Improved mitochondrial function in brain aging and Alzheimer disease – the new mechanism of action of the old metabolic enhancer piracetam
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INTRODUCTION

Piracetam, the prototype of the so-called “nootropic” drugs’ is used since many years in different countries to treat cognitive impairment in aging and dementia. Findings that piracetam enhances fluidity of brain mitochondrial membranes led to the hypothesis that piracetam might improve mitochondrial function, e.g., might enhance ATP synthesis. This assumption has recently been supported by a number of observations showing enhanced mitochondrial membrane potential, enhanced ATP production, and reduced sensitivity for apoptosis in a variety of cell and animal models for aging and Alzheimer disease. As a specific consequence, substantial evidence for elevated neuronal plasticity as a specific effect of piracetam has emerged. Taken together, this new findings can explain many of the therapeutic effects of piracetam on cognition in aging and dementia as well as different situations of brain dysfunctions.
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Piracetam’s improving effects on the fluidity of aged synaptosomal membranes could explain the beneficial effects of piracetam on age-related deficits on several mechanisms of signal transduction such as receptor density and function, and transmitter release, since these mechanisms are disturbed in the aging brain probably due to a decrease of membrane fluidity (Stoll et al., 1992; Viana et al., 1999; Cohen and Muller, 1993; Scheuer et al., 1999). On the other hand, initial evidence that piracetam’s beneficial effects on the fluidity of aged mitochondrial membranes could contribute to its therapeutic efficacy originated from observations that piracetam could improve glucose uptake and utilization as well as ATP production (Domanska-Janik and Zaleska, 1977; Heiss et al., 1988; Dormehl et al., 1999, 2006). These effects led to the term “metabolic enhancer,” sometimes used to characterize piracetam and related nootropics (Malik et al., 2007). However, the mechanism of these effects and its possible relationship to mitochondrial function remained obscure.

As a specific feature of piracetam and other similar compounds, beneficial effects on cognition are usually associated with impaired brain function such as aging, hypoxia, glucose deprivation, injuries, or even neurodegeneration. Thus, young healthy animals or men usually benefit less from piracetam indicating that this compound is not a “cognition booster” or a drug for neuro-enhancement. As all the pathological conditions mentioned above are typically associated with the vicious cycle between enhanced oxidative stress – elevated reactive oxygen species (ROS) production – mitochondrial...
damage – reduced energy supply – enhanced ROS production (Leuner et al., 2007; Mattson et al., 2008; Querfurth and LaFerla, 2010), we speculated that piracetam might enhance mitochondrial function or at least protect against mitochondrial damage under such conditions but mainly in brain aging, or dementia. In both situations, similar but also variant alterations of mitochondrial function are present (Leuner et al., 2007; Müller et al., 2010), representing possible targets and also plausible for therapeutic intervention by piracetam (Müller et al., 2010).

**MITOCHONDRIAL DYSFUNCTION AND OXIDATIVE STRESS IN BRAIN AGING AND ALZHEIMER DISEASE**

Like other differentiated tissues, the central nervous system is profoundly affected by aging and reacts to aging by a decline of several physiological abilities including sensory, motor, emotional, or cognitive functions (Sastre et al., 2000; Floyd and Hensley, 2002; Balaban et al., 2005; Mattson and Magnus, 2006; Onyango et al., 2010). Aging brain cells experience increasing amounts of oxidative stress, perturbed energy homeostasis, accumulation of damaged proteins, lesions in their nucleic acids and are characterized by impaired function of signaling mechanisms and altered gene expression. These changes were significantly exacerbated in neurodegenerative disorders and amplified in vulnerable neuronal populations by disease related processes such as accumulation of damaged proteins, e.g., Amyloid-beta (Aβ) levels in Alzheimer's disease (AD) (Cleary et al., 2005; Haass and Selkoe, 2007; Selkoe, 2008; Querfurth and LaFerla, 2010). Beside the described dysfunctions, mitochondrial perturbations are strongly associated with aging. Mitochondria play a central role in producing ATP as the central source of cellular energy and are critical regulators of programmed cell death during aging (Sastre et al., 2000; Atamna, 2004; Balaban et al., 2005; Schuessel et al., 2006). Mitochondrial function becomes less efficient during brain aging including decreased activities of complex I and to a lesser content of complex IV of the respiratory chain, which in turn leads to enhanced ROS production, reduced Ca²⁺ buffering capacity, and accumulation of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) mutations (reviews for this topic (Mattson, 2007; Mattson et al., 2008).

Abnormalities in mitochondrial function and oxidative stress are also relevant for the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) (Hauptmann et al., 2006; Anandatheerthavarada and Devi, 2007; Leuner et al., 2007; Moreira et al., 2007; Reddy and Beal, 2008). In the brain of AD patients, defective energy metabolism and early defects in glucose utilization were observed already many years before (Munch et al., 1998). Moreover, the AD brain is specifically marked by accumulation of the misfolded proteins Aβ and hyperphosphorylated tau, both contributing together with aging-related deficits to severe neurodegenerative alterations, such as the loss of synapses and neurons, atrophy, and the selective depletion of neurotransmitter systems (e.g., acetylcholine) in the hippocampus and cerebral cortex (Haass and Selkoe, 2007; Querfurth and LaFerla, 2010). At the mitochondrial level, complex I and complex IV seem to be specifically affected, where tau pathology mainly impairs complex I activity, and Aβ complex IV activity (Eckert et al., 2008; Hauptmann et al., 2009; Rhein et al., 2009). One of the earliest changes observed in AD is synaptic failure which already starts in patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and manifests during the disease process (Selkoe, 2002). Mitochondria are key players in synaptic plasticity providing the necessary energy (Mattson et al., 2008). The observed mitochondrial deficits result in enhanced oxidative stress. Importantly, mitochondrial dysfunction and reduced bioenergetics occur early in pathogenesis and precede the development of plaque formation (Hauptmann et al., 2009).

**PHARMACOLOGICAL STRATEGIES TO IMPROVE MITOCHONDRIAL FUNCTION IN AGING OR AD**

While the concept of Aβ-induced mitochondrial dysfunction as a major functionally relevant pathomechanism in AD has received substantial support over the last decade, improving mitochondrial function as a target for new drug development has rather not, as most interest has been directed to drugs leading to reduced Aβ load (Lemere et al., 2004). However, as several compounds out of those disease-modifying drug classes have recently failed to show clinical effectiveness in AD trials (Gura, 2008), a report about substantial therapeutic effects of dimebon in a 1-year clinical trial (Doody et al., 2008) received large attention. Quite interestingly, although originally used as an antihistaminic drug, dimebon was later characterized as a mitochondrial stabilizer with rather similar properties as reported for piracetam in the present communication (Bachurin et al., 2003; Bernales et al., 2008). The concept of using mitochondrial protection as treatment strategy for dementia has recently been further supported by a preliminary report about again substantial clinical improvement in AD patients treated with methylene blue (Gura, 2008). Importantly, this drug not only has been shown to enhance cognitive functions in several animal studies associated with elevated oxygen consumption, but also seems to enhance mitochondrial function by activating complex I and IV activities at the cellular level (Callaway et al., 2002; Callaway et al., 2004; Atamna et al., 2008).

The close association and common basis of oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in brain aging and age-related neurodegenerative disorders like AD (see the previous chapters) explains that several antioxidants have a long history as possible treatments for AD and even have been and are used in this context. Initially mainly Vitamin E or Vitamin C or the combination of both was investigated (Bastianetto and Quirion, 2004; Farlow et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2009). While both at high concentrations definitively show antioxidant properties in vitro and in vivo, their therapeutical benefit to improve or even prevent cognitive impairment in the elderly is at present seen rather critically. Another important class of naturally accruing antioxidant are flavonoids or other polyphenols, which also are fairly good antioxidants which reduced oxidative stress in vitro and in vivo. Flavonoids also improve mitochondrial dysfunction and seem to have therapeutical benefit for long-term treatment of age-related cognitive impairment animals and men (Schmitt-Schillig et al., 2005; Schaffer et al., 2006). The significant reduction of the risk in getting AD by Mediterranean diet is very likely explained to an impotent part by the high daily intake of flavonoids (Scarmeas et al., 2006, 2007). In general, even if the effectiveness of those natural occurring antioxidants to protect against AD seems to be limited, they seem to be the major players of diet in reducing oxidative stress and acting as a second however considerably weaker defense system.
Another case of a herbal drug is the standardized Ginkgo biloba extract (EGb 761), which has been used for many years as a prescription or OTC drug in many countries to treat aging-related cognitive disorders including AD (Christen and Maixent, 2002). EGb 761 contains 24% of flavonoids and 6% of terpenes (Sastre et al., 2002; Abdel-Kader et al., 2007). While the flavonoid fraction seems to be mainly responsible for the free radical scavenging properties, several terpene lactones (Ginkgolides, Bilobalide) show substantial mitochondria-protecting properties (Abdel-Kader et al., 2007).

PIRACETAM

Oxidative stress usually accumulates in aged or diseased brain tissue over years or even decades due to the vicious cycle between increased ROS, and enhanced mitochondrial dysfunction (Floyd and Hensley, 2002). Within this system, mitochondria play a crucial role as their impaired function not only leads to reduced ATP production and finally enhanced apoptosis (Mattson, 2000; Mattson et al., 2008) (Figure 1), but also since mitochondria are the relevant targets for their own production of free radicals (Figure 1).

Accordingly, due to our initial findings of piracetam enhancing mitochondrial membrane fluidity (Eckert et al., 1999) and observations that membrane fluidity regulates mitochondrial function probably by enhancing the mobility and function of the complexes of the respiratory chain (Ricchelli et al., 1999; Muriel and Perez-Rojas, 2003; Colell et al., 2004; Aleardi et al., 2005), we speculated that piracetam might enhance mitochondrial function or at least might protect mitochondria in situations of enhanced damage. To investigate this assumption, we used different cell models in tissue culture and induced experimentally oxidative stress using different approaches to mirror within hours or a few days what is usually seen in aged or diseased brains at the end of the life span. Mitochondrial function was assessed by monitoring mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) using specific membrane dyes, by measuring ATP production, and the release of proapoptotic factors (Keil et al., 2006; Kurz et al., 2010).

![Graphs showing the effects of piracetam on mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) and antioxidant enzyme activities.](image-url)

**Figure 1** | Piracetam reduces measures of mitochondrial dysfunction in NMRI mice – higher sensitivity in aged mice 2–3 months old and 22–24 months old NMRI mice were treated for 14 days with 0.5 g piracetam/kg in 0.9% NaCl solution p.o. once daily for 2 weeks. Control animals received 0.9% NaCl solution alone. All data are modified after Keil et al. (2006). (A) Dissociated brain cells were isolated and MMP was detected. The MMP was significantly reduced in old animals (22 months) and protected by Piracetam. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6–8) *p < 0.05 piracetam treated old animals vs. aged controls. (B,C) Effects of aging and treatment with piracetam on SOD, and GPx in young and old animals. Activities of antioxidant enzymes are increased in aged mice. Treatment with piracetam reduces the enzyme activities significantly in old mice. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 7). *p < 0.05 piracetam treated old animals vs. aged controls.
PROTECTION AGAINST OXIDATIVE STRESS IN VITRO
Beside the use of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in some initial experiments, we usually induced oxidative stress by the NO donor sodium nitroprusside (SNP), due to the important role of nitrosative stress in AD (Keil et al., 2004a,b). In PC12 cells, SNP led to a reduction of MMP and ATP levels. Under basal conditions without additional SNP damage, piracetam did not affect both alterations at rather high concentrations. However, piracetam was able to reduce both measures of mitochondrial dysfunction after pre- and post-incubation (Keil et al., 2006).

Another stressor, serum deprivation also leads to a decrease of mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP) and a decrease of ATP levels in neurons. Additionally, serum like glucose deprivation in PC12 cells causes peroxidation of their cell membrane lipids, decreases intracellular SOD activity, and enhances apoptosis in PC12 cells (Keil et al., 2004b, 2006). Interestingly, piracetam was able to protect MMP against cellular stress following serum deprivation. Under conditions of mild serum deprivation, when serum concentrations not lower than 2% were used, piracetam (500 μM) induced a nearly complete recovery of MMP. Furthermore, reduced ATP levels were already seen at 10% serum. Under this condition, piracetam completely restored ATP levels, while at lower serum concentrations only a partial restoration was seen (Keil et al., 2006).

As mentioned before, complex I and complex IV functions are impaired in aging and AD. Thus, the possible efficacy of piracetam to protect individual complexes of the mitochondrial respiratory chain after treatment with specific complex inhibitors was also investigated. Complexes I, II, and III were already protected at concentrations as low as 500 μM piracetam, while a significant protection of complexes IV and V was observed at a concentration of 1000 μM piracetam (Keil et al., 2006). This broad activity of piracetam is in line with the assumptions that improvement of complex activity might be due rather to its fluidity enhancing properties at mitochondrial membranes rather than by specific effects at the individual complexes. This is also supported by the data of Zhang et al. (2010) indicating enhanced activity of complexes I–IV in mice after induction of mitochondrial dysfunction with \( \alpha \)-galactose. It is very important to note that the beneficial effects of piracetam have not only been seen at the level of MMP but also in using several other measures of mitochondrial function since MMP alterations are not always directly connected with changes of mitochondrial function (Cao et al., 2007; Kahlert et al., 2008).

As already mentioned, a major link between the mitochondrial defects of our brain accumulating during decades of aging and the specific Aβ related toxicity in AD seems to be oxidative stress induced by Aβ as well as Aβ induced impairment of mitochondrial function, e.g., reduced activity of the complexes I and IV of the respiratory chain. This very slow process can experimentally be investigated by inducing mitochondrial dysfunction in cells in tissue culture following incubation with extracellularly applied Aβ (Kurz et al., 2010). Since Aβ \( 1-42 \) is presently considered as the main toxic Aβ species, we used this peptide and several experimental cell models (PC12 cells, HEK cells, dissociated mouse brain cells) to study possible protective effects of piracetam on mitochondrial deficits. When PC12 cells were treated with fibrillar Aβ \( 1-42 \) 10 nM for 24 h a reduction of MMP was observed as described previously by our group (Keil et al., 2004a). The addition of piracetam 30 min after Aβ \( 1-42 \) substantially protected MMP at concentrations already beginning with 0.1 mM. Comparable protective effects of piracetam were observed for dissociated brain cells of Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI) mice following incubation with fibrillar Aβ \( 1-42 \). In both cell types, piracetam alone has no effect on MMP.

PROTECTION AGAINST OXIDATIVE STRESS IN DISSOCIATED BRAIN CELLS FROM SUBCHRONICALLY TREATED MICE
After demonstrating piracetam’s efficacy in reducing mitochondrial dysfunction induced by several stressors in vitro, we also investigated its protective effects following treatment of aged mice or mice transgenic for the Swedish human Amyloid Precursor Protein (APP) gene which express a substantial amount of Aβ in the brains (tgAPP mice) (Keil et al., 2006; Kurz et al., 2010). The rational for using aged animals mainly originates from a large number of findings that piracetam’s efficacy to improve cognitive functions usually is less pronounced in young healthy animals but gets much more prominent in aged animals (see Chapter 1.0). We used aged NMRI mice which are bred in our animal facilities not only showing clear cognitive impairment but also several measures of oxidative stress, and mitochondrial dysfunction at an age around 20 months including a decreased MMP compared to young mice (Müller et al., 2010). Piracetam treatment for 14 days normalized MMP in aged mice, while similar treatment of young animals had no effect (Figure 1).

On the other hand, a similar piracetam treatment not only protected brain cells of aged but also young mice against oxidative stress induced in vitro by addition of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \), SNP, or Aβ \( 1-42 \). Even if brain cells of young mice treated with piracetam also showed some benefit from piracetam treatment, aged animals usually responded most (Figure 1).

Antioxidative enzymes are the primary defense mechanism to protect biological macromolecules from oxidative damage, and are upregulated in aged mouse brain as an adaptive response to oxidative stress. Therefore, we investigated the effect of piracetam treatment on the activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and glutathione reductase (GR) in young mice (2–3 months old) and old mice (22–24 months old). We confirmed a significant increase in GPx and GR activity in aged mice compared to young mice. The activity of SOD had also a tendency to increase with age. Piracetam treatment decreased the activities of all three enzymes in aged mice nearly to the level of young animals. In young mice, a only small and not significant decrease of antioxidative enzymes could be observed (Figure 1). Quite similar mitochondria protecting effects have recently been observed in mice following experimentally induced mitochondrial dysfunction (\( \alpha \)-galactose) after treatment with piracetam (300 mg/kg, 14 days) at the levels of MMP, activities of complexes I–IV, and ROS generation (Zhang et al., 2010). Unfortunately, both studies did not report glutathione levels, which also has a relevant role in regulating mitochondrial function (Jha et al., 2000).

Isolated brain cells of mice overexpressing mutated human amyloid precursor protein (tgAPP) show significant reductions of MMP and ATP synthesis relative to non-transgenic littermate controls confirming previous observation from our group (Hauptmann et al., 2009). Similar piracetam treatment (0.5 g/kg/day orally) already described for NMRI mice above again showed substantial...
improvement of MMP and ATP production (Figure 2). As reported earlier (Blanchard et al., 2003), these mice express substantial level of soluble Aβ in the brain while littermates do not. Quite interestingly, piracetam treatment led to an about 25% reduction of soluble Aβ (Kurz et al., 2010) (Figure 3). A related observation showing reduced Aβ levels in the plasma of geriatric patients treated with piracetam was published by Blasko et al. (2005). In order to investigate if this effect of piracetam on Aβ levels might also be associated with improved mitochondrial function, we used APPwt HEK 293 cells stably overexpressing human APP showing moderately enhanced Aβ levels (Keil et al., 2004a). Piracetam lowered Aβ levels under basal conditions (Kurz et al., 2010). In agreement with other findings (Guglielmotto et al., 2009) mitochondrial dysfunction induced with SNP elevates Aβ levels substantially. Again, treatment with piracetam lowered Aβ significantly by 15–20% (Figure 3). In addition, piracetam improves mitochondrial function under the same conditions in APPwt HEK 293 when Aβ generation is decreased (Figure 3).

**FIGURE 2** Piracetam improves measures of mitochondrial function in tgAPP mice. (A) Animals were treated for 14 days with 0.5 g piracetam/kg in 0.9% NaCl solution p.o. once daily for 2 weeks. Control animals received 0.9% NaCl solution alone. All data are modified after Kurz et al. (2010). The MMP was significantly reduced in tgAPP mice. Piracetam treatment normalizes the MMP to non-tgAPP levels. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 7–8). + p < 0.05 control non-tgAPP vs. control tgAPP; * p < 0.01 piracetam treated tgAPP vs. control non-tgAPP; ** p < 0.01 piracetam treated non-tgAPP vs. control non-tgAPP; Student’s unpaired t-test.

**FIGURE 3** Piracetam ameliorates elevated Aβ production following mitochondrial dysfunction. (A) Normalized Aβ levels were quantified in brain homogenates from non-tg littermate and tgAPP mice (5 months old). ** p < 0.01 piracetam treated tgAPP vs. control tgAPP; Student’s unpaired t-test. (B) Piracetam reduces nitrosative stress induced elevation of Aβ in APPwt HEK293 cells. Cells were preincubated for 24 h with piracetam (1 mM) and stressed for additional 24 h with SNP (0.5 mM). Data are expressed as mean±SEM (n = 3–4). ++++ p < 0.001 APPwt HEK293 control cells vs. cells treated with SNP. * p < 0.01 APPwt HEK293 cells stressed with SNP 0.5 mM vs. stressed cells preincubated with piracetam; Student’s unpaired t-test. (C) Piracetam ameliorates nitrosative stress induced reduction of mitochondrial membrane potential. Cells were preincubated for 24 h with piracetam (1 mM) and stressed for additional 24 h with SNP (0.5 mM). Data are expressed as mean±SEM (n = 6). All data are modified after Kurz et al. (2010). ** p < 0.01 APPwt HEK293 cells stressed with SNP 0.5 mM vs. stressed cells preincubated with piracetam, Student’s unpaired t-test.
PIRACETAM AMELIORATES Aβ INDUCED IMPAIRMENT OF NEURITIC OUTGROWTH

In agreement with the pronounced loss of neurites and synapses in AD brain as one of the functionally most relevant histopathological lesions (Selkoe, 2002; Lacor et al., 2007), Aβ peptides have been repeatedly demonstrated to reduce neuritic outgrowth in different neuronal cell lines in vitro including PC12 cells (Hirata et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2008). Oligomeric Aβ seems to be more active than fibrillar Aβ (Lacor et al., 2007; Evans et al., 2008). In agreement with these observations, the addition of oligomeric Aβ₁₋₄₂ (1 μM) to nerve growth factor (NGF) treated PC12 cells reduces neuritic length significantly. When the same experiment was carried out in the presence of piracetam (1 mM), the negative effect of oligomeric Aβ₁₋₄₂ was completely inhibited. In agreement with the assumption that enhanced oxidative stress might explain the Aβ induced reduction of neuritic outgrowth (Guglielmotto et al., 2009) treating PC12 cells with SNP reduced neuritic outgrowth even stronger. Again, piracetam ameliorates this negative effect significantly under conditions of optimal NGF stimulation (Figure 4). A reduction of neuritic outgrowth depending on Aβ load was also observed in our PC12 cells transgenic for human APP, where we observed a reduction of neuritic length, which could be substantially ameliorated by piracetam (Figure 4). The enhancing effect of piracetam was observed over the whole NGF concentration range (1–50 ng/ml) still leading to increased neuritic length under maximum NGF stimulation (Figure 4).

SUMMING UP

The data presented clearly show that piracetam protects mitochondria against different conditions associated with oxidative stress including aging. Piracetam’s protecting effects on mitochondrial damage induced in vitro are small, but reproducible and highly significant. This is not surprising, since the conditions used to induce oxidative stress in vitro are not pathophysiological but rather aggressive, in contrast to the small and slowly occurring changes induced by aging, which however were sometimes completely reversed by piracetam treatment. This was also the case for the adaptive elevation of antioxidant enzyme activities. When mild conditions were used in vitro (e.g. partial serum deprivation), a complete protection of mitochondrial function was seen by piracetam treatment in PC12 cells. Moreover, piracetam was highly effective in vivo in pathophysiologically relevant situations of brain dysfunction.

Piracetam does not possess radical scavenging properties (Keil et al., 2006). Thus, it seems quite likely that piracetam acts directly at the mitochondrial level, presumably by improving mitochondrial membrane properties. This is also supported by our observation that in several experiments protective effects were also seen in the recovery phase, when the oxidative stressor was already removed. Moreover, experiments from our lab also indicate comparable effects of piracetam on isolated mouse brain mitochondria of animals treated with piracetam. The concentrations of piracetam effective in vitro (100–1000 μM) and the doses used in the in vivo experiments (100–500 mg/kg) are quite well within the plasma concentrations seen in patients treated with the standard dose of about 5 g daily, which range between 200 and 2000 μM (Saletu et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2010). Thus, it is quite likely that similar effects are also taking place in the brain of piracetam treated patients. Moreover, a recent pharmacokinetic study in rats indicates plasma concentrations around 500–1000 μmol/l at oral doses of 500 mg/kg BW (Wang et al., 2010).

While the interaction of piracetam with neuronal membranes shows little changes of membrane properties under normal conditions, it significantly enhances reduced membrane fluidity, for example, in the aging or even Alzheimer brain. All the conditions associated with positive effects of piracetam on mitochondrial function in the experiments reviewed above also seem to be associated with decreased membrane fluidity mainly due to enhanced lipids peroxidation. Thus, it seems quite plausible that piracetam improves mitochondrial function by enhancing fluidity of mitochondrial membranes, which seems to be a critical factor regulating mitochondrial function. However, direct proof for this mechanism still needs to be shown.

**FIGURE 4** | Piracetam improves neuritogenesis following Aβ exposure.

(A) PC12 cells were treated over 6 days with NGF 50 ng/ml in the presence of oligomeric Aβ 1 μM. Piracetam 1 mM was added and the effects on neurite outgrowth were investigated. (B) APPsw PC12 cells were treated over 6 days with NGF 50 ng/ml in the presence or absence of piracetam 1 mM and neurite outgrowth was measured. In the presence of different NGF concentrations (1–50 ng/ml) piracetam improved the neurotrophic effect of NGF in APPsw cells. All data are modified after Kurz et al. (2010). Data are expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 6–7) **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs. the respective control, two-way anova with bonferroni post test.
TRANSLATION INTO EFFECTS ON COGNITION IN ANIMALS AND GERIATRIC PATIENTS

Piracetam was originally developed as a cyclic derivative of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) to treat anxiety. While it failed as an anxiolytic, it showed considerable efficacy in tests of “central nystagmus” as a model of vertigo, which at that time was only seen for antihistaminic and anticholinergic compounds. Piracetam not only did not have antihistaminic or anticholinergic properties, but even did not show any central activity like sedation, stimulation, or influence on autonomic function. However, as a rather new spectrum of pharmacological properties piracetam did facilitate interhemispheric transfer, enhanced the cerebral resistance to noxious stimuli like hypoxia and, most importantly, it enhanced or facilitated learning and other cognitive functions (Giurgea, 1982). These cognition improving properties still represent the basis of the present therapeutical use of piracetam in many countries all over the world in the whole spectrum of geriatric memory disorders, in cases of impaired cognitive functions after head injuries, and also in vertigo. Even if piracetam can facilitate learning and other cognitive functions under normal conditions (e.g., young healthy volunteers), it has been a most consistent observation over nearly three decades of piracetam’s clinical use that the cognition improving properties are much more pronounced when brain function is impaired such as aging, hypoxia, cerebral injuries, or Aβ load in AD, conditions which all have mitochondrial dysfunction as common final pathway. Since these preclinical and clinical data form the link between mitochondrial protection as major preclinical mechanism of action and the clinical efficacy of piracetam in a large variety of diverse groups of older subjects with cognitive impairment, some of these data will be reviewed shortly.

Two older studies have investigated the cognition improving effects of piracetam in young volunteers under mild hypoxia as a pharmacological model to mirror impaired brain function as seen in aging or dementia (Demay and Bande, 1980; Saletu et al., 1995). The data from Demay and Bande (1980) are given in Figure 5 showing considerably less errors in a memory task under hypoxia following 5 days of piracetam treatment with 4.8 g per day, the average therapeutic dose even used today (Figure 5). Hypoxia induced cognitive but also biochemical and physiological deficits mirroring the situation in dementia has also been used in a very recent study in rats (He et al., 2008). In this study, chronic cerebral hyperfusion was induced by ligation of bilateral common carotid arteries leading to acute but also subacute structural and functional brain deficits. Most importantly, spatial memory performance (Morris Water maze) was substantially impaired and showed pronounced improvement by piracetam treatment (600 mg) per day starting after surgery (Figure 6). Besides reducing histopathologic markers of neuronal damage and reducing the expression of proapoptotic proteins, piracetam also improved long term potentiation in the CA3 region of the hippocampus of lesioned animals (Figure 7). Together with data that piracetam reduces neuronal loss and improves synaptic reorganization after chronic alcohol consumption (Brandao et al., 1995; Brandao et al., 1996) with findings of enhanced restitution and reorganization of cortical neuronal circuits after brain damage (Coq and Xerri, 1999; Xerri and Zennou-Azogui, 2003), and with findings that piracetam improves neuritic outgrowth after oxidative stress and/or Aβ mediated stress (Kurz et al., 2010), the findings of He et al. (2008) strongly support the concept that piracetam given chronically might improve many parameters of synaptic plasticity from LTP at the synaptic level, to enhanced neurite- as well as synaptogenesis at the structural level, and may even partially protect neurons from apoptosis.

The new concept that by improving mitochondrial function piracetam stimulates synaptic function and plasticity in situations of impaired brain function easily integrates many previous preclinical findings see above and also data about clinical efficacy of piracetam in several not clearly related disease states.

- Cognitive deficits after coronary bypass surgery probably caused by periods of mild hypoxia are well known and represent a serious impairment of those patients. Treatment with piracetam has been shown in recent studies to reduce the severity of post surgery cognitive impairments (Uebelhack et al., 2003; Szalma et al., 2006; Holinski et al., 2008).
- Mild cognitive impairment (MCI) is a common prodromal state for late dementia. Piracetam treatment has been shown to improve several aspects of cognition in such patients, which had been selected at the time of the study on the basis of the previous and somewhat different concept of AAMI (age associated memory impairment) (Israel et al., 1994).
- Only few studies have been conducted in specific groups of patients with either Alzheimer type or vascular dementia. In most studies, mixed groups of demented patients were investigated. Nevertheless, cognitive improvement was seen under the treatment with piracetam regardless of the type of dementia (Wægemans et al., 2002). Most of these studies however were rather short. In the only one 1-year trial in AD patients, some evidence for a reduction of the cognitive decline over time was detected (Croisile et al., 1993), were
the MMSE (minimental state evaluation did not change significantly in the Piracetam group but showed the expected 3 point decline in the placebo group over the year.

- Structural improvement might explain the therapeutic benefit in neurodevelopment disorders like dyslexia which is supported by several well controlled clinical trials (Wilsher, 1986; Wilsher et al., 1987; Ackerman et al., 1991).
- The effectiveness of piracetam in experimental models of central nystagmus was one of the first observations about pharmacological properties of piracetam (Giurgea, 1982). Even if this can be easily linked to its therapeutic use in vertigo, a plausible explanation of the improvement of vertigo by piracetam was not possible, especially since its effectiveness seems to be rather similar regardless the origin of vertigo. However, with the increasing awareness of higher brain regions for maintaining balance and preventing vertigo by coordinating all sensory inputs (Dieterich and Brandt, 2010), improvement of neuronal function, enhancement of cerebral communication, and improvement of neuronal plasticity could be an important aspect for piracetams effects on vertigo, e.g., enhancing the “cognitive aspects” of vertigo (Rosenhall et al., 1996; Oosterveld, 1999; Toupet, 2001).

- Even in myoclonus as in movement disorders (Hanna and Bhatia, 1997; Waldbraum and Patel, 2010), mitochondrial dysfunction seems to be part of the pathophysiological basis and might be the target of piracetam’s therapeutic benefit (Ikeda et al., 1996; Karacostas et al., 1999; Wolters and Benecke, 2009).

In conclusion, more than 30 years after the introduction of piracetam the new findings of mitochondrial protection will allow a new perspective for this old nootropic and might explain its consistent use in many countries around the world.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The studies presented in this report and the publication costs were supported by an unrestricted research grant from UCB, Brussles (Belgium).


Piracetam – mitochondrial function as target


Frontiers in Neuroscience | Neuropsychopharmacology September 2010 | Volume 4 | Article 44 | 10


Conflict of Interest Statement: Kristina Leuner received honorarium for scientific lectures from UCB. Walter E. Müller is a paid consultant of several pharmaceutical companies, including UCB (Belgium), but receives no rewards from sales of any products.

Received: 10 May 2010; paper pending published: 29 May 2010; accepted: 08 June 2010; published online: 07 September 2010.


This article was submitted to Frontiers in Neuropharmacology, a specialty of Frontiers in Neuroscience.

Copyright © 2010 Leuner, Kurz, Guidetti, Orgogozo and Müller. This is an open-access article subject to an exclusive license agreement between the authors and the Frontiers Research Foundation, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original authors and source are credited.