to the nerve of the covering. Hence we have

$$
\left|M_{<f}\right| \text { is homotopy equivalent to the nerve } N(f),
$$

and it remains to compute the homotopy type of $N(f)$.
The next step - replacing the nerve $N(f)$ by the combinatorial complex $\Sigma(f)$ - follows the arguments in Section 3: We find that the set of vertices of $\Sigma(f)$ is the disjoint union $A=\bigcup_{C \in \Lambda} A_{C}$, where $A_{C}$ stands for the set of all pet-injections $a: \partial C \rightarrow(\dot{S}-\check{S} f)$; and the $p$-simplices of $\Sigma(f)$ are the sequences $\left(a_{C}\right)_{C \in \Lambda^{\prime}}$, where $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is a $p$-element subset of $\Lambda$ whose entries $a_{C} \in A_{C}$ satisfy the condition The intersections of the images $a_{C}(\partial C), C \in \Lambda^{\prime}$, are pairwise disjoint.

The homotopy type of $\Sigma(f)$ can again be computed by Lemma 5.7 , which we apply to the 1 -skeleton $\Gamma(f)$ of $\Sigma(f)$, viewed as a $c(S)$-colored graph $\Gamma(f)_{c(S)}$. At the end it remains to prove

Lemma 6.8. If $h(f) \geqslant 2 \cdot \operatorname{rk} S \cdot h(S)$ then $\Gamma(f)_{c(S)}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 5.8.
Proof. Let $n:=r k S$ and $h:=c(S)$. Assumption (1) is a consequence of assumption (2) except in the trivial case $h=1$.

To prove (2) we fix $C \in \Lambda$ and consider a set of $2(h-1)$ elements $F \subseteq A-A_{C}$. We have to show that there are two elements $a, b \in A_{C}$ with the property that for each $d \in F, d: \partial C_{d} \rightarrow(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f), \operatorname{im}(d)=d\left(\partial C_{d}\right)$ is disjoint to both $a(\partial C)$ and $b(\partial C)$. In other words: there are two pet-injections

$$
\begin{equation*}
a, b: \partial C \rightarrow(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f)-\left(\bigcup_{d \in F} \operatorname{im}(d)\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this it suffices to compare the height function, that is, the number of rank- $(n-1)$ germs, of domain and target. Clearly, $h(a(\partial C))=h(\partial C)=n h(C)$, and the same applies to every vertex of $A$. Hence $h\left(\bigcup_{d \in F} \operatorname{im}(d)\right) \leqslant 2(h-1) n h(C)$. By assumption $h(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-S \subseteq f) \geqslant 2 n h(S)$, and so the target orthohedral set has height at least $2 n h(S)-2(h-1) n h(C)=2 n h(C)$, which is more than at least twice the height $h(\partial C)=n h(C)$ of the domain when $h(C)$ is positive. Moreover, by Lemma 5.10, the set $(\stackrel{S}{S}-\grave{S} f)$ is pet-isomorphic to a stack of copies of $\partial C$. In this situation one observes that the two different pet-injections required in (6.6) above certainly do exist. This proves the Lemma 6.8 and hence Lemma 6.7.

Remark. By the same argument as in the remark at the end of Section 5.4, the assertion of Lemma 6.7 remains to hold true if $M_{<f}$ is replaced with the subset $M_{r, f}:=\left\{a \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S) \mid h(a) \geqslant\right.$ $r$ and $a<f\}$ and $f$ satisfies the additional condition $h(f) \geqslant r+h(S)$.

## 6.5 | Stabilizers and cocompact skeletons of $\left|M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\underset{S}{\boldsymbol{S}})\right|$

Here we consider the monoid $M^{\text {pet }}(S)$ of all pet-injections endowed with the height function $h: M^{\text {pet }}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ inherited from $M(S)$ and the pet $(S)$-action induced by right multiplication. Our main interest, however, is the super-diagonal submonoid $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S \subseteq) \subseteq M^{\text {pet }}(S)$ acted on by the super-diagonal pet-group pet ${ }_{\text {sdia }}(S \times$ ).

## Lemma 6.9.

(i) $f, f^{\prime} \in M^{\text {pet }}(S)$ are in the same pet( $(S)$-orbit if and only if $(S-S f)$ and $\left(S-S f^{\prime}\right)$ are petisomorphic.
(ii) Let $S$ be a stack of copies of $L^{(n)}$, where $L$ is a rank-r orthant. If $f \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ with $h(f)>0$, then $h(f)$ is a multiple of $(r-n+1)\binom{r}{n-1}$ and $\left(\Omega-S^{\circ} f\right)$ is pet-isomorphic to a stack of $h(f) /\binom{r}{n-1}$ copies of $L^{(n-1)}$.
(iii) Two elements $f, f^{\prime} \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}\left(S^{\circ}\right)$ with $h(f)=h\left(f^{\prime}\right)>0$ are in the same pet ${ }_{\text {sdia }}\left(S^{\circ}\right)$-orbit.

Proof. The proof of (i) is analogous to the proof of its pei-version wich is Lemma 5.10.
(ii) The key here is a pet-version of Lemma 5.2(i). The set of germs $\Gamma^{n-1}(S)$ decomposes into its parallelism classes, and as these are pet $\left(\begin{array}{l}(S)\end{array}\right)$-invariant, the height function $h: M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as the sum of functions $h_{Y}: M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, with $Y$ running through all $(n-1)$-element subsets of the canonical basis of $L$, that count the number of germs in $\Gamma^{n-1}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f)$ parallel to $\langle Y\rangle$.

We need the $h_{Y}$-version of Lemma 5.2(i), asserting that we have, for all rank-( $n-1$ ) faces $Y$ of $L$,
(6.7) $h_{Y}(f)=h_{Y}\left(A \cap(A f)^{\mathrm{c}}\right)-h_{Y}\left(A^{\mathrm{c}} \cap A f\right)$ for every orthohedral subset $A \subseteq S$ with $\mathrm{rk} A^{\mathrm{c}}<n$.

The proof is a straightforward adaptation of the one in Section 5.1 and can be left to the reader.
We can refine (6.7) by exhibiting $A$ as the disjoint union of rank- $n$ orthants $K_{i}$ on which $f$ acts by (super-diagonal) translations. Since $f$ is super-diagonal, the corresponding translation lengths $\lambda_{C(i)}$ depend only on the component $C(i)$ of $S$ © containing $K_{i}$. One observes that $f\left(K_{i}\right)$ is contained in the uniquely defined maximal orthant of $\stackrel{\circ}{S}$ containing $K_{i}$. This has the consequence that for $i \neq j, K_{i} \cap\left(K_{j} f\right)^{\mathrm{c}}=K_{i}$ and $K_{i}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap K_{j} f=K_{j} f$, from which one finds

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{Y}(f) & =h_{Y}\left(\bigcup_{i}\left(K_{i} \cap\left(K_{i} f\right)^{\mathrm{c}}\right)-h_{Y}\left(\bigcup_{i}\left(K_{i}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap K_{i} f\right)\right)\right.  \tag{6.8}\\
& =\sum_{i} h_{Y}\left(K_{i} \cap\left(K_{i} f\right)^{\mathrm{c}}-h_{Y}\left(K_{i}^{\mathrm{c}} \cap K_{i} f\right)=\sum_{i} \lambda_{C(i)} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, for each component $C$ of $S$, $\operatorname{sing}(C)$ contains exactly one orthant parallel to $\langle Y\rangle$. By Lemma 6.3(ii) this orthant is parallel to a face of exactly $(r-n+1)$ maximal orthants $K_{i}$ in $C$, and each of them gives rise to a summand $\lambda_{C(i)}$. Hence summation over all $K_{i}$ contained in a singlecomponent $C$ of $S$ yields $\lambda_{C}(r-n+1)$; and summation over all I, finally, $h_{Y}(f)=\lambda(r-n+1)$, where $\lambda$ is the sum of $\lambda_{C}$, with $C$ running through all components of $S$.

This shows, in particular, that $h_{Y}(f)$ is independent of $Y$. As we are assuming that $h(f)>0$ it follows that $\lambda>0$ and $h(f)=\lambda \cdot(r-n+1) \cdot\binom{r}{n-1}$.

It follows that ( $S$ © $-S$ ) is pet-isomorphic to disjoint union $S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}$, where $S^{\prime}$ is a stack of $h_{Y}(f)=\lambda(r-n+1)$ copies of $L^{(n-1)}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}$ a subset of rank $<n-1$. As $\lambda>0, S^{\prime}$ contains at least one copy of $L^{(n-1)}$. In this situation $S^{\prime}$ contains orthants parallel to any given maximal orthant of $S^{\prime \prime}$. In view of the pet-normal form of $S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}$ it follows that $S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}$ is pet-isomorphic to $S^{\prime}$, that is, to a stack of $\lambda(r-n+1)$ copies of $L^{(n-1)}$.
(iii) Part (ii) shows that $h(f)=h\left(f^{\prime}\right)>0$ implies that $\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f^{\prime}$ are pet-isomorphic. Hence, by assertion (i), there is a pet-permutation $g \in \operatorname{pet}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ with $f^{\prime}=f g$. The assumption that $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are in $M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ implies that $g \in \operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S)$.

## Corollary 6.10.

(i) The stabilizer of $f \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ in $\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{pet}(S ̊-S ̊ f)$.
(ii) For every number $r, s \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$, the simplicial complex of $M^{[r, s]}:=\left\{f \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}) \mid r \leqslant\right.$ $h(f) \leqslant s\}$ is cocompact under the $\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$-action.

Proof. (i) Is immediate from Lemma 6.9(i).
(ii) Lemma 6.9(iii) asserts that pet sdia $(S)$ acts cocompactly on the vertices of a given height in the simplicial complex $\left|M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(S)\right|$. Just as in the proof of Corollary 5.11(ii), this yields the claimed assertion.

## 6.6 | The conclusion

It is an elementary observation that right action of $g \in \operatorname{pet}(S)$ on $M^{\text {pet }}(S)$ fixes an element $f \in$ $M^{\text {pet }}(S)$ if and only if $g$ restricted to $f(S)$ is the identity. In other words, the stabilizer of a vertex $f \in M^{\text {pet }}(S)$ is isomorphic to pet $(S-S f)$. This will be crucial for the inductive step in the following inductive.

Proof of Proposition 6.2. In Section 6.2 we proved already that $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S ̊)) \geqslant f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S)\right)$; hence it suffices to show $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S ̊)\right) \geqslant c(S)-1$. We will argue by induction on $n=\operatorname{rk} S$. If $n=1$, then $h(S)=c(S) \cdot r$, and the group $\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S \subseteq)$ is the Houghton group on $h(S)$ rays. By Brown [14] this implies that $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})\right) \geqslant h(S)-1 \geqslant c(S)-1$. This establishes the case $n=1$ of the induction.

Now we assume $n>1$. By induction we can assume that $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right) \geqslant c\left(S^{\prime}\right)-1$ holds for every stack $S^{\prime}$ of copies of a rank- $(n-1)$-skeleton of an orthant $L$. To prove the inductive step we start with restricting attention to the subgroup pet $_{\text {sdia }}(S)$ acting on the super-diagonal monoid $M^{[u, v]}=$ $\left\{f \in M_{\text {sdia }}^{\text {pet }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}) \mid u \leqslant h(f) \leqslant v\right\}, u, v \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 6.9(ii) the $h(f)$ is a multiple of $s:=(r-n+$ 1) $\binom{r}{n-1}$. So we fix a lower bound $u=s k_{0}, k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the filtration of $M:=M^{[u, \infty]}$ in terms of $M^{k}=: M^{[u, s k]}$, with $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we argue as follows.

- First, we note that $M$ is a directed partially ordered set and hence $|M|$ is contractible.
- $\left|M^{k+1}\right|$ is obtained from $\left|M^{k}\right|$ by adjoining cones over the subcomplexes $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ for each $f$ with $h(f)=k+1$. By Lemma 6.7 and the remark at the end of Section 6.4, we know that the subcomplexes $\left|M_{<f}\right|$ have the homotopy type of a bouquet of $(c(S)-1)$-spheres for $k$ sufficiently large. This shows that the embedding $\left|M_{k}\right| \subseteq\left|M_{k+1}\right|$ is homotopically trivial in all dimensions $<c(S)$.
- By Corollary 6.10(ii) we know that each $\left|M^{k}\right|$ has cocompact skeleta.
- The stabilizer of the $f \in M$ under the action of $\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(\stackrel{\circ}{S})$ on $M$ coincides with $\operatorname{pet}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f)$ by Corollary 6.10(i). Lemma 6.9(ii) asserts that if $h(f)>0$ then $(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{S}{f})$ is pet-isomorphic to a stack of copies of the rank- $(n-1)$-skeleton of an orthant. The stack height here is $c(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\stackrel{\circ}{S} f)=$ $h(f) /\binom{r}{n-1}$. We can choose $u$ arbitrarily; if we choose $u=(c(S)+1)\binom{r}{n-1}$ the inductive hypothesis together with the assumption that $h(f) \geqslant u$ yields

$$
\mathrm{fl}(\operatorname{pet}(\stackrel{\circ}{S}-\check{S} f)) \geqslant c(\dot{S}-\check{S} f)-1=h(f) /\binom{r}{n-1}-1 \geqslant c(S),
$$

for all $f \in M$.

- The main result of [14] now establishes $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}_{\text {sdia }}(S)\right) \geqslant c(S)-1$. This completes the inductive step.


## 7 | THE UPPER BOUNDS OF $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S))$ WHEN $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{N}$

## 7.1 | More structure at infinity

Here we assume, for simplicity, that our orthohedral sets $S$ are contained in $\mathbb{N}^{N}$. The pei-normal form Corollary 3.5 this is not a restriction for the pei-group pei $(S)$, and it is a basic special case for the pet-group pet( $S$ ):

Given an element $x \in X$ (that is, a coordinate axis), we write $\Gamma_{x}^{1}(S)$ for the set of all germs of rank-1 orthants of $S$ parallel to $\mathbb{N} x$. We have a canonical embedding $\kappa: \Gamma_{x}^{1}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{N-1}$ defined
as follows: Each $\gamma \in \Gamma_{x}^{1}(S)$ is represented by a unique maximal orthant $L \in \Omega^{1}(S)$; we delete the $x$-coordinate of the base point of $L$ and put $\kappa(\gamma)$ to the remaining coordinate vector. We write $\partial_{x} S$ for the image $\kappa\left(\Gamma_{x}^{1}(S)\right)$, and we will often identify $\Gamma_{x}^{1}(S)$ with $\partial_{x} S$ via $\kappa . \partial_{x} S$ can be viewed as the boundary of $S$ at infinity in direction $x$.

Lemma 7.1. For $\partial_{x} S$ the following hold.
(i) $\partial_{x} S$ is an orthohedral subset of $\mathbb{N}^{N-1}$.
(ii) For very rank- $(k-1)$ orthant $L \subseteq \partial_{x} S$, there is a unique rank-k orthant $L^{\prime} \subseteq S$ which is maximal with respect to the property that for each point of $p \in L \kappa^{-1}(p)$ is represented by a suborthant of $L$.

Proof. Easy.

## 7.2 | Short exact sequences of pet-groups

From now on we assume that $S=\bigcup_{j} S_{j} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{m}$ where $m$ is minimal and $S$ is in pet-normal form as defined after Proposition 3.5. Given $x \in X$ arbitrary we note that $S$ is the disjoint union $S=S(x) \cup S^{\perp}(x)$, where $S(x)$ collects the stacks $S_{j}$ which contain a rank-1 orthant parallel to $\mathbb{N} x$, and $S^{\perp}(x)$ the stacks $S_{j}$ which are perpendicular to $x$. We note that $\partial_{x} S=\partial_{x} S(x)$, and we have an obvious projection $\pi_{x}: S(x) \rightarrow \partial_{x} S$. Moreover, there is a canonical injection $\sigma_{x}: \partial_{x} S \rightarrow S(x)$ which maps each germ $\gamma \in \partial_{x} S$ to the base point of the unique maximal rank-1 orthant representing $\gamma$, and is right-inverse to $\pi_{x}: S(x) \rightarrow \partial_{x} S$.

As the action of $\operatorname{pet}(S)$ on the $\Omega^{1}(S)$ preserves directions it induces, for each coordinate axis $x \in X$, an action on $\Gamma_{x}^{1}(S)=\partial_{x} S$, and one observes that this is an action by pet-permutations. This yields an induced homomorphism $\vartheta_{x}: \operatorname{pet}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right)$. The kernel of $\vartheta_{x}$ is the set of all pet-permutations fixing all rank-1 germs parallel to $x$. And we note the following:
(i) $\sigma_{x}: \partial_{x} S \rightarrow S(x)$ induces an embedding of $\operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right)$ as a subgroup of pet $(S(x))$, which splits the surjective homomorphism

$$
\vartheta_{x}: \operatorname{pet}(S(x)) \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right)
$$

induced by $\pi_{x}$.
(ii) Every pet-permutation $g \in \operatorname{pet}(S(x))$ extends to a pet-permutation of $S$ by the identity on $S^{\perp}(x)$. This exhibits pet $(S(x))$ as a canonical subgroup of pet $(S)$. Even though we do not have $\operatorname{pet}(S)$ acting on $S(x)$, we do have that the surjective homomorphism

$$
\vartheta_{x}: \operatorname{pet}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right)
$$

splits by the embedding $\operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right) \leqslant \operatorname{pet}(S(x)) \leqslant \operatorname{pet}(S)$.
Summarizing we have
Proposition 7.2. $\operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right)$ is a retract both of $\operatorname{pet}(S)$ and of $\operatorname{pet}(S(x))$. In other words, we have split exact sequences

$$
1 \rightarrow K \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right) \rightarrow 1
$$

and

$$
1 \rightarrow K^{\dagger} \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}(S(x)) \rightarrow \operatorname{pet}\left(\partial_{x} S\right) \rightarrow 1
$$

## 7.3 | An upper bound of the finiteness length of pet(S)

To deduce an upper bound for the finiteness lengths of the pet-groups we need the following elementary lemma which was overlooked in [5]; and is now folklore.

Lemma 7.3. Let $G$ be a group. If a subgroup $H \leqslant G$ is a retract of $G$ then $f l(H) \geqslant f l(G)$.

Proof. The assertion $f l(G) \geqslant s$ is equivalent to saying that on the category of $G$-modules the homology functors $H_{k}(G ;-)$ commute with direct products for all $k<s$. That this is inherited by retracts follows from the fact that $H_{k}(-;-)$ is a functor on the appropriate category of pairs $(G, A)$, with $G$ a group and $A$ a $G$-module.

If $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{N}$ is an orthohedral set of rank $\operatorname{rk} S=n$ in pet-normal form, then $\Omega_{0}^{*}\left(\mathbb{N}^{m}\right)$ is canonically bijective to the set $P(X)$ of all subsets of $X$. Hence we can view the height function (2) of Section 3.4 as a map

$$
h_{S}: P(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\},
$$

and organize stacks of maximal orthants of $S$ as follows: For every subset $Y \subseteq X$ we have the (possibly empty) stack $S(Y) \subseteq S$ of $h_{S}(Y)$ orthants parallel to the orthant $\langle Y\rangle$ defined by $Y$.

For each ( $n-1$ )-element subset $Y \subseteq X$ we consider the link $\operatorname{lk}(Y)$ of $Y$ in $S_{\tau}$, by this we mean the set of all $n$-element sets $Y^{\prime} \subseteq Y$ with the property that $\left\langle Y^{\prime}\right\rangle \subseteq S_{\tau}$, noting that $\left\langle Y^{\prime}\right\rangle \in$ $\max \Omega_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$. Then we put $S(\operatorname{lk}(Y)) \subseteq S$ to be the union of the stacks $S\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ with $Y^{\prime}$ running through $\operatorname{lk}(Y)$. The height, $h(S(\operatorname{lk}(Y)))$, is the sum of all stack heights $h_{S}\left(\left\langle Y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)$ as $Y^{\prime}$ runs through $\operatorname{lk}(Y)$.

Theorem 7.4. If $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{m}$ is orthohedral of rank $n$ in pet-normal form, then each indicator $(n-1)$ subset $Y \subseteq X$ with non-empty link $\operatorname{lk}(Y)$ imposes an upper bound $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S)) \leqslant h(S(\operatorname{lk}(Y)))-1$.

Proof. We choose any $y \in Y$ and consider the projection $\pi_{y}: S \rightarrow \partial_{y} S \cup\{\emptyset\}$, where the symbol $\{\emptyset\}$ is the image of $S-S(y)$. Proposition 7.2 asserts that pet $\left(\partial_{y} S\right)$ is a retract of pet $(S)$. We have $\operatorname{rk} \partial_{y} S=\operatorname{rk} S-1$; in fact, $\partial_{y} S$ is the disjoint union of stacks $S(Z)$, with $Z$ running through all subsets of $X$ avoiding $y$ and satisfying $\langle Z \cup\{y\}\rangle \in \max \Omega_{0}^{*}\left(S_{\tau}\right)$. Thus note that $S(Z)$ is a stack of rank- $(n-1)$ orthants with unchanged stack height $h(S(Z))=h_{S}(Z \cup\{y\})$.

We can choose the next element $y^{\prime} \in Y-\{y\}$, consider the projection $\pi_{y^{\prime}}: \partial_{y} S \rightarrow \partial_{y^{\prime}} \partial_{y} S \cup$ $\{\emptyset\}$. Upon putting $\pi_{y}(\emptyset)=\emptyset$ for all $y \in Y$, we can iterate the argument with all elements of $Y=$ $\{y, \ldots, z\}$, noting that only the stacks in $S(\operatorname{lk}(Y))$ survive all these projections. The composition

$$
\pi_{y}=\pi_{z} \ldots \pi_{y}: S \rightarrow \partial_{z} \ldots \partial_{y} \cup\{\emptyset\}
$$

projects the stacks of $S(1 \mathrm{k}(Y))$ onto stacks of rank-1 orthants with the original stack heights. This shows that pet $(S)$ admits a retract isomorphic to the pet-group pet $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ of a disjoint union of $h\left(S(\operatorname{lk}(Y))\right.$ rank-1 orthants. But pet $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ contains Houghton's group on $h(S(1 \mathrm{k}(Y)))$ copies of $\mathbb{N}$ as
a subgroup of finite index. Hence Lemma 7.3 together with Brown's result [14] yields $f l(\operatorname{pet}(S)) \leqslant$ $f l\left(\operatorname{pet}\left(S^{\prime}\right)\right)=h(S(\operatorname{lk}(Y))-1$, as asserted.

## 7.4 | Application to stacks of the $n$-skeleton of an orthant

Let $S$ be a stack of $c(S)$ copies of the rank-n-skeleton $K^{(n)}$ of a rank-r orthant $K$. The link of each cardinality- $(n-1)$ subset $Y$ of the cardinality- $r$ set $X$ contains exactly $(r-n+1)$ cardinality- $n$ subsets $Y^{\prime}$. And $S$ contains exactly $c(S)$ orthants parallel to $\left\langle Y^{\prime}\right\rangle$. Hence the height of disjoint union of the stacks of $S$ over the link $\operatorname{lk}(Y)$ is $h(S(\operatorname{lk}(Y))=c(S)(r-n+1)$. Combining Proposition 6.2 with Theorem 7.4 thus yields

Theorem 7.5. If $S$ is the rank- $n$-skeleton of a stack of rank-r orthants then

$$
c(S)-1 \leqslant f l(\operatorname{pet}(S)) \leqslant c(S)(r-n+1)-1 .
$$

Corollary 7.6. If $S$ is a stack of orthants then $f(\operatorname{pet}(S))=c(S)-1$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ In the hyperbolic case this implies that $D$ is actually a generalized polytope; see [30, Theorem 6.4.8].

[^2]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ Influenced by Greenberg's courage to define $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$-geometry [21] — which is similar to but different from ours.

