Open Access

Poster presentation **How specific is synchronous neuronal firing?** Wei Wu^{*1} and Gordon Pipa^{2,3}

Address: ¹Frankfurt International Graduate School for Science, 60438 Frankfurt, Germany, ²Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany and ³Max-Planck-Institute for Brain Research, 60528 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Email: Wei Wu* - wwu@mpih-frankfurt.mpg.de * Corresponding author

from Sixteenth Annual Computational Neuroscience Meeting: CNS*2007 Toronto, Canada. 7–12 July 2007

Published: 6 July 2007 BMC Neuroscience 2007, 8(Suppl 2):P50 doi:10.1186/1471-2202-8-S2-P50

© 2007 Wu and Pipa; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

Background

Synchronous neuronal firing has been discussed as a potential neuronal code. For testing first, if synchronous firing exists, second if it is modulated by the behaviour, and third if it is not by chance, a large set of tools has been developed. However, to test whether synchronous neuronal firing is really involved in information processing one needs a direct comparison of the amount of synchronous firing for different factors like experimental or behavioural conditions. To this end we present an extended version of a previously published method NeuroXidence [1], which tests, based on a bi- and multivariate test design, whether the amount of synchronous firing above the chance level is different for different factors.

Methods

In order to make a spike rate correction of an observed amount of joint-spike-event (JSE), we define two time scales: 1. $\tau_{c'}$ which defines the fine-temporal cross-structure of interest and is equal to the assumed temporal extension of JSE (~5 ms), 2. $\tau_{r'}$ which is η times slower than τ_c and equal to a lower bound of rate changes ($\tau_r = \eta^* \tau_{c'}\eta\sim5$). Using τ_c and τ_r the chance amount of JSE is derived based on surrogate data. The latter is generated by random jittering of all spikes in each individual original spike train by an amount smaller than τ_r . Hence, jittering destroys the fine-temporal cross-structure but maintains any other properties of each spike train like the full autostructure and rate co-variation. Next we compute for each trial (m) and each factor (i) the difference between the amount of JSE in the original and jittered spike train Δ fm.ⁱ.

To assess if $\Delta f^{m,i}$ is different for different factors, we use a bi-, and multivariate test (Mann-Whitney, Kruskawalis).

Results

We demonstrate based on toy data that the bi- and multivariate version of NeuroXidence is a conservative and reliable method for detecting modulations in the synchronous firing across different experimental factors. To this end we used various scenarios that had been discussed to induce false positives like rate changes and rate co-variations, low rates and different forms of renewal processes. Furthermore we show results based on simultaneous recordings from awake monkeys performing a short term memory paradigm, that modulations of synchronous firing are correlated to behavior and task conditions.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Hertie foundation.

References

 Pipa G, Riehle A, Grün S: Validation of task-related excess of spike coincidences based on NeuroXidence. Neurocomputing 2007, 70:2064-2068.