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1. Introduction

1.1. A civil literature in an uncivil time

Pax in Bello, the superscript Erhart Kästner applied to the unfinished and in his lifetime unpublished third book of his wartime trilogy on Greece and its islands, summed up in epigram the paradox of the serving soldier of the forces of occupation, seconded from military to literary duties, and attempting to write a civil literature in times and conditions which seemed to prohibit such. It is here proposed to examine the objectivity of book-length responses in prose, including multiple-authored collections, from persons in the service of the German occupying forces in the Europe of 1940-1944. The book-length criterion here extends from a two-quire, 32 page booklet (Erhard Göpel’s Die Bretagne), through essay collections, travelogues, the novella, and the novel. Only the war-diary is excluded, on the grounds of its subjective form, but more so on the grounds that the most revealing of the war diaries are post-war redactions, simply because of the risk to personal safety there had been in writing un-coded text. The exceptional case of Ernst Jünger is considered here later (vide infra 1.8).

The claims of the literature of the invaders on the sympathy of non-partisan readers are subject to a moral forfeit, now as then, though it should be noted that a French language translation of Ernst Jünger’s Gärten und Straßen was a publishing success in 1942. Where the fact of occupation is elided through war-evading, war transfiguring or war-transcending prose, the common charges of ‘calligraphy’ and of aesthetic escapism must be answered. A countervailing argument is here advanced, that this ‘literature of occupation’ on account of its contemporary authenticity, its offering of an unsentimental aesthetic within the pervasiveness of war, and on account of innovation in literary form found within it, is the immediate, as distinct from the later, retrospective, literary Bewältigung of the wartime experience. The slight in number and among themselves disparate exemplars of this contemporary literature stand in quantitative contrast to the surge of German language

1 Cf. Erhart Kästner: Griechische Inseln (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1975).
literary output on the war in the two decades following 1945: over three hundred works to 1960, and of literary merit, works, “die eine gewisse literarische Qualität aufweisen und in anerkannten oder jedenfalls nicht einschlägig militaristischen Verlagen veröffentlicht wurden”, at least a hundred novels or comprehensive stories to 1965, according to one determination. The few contemporary works considered here are therefore by virtue of their relative rarity, published and unpublished, additionally important. The subject works are also historically important for being records written without the modification of moral, philosophical or factual hindsight, and for their being grounded still, perforce, in political concepts of a Europe deriving from the treaty of Versailles rather than the treaty of Rome.

The stereotype of an allegorically encoded non National Socialist literature is disputed by Schäfer’s Das gespaltene Bewußtsein (1981), which title points to the many manifest contradictions between National Socialist ideology and actual praxis in the field of cultural consumption in the broadest sense, from literature to foreign-branded consumer goods. In that context, many of the works studied here would not be remarkable. They were commissioned as Gebrauchsliteratur, functional writing, a form which covered also war reportage, travel writing and the diary and the essay, and which was dominant in the 1930s and into the war years. This ascendancy of functional literature, which established itself after 1930 and had its origin in a reaction to the social shocks of the Weimar era, was not supplanted until the advent of the sixties. The functional aim of this literature during the war years was primarily the Belehrung der Truppe, the instruction of the troops; its political purpose was the affirmation of an occupation policy of Korrektheit. This was self-serving, in France for reasons of promoting efficient economic cooperation, and in Greece, at least initially, for the propaganda value of demonstrating a


4 Ibid., p. 211.

5 See Schäfer: Das gespaltene Bewußtsein, pp. 7-68, 146-208; p. 35: “Es war ein Irrtum, die nichtnationalsozialistische Erzählprosa als Literatur der verdeckten Schreibweise zu interpretieren.”

6 Ibid., p. 146: “Das Dritte Reich ist von einem tiefen Gegensatz zwischen nationalsozialistischer Ideologie und Praxis gekennzeichnet.”

7 Ibid., pp. 44, 79.

8 Ibid., pp. 41, 89.
conscientious cultural custodianship of the antique. In the East, where no armistice was signed, where cities were fought over and the occupation policy was harsh, and where in consequence the social background was displaced, paradoxically it was there that notable examples of the social and non-functional genres of the novel and novella were written (vide infra 3.4). As Schäfer has pointed out, the world-shattering chaos of the war surpassed that of the economic crisis of the thirties and left most of the writers remaining in Germany unable any longer to combine empirical and allegorical elements into radical forms of reality interpretation, of *Wirklichheitsdeutung*. The relatively widespread (in Germany) modernism of the thirties had lost its basis. The experimentation with forms by such as Benn, Jünger, Langgässer, Nossack and of the here considered Felix Hartlaub was an undercurrent, not mainstream. The published works examined here were functional in being informative and/or diverting for their temporarily expatriate readers. At the same time, commentary on the unfamiliar could afford an indirect freedom of expression to the authors, and though experimental modernism was out of place, the military imprimatur was valid for the civilian editions also and could afford cover for aesthetic allusiveness.

**1.2. A Franco-Hellenic axis**

The Eastern theatre of operations remained the site of large-scale military engagements, of contesting ideologies, and of an occupation policy which envisaged displacement and colonisation. The literary addresses differed from those in France and Greece in being concerned not with the appearance of a peace, but with the immediate effects, particularly the psychological, of warfare. Responses were as to a nationally amorphous *terra incognita*. Territory was still in contention, and writing of the field-diary genre was therefore there more common. A post-armistice occupation was never established, otherwise than was the case with France and Greece where the emphasis of this study lies.

---

8 Ibid., p. 102.
9 Ibid., p. 103.
The German education system had accorded French a position of supremacy as the leading living foreign language taught in German schools, a situation which obtained into the twentieth century, and it was the Third Reich which completed the gradual supplantation of French by English in this position.\(^{11}\) An inferiority complex, at least at regime level, towards the cultural prestige of France and its language is implied by that change, a complex satirised in Felix Hartlaub’s Paris sketch, “Mitteleuropäische Mondscheindylle”, by a radio voice which boasts that Paris is now sunk to the level of a European provincial city.\(^{12}\) The fact was, on the contrary, that many ordinary soldiers were enthusiastic for the cultural characteristics of the land they found themselves in, especially since among the columns in uniform which had been sent into France in 1940 almost every company unit included one or two who because of some artistic talent stood out from among their fellows.\(^{13}\) Frontzeitungen, army-front newspapers and magazines, were quickly established and were, as Eckhardt (1975)\(^{14}\) has shown, widespread and tenacious in their publication – an unnamed columnist in the Pariser Zeitung of 8 August 1944, just two weeks before the German evacuation of the city, wrote wistfully of his several years of service in Normandy.\(^{15}\) In Greece, a general programme of introduction to the culture of the Greek antique formed part of troop-welfare measures.\(^{16}\) Guided tours, lectures, brochures and radio broadcasts were provided. The sites of the classical antique could be visited,

---


\(^{12}\) Ibid., p. 533.


but almost all the museums remained closed, a fact which created an intensified demand for information.\textsuperscript{17} As with France, a \textit{Kunstschutz} department\textsuperscript{18} was set up by the military immediately, and this operated closely with the pre-existing German archaeological institute in Athens. Both operations were led by classical archaeologists.\textsuperscript{19} Aligned with the obvious propaganda value of exemplary protection of the antique, education of the troops was a prudent precaution against the negative propaganda that might arise out of thoughtless vandalism. In this, the interests of the regime and those of the scholars and archaeologists happily coincided. In addition, the regime by a backwards projection of its racist ideology presented itself as the blood-heir to the antique.\textsuperscript{20} The outstanding role played by German scholars in the rediscovery of Greek antiquity was also advanced in support of this claim.\textsuperscript{21} A general impetus was thus lent to the publication of studies on Greece by scholars and archaeologists, some already working at the Athens institute or attached to the \textit{Kunstschutz} service in Greece.\textsuperscript{22} In such a climate Erhart Kästner secured license to roam and write throughout mainland Greece, Crete and the islands, brevetted to do so by local commanding generals, for the duration of the occupation.

France was a political and cultural rival. Greece, the reliquary of antiquity, was not. France, now militarily and politically defeated and its cultural challenge therefore deemed to have been met, might be patronised, even fêted. Greece could be celebrated for its classical past. In these contexts occupier-writers and academics in or out of uniform could write sympathetically on what had been the pre-eminent unified cultural society on mainland Europe in the centuries preceding World War II, and also on the site of antique democracy and of classical thought. In what was written, it is peace, albeit a \textit{Pax Germanica}, and not war which is the theme, a sign, it may be argued, albeit mistaken in its foundation, of the coming abolitionist change which

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{17} Ibid.
\item \textsuperscript{19} See Hiller von Gaertringen (1994), p. 132.
\item \textsuperscript{20} Ibid., p. 156, citing Olszewski in: Hütter (ed.) 1975.
\item \textsuperscript{21} Ibid., p. 142, note 100.
\item \textsuperscript{22} Ibid., pp. 129-37, 161.
\end{itemize}
1.3. Literary Conscripts

A new type of “self-editor” soldier writers, Schriftleiter-Soldaten, had been identified by Reichspressechef Otto Dietrich in his foreword to an anthology of war feature-writing published in Berlin in 1941, and also a new type of writing which favoured sensory impressions over reportage of events – this dictated by the sheer scale of the conflict. The press directorate had intuited that sensory impressions could convey more for morale-supporting purposes, but also for justification of the victim-cost, than the bald ‘facts’ of the daily Wehrmachtbericht. Felix Hartlaub, the soldier on leave-of-absence, must similarly have intuited that his sensory impressions from occupied Paris could convey more than reportage, though he himself was unconvinced of this. The unparalleled remit of Erhart Kästner, writing with the express consent of area commanders, but to his own brief, allowed him to use classicism as camouflage in casting the whole tradition of ancient civilisation in opposition to the mechanised era victim-consuming Moloch of contending power-ideologies. The short sketches of Hartlaub’s nine-month Paris sequence, being for the most part undated, have a photographic-like ‘time-elapse’ quality. Kästner’s wholly time-free impressions are of a topographical and anthropological warp and weft. Other contemporarily publishing writers in uniform were Erhard Göpel (1906-1966) writing from France, and Martin Raschke (1905-1943) writing from the Eastern Front (Raschke unluckily and fatally posted there, mistakenly, for Italy), both pre-war associates of Kästner. The contemporarily published writings of Walter Bauer (1904-1976) span both France and the Eastern front and belong with those of Raschke and other non National Socialist writers attached to the Propagandakompanien. These latter, Eastern front writers were those nearest in circumstance to that of literary conscripts in that the propaganda image of a bestial, inhuman enemy

24 Ibid., p. 146.
25 Ibid., pp. 146-47.
26 See Hartl (ed.): Ursula von Kardorff: Berliner Aufzeichnungen, p. 137.
was unavoidably implicit by inversion in their portrayals of human sensitivity.\(^{27}\)

Schäfer (1981) takes the view that the aesthetic in the writings of the war-diary genre – and all the aforementioned writings have at least surface traits of that genre – appears not as the humane antithesis to war but as a war-accompanying, comforting sound at best\(^{28}\) (though acknowledging that the diarist Kurt Lothar Tank among others participated in the dissemination of non National Socialist literary art).\(^{29}\) The negative pronouncement on war-diary aesthetic may here be held up to some scrutiny in the example of Bauer’s and Tank’s writings (the latter a guest writer on a post-victory tour of occupied France in October 1940). In examining the extent to which Kästner’s work is Autonomieästhetik, at least so in its approach, this study defers to the chronology and commentary on the later revisions in manuscript and published form of Kästner’s wartime works provided by Hiller von Gaertringen’s literary-biographical study.\(^{30}\) The output of Kästner’s pre-war academic colleague, Erhard Göpel, commissioned to write short guides to Brittany and Normandy, but not afforded the war-long dispensation that Kästner enjoyed, is examined for the implicitly embedded plea for a non-supremacist regard for the cultural legacy and way of life of historic European regions which has been claimed for it.\(^{31}\)

The Hartlaub writings here primarily considered are those known as the “Kriegsaufzeichnungen aus Paris”, and relate to the period when Hartlaub was seconded from uniformed military duty to civilian work for the German foreign ministry, but which assignment was nonetheless in the service of the military occupation of France. The later writings of Hartlaub, those from the period of his service in the war-diary section at the Führerhauptquartier (hereinafter, FHQ) in the Ukraine and in East Prussia, have already been the subject of a definitive philological study\(^{32}\) and these therefore are referred to in

\(^{27}\) See ibid., pp. 126-49.


\(^{29}\) Ibid., p. 83.


\(^{32}\) Cf. Christian-Hartwig Wilke: _Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs_ (Bad Homburg: Gehlen, 1967). Note: Wilke refers to this work as an extract from his dissertation
this thesis tangentially only. Hartlaub’s Paris sketches in their guise as *Großstadtbilder* conceal a subliminal text of, if not resistance, at least rejection of the German pan-European project. His misgivings about that project are explicit in his letters, oblique in his Paris sketches. Hartlaub himself, despite his suppression of the diarist self in the sketches, referred to them as *tagebuchoid* efforts, and the term diary reportage, *Tagebuchreportage*, has been applied to them in attempts at classification. Müller’s dissertation of 1997 noted the styling of Hartlaub in the criticism of the 1950’s and 1960’s as a diarist and chronicler and even into the 1990’s, in particular studies, as a diarist writer of the *Innere Emigration*. In Müller’s view this is indicative of the continuing lack of a more general analysis of Hartlaub’s complete mature work. This study does not claim to be that general analysis, but does offer a more detailed analysis of and does make wider claims for Hartlaub’s Paris sketches than heretofore. In 2002 an annotated edition (revised edition 2007) of Hartlaub’s writings and wartime letters, was published as *Felix Hartlaub. “In den eigenen Umrisse gebannt.”* Research conducted by this writer in the Hartlaub archive in the interval bears upon the emendations incorporated into the 2007 edition. The 2007 revised edition supplants the 2002 edition here as referent text, but all citations are referenced to both editions.

Those who could publish while in military service, whether through attachment to the *Propagandakompanien*, or at the express invitation of area commanders as in the case of Kästner or the contributors to the 1942 compendium, *Frankreich*, were all subject to censorship and to that extent...
compromised (inaudacious remarks by Gerhard Nebel led to his banishment to the Channel Islands with the result that his wartime diary written there, *Bei den nördlichen Hesperiden*, could not be published until 1948). Hiller von Gaertringen (1994) in noting that the 1943 civilian edition of his first book, *Griechenland*, was unanimously praised in reviews of the German press for the distance it maintained to immediate contemporary events and its success in directing attention beyond these to the true, original Greece of antiquity, divines a Kästner *Weltanschaung* which coincided with the widespread popular need for diversion from the pressing realities of the war:

Die Bildungswelt war für Kästner immer ein elitärer Raum gewesen, der abseits der Realität stand, ein Übergriff der einen auf die andere Sphäre war ihm von jeher in beiderlei Richtung suspekt.

The plaudits of a necessarily conformist press would not suffice to support that deduction, were it not that Kästner himself emphatically endorsed it afterwards. What the custodian of antique book treasures at the pre-war state library of Saxony in the Japanese Palace at Dresden presented under the guise of a conventional travelogue shot through with passages of high lyricism was, in fact, an idiosyncratic summoning of the *order* of the antique world through the observation of local phenomena as correlatives. That is certainly the intention with *Ölberge, Weinberge*, the revised version of the wartime *Griechenland*:

So ist das Griechenland dieses Buches nicht das gewohnte: nicht das klassizistische, nicht das archäologische, auch nicht das »ewig glückliche« Land, das nur in den Köpfen der Schwärmer besteht; nichts von der gütterfroh-heidnischen Sehnsuchtsgebärde, die der Irrtum eines Jahrhunderts war. […] Kästner’s Liebe gilt Dingen, auf die der Reisende seltener aufmerksam wird;

This is Kästner’s own dust-jacket prose, stressing that the view now is not that of a romantic Hellenist yearning for a happy, heathen past where all is dictated by the fates, and not that, implicitly, of his former self of the *Griechenland*


See ibid., pp. 248-63.

Ibid., p. 257.

view. Yet, the text concludes with an insistence that perception and its explication are still a matter of internalised impressions:

Viele Dinge sind von der Feder Kästners ganz durchscheinend gemacht; so verraten sie, was wahrzunehmen eines liebenden Auges und eines treuen Ohres bedarf.\textsuperscript{43}

Being free of Kästner’s later concern to stress the survival of the antique world in Greek Byzantium and thereafter, through Greek refugees, in the Renaissance,\textsuperscript{44} it will be contended here that the earlier book is the superior aesthetic whole.

The philhellenism of the German aesthetic tradition, the traditions of \textit{Bildungsbürgertum}, of a continuing late Wilhemine \textit{Kulturpolitik}, and the survival of the curricular content of Wilhelmine education into the years of the Weimar Republic are inescapable paradigms in the outlook of both writer and readership where the setting is Greece. The aesthetic of the prose therefore, and the claims of that prose as aesthetic, is the focus, as also in the case of the other servicemen-writers, products of their time and educational background. In the case of Felix Hartlaub, a precocious talent encouraged in the liberal \textit{Odenwaldschule}, a quite literal optic is the means by which, to invert a tenet of Clement Greenberg’s, writing suppresses its own denotative medium and attains the effects of painting: “overpower the medium […] and the adventitious uses of art become more important.”\textsuperscript{45}

The works of Kästner taken into account here are \textit{Griechenland},\textsuperscript{46} published in Berlin in 1943, \textit{Kreta},\textsuperscript{47} and the last of the wartime trilogy, \textit{Griechische Inseln}, which Kästner never completed as a single volume and which remained unpublished in his lifetime. \textit{Kreta} was first published in 1946. Plans for an earlier publication had been delayed and finally set at nought through the combination of paper rationing, the dispersal of part of the printing run to Danzig, the Russian advance there, and the destruction of the publishing house itself in an air raid on Berlin in February 1945.\textsuperscript{48} Kästner was at that point already marooned on Rhodes with the German garrison there. The

\textsuperscript{43}Ibid.
\textsuperscript{44}Cf. Erhart Kästner: \textit{Aufstand der Dinge} (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1973), pp. 332-33.
\textsuperscript{46}Erhart Kästner: \textit{Griechenland. Ein Buch aus dem Kriege} (Berlin: Gebr. Mann, 1943).
\textsuperscript{47}Erhart Kästner, \textit{Kreta} (Berlin: Gebr. Mann, 1946).
1946 edition, from a 1944 typescript, appeared with some omissions, but without any direct revisions by the author, then interned in Egypt.\textsuperscript{49} Griechische Inseln, notes and trial pieces for which Kästner had already begun in 1943, was worked on through 1944,\textsuperscript{50} continued on Rhodes after the 1945 surrender, and later in Egypt in 1946, and was first published in book form in 1975 from Kästner’s typescript of 1947.\textsuperscript{51}

Erhard Göpel’s two wartime works, Die Bretagne and Die Normandie, are booklet guides published directly by the military information services and intended for a German soldier readership. Die Normandie also appeared in a wartime civilian edition. The diary-styled publications of Walter Bauer and Kurt Lothar Tank from France and the essay contributions of Gerhard Nebel and others in the 1942 collection, Frankreich, and of Bauer again and others from the Eastern front, all have in common that they are contemporary accounts, written while their authors were in military service, and published without recourse to post-war editing or revision.

1.4. The literary-critical focus: the aesthetic

Anz (2004) credits von Heydebrand & Winko (1996) with the thorough and synoptic presentation of the empirical-analytical course which literary evaluation research has taken since the 1980’s.\textsuperscript{52} The varied and complex argumentation of that course has not in the opinion of Anz produced a resolution of its problematic that is satisfactory in theory or in praxis.\textsuperscript{53} Anz points to the fundamental cultural relativism of the empirical-analytical approach and to its inconsistent concretisation, interpretation and hierarchical ordering of standards such as ‘the good’, ‘the true’, ‘the beautiful’, ‘coherence’, ‘unity’, ‘integral wholeness’, ‘dramatic tension’, ‘authenticity’, ‘credibility’, ‘innovation’, ‘originality’, and ‘ambiguity’.\textsuperscript{54} Further, the question of whether ethical standards should be superordinate to the aesthetical, is one

\textsuperscript{49} See ibid., pp.183-84. Kästner, writing from British custody, entrusted his sister Reingart with the editing of the text, at her discretion. As a typescript from 1944 is extant, but not a typesetting copy of the 1946 edition, the attribution of any other interventions, by the publishers or the Allied censor, cannot be determined.
\textsuperscript{50} See ibid., pp. 184-89.
\textsuperscript{53} See ibid., p. 213.
\textsuperscript{54} See ibid., p. 214.
to which answers are permanently debated within the empirical-analytical approach.\textsuperscript{55} Anz’s conclusion is that diverging evaluations of a text are by no means necessarily the result of [the application of] differing evaluation standards, but can also be the result of differing descriptions of textual features or of the varying application of abstract terms/concepts themselves deficient in concretisation.\textsuperscript{56}

In the von Heydebrand/Winko structural typology of axiological textual values, grouped in a table of “Ästhetizität / Literarizität”, considerations of self-reference, polyvalence, openness, beauty, coherence, completeness and complexity make up formal aesthetic evaluation. Beside these lie the content-bound axiological considerations of truth, beauty and justice and, detached from both, relational axiological considerations, among which are the deviation-from and the norm-breaking of everyday communication, and originality and innovation in relation to comparable preceding texts.\textsuperscript{57} External to formal self-referential, to the formal content-dependant and to relational axiological values lie the effective, the \textit{wirkungsbezogene}, among which, under the coined sub-heading of \textit{Erkenntnisbedeutsamkeit}, von Heydebrand and Winko list the quality of \textit{Entautomatisierung}, a term from the \textit{Rezeptionsästhetik} of Jauss, which Anz identifies as central to the Jaussian concept of readers’ horizon-of-expectation and by which works which stimulate such a de-automatisation of perception distinguish themselves from trivial literature as works of art.\textsuperscript{58} Farthest placed from the formal among the axiological values in the Heydebrand/Winko model are affective individual values, hedonistic satisfactions, and the social value of symbolic intellectual capital.\textsuperscript{59}

Worthmann (2004) objects to the use by von Heydebrand and Winko of their favoured term \textit{Literarizität} – a coinage translating as ‘literaricity’ – inasmuch as it is a predicate which dissolves and double-defines ‘literature’ into the constituents of ‘the literary’ and ‘literaricity’.\textsuperscript{60} In determining

\textsuperscript{55} See ibid.
\textsuperscript{56} Ibid., p. 217.
\textsuperscript{58} See Anz, in Anz / Baasner, op. cit., p. 213.
\textsuperscript{59} See von Heydebrand / Winko, op. cit., p. 115.
\textsuperscript{60} Friederike Worthmann: \textit{Literarische Wertungen. Vorschläge für ein deskriptives Modell}
Literarizität as a predicate which may be ascribed from either of two reception features: from non-applied fictionality, “Fiktionalität und Zweckfreiheit”, or from formal textual features, functional texts which exhibit either are included: diaries, letters, autobiographies, speeches, advertising and entertainment, thus joining the class of autonomous-aesthetical, autonomieästhetisch, received literature. From this, Worthmann sees an inconsistency in the continued use by von Heydebrand and Winko of the terms Sach- und Gebrauchsliiteratur, Trivialliteratur and Unterhaltungsliteratur.61 Worthmann proceeds to see in von Heydebrand and Winko’s privileging of formal-aesthetic reception (through their focus on ‘literaricity’) an implicit privileging of formal-aesthetic evaluation,62 and as a progression from this, a pre-empting of “wer welche Texte wie wertet”.63

In response, Worthmann proposes a comprehensive model of literary evaluation which, inter alia, indicates what a circumscribed place the aesthetic has as a value in the process of assigning values to literary work, as the following paraphrase of some of the prerequisites for this model shows:

- Is adequate in range and terminology.
- Proceeds analytically, avoiding normatives.
- Is strictly systematic in being historically as well as culturally unspecific.
- Takes into account that there are ethical as well as the aesthetical standards of literary evaluation, and clarifies the meanings of norms, conventions and sensibilities [applied in] literary evaluation.
- Recognises that standards of literary worth are socially inherited, and consequently have social, historic, or cultural zones of validity.
- Is multidimensional in its recognition that literary evaluations are mental processes that represent elements of literary reception.64

A possible contradiction is here apparent between the non-specificity of the historic and the cultural on the one hand, and the socially, historically and culturally inherited standards of literary worth on the other. A demonstrably consistent application of such a model to subject works of this thesis, written as

61 Ibid., pp. 40, 41, 42.
62 Ibid., p. 46.
63 Ibid., p. 47.
64 Paraphrased from Worthmann, op. cit., p. 18.
they were, perforce, in conditions of historic, cultural and social incongruity, would impose an indeterminable and possibly impractical explicatory burden.

In reference to von Heydebrand and Winko’s four arguments for autonomous-aesthetic standards, Worthmann does concede that there may be good grounds for the privileging of autonomous-aesthetic definition and evaluation concepts in literary studies, and that they may represent a significant share of literary evaluation praxis; the objection being only that, as sole basis for an evaluation model, such concepts are restricting. The four arguments of von Heydebrand and Winko are, in précis: 1. only autonomy-aesthetic provides the discipline [of Literaturewissenschaft] with its own philosophical object, with its own value-criteria; 2. without tradition, condensed in the canon, the new cannot be experienced; 3. autonomy [autonomous] literature in canonical examples is the most complex, and only through engaging with it can the variety of methodical approaches be learned; 4. the subjects-range at university facilitates the revelation in theory and in practice of all dimensions of autonomous literature. The autonomous aesthetic is what this thesis elects to advance as its standard of literary evaluation of its subject texts. Since all expressions of literary opinion, whether value-assertive, expressive or appellative, have the corresponding functional aspects of the referential, the emotive and the conative (conative, in this context, as influence seeking), each of these functional aspects also having aspects of the others, and each of which thereby subverts the autonomy of the others, so it is that objectivity of evaluation is unattainable in the absolute. Pronouncements of literary evaluation differ then, only to the extent that one or other of their three functional aspects, the referential, the emotive, or the conative, is dominant. So, forming the reference function of an evaluation, an ‘autonomous’ aesthetic might occupy the position of dominance and therefore superordination to the expressive (of personal taste) and the appellative (to the tastes of others). The autonomy of the aesthetic in that case would still, to satisfy the test of the Worthmann model, have to be supra-cultural, supra-historicist, and at the same time demonstrate a provenance in a preferred prior cultural model or models.
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This position might be said to be that of Kästner, arguing for attention to the
still immanent aura of the homogeneity of belief and cultural expression that
was the Greek antique and which had striven at an ideal of human perfection.
Given the axiological objections in truth, justice and humanity which might,
however, be raised against the subject texts of this thesis, the question of
literary reception is here first addressed. In the phenomenological approach to
texts as expounded by Iser, literary works are bi-polar: the aesthetic is the
realisation in the text accomplished by the reader; the author creates the other
pole, the artistic.\(^9\) Realisation of an aesthetic is by that explicitly contingent on
reader-response. Reader-response is correspondingly implicit in Iser’s
statement that the sentence aims at something beyond what it actually says and
that this is true of all sentences in literary works.\(^0\) This idea is terminologically
defined by Eagleton: “The ‘world’ of a literary work is not an objective reality,
but what in German is called Lebenswelt, reality as actually organised and
experienced by an individual subject.”\(^1\) The works under study in this thesis,
some in the format of travel journal, feuilleton feature or essay and
Corresponding at least superficially to the category of applied literature,
Gebrauchsliteratur, as defined by Schäfer, nonetheless construct a literary
Lebenswelt of the abnormal normality of occupied Europe. That the writers
under study wrote in sentences intending beyond what was said will be evident
from citations. That an intensified ‘aesthetification’ could intend beyond itself
to underline and undermine an abnormal reality will be argued from the
principle of distance, a pre-condition for the creation of an aesthetic view. That
principle, as principle, is not found among the aesthetic considerations already
mentioned here, unless taken to be subsumed in the concept of the self-
referential, in the sense of a literary work referring not to any external reality,
but to its own characteristics as a work of art; and the distance principle is also
coterminous with the Jaussian Entautomatisierung. The distance principle
creates an autonomous space within which an aesthetic view may supplant the
normal outlook. Moral responsibility before the referential facts is not thereby
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\(^0\) Ibid., p. 214.

escaped. Bullough’s (1957) exposition of psychical distance makes the fine distinction:

…psychical distance has a negative, inhibitory aspect – the cutting out of the practical sides of things and of our practical attitude to them – and a positive side – the elaboration of the experience on the new basis created by the inhibiting action of Distance. [...] this distanced view of things is not, and cannot be, our normal outlook. Distance is a factor in all art [...] it is, for this very reason, also an aesthetic principle.\(^\text{72}\)

Psychical distance is therefore a necessary condition of the aesthetic, but is it morally a sufficient one? Bullough goes on to detach, but not entirely to sever, the aesthetic from personal and moral values:

…it is Distance which supplies one of the special criteria of aesthetic values as distinct from practical (utilitarian), scientific, or social (ethical) values. All these are concrete values, either directly personal as utilitarian, or indirectly remotely personal, as moral values.\(^\text{73}\)

The distinction rests here on a fine point:

Distance does not imply an impersonal, purely intellectually interested relation of such a kind. On the contrary, it describes a personal relation, often highly emotionally coloured, but of a peculiar character. Its peculiarity lies in that the personal character of the relation has been, so to speak, filtered. It has been cleared of the practical, concrete nature of its appeal, without, however, thereby losing its constitution.\(^\text{74}\)

When considering the sustained, heightened aesthetic of Hartlaub’s and Kästner’s writings in particular, this study relies on that point: personal, utilitarian values, when referred to at all, are mentioned merely to carry the narrative. Remotely and indirectly personal moral values are alluded to only obliquely: of necessity in Kästner’s case, as he was writing, at least ostensibly, for the military. In Hartlaub’s case the personal and moral are masked by an objective style, analogous to Neue Sachlichkeit painting. Marose (2000), referring to Schäfer’s characterisation of Hartlaub’s observation technique as reminiscent of Neue Sachlichkeit painting, points out that Hartlaub deliberately distanced himself from the emotional, irrational and reality-hostile tradition of aestheticism.\(^\text{75}\) Parker, Davies and Philpotts in their study of the 1930-1960 periodisation posited by Hans Dieter Schäfer’s Das gespaltene Bewußtsein, found that in the case of the periodical Das innere Reich, “It is

\(^{73}\) Ibid., p.129.
\(^{74}\) Ibid., p. 97.
easy to see how in *Das innere Reich* the political solution to crisis offered by National Socialism coalesces with the aesthetic search for stability of meaning which has been the dominant cultural mood since 1930.76 This study would posit that the search for stability of meaning through the medium of the aesthetic must have become more acute for those such as Hartlaub and Kästner in particular, who were capable of articulating it, and of doing so from the fortuitously detached vantage points which their exceptional wartime postings afforded. Though it is axiomatic of post-structuralist and postmodernist theory that stability of meaning is a textual illusion, the search for such stability through the production of texts of literariness (leaving aside for the moment the question of literary evaluation of those texts) was a valid one, and the subject texts worthy of study for that. The caveat of a Marxist analysis, where the aesthetic must be viewed, as all else, as a socially produced cultural commodity, would merely confirm that Schiller’s presumption of an aesthetically informed and therefore socially complete human was, like German classicism as a whole, a self-evidently abstract project.77 The texts studied here are, through the constraints of their provenance, similarly also abstract projects.

1.5. A reappraisal of critical reception.

Proceeding out of the Worthmann model of literary evaluation, the avoidance of normatives, under the eye of the censor, would require adroit phrasing. To be historically as well as culturally unspecific would in the circumstances have required so much elision as to make another and detached plane of reference a necessity. The recognition of an ethical standard might contravene prevailing occupation policy and pass the censor only if obliquely expressed. Finally, an acknowledgement that standards of literary worth are socially inherited and have social, historic, or cultural zones of validity would simply have made more pointed the non-reciprocal nature of commentary by occupiers.

Horst Denkler’s self-avowedly pessimistic conclusion is that, despite many examples of texts effecting applied metamorphosis of the antique to the present, all remained overshadowed by the ‘Third’ Reich, stuck in the wished-for, the permissible, the tolerated, the evaded; required a backward-looking elucidation, denied themselves an uninhibited reading, and were characterised by a fatalistic resignation. Denkler gives page references to repeated invocations in Kästner’s Griechenland of the Nordic origin of the ancient Greeks and to the hailing of the ancient Greek virtues of modesty, motivation, liberality, chivalry: “Bescheidenheit, Leistungsbereitschaft, Freisinnigkeit, Ritterlichkeit”. Among these references are to be found the “Persians” passage from Aeschylus (pp. 98-103) which renders in Kästner’s italics: beide Schwestern eines Stammes (p. 99), which in context may be read as pointing to the fact that the current temporarily defeated enemy – for the Persians, read the British – is of the same stock as the Germans. Kästner’s book does close with the Flug über Griechenland chapter and the observation from the air that here is “Nicht Südliches schlechthin, sondern Nördliches im Süden”, but also speaks of an “im Süden glücklich gewordenen Volkes”, a people from the North, from the age of the Völkerwanderung, become happily settled in the South (p. 269).

From the same colloquium Hartlaub is situated by Andrea Dech both in the Magic Realism movement and also in the left-wing, Verist branch of the Neue Sachlichkeit. His magic-realist traits are the strongly visual, static quality of his Prosagedicht pieces: a film-like zoom and wandering-observer technique; propensities to anthropomorphise, to petrify the animate and animate the petrified; a tendency to miniaturisation; pronounced stillnesses contrasting with strong acoustics; backgrounds of decay and stultification; and minimal human communication. Lastly, the withdrawal of the author from the narrative is analogous to the understated surface technique in Magic Realist painting. All Hartlaub’s mature work, however (the Berlin sketches and onwards), is situated firmly in the reality of the era as imposed by the regime, whether the oppressive pre-war Berlin or the subdued, desolate-seeming Paris: the workless, the poor
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and the malformed are portrayed unflinchingly. Even the grotesquerie of the Führerhauptquartier sketches is not a gratuitous surrealism, but the stress-induced hallucinations of people vividly aware that they are living at the well-organised centre of chaos – hence both Magic Realist and Verist. Treatment of the late antique, renaissance, seventeenth century Spain and revolutionary France periods are confined to Hartlaub’s juvenilia: there is no recourse to an ideal counter-world in the wartime writings, unless it be, as Dech appears to overlook, the repeatedly directed gaze to the Dächerwelt, the leaded, tile-armoured mansards and still-sovereign rooftop world of occupied Paris.

Hartlaub’s prose-poetry pieces in magic-realism guise were such as found publication by others in Nazi Germany, but even neutral portrayals of surroundings and conditions, as in the Prosagedicht pieces – these appearing to draw Hartlaub towards the ‘right’, classical, wing of Neue Sachlichkeit – would, as Dech points out, still have been seen as criticism. Despite humour, the pronounced bleakness of the Paris moods, added to which a usually ironic portrayal of his fellow countrymen going about their duties, would most probably have ruled out chances of publication. Dech, proceeding from C.H. Wilke’s philological study, determines that Hartlaub’s sketches were intended as trial pieces for a polyperspectival novel which re-united some of the characters at a later point in the war, at the Führerhauptquartier. The novel plan includes in the fantasy of one of the characters a post-war scene so unthinkable inside the prevailing ideology that any thought of its publication had to have been projected beyond the war’s end. It is clear then that Hartlaub was aware that his writings had crossed the line between what was permissible and what was illicit. Circumstances, and an already established arts-history career, combined to offer Kästner the opportunity to write in the circumscribed space just short of that line.

Schonauer (1961) criticises Third Reich bourgeois literature as harmless, “unverfänglich”, and noncommittal, “unverbindlich”, and characterised by journalistic feature-writing. The more aspiring of its authors – Schonauer
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instances here Ernst Jünger’s 1934 “Dalmatinischer Aufenthalt” and Friedrich Georg Jünger’s 1943 “Wanderungen auf Rhodos” – opted almost exclusively for the landscapes of Western culture: France, Italy and Spain, proceeding in a mistaken notion that aesthetic escapism was an anti-Nazi position. Schonauer dismisses all such attempts as ‘calligraphied pastoralism’. Kästner’s ‘blond Achaean’ passage from Griechenland is cited as an instance of ideological calligraphy: “ein Buch [...] in dem das klassische Bildungsergebnis der attischen Landschaft und das des Krieges mittels der Kalligraphie auf einen ideologischen Nenner gebracht werden.”

The dismissive charge of ‘calligraphy’ is repeated in Schnell’s (1976) criticism of the literature of the ‘inner emigration’. Unlike Friedrich Georg Jünger who in his 1943 published Griecheische Götter essays had offered the antique as an ideal alternative model to the fascist reality, Kästner, so Schnell makes the distinction, constructs time-transcending (überzeitlich) commonalities from the history of ideas (geistesgeschichtliche Bezüge) for the political purpose of diverting attention from the actual conditions of occupied Greece.

In support of this reading, Schnell quotes selectively from a passage that in whole is, in fact, in praise of the early Byzantine mosaics at the Daphni monastery, and from another passage where Kästner figuratively links the decay of Byzantine masonry at Mistra to the spirit of Oriental fatalism that had infected Greece. While misrepresenting the sense by ignoring Kästner’s debunking of the Frankish crusaders and truncating the citation at the point where it proceeds to make clear that such legends stirred the fantasy of ardent Graecophile Germans (and by inference, only such), Schnell attributes ‘Nordic’ race triumphalism to Kästner. More sustainably questionable, perhaps, is the conclusion of this passage, where Kästner quotes a Goethe Faust stanza to suggest that the ‘hammer of fate’ had struck again in the spring of 1941 on the names of Thermopylae, Olympia, and Corinth. Schnell’s interpretation of the ‘Persians’ passage: “...schafft er suggestiv eine überzeitliche Gemeinsamkeit, deren politische Funktion in der Ablenkung von der geschichtlich-gesellschaftlichen Bedingungen der

Besetzung Griechenlands besteht”, is perplexing, given that Kästner’s essay is wholly about the matter of the message of Aeschylus’ play: that it behoves victors to have a sympathetic understanding of the plight of a temporarily defeated enemy lest the daemonic forces visit the same fate on them in turn.

Schnell construes the ‘blond Achaeans’ episode also as an escape from the wartime reality of Greece at the expense a mythical simultaneity (Gleichzeitigkeit). The Fahrt nach Griechenland chapter was written in the course of July 1941, and the Homeric bathing scene is found already recounted in a private letter to Gerhard Hauptmann dated 3rd July, with the concluding phrase: es ergab sich unversehens ein völlig arkadisches Bild. In Griechenland, the phrase reappears as: unversehens ergab sich ein völlig klassisches Bild (p.10). The spontaneity of the classical imagery is evident from the Hauptmann letter, making Hiller von Gaertringen’s explication the more plausible: Kästner’s retention of the episode in the post-war Ölberge, Weinberge indicates that that book is less an account of his wartime fate than a rendering of account, a Rechenschaftsbericht, on his unfolding love story with Greece. The other critics seem not to have noted Kästner’s own justification, in the text, for the text: “Wer auf Erden hätte jemals mehr Recht gehabt, sich mit jenen zu vergleichen als die hier – die nicht daran dachten?” (italics added). Those young men had no notion of themselves as being in the mould of classical Greek heroes; that image springs spontaneously, unversehens, from Kästner’s own mind. Kästner adds that not one of them but had left a fallen friend behind in Crete: Sie kamen vom schwersten Siege. No equivalence, however, is established between the four-and-a-half thousand German war graves at Chania and the three-and-a-half thousand Cretans who lost their lives and the sixty villages destroyed or seriously damaged through the German/Italian occupation. Kästner was not aware of the extent of British presence on the island in the direction of partisan activity or of the acute threat to his own life while on the Omalos plateau in November 1943, but did know at
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the time of previous reprisal actions by German forces on the Island. Criticism to date has not proposed an approach within which such happenings, even if they had been fully known, could have been acknowledged without negating the literary project that was *Kreta*.

**1.6. The German philhellenic Paradigm**

That texts from Schiller, Goethe, Jean Paul, Hölderlin and Eduard Mörike should appear in a supplement to the forces newspaper *Wacht im Südosten* already in June of 1941 conveys in itself something of the presumption of a German claim on Greece as intellectual property. In the nominally civilian newspaper *Deutsche Nachrichten in Griechenland* in July 1942, the invocation of the poet Hölderlin, the proselytizer Winckelmann, the archaeologist Schliemann and the historian Burckhardt as forming a chain of discoverers leading to a Hellene-derived new spirit of the West is a quite specific declaration of that claim. The common citation of such names points also to a presumption that among the overwhelmingly military readership of these newspapers an educated cadre would recognise the references from their Gymnasium schooling. Kästner himself notes, even before the first publication of *Griechenland*, the military edition, that “Natürlich ist es eben kein Buch für den einfachen Soldaten.” As reference work for *Griechenland*, Kästner had used a 1941 anthology of antique sources by the same author, Georg von Reutern, whose broadcast series of “Führungen durch Griechenland” was later issued in book form, in 1943, by the Wehrmacht radio station Athens. *Griechenland*, besides its insistence that all forms of antique Greek public activity not to do with the everyday were religious observances, theatre included, (pp. 135, 136, 232) also stresses that before temples, sacred hillsides were held to be abodes of the divinities and that the external aspect, precincts and surrounding nature of temples were more important than the inner *Cella* (pp. 18, 87). It is with his second book, *Kreta*, that Kästner’s research intensified: on religion in particular, he consulted Erwin Rohde’s *Psyche*
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though not, it would seem, the *Glaube der Hellenen* (1931-32) of Ulrich von Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, whose scholarship he might earlier have encountered in the *Gymnasium* primer, *Griechisches Lesebuch* (1902). In the opening chapter of *Kreta*, the ascent of Mount Ida, Kästner’s enthusiasm for the ancient, anthropomorphic Greek religion is unrestrained; on the summit, it is a veritable confession of faith:

Im Ereignis des werdenden Tags glaubte ich die Erscheinung des Zeus zu erfahren, [...] Er ist der Junge, der Strahler, der Bringer, der Held; der Stifter der Welt, die wir träumen: der lichten, der klaren, olympischen, griechischen Welt. (p. 17)

This is more than the purely figurative and playful insistence in *Griechenland* (p. 188) that Pan lived on in the quickening of the spirits that the Grecian mountain landscape imparts, and could find embodiment in a gifted Greek shepherd flute player.

In an explication of his wartime perception of and approach to Greece, Kästner admits that when he first came to Greece he shared the general, Schillerian classicist view, but with time had come to see that that view failed to recognise the role of Byzantium in the transmission of the antique. The Schillerian view, however, explains much of the lyrically sublime that produces the impression of aesthetic escapism, the common charge levelled against Kästner. Indeed, his invocations of a still immanent ancient sanctity about the ceremonial sites of antiquity almost paraphrase the ‘Aesthetic Letters’ of Schiller:

Die Tempel blieben dem Auge heilig, als die Götter längst zum Gelächter dienten [...] Die Menschheit hat ihre Würde verloren, aber die Kunst hat sie gerettet und aufbewahrt in bedeutenden Steinen; [9th letter]

Kästner’s seeming preoccupation with the folk religion of ancient Greece was, as is clear from his retort to the correspondent who challenged him on his later apparent ‘conversion’ to Byzantium, the fundament of his project to honour what survived in man, in folk ways, of the classic Greek ideal. In Schiller’s
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terminology, without the ‘play drive’ which drew out the beautiful ideal, Schönheit, from between the stasis of the striving-for-definition rationality of the ‘form-drive’ and the flux of the affective sensualness of the ‘sense-drive’, that is: from between the human inclination to have things remain comfortably unchanging and the human necessity to develop and discard, no ideal polity or any enshrinement of it in stone or word would otherwise have emerged. Schiller’s furtherance of the Kantian examination of the possibility of an objective idea of beauty, the universal principle, the possibility of which Kant doubted, leads him to develop the only other conclusion, that aesthetic consensus is a cultural ideal and that an important task of culture is to make man aesthetic, since only out of man’s aesthetic appreciation and not out of his physical nature could morality develop (23rd letter). That modern zoology may have determined elements of morality in animal behaviour need not undermine this view. Thus the Kallias Fragment letters lead to the lost ‘Augustenburger’ letters to his Danish ducal patron, the source of the twenty seven ‘Aesthetic’ letters. Writing notionally again to this statesman and alluding to the French Revolution as a radical starting point (2nd letter), Schiller stressed the social and ethical implications of aesthetic taste, even if concluding by the 27th and final letter that the ideal was utopian: “Existiert aber auch ein solcher Staat des schönen Scheins, und wo ist er zu finden? […] in einigen wenigen auserlesenen Zirkeln.” In coming to that conclusion Schiller recognises that the human is confronted by three states: ‘dynamic’ state, of regulations and law; the ‘ethical’ state, of duties and obligations; and the ‘aesthetical’ state, the sovereign but insubstantial “Reich der Einbildungskraft” (26th & 27th letters). For Schiller, the aesthetic world or state was quite other than that of even the most complete Platonic republic: function, order, proportion and perfection, “Zweckmäßigkeit, Ordnung, Proportion, Vollkommenheit”, are qualities which have nothing to do with the definition of beauty, since these are heteronomous, not free of functional imperatives.¹⁰² Kästner makes no reference to Schiller’s aesthetic theory or to its ethical branchings in Über Anmut und Würde – except, perhaps, in an ironic exclamation on the no-holds-barred pancratium: ‘durchaus nicht mit Anmut und Würde!’ (Griechenland, p.

¹⁰² In: “Freiheit in der Erscheinung ist eins mit der Schönheit” of the Kallias fragment.
136) – but does stress in *Griechenland* the significance of proportion, of Maß, in the sense of the correct and desirable relationship of the man-made to its natural surroundings. Equally with Schiller, and increasingly so in his post-war writings, Kästner decried the intrusion of science into the realm of the imagination. In that he ever retained the Schillerian concern:

Selbst der philosophische Untersuchungsgeist entzießt der Einbildungskraft eine Provinz nach der andern, und die Grenzen der Kunst verengen sich, je mehr die Wissenschaft ihre Schranken erweitert.

(2nd letter)

That such an esoteric concept should find its way into a book, *Griechenland*, commissioned in its first edition for a readership of garrison soldiers, accorded with the programme of cultural education whose propaganda sub-text was an implied association with the greatness of ancient Greece, and which paid scant attention to the condition of the contemporary country. Kästner could count on a readership from a country whose philosophical and literary lights had had, in neohumanism, an obsession with Greece. Marchand (2003), citing Fuhrmann, notes that German philhellenism was concerned at first with the comparison between the Greeks and the moderns, and became associatively pro-national only in reaction to Napoleonic occupation and the defeat at Jena. As head of the new *Kultus und Unterricht* section of Prussia’s interior ministry, 1809-1810, the Graecophile Wilhelm von Humboldt had introduced the self-cultivation concept of Bildung, and with his followers, the Gymnasium curriculum. Its programme, though it contained both egalitarian and elitist elements, was non-utilitarian and secular, sharpened intellectual skills rather than imparted content, and its product, the Bildungsbürger, was drilled in classical grammar. With state-funded excavation and the expansion of the Royal Museums, archaeology gained academic respectability and social prestige. Later, the Kulturpolitik of the Wilhelmine era would conflate archaeology with diplomacy. Yet August Böckh, the champion of Sachphilologie, the strict, historicist study of the laws, customs, religion, art and economics, of the
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whole life of a people, stressed nonetheless that the foundation and source of all, in the case of Greece, lay in Greek religion, and Humboldt regarded the empiricism of the natural sciences studied at university level as prejudicial to the humanities. The Gymnasium became, as Marchand notes, “the accepted gateway to university and white-collar careers”, though also, after 1870, the preserve not just of the career-oriented, ambitious Bildungsbürgertum middle class, but increasingly of the old Mittelstand of such as lower officials, teachers and shopkeepers. Collections of classical casts were to be found in every medium-sized German city. The Greek Bildungsideal was entrenched, but, characterised by a rigour and discipline-for-discipline’s sake in Latin and Greek studies and a resistance to the encroachment of modern subjects on the part of the classical philologists, it was beleaguered by the movement for school reform. It was Nietzsche’s championing of the Dionysian in The Birth of Tragedy, and his final aestheticisation of everything: of ontology, cosmology, ethics, anthropology and epistemology, in the Will to Power fragments, which pointed to new, non-philological forms of enquiry for an understanding of the Greeks. Students looked elsewhere: to poetry, painting – and to the travel writing of Gerhart Hauptmann. Kästner’s Griechenland tribute could confidently make the claim that Hauptmann was the “Dichter des Griechischen Frühlings, der mir wie tausend anderen schon im Knabenalter das Erlebnis dieses Landes war” (168).

1.7. Prevailing literary form

The writers wrote in multiple guises: art-historical guide (Göpel, Kästner); art historian (Göpel); cultural cartographer (Kästner); flâneur (Hartlaub); Walter Bauer in the pose of simple, serving soldier; Kurt Lothar Tank as military-tour guest (for the 1940 section of his ‘Paris’ diaries), Horst Lange and H.G. Rexroth in purely fictional characters or personae. The writers varied

---

109 Ibid., pp. 42, 43.
110 Ibid., p. 27.
111 Ibid., pp. 125, 133.
112 Ibid., p. 125
113 Ibid., p. 134
114 Ibid., p. 117.
116 Marchand: Down from Olympus, p. 117.
117 Ibid., p. 118.
also in their discourse: in the case of Göpel, regional landscape as determinant of character and culture; in the case of Kästner, the classical sites of Greece as architectural and landscape studies and as stage for sometimes metaphysical reflections on the culture of the antique; in the case of Hartlaub, the occupied city from the viewpoint of the anonymous, mildly sardonic flâneur. The writing in so far as it was a form of extended travel impressions might be seen superficially as continuation of the travel writing vogue carrying over from 1930s Germany. Schäfer has pointed out that the thirties enthusiasm for travel had led to a revival of travel literature, above all in diary forms, and that the high point of the popularity of this form was reached during the war, when the diary and war reporting genres mixed and press war-reporters liked to dress their reportage as sketches and mood pictures. Schäfer adds that so pervasive and influential was the diary culture of the thirties and forties that all literary currents, albeit in very different ways, participated in it. Schäfer’s periodisation survey orders Kästner’s “Griechenlandtagebuch” into the war-and-travel journal genre, even though from the geographically site-specific structure of Kästner’s Griechenland of early 1943 and of his later Kreta it is clear that these books are intended not as diary accounts or travel journals, but rather, overtly, as guides to the sites and culture of the antique and to the inherited and determining influence on Western culture. It should be noted also that Kästner is scathingly critical of the passivity of mere tourism and, implicitly, of travel journal literature springing only from that: “hat man vergessen, daß Reisen eine Kunst ist, die ebensoviel an Sammlung, an Frommheit und Bildung voraussetzt, als sie sodann gewährt, und daß es ohne Bemühung zur Antwort nicht geht?”.

It should be noted also that Hartlaub similarly disdained the Reisegesellschaft, ‘coach party’, mentality of his colleagues in Paris: “Wohl oder übel hat man sich da-mit [sic] abgefunden, ganz in der Reisegesellschaft zu existieren, was sehr anstrengend und unfruchtbar ist.” In emphasising the popularity of the diary genre Schäfer instances the provision of a ‘diary pack’ with specimen entries which appeared
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Diary keeping, like the *Feldpost*, was seen as good for the morale of the troops, and for propaganda. The publishers of Jünger’s *Gärten und Straßen* brought four conquest-celebrating diary accounts of the Polish and French campaigns onto the market in 1940/41. As closely related with such propagandist accounts Schäfer sees, among others, the diary accounts of Walter Bauer and Kurt Lothar Tank, and groups these into the category of the existential-philosophical and confessional which seeks to aestheticise the war out of the actuality of life, “aus der Zone des Lebens” – a view and categorisation which it is here proposed to re-examine.

With the exception of Lange’s novella, *Die Leuchtkugeln*, and Rexroth’s novel, *Der Wermutstrauch*, the writings here examined are all variants, when categorised broadly by genre, of the prevailing diary and travel-journal mode, but to regard them as merely period pieces in a given mode would be to disregard their worth as tests of the application of literary form. Hartlaub’s diaries – designated such in the editions of his sister, Geno Hartlaub – in Schäfer’s opinion elevate the diary genre into a high sphere of literary art: “rücken dieGattung in einen hohen Kunstbezirk.” Similarly, Kästner and Göpel intended, in Iserian terms, beyond the direct subject matter of their writing assignments.

Brenner (1997) styles the rise of travel literature in the Weimar Republic as *der Kult der Zerstreuung*: “der moderne Tourist schreibt nicht, er liest bestenfalls”. The modern tourist therefore, in contrast to the journal-writing traveller of the nineteenth century, had to be written for. The indulgence in diversion, *Zerstreuung*, from modern life afforded by improved motor transport, by the development of cruise voyaging and, for the adventurous, long-distance air travel, led to new forms of describing experience, the most
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widespread among these being reportage. That continued to be the case throughout the Nazi regime, even after the point when leisure travel was out of the question. Zerstreuung was still a useful palliative. The popularity of mass travel had been exploited by the regime through the Kraft durch Freude programme and even a fictional account of such travels could still find a readership in 1942. Brenner’s study, in posing the question as to how far and to what extent NSDAP domination had, if at all, led to an epochal change in literary and cultural development points to indications from other studies (Barbian, Eichberg, Ketelsen, Strothmann) that the pre-existing, proto-fascist trends towards uniformity of political and everyday life, particularly in literature, had merely been accelerated by the element of compulsion accompanying the new regime. That the effects of the old (and freely chosen) forms of travel and the new (and officially organised) on German travel literature had not been (as of 1997) researched, as Brenner notes, does not detract from the fact relevant to this study that there was, in any case, continuity and undiminished popularity in travel literature continuing into the war years themselves. Moreover, as Brenner citing Strothmann points out, a whole series of German writers participated in NSDAP-organised war tourism to Spain, Poland, France and Russia or Norway. Brenner notes that the war-reporting of the military propaganda companies soon evolved into a genre of its own. The 1940 section of Kurt Lothar Tank’s Pariser Tagebuchblätter 1938-1939-1940 was the result of a Wehrmacht organised field visit, and Walter Bauer wrote his Tagebuchblätter aus Frankreich as a serving soldier in a transport company. The publishing success of the latter, six printings, is an example of the popularity that travel literature from the theatres of war could enjoy when civil leisure travel was out of the question and in its stead the war could, for a time, still be presented as adventure. Though rendered difficult through currency exchange controls introduced even before the war, foreign
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travel for leisure had continued to enjoy a growing popularity.\(^{138}\) The popularity of the derivative literary form which offered escape from the anxiety of tense times is understandable, but it was a form capable, as Brenner points out, of being exploited for propaganda purposes: a Baedeker guide to the ‘Generalgouvernement’ slave state of southern and eastern Poland, from 1943, now seems grotesque.\(^{139}\) Works whose provenance is traced ultimately to some variant of ‘war tourism’ surmount that categorical relegation only through intrinsic literary merit.

All but one of Hartlaub’s fragments from France are set in Paris and constitute an attempt to write \textit{flâneur} literature, as defined by Walter Benjamin and Franz Hessel,\(^{140}\) from the point of view of an observer whose necessarily detached viewpoint was further distanced by his status as occupying alien, and in Hartlaub’s case, additionally by a discomfiture among his own countrymen caused by an aquiline profile. Kästner’s literary output by contrast was narrator-centred, with the narrator sometimes conjoined with a single other travel companion as ‘we’; the other being in \textit{Griechenland} the illustrator Helmut Kaulbach and, in \textit{Kreta}, Alois Dorfmeister. Kästner’s working format, though not the \textit{object} of his writing, is that of the travel-journal account; the journeys are to specific points of interest, those encountered interact with the narrator. The detachment and anonymity of the \textit{flâneur} do not come into play.

In Erhard Göpel’s Brittany and Normandy guide books the personae of traveller-raconteur or detached \textit{flâneur} are both equally absent. There is no record of one-to-one personal encounters; instead, the reader is presented with set-piece descriptive encounters: with Mont St. Michel in \textit{Die Bretagne}, with mounted horsemen emerging out of a morning mist in \textit{Die Normandie}. The latter encounter, in the opening chapter, is collective, as is the only other, with a Flemish settler, in the final chapter. Göpel’s use of “\textit{wir}” in these instances suggests that he is most probably travelling in attachment to a \textit{Propagandakompanie} unit. The writer’s viewpoint is elsewhere in these two booklets to be discerned only indirectly, from the general tenor of the writing.

\(^{138}\) Ibid., p. 151; also Schäfer: \textit{Das gespaltene Bewußtsein}, p. 155, citing Grundberger (1972), p. 32.

\(^{139}\) Brenner: \textit{Reisekultur in Deutschland}, p. 148.

The exploitative harshness of German-enforced administration regimes in the captured cities of the East may be read from the example of Kiev. Demolitions by the departing Soviet forces, as at Kiev and Rostov, added to the hardships suffered by the citizens. A lack of chateaux or of Hellenic ruins and growing attacks in the countryside by Soviet partisans deterred military ‘tourism’. The book-length works which seek to present a detached, literary view of that land and its peoples present rather the abandoned and the displaced than the settled population, in so far as that was left undisturbed. The theatre visit in Taganrog in H.G. [Hermann Georg] Rexroth’s novel, Der Wermutstrauch, is hurriedly concluded to the sounds of uncomfortably close shellfire. Martin Raschke’s longing for the stone culture of the classical South as dietary regulant and refreshment after ingesting so much of the earthy East represents the unsatisfied thirst of the post-expressionist, technical-metropolitan modernist for reference points amid an estranging natural vastness. Not just the war, but the lack of a Western European urban density disoriented the cultural compass of the venturing soldier-writers. The best of what they produced was in consequence an adaptation of the bourgeois-cultivated forms of novel and novella.

1.8. The Kriegstagebuch: mastery and criticism

The literary success of Ernst Jünger’s wartime diaries began with the 1942 publication of Gärten und Straßen. When discovered by the censor, the art of camouflaged speech which Jünger deployed in Gärten und Straßen prevented the appearance of a second edition. Consequently, of the continuation volumes: Das erste Pariser Tagebuch and Das zweite Pariser Tagebuch, remained with the rest of his wartime and immediate post-war diaries unpublished until they appeared under the titles Strahlungen I and II in 1949. Marose (2000), citing a passage from Das erste Pariser Tagebuch, goes so far as to say that Jünger embodied the very type of occupier “den Hartlaubs

gesamte Ablehnung und Verachtung trafen.”144 Jünger may appear morbid in experiencing a thrill from being alone in uniform among a French crowd at Vincennes on the feast day of Joan of Arc in May 1941: “ähnlich wie man mit brennender Kerze träumend durch ein Pulvermagazin spaziert”,145 but in doing so unashamedly portrays the occupier’s state of heightened sensation. It is a similar “höhere Neugier”, an elevated curiosity, which fascinates him two weeks later when ordered to oversee the execution of a deserter: “Ich sah schon viele sterben, doch keinen im bestimmten Augenblick.”146 The account is as compassionate as it is unsparingly detailed, and can be read as a covert plea for a humane understanding of the human condition. In relation to diary keeping and letter writing then, the remark of Marianne Feuersenger, secretary in the war-diary section of the Führerhauptquartier, “es war damals unmöglich ‘Klartext’ niederzuschreiben”, applied to the very keeping of a diary, let alone one for publication.147 Afforded a certain licence by virtue of his standing as celebrated author and war-hero holder of the Pour le Mérite, Jünger, though viewed with suspicion (his Paris protector, chief-of-staff West, Hans Speidel, had been warned by Keitel against him),148 had entrée at the highest levels in France, and his Pariser Tagebuch volumes I and II, published later in Strahlungen, present a rarefied view of the occupation. These are post-war publications, however, and Gärten und Straßen ends with an entry of 24 July 1940, just four weeks after the armistice (it is noteworthy here that Gärten und Straßen was later attacked, in a 1943 review, for being overly sympathetic to the French).149 These publications of Jünger therefore lie outside the criterion of contemporary literature-of-occupation defined for this thesis, one publication essentially pre-dating the occupation and the others published later, in peacetime, and so subject to possible post-war redaction. Both Gärten und Straßen and its Strahlungen successor texts are nonetheless cited variously in this thesis as relevant and illuminating sources.

144 See Marose: “Das Eigentliche ist unsichtbar” [dissertation], p. 104.
146 Ibid., p. 242.
149 See ibid., p. 120.
In acknowledging Jünger as master of the *Kriegstagebuch* form, Kästner elucidates his own reservations about the form’s merits. He lauds Gerhard Nebel as an accomplished student of the master, who writes according to the master’s prescription: “ein Drittel Schlangen, Krabben und Quallen, ein Drittel Lesefrüchte, […] ein Drittel Ereignisse des Tages und des Kriegs.”¹⁵⁰ This artful mixture of the grotesque (see Jünger’s diary digression on edible snakes: 12.07.1942), of literary elitism and of actual day-to-day experience is not, Kästner is quite clear, the genuine diary: that has documentary worth and only incidentally literary worth, if any (Kästner cites here the Anne Frank diary as example). The literary, cultivated, *Zuchtform* of the diary heightens and literarily stylises experience: “Nun wird der Tagebuchstoff literarisirt, überhöht, auf Hochform gebracht.”¹⁵¹ Such literary ‘diaries’ are then no more real diaries than epistolary novels are transcripts of real letters. The impromptu nature of the form, its “Abgerissenheit”, is turned to advantage, for it is among all literary forms the least binding; it is the adolescent stage of selective ecstacies: “… es ist unter allen literarischen Formen die am wenigsten verpflichtende Form. […] Es ist die Entwicklungstufe der punktuellen Ekstasen, …”.¹⁵² Nebel, in Kästner’s opinion, has so mastered this form as to succeed in concealing the feature of it that is contrary to the very nature of diary itself: *composition*.¹⁵³ This 1949 essay on the diary form, contained in a books review, is illuminating of what Kästner himself was not engaged upon in his wartime Grecian trilogy: personal experience belonged either in a diary or travel journal, as a document of record; else it was material for free literary composition.

1.9. Prefatory note on published editions and source texts

The 1967 philological study of Wilke¹⁵⁴ established that the Hartlaub editions published to that time by Geno Hartlaub, sister of Felix Hartlaub, titled *Von unten gesehen* (1950), *Im Sperrkreis* (1955) and *Das Gesamtwerk* (1956) had omitted much from the original texts, presenting arbitrarily

¹⁵⁰ Erhart Kästner: “Der intellektuelle Gefreite”. In: *Allgemeine Zeitung* (Mainz), 16 April 1949.
¹⁵¹ Ibid.
¹⁵² Ibid.
¹⁵³ Ibid.
¹⁵⁴ See Wilke: *Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs*, pp. 33, 75.
abridged versions of the sketches. A later and enlarged edition of *Im Sperrkreis* released by Geno Hartlaub in 1980 acknowledged and purported to make good the omissions of the earlier editions. A reader in making a comparison of *Im Sperrkreis* with the Ewenz (2002/2007) Suhrkamp editions of Hartlaub’s mature writings and 1939-1945 letters will see that while missing passages had been restored by 1980, sentence truncations and word omissions remained. The Ewenz editions in contrast retain but elucidate the abbreviations and acronyms appearing in the originals. Transcription errors and omissions of the Ewenz 2002 Suhrkamp edition required the second, corrected edition of 2007. An examination conducted by Wilke of 250 pages of the Hartlaub creative writings, letters excepted, printed in the Ewenz edition had listed over 600 misreadings. This study includes the results of an examination by the author of typescripts and original manuscripts in the papers of Felix Hartlaub at the *Deutsches Literatur Archiv* (hereinafter *DLA*) at Marbach, undertaken primarily to assess the philological accuracy of the Paris sketches as reproduced in the Ewenz (2002). Omissions from and alterations to the original texts were noted, and the contextual relevance of these is discussed in the appendix here. The publication of the revised Suhrkamp edition of the Ewenz editorship in September 2007 afforded the author philological confirmation of the graphologically difficult MS.

1.10. Methodology of selection, access and approach to the texts

Felix Hartlaub disappeared in the last days, possibly on the very last day, of the fighting in Berlin. Of his output of literary fragments, forty-one separate pieces are set in France. Hartlaub’s writings, notebooks and correspondence are deposited at *DLA* Marbach. Erhart Kästner, a librarian at the state library of Saxony at Dresden before the war, had authored catalogue publications in 1934 and 1936, but had not published creatively before the war. His post-war writings in book form were concerned still predominantly with Greece and in his lifetime included a revised edition of his 1943 *Griechenland*. Kästner’s interest later widened from the classical Greek to the succeeding Byzantine. Kästner’s papers are archived at the *Herzog August Bibliothek* at
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Wolfenbüttel and are extensively referenced in Julia Freifrau Hiller von Gaertringen’s literary biography. Further appreciations of Kästner by von Gaertringen are available online from the Detmold municipal library. Of these two writers considered at length in this thesis, Kästner’s survival and continued engagement with the topic of Greece afforded much later insight on his authorial intentions, about which in Hartlaub’s case only the bare texts and some sparse comments filled with self-doubt are left to guide the scholar.

Erhard Göpel, friend of Kästner, who had a special interest in book-binding, and had published a monograph on that topic in 1938,157 resumed his career as art historian after the war and did not subsequently publish on the subject of the war period. The bulk of Erhard Göpel’s papers are archived at the Bavarian state library in Munich. The Walter Bauer archive is housed at the Merseburg municipal library, with some information from this also available online. Bauer, but not Göpel, has been the subject of a published literary biography.158 Critical appreciations of Martin Raschke appeared in 1963 (Hoffmann) and 2002 (Haefs, Schmitz). Helmut Peitsch’s 1984 monograph159 critically appraises the works of those writers assigned to the Eastern front, among them Raschke, and its conclusions are here engaged with.

The revised Suhrkamp Hartlaub edition (2007) does not highlight its own emendations of the 2002 edition, and for that reason the author’s observations from his examination of the Hartlaub MS at DLA Marbach are included here. The editors did not alter the biographical and critical introduction of the 2002 edition, and the author takes issue only with that introduction’s conclusion that the torsi which make up Hartlaub’s Gesamtwerk (and not merely the 1955 edition of that title) are such by reason of being involuntarily incomplete.160 Wilke (1967), noting that the fragmentary, taken for granted in the diary entry, is the exception in a work of literary art, proceeds to argue that a fragmentary literary work is an art work in its potential rather than in its realisation.161 Wilke’s observation pertains to Hartlaub’s early literary development.
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singling out of chance experiences, “das Herausgreifen irgendeines zufälligen Lebensfragmentes”, avoiding sympathy or advocacy, “unter Verzicht auf Mitleid, Anwaltschaft”, was what Hartlaub had intuited as the alternative to formally aesthetic renderings, this already as a twenty year old in 1933. Wilke cites the now much-quoted passage from Hartlaub’s letter draft of February 1941 to his literary confidant Professor Gustav Radbruch: “Am besten erzähle ich Ihnen einfach, was sich alltäglich in dem Stadtgebiet, durch das meine täglichen Wege führen, sichtbar zeigt; vielleicht kommt man gerade damit dem Unsichtbaren, das natürlich das Entscheidende ist, am nächsten.”, and divines that Hartlaub pursued that conviction gradually, from sketch to sketch, in the course of his time in Paris. The extract of that letter draft to Radbruch as reprinted in Geno Hartlaub’s Das Gesamtwerk includes a passage which does not appear in the Suhrkamp editions:

…Die Trostlosigkeit, Leere, détres der Stadt ist noch ärger als erwartet… Das charakterische Klima ist arktisch, ich sehe so viel Beispiele von fortschreitender Verunmenschlichung, haarsträubendem Egoismus, kaltsschnäuziger Blasiertheit, muß mich selber dauernd gegen Einbrüche aus diesen Regionen wehren... Man muß hoffen, doch noch Zeiten zu erleben, in denen das ganze unermessliche Leid einmal irgendwie zum Bewußtsein, zur Sprache und Gestaltung ... kommt.”

An illuminating declaration, the lack of a confirmed dating and placing of which points to the need, still not met, for a complete critical edition of the Hartlaub letters. Of his later experiences Hartlaub would declare, also to Radbruch:

Jetzt wird mir aber immer klarer, dass es für meine Generation […] Die Frage nach der Genese, nach dem wie war es möglich wird wohl die einzige sein, die noch an uns gerichtet werden wird, zu der vielleicht noch etwas zu sagen sein wird.”

Clear and penetrating reportage is to be found in Hartlaub’s letters, and a literary and still more penetrating treatment of how it was psychologically possible, of the question, wie war es möglich, is to be found in his wartime sketches, among which, it is argued here, the Paris sketches constitute not torsi, but a successful literary whole.


163 See Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs, p. 159; Ewenz I (2002/2007), pp. 467/475, dates this letter to 1 March 1941.

164 Wilke, loc. cit., p. 162.


With regard to Erhart Kästner, this study concentrates on textual analysis of the two works published without postwar revision, *Griechenland* and *Kreta*. The Kästner manuscripts and typescript drafts are extensively commented on in the von Gaertringen biography and selections of Hartlaub’s correspondence have been published by Kästner’s successor at Wolfenbüttel, Raabe (1984), by Petzet (1986), and by von Gaertringen (2004).

This study foregrounds those occupied territories whose pre-eminent rank in the canon of Western European cultural tradition elicited a cognizant response. Notions of the metropolitan *flâneur* or of metaphysical conjurings of the antique presupposed conditions other than the continuous land warfare of the Eastern front. Accordingly, the serviceman writings from the Eastern theatre are considered for the literary responses they adumbrate to an *absent* civil order.

2. Occupation literature: forms and content

2.1. Measures, motives, myth, realities.

The topic of the occupation experience resurged in France after the period of Gaullist orthodoxy. The Gaullist myth of a divided France defeated by superior weaponry and recovering its freedom and honour through heroic resistance was critically examined in a huge range of post-1968 histories. A parallel surge of self-analysis occurred in Germany following reunification and the end of the Cold War. A prior parallel, between French and German contemporary writing on the subject of the occupation, cannot be drawn. Hartlaub’s covert writings from Paris, no more than Jünger’s, do not deal narratively with the conditions of occupation, although Hartlaub’s sketch, “Impression”, is a vividly coded critique (vide infra 5.9). On the French side, clandestine novels, written and published during the occupation, treated the dilemma of collaboration with some discretion.

The simple temptation of a human relationship could lead to a form of collaboration on a personal level. This in its literary treatment did not preclude
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the occupier from a sympathetic role. The plot of Vercors’s (Jean Bruller) clandestinely published 1942 novel, *Le Silence de la Mer*, is that of a resolute self-imposed silence of a father and daughter towards a sympathetic German officer billeted on them.¹⁷⁰ Walter Bargatzky’s own real-life love affair proceeded to a formal engagement, supported by the girl’s parents, and a relationship of mutual esteem was formed between Bargatzky and the girl’s demobilised French officer father – a Giraudist. The affair ended within a year. The girl consorted with other German officers. With Bargatzky’s help she fled to Germany. Bargatzky, perplexed, continued to receive letters and greetings by post from the now three times married lady every year without fail, for forty years afterwards.¹⁷¹ Real life relationships were here more complex, random and less plot-driven than their fictional treatments, pointing to the lack of a German equivalent to the clandestine novel of the occupation period.

The Bargatzky romance, although it had French parental consent, became dangerous to pursue by the Autumn of 1943.¹⁷² That was fully two years after the incidence of sabotage and random assassination of German soldiers and officials in France had intensified after the June 1941 invasion of Russia, an intensification fomented, according to German intelligence, in communist circles.¹⁷³ Bargatzky’s account of the auction of terror that followed through the execution of hostages is, on his own admission, macabre.¹⁷⁴ For this official of the justice ministry, whose office had to legally rubber-stamp execution orders, though it did not issue them,¹⁷⁵ life in occupied France was “ein ständiger Wechsel von Freude und Schrecken.”¹⁷⁶ Tact was called for in verbal communication between acquainted occupiers and occupied, lest either be compromised, and avoidance of direct reference was tacit, as in this extreme example: while he was temporarily hospitalised, a cleaning lady, whose husband was a POW in Germany, of her own volition changed the flowers by Bargatzky’s bed every day. One morning, for the fourth or fifth
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time that week, they heard the execution salvos from the nearby Mont-Valérien fortress. The woman looked directly at Bargatzky, remarking only: “Ils sont beaux, les cyclamens, n’est-ce-pas?”\textsuperscript{177} On hearing the same sound, a character in Claude Morgan’s clandestinely published La marque de l’homme is swayed from collaboration to resistance.\textsuperscript{178}

Bargatzky saw four succeeding states of attitude and perception: at first the mass, “korrekt, zugeknöpft, abwartend”, much as Hartlaub had noted; then others ready for collaboration and eager for alliance in politics, culture, propaganda, and the black market; then a third group in the background, hostile, resistant; then, towards the end, a fourth, delirious with thoughts of a liberating army at the gates.\textsuperscript{179} The first days, however, once the panic had subsided and the population began to return to Paris, produced the uneasy quasi-normality which Hartlaub distilled in his sketches and eventually used to satirise its impossibility as a basis for a new order in Europe. In Bargatzky’s words, it was “Der Gipfel des Absurden, daß alles ans Normale grenzt. Die Kulisse ist unversehrt, nur das Leben fehlt.”\textsuperscript{180}

Gerhard Hirschfeld observes that a history of the collaboration of the people of an occupied country is always a history of the occupying power as well.\textsuperscript{181} Michels (1993), notes the irony of the first German cultural institute on French soil being established at a time when the two nations were still formally at war with one another.\textsuperscript{182} Singularly, for the first time the middle of a war also, a network of German cultural institutions modelled on the Paris Institut was extended throughout German-allied and occupied Europe.\textsuperscript{183} Michels concludes that these, though presenting their activities as a refined form of cultural politics, were a reflection of the high value attached to psychological influence over populations by the German regime, and that this view was reinforced by the perception that France had in the past gained more international influence by the same cultural means than her military strength.

\textsuperscript{177} Ibid., p. 91.
\textsuperscript{178} See Michael Kelly, in Hirschfeld & Marsh: Collaboration in France, p. 244.
\textsuperscript{179} Bargatzky: Hotel Majestic, p. 57.
\textsuperscript{180} Ibid., p. 59.
\textsuperscript{181} Hirschfeld and Marsh: Collaboration in France, p. 7.
\textsuperscript{182} See Eckard Michels: Das Deutsche Institut in Paris 1940-1944 (Stuttgart: Steiner, 1993), p. 63
\textsuperscript{183} Ibid., p. 265.
would have warranted.\textsuperscript{184} Centrally sponsored cultural activities concealed a second, more immediate purpose of the regime, that of diverting attention from the otherwise unwelcome presence of occupation forces.\textsuperscript{185} The activities sponsored by the Paris Institute attracted an initial and enduring interest on the part of some in the French population. In explanation, Michels suggests that the shock of the sudden defeat, the relatively mild-seeming\textsuperscript{186} terms of the armistice and the unexpectedly disciplined behaviour of the occupation troops may have led temporarily to a genuine interest by some French people in the neighbouring land and its culture.\textsuperscript{187} A concern for their careers on the part of people on the public payroll such as teachers or administrators may have led these to deem it prudent to be seen to continue to occasionally appear at Institute-directed lectures and cultural presentations.\textsuperscript{188} The initiative for the founding of the German Institute, Michels concludes, sprang from those functionaries who saw in the sudden collapse of France and the ensuing lack of structured directives for the future Frankreichpolitik a career opportunity to direct German-French cultural relations themselves, along lines of their own determination.\textsuperscript{189}

An affirmation of the duty of cultural protection, recognised also in WWI,\textsuperscript{190} came from the regular army itself through its setting up in July 1940 of the Gruppe Kunstschutz, the architectural and fine-arts protection service (hereinafter KS), to which were added divisions for the protection of libraries, archives and sites of antiquity.\textsuperscript{191} One of these sub-divisions of the KS, the Referat “Vorgeschichte und Archaeologie”,\textsuperscript{192} undertook the card-indexing of museum and private collections of antiquities, the existence of some of which

\textsuperscript{184} Ibid., p. 266.
\textsuperscript{185} Ibid., pp. 60, 64.
\textsuperscript{186} For French disillusionment on this point, see Eberhard Jäckel: \textit{Frankreich in Hitlers Europa. Die deutsche Frankreich Politik im Zweiten Weltkrieg} (Stuttgart: Deutsche Verlags-Anstalt, 1966).
\textsuperscript{187} Michels: \textit{Das Deutsche Institut in Paris}, p. 263.
\textsuperscript{188} Ibid., p. 254.
\textsuperscript{189} Ibid., p. 64.
\textsuperscript{192} Günther-Hornig, op. cit., p. 46.
had hitherto been unknown to the French cultural authorities.\textsuperscript{193} In addition, director of the KS, Prof. Dr. Franz Graf Wolff-Metternich, set up a fine-arts staff for the making of a photographic record of mediaeval French artworks, under the supervision of Professor Hamann of the University of Marburg. The photographic campaign was conducted from the beginning of October 1940 through the end of September 1941, when continuation of the work was entrusted to the Paris \textit{Institut}.\textsuperscript{194} A research project of the KS pre-history \textit{Referat}, on the Germanic folklore of Wallonia, northern France and the German/French language-border regions, the so-called “Frankenunternehmen”, was carried out with particular emphasis.\textsuperscript{195} A scholarly undertaking, unobjectionable in itself, it begged the question of whether or not it was initiated ultimately from above, in connection with the ‘Nordostline’/‘Schwarze Linie’/‘Führerlinie’ notion of a Germanised region extending to French Flanders, Ardenne, Alsace-Lorraine and Burgundy.\textsuperscript{196} Günther-Hornig’s (1958) study which extends to the other occupied territories also, notes that the KS in its culture and monuments activities in Greece, realising that its assurance of freedom of activity (and, implicitly, of freedom from political interference) came from the military itself, applied itself intensively, acting through the commander-in-chief Greece, to providing reading material, lectures and guided tours for the troops, the conducted tours and winter lectures proving extremely popular: “daher widmete sich der KS beim Militärbefehlshaber in Griechenland intensiv der Belehrung der Truppe.”\textsuperscript{197} Kästner’s commission from General Mayer to write \textit{Griechenland} was granted for the same purpose of \textit{Belehrung der Truppe}. Similarly, the KS service in France, coming directly under military command, would have had a guiding role in such publishing ventures as Erhard Göpel’s \textit{Die Bretagne} and \textit{Die Normandie} (Göpel specifically thanks Count Metternich for the provision of photographic plates reproduced in \textit{Die Normandie}).

In the attempts by the German embassy in Paris under ambassador Abetz to remove to the embassy artworks from the Louvre and Rotschild collections,
and the confiscations of the *Einsatzstab Reichsleiter Rosenberg*, both used the spurious claim of ‘securing’ dispersed or (where the departed owners were Jewish) ‘abandoned’ art collections. These activities and the personal plunderings of Göring were all resisted by the military administration in a losing rearguard action.  

Though the *Kunstschütze* service in France had by 1942 received an enlarged remit and was henceforth known as the *Gruppe Kunst- und Kulturverwaltung*, Count Metternich, who had championed the military’s defence against the plunder, was relieved of his post by direct order of Hitler in June 1942. The motives of the military were practical, in seeking to prevent actions which would further damage French-German relations and in consequence make the task of the military administration more difficult. The military, since it formally held ultimate responsibility for all matters within the occupied zone, did not want its reputation undeservedly sullied by the actions of others.  

The ‘Bargatzky report’, drafted in January 1945 with reference to the Paris *Kunstschütze* files, documents how the military, fearing an outright political fiat from Berlin, had relied on objections based on grounds of competence and procedural authority when resisting the intrusions of other agencies. Bargatzky claims for the military that though appropriated French artworks were to be seen furnishing German offices in Paris and even in the embassy itself, not a single such painting or piece of sculpture was be found at the military administration headquarters in the Hotel Majestic. Though the Rosenberg *Einsatzstab* arrived in Greece also, directly after the German invasion, and undertook archaeological excavations of its own without reference to the military’s *Kunstschütze* service, only a single large-scale Greek artwork in the care of the KS was expropriated to Germany, whereupon the head of the service in Greece asked to be relieved of his duties. Within the military administrations, whether as exemplary of good discipline or for the instruction of garrison soldiers where conspicuous
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*Korrektheit* was the public political policy (in grotesque contrast to the policy of national dissolution pursued in Slavic Poland)\(^{206}\) or whether out of concern for military’s own self image and international reputation (reports of art plundering had appeared in the American press already in 1940),\(^{207}\) there was therefore a corresponding readiness to promote cultural appreciation in the form of literary output by German servicemen.

### 2.2. France: cultural patronage and the centrality of Paris

The image of occupation soldiers in uniform, at their easels, capturing Paris on canvas, is well-known. Two major art exhibitions of such art were mounted (one by the *Luftwaffe*) and reproductions of exhibited works, some in colour, appeared in *Frankreich, ein Erlebnis des deutschen Soldaten*, a limited-edition collection of essays by German servicemen writers which appeared with a foreword by *Generalleutnant* Schaumburg, commandant of *Gross-Paris* military region, in May 1942.\(^{208}\) The publication contained also, besides extracts from Ernst Jünger’s *Gärten und Straßen* which was published in French translation in the same year, as *Jardins et routes*, essays on the regions of France, from Flanders to the Pyrénées, and two essays celebrating Paris in particular. The collection, conceived as a literary follow-up to one of the exhibitions, “*Kunst der deutschen Wehrmacht in Paris*”, of early Autumn 1941, offers exemplars of the contemporary perceptual framework of educated German witnesses within a *Weltanschauung* that might still, to that point, before hindsight had made the determining consequences of the reversal before Moscow in the winter of 1941 apparent to all, admit of the plausibility of a new civil order in a unified Europe. Laval and Darlan, after all, had bid for a place in this new order with their *Plan d’un ordre nouveau en France* of April 1941 (only to have it impressed on them afterwards, in the light of the paramountcy of the needs of *Barbarossa*, that France’s role was to be that of a supplier).\(^{209}\) The editor and, as the frontispiece calligraphy says, instigator of *Frankreich* was a *Hauptmann* Heinz Lorenz, the same who in the following
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year edited Soldaten fotografieren Frankreich: ein Bilderbuch mit Erzählungen, also the product of an exhibition, “Soldaten fotografieren und filmen”, staged in Paris in the Spring of 1942. Lorenz was later press secretary under Reichspressechef Otto Dietrich. With a print run of just two thousand, Frankreich is not conceived as propaganda for wide dissemination, even within the military, but propaganda it is, of a culturally self-persuasive kind.

The contributors are, so the frontispiece-text on the book’s provenance, serving in France um die Freiheit Deutschlands und die Neugestaltung Europas. Lt. General Schaumburg’s introduction begins: “Der Waffenstillstand im Walde von Compiègne war geschlossen.” An armistice had been signed. The military reality is tactfully elided. Affectionate words follow: the German soldier had in the meantime come to know “die lieblichen Gefilde der Île de France [...] die Herbstlichen des normannisch-bretonischen Küstengebietes, den üppigen Garten der Touraine, die grünen Rebenhänge Burgunds und die weite Landschaft der nordöstlichen Provinzen.” The same soldier is portrayed as a cultural tourist who has sought contact with the French people and language, visited the cathedrals and châteaux, and (here General Schaumburg grants more to the French provinces than one contributor allows), “bestaunte die in den Städten aufgehauften Kunst- und Kulturschätze.” Gert Buchheit’s essay, “Erlebnis einer Hauptstadt”, considers the unchallenged cultural pre-eminence of Paris among French cities and contrarily concludes, with a certain self-satisfaction, that Germany’s history of fragmented states had bestowed on it the compensation of provincial cities that were the cultural equals of its capital, Berlin. Lorenz, in his piece, “Der Rhythmus von Paris”, regards the cosmopolitan aspect of Paris as a distortion of the true nature of the native Parisians, a “Zerrbild” that smacks of the socialist ferment in Berlin after the end of the First World War, “Kaffeehausparlamentarismus”, and condescendingly wishes in conclusion that Paris, “im Gefüge eines neuen europäischen Staatenbundes” [italics added], will remain as ever, ‘Paris’.

Günther Rehbein’s essay, “Schlösser der Île France”, remarks inter alia that the more formally orthodox style of palatial building known as French classicism, being more modest and restrained, “keuscher, verhaltener”, was therefore “uns Deutschen vielleicht näher.” The theory of French
centralisation was familiar, “der uns geläufigen These von der französischen Zentralisierung”, and had prepared the visitors, falsely, it is pointed out, for a picture of monotonous uniformity: “so fanden wir vieles daran zu berichtigen.” It is noted that Marie Antoinette forsook palaces for a make-believe pastoral parkland idyll and that Napoleon, “der grosse Organisator”, built nothing new and contented himself with the renovating Malmaison. The Sun king had found the cold resplendence of Versailles too much in the end and had the Grand Trianon built as his retreat, his successor in turn disdaining that and erecting the Petit Trianon. In the history of such great building works is “die Geschichte menschlicher Grösse, Sehnsucht und Schwäche” to be found. Overreaching is hinted at, with unwitting irony, though the significance of the buildings, their history and that of their builder-occupants is conveyed in economic, vivid sketches with scholarly assurance.

An Oberstleutnant Walter Chompton elects to make an examination of Touraine, particularly of the power-plays of its history, in an attempt to define the patriotic expression “La douce France”. The French have not burdened the Loire with busy steamers and rattling dredgers as the Germans would have done, “weil wir so müssen nach unserem Gesetz” [italics added]. The struggles of the nobles had not altered the land; its people loved still the land above (implicitly) any rulers, “weil sie müssen nach mütterlichem Gesetz” [italics added]. The contrast implied between the two governing forms of Gesetz is nonetheless a patronising one. The author earlier refers to the original "dreigeteilte Wurzel des keltisch-römisch-germanischen Erbguts" forming in later history one Stamme, this now consigned (so his conclusion would make it appear) to bucolic relegation.

The contribution of poet and serving Propagandakompanie reporter, Kurt Kölsch, “Traumland Burgund”, refers at the outset to the migration of the Germanic Burgundians (though not to their successors, the Germanic Franks). The cleanliness of his lodgings, on the outskirts of Beaune in sight of its famous vineyards, impressed: “glänzte von Sauberkeit wie nie zuvor oder nachher in Frankreich, eine Beobachtung, die man übrigens oft in Burgund und insbesondere in den bäuerlich besiedelten Gegenden, “wo sich vielleicht mehr von dem germanischen Element erhalten hat, machen kann.” [italics added]. This last observation may be prompted by the author’s earlier
expressed surprise at “die Ähnlichkeit dieser Landschaft mit meiner pfälzischen und westmärkischen Heimat” – or not. On the rise and fall of the independent Duchy of Burgundy, the Burgundian Netherlands and Luxembourg: “Geschichte und Kunst in diesem Land floss mir zusammen zu einem Bild stolzen und aufrechten Menschentums, das sich erhöht hatte über die Flachheit westlerischen Denkens und das darum in dem Frankreich der vergangenen Zeit zugrunde gehen musste!” The use of the anti-democratic term westlerisch, a borrowing from the revolutionary theorist Ernst Niekisch, begs the question of what model of thinking is here applauded.

“Wiedersehen mit Flandern”, the contribution of Jürgen Hahn-Butry, author, propagandist and founder of the association of First World War soldier-authors, ‘Die Mannschaft’, recalls an experience of that war. The flat and empty cornfields of the Ukraine had seemed surprisingly alien to the author, himself a native of the flat North Sea landscape of Niederdeutschland, and now, the like Kölsch, also a Kriegsberichter. A recollection of the shell-flattened levels of French Flanders and of a youthful, 17 year-old infatuation with a farmer’s daughter and of a recent journey to re-locate the site and the burial place of farmer and daughter, later unfortunate victims of British shelling, awakens a longing. A sentimental tale, but with a political sub-text: the old French-Flemish farmer of those years had been aware that “sein Land einst zum ›dütschen Riek« gehört habe!” His younger successor of 1941 speaks French only and is embarrassed to admit that as a child he, too, spoke Flemish, but makes the conciliatory concession that “er müsse es nun wohl erst wieder lernen, meinte er schliesslich versöhnlich” [italics added]. Evidently, the plans for a greater German Reich encompassing all the Germanic lands, including French Flanders, are known to or intuited by the young landowner.

The traces of propagandistic pre-conditioning evident in the above mentioned contributions are absent in the contribution of Aachen museums director Felix Kuetgens,210 who writes of an at times precarious and giddying climb into the heights of Rheims cathedral. Encountering the handiwork of the mediaeval master stone-carvers literally face-to-face, as his title, “Gotiker
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sehen dich an”, suggests, Dr Kuetgens acknowledges that he is there in fulfilment of the obligations of the “Kunstschutz in Frankreich” service. No comparisons are made or invited with German gothic: the “Gotiker” are pre-existent to the modern nation states. A Major Hugo Cadenbach, most probably the same distinguished post-war banker and leading figure in business and cultural life of that name, of Aachen also, writes a charming account of a voyage by punt through the dune-locked lagoons, étangs, of the Côte d’Argent in the Pyrenean south west corner of France. The wartime background is echoed only in casual metaphor: occasional lone pines which “gleichsam als Wächter seewärts die Ausschau halten”, and the Courant d’Huchet, the outflow channel of the Étang de Léon, which breaches to the sea “in erneutem Ansturm, an einer schwachen Dünenstelle.”

The diary extracts of Ernst Jünger date from late June to early July of 1940, the weeks immediately after the armistice, and deal, in Jünger’s inimitable botanical style of studying the human species, with life on the march. Gerhardt Nebel’s essay is one of the longer among the ten in the book and postulates a figuratively feminine nature for France: “Dass der Franzose ganz anders als wir ein Wesen der Gesellschaft ist, wurde von der weiblichen Gottheit bewirkt, die über die französische Erde herrscht.” [italics added]. National stereotyping sits uneasily amid the romantic hyperbole. This piece is here further examined for its contrast, in rendering a collective portrait of French character, with that of Hartlaub’s aggregation of thumbnail vignettes.

As Tewes (1998) has observed, Frankreich is the work of experts who present themselves as admirers of France and who give witness for the most part through romantic transfiguration.211 For the greater part the book is such a work, and is marred only incidentally by lapses which betray an absorption, in cases perhaps unconscious, of the propaganda of expansion and hegemony. Frankreich is thus a benchmark of conventional liberalism against which the egregious originality of Kästner and Hartlaub may be measured.

The contribution of Gerhardt Nebel (1903-1974), an admirer of Jünger and a writer on classical philosophy, argues that Germany and France are opposite and complementary: the one young, undaunted and masculine in nature, the

211 Tewes: Frankreich in der Besatzungszeit, p. 233.
other essentially feminine and with the acquired scepticism of maturity. If the
register is that of romantic fantasy, the tone is inescapably patronising.
Germany and France are inextricably bound together, by their wars, mutual
occupations and ceding of provinces, as “Träger der beiden letzten grossen
Kulturen des Abendlandes” – Anglo-Saxon culture forms a conspicuously
absent third. The French have an entirely different relationship to order,
organisation and bureaucracy: “Eine totale fehlerlose Organisation ist ihnen
unheimlich und wohl auch unerträglich, und etwas Anarchie nicht nur
liebenswert, sondern geradezu notwendig.” They value being, out of which
achievement comes, more than the achievement itself: “Auch schätzen sie die
Leistung nicht so hoch ein; höher steht ihnen das Sein, aus dem die Leistung
quillt”, but they are “zu skeptisch und zu realistisch, um gute Bürokraten zu
sein.” This is not the implicit claim to superiority it might be, for Nebel
concludes the passage with a stinging condemnation of the passion for order:
“erst wo die Seele ein Chaos geworden ist, werden Aktenschränke und
Karteien zu metaphysischen Bedürfnissen.” Nebel was already somewhat of
an intellectual dissident, in that his essay of the previous year, 1941, “Auf dem
Fliegerhorst”,212 had likened Luftwaffe aircraft to scaly flying insects and had
further developed the analogy to compare the modern mechanised state to an
organised colony, such as that of termites or of jellyfish, in which all
individuality is subordinated. Nebel’s Frankreich essay, entitled in inverted
commas “Marianne”, carries the sub-title “über einen Zug des französischen
Wesens.” Besides familiarity with the subject, he writes, a certain distance is
needed; the everyday is not perceptible, and one comes to knowledge of one’s
own people only by the study of another:

Wer über eine nationale Eigentümlichkeit urteilen will […] muss […] über
einen gewissen Abstand von ihr verfügen. […] so weiss ich über mein
eigenes Volk nichts, wenn ich nicht Erfahrungen mit anderen Nationen
machen, also vergleichen konnte, und für die Besonderheit des andern Volkes
bleibe ich blind, solange ich mich im Gesträpp des Allzumenschlichen
herumschlage.

A distance from the Gesträpp des Allzumenschlichen, the undergrowth of the
human hurly-burly, was the distinguishing feature of Felix Hartlaub’s
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writings: the 22 year old Hartlaub admits in a letter from 1935\textsuperscript{213} to an ever-lively sociological and anthropogeographic interest. Nebel purports to see the effects of the differing national history-lines in physiognomy:

...wenn man deutsche und französische Gesichter vergleicht, […] die einen: breitflächig, mit entweder verschwimmenden oder harten Kontouren, bei weitem noch nicht zu Ende gearbeitet, Rohmaterial, an dem noch Jahrhunderte und mannigfache Schicksale tätig sein können, unempfindlich, schwerfällig; die andern: jede Fläche gleichsam bis zur Linie verfeinert und aufgebraucht, beweglich, witternd, weich, luftig.

In support of his premise that the defining difference between France and Germany is that between the feminine and masculine natures, the following:

Wer mit offenen Sinnen Räume betritt, in denen das Französische herrscht, wird sich niemals der starken Beimischung von Weiblichkeit entziehen können, die hier zu finden ist.

Nebel adds that the French are the only European people to have placed a woman (Jeanne d’Arc) at the centre of their national myth – Delacroix’s Liberté is not mentioned.

In another, shorter essay, also published in Paris in 1942, “Paris und das Wasser”\textsuperscript{214}. Nebel, pointing out that the ancient name of the settlement, Lutetia, refers to water and marsh and that the name of the old aristocratic centre, the Marais, does the same, stresses the fluvial character of the city that owed its foundation to the crossing of the Seine waterway with the Roman road from Orléans to Rheims. Felix Hartlaub, too, refers affectionately to the Parisians as the Lutetier. Nebel, in his 1940 essay on the elements, “Von Inseln, Flüssen und Bergen”\textsuperscript{215}, writes of the Rhine that it mediates between East and West in that it unites the German concept of the forest with French notion of the garden. More contentiously, in the same passage: “Hier begegnen die beiden letzten Kulturen Europas, die französische und die deutsche, einander.”\textsuperscript{216} This notable second omission of Anglo-Saxon culture would be valid in the context of a continental Europe, but coincides unfortunately with the propaganda view of Britain as a rival, colonial, overseas power. It is the elemental, however, which is of paramount interest to Nebel; hence, in “Paris und das Wasser”:

\textsuperscript{213} See Krauss: Felix Hartlaub: in seinen Briefen, p. 149.
\textsuperscript{214} See Nebel: Von den Elementen, pp. 32-34 and p. 32 (note).
\textsuperscript{215} Originally published in Monatschrift 42 (1940); see contents index, Von den Elementen.
\textsuperscript{216} Nebel: Von den Elementen, p. 27.
The description of the elemental is here very similar to those of Hartlaub’s Paris sketches with the riverside titles “Hochwasser”, “Quai”, “Lustbarke” and “Ufer, draussen.” These, in their still, detail-saturated, copper-etching prose style are among his most lyrical and, because of their deliberate quietude, the most poignantly anti-war in sentiment. Only in “Ufer, draussen” is the war as menace alluded to, and then only as a distant irritant: “Und das ewige Flugzeug, das unsichtbar in den Wolken sucht und bohrt, wie eine zornige, flachköpfige Wespe.”

General Schaumburg’s editor, Heinz Lorenz, lauds the character of the Parisians in “Der Rhythmus von Paris”, but it is another contributor, the eclectic biographer (Rilke, von Papen, Mussolini) and historian, then Oberleutnant, Gert Buchheit, who seeks to come to grips architectonically with that which in Paris had evoked from Felix Hartlaub streams of sharply detailed and subtly hued impressions of strongly individual facades optically cohering into a distinctive and fluid overall Lutetian style. Dr. Buchheit, in his contribution “Erlebnis einer Hauptstadt”, sees even the high-mansarded, shoulder-to-shoulder standing housing blocks of the Paris banlieu as seeming to orient themselves under the power of an unseen magnetic needle towards the centre and to order themselves so that they appear to be of an “aus unbestreitbarem formalen Instinkt geborenen Bautypus.” The orderly accentuation of the horizontal is balanced by an anarchic struggle in the vertical: fields of masonry uprights, an accumulation of windows and a host of chimneys create an infectious upward movement of gripping monotony, “eine mitreissende Aufwärtsbewegung von packender Monotonie.” Where Hartlaub saw a metaphorically unconquerable alternative earthscape in “Dächermeer”, “Kaminwald” and “Schiefergebirge,” Buchheit’s surreal simile and
personification convey simply a roof-top disorder straining away from the centralising order of the streetplan:

Wie eine aberwitzige Vegetation aus vierkantigen und runden Schäften, aus blechernen und tönernen Röhren, so stechen diese Kamine von Paris in die Luft, und auch die blaugrauen Dächer selbst wirken irgendwie fremdartig, denn meist sind sie kniestockmässig umbrochen, gleichsam angewölbt, als wollten sie Kuppeln werden.

Hartlaub, whose early drawings are marked by the grotesque, could see something reptilian in the roof of the Hotel Sully, “das Dach mit seinen tausend Schieferschuppen”, and in fusing the blue-grey of the zinc and lead Paris roofs with atmospheric hues from the Paris sky coined many compounds which form in his work a mood theme that is examined later in this paper.

Buchheit, too, is alert to colour, seeing it as distinctively apparent in the awnings and sun-blinds of Paris:

die Markise, man kann sie nicht wegdenken von dieser Stadt. Weissgrau, lavendelblau [sic], auch mit Weiss und Rot gestreift, hin und wieder auch ockergelb wie die Segel der Adria- Fischerboote

While sharing Hartlaub’s appreciation of the visual, Buchheit sees also in the geometric ordering of the Paris street layout an aspect of French centralisation under the revolutionary diktats of equality and fraternity – an enforced equality and fraternity of building style:


The ordering of both the inner and outer city is geometrically functional, but bestows on Paris a communal Grundakkord. Buchheit adds that the monumental of no particular era dominates the city, other than is the case in Augustan in Rome or the Munich of Ludwig I; rather, a multi-epochal style with here and there a distinctive domestic monument as in the red-bricked, stone-framed Henri IV Place des Vosges with its steep-slated roofs. In conclusion, Buchheit sees in this subsumption of styles a concentration of all intellectual life in one city, something which its fragmented history had

prevented in Germany: “Wer würde in Deutschland Wien oder Hamburg, Dresden oder Köln as Provinz bezeichnen? Oder wer würde umgekehrt behaupten wollen, dass Berlin als Kulturstätte die Gesamtheit der deutschen Kultur umfasse?” The inclusion of Vienna as a city in Deutschland speaks to the times. What Buchheit’s essay does establish is that for German intellectuals, Paris, as the single cultural metropolis of France, held a special rank and commanded an intellectual rigour when addressed in print.

A moral objector may, with justice, point out that it was the same General Schaumburg who (deputising in the absence of his superior, General Otto von Stülpnagel, who would later resign on the same issue) on 22.8.1941 proclaimed in reaction to the assassination of a German naval official that all French detainees held for whatever reason would henceforth be considered hostages liable to execution in the event of any such future attacks. The moral objection is not diminished by the fact that the hostage shootings which followed, particularly in the period from September 1941 to May 1942, thereafter moderated in number, and though as occurrences shocking to the French public and worsening of popular mood, had little overall effect on the totality of Franco-German relations. In the German military administration conservative, elderly officers and officials, framed by the values of the pre 1914 era, gave the tone. In the embassy there was a younger generation, shaped by the less rigid Weimar era, and almost all of whom were well acquainted with French matters and honestly well-disposed towards German-French understanding, but at the same time nationalist in outlook and convinced of a German culture superior to the Western liberal model. The contradiction between the absolutist hegemonic nature of the ultimate political intentions towards France of the regime in Germany and the sentiments of individual members of the German military and civil administration and legation service in France (Abetz was not formally accredited to Vichy) was succinctly expressed in Abetz’s formulation: “Man müsse nicht erst den Krieg gewinnen wollen, um dann Europa zu schaffen; man müsse im Gegenteil
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Europa schaffen, um den Krieg zu gewinnen.” The ambassador’s conviction that co-operation was more fruitful than coercion did not imply partnership for France; rather, as he had earlier envisaged it, the role of honoured subordinate retaining an undiminished agro-economy, viniculture, and fashion and leisure industries, but with its heavy industry deliberately weakened. Friedrich Sieburg, attached to the Abetz embassy, and whose 1929 *Gott in Frankreich?* had popularised in Germany just such a patronising view of a nation deemed humanist to a fault, in a March 1941 lecture reminded intellectuals of the *Groupe Collaboration* that in his, Sieburg’s, previous lectures of 1936 and 1937 he had sought to impress on his French audiences that the emerging world transformation was no diabolic invention of the Nazi regime, but an ‘almost cosmic’ (read: pre-ordained) world development. *Gott in Frankreich?* had been, so Sieburg, a book about Germany. Sieburg’s intellectual canvassing of 1941 might cast the suspicion on the Francophile essay contributors to *Frankreich* that they too are writing through didactic inversion about Germany, not France. Such a reading would be profound to the point of cynicism if applied to the *Frankreich* contributors on whom it is suggested a process of occupation-normalisation, described here following, may already have been at work.

Sartre remarks that the artificial social and cultural existence which the Germans upheld in Paris: the theatrical seasons, the horse racing, the macabre-seeming fine art and literary festivals, all had the simple purpose of showing to the world that France was in good health, that Paris still lived. A curious consequence, Sartre observes wryly, of the French policy of centralisation. The occupation was, Sartre insists, an enormous social phenomenon that affected 53 million human beings. Although the vast majority of the population held back from any contact with the German army there yet developed a kind of bashful and indefinable solidarity among the Parisians with the German *Landsers*, who they found to be basically so alike their
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French army counterparts, but it was a solidarity unaccompanied by liking or sympathy; rather a solidarity based on the sheer biological habituation of rubbing shoulders with them in the daily round of necessities.\textsuperscript{231} Even towards the German military administration and uniformed-official class, some of which the rational mind knew with certainty to be responsible for the night-time raids and arrests – Sartre adds that there was no one in Paris of whom a friend or relative had not been arrested, abducted, or shot – it was impossible, as they appeared in their daytime briefcase-carrying guise hurrying like so many lawyers to their offices, to sustain the night-time feelings of wild hate.\textsuperscript{232} The German uniforms in their faded, pale and unassuming green became an almost expected spot of colour amid the dark civilian clothing, which as a mass simply opened and closed about them.\textsuperscript{233} An inevitable process of normalisation had begun, even though, as Sartre points out, the familiar occupiers would have been mercilessly cut down had the order to do so been issued (and the means provided).\textsuperscript{234} The normalisation process in Sartre’s view has nothing to do with the phenomenon of collaboration, which he believes to be universal and deriving from pre-existing defects in social integration.\textsuperscript{235} While discounting some literary hyperbole in Sartre’s account – Bargatzky’s four-level analysis is more discriminating – the assumption of a corresponding process of normalisation in the perceptions of the individual German occupier is plausible. The authorial intentions of the contributors to \textit{Frankreich}, with the possible exception of the Jünger diary excerpts, may equally plausibly be assumed to have been in the process of forming within that paradigmatic paradox of human solidarity.

\textbf{2.3. Greece: cultural custodianship}

While \textit{Frankreich} reads in parts, ironically, as the projections of German Romanticists, the occupation of Greece summoned up in the main the efforts of German classicists. Besides a series of leaflets of the \textit{Kunstschutz} service
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whose cumulative print runs totalled almost half a million, official guides to Greece and to the settings of the antique were also published in book format: 

*Hellas*, a collection of academic articles, in 1943, and *Der Peloponnes* in 1944. The introduction to *Hellas* by Walther Wrede, the first director of the Athens branch of the German archaeological institute, with whom and with whose writings Kästner was acquainted, was not found in otherwise securely re-bound university library copies consulted by this author:

> Was der Deutsche hier sucht, was ihn immer wieder bannt [...] die Spuren [...] eines Erbes, das er etwas in sich trägt; das Vermächtnis eines Volkes, das verwandtem Blut entstammte und sein Dasein zur höchsten dem Menschen erreichbaren Veredelung steigerte.

The ideological claim is further amplified: “uns Heutigen, die wir unser Dasein auf seinen *natürlichchen* Grundgesetzen neu aufbauen wollen” (italics added). The overtly ideological introduction – Wrede may elsewhere be seen in full party uniform, replete with jackboots, conducting a group of German staff officers about the Acropolis of Athens – belies the scholarly quality of the contributions of German archaeologists and German Graecophile academics. The articles, diagrams and illustrations for this souvenir volume were virtually all ready by December 1941, but sanctioning of scarce paper supplies was delayed until 1943. The general tenor of the work is evident from the titles of the exclusively doctoral contributions: “Die Göttergestalten”, “Das Heldentum in Homers Ilias”, “Aus Griechenlands Geschichte”, “Das Heiligtum”, “Der Tempel”, “Das Theater”, “Olympia”, “Die Akropolis von Athen”, “Zur griechischen Plastik”, “Attische Gefässmalerei”, “Das Byzantinische Griechentum”, “Die Rede des Perikles für die Gefallenen.”

The contribution of Andreas Rumpf on Greek theatre points out that the Greek
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theatres in stone of the fourth century BC as at Epidauros and Priene appeared
generations after the lives of Aeschylus, Euripides, Sophocles and
Aristophanes, and that drama itself emerged first in 534 BC, in Athens, when
Thespis introduced respondents, the first “actors”, to the what had until then been a sacred chorus.247 Ernst Buschor’s contribution on Greek sculpture, “Zur
griechischen Plastik”, sees in the grace of the balanced, single-axis marble
figures of the early style a connection with the especially profound life-
stratum, “Lebensschicht”, portrayed.248 Co-editor Wilhem Kraiker’s
contribution on Greek vase painting, “Attische Gefässmalerei”, illustrates how
the portrayal of nobility in human emotion came to displace mythic-heroic
content, and progressed to the portrayal of reflection and inner preoccupation,
before the art fell victim to its own success through mass production.249 The
thrust of these contributions is to evidence the Greek foundation of humanist,
Western civilisation. A rhetoric of repossession and custodianship as though
by right of succession to other Danubians: the Achaean, Ionian and Dorian –
or by right of German scholarship – cannot be read as implicit in the
individual texts.

Hiller von Gaertringen, however, (1994, p. 161) singles out the
contribution of Ernst Kirsten on the history of Greece (Hellas, pp. 19-26) as
explicitly racial-biological and anti-democratic. The Greeks as such, in
contradistinction to the pre-existing populations, had migrated southwards
from the Danube basin “als indogermanisches, im wesentlichen nordisch
bestimmtes Volk” (Hellas, p. 19). Kirsten stresses that new perspectives on
the phylogeny of the Greek people had emerged: “neue Wege zum
Verständnis” (p. 20). Kirsten’s exposition actually offers, rather, a socio-
biological rationale for the evolution of Greek history. His argument is that
creative power and the will to rule, “Schöpfungskraft und Herrscherwillen”,
resided always in the governing elites, from the Mycenaeans onwards, while
cultural values (in the sense of tradition) and civil values were preserved
through the perseverance of the passive elements in the native populations (p. 22). The intensification of trading activity, originally introduced by the
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‘Semitic’ Phoenicians (p. 22), led to the granting of equal citizenship – to those who through trading wealth could aspire to it: Kirsten draws a comparison to the rise of the guilds in the middle ages. This Grecian democracy was “nur eine erweiterte Oligarchie” (p. 25). The latest of the invaders, the Dorians, still as Spartans in warrior-state mode, won the Peloponnesian war, but lost the future to the economically more progressive ‘democratic’ states (p. 23). Yet, while exemplifying the socio-cultural development of the 6th century Ionian colonial cities where “in der Begründung aller Wissenschaften auf ionischem Boden ihre Krönung fand”, Kirsten asserts that it was the rapid interpenetration of races there which denied duration to this flowering of creativity: “Doch die rasche Mischung mit allen fremden, ja fremdrassischen Elementen in der Demokratie versagte dieser Schöpferkraft die Dauer.” (p. 24 – italics added). The analysis is nonetheless, up to its conclusion, consequential and unsentimental: the Greeks were themselves by the coming of Philip of Macedon “durch ihre Herkunft reif” to become a subject people (p. 25). The successors of Philip bowed to the Franks, and theirs to the Turks (p. 26). The irony is not lost on Kirsten that the Greek language survived only through the existence of an unchanging (in political status) underclass, but this underclass “hat Geschichte nur erfahren und erlitten, nicht gelebt” and was the antithesis of cultural achievement: “Ihre weltgeschichtliche Rolle ist die des Gegenbildes einer Kulturleistung, die in Sternenstunden der Menscheit gerade dieser gestaltlosen Masse gegenüber die reinst form menschlicher Wertentfaltung gefunden hat.” In all Greek ages, so Kirsten, only an aristocracy and a ruling class had been creative; their freedom to be so, he stresses, always resting on hegemony over a Helot class: “Schöpferisch war auf griechischem Boden allzeit nur eine Aristokratie, eine Erobererschicht, die Unfreien, Heloten oder Sklaven, gegenüberterrat.” (p. 26), thus “ein nordisches Herrenvolk” had built on southern soil models of perfect attainment. So the buildings of the Acropolis speak “zu den vom Nordland Kommenden” with the same youthful clarity and freshness of the time of their creation (p. 26). The attainment of the classical ideal was unique, as were the socio-political conditions that allowed of it (Kirsten does not stress the religious imperatives). Admiration for the ideal is justified through an evolutionary, as though it were a matter of evidential fact, line of argument – a
not illegitimate premise, but it is the concluding presumption of association and, more sinisterly, an implicit suggestion of socio-political emulation which are objectionable. The Athenian ideals celebrated in Thucydidès’ account of the speech of Perikles for the fallen of Athens are summarised by Kirsten as “die Gesetze des natürlichen politischen Zusammenlebens in edler Menschlichkeit, der Abgestimmtheit des einen auf den anderen im Staat, der Harmonie” (p. 24). That the same Athenian citizen-democracy rested on a slave economy is expressly acknowledged, “Ohne die Sklaverei ist das aristokratisch-vornehme Leben der Bürger der attischen Demokratie nicht denkbar” (p. 25). Kirsten’s concluding evaluation of the Greek achievement is sociologically stark; his insistence on the determining force of a “nordisches Herrenvolk” (p. 26) addresses the antique historical context, but uttered within the covers of a publication such as Hellas, invokes at the very least a mode of thinking formed in nineteenth century concepts of colonial expansion, concepts not yet abandoned by the early 1940’s, even among the Western democracies.

A matter-of-fact, erudite style is what most distinguishes the scholarly contributions of Hellas from the ephemeral, straining-at-the-metaphysical impressions which characterise Erhart Kästner’s Griechenland. More than a matter of writing style is in question. Carl Weickert’s contribution on the Greek temple is a case in point: illustrated with architectural diagrams and referencing photographic plates in the appendix, Weickert starts from a different premise. Whereas Kästner emphasises that the natural sites of the temples and their surrounding aura were sacred long before temples were erected, Weickert sees in the temple the expression of the idea that what attained perfection, be that something that was perfectly made, was sacred: “denn jeder Körper ist dem Griechen heilig, wenn er Vollkommenheit erlangt. So baut er seinen Tempel” (Hellas, p. 36). The only reference to Northern influence is to the rectangular pattern of the temple plan, deriving from the wooden structures of the original Northern homeland (p. 36). Perfection, to be appreciated, must be demonstrable, and in the Doric order the necessary precision of balance between support and burden, between vertical and

250 See Kästner: Griechenland (1943), p. 18.
horizontal, the fundamental motif of all architecture, was most evident and least obscured by ornamentation (p. 39). In the Doric temple was pure architecture which contained within it the laws of eternal validity; it was the gift of humankind to the divinity (p. 44). In the Parthenon, stereometric correction was built into the structure so that it would appear perfect from the viewing angle, and even appear to have life: the columns lean inwards, resting on pediments hewn with lightly inclined surfaces, the corner columns in like manner diagonally inclined; the base of the whole structure is lightly bowed as though it were a sail pinned down at the four corners and lightly inflated by the wind (p. 50, 53). There took shape and ruled in the Greek temple architectonic form; this form is strict and unyielding, “streng und unerbittlich”, and equates to the laws governing nature; its creator and (genetic) carrier is the people in the line of their genetic succession: “Ihr Schöpfer und Träger ist das Volk in der Abfolge seiner Geschlechter.” (p. 54). Oligarchy and helotry are not mentioned, and only in the softening influence of the oriental peoples with whom the Ionians had greater contact is change in form attributed to racial influence (p. 47).

The densely written sections of Der Peloponnes on the geology, geography, history, antique sites and ecclesiastical architecture of the Peloponnese and its regions from earliest times to the modern belie the modest declaration of the book’s title page that it is published by a military high command “von Soldaten für Soldaten”. Moreover, the many plans of antique and ecclesiastical sites, and an appendix of diagrammatic maps of the communication routes, geology, horticulture, hegemonies and demographic shifts of the Peloponnese testify to a considerable effort of research on the part of the contributors and the cartographical staff. The two hundred and seventy pages of text and illustrations are ordered over five thematic chapters, each dealing with the entire land mass of the Peloponnese. The account given of the succeeding migrations and intrusions after 2000 BC, of pre-Dorians, Dorians, Goths, West-Goths, Macedonians, Romans, Slavs, Arab Corsairs, Franks, Venetians, Albanians and Turks, is referenced to the capacity of Greekdom to survive, accommodate, absorb and ultimately to re-establish itself. No special nordische attributes are credited in this. The book’s late appearance – the foreword by air force general Felmy is dated 8. December 1943 – suggests that
it was some time in gestation, and its spare and dense style throughout makes it improbable as a hurriedly prepared propaganda piece trumpeting conspicuous care for the antiquities. The language is politically neutral: the plural term *Gaue* is used, but in a topographical context and by extension into *Kantone* (pp. 50-51). The hortatory foreword makes reference to the decay of nations and their cultures and insists that only the willpower and courage of a whole people can save it “vor dem Untergang”. The general’s concluding remark: “Wer erblickt in diesem Spiegel der Geschichte nicht das Schicksal der eigenen Nation?251, if not intentionally ambiguous, is at least unintentionally ironic when read in the context of the historical analysis which the book offers, particularly that on Sparta. The history chapter (pp. 42-158) is the longest in the book, and the chapter sections which trace the long-term, self-erosive effects of the racially exclusive, economically self-contained and excessively militarily-reliant polity operated by Sparta (pp. 51-83) project dryly onto the structure of the contemporary German regime. In the hegemony of the Dorian Spartans was also a more immediate parallel to the German expansion: it rested on a tripartite alliance of Spartans, of wholly subjugated indigenous peoples as Helots, and of strategically dominated but domestically autonomous peripheral peoples as *Perioikoi*. There was a parallel too, with France, in the cultural eminence later accorded by Rome to Sparta: the risk of Sparta’s re-emergence as a power was pre-empted by a calculated promotion of Sparta’s Peloponnesian rivals (p. 83). To the genuine cultural reverence evidenced for the heritage of Greece in the publications *Hellas* and *Der Peloponnes* might be added, in so far as it spoke for the soldiers among the writers, the admiration expressed by the *Führer* himself for the heroism of the Greek defenders of 1941,252 but complete political compliance had been an implicit condition of *that*.

The classicist, scholarly tone of *Hellas* and *Der Peloponnes* stands in stark contrast to the barbarous ferocity of the insurgency and counter-insurgency hostilities during the occupation of Greece. These writings stand in equally stark contrast to the ephemeral impressions of Erhart Kästner’s peregrinations through Greece, Crete, and the islands. Kästner evinces a (Gerard Manley)
Hopkins-like striving to convey heightened sensations in new word-compounds, and his historical and antique references are slight to the point of dilettantism. Being neither journal nor travelogue nor guide book, *Griechenland* and its companion volumes make up a *Unikat*.

Kästner had visited Kalavryta in 1942, but the ten-day massacre of civilians there in December 1943 took place after the publication of *Griechenland*. However, Hiller von Gaertringen points to Kästner’s failure to mention in his then work-in-progress, *Griechische Inseln*, the deportation in 1944 of the Jewish population of Rhodes, which he witnessed, and to his description of the onslaught on the Dodecanese after the Italian capitulation in 1943 as a *Heldenkampf*. In mitigation, Hiller von Gaertringen notes that Kästner then, consistent with the realisation that his project to continue writing about a Greece seemingly at peace was no longer tenable, ceased writing (in the sense of writing with an intention to complete his Greek trilogy for contemporary publication). Of what he had written, Hiller von Gaertringen supplies the corrective that the books as commissioned were intended primarily not for a far-removed reading public, but for a readership of soldiers who knew what was taking place in Greece. Von Gaertringen also speculates that Kästner may have felt that a certain contribution to propaganda was expected of him.

On the charge of escapism, Kästner’s literary biographer notes that the strong demand for copies of *Griechenland* among Wehrmacht units showed that with his concept of an ideal counter-world Kästner had answered a general need. Von Gaertringen concedes that he did indulge in escapism – in the tradition of Romantic seekers after Greece – and in that tradition and in pursuit of a higher reality, banished the banal and the everyday. His biographer’s assessment of Kästner’s achievement in Greece runs as follows:

Kästner verstand seinen literarischen Aufruf zur Humanität als einen Akt des passiven Widerstands. Die Bücher erwuchsen seinem Bestreben, die eigene Integrität zu bewahren; sie waren zugleich der Versuch, in der Leserschaft zur Aufrechterhaltung der persönlichen Humanität des einzelnen beizutragen
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und seine Widerstandshaltung gegen den Zerfall aller Werte im nationalsozialistischen Krieg zu bestärken.\textsuperscript{259}

Further exposition will be here advanced to evidence that a preoccupation with the canonical aesthetic of the antique, with form and proportion – proportion in the allusive sense of proportionality also – and with physical grace, was a prudently effective way of conveying ethical distance.

3. Literature on two fronts

3.1. Involuntary tourism

In his essay, “Einer wie Felix Hartlaub”,\textsuperscript{260} Durs Grünbein maintains that in reading Felix Hartlaub one understands the Second World War as “das größte Reiseunternehmen der Deutschen in diesem Jahrhundert.” Grünbein coins the expression “Europatourismus für die Habenichtse”. This, he suggests, was part of the war’s appeal to the ‘have-nots’ the underclass on whose instincts Hitler had knowingly played from the outset. Neither Göpel, Hartlaub, nor Kästner belonged to an underclass; all three had been intent on academic careers, and now found themselves involuntary ‘tourists’.\textsuperscript{261} The commissions awarded to the former art historian Göpel and former Saxony state-library librarian Kästner to write officially approved cultural guides resulted in works of quite different approach from two men who had worked together in 1938-1939 on the \textit{Gutenburg-Reichsausstellung} Leipzig exhibition project.\textsuperscript{262} Göpel’s book on Normandy is a densely written potted history which reveals the architectural and bibliophile enthusiasms of its author. Kästner’s books on mainland Greece and on Crete are equally full of enthusiasm, for landscape and for classical architecture, but are of a contrastingly exuberant and unrestrainedly lyrical expression. Hartlaub in his Paris peregrinations is unencumbered by official commissions to write and records his impressions \textit{as might a tourist}. Unlike Jünger, who dined at the \textit{Ritz} and \textit{George V} hotels as one of an elite circle of regime-critical figures named for the latter, the ‘\textit{Georgsrunde}’, and even when on a tour of the Caucasus was a guest at high tables: “nachmittags Generaloberst von Kleist zu Tisch”; “mittags beim
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Oberbefehlshaber, Generaloberst Ruoff,“263 Hartlaub, struggling in his inadequate civilian wardrobe to maintain appearances at table and touring Paris on foot and on the Metro (on which Germans had free travel)264 was much more the Habenichts. Hartlaub remarked from his visits to Berlin in 1944 that “dieser Stoff ist eigentlich ja viel gewaltiger noch als das Frontgeschehen, ausserdem wirklich neu, was man von dem Schlachten der Uniformierten ja weniger sagen kann.”265 What was new about this war was the experience of the civilians, particularly the city dwellers. Hartlaub seems to have intuited this about the civilian experience in occupied Paris also. To render this experience, he essayed something new in the genre of the metropolis, flâneur literature.

Seibert’s (1995) discussion of contemporarily published eyewitness accounts from German participants instances Walter Bauer’s publishing success,266 Tagebuchblätter aus Frankreich (1941), as celebrating the victor perspective, and the effort at interpretation in Kurt Lothar Tank’s Pariser Tagebuch 1938-1939-1940 as unwittingly revealing of the insecurity anxiety of the occupiers.267 Bauer, a qualified teacher unsuccessful in establishing himself in that career, had first published in 1927 and though politically out of favour from 1933 until 1940268 published again and had popular success once more with his Tagebuchblätter aus dem Osten (1944). Seibert’s summation of Bauer’s account from the France of 1940, “der deutsche Angriffskrieg wurde hier gefeiert als große Fahrt, als erlebnisgesättigte Bildungsreise eines lyrisch gestimmten Dichtersoldaten”,269 omits note of Bauer’s insistently distancing of himself, the writer, from his assigned role as war reporter. Bauer’s interchangeable refrain: “ich bin Soldat”,270 “ich kam als Soldat”,271 “jetzt, da
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ich Soldat bin”, 272 “hier liege ich, ein Soldat”, 273 and “ein anderer, als ich vor Monaten war: ein Soldat”, 274 would be excessive, were it intended only as self-exculpation, but his resentment of the uniform stresses disjunction: “diese Uniform und das Leben das sie bewirkte, dieses Leben, das uns von allem einst Gelebten fortriß.” 275 In the enforced detachment from self Bauer does recognise the advantage of heightened perception which the estranged circumstances of wartime bring:

ich glaube, in dieser Zeit tiefsten Getrenntseins von den Dingen meines alten Lebens sind meine Augen wacher, meine Sinne empfindsamer geworden, es ist mir manchmal, als wüßte ich in eine neue Schau der Dinge hinein. 276

Bauer’s Tagebuchblätter, ‘diary pages’, from France do bring the reader on a Bildungsreise through the grand sites of Amboise, Chartres, Mont Saint-Michel and Verdun, but one devoid of conscious triumphalism. It is rather peace which is stressed: at Amboise his reflections are on a famous resident, Leonardo da Vinci; at Chartres, he equates the stillness of the cathedral interior with mankind’s longing for peace; quartered by Mont Saint-Michel, news reaches him that a friend, the poet Otto Gmelin, has fallen; at Verdun he recalls the hesitation of his brother before ringing the doorbell, home on leave from that front, uncertain of crossing the boundary to the normal world again. The soldier-writer now finds himself in the middle of what seems like an epoch changing war, “in diesem, alles verwandelnden Krieg.” 277 He watches the strain of concentration and the far-away absorption on the face of a colleague truck driver as he writes a letter home. Such a simple one he regards above many others who are too quick and ready to record their feelings: “viele, die so schnell mit der Feder sind, schnell mit ihren Empfindungen; mit allem, auch mit dem Verrat ihrer selbst.” 278

Effusive reports to the home front, Bauer appears to imply, say too little in saying too much, and are a betrayal of their writers’ integrity. Like the Heinrich Böll of the Briefe aus dem Krieg 279 Bauer is lyrical about the sea, the “Wogenfelde des Meeres”, which seem to
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transmit a light up to the clouds, “die leuchtenden Schaumländer”, but unlike Böll, remarks little about the French citizenry he encounters: an angler, an innkeeper and a schoolboy guide are the only figures fleetingly encountered. The legitimacy or otherwise of the occupation is ignored as not being the concern of the Soldat.

Kurt Lothar Tank’s literary credits begin in 1935 with the text supplied to Otto Schönstein’s self-published collection of stereoscopic photographs of Venice and include another collaboration with the photographer Schönstein, in 1942, *Deutsche Plastik unserer Zeit*, which featured an introduction by Albert Speer. Tank later collaborated, post-war, with Paul Raabe, Kästner’s colleague and successor at the Wolfenbüttel library, on an illustrated biography of Gerhard Hauptmann. Like Hartlaub, Tank finds himself lodged in a requisitioned hotel in Paris, also in 1940. As with Hartlaub, the omnipresence of the German military vehicles projects itself as alien and intrusive: “Die Wagen der deutschen Wehrmacht beherrschen das Straßenbild, zumal in der Mitte von Paris, in der Nähe der Oper, wo wir Zimmer im Grand Hôtel angewiesen erhalten.” In Hartlaub: “Avenue de l’Opéra. Das graue Feld der parkenden Wehrmachtsautos.” The diarist narrator in Tank’s diary is struck by the completely normal-seeming demeanour of the Parisians, and asks himself if this is equanimity, indifference, or simply sheer vitality: “Ist dies nun Gleichmut, Gleichgültigkeit, oder ist es Lebenskraft?” Hartlaub, writing privately from Paris in January 1941, had detected a deliberate air of arch indifference, manifested among the Parisians by an untypically reserved silence: “Am Auffallendsten ist das absolute Schweigen, zu dem sich die Lutetier, so schwer es ihnen fallen muss, entschlossen haben.”
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Tank pens notably lyrical descriptions of the cathedrals of Laon and Reims, and is impressed, as was Bauer, at Chartres. Only the latter part of Tank’s diary, just over twenty seven pages, relates to the occupation period, and deals with an army-organised tour in which he participated in October of 1940. The diary sections dating from the autumn of 1938 and the Spring of 1939 contain much digression on the subject of Clemenceau, of whom Tank was then researching a biography; Tank had already in 1937 published a work on the Napoleon III period, specifically on Gambetta. There is polemic against the ‘hate treaty’, “Haßvertrag”, of Versailles, the effective sole authorship of which Tank ascribes to Clemenceau. There is in his 1941 foreword a blatantly patronising endorsement of the subordination of France into the ‘new European order’:

Und doch gibt es eine andere, gesunde Tradition in Frankreich, die seines Bauerniums. Frankreich wird diesen Weg gehen müssen, um zur Einordnung in die neue europäische Gemeinschaft zu gelangen.

There is also an erudite study of Notre Dame as anthropology. There is a study of the mausolea of Père Lachaise as a city of the dead within the living city of Paris, playing on the tenet of the Code Civil which states “La vie privée doit être murée”, and contrasting the French predilection for walled-in privacy, which Böll in the Briefe remarked on, with the German preference for the sylvan Waldfriedhof. This dates from 1939, as does his affectionate study of the Parisian cafés which leaves him with the fanciful impression that most of French literature is actually written in cafés, or at least as if the writers imagined themselves seated in cafés. The 1940 section of the diary, entitled “Fahrt durch das besetzte Frankreich. Oktober/November 1940” contains no more historical or political speculation. The largest sub-section amounts to what is a short story of ten pages, not out of place in the context of a battlefield tour, about a selfless fallen comrade, obviously a personal memoir.
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pointedly non-Christian in moral, but at the same time carefully distanced from the regime-promoted virtue of sacrifice for victory. The 1940 journey spanned just seven days, too short a time for anything like a civil literature to gestate, but, though prefacing a description of enthusiastically co-operative rebuilding under military direction, the following sentiment seems to express something personally felt, not just a formulation of the aims of psychological warfare:

In Rethel wurde mir klar, daß nach einer Schlacht immer noch eine zweite und wahrscheinlich entscheidendere zu gewinnen ist, nach der Schlacht der Waffen die der Herzen und des Geistes.

In his 1941 foreword Tank claims to have striven in his diary account to avoid the impressionistic as well as the simplistically dogmatic. He was at least aware of these two poles in directly addressing French social, economic and political life as he did. Where Bauer’s diary avoids engagement with the relationships of occupation by use of the caveat *ich kam als Soldat*, Tank’s forthright observations reveal in their honesty the split consciousness, Schäfer’s *gespaltenes Bewußtsein*, in which opposing principles could be accommodated.

Citing Schäfer’s discussion of the non-fascist literature of the younger generation which concludes that almost all the war diaries of literary worth of those years were not intended for immediate publication, Seibert agrees that the most interesting and the most productive were those pursued in private. Even Hartlaub’s fragmentary output from his nine-month period in Paris, however, though private, must have been written with some at least subliminal aspiration to later publication – and he could not have presumed a return of liberal publishing conditions. Despite Hartlaub’s own low opinion of them, “meine an sich so kümmerlichen Aufzeichnungen aus Paris”, the safekeeping of the Paris notebooks mattered to him most, “Von meinen
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Sachen liegt mir am meisten an den beiden Schnellheftern aus P[aris].”305 Had he lived, they were not intended to remain Schubladenliteratur. Indeed if, as Schäfer argues, genres and their popularity endured past the social and political turning points of 1933 and 1945 and through the interval between, it is not beyond reasonable supposition that Hartlaub’s Paris sketches, had hostilities been otherwise decided, might have looked forward to an acceptance as post-war, and not necessarily post-regime satires.

Contributions on French life also appeared in the weekly German language Pariser Zeitung and particularly in the bi-weekly guide, Der deutsche Wegleiter. The view of Byron (1996) on these is that “In reinforcing the Nazi view, publications such as Der deutsche Wegleiter and the Pariser Zeitung are reminiscent of literature from many other cultures which stereoptypes or essentialises other cultures.”306 An examination of that view lies outside the scope of this thesis and would properly be an aspect of the study of the German front newspapers and magazines (vide supra 1.2).307

3.2. The Francophile’s dilemma

Die Deutschen in Frankreich wählten unterschiedliche Wege, um ihre Erlebnisse zu verarbeiten. Zu den aufwendigen Methoden zählte die Führung eines Tagebuches oder die Unterhaltung eines regelmäßigen Briefverkehrs. Mancher suchte hier eine Art von schriftlichem Gedächtnis aufzubauen.

This comment from the Tewes study of the experiences of former service people who had been stationed in occupied France308 is taken from the chapter entitled “Der Einzug des Alltags”, the ‘re-entry of the everyday’: the necessity of humans to establish a daily norm, however abnormal that norm might be. The commonest methods of ordering the experience in memory were the maintenance of a diary or the cultivation of regular correspondence. Correspondence as a way of building a written memory, eine Art von schriftlichem Gedächtnis, was a confessional and self-representational mode and even at that, because of wartime censorship, necessarily guarded and oblique in expression. For one such as Hartlaub, reading and acquiring books according to a planned reading programme and already making literary experiments, correspondence was often about the circumstance of writing,

305 Ibid., pp. 731/741; letter of 30.11.1944.
308 Tewes: Frankreich in der Besatzungszeit, p. 151.
with his father, G.F. Hartlaub, seeking to play the role of academic mentor. Hartlaub, a Francophone and since his early schooldays visit to Brittany and a later student-exchange stay in Strasbourg a Francophile, and intent on writing a post-doctoral work on 19th century French literature, could take little comfort from the fact that he was a civil servant of the occupation, as the unease of his “Er” focal character shows. Another administration official of the occupation, one of the senior military administration, temporary-officer officials viewed by the regular military as simply uniformed party-members and thus attracting the common nickname Goldfasanen,309 ‘golden pheasants’, was Walter Bargatzky, who wrote of the dilemma of the Francophile:

Es gibt einen militärischen und politischen Waffenstillstand, keinen kulturellen. Bleiben wir bei unserer Sympathie für französische Lebensart, so kehren wir, wenn sich die Dinge in Deutschland nicht ändern, bis zu gewissem Grad als Fremde zurück. Fremde, die sich untereinander am besten verstehen.310

Hartlaub’s recognition that he was already such a Fremder among his own people was heightened by the alienation he felt in Paris from the metropolis he so admired. It is this alienation which adds an extra detachment to the flâneur stance of his prose. He expressed the obverse of Bargatzky’s dilemma: the other penalty for complicity in the occupation, the compromised claim to be a Francophile at all: “Wir sind uns alle darüber klar, dass wir hier im Frieden und als Einzelne nie mehr hinkönnen.”311 Hinkönnen, a coining, with the sense of an unregainable destination; this was especially painful to Hartlaub, who did not fail to appreciate the heightened assertiveness in French culture in response to the military collapse: “Der Krieg und der Zusammenbruch müssen in eine ganz einzigartige Blüte des Schauspiels hineingewettert sein; dasselbe gilt von Film und Literatur.”312

The calculated cultivation of support for the Third Reich that lay behind Hitler’s benign policy towards French cultural life permitted, but did not cause this efflorescence.313

---


310 Bargatzky: Hotel Majestic, p. 52.


312 Ibid. See also, Michels: Das Deutsche Institut in Paris 1940-1944, pp. 69-70.

313 Ibid.; for background to the German control of French cultural production see Hanns Grössel’s introduction to Gerhard Heller / Jean Grand: In einem besetzten Land. Leutnant Heller und die Zensur in Frankreich 1940-1944 (Bergisch Gladbach: Bastei-Lübbe, 1985), pp. 11-27.
It is Hartlaub whose early drawings contain so much of the Rhine port architecture of his native Mannheim who is in his Paris Sketches the architectural successor of Franz Hessel. Hessel, whose *Spazieren in Berlin* (1929) was hailed by Walter Benjamin as the return of the *flâneur*, “die Wiederkehr des Flaneurs”, is the *flâneur* of the architectural. In a chapter entitled “Etwas von der Arbeit” he speaks of “Tempel der Maschine” and “Kirchen der Präzision”. Late in his Paris exile Hessel lamented the clearances for the new Speer Berlin to which much ornate domestic architecture of the Karl Friedrich Schinkel school was sacrificed. Hessel had written of Berlin, “In der Bauhütte des Neuen lebt noch eine Zeitlang das Alte weiter.” Hartlaub is not overtly nostalgic, and his one nostalgic remark in the sketches is vague as to recollection and ironic in tone, “In einem Studentencafé am Boul[evard Saint] Mich[el]. Er war hier schon mal, vor fünf Jahren, 8 Jahren, im Zuge der Völkerverständigung.” Hessel is nostalgic for the classically built Berlin of the Schinkel school, now in its state of demolition appearing actually antique, with its classical interiors appearing Pompeian in ruin. This temporary gift of a visible past, a “sichtbare Vergangenheit”, is owed, ironically, to the clearances of the Speer school. Nostalgia, also Hessel’s here, by definition recognises the inexorable and the irreversible. Hartlaub’s many *Purpurblaus* and *Atlasblaus* of the Paris sky, fickle light-play on house facades and palette of pastel hues, avoiding the starkly lit and the sharply defined, suggest more a state of subdued hibernation. At other times the summer sun blurs, petrifies and makes arid. Encoded is a sadness at the city’s temporary loss of vitality, and a frustration at the unfixed picture of the present.
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There was an urge to write home from occupied France, weekly among some, as Tewes’ study has found.\textsuperscript{321} Heinrich Böll’s letters in this respect are exceptional only in their sustained, almost daily frequency, and are important, apart from their relevance to studies of Böll’s later literary career, in their being a corpus of such reflections as might have made a major work on the occupation experience of one for whom, as a Catholic Rhinelander, to be pacifist was to be anti-Prussian. J.H. Reid’s claim that Böll is “Walter Benjamin’s Flaneur, der Intellektuelle, der kritische, doch voyeuristische Beobachter, der von der Gesellschaft, die er beobachtet, sowohl abgestoßen wie fasziniert ist”\textsuperscript{322} raises a question on the selective process by which experience is transformed into literary creation. No substantial work of fiction emerged from Böll’s two years as unit messenger, food-forager and interpreter. The felicitous lyricism of the Briefe from France is not recovered in the three short stories\textsuperscript{323} and one novella which make up the sum of Böll’s fiction set specifically in wartime France. Curiously, the opening scene of Des Vermächtnis, the novella, is not the chalk cliffs at Cap Gris Nez or elsewhere directly on the seafront or on the Somme and Seine/Eure estuaries where Böll had been happiest, but farther inland. The sea had exerted a fascination on him: “Glaubst du”, he had written to Annemarie, “daß es ein Vergnügen ist, mit dem Glas weit aufs Meer hinauszuschauen, obwohl man dort »nur Wasser« sieht?”,\textsuperscript{324} but he had found a similar attraction in the desolation of salt swamp and heath: “Das Dorf ist sehr arm und klein […] Es liegt mitten in einem großen Gebiet von Sumpf und Heide, und die Landschaft ist berauschend schön.”\textsuperscript{325} In Des Vermächtnis, the same swamp or salt marsh landscape is cast as a negative mood-backdrop to an anti-war melodrama:

Dort, in der nordwestlichen Ecke der Normandie, zieht sich parallel zur Meeresküste ein Streifen Landes, der die schwermütige Verlorenheit von Heide und Sumpf zugleich atmet; man sieht wenige sehr kleine Siedlungen, verlassene und verfallene Gehöfte, seichte Bäche, die träge den versumpften Sommerarmen zufließen oder unterirdisch versacken.\textsuperscript{326}

\textsuperscript{321} Tewes: Frankreich in der Besatzungszeit, p. 151.
\textsuperscript{322} Böll: Briefe II, “Nachwort”, p. 1605.
\textsuperscript{324} Böll, Briefe II, p. 915.
\textsuperscript{325} Böll, Briefe II, pp. 905-6.
\textsuperscript{326} Böll, Das Vermächtnis (Borbnheim: Lamuv, 1982), p. 15.
This is, and is not, the same “sonderbar reizvolle sumpfige Departement Somme” which Böll viewed on a train journey from Calais to Amiens with its “lange Strecken nur durch sumpfige Wiesen und Wälder” which he had marvelled at. The dried up watercourses are the same he crawled through on exercises, but which, contrary to the desolation stressed in the fiction, in the original experience offered exhilaration and escape:

Heute morgen sind wir fast vier Stunden im Gelände herumgekrochen, durch Stoppelfelder, halbverblühte Büsche, durch ausgetrocknete Bachläufe, die mit den tollsten, wildesten Blumen und Sträuchern fast zugewachsen waren; ach, alle tollen, wilden und süßen Gerüche des Herbstes haben mich sehr beglückt...

On entering the fortified area of his coastal posting, the sea has ebbed a kilometre away and is visible only as a strip of grey under a grey sky. Incongruously and perversely, the camouflaged barracks and bunkers at first present the appearance of a fishing village hung about with nets. The deliberately negative representation of the landscape in the fiction is thus consistent with Böll’s later claims that all ‘reality’ in fiction is in any case contrived and invented, not represented. The sum of his wartime literary efforts from 1939 onwards was two short trial-pieces and nothing after 1940. The first post-war efforts described in the correspondence with E.A. Kunz suggest a mismatch between Böll’s self-estimation and his actual mastery of the material: “Sie spielt in Frankreich, in einer Landschaft und einem Milieu, das ich vollkommen beherrsche”, this on Das Vermächtnis, on 24 May 1948. In financial desperation, the first fifty pages had been typed in just two days. The 88-page draft strained Böll’s ability: “Vermächtnis beendet. Deo gratias” was the notebook entry of 28 June 1948. Despite revision with the help of his wife, Annemarie, the work was rejected. In the spring of 1949, the work was taken up again, but with reluctance: “Das
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3.3. Culture shock: the East

Martin Raschke in his “Im Schatten der Front” sketches perceives in the East the survival of a pre-mechanised, age-old wood culture, still providing solutions of crafted beauty for all activities and purposes:

Das Leben hier schien mir älter als bei uns. Die Menschen bedienten sich der Ergebnisse einer jahrtausendalten Holzkultur, die schöne Formeln für alle Tätigkeiten ausprägte, während wir in der wirren Jugend eines metallischen Maschinenzeitalters stehen.

The Eastern towns present an improvised appearance, as if they were temporary market structures, somehow petrified. The culture of the West is, as heir to the cultures of the antique world, above all a culture of stone: “unsere Kultur ist ja als die Erbin der antiken Kulturen vor allem eine Steinkultur”. The West does different things with stone:

Daß jede Kirche ein Grab ist, wird hier besonders sinnfällig. Doch was hat das Abendland aus dem gleichen Gedanken für eine triumphale Form entwickelt. Unsere Räume glauben an die Himmelfahrt stärker als an die Grabelegung.

The overwhelming unbuilt-upon vastness of the Eastern landscape oppresses, creates a veritable craving for stone:

fuhr […] im Geist nach Süden, um mich dort, wie viele Tiere Steine zur Regelung ihrer Verdauung fressen, an dieser Gräberstadt von Stein für die erdigen Erlebnisse des Ostens zu erfrischen.

Singular observations, were their underlying sentiment not echoed in the writing of another Eastern front writer: from the opening chapter of Josef Leitgeb’s *Am Rande des Krieges* the contrast in form of human settlement is remarked upon; in Germany, unlike the East, man has subdued the land:

Das deutsche Dorf ist eine Form der menschlichen Freiheit: der Bauer hat sich das Land unterworfen, und sein Hof ist die Burg, von der aus seine Arbeit herrscht.

---
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The onion-domed Eastern churches in white or light blue stand out from the modest wooden farmhouses much more so than does the German Dorf kirche from the stately farmsteads which it serves:

Die hölzernen, weiß oder hellblau gestrichenen Kirchen mit ihren vielen Zwiebeltürmen heben sich von den Bäuerhäusern viel deutlicher ab als unsere bescheideneren Gottes häuser von den stattlichen Höfen.343

Nothing here in the East reminds one of structural cohesion, the “bauliche Geschlossenheit”, of the German small town, but how should towns in any case be of note in such a landscape which surpasses in extent any in the German experience?

Aber wie sollten auch Städte gegen eine Landschaft aufkommen, die alle deutschen Landschaften an Weiträumigkeit übertrifft, wie das Meer etwa unsere Voralpenseen?344

An inversion of perspective, in which offensive war against a ‘treacherous’ enemy is presented as a defensive struggle of the civilised and sensitive against an opponent portrayed as “die Negativfolie der Selbsteinschätzung als offen und ehrlich sowie gutmütig”345 is a common thread which Peitsch’s study divines among even those Eastern front writers which it singles out as being non National Socialist.346 Those writers: Walter Bauer, Günter Böhmer, Walter Henkels, Horst Lange, Josef Leitgeb, Martin Raschke and Hermann Georg Rexroth, may be so-designated, according to Peitsch, essentially because, though functionally complicit in the construction of the Holocaust-legitimising enemy image, the figure of the ‘Jewish-Bolshevist kommissar’ is absent from their writings.347 However, the privatising and aestheticisation of immediate experience at which these writers excelled were officially sanctioned tendencies which actually served the purpose of the Propagandakompanie front reportage.348 Even the gaze of the invading onlookers upon the Russian landscape can be functionally organised: upwards in grasp at the meaning of the war, outwards in terms of conquest and hegemony.349 Bauer’s eulogising of Europa in invocation of the Hellenistic
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ideal\textsuperscript{350} reads readily as humanistic transfiguration of the European mission of the German Reich.\textsuperscript{351} The ideologically contested space of the war in the East left little ground for pure, transcending aestheticism. These are perhaps too reductive conclusions: the inspiration for Bauer’s flight into the sublime is Hölderlin, with a nod to Emerson,\textsuperscript{352} and for Bauer and the others that which seemed to disconnect all prior norms of perception was the incomprehensible vastness of the land. Leitgeb’s epiphany: “Wer hier aufwächst, muß anders sein als wir”,\textsuperscript{353} is implicit acknowledgement that anyone who would settle and produce progeny in such a landscape could not but adapt and conform to it, rather than attempt to persist with norms evolved elsewhere.

The freedom of form within the generic diary format presumed in Bauer’s term, Tagebuchblätter, allowed for digressive essay pieces addressed to correspondents, and the introduction of personal memoir – Bauer cast his father’s loss of his home village to open-cast mining as a parable on the conquest of land: “die Erde […] mächtiger ist als der Mensch. Er ist nicht ihr Herr, er ist nur ein Teil von ihr.”\textsuperscript{354} Tagebuchblätter aus dem Osten is a book of two halves: the first, from pages 5 to 79, consisting of reflective essays and of poetry, and only the second half, entitled “Das Octavheft von B”, comprising pages 83 to 149, being actually in diary form with dated entries. Writing from a theatre of active military operations, Bauer observes the usual military censorship restrictions on disclosure of locations and identifiable individuals. The soldiers are quartered on the civilian population. Bauer writes of the emotional comfort of lodging with a family of Volksdeutschen, of the joy of re-reading Goethe in the surviving volumes of the old schoolteacher paterfamilias.\textsuperscript{355} Over the course of a dozen pages at the beginning of the diary section, Bauer writes with some affection on a sojourn in a Russian village: of a retired Russian minor official’s love of gardening, of the cleanliness and good housekeeping of his quarters, but also of the incommunicability with the Russian land and language and of a longing for Europe and for its cultural

\textsuperscript{350} Bauer: Tagebuchblätter aus dem Osten (Dessau: Karl Rauch, 1944), pp. 34-38.
\textsuperscript{352} Bauer: Tagebuchblätter aus dem Osten, pp. 41-48.
\textsuperscript{353} Leitgeb, op. cit., p.47.
\textsuperscript{354} Bauer: Tagebuchblätter aus dem Osten, p. 74.
\textsuperscript{355} See ibid., pp. 20-23, 30.
motherland, Greece.\textsuperscript{356} This echoes an earlier section of the \textit{Tagebuchblätter}, entitled “Europas Namen und Ihre Herrlichkeit”, a eulogy of Europe: “Geburtsland der Menschen.”\textsuperscript{357} Hearing one who has served there mention Greece, Bauer in fantasy beholds the classical sites that for him constitute Europe, and apostrophises her: “Das Licht, dieses kühne, junge, alle Dinge einigende Licht — es war um mich. So kamst du zu mir, Europa.”\textsuperscript{358} A recollection echoes Kästner: “im Museo Nazionale in Neapel […] inmitten griechischer Klarheit,”\textsuperscript{359} as does a reflection on the idealisation of youth:

\begin{quote}
[... ] daß aber bei keinem Volke so wie bei dem deutschen ein solches Hingezogensein zur Gestaltung des jungen Menschen zu erkennen sei, und daß das Wort „Jüngling” etwas Anderes sei, viel mehr umschließe als zum Beispiel „jeune homme” im Französischen.\textsuperscript{360}
\end{quote}

But Bauer could not, like Kästner, write: “Immer wieder kann sich der Deutsche an Heimatliches erinnert fühlen.”\textsuperscript{361} Bauer could find no \textit{Heimat} in the East. The land, unlike Greece, offered the \textit{Bildungsbürger} German no intellectual refuge from the war.

The few references to the war in the East are oblique, and references to light serve as motif and as correlative for pacifist yearnings: for the Black Forest of the home country, “…ein Morgen im Schwarzwald [...] Das Licht kennt keine Grenzen, keinen Haß, keine Liebe.”,\textsuperscript{362} and for France: “dieses klare Licht Frankreichs, das seine Maler beständig feierten”\textsuperscript{363} […] “von Frankreich und seinen lichtüberströmten Feldern.”\textsuperscript{364} The light of steppes, in contrast, brings clarity of vision and dispels illusions: “Das Licht, dessen Fülle vom Morgen bis zum Abend unerschöpflich war, hat unsere Augen befreit von den letzten Verschwommenheiten.”\textsuperscript{365}

Peitsch’s analysis pronounces the episodic account in H.G. Rexroth’s \textit{Der Wermutstrauch}\textsuperscript{366} of the July 1942 fighting around Rostov as thoroughly typical of the characterisation by \textit{Propagandakompanie} writers: of the enemy

\begin{flushright}
357 Ibid., p. 36.
358 Ibid., p. 37.
359 Ibid., p. 54.
360 Ibid., p. 42.
361 Kästner: \textit{Griechenland}, p. 269.
362 Ibid., p. 27.
363 Ibid., p. 35.
364 Ibid., p. 137.
365 Ibid., p. 62.
\end{flushright}
as treacherous and bestial; the negative reverse of the German self-image as open, honourable and good-natured, and further typical of a self-defensive presentation of the German soldier as denatured by the tactics of the enemy.367 Peitsch selects an episode of the shooting of three Russian civilians who present themselves before German patrols in the middle of a firefight. The incident is described near the end of Rexroth’s 46-page account of the taking of Rostov, from which account it is clear that the city was defended by a civilian militia, formed among the harbour workers, and by military in civilian clothes who passed from strongpoint to strongpoint in that guise. Rexroth likens the city to a primeval forest filled with enraged spectres, and in which all the laws of humanity perish in the fire of a cunning devilry, “im Feuer listiger Teufeleien” (p. 143). The grenadiers, whose motorised infantry death’s-head collar pins had earlier needlessly alarmed the young girls of the Cossack settlement in the approaches to Rostov (p. 137), now re-acquire primeval senses of survival: their mouths open so that the rush of blood in their ears will not muffle their hearing; they close their eyes that they may hear better; their fingers crook like talons around triggers, ready to shoot on reflex. In another account of the shooting of what again appear to be three civilians who have approached the German lines during firing, one is shot down out of hand, as a warning, and then, with apparent relish, the remaining two who have stopped in shock, are shot as soon as they turn about and attempt to flee. The vengeful killing is reactive to a scene glimpsed through a window during street fighting: a woman irons clothes unconcernedly and pours tea as a firefight rages about her house. In implicit sub-text, the witnessing soldiers are affronted: the enemy is now committing an outrage against homely values and Heimat. The account occurs in Günter Böhmer’s book, Pan am Fenster,368 notable for its succession of Russian/Ukrainian interiors where German soldiers are on familial terms with the householders. In place of Rexroth’s heat-of-battle psychology Böhmer offers an apologia unassociated with the particular incident, and implicitly acknowledging excesses on the German side:

368 See Günther Böhmer: Pan am Fenster (Berlin: Suhrkamp, 1943), pp. 35-36.
The atavistic primitivism presented in these instances by Rexroth and Böhmer may be read, *pace* Peitsch, as the product of a de-naturing occurring in reaction to the tactics of the enemy, or otherwise, simply as a direct product of the nature of close-quarter street fighting compounded by the involvement of civilian-clad enemy military and militia.

Peitsch refers to the *Ästhetik der Erfahrungsunmittelbarkeit* which underlay the institutionalisation of the *Propagandakompanien*, the aesthetic of the immediate literary rendering of experience as exemplified in such cinéma vérité accounts. The heightening of sensory experience, “Favorisierung des Sinns vor den Tatsachen” could favour the internalisation of the propaganda image of the enemy ‘other’ in that the representation of the self as product of a German and Western culture of the personality contrasted with a politically massed ‘barbarity’. The case argued by Peitsch is that the propaganda image of a less civilised and a politically brutalised enemy was sublimated in non-propagandist writing. It has been here contended that this reading is selectively extrapolative and that other, more immediately pragmatic readings also present themselves from the texts. It is here in addition suggested that there was a credible portrayal (Raschke, Rexroth) of an enemy in a more primal relationship to its land, and that oblique references to the indomitability of that land are pacifist in allusion: “Nie, so schien es uns, würden wir das Herz dieser Erde erreichen, wir würden immer nur am Rande sein.” (Bauer); “…was ist noch meßbar in solcher Unermeßlichkeit?” (Leitgeb).
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3.4. Applied fictive form

Citing Weyrauch in his championing of Rexroth as forerunner of the ‘realism of the immediate’ and Andersch in praise of Bauer, Lange, Raschke and Rexroth in their subtle deployment of art against the parvenu clamour of National Socialism, Peitsch notes Andersch’s reservation: that a certain literary escapism was a consequence of censorship and that, in order to be published at all, a choice of esoteric themes led to a slide into prose ‘calligraphy’. An examination of Lange and Rexroth’s deployments of conventional forms of literary fiction will here contend that these are counter-examples to the charge of calligraphy.

In the case of Rexroth, the aesthetic of a direct rendering of experience, the Ästhetik der Erfahrungenunmittelbarkeit, finds expression in the episodic novel, Der Wermutstrauch, closest among the other Ostfront accounts to true novel form. Weyrauch’s naming of Rexroth, had he lived, as one among the champions of the Kahlschlag, the tabula rasa new movement in German literature, must have taken the achievement of Der Wermutstrauch into account in that judgement. It is surprising that a summation sixty years after Weyrauch’s can be as tersely reductive as Wallrath-Janssen’s (2007), acknowledging Peitsch:

...auf eine Handlung ganz verzichtet: Einzelszenen aus dem Krieg in der Ukraine werden dargestellt. Der Krieg erscheint als Naturschicksal, der Feind als kämpfendes Untier oder duldsamer Flüchtling.

Without implying a comparison in literary merit, E.M. Forster’s observation on War and Peace may here be cited: the great chords that a novel strikes come not from the story or plot, but “come from the immense area of Russia, over which episodes and characters have been scattered.” That is pertinent to the choice facing any author concerned with a similar scale of events. As Forster has it, the plot in a novel, the Handlung, “is the novel in its logical intellectual aspect.” A pattern must emerge, Forster insists, though it need
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not be so constricting of characters as with Henry James. Pattern, Forster notes, may itself be plot.

There is a pattern in *Der Wermutstrauch* of fates overtaking characters individually, as the random consequence of a planned wider pattern of events. The book opens in the late summer of 1942, on the Sea of Azov, for the moment a military backwater. Northwards and eastwards, the battles for Kharkov and Rostov are imminent. In the course of an evening drinks party the withdrawn and malaria-stricken Lieutenant Thomas is casually requested by the admiral, after first a considerate enquiry as to the adequacy of his physical health, to command a night sortie of Croatian-manned coastal patrol boats. In a fog-bound chance encounter with an enemy flotilla Thomas is the only casualty. This occurs after weeks of inactivity: refugees are returning to the towns and the land; there is produce in abundance on sale, though most of the refugees are penniless. The women work as domestics for the Germans, the able-bodied men are gone. The self-engaged handyman at the base, “Ivan”, a deserter, fusses to trim the coffin he has fashioned for the fallen Lieutenant.

The construction of a *Feindbild* of an antithetical enemy as photographic negative of an honourable and good-natured self-image did not, as Peitsch has also pointed out, exclude the attribution of humanity in depictions of Russian civilians: on the contrary: such private scenes served to contrast the brutality of the military opponent. Though Rexroth’s novel begins with a description of general desolation following on the Russian withdrawal, of abandoned houses deliberately rendered uninhabitable, and soiled, as though the enemy had wished to show his contempt, the sympathetic portraits which populate the scene thereafter are individualised and not mere types. “Ivan”, the absent-without-leave Russian serviceman, makes himself useful about the base as a competent handyman, but salutes the German officers without any loss of his soldierly pride; a hapless Tatar, on the other hand, is scarcely tolerated by the Ukrainians and openly despised by the Russian Ivan. Among the Ukrainian working women, Lisaweta, Elizabeth, is portrayed as withdrawn and timid among the others and, unlike the rest, seemingly utterly without goods or
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shelter: she dies, by unlucky chance, in a bombing raid on the nearby city. Random fate and random death, for the Ukrainian Lisaweta as for Lieutenant Thomas, would seem to be Rexroth’s motif and the novel’s recurring theme.

Thus far, chapters I and II, the Lieutenant Thomas episode is a self-contained Novella within the novel: it is the story of “a fated life already essentially past” 385 mediated through the viewpoint of a narrator (who is present only once as an individual, as witness at the funeral, otherwise collectively, as “wir”). The Lieutenant Thomas character does not make the ethical choice of the tragic hero, but displays instead an “inner spiritual passivity”, 386 as when he readily accepts the unfamiliar, water-borne night mission sprung upon him in an off-hand way in the middle of an off-duty interlude. Active and speaking characters surround the ‘absent’ character of Thomas 387 and it is the presentation of these, as witnesses to the Thomas story, which emphasises the distance of this temporary, conditional, war-determined, transitory society from the security, hindsight and social stability of the Novella’s audience. 388

A flat character, the grenadier Stockmann, is the focaliser for chapter III; introduced without background, a character whose simple thoughts and words are supplied by the narrator. Stockmann’s casual charity of half a loaf of bread is ignored by a roadside refugee too far gone in despair. The description of the refugee exodus is unsparing: they look the advancing Germans apprehensively in the face, or down at the ground like frightened animals looking for someplace to hide. 389 Both Germans and refugees are portrayed as equally exhausted from the march, though the Germans in contrast look upwards to the sky, for the relief from the empty land and fields, which seem to extend up into the sky, “die geradeaus in den Himmel zu ziehen schienen”, 390 and for the mental stimulus the cloudplay offers when sleep threatens to overcome them. 391 Citing reflective passages in Günter Böhmer’s Pan am Fenster and
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Josef Leitgeb’s *Am Rande des Krieges*, Peitsch (1984) writes: “Der Blick des schreibenden Soldaten geht nach oben, um den Sinn des Kriegs zu erfassen. […] Der Sinn, den die schreibenden Soldaten finden, wenn sie nicht nach oben, sondern in die Weite blicken, meint Eroberung und Herrschaft.” The optical pragmatism of Rexroth’s weary soldiers is convincingly vital where Peitsch’s metaphors are tenuous inferences. Rexroth’s disjointed narrative of the fates of individuals is disjointed by authorial intention, because disjuncture is the circumstance of all the lives in the narrative. In such circumstances the focus is neither upwards nor outwards, but inwards, on re-connection, on survival.

In chapter IV, a pair of twenty-one year old junior officers is introduced: separated in age by less than a year, but widely in temperament and acquired attitude. Wallis, the younger, is a company commander and company-seeking, but an outsider; Holzhausen, the elder, is battalion adjutant and reserved, yet of whose popularity his friend Wallis is envious. The two are loosely followed through the prelude to the attack on Rostov and the attack itself and its aftermath, are separated for four days in the confusion of the action and then reunited; thereafter not further mentioned. The pair’s role as focalisers is more important in the peaceful interludes, as when Wallis visits the Russian theatre in Taganrog where the performers play the costumed roles of Ukrainians, Cossacks and Tatars, races some of whom are encountered and identified soon afterwards not far from the theatre in their reality as armed opponents, prisoners, and refugees. Holzhausen is placed in a set-piece interior scene where he is quartered on a fatherless family of a mother and two girls, of possible Tatar or Turkish extraction, and to whom he displays courtesy and consideration. It is conceivable that the scene is constructed for propaganda purposes to contrast humanity, cleanliness and cultivation (the girls sing, dance and play the guitar with accomplishment; the interior is poor, but clean) with the political brutality of the enemy regime. Peitsch maintains that this is a characteristic presentation for the non National Socialist writers writing in remit of the *Propagandakompanien*, and one exclusively played out in the interiors of Russian houses; moreover, that the cunning and brutality of the
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military actions contrasted with the tenderness of the familial scenes could be integrated into a picture which explained Russia as a riddle.\footnote{Ibid., pp. 133, 134.} The ascription of such insidious motives to Rexroth’s drawing of the character of Holzhausen would, however, be out of balance: the family encounter occurs as epilogue to the Rostov action, includes a reverie of home on Holzhausen’s part, and precedes shortly his reunion with Wallis, whose four-day disappearance is foremost on the character Holzhausen’s mind.

The character of the grenadier Stockmann is reintroduced in chapter VII, on the march to Rostov, and is given a companion in Fährenberg, a fellow other-ranker. Stockmann is now given a background and some traits of character: he has been a market gardener in civilian life, is practical, and is the first of the two to learn the skills of survival. Fährenberg has been a lawyer, is not practical, and leaves the chores to Stockmann. The two, though older men, are new draftees, replacements, and junior in experience to the young soldiers in the troop. Then a change occurs: Stockmann, the married man with two daughters, puts aside thoughts of home; Fährenberg becomes the solicitous one. They become dependent on one another. Yet, they are shunned and excluded: they have replaced two to whom in action they owed their own inexperienced lives, and as substitutes they are not accepted. Their isolation and feeling of inferiority produces a death-wish, and the others seem to view them with eyes which say that death will come to them as to their forerunners, “Kommt, Freunde…”\footnote{Ibid., pp. 107-8.} All this is succinctly conveyed within chapter VII. Nothing more is heard of either until the roadside grave of Stockmann is mentioned at the close of chapter XIII. The chapter VII psychology of unwitting self-alienation acting upon two minor characters is placed at the point in the narrative where the battle for Rostov culminates, and stands for the enclosed and self-referential order of thinking which detaches the active-service soldier from conventional norms. The aftermath of the initial armoured breakthrough of the Russian lines and the build-up to the fierce street-fighting forms for the \textit{Ich Erzähler} an apocalyptic vision in chapter VIII.\footnote{Ibid. Der \textit{Wermutstrau?c}, p. 100.} A stiffly sprawled dead Russian soldier by the roadside at the beginning of chapter IX.
becomes “der Künder des Todes”, the harbinger of random death which has already selected grenadier Stockmann, and so heralds the extended battle-sequence that forms the dramatic main movement which swells and then ebbs, spawning illuminating sub-scenes and bringing the narrative to a close.

The intermittent narrative thread of all the characters’ progress is in fact subordinate to the long, detailed account of the street fighting in Rostov and the successive tableau scenes which depict the aftermath: the mineral water warehouse rewarding the thirsty troops with what the population must now draw from the Don, the wine warehouse where the soldiers indulge in an orgy of drunkenness, the looted grain warehouse where children sink without trace in the rush and suffocate; these scenes, and cameos of shantytown improvisation, starvation, and madness. The frank depiction of the nature of the fighting and of its effects on Germans and the Soviet civilians alike does correspond in that respect to the ‘heroic realism’ approved of by Goebbels after the winter of 1941-42, but its detail is stark and un-heroic and without the presumption of moral superiority implicit in the propaganda projection of an enemy that fought with the “Bestialität einer primitiven Rasse.”

Horst Lange’s novella, *Die Leuchtkugeln*, published in a collection of three stories under that title in January 1944, accords with the formal principle of the novella: it is intensive in regard to character rather than (socially) extensive as with the novel. The fate of the foredoomed central character, the symbolically named “Hermes”, is private and exceptional and is preceded by an inner passivity. Some autobiographical parallels may be drawn with the author: Lange, frustrated by desk-work as writer in a training unit, willingly accepted a posting as soldier-reporter to a 6th Army pioneer unit in September 1941. Hermes is a successful organist-composer who has volunteered for front duty following a creative crisis.

The unifying symbol of the title, the flare or star shell, is laboured in the narrative and only at the end given narrative point, when the character attains redemption through his self-sacrificing discharge of flares into the night sky to

---

397 Ibid.
400 Wallrath-Janssen: *Der Verlag H. Goverts im Dritten Reich*, p. 363.
deceive the encircling enemy. There is no individual epiphany or narratively
decisive *Wendepunkt*; rather, the whole frontline experience is for the
character a revelatory detour on an already begun journey of self discovery.
Hermes, the psychopomp, guide to the underworld (the character discusses
eternity at one point) and as Hermes Trismegistos patron of astrology, and so
of comets, *‘Leuchtkugeln’*, does have a moment of epiphany in claiming to hear
in the signs of the coming battle, “eine klare, stille Wintermusik.” An
allusion here to a Pythagorean ‘music of the spheres’ may loosely be inferred
from the earlier reference to Hermes Trismegistos, through whom: “das Leben
war nicht mehr vom Tod getrennt, er spielte damit wie mit Kugeln”, and the
later reference to the character, ‘Hermes’, as one such as “jemand, der es
Musik] mißbraucht, um etwas zu glorifizieren, das der Ewigkeit
widerstrebt.” The musical perfection which the character hears internally
had remained unattainable to him in his musical career because he allowed
himself to be seduced by musically hedonist digressions, and is now clearly
distinguishable only in premonition of his own death. The narrator, observing
the reserved and taciturn Hermes become talkative like others who somehow
sense their own impending deaths, sees in the photographs he now passes
around that the sensuous, ‘fleshly’ music which brought him acclaim had
choked off “den reinen, kristallen Grundton […] der alle Melodien
zusammenhält wie eine zentrale Kraft, die auch das noch an sich zieht, was
schon auf der Flucht ist...”. The allusion here is formulated in the thoughts
of the narrator character. Earlier, on reaching an abandoned church that is an
observation point in their reconnaissance, the narrator character exclaims,
“Die Kirche!” which elicits the typically cryptic response from ‘Hermes’, “Ja,
der Kontrapunkt! Der Grundton, man muß ihn hörbar machen. Um ihn kreisen
alle Melodien der Welt.” The expressions here, the latter explicitly to
‘orbiting music’, are clearly Pythagorean, and allude therefore to more than
the life of a single fictional character. Consistent with German novella theory,
the fatalism is of the narrator’s mood, the central character beset by an inner
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spiritual passivity rather than displaying a heroic assertion of the will, the tragedy bourgeois-sentimental.\textsuperscript{408} The novella here, as with the short story, reveals through a crisis the essence of a way of life removed from the mainstream of society.\textsuperscript{409} What, then, of its function or appropriateness in the context of the clash of Weltanschauung?

The premise, stated in the opening paragraph of the story, is that for all the others, but possibly not, implicitly, for the solitary ‘Hermes’, the war was first accepted in a wave of childishly exaggerated emotions of “Opfermut, Heroismus und dunklen, ungewissen Gefühlen, die uns beinahe den Atem abdrückten.” As in all the other accounts, the seeming endlessness and emptiness of the vast land produces feelings of disorientation: “Angesichts dieser unbegrenzten Leere verlor man das Gefühl seiner selbst, man wurde unwichtig und bekam andere Dimensionen.”\textsuperscript{410} The narrator finds himself “nur durch eine winzige Entfernung von der Wirklichkeit getrennt”, but this suffices to make him feel as though he were standing outside his surroundings.

He thinks on a fallen fellow NCO, a seed-collecting amateur botanist: “Er hatte ein Stück Zukunft bei sich”.\textsuperscript{411} The fateful symbolism in the name of the routine replacement, ‘Hermes’, is recognised cynically by the commanding lieutenant and with foreboding by the narrator, ‘Friedrich’: “ein unsteter, schweifender Sendling, der selten das Glück mitbringt.”\textsuperscript{412} Hermes, unlike the others, does not curse the land, but urges that they respect it, as a sailor respects the sea: “Ein Ozean aus Erde, das ist auch ein Gegner.”\textsuperscript{413} He accords the same respect to a pilgrim vagrant as do the Russian locals.\textsuperscript{414} He reasons successfully with the farmers who have protested at being compelled to demolish their own barn to furnish logs for an improvised causeway, whereas their appeal for an “Offizier!” had first produced a racist retort from another trooper, “Halt’s Maul, gegen dich sind wir alle Offiziere!”\textsuperscript{415} On another occasion, however, understanding Russian and hearing the mixed workforce
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of men and women impressed for snow-clearance duty mock the futility of the effort, Hermes, too, reacts with pejorative fury, but speaking of them, not to them: “Verdammte Bestien! Luderzeug! Aasbande!” 416 On forward patrol, the narrator encounters on the outskirts of a village the flitting figure of a farmer who “grau und gebückt, wie eine große Ratte über den Weg huschte.” 417 Here, echoes of propaganda construction of the brutish Feindbild. The Russian population en masse is encountered only in its womenfolk in the shape of displaced city refugees. 418 Scenes of fraternisation, with accordion playing and communal singing, despite the security risk of which the narrator is keenly aware, serve the narrative purpose only of portraying the mental state of the death-resigned ‘Hermes’. 419 It is here not so much, as Peitsch has posited, 420 the collective self-image of the Germans as inherently open, honourable and good natured and projected by inversion against the cunning of an enemy operating with guerrilla tactics in the rear, but, rather, their insecurity and incomprehension as individuals. Hermes forms a friendship, not with the reflective and insightful narrator, but with another, one of two like-named, an innocent, the talkative “der hagere Max”. Hermes, the knowing, bonds himself with the guileless innocent: the one of them careless of survival, the other willingly seeking death. The novella can only hint at wider topics in the portrayal of its single, central character and then only through the recollections and reflections of its narrator. Its scope cannot be that of the novel, such as Rexroth’s Der Wermutstrauch, and that latter itself, even without its disclaiming sub-title, “Aufzeichnungen aus dem Kriege”, could not be quite a novel since the ultimate social outcome of the events was not known and could not be predicted at the time of writing. The attempt to apply literary form to fluid events could ultimately be wholly successful only in the depiction of character, while incident, unresolved, lost its social character and occupied a temporary space between symbolism and reportage.

The interpretation of Rexroth’s novel and Lange’s novella offered here is contrary to the negative conclusions of Peitsch (1984) and to those of
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Wallrath-Jannsen’s (2007) appraisal of the wartime work of authors contracted to the H. Goverts publishing house. The latter study concludes that the approach to the war of Rexroth and others, but especially of Lange, and their publishers, Goverts, revealed contradictions:

...Widersprüche deutlich, die zwischen der persönlichen Ablehnung des gegenwärtigen Kriegs einerseits und gleichzeitig der Bereitschaft der Verleger und Autoren bestand, diesen aktuellen Lebensbereich als individuelle Bewährungssituation wahrzunehmen, literarisch zu verarbeiten und diese Werke zu veröffentlichen.421

Advised by his publishers that the propaganda ministry would look favourably on a collection of freely written short stories from the front, Lange’s indignant initial reaction was that the very choice of war-stories as genre was already an extensive concession to the wishes and purposes of the ministry. Lange’s own appraisal of the nature of this work: “Zwitterding zwischen Literatur und Propaganda militärischer Art”.422 In response to criticism from Gottfried Benn,423 however, Lange’s vehement refutation of any political intent in the Die Leuchtkugeln trilogy of stories must argue for these, and in particular the title story considered here, to be judged not on their commissioning, but on their merits, from the text, as attempted here, as also in the case of Rexroth. The judgement of Wallrath-Jannsen in this regard seems sweeping:


Rexroth’s sixty-five page stark account of the storming of Rostov,425 as discussed in this and in the preceding section, cannot be summed up as Entwirklichung and Mythologisierung. Also, Lange’s choice of the novella form preordains the principle of intensity rather than extensity and, with regard to character, dramatic or symbolic revelation rather than gradual development,426 in which some degree of transcendent intensification of meaning, Sinnhaftigkeit, is not out of place. It is argued here that these two works avoid through literary rigour, at least in considerable degree, that
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general embroilment in propaganda divined by Peitsch and Wallrath-Jannsen. Denkler (2000) goes so far as to pronounce the Der Wermutstrauch and Die Leuchtkugeln as the two works of highest literary merit on the German side to emerge from the Eastern Front, not least for their integrity, in that Rexroth and Lange do not shy away from attributing the trail of turmoil, death and destruction – “Bahnen des Todes” and “Wüste der Vernichtung” are Rexroth’s phrases – to the actions of the invading forces. As to the literary qualities of the works, Denkler notes that the shortcomings of the fiction which Lange in his war diaries had feared, “das Unausgewogene, Lückenhafte, Fragmentarische, schlecht Gebaute und den „den großen Fluß“ Verfehlende”, and the formal deficiencies of Rexroth’s events-driven, loosely figural and casually connected episodic novel, actually translated into an aesthetically innovative authenticity. Denkler points to changing narratorial perspectives, a subversive weakening of the auctorial narrative stance and, notably in Lange’s case, the endowment of laconic and unadorned speech with the capacity for allusion and ambivalence, all as literary achievements which on the one hand helped to undermine the epigonic bombast of National Socialist writing and on the other to surmount the tiring monotony of classical-elitist escapism. The sceptical and laconically cryptic, heroic anti-hero and the cynical, at one point defeatist commanding lieutenant of Die Leuchtkugeln, and the campaign-weary soldiers of Der Wermutstrauch who wonder, forgetting all indoctrination, how they are come to be there at all; these are indicators that the narratives of the two works are intent, under the eye of the censor, on a non-conformist subtext.
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428 See also Denkler: “Was war und was bleibt? Versuch einer Bestandsaufnahme der erzählenden Literatur aus dem ’Dritten Reich’”, in Zeitschrift für Germanistik, Vol. IX-2 1999, pp. 290-93.

429 Rexroth: Der Wermutstrauch, p. 192

430 Ibid., pp. 194-95.


4. Aesthetic dissidence: Erhard Göpel and Erhart Kästner

4.1. Sub-texts in Erhard Göpel’s *Die Normandie* and *Die Bretagne*.

Already in 1940, two years before the appearance of *Frankreich*, Erhard Göpel’s *Die Normandie* had appeared, printed in Paris by Curial-Archereau and with its publishing origin cited as “herausgegeben von einem Armee-Oberkommando”. The publication is consistent with the educational and art-historical brief and activities of the *Kunstschutz* [art protection] service of the military. This service did not form part of the German high command’s *Wehrmachtpropaganda* section which operated the *Propagandakompanien*; it was in fact already an obligation under the Hague Conventions on all military administrations in occupied countries. The early publication date of *Die Normandie* testifies to the immediacy with which the purposes of the *Kunstschutz* service were set in motion. A second army-published edition appeared in Paris in 1941 and a third, civilian edition was published by Staackmann publishers in Göpel’s native Leipzig in 1942, this latter with some substitution of woodcut illustrations, some of these at the expense of text, and again with print and gravure from the Curial-Archereau press of Paris, with the author, discovered only on the back flyleaf of the military editions, now credited on the title page. The art historian Erhard Göpel had presented his doctoral dissertation entitled “Ein Bildnisauftrag für Van Dyck, Antonius van Dyck, Philipp le Roy und die Kupferstecher” at Leipzig in 1940. The pre-war engagement of German scholars with the Norman and early Gothic architecture of Northern France, and notably that of Professor Hamann who had already established a photographic collection at Marburg, is acknowledged by Göpel. The book’s 90-page text is illustrated, the author’s choice, with fine-detailed woodcuts from Janin’s *La Normandie*, Paris 1842, courtesy of the city library of Rouen, which also furnished the pull-out antique map of Normandy, *editions Merian*, 1663. The book has also an appendix of thirty two numbered photographic plates. Twelve of the plates are credited separately and individually to French sources. Three plates are from pre-war German collections and eleven plates from current German sources, all
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fourteen by courtesy of Count Metternich, under whose direction the Kunstschutz service on the orders of the army command undertook in August 1940 a photographic campaign with the help of the the Marburg Kunsthistorische Institut under Professor Hamann. The six remaining plates are, so Göpel expressly notes, Propagandakompanie photographs, several of these illustrating features of traditional Normandy architecture. Of all the plates, just three are not of exclusively architectural or topographical interest: one is of German sentries silhouetted in the portcullis gate of William the Conqueror’s stronghold at Caen; another, whose caption makes reference to Seine bridges in the distant background rebuilt after the fighting of 1940, has the figure of an off-duty German serviceman in the foreground; the third is of booted German servicemen ambling on the shingle beach under the chalk cliffs at Etretat. These plates appear incongruent with the general tenor of the text and with the otherwise distantly historical intra-text illustrative complement. The distant-historic illustrative focus, so Göpel’s commentary in the acknowledgments appendix, is that of the twentieth century, “unsere Zeit”, which was on the early stages of Norman architecture, whereas the 19th century had favoured the more ornate Norman Gothic:

…so hat unsere Zeit ihr Augenmerk besonders auf die frühen Stufen der normannischen Architektur gerichtet, in denen sich der Geist der einwandernden nordischen Stämme auf eine eigentümliche Weise mit den überlieferten Formen weltlicher und kirchlicher Baukunst verband. [XII] (italics added)

Though Duke William and his consort Mathilde piously endowed the abbey churches of St Etienne and Holy Trinity in Caen (plates 4-5, 2), the early Norman promotion of church building, in Normandy and virtually simultaneously in England, was bound up with the Norman purpose of reorganising and controlling the Church as an instrument of state. The imposing three-storey structure of St. Etienne, roofed by transverse vaults, was the influential model. That the bold spirit of its benefactor may well be read from it, Göpel does not doubt:

Der Nachdruck der Auswahl liegt auf den Bauten, die sich mit der grossen Gestalt Wilhelms des Eroberers unmittelbar verbinden, Meilensteine seines Werdens und Handelns, an denen sein Lebensweg abzulesen ist. [...] Darauf folgen Beispiele normannischer Gotik, deren kühne Bauweise und deren gestraffte, bespannte Formen normannischen Geist atmen. [XII] (italics added)
The spirit of the “einwandernden nordischen Stämme” is admired in the high-tension daring of their church architecture. The attribution may have suited the associative needs of the Germanic expansionists, but its appropriation is denied by Göpel’s scrupulous professional stress on the architectural features in themselves, by the particular attention he draws to the skill of the illustrative woodcuts, by the separate commentary he provides on each of these in the appendix (III-X), and by the stress he lays on the instructive coherence of the photographic plates (XII-XIV).

The geology, topography and customs of particular regions of Normandy, its architecture and its frontier strongholds predominate throughout, and twenty pages of text, excluding illustrations, on its history centred on its empire-building duke, and a penultimate chapter entitled *Geistige Landschaft der Normandie* all attest to the author’s enthusiasm for his subject. Political content there is. The Ehrke-Rotermunds (1999) have noted that because of the reading habits of the literary censors, the beginning and the end of an oppositional work were particularly susceptible to scrutiny and that consequently a variation on the rhetorical rearrangement and transposition device of *transmutatio* – they suggest instead a “Platzwechsel”, the simple shifting of a complete text to a less conspicuous position within a work – could serve to camouflage the true message of an author. It is notable that the overt political content in Göpel’s *Normandie* occurs on its opening and closing pages. The book opens with the sound of hoofs signalling the approach of a party of armed riders in a mist out of which a church spire appears to be launched as an arrow into the sky: “Reiter kamen näher, braunglänzende Pferdeleiber tauchten auf, ein Leutnant und sein Begleiter grüssten. […] Waffen schlugen im wiegenden Takt der Reiter zusammen”.

The fantasy is encouraged by a woodcut of a mounted knight in armour. Göpel cautions, however, that it is ‘ein weiter Weg’, a long way, before land and people in their essence, “in ihrem Wesen” can rise up and take shape, “erstehen” before
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us, and that the reader must first gain knowledge of the historical springs before the Roman legions of Gaul and the adventurers, the “Reisigen”, of William the Conqueror can in the imagination ride by with the apparent substance of the German cavalrymen encountered in the morning mist:

Es ist ein weiter Weg, bis Land und Leute in ihrem Wesen vor uns erstehen, bis die Kenntnis der geschichtlichen Quellen vor unseren Augen die Legionäre Caesars und die Reisigen Wilhelm des Eroberers, des grössten Normannenfürsten, so lebendig vorüberziehen lässt, wie die deutschen Reiter, denen wir in den Morgennebeln eines Tages im Frühherbst des Jahres 1940, zwischen den Türmen von Norrey und Bretteville in der fruchtbaren Ebene um Caen begegneten.\(^{441}\)

The association of the latter-day conquerors with their historic counterparts is therefore expressly qualified by the stipulation that for the conjured historical imagery to acquire living association, \textit{lebendig vorüberziehen}, appreciative historical knowledge on the German side is required.

The closing paragraphs of the book hail the call to greatness, “der Zug zur Grösse”, that the daring architecture and even more daring overseas ventures of the Normans, to England, to Sicily and to Constantinople itself, summon up. German soldiers stand watch in Normandy only because, for once, “die Volkskraft zusammen genommen worden ist in einer Hand.” The allusion here could not be more patent, but again, Göpel utters a caution: that the memory of Norman greatness, won abroad and lost at home, “diese verwehende Erinnerung”, should be a warning not to become bewitched by success but to remain “zum Handeln bereit”.\(^{442}\) The proper noun may denote action or negotiation, according to context, and the latent ambiguity may conceal a warning against foreign expansion won at too high a cost. The formulation of the short final paragraph deserves examination:

Der Zauber, den das Land für uns hat, ist seine grosse Vergangenheit. Diese verwehende Erinnerung spricht zu uns. Lassen wir uns nicht verzaubern, sondern bleiben wir zum Handeln bereit, ohne uns je, wie die Normannen es taten, in der Fremde zu verlieren.

The interpretation of Gordon (1996) that this is an admonition “to avoid the mistake of the early Normans and not lose their identity among the foreign peoples they conquered” seems too flatly literal.\(^{443}\) Göpel’s admonition has already occupied the whole of the two-page final chapter: it points to

\(^{441}\) Ibid., p. 6.
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population decline, absentee landlords, Flemish immigration, the lure of Paris, and to that extent is more a plea for local, regional patriotism.

Between the opening injunction to study the land and the closing admonition to take a lesson from that study, the content of the book is apolitical, except for the perhaps undue stress in so slight a book on the moulding influence of William of Normandy, and for a tilt at French coyness on the subject of Count Arthur de Gobineau, the founder of “einer neuen Rassenlehre”.\footnote{Göpel: \textit{Die Normandie} (1940), p. 32.} The text is without the soaring lyric passages of Kästner’s \textit{Griechenland} and \textit{Kreta}, but one paragraph on the character that the Norman weather imparts to the landscape is the equal of any in Kästner and for comparison deserves to be quoted in full:

Ein unablössig wechselnder, von Seewinden bewegter Wolkenhimmel spannt sich über das Land, auch in den entfernteren Landstrichen die Nähe des Meeres erkennen lassend. Sein Atem ist als frischer Wind überall spürbar, der das Laub der hohen Buchen und Ulmen, des Gebüsches und der Hecken leise erzittern lässt, im Luftzug die hellen Innenseiten der Blätter sichtbar macht und so einen silbrig bewegten Ton in das Bild der Landschaft trägt, den auch der eilende Pinsel der Maler des vorigen Jahrhunderts nur selten im Bilde als Impression festzuhalten vermochte. Manchmal fegt der Wind die Wolken vom Himmel, der dann in reiner stählerner Bläue erstrahlt, die an Leuchtkraft den azurnen Himmel des Südens übertrifft.\footnote{Ibid., pp. 7-8}

The claim of superiority for the northern light with its steely blue over the deeper and more sensuous azure of the South parallels Kästner’s enthusiasms for the intense Aegean light of Greece. The authors thus each champion their own adopted territory in a form of overarching lyrical depiction that appears to disregard as transitory the circumstances of conquest and occupation. As Blume’s \textit{Denkschrift} address notes,\footnote{Blume: \textit{Erhard Göpel}, p. 7.} Göpel formulates the German occupation of Normandy both directly and between-the-lines as the last chapter, for the time being only, \textit{vorläufig}, in the history of Normandy.\footnote{See Göpel: \textit{Die Normandie} (1940), p. 68.}

\textit{Die Bretagne},\footnote{Erhard Göpel: \textit{Die Bretagne. Volkstum, Geschichte, Kunst} (1940).} also “herausgegeben von einem Armee–Oberkommando”, does not bear a publication place or date, though the end-piece drawing is dated 1940. Catalogue entries give the publication year variously as 1940 or ‘circa 1940’.\footnote{See Karlsruher virtuelle Katalog (KVK)} As with \textit{Die Normandie}, the illustrations are again black-and-
white gravure, but from contemporary drawings, accredited to ‘A. Conrad’. The press is that of the Propagandakompanie of the army newspaper, West-Front. The compact format is that which is much enlarged upon in Die Normandie. The universal avoidance of the umlaut in the text: e.g., Breton Haeubchen and Baeuerinnen (though Anne de Bretagne in a ballad translation tours her Güter in sabots, and an attribution goes to a Prof. von Bülow), contrasts with scrupulous application of accent in place-name etymology, as in Plancoët and Le Folgoët. Though probably indicative of a scarcity of umlauted type-font, this is also indicative of a careful and sensitive attention to orthography in French. Wholly unpretentious in layout, Die Bretagne is printed on exactly two quires of handmade paper, thirty two pages; the cover of the same paper in double thickness. A mere pocket booklet in comparison to Die Normandie, and a far cry from the lavish presentation volume that is the coffee-table format Frankreich, Die Bretagne’s appearance on handmade paper is, even so, clearly the work of a lover of the craft of bookbinding.

As a cultural-history travel text, Die Bretagne opens with a short etymological explication of the Celtic names Brittany and Armorica. The historical designation is distinguished from the administrative grouping of five Departements which comprise the “geographische und geschichtliche Landschaft.” As with Die Normandie, the account proceeds from a fundament of geology through language, settlement, history and cultural monuments. Other than with Normandy, there are sections for a still-evident separate and distinctive folk craft tradition, folk poetry and folk music, and for the strong tradition of individualistic thought in Brittany, from Abbot Abelard, to Chateaubriand, to Ernest Renan. At the centre of the book is a catalogue of architectural monuments from Roman through the Gothic, the Renaissance and the Baroque to the contemporary. The format of the whole is that of a conventional, concisely written guidebook, though the elevated register of one
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paragraph pair in the almost two full pages devoted to Mont St. Michel is remarkable:

Wer sich dem Michelsberge von ferne naehert, vergisst Stile und Baudaten, denn sein Herz spricht mit. Hebt sich der Berg zu Seiten der Ebbe schwer und massig aus dem weiten Sandmeer, scheint er zu anderer Stunde, von der Hoehe bei Granville gesehen, gleich einem stolzen Schiff durch die Fluten zu ziehen oder von seiner hoechsten Spitze, fast aus der Vogelschau betrachtet, sich als vielstufige Pyramide in einem Punkt zu verjuengen, immer wirkt er traumhaft als Zauberberg. Sinnt man dem Eindruck nach, so steigen Maerchenschloesser aus Kindheits erinnerungen auf, Bilder der himmlischen Stadt auf dem goldenen Grunde der Tafeln mittelalterlicher Maler, endlich die das Heiligtum verwahrende Gralsburg.

Weltliche und geistliche Macht vereinigten sich im Zeichen von St. Michaels Schwert und verwandelten den gewachsenen Fels zu gebauter Architektur, den Elementen, Woge und Sturm zum Trotz; Gott zur Ehre.453

The exclusive emphasis which the final expression derives from the preceding semicolon admits of no claims of latter-day conquerors. There is, in fact, no reference in this slight booklet to the German military presence, or to history-shaping conquests of the past. In *Die Bretagne*, only in the final paragraph is a comparison drawn with contemporary Germany with the suggestion that if one were seeking in order to understand its character to compare Brittany with a German province, then Westphalia would the most likely to spring to mind, “wo dem Boden eine aehnlich elementare Kraft entwaechst, die alle Lebensaeusserungen durchdringt.” Brittany resembles Westphalia therefore in being elementally durable. The choice of parallel may be read as an allusion to Westphalia’s former status as a province of Prussia, by which Göpel may be suggesting that transitory political subordination need not diminish the elemental character of a land. Göpel’s closing sentence seems even more pointed, “Es ist ein Land, dessen Stein der Granit und dessen Baum die Eiche ist.”454 The German plans to weaken the territorial integrity of France by granting autonomy to Brittany were no secret: Felix Hartlaub had read about such plans, for Burgundy also, and remarks on them in a letter of August 1940.455 The emphasis throughout *Die Bretagne* is on cultural distinctiveness, though nowhere with an anti-French political overtone.

Blume observes that the incongruity of the publication of two such works as *Die Bretagne* and *Die Normandie* by an Armee-Oberkommando, for an

454 Ibid., p. 30.
intended readership of German servicemen, allows of a reading of them as enlightening texts against the barbarity of the wish to militarily subdue an ancient European cultural power. Not the incongruity of their publication alone, but also the understated by-play in their texts makes them works enlightening against barbarity. Göpel makes it clear that before France itself, Normandy was such a European cultural power. Affection for Brittany is coupled with a strong admiration for Normandy and for the character, enterprise and cultural achievements of its people – it is notable Mont St Michel, the subject of Göpel’s lyrical passage, lies on the border between Brittany and Normandy. The play on the role of the Conqueror is an obvious and neutral ploy. Current expansionist political orthodoxy could even be flattered, in a back-handed way:

In manchem kleinen Ort findet man das Denkmal eines Kolonisators, der nach Uebersee, nach Kanada und anderwärts ging und den französischen Kolonialbesitz aufbauen half. Noch einmal zeigte sich normannischer Unternehmungsgeist. [Die Normandie, p. 65] (italics added)

An echo of the Wilhelmine ambition to emulate France’s colonial empire, re-asserted in the present conflict, albeit in an Easterly direction, may heard in this, as Göpel would have known. Equally, the formulation suggests that successful expansion was the product of hard work rather than of offensive war.

Blume in his centenary address does not conceal the fact that Göpel’s wartime duties after his call-up to the translation service were latterly discharged from Holland where, based in the Hague, he was the agent of Dr. Hans Posse and of Posse’s successor, Dr Hermann Voss, in the project of acquiring artworks for the Hitler-inspired project of a ‘Centre of European Culture’ in Linz, which project also involved Göpel in the Einsatzstab Rosenberg activities in France. A telegrammed report from Göpel in April 1943 gives details of the discovery, in the administrative area of Vichy France, of the art collection of the Jewish Schloss family and of the attempted removal of the collection to German custody. Göpel’s report concludes with a recommendation that further ‘direct action’ be suspended in view of the

---
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legality of the situation and pending approaches to the Laval-Petain government. After the Louvre museum authorities had appropriated 49 paintings from the confiscated Schloss collection, Göpel is known to have later appraised remainder and thereafter, presumably on his recommendation, a further 226 paintings were reserved for Hitler’s Linz project. To the contrary of this image of Göpel, his friendship while in Holland with the political-exile German expressionist painter Max Beckmann and his help given to members of the circle of friends around Beckmann is cited by Blume, who also suggests that Beckmann’s 1944 portrait of Göpel was a token of esteem that would not have been accorded a mere functionary. It is also notable that Göpel, upholding an arrangement of the deceased Posse, continued to protect the life of the Jewish art historian, collector and (in exchange for his life) informant on Jewish collections, Vitale Bloch. Göpel did not later seek to justify or excuse his wartime role and, when urged to by a Dutch friend from the wartime years, declined to claim any credit for himself in a planned book on Beckmann.

Erhard Göpel was appointed to the Linz project on the personal recommendation of Martin Bormann and, as the authorised representative in the Netherlands of Voss who, unlike his predecessor Posse, did not travel in person in the pursuit of artworks, was an energetic acquisitor. Yet, no confiscated artworks can be shown from German or Dutch records to have come into the Linz collection through the agency of Göpel. The high rate of state retention in Holland (65%) and France (50%) of artworks recovered from the Linz project and the low rate of artworks returned to the original owners (Holland: circa 12%) is cited as indication that Hitler’s agents had recourse for the most part to the art trade, which trade, in Holland as in France, enjoyed a boom and record prices as a result.
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4.2. Göpel and Kästner: the freedom of the aesthete

Göpel’s Normandie was submitted as a model in the proposal for the Kästner/Kaulbach Griechenland and its publishing history is similar: two army editions in Paris in 1940 and 1941, followed by the civilian edition in Leipzig in 1942. Griechenland also appeared in two army editions, since part of the print-run of the edition of 1943 was reserved for the military. Whereas Hiller von Gaertringen’s research in the Kästner archive reveals that Kästner took a continuing interest in Griechenland, revising, rewriting, and planning additional chapters, Göpel’s Normandie, by contrast, shows no sign of authorial revision in its 1941 and 1942 editions at all. Göpel’s unsavoury wartime role thereafter may have precluded further such work, but Normandie is in any case not written in the style of a personal memoir, and lends itself less easily to such later interpolations as appear in Kästner’s Ölberge, Weinberge. It is notable that Kästner’s Homeric comparisons, occurring as they do at the start of Griechenland, are prefigured in the opening passage of Normandie. Göpel was already a professional associate and friend of Kästner, the two having worked together from 1938 to 1940 on a planned Gutenberg exhibition at Leipzig. That Kästner admired Göpel’s natural and apparently simple style is clear from his letter to the then dying Göpel in 1966:

Fast niemand sonst wagt es, Wärme zu zeigen […] Fast niemand sonst müht sich, einfach zu schreiben; alle schrauben. […] Dein Schreiben […] jede Art von Formel verschmäht.

The same letter reveals that the beginning of writing did not come easily to either author, “es ist der Pour le mérite allen Schreibens, daß es schwerfällt und daß es gelingt, dieses Schwerfallen wie eine Schande geheimzuhalten, der Leser darf es um keinen Preis merken.” That Kästner believed that there was a place alongside scholarly discipline for warmth and passion, and which he had admired in Göpel: “Wärme zu zeigen”, is clear from this declaration from Kreta:
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Ich bedaure es wie viele, daß sich die Wissenschaft den frei geäußerten Strom der Bewunderung und das flammende Gefühl verbot, wie es zu Winckelmanns Zeiten noch üblich war.\textsuperscript{473} 

Writing to Gerhard Nebel 1950, Kästner admits that he, too, ‘flew on the mane of the beast of militarism’: “flog ja schließlich dem Untier Militarismus auf Schulter und Haupt”, and at times found it a splendid vantage point: “genoß zu Zeiten eine herrliche Aussicht von dort oben.”\textsuperscript{474} The same admission in the same phrasing occurs in Kästner’s Ölberge, Weinberge of 1953.\textsuperscript{475} Kästner in his letter is chiding Nebel for not acknowledging as much in his published war diaries.\textsuperscript{476} As writers, Kästner and Nebel visited the Algerian Sahara together in the winter of 1950/51, but had not been acquainted with one another before or during the war.\textsuperscript{477} Kästner reminded Göpel, on the other hand, that it was the war which provided him and Kästner with the impetus to write: “Seltsamerweise hat dieser Krieg […] sowohl Dich wie mich zum Schreiben gebracht.” Kästner may be referring here to the difficulties of preserving personal integrity while discharging commissions to write Die Bretagne and Die Normandie when he adds, “ohne Zweifel mußtest Du, als du zu schreiben begannest, von einem ganz entgegengesetzten Ort ausgehen.”\textsuperscript{478} This may also be a tactful allusion to the burden of Göpel’s wartime role on his post-war writing career. The continuity evident nonetheless in the post-war writings of Kästner and of Göpel projects backwards onto their wartime works, making these the beginning of a consistent continuum. Göpel, whose doctoral study is echoed in his selection exclusively of nineteenth century woodcuts for the text-illustrations in Die Normandie, continued to publish on graphic art, particularly on woodcuts and lithographs, one of his first accredited post-war books being an introduction to and commentary on the works of Gauguin.\textsuperscript{479} In the commentary of his 1955 publication on German woodcuts of the twentieth century he sees the woodcuts as starkly confronting the nation, the nation viewed as a living being, a Lebewesen, beset with the unexpressed and.
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the avoided, with the *Unausgesprochene* and the *nicht Angeschaute*, with the demons, in fact, which lurked in the national consciousness:

> Faßt man das Volk als Lebewesen und die Äußerungen seiner Künstler als die stellvertretende Aussage für eine bestimmte Lebensstufe, […] Das Chaotische, ein Bestandteil des deutschen Volkscharakters, kann aber nur besiegt werden, wenn man sich ihm stellt, wenn jeder einzelne es aus dem Bereich des Unausgesprochenen, nicht Angeschauten, aus dem Dunkel der Höhle herausholt, sich in dieser Absicht auch der ursprünglichen Aussage dieser Holzschnitte anvertraut, sein Gefühl durch ihre Bilderwelt wie durch Mühlen hindurchströmen läßt.  

The viewer, i.e. the viewing *Lebewesen* of the nation, shall trust the original message of the woodcuts and allow its feelings to be milled through their image-world, for to seek to evade demons is to be condemned to be pursued by them: “denn den drohenden Dämonen, dort, wo sie gebannt worden sind, zu entwischen suchen, heißt in primitiveren Regionen von ihnen wieder und wieder heimgesucht werden.” That Göpel’s comment here is a post-bellum politically conscious one is unmistakable. It is yet another coincidence that Kästner, too, should make a political statement stemming from a world view presented in woodcuts. Writing the afterword to a selection of Andre Maillol’s woodcuts of the pastoral antique, *Hirtenleben*, and borrowing works on Maillol from Göpel for the occasion, the focus for Kästner is on the celebration of the body, as in the antique:

> Maillol, das ist deutlich, ist der große Erotiker unter den neueren Künstlern […] jede Linie brennt. Jede Linie ist so lange behandelt, bis nur noch ihr Glühendes, nur ihre Liebeskraft bleibt.

And on light, as in *Griechenland/Kreta*:

> Wer sie anschaut, wird sich vom Licht des Südens angestrahlt finden. Ist es nicht, als ob die schwarzen, kraftgeladenen Linien ein Gitterwerk seien, durch welches das Licht aus und ein gehen kann und so nur noch glanzvoller wird?

Kästner’s suggestion that the trenches outlines of the woodcuts make a tracery through which the light of the South streams with a greater intensity is fanciful, but no more so than Göpel’s darker vision of national demons lurking in inky impressions. Kästner in continuation grounds the many observations of
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481 Ibid.
484 Kästner: *Hirtenleben*, p. 44.
485 Ibid.
physical beauty which occur in Griechenland/Kreta: “Der Grad des Heimatgefühls wird immer von Eros gemessen, denn Eros ist Heimat. […] wo Erotik verfehlt oder verdünnt wird […] da ist Heimat und Ordnung im Schwinden.”

The continuation of the text from this point makes an extraordinary political claim: “Das ist ja der Grund, weshalb die modernen Gewaltstaaten der Erotik mißtrauen: wo Eros herrscht, ist nichts zu holen für sie.” Eros must here be interpreted in the sense of the life instinct which seeks the uninhibited enjoyment and celebration of life, as in Freudian theory. Griechenland and Kreta of 1942-1944 affirm and lyricise this life instinct.

Göpel, too, had something to say on the political aspect of the erotic, in his editorial foreword to the 1954 re-issue of Otto Julius Bierbaum’s Eine empfindsame Reise im Automobil of 1903. Göpel, before reviewing the achievement of Bierbaum’s imitation of Sterne’s Sentimental Journey, lauds him first as one of the energetic initiators of Art Nouveau in typography, “einer der energischen Initiatoren des Jugendstiles auf typographischem Gebiet”.

Brenner (1997) sees in Bierbaum’s allusion to Sterne the difficulties of reconciling the reading public for travel literature in German to such a non-traditional mode of transport. Bierbaum’s automobile journey across the Alps and down the Italian peninsula was made in 1902. So early in the automobile era, Bierbaum could ruefully foresee the effects of the coming acceleration of the pace of life and advised that humans should by choice travel slowly in cars.

Kästner, forty years on, pronounced in Kreta on the car windshield as barrier to the travel experiences that only contact brings: “die Windschutzscheibe […] die wahre Vertreibung aus dem Paradiese aller echten Reiseerlebnisse.”

Göpel’s analysis is that what overwhelmed Bierbaum was not the works of modernity, but the architecture of the antique; Bierbaum sensed that modern man, even when empowered by the automobile, did not possess the greatness of mind, “Seelengröße”, appropriate to that
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Of Bierbaum’s sensuous eye, Göpel noted that Eros who begot beauty and Pan who ensanguined it were his gods, and that so he was alert to beauty in the human form everywhere. Göpel believed that it took two world wars to make it clear that such a free aesthetic view was forbidden and to finally topple the world of the free aesthete:

Es hat zweier Kriege in Europa bedurft, ehe das Unmögliche, ja Unerlaubte einer solchen Anschaungsweise deutlich wurde, die Welt der Ästheten ein für allemal zusammenstürzte.\(^{494}\)

Writing in 1967 to a correspondent who had seemed to impute a feeling of guilt to his reticence in republishing *Kreta*, Kästner declares flatly that the book was commissioned by and its research watched over by a commanding general on Crete who was later executed for war crimes (the unfortunate General Bräuer, executed on a 3-2 verdict, contrary to the convention in Greece of royal commutation in such cases),\(^{495}\) and that the book also *honoured* that general, who had read and approved its manuscript. Kästner adds that because the book was intended in the first instance for a garrison readership which was steeped in arrogance towards what it saw as a backward Cretan population, the war at large and local incidents of war were quite intentionally assigned a minor role. Kästner gives as his reasons for not republishing *Kreta*, the book’s lack of appropriate literary level, *Niveau*, and of correct approach, *Ansatz*;\(^{496}\) that is, of scholarly and literary deficiencies on which the war and its incidents had in any case no bearing. This, from Kästner, is a declaration of the freedom of the aesthete, the freedom which his colleague and friend Göpel saw as in the end lost anyway.

4.3. *Griechenland / Kreta*: repossession of classical Greece

In the prevailing predisposition of custodial obligation to the guardianship of the cradle of the antique the consent of the commanding general of air operations in Europe Southeast, General Wilhelm Mayer, was fortuitously secured in the Spring of 1942 to the commissioning of an illustrated book on Greece and its classical sites by Erhart Kästner and the psychology student and
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artist Helmut Kaulbach, both then by chance serving in a psychological-aptitude testing unit of the air force, in Athens. The book was actually the spontaneous idea of Kästner and Kaulbach, conceived on an Aegean voyage, as Kästner recounts in the 1953 Ölberge.⁴⁹⁷ Their unit commander, Major Bruno Schaar, who had been responsible for bringing Kaulbach to Greece,⁴⁹⁸ had responded enthusiastically and presented the type-scripted proposal to General Mayer. At the level of two individuals, therefore, personal literary and graphic interests coincided with military politics. Offering Göpel’s Die Normandie as example, an element of competition was appealed to, as is clear from the opening lines of the proposal:

Es wird angeregt, nach dem Muster einiger Armee-Oberkommandos (siehe Anlage “Die Normandie”) ein Griechenland Buch des Luftgaues Süd-Ost herauszugeben.⁴⁹⁹

The proposal text goes on to say that the intention of the book is that its servicemen readers will view positively and affirm, “bejahen”, their term of duty in Greece. General Mayer’s foreword to the service edition of 1942 claimed with evident self-satisfaction (and with some validity)⁵⁰⁰ that no classical cultural monument in Greece or on Crete had been damaged by German weapons in the campaigns of 1941.⁵⁰¹ Hiller von Gaertringen (1994), drawing on the Kästner archive at Wolfenbüttel where Kästner was library director for eighteen years from 1950, recounts the history of the publication of Griechenland.⁵⁰² Five thousand copies of the book, with the sub-title Ein Buch aus dem Kriege, reached Athens on New Year’s Day 1943, Kästner himself having accompanied their transport by train and truck all the way from Berlin.⁵⁰³ A second edition, with part of the print run reserved for civilian readers, was published in Berlin in 1943.⁵⁰⁴ The book, while being informative on the classical sites as they then appeared and as they once were, is written in the form of a memoir of Kästner’s personal encounters with the sites. Distance is achieved, however, through the spare pen sketches of Kaulbach which,
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though sometimes naïve in technique, are notable above all for a mastery of vanishing-point perspective. Kästner, in his elegy for Kaulbach in *Kreta*, applauds the harmony achieved between drawings and text:


Though officially sanctioned out of a preening sense of proprietary obligation in matters cultural felt by the occupiers of the ‘New Europe’, which concept had still currency, if not credibility, in 1942 – Heinrich Böll, tongue-in-cheek, and Felix Hartlaub in mildly sardonic tones, refer to it at the war’s outset – the sell-out of the first, service edition of *Griechenland* evidenced a need of personal connection felt at the level of the individual serviceman.

4.4. The aesthetic of light; correspondences: Kästner’s Greece, Felix Hartlaub’s Paris

In deploring the housing of Grecian marbles in the dull interiors of northern museum halls as a crime against the Greek spirit, and singling out for particular opprobrium the reassembly of whole temples – a slighting, certainly, of the prestigious new Pergamon museum in Berlin (*Griechenland*, p. 14-15) – Kästner was going beyond, but with regard to lighting merely echoing, Gerhart Rodenwalt, director of the *Deutsches Archäologisches Institut*, who expressed the same reservation in his introduction to Walter Hege’s *Die Akropolis* (1935). Hege’s photography had used coloured lens filters to enhance the contrasts in black-and-white photography, cropping out the modern also, to produce timeless, mythical scenes. Kästner, in the same passage, praises the initiative of Hege or possibly of fellow-photographer Herbert List in photographing sculptures from the Acropolis museum in the open air. But it is far more in Kästner’s case than a concern for authentic presentation in the round or in reprographic representation. The extraordinary
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lyrical outpouring in praise of the qualities of the Greek light and its suffusing of the entire Greek landscape goes to the heart of Kästner’s case that here in the present day Greece was a heightened sense of *Dasein* quite beyond that available from the Greek-derived Enlightenment culture of the West itself. Hiller von Gaertringen posits that Kästner came to Greece with a wholly classical preconception, born out of the neohumanist reception of the antique as founded by Johann Joachim Winckelmann (Kästner drafted a text on Winckelmann in 1945-6 during his detention in Egypt), and that he sought after the sensuous intensification of existence, “nach der unmittelbaren, sinnlichen Erfahrung der Daseinssteigerung,” and found it, this sensory heightening of being, in, for one thing, the transfiguring effects of the Grecian light:

Ausgehend von der Hypothese Winckelmanns, dass die klassische Idealität Folge der in der Natur vorgefundenen Schönheit gewesen sei, fand er sie im Erlebnis griechischer Landschaft: zum einen in der magischen Wirkung des Lichts […] transzendente Landschaften, erdenferne Welten nur aus nacktem Fels und Licht.

Felix Hartlaub’s similar fixation in occupied Paris with the phenomenon of light and light-produced tonal and hue effects is separately examined later in this paper. It is as lyric that Kästner’s celebration of the intense yet diffuse Greek light is remarkable. There is an insistent repetition that the perception of light is essential to the Greek experience. In extract, the passages referring to light and light effects in *Griechenland* are remarkably similar in colour ranges and in adjectival phrases to those of Hartlaub’s Paris sketches:


p.15: “Kein Maler hat sie noch gemalt, diese griechische Welt im Licht. […] Der will erst noch kommen, der den Glockenklang der lichten Meeresbläue,
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den Lichtatem der Ölbaumwälder und die klingende Ferne dieser Berglinien malen kann;”
p.144: “Das Meer! Eine Klippe, weiß beschäumt. Die Inseln, Zante, Kephallenia, in der Bläue wie hingedichtet, hingeträumt, hingeahnt. [...] Wir sind umbraust vom Licht”
p.155: “Hundert Berge in lichtem Blau, wie aus edlem Metall geschmiedet, wie getrieben; dünn, leicht und klingend. Die Welt ist rein, ganz rein, kein Schleier, kein Nebel, alles, was da ist, ist aus klarem adeligem Stoff.”
p.235: “ein blauschleieriges Geweb aus Licht und Seide, durchzogen von helleren und dunkleren Streifen und Bahnen, die in diesem blauen Gewoge auf- und niederstiegen wie Wolken in einer Flüssigkeit”

In Kreta, the more personal journal account with much on the customs and mores of the hill-dwelling people on whom he relied for guidance and hospitality, the Grecian light takes on more human aspects, forming a drinking bowl, breathing, light cast as though from a magic lantern:

p. 47: “die Kette des Ida, opalenblau überhaucht ”

It was Pindar, Kästner notes following the comment at p. 22-3 of Griechenland above, who first described Athens as “violet-garlanded”. The metallic, transfiguring and ennobling light at p.155 above is seen from the Parnassus massif, associated with the Muses, but for a publication intended in the first instance for servicemen, the exalted language of the passages on the Grecian light is quite unworldly. The exclamation at the sea, at p.144 above,
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resembles the many which occur in Böll’s letters from Normandy, except that here the sea here is not, as for Böll, the sovereign war-defying element and therefore psychological escape route out of military service, but the route back, out of the chaos of the present, to another order.

Hiller von Gaertringen states that Kästner had the ambition to establish a distinct profile of his own in the literature on Greece and that this corresponded with his choice of an elevated style characterised by condensation, compression and paratactic structures, above all in his descriptive passages.\(^{515}\) Moreover, for the intensification of nuance he coined a great many new compounds such as *Tagwunder, Lichtatem, Sonnenschwermut, lichtfunkenbestürmt, Olivensilbergeflüster, Lichtgestöber, Sonnenfunkengespinst, Lichtkaskaden*,\(^ {516}\) which occur variously in *Griechenland, Kreta, and Griechische Inseln*.\(^ {517}\) Others, such as *Lichtdonner, Lichtgewimmel, Lichtgewölb and Lichtglanz* also occur. These are found among still other recurring compounds of suffused or intense light, such as *Silberatem, Silbergesprüh, Silbergewölbe, Silberglanz; also Goldüberperlt, Goldüberstaubt, and the sun-compounds Sonnenfeuerrund, Sonnenschleier and Sonnenbesponnen*. The similarity to Hartlaub’s use of colour and atmospheric compounds in the Paris Sketches is both striking and significant, as the following instances show – pagination reference is to Ewenz (2002/7): in “Ventre des Paris”, a piece on *Les Halles*, the façade of St. Eustace is ‘Knochenweiss’ [41] and a row of houses opposite are painted ‘ochsenblutrot’ [44/43]; in “Abendspaziergang”, the setting sun allows itself to be absorbed by ‘Dämmerpulver’ [55]; in “Quai”, a thundery late afternoon sky is filled with ‘Glanzstaub’, the air is ‘goldstaubhaltig’, and zinc mansard roofs are of a ‘goldblaugrau’ *Dixneuvième* [60]; in “Porte Saint Martin” a German civilian’s suit is ‘rotbraunviolet’ [62]; in “Blick auf Paris – Ile de France” the Pantheon in the distant silhouette of a wintry forenoon is mole-dark, ‘maulwurfsdunkel’ [74]; in “Blitzmädchen”, the piping on the caps of the German women auxiliaries strap-hanging in a huddle under the hostile stares of the French is, indicating its perception, poisonous yellow, ‘giftiggelb’ [75], and to the


\(^{516}\) Ibid., p. 169.

\(^{517}\) In the sequence as listed, in: *Griechenland*, p. 15, 15; *Griechische Inseln*, p. 81; *Kreta*, pp. 151, 175; *Griechische Inseln*, pp. 81, 154, *Griechenland*, p. 13.
discomfort of standing in the suburban train is added the oppression of the ‘Gewitternachmittags’ [76]; in “Die Parkmauer”, possibly at Fontainebleau, the green of the wood behind the park wall is a negatively qualified substantive, “das stumpfe trockene Ulmengrün”, but a broken-arched gateway upliftingly frames a view of a flower-browed, ‘blumengestirnt’, meadowland [78]; “St. Cloud – Allée des Marnes” opens with the coinage ‘Mittagsgewölk’ [83] – the dictionary gives gewölkt as o’erclouded; in “Hof Hotel Sully, Rue Saint Honoré”, the sky above the sunless, overshadowed hotel courtyard is ‘purpurblaue, golddurchwirkte’ [100]. The abandoned French foreign ministry, “Das eroberte Ministerium”, has remained unheated all winter and even in July the guard soldiers maintain a fire in the porter’s lodge; a painting offers a winter view of the choir of Notre Dame through frosty air: Blau, Violett, Gelb-Weiss [118/119], while from the roof of the ministry distant cloud columns in the July sky rise out of a grey tinted ‘light-powder’: im grau-blau violetten Lichtpuder [120/121]. These tone constructions are in addition to frequent play on the sea and geology in Hartlaub’s many metaphoric compounds on the roofsapes and cloudscapes of Paris, but make it clear that Hartlaub, rather than celebrating light as a defining element of a classic landscape as is the case with Kästner, used colour and light to create present mood. Hartlaub’s particular use of colour as metaphor, allusion and subliminal text is separately discussed later in this paper. What is common in the metaphoric usages of Hartlaub and Kästner is a striving for a subliminal effect which directs the gaze ever outwards and upwards, out of present time. The emphasis on the aesthetic gains pointed effect from the unstated fact of war. Kästner presents a stoic Greek insouciance in the face of wartime circumstances with which his own preferred detachment then appears in accord. The import is that the war is altogether regrettable for both occupier and occupied – the German role as occupying power is elided by allusions to the unpopularity if the Italian garrison. Hartlaub, whose focaliser is an anonymous narrating alter ego, affects an equal degree of detachment, but signals a stronger disaffection though sharp vignettes of his fellow-countrymen as estranged from and ill at ease in their surroundings.
Kreta, the manuscript of which was the product of Kästner’s journeys through Crete from 6 August 1943 to 4 January 1944, is more free in opinion and figurative expression. Kaulbach, his illustrator, had fallen in Russia even before the first edition of Griechenland had appeared and Kästner was now without a travelling artist-companion. The lack is compensated for by more figurative language, as in this passage from the opening page:

Da erblickte ich, fern wie aus dem Jenseits, in zartester Bläue, süßester Weiße, einen schneebedeckten Berg. Es war der Ida. Er war schön wie der Atem, wie der Aushauch eines träumenden Gottes im Schlaf, leicht wie der letzte aller Gedanken, rein wie ein Vers, knapp wie der Strich eines Künstlers im Alter.519

Again, as in Griechenland, the leitmotiv of the play of light is fully indulged:

Abend für Abend, mit der Gewißheit, in der die Nacht auf den Tag folgt, erlebt der Berg seine Seligsprechung durchs Licht. [...] Es leuchtet der Sockel in rostigem Braun, aber darüber, der obere Bezirk, verharrte eine Weile in einem flammenkernhaften Gelb, das göttlich ist.520

A small, lime-washed Christian chapel stands out on a mountainside, and although it turns out on close-up inspection to be somewhat grubby and less than pristine white, the light had transformed it into a beacon:

Das kleine Gotteshaus leuchtete weit übers Land, als wäre es überaus wichtig. [...] Auch dies war ein Traumbild des griechischen Lichts, das alles erhöht und aus jedem Nichts ein Wunder was macht.521

A physics of light, “Güsse und Stürze von heftigem Licht”, is advanced only to emphasise the ephemeral: classics teachers rendering Homer’s “purple sea” had not grasped how this landscape scorned the explicit: “…wie sehr diese Landschaft Eindeutigkeiten verschmäht. Denn es war nur ein Purpurflor, nur Ahnungen, Düfte und leisere Stufen” [Kreta, p. 184]. Surveying the Samaria gorge, light and tectonics become one:

Hoch droben hingen Geröllfelder gegen die Sonne im Glitzerkies. Die abschirmenden Wände und Gipfel hatten scharfe Lichtkanten gegen die leuchtende Folie des Himmels, wie geschnitten in wahres, in pures Silber hinein. [Kreta, p. 193]

Kästner’s straining after light-effects has become by this point an end in itself, a seeking to fix in verbal imagery not a para-phenomenal, but a meta-phenomenal, in the sense of a transforming, alternate perception of place and aura as one. It is not the present-mood allusive tints and tones of Hartlaub’s

520 Ibid., p. 9.
521 Ibid., p. 36.
Paris, but a universal, out-of-time view. Both writers relegate the war to the periphery, as a temporary aberration. In both cases this is an implicitly pacifist stance.

4.5. The aesthetic of stone: Kästner’s appeal for moderation

On recounting the myth of Europa, consort of Zeus, Kästner professes a quite personal faith in the classical ideal which for him is still the lodestar, the “Leuchtgestirn”, whose heavenly light bathes Greece, and whose values for him are a reverence for grace, beauty and proportion, evidenced in stone:

Wirklich ging hier Europa auf, das Leuchtgestirn, an dessen Licht wir uns sättigen unser Leben lang und dessen Schicksalsbahn, wie sie auch sei, die unsere ist.522

Ich sehe lieber einen einzelnen griechischen Quaderstein, als die ragenden Trümmer eines Römerpalastes.523

That carved stone can speak, Kästner does not doubt. That inscribed stone can convey more than the chiselled words say, Kästner construes in this passage on the aesthetic of stone calligraphy, with a rhetorical conclusion that is as subtly surprising as the unsuspected freedom he has detected in the execution of the letter of the law:


Why is this conceit of animation in the stone-chiselled characters of two-and-a-half-thousand years old Greek law tablets mounted in the Roman ὀίδειον at Gortyn on Crete so intense? More than admiration for mere calligraphy must have been intended.

In the span of a dozen pages525 Kästner pronounces on civilised values as these are understood in the cultures that are shaped by Greece, Rome and the

522 Ibid., p. 31.
523 Ibid., p. 33.
524 Ibid., p. 39.
525 Ibid., pp. 33–45.
Renaissance. A seemingly innocuous observation on proportion, though ostensibly directed at Wilhelmine pomposity, could be interpreted as an oblique criticism of the monumental Breker-Speer style in sculpture and architecture:

Alle minoischen Räume sind klein, alle griechischen maßvoll, so wie es die unseres Mittelalters auch sind. Was hätten die Menschen jener Zeit auch dazu gesagt, daß sich die Bürger einer Stadt, wie’s nun schon lange üblich ist, Paläste bauen, in denen sie nicht die Herren sind und die sie zu vielen bewohnen, hineingeborgt?526

Grace and proportion are applauded, in stone as implicitly in all other things, in a passage of high lyricism prompted by a flight of steps at Phaistos:

Zwölf Stufen sind es, zwölf vornehme Schwestern, die sich die Hände reichen zum allmählichen Empor. Jede ist nur gering über die andere erhoben, und jede ist in sich eine Schräge, so wie auch die Mündung zuoberst nicht ein Ebenes ist, sondern ein letztes geneigtes Empfangen. Zu beiden Seiten ist die musikalische Leiter bewehrt von festklaren Quadern, damit das Ganze nicht zu weich und schmelzend sei.527

Grazie: grace, beauty, Kästner insists, is the starting point of das Abendlandische, of Western civilisation. The claim is put in unapologetic terms which speak of the ideal of grace of mind as of body, of the tension between discipline, Zucht, and nature; of the stamp, Prägmal, of nobility; of a rapier sharpness of intellect, Gesinnung der Degenklinge; these all the prerogative, Vorrecht, of birth and race among those who cultivate them:

So begann denn das Abendländische mit Grazie — denen zur Lehre, die Grazie für etwas Weiches halten, das dem Manne entgegen ist. Grazie ist Höchstes in Körper und Geist, Spannung voll Zucht und Natur, Gesinnung der Degenklinge und, wie beim Tier, Prägmal der Edlen, Vorrecht der Angeburt und der Rasse, die gut ist.528

Placed as it is, in a discourse on the ideals of a classical civilisation, the reference to birth and race cannot be construed as endorsement of the crude NS attempts at appropriation of the Grecian physical ideal, as though that had somehow been bequeathed as inheritance to another people. The plea for grace as exemplified by balanced moderation is echoed when noting that the major sites of classical Greek antiquity, the Acropolis, Delphi, Mycenae, Argos, Sounion, were not sited on the highest and most prominent points in their surroundings, but occupied instead lesser, sometimes overlooked settings, “ich

526 Ibid., p. 43.
527 Ibid., p. 44.
528 Ibid., pp. 44-5
liebe dieses gehaltene Maß, das sich da ausspricht, das menschlich Verbleibende, und sehe etwas Griechisches in diesem Gewarnten, welches das Letzte nicht nimmt.”

Criticism of totalitarian presumption and excess is implicit.

4.6. Mentioning the war: elision through classical transfiguration

The question of Kästner’s selective and oblique references to the war has been addressed by Hiller von Gaertringen (1994) with biographical background and with extensive reference to pre-publication drafts and post-publication revisions from the Kästner archive. Unlike Hartlaub, who says more about the war by avoiding as far as possible any direct references to it, the Kästner of 1942 advances in one instance Homeric parallels, as when meeting a column of front-line troops in their short-trouseried tropic uniforms returning from the Cretan battles of the year before:

Da waren sie, die »blonden Achaier« Homers, die Helden der Ilias. Wie jene stammten sie aus dem Norden, wie jene waren sie groß, hell, jung, ein Geschlecht, strahlend in der Pracht seiner Glieder. [...] Sie kamen vom schwersten Siege. [...] Es wehte homerische Luft.

The full passage suggests that Antenor, Ajax, Diomedes and Achilles could have looked no different, given that the ancient, Dorian Greeks were of northern extraction, than these sun-blonde and bronzed latter-day warriors. They came indeed vom schwersten Siege: the costly air-assault on Crete of May 1941, the resistance to which by Cretan civilians, true to old tradition and in support of the reserve Greek forces in the absence on the mainland of the regular Cretan division, had added to the German losses and led to lethal reprisals against the civil population wherever such resistance had been encountered.

Modern, urban Greece is present in the Griechenland narrative, but ever as an unfavourable contrast to Kästner’s topic: that of an idea of Greece sceptical
of German romantic philhellenism and stressing the survival of a more authentic aura, “Homerische Einfachheit und Stille, die die Zeiten überdauerte.”, as Kästner insists (Griechenland, p. 105). Making a rooftop survey of Athens in the chapter “Athen vom Dach”, Kästner discerns the Weite und Großzügigkeit of the vision of the German architects who laid the ground plan of modern Athens and contrasts it with the subsequent urban sprawl (Griechenland, pp. 34-35). The Ordnung, Anlage und Reiz of the new Sparta, laid out by the Wittelsbach monarch a mere hundred years before, is presented as the only exception in a withering dismissal of Greek urban modernity in general (Griechenland, pp. 237-238). Balancing these reflex observations is a considered chapter on “Bayerisches Athen” which notes how, a hundred years on, the tönende Leidenschaftlichkeit of the Acropolis buildings renders incongruous the mannered bourgeois Bavarian romanticism of the new Athens below (Griechenland, p. 71). Contemplating Frankish crusader ruins on the way to Olympia, Kästner surmises that those Nordmänner felt that only the structurally fantastic was fit to stand amidst the wonders of such a land (Griechenland, p. 143). At Epidauros, the healing centre of the half-god Asclepius, and therefore a centre of religious belief, Kästner argues that the theatre there, in its tragedies a place of cathartic purging and purification of the emotions, was therapeutic and therefore also a place of religion and of worship (Griechenland, p. 232). In all, Griechenland is coherently focused on a Greece quite out of time, but still as an ethical model relevant to the present time.

Kästner re-published Griechenland after the war, in 1953, as Ölberge, Weinberge: ein Griechenland Buch, with some additional, post-war material, but with some of the original material also omitted.533 Notably, the encounter with the troops returning from the Cretan battles in the introductory chapter is considerably foreshortened in its classical allusions. The assertive “Es wehte homerische Luft” of the original is altered to “unversehens wehte homerische Luft” (italics added).534 The chapter on Sparta which occupied over ten pages of print in the original takes up just two in the post-war edition and omits the comparison with the Wittelsbach-founded new Sparta of the 19th century and

534 See Kästner: Griechenland, p. 10; Ölberge, Weinberge, p. 15.
much of the critical examination of the Spartan legend. The concluding chapter to the original book, the flight over Greece which sees from above the Alps and the upper Danube valley transplanted onto the landscape below, is also omitted. Imaginative transposition had here brought to mind Goethe’s “Klassische Walpurgnisnacht” and Gerhart Hauptmann’s “Till Eulenspiegel”\(^{535}\) – Kästner was secretary to Hauptman for eighteen months in 1936-1937. The new edition recounts in conclusion instead a visit to the village of Distomo in the Phokis mountain range, where eight years before the inhabitants had been massacred for their alleged complicity in a partisan ambush. Kästner, mistaken for an Anglo-American, is pressed to attend the village festival, makes an excuse, but finds himself caught up in the spill-over festivities in another village nearby, compelled, as he writes: “dem Leben eine Ovation darzubringen, dem Überleben, das die Schrecken der Geschichte verzeihrt.”\(^{536}\)

Strohmeyer (2000, 2006) has attacked in particular Kästner’s later co-equations without distinction of the aerial bombing horrors of WWII. Hiller von Gaertringen concedes that Kästner’s post-war co-equations in Ölberge, Weinberge are indeed equivocal,\(^{537}\) but dismisses Strohmeyer’s character attacks on Kästner as selective misreadings, without recourse to original documents, of her own 1994 study.\(^{538}\) Conceding also that the original Griechenland is problematical in the passages where the Nordic origins of the ancient Greeks are stressed, von Gaertringen adds that the opening chapter in which Homeric comparisons are made to the German soldiers returning from Crete was not written for the censor, as it had already appeared in the Deutsche Allgemeine Zeitung, but that it certainly was placed at the start of the book for tactical reasons.\(^{539}\) The final chapter of Griechenland, “Flug über Griechenland”, echoes the opening chapter, “Fahrt nach Griechenland”, both in title and sentiment and contains a blatant claim-by-association:


\(^{536}\) Kästner: Ölberge, Weinberge, p. 233.


Der Deutsche wohnt ohnehin halb in Hellas, solang er in Deutschland ist; kommt er aber nach Griechenland, so ist ihm Deutsches überall um den Weg. 540

This chapter too, however, had also been previously published in the Deutsche Allgemeine Zeitung 541 and so, like the first chapter, must also be considered tactical in its placement. This study would maintain further that the opening chapter of Griechenland is consistent with other passages in the book, in which the Greek exaltation of bodily beauty and physical perfection as an expression of a religious ideal is admired. This is evident in the excerpts that follow here. The soldiers, at a train halt by the sea, take the opportunity to bathe:

… fiel es kaum einem ein, die Badehose, das Abzeichen christlich-neuzeitlicher Körperscham, zu tragen. Unversehens ergab sich ein völlig klassisches Bild. Sprühend im Licht dieses Morgens und im Glanz ihrer jungen Nacktheit tummelte sich die Schar dieser Eroberer am fremden Meer. 542

Hiller von Gaertringen, examining at length Kästner’s romantic-classical view of Greece, 543 sees in Kästner’s enthusiasm for a pseudo-religious system of belief deriving from the classical Greek an unassailable refuge from wartime reality. 544 Yet, dispelling the misconception that the Greek physical contests were athletics in the modern sense, Kästner insists that these activities were in fact central to Greek religious belief, and thereby makes a fundamental correction to a popular understanding of classical Greek civilisation. At Olympia, musing on the statues of the victors that once were thick about the arena, he writes:

es war ein Wald von Statuen in dem wirklichen Wald, eine stille Gesellschaft, die blieb, wenn die laute wieder abzog. […] Hundert und hundert schönster Menschenbilder, eine strahlende, siegreiche Jugend, Eigentum des Gottes: sein Volk. 545

Expressly, he states that:

Olympia war kein Sportfest, es war Gottesdienst. 546 Die Götter waren die stärksten und schönsten. Wer siegte, war ihnen näher. […] Der Wettkampf war Religion. 547

540 Kästner: Griechenland, p. 268.
542 Kästner: Griechenland, p. 10.
545 Kästner: Griechenland, p. 135.
546 Ibid.
547 Ibid., p. 36.
and, on nudity:

Es war das völlige Bekenntnis zum Körper, der letzte Schritt zur Überwindung der Barbarei; denn auch der Wilde verhüllt sich: Die Götter aber sind nackt. 548

At Delphi, noting that the stadium occupies the highest part of the site, he notes:

Höher noch liegt das Stadion. Das Klarste, Gesundeste, das unbeschwert Junge, der Gottesdienst des Körpers: er hat das letzte Wort im heiligen Bezirk. 549

Kästner’s eye is also elsewhere alert to the Greek ideal of youthful beauty. Surveying the mosaics at the monastery of Daphni he notices that a diptych of the saints Sergios and Bacchos owes something to the pre-Christian ideal of god-like youth:

Es sind zwei Jünglinge von geradezu schmetternder Eleganz: weiß starrende Gewänder, goldbehängt, ihre Schwerter halten sie wie Schmuckstücke gerade vor sich hin. Mit ihnen und ihrer glänzenden Erdenpracht flattert in die christliche Kirche plötzlich etwas wie attische Feinheit und griechischer Lobpreis adeliger Jugend. 550

Disputing the perception of Herakles, the god-founder of the Olympic games, as a muscle-bound strongman, he points out that for the Dorians who had deified him his radiating energy or luminosity, his Leuchtkraft was more important and that, tellingly, the otherwise sparing of adjectives Hesiod describes him as the ‘slim-ankled’ one:

Den Knöchelschlanken nennt ihn Hesiod, der sonst mit Beiworten kargt. Schlank und sehnl, die Idealfigur eines Jünglings aus dem Gymnasion, gar kein Athlet in unserem Sinn. 551

Kästner further notes that Herakles, despite his services to the fifty daughters of the king of Tespios, loved his male cousin and friend Jolaos to the point of idolatry. 552 From Tiryns, the birthplace of Herakles, Griechenland proceeds via Epidauros to Sparta where Kästner enthuses over Sparta’s river, the Eurotas, and sees in the slim poplars lining its banks yet another recollection of the bodily perfection of Herakles, “Die waren ja einst dem Herakles heilig,

548 Ibid.
549 Ibid., p. 173.
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es paßt gut zu ihrer heroischen Schlankheit und zu ihrem hellstänmigen Lichtgrün."

Kästner’s preoccupation with the Greek deification of bodily beauty continues in his following book, *Kreta*, and also in his unfinished third book, on the Greek islands. In *Kreta*, a scene from a Symposium is prompted by the simple appearance of a boy in a doorway:


In *Griechische Inseln* there is also an instance of a continuing fascination with the body as object of worship, a thing profane in the Christian view, but not so to Kästner:

Nie sah ich so unverhohlen das weibliche Element, das im Bild des Dionysos ohne Zweifel seit Urzeiten ist, ausgeprägt so wie hier. […] diese Dosis weiblichen Stoffs, welche, der dominierenden Männlichkeit zugesetzt, das Künstlertum macht, dessen Inbegriff dieser Gott ist, — er, der einzige übrigens unter den Göttern, damit man nichts verwechsele, der keine Knabenfreundschaft besaß.

Here, in a statue of Dionysus excavated on the island of Kos, Kästner sees in the sculpted lines of the figure an androgynous strain in the boy god, the more surprising because Dionysus, alone among the gods, did not have a homoerotic relationship.

The preoccupation with the Greek physical ideal would seem from all the foregoing extracts to be less pseudo-religious than purely aesthetic, and without suggestion of Nazi eugenics. By the war’s end, as Hiller von Gaertringen observes, Kästner had overcome the anti-Christian sentiment, *Affekt*, and developed a new and lively interest in Christian Greece. What the encounter with classical Greece had induced in him was something that defied rational thinking, as he himself well knew:

Wie können wir glauben wollen an griechische Kunst, an griechischen Marmor, griechische Tragödie und griechischen Geist — aber an griechische Götter nicht?"
The thought was prompted at the reputed grave of Zeus, a difficult contradiction for the Greeks, as Greek gods were immortal, living in eternal youth and beauty: a difficult contradiction for Kästner too, as his insistent focus on the aura of the civilisation of classical Greece, something by his own admission without conscious trace in the Greek present, lay open to the charge of mere fantasist escapism from wartime realities. Yet, Kästner’s rhetoric in defence is forceful:


Mere symbols, mere passionate enthusiasm, mere imagination, mere knowledge, mere nostalgia, mere allegory: all are rejected as insufficient explanations. Kästner adds that the answer to the question besets him: “Sie bleibt mir zu suchen.”

Gerhard Nebel’s philosophical speculations had by 1940 credited Spengler with having discovered the true weight which attached to the corporeal, the somatic, in the antique as evidenced by the empirical insistence of Aristotle on a psyche, or soul, resident and expiring with the body — a too empirical metaphysics:

In der Antike erscheint der Raum eingeschränkt auf das Körperhafte, auf die sinnliche Grenze, in der Bevorzugung der Plastik, im Fehlen der Perspektiven, in den somatischen Stadtstaaten, im begrenzten Kosmos des Aristoteles 559

Nebel goes on, however, to champion the Greek:

So kommt es, daß die Nähe, die das Wesen des Griechischen ausmacht: die panische Stimmung eines Buschwaldtales im südlichen Mittag, die Umrisse des Apoll von Olympia und der in sich gehaltene, nicht nach außen und oben hinausspringende Raum des Parthenon, unendlicher ist als der Raum der abendländischen Physik, an dessen Unbegrenztheit und Dynamik Spengler sich berauscht.560

The Bevorzugen der Plastik, the preference for plastic art, sculpture, coupled with the inward focused spaces of the antique creates a conceptual space, Raum, which ‘trembles with the secret movements of the divine creative power’, “zittert von den geheimen Bewegungen der göttlichen

558 Ibid., pp. 139-40.
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Schöpferkraft," and is more limitless than Western physics. Kästner similarly, having deduced that the original, wholly enclosed site of the Parthenon was dictated by its religious nature and that the Greek celebration of the body was a worship of god-like perfection, could not have failed to produce Homeric, and therefore warlike, comparisons on encountering the nude-bathing, modern-day ‘blond Achaeans’. He saw them first as god-like antique Greek figures.

4.7. Formal measures v. free form: the redundancy of revision

The genesis of Griechenland, according to Kästner’s own account in Ölberge, Weinberge (p.20), was a spontaneous impulse of his and Kaulbach’s, his illustrator. The ostensible rationale, concocted as an afterthought, was that of a plain man’s guide, for a soldier readership in the first instance, to an appreciation of Greece. The personal rationale, apart from the opportunity afforded to acquaint oneself better with the sites of antiquity, was that of a corrective to the views of those VIPs for whom Kästner had had occasionally to serve as tour guide: those who, “like tin soldiers”, carried their standpoint about with them (Ölberge, p. 21). Since the whole occupation context could be inferred, the propagation of a personal ethic and philosophy was itself an egregious act, though comment on occupation policy is absent. The mass deportation of the Salonika Jewish population to Auschwitz-Birkenau between March and August of 1943 post-dated the first publication of Griechenland. The July 1944 deportation of the Jews of Rhodes already referred to was witnessed by Kästner, but receives no mention in the “Rhodos” chapter of Griechische Inseln. As Hiller von Gaertringen’s research notes, Kästner had acknowledged the island commander’s efforts to oppose this measure, and later testified in court on the general’s behalf. General Kleeman had cordially invited Kästner to the Rhodes early in March 1944, even before Kästner had secured higher approval for the continuation of his Griechische Inseln project.

That Kästner was aware also of the so-called ‘atonement measures’, the Sühnenmaßnahmen, the murderously indiscriminate reprisals carried out in
reaction to partisan attacks, he acknowledged in 1952, before the publication of Ölberge, Weinberge. Taken aback on his first re-visit to Crete in 1956 by the resentment of the local population, he was prompted to write for confirmation to the former Major Kessler of General Bräuer’s staff and, dissatisfied with the reply, turned to Ehrengard Schramm- von Thadden, who furnished him with the notes of her own enquiries. The letter to Kessler acknowledges that Kästner already knew, as he must have, of Vianos village massacre near Heraklion in September 1943 during his stay on the island, when according to German reports, 440 inhabitants were killed. While on Crete, Kästner himself encountered the Wehrmacht troop approaching to avenge the killing of the entire 27-man garrison of the military outpost and agricultural station on the Omalos plateau. In the revised and posthumously published 1975 edition of Kreta, Kästner’s opinion is one on futility: “Jetzt war die übliche, nutzlose, unausbleibliche, kaum vermeidliche Vergeltung im Gang, die zu nichts führte,” which terms implicitly say that reprisal was understood by one side to be usual, inevitable, unavoidable and scarcely preventable, and by the other to be reflexive and routine, whether or not effectively deterrent.

The hunger catastrophe of the winter of 1941/42 was witnessed by Kästner in Athens and Piraeus. Such civilian suffering not directly attributable to military action or to expressly punitive measures might be alluded to: “Brot, nach dem Griechenland jetzt im Kriege so bangt, daß es die Tage bis zur Ernte an den Fingern abzählt.” (Griechenland, p. 206). Hiller von Gaertringen divines three strands in Kästner’s re-visiting of the phenomenon in Ölberge, Weinberge: firstly, hunger as a historically recurring affliction, of which, for instance, a female street beggar is merely an image, “die geschlagene Münze der Not”, the coin-impress of want (Ölberge, p.66); secondly, an Adventist view, drawn from an interpretation of Paul, II Thessalonians, which would cast the conditions as precursors of the rule of the chaos which would precede the Second Coming; thirdly, as three-act tragedy with the 1920s Asia-Minor

---
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exodus as the first act, the collapse of the Habsburg empire as the second, and
the displacement of populations following upon the end of WWII as the
third. These abstruse projections are deduced from Kästner’s subsequent
pre-occupations with Christianity and with philosophy. The nexus of causes of
the winter famine of 1941/1942 is not analysed in Griechenland: that Greece
was already before the war no longer self-sufficient in grain, and had then lost
its most productive cereal growing provinces in the Northeast to Bulgarian
annexation; that general food-supplies were initially commandeered by Axis
forces or requisitioned against paper credits, and that the regular landing of
relief supplies from neutral ships was not possible until the later relaxation of
the Allied naval blockade in Mediterranean. Instead, Kästner repeatedly
condemns the immediate causes, speculative hoarding and black-
marketeering, as the root evil. In the account of the January 1942 sea visit to
Aigina, the German archaeologist, Professor Welter, is hailed as a hero for his
altruistic intervention against the black-marketeers on the island (p. 86). At the
Metropolis convent at Mistra in the Peloponnese an old woman wails in
familiar tones, “das Klagelied der Griechen, wir kennen es auswending”,
about hunger, about the lack even of bread, about the black market and the
exorbitant prices (p. 248). At the Pantanassa convent, also at Mistra, a young
Orthodox nun professes to Kästner that it is not war between the Germans and
the Greeks (whatever her private thoughts on this point may have been), but
war between the rich and the poor in Greece, aggravated by the black-
marketeers (p. 252). In fact, Kästner seems to have regarded the winter famine
of 1941/42 as the worst of all the calamities to have befallen Greece in that
decade, worse than the mass shootings and burnings, worse than the excesses
of the civil war:

Denn es läßt sich nicht leugnen, daß die Geiselserschießungen und
Dörferverbrennungen, die die Deutschen vornahmen, einen viel tieferen
Eindruck beim Volk hinterließen als alles andere, in den letzten zehn Jahren
Erlittene, sogar als der furchtbare Hunger-winter des Jahres 41 auf 42. Das
Gedächtnis der Völker ist unlogisch, dagegen läßt sich nichts machen.

The tag on the memory of peoples, purporting to see an irrationality in the
reckoning of fatalities, actually underscores the shock of the deliberation

perceived in the mass shootings and burnings perpetrated by the Germans. The same article, however, also appears to offer a mitigating excuse, citing the later civil war experiences of his former guide and interpreter on Crete, Josef Kayales, “Sifi”: “Nur wer die griechischen Berge kennt, weiß, was es bedeutet, dort einen Krieg ohne Fronten zu führen.”; further, citing Sifi’s own view: “über Vergeltungsmaßnamen dürfe nur richten, wer selber Soldat gewesen sei.”571 The mass famine burials at Piraeus, which Kästner witnessed, appear first in the post-war Ölberge (pp. 67-71), as too do the shootings and burnings, in the parable of forgiveness that is the “Dorffest” episode on the village of Distomo (Ölberge, pp. 229-233).

Hagen Fleischer dismisses Griechenland as a forum for the presentation of Kästner’s ‘daydream blend’ of antique Greece and occupation reality: “ein Forum zur Popularisierung seiner antikes Hellas mit deutscher Besatzungsaktualität verquickenden Tagträume.”572 This pronouncement is supported by reference only to the ‘blond Achaeans’ passage in the opening chapter of Griechenland and to Kästner’s professed dismay at the ‘Levantine’ decadence of Athens. Professor Fleischer finds Kästner’s reference to ‘lemur and ape faces’ (Griechenland, p. 84) particularly objectionable. The expression is actually prompted by the discovery among the street children at the Athens Omonoia metro station of a blond-haired, grey-eyed child of mixed Danish and Greek parentage. Spurred to a flight of fancy, Kästner enthuses:

Man soll sich nicht irremachen lassen. Woher auch die alten Griechen gekommen sein mögen: dies war ihr Blut. Mit beiden Beinen fest auf der Erde und ums Haupt ein höheres Geleucht. Rein, sauber und klar: die weißen Götter [p. 84]

Wherever the blood of the Greeks of the classical era came from, Kästner is saying, it did not come from the South and the East, from the present-day Levant. Racist, Fleischer insists, the more so when taken in conjunction with the pronouncement that:

Naturlich ist blutmäßig von den alten Griechen verdammt wenig oder nichts übrig geblieben im heutigen Hellas. Es ist eine Sentimentalität, wenn man dies nicht wahrhaben will. [p. 45]
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But Kästner does add here that, even in antique Greece, the Greek bloodline was a rarity, “Denn schon im Altertum wurde das griechische Blut selten.” And already in the same reflections on the idea of Athens and of Greece [p. 43]: “Vernünftigerweise ist nicht zu verlangen daß sich in Griechenland dasselbe Blut dreitausend Jahre lang erhalten haben soll.” The point, for Kästner, was that, despite the millennia of anthropological transitions, it was all still there: “Alles noch da”; “Ausgelöscht, ausgelöscht. Und alles noch da.”

Kästner, in fact, uses the lemur adjective again in *Griechenland* (pp. 103-104), and again in reaction chiefly against Athens, whose urban sprawl encountered on the way to the ferry point contrasts so starkly with the beauty of Salamis: “ein unerwartetes Schönheitsgeschenk […] so viel blühende Stille.” It is because Kästner is in love with the land of Greece that he finds its habitated reality so repellent: “…man an der Haltbarkeit seiner Liebe zum wirklichen Griechenland verzweifelt, so viel lemurenhafte Verfallenheit und so viel Schmutz zu sehen: Vorstädte und Dörfer, die sich wie Schorf auf die griechische Landschaft gelegt haben.” [italics added]. Fleischer is sceptical, suggesting that Kästner himself may have shared the racist views he purports, in *Ölberge Weinberge*, to have deplored. Kästner and his like-minded superior, Major Bruno Schaar, availed of their conducted tours for visiting military dignitaries to feed their own curiosity for the antique. It is clear from Kästner’s wry accounts in his letters of this tour activity that racist disparagement of the latter-day Greeks was in the common lexicon, despite official line, prevailing until 1943, which honoured the Greeks’ recent valorous defence of their independence: the isle of Makronisi, off Cape Sounion, where Paris and Helen dallied, is dismissed by one newly arrived German potentate as *diese Affeninsel*, and Mycenae and Tiryns as *Affenmester*. It is equally clear that Kästner rejects such an attitude founded on ignorance. His later outright condemnation of that attitude is well conveyed in his observation that its holders carried their (mental) standpoint about with them in the manner of tin soldiers:
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That mentality may well still prevail, so Kästner; but it failed and fails to recognise that the modern-day Greeks, whatever their bloodline, carry in their culture the experience of the antique.

In an earlier essay, Fleischer, again singling out the ‘Homeric heroes’ bathing scene in *Griechenland*, is even more scathing of Kästner, describing him as “ein wahrer »Arno Breker« der Feder”.576 The criticism is accompanied by a photograph of sea-bathing, fig-leaf clad German soldiers posing for the camera (awkwardly, self-consciously, and obviously co-operating in someone’s mock-Homeric joke). These are no blonde Achaier: all but one is dark-haired, and none of the group of seven strikes any semblance of a Praxitelean pose. Addressing Kästner’s subsequent rejection of the preconceptual ‘tin soldier’ standpoint, Fleischer’s criticism continues in an openly derisive tone: “Bravo! Nur an dem »Zinn« für diese Soldaten hat der »Überbau«-Soldat Kästner mitgegossen.”577 Commenting on the same problematic ‘Homeric heroes’ text in *Griechenland*, Hiller von Gaertringen suggests that Kästner knew that a certain propaganda contribution was expected of him.578 Though it has been here already argued [1.5] that that passage is capable of sustaining an apolitical, purely philhellenist reading, Kästner must well have been aware that it would be appropriated to supply ideological superstructure, Überbau, to the racial philosophy of the state. The heightened lyricism of the prose elsewhere in *Griechenland* works, in the opinion of this author, to deny the propagandists further footholds in the text.

Some formally stylistic rendering of experience was the only one at the time that could raise the account above that of reportage, whether conformist or conscientious. The works, particularly *Griechenland*, it will be argued, actually benefited from this non-realist treatment. Already in 1944, Gerhart
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Hauptmann had cautioned Kästner on learning of his intention to revise *Griechenland*, “Aber Vorsicht mit Veränderungen: ich habe Angst wie bei einer köstlichen zerbrechlichen Glasschale.” Hauptmann’s caution was against the shattering that might result of a fragile literary creation. Kästner nonetheless set about the revision of the book while interned in Egypt, and had a complete new draft ready by the end of March 1946. "Griechenland" had been a fleeting, wholly immature and hurried sketch, without standpoint, “eine flüchtige, gänzlich unreife, standpunktlose und eilige Skizze.” This rejection of admiration for *Griechenland* is contained in a letter to Armin Mohler in 1950, a writer whose thinking Kästner scathingly dismisses in a letter to Gerhard Nebel in the same year. The unwonted vehemence may therefore have as much to do with the correspondent’s misreading of *Griechenland* as with Kästner’s own dissatisfaction with the work.

4.8. From Hauptmann to Heidegger: the philosophical ground

Kästner’s difficulty and his debt to Hauptmann are evident in a letter from Greece in March of 1942, “Mir will zumeist der Schwung über die wirklichen Dinge, der Aufflug, den ich bei Ihnen an so vielen Abenden lernte, nicht mehr gelingen.” A preceding remark hints at the necessity to somehow vault over present events, “Über das Dunkle wollen wir schweigen.” Kästner had need at this time of something of Hauptmann’s facility for essays in utopian fiction, such as *Atlantis* or *Die Insel der Großen Mutter*, or contrarily, for that of the dystopian *Die Finsternisse*, for which Kästner took the dictation, and in which the shades of the Old Testament prophets visit the present and foresee the coming catastrophe for mankind: “Wie sehr hat sich der bange Ton dieses Werkes zum vollendeten Bangen erfüllt!” wrote Kästner to Hauptmann’s widow on its publication in 1947. Hauptmann’s Greek tetralogy, the *Astriden*-cycle, a product of his octogenarian wartime years, obliquely alluded
to the horrors of the new Reich, and on the occasion of the staging from that cycle of *Iphigenie in Aulis* in Vienna in February 1942 Kästner’s celebratory “Brief an Gerhart Hauptmann” appeared in the *Neues Wiener Tageblatt*. Kästner had just one month before submitted his proposal for the book that would become *Griechenland*.

Kästner’s deferential two-page tribute to Hauptmann in the Delphi chapter of *Griechenland* acknowledges (pp.168-170) the popular influence of Hauptmann’s travelogue of his 1907 tour of Greece, *Griechischer Frühling*. The *Griechenland* of 1942, however, advances independent arguments on themes broached in the earlier work. Hauptmann’s disdain for the ‘bloodless’ love of a blanched and therefore ‘bloodless’ Greekdom lends point to his suggestion that the once shrill colours of the Acropolis shrines expressed a naïve state of relationships between men and their gods and were a call, as that of a street-market crier, to festivity and through that to deeper worship. The dramatist Hauptmann proceeds from this to contend that the Christian churches, particularly the Catholic, are ‘mausoleums’ glorifying death and the crypt, whereas the theatre, relying neither on suggestion nor fear of death, ‘Todesangst oder Suggestion’, is the most dangerous competitor to the church (*G.F.*, p. 43, 44). Kästner, also invoking the contrasting analogies of blanched stone and marketplace colour, vividly conjures up the forest-like sprawl of disordered stone and metal monuments that had covered the sacred precincts before and again after the Periclean rebuilding of the Acropolis (*Griechenland*, p. 51, 55, ff.), but eschews any direct comparison to Christian ecclesiology. In the *Deutsches-Archäologisches Institut* in Athens he would have had opportunity to read former DAI director Gerhart Rodenwalt’s writings, whose essay printed in *Hellas* (1943) stresses that the Acropolis buildings were a political statement, and that the Parthenon itself was both victory monument and temple of thanksgiving for victory. Equally, Hauptmann, reading Pausanias on the eagerness with which the Athenians
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gave sanctuary to all possible gods, sees a religiosity grounded in fear: fear of misfortune, of a return of the Persians (G.F., p. 45). Hauptmann and Kästner agree on the essentially religious nature of Greek athletics (G.F., p. 77; Griechenland, p. 136, 173), and of Greek theatre (G.F., p. 73, 95; Griechenland, p. 232), though Kästner does not echo Hauptmann’s gory insistence that Greek tragedy is rooted in human blood-sacrifice (ibid). Hauptmann, however, supplies the specific proposition which underpins Kästner’s insistence that, for the Greeks, sanctity attached to natural sites before ever temples were built (Griechenland, pp. 18, 87), namely, that religion has its deepest roots in nature and that the gods are pastoral in origin (G.F., pp. 64, 75). Kästner agrees in spirit: on the moonlight ascent of Mount Ida, he recalls the story of the birth of Zeus, suckled by the goat Amalthea (Kreta, p. 14).

These concordances between the two writers are more than simply felicitous, they harmonise with Kästner’s concern with reverence for life and nature and his deepest respect for the foci of reverence so elaborately developed by the Greeks. Kästner’s formulation that Greek art, sculpture, tragedy and intellect are unthinkable without the gods (Kreta, pp. 139-140) accords with Hauptmann’s observation that the Greek gods were not eternal and pre-existing like the Christian god, but gestated (G.F., p. 37), and were local rather than omnipresent (G.F., p. 49); as Hauptmann puts it, the Greek theatre performances were for gods, before gods (G.F., p. 73). Yet, paradoxically, only the Greek gods, Kästner points out, though the creation of men, live in lofty places, among the elements, untroubled by the cares of humankind (ibid., p. 93). The paradox understood by both writers is that of a Humanism devoted to the study of a god-fixated society which in its strivings was supremely humanist.

The unease with which Kästner views archaeological excavation and his outright rejection of speculative reconstruction (cf. Griechenland, pp. 121-122, 163-164; Kreta, pp. 116-119) echoes Griechischer Frühling, where Hauptmann, suggesting a parallel with the archaeological de-layering undertaken at Mycenae, Troy and Olympia, argues for ‘psychic’ rather than a physical excavation: “...das Griechentum zwar begraben, doch nicht gestorben [...] nur in den Seelen lebendiger Menschen begraben [...] so kommt auch
vielleicht für das lebendige Griechenerbe die große Stunde der Ausgrabung” 
(G.F., p. 57). In extension: without the infinite and well-founded, “unendliche, wohl-gegründete”, myth-world of the Greeks, the powers of the imagination are today isolated and fragmented, “vereinzelnd zersplittert”, and dependent solely on that which may be brought forth in the short life of the individual 
(G.F., p. 61). In further extension, polytheism and monotheism are not mutually exclusive: in the world we have dealings with countless forms of the Divinity, “Gottheit”, and beyond this world with the unity of God, “mit der göttlichen Einheit” (G.F., p. 62). Roundly, Hauptmann declares that we live in a world of ideas, of the imagination, or we live not in our world at all, “Wir leben in einer Welt der Vorstellungen, oder wir leben nicht mehr in unserer Welt” (ibid.). Kästner, the bibliophile, regrets rather the loss of the literary imagination to archaeological anatomy, “denn die herrlichsten Gemälde der griechischen Landschaft, diejenigen Goethes und Hölderlins, sind ja von beiden Genien nur mit dem Auge der Sehnsucht geschaut” (Griechenland, p. 241); also, “ich bin beschämt, daß ich es in Wirklichkeit sehe, was er [Jean Paul], der Gnadenbegründer, niemals im Leben sah als nur mit dem trunkenen Wahrblick der Sehnsucht und Liebe” (Kreta, p. 77). Viewing the scattered but complete remains of the Aphaia temple on Aigina, Kästner seems to disdain the possibility that scholarly diligence, “Gelehrtenfleiß”, could faithfully reconstruct it, even were that reconstruction in graphic form only (Griechenland, p. 88). He is reacting to the evidence, seen with his own eyes, of relentless excavation, the result of a lust for knowledge of objects, “die Begierde zu wissen über Dinge” [Kreta, p. 116]. Artworks and buildings of other peoples and other times have their own life and rights, “ihr eigenes Leben, ihr eigenes Recht”. Scientific knowledge, Wissenschaft, is just one way among many, “nur eine der Wege von mehreren”, to come into close contact with them (Kreta, p. 116). He regrets the banishment of the free wonderment and passion of the Romantics, “Ich bedauere es wie viele, daß sich die Wissenschaft den frei geäußerten Strom der Bewunderung und das flammende Gefühl verbot, wie es zu Wincklmanns Zeiten noch üblich war” (Kreta, p.37). Kästner is an unashamed Romantic, but a Romantic empiricist. Flying in a Storch over Crete, the pilot routinely cutting the engine so he and his passenger may speak, Kästner finds that the experience outstrips human
awareness: mankind had experienced flying more intensely when it could still only dream of it, “Die ganze Menscheit hat das Fliegen stärker erlebt, als sie noch bloß davon träumte” (Kreta, p. 97).

Such lamenting of the passing of Romantic vision might seem whimsical, though not out of place, in sentimental travel-writing. The concern, however, becomes shrill and earnest in Kästner’s later works. As did Hauptmann, he acknowledges the illuminating discoveries of the archaeologists: “ohne sie wäre das Versunkene versunken und das Verschollene verschollen geblieben; das bestritt niemand.” Although here noting with approval the similarity of modern archaeology to forensic science, the Kästner who celebrated the finds of Byronic philhellene dilettantes on Aigina (Griechenland, p. 89) continued to uphold his reservation against mere Wissenschaft: science and that which it pursues are locked in a debate conducted in mutually unintelligible languages, “die Wissenschaft, und das, dem sie nachjagt: […] Zusammen- und auseinandergeflucht, zu einander gesperrt, in endlosem Gespräch mit einander, aber in einander unverständlichen Sprachen.” Kästner’s point is that knowledge pursued acquisitively, without conviction, “ohne Besinnung, auf Mehrwissen erpicht”, is won at a loss of the previously freely-imagined history of the things uncovered: “Abhanden, abhanden, abhanden.”

Kästner encountered Heidegger as one of the invited audience at Heidegger’s first public post-war lecture, on “Das Ding”, given before the Bavarian Academy of Fine Arts in Munich on the 6th of June 1950. Evidently, they met again at that time, and more than once, in the house of Erhard Göpel, as Heidegger reminds Kästner in a letter of 1973. Kästner’s review of Heidegger’s lecture appeared in the Schwäbische Landeszeitung on 10th June 1950. According to Heidegger, “Gerichtetsein”, which in context
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may be loosely translated as ‘the state of being focussed upon’ is over-emphasised by other philosophers as the basis of consciousness:
“Gerichtetsein als der Grundstruktur des Bewußtseins […] eine viel zu ausdrückliche und überschärfte Charackteisierung des Seins in der Welt gegeben.”

Things, “Dinge”, remain inconspicuous and strike the consciousness only when absent or otherwise out of place.

The material of things becomes conspicuous in a work of art where it is applied, “gebraucht”, rather than consumed, “verbraucht”: the leather of Van Gogh’s “Shoes” and the limestone of the Parthenon remain conspicuous, the leather for the nature of its service, revealed by the painting, the limestone for the unity of “Bahnen und Bezüge”, ‘ways and connections’, birth and death, weal and woe, victory and defeat, endurance and decline of a people, which the temple ordains and collects of and in itself.

Heidegger’s example in the lecture is that of a pitcher whose Wesen, its nature or essence, is not its Platonic eidos as a container of a certain fluid capacity, but rather its capacity to pour, im Geschenk, which Kästner fancies the audience may have misheard and that a Heidegger coinage, Geschänk – from Schänke, a tavern or inn – may actually have been uttered. In the fluttering and hovering of meaning, “im Flatterschweben”, over the double or equivalent meaning of words, Kästner thinks that a nerve of such philosophising, “ein Nerv solchen Philosophierens”, may have been touched.

Philosophy, so it appears, concludes Kästner, is returning to its roots in poetry.

Such a conclusion is one that accords with the intuitive philosophy of Kästner’s Griechenland and Kreta. The re-written version of Griechenland that would appear as Ölberge, Weinberge, would have little similarity, so Kästner intended, with “jener viel zu feuilletonistischen zweckbedingten Fassung.”

The second volume of the planned trilogy, Kreta, is the one that Heidegger, acknowledging receipt of a copy of the posthumous 1975 edition from Kästner’s widow, describes as Kästner’s Erstling, his ‘first-born
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child.\textsuperscript{606} It is in \textit{Kreta} that Kästner, with the free-roaming brief allowed him by General Bräuer (who, Kästner attests in \textit{Offener Brief}, loved the island with a secret passion)\textsuperscript{607} and writing a more personal account, conjures a still-present immanence of the antique world: his language is Romantic, but his observations are insistently on a Heideggerian \textit{Sein und Zeit} inseparability. The influence of the Romantic is freely acknowledged, as in “Sonnenschleier, wunderbar gestuft, wie sie uns die Bilder der Romantik ins Herz gemalt haben.”\textsuperscript{608} Flights of Romantic lyric can also serve to screen pointed allusion: as in this reference to Crete as the site of the clash of Zeus and the Olympian gods with the Titans:

Hier traten ihnen die Olympier entgegen, die Schirmer der Ordnung, die Hüter des Lichts, \textit{die Verächter der bloßen Gewalt}.\textsuperscript{609} [italics added]

The long ascent of Mount Ida, with which \textit{Kreta} begins, is Kästner’s paean to the classical Greek virtues of order, proportion and moderation: “Das war die Ordnung des Zeus […] Es war die Setzung der Maße […] der Aufgang der griechischen Welt.”\textsuperscript{610} Zeus must here stand for the spirit which inspired the Platonic and Socratic understanding that virtue in the contingencies of life is the apprehension by means of reason of the proper mean. He affirms that his two years in Greece to this point have been a schooling: “In diesem Lande zwei Jahre zu leben, ist eine Schule der maßvollen Maße und der menschlichen Grenzen in allem Gelebten und allem Gebauten.”\textsuperscript{611} One lesson of this schooling that Kästner expounds is the reverence for the fruits of the earth that occasioned the propitiatory worship of Demeter and Dionysius and which he found still surviving in the Cretan custom of praising food and drink:

So hier wird gepflückt, geschenkt und genossen. Demeter und Dionysos werden hier nicht mehr verehrt; ihr Andenken hat sich in nördliche Länder verzogen, die ihr Wesen nur ahnen als blassen Abendschein.

Hier brauchten sie nur wiederzukehren. Es ist ihnen noch alles bereitet.\textsuperscript{612}

The significance of a time is more fundamental than its historical determination or duration.\textsuperscript{613} In Heideggerian terms, the Cretans were living
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examples of a continuing in-der-Welt-sein in a departed, yet still lived-in Welt, whereas the northern Europeans have become disconnected, perceiving the nature gods only as the twilight glimmer of a departed superstition.

Here in theatrically figurative expression is Kästner’s insistence on language as defining of all things, Dinge, among which Kästner included humans: “Also, was ist ein Ding? Ich komme zu dem seltsamen Schluß, das die Menschen auch Ding unter Dingen sind.” Kästner is writing to his long-standing literary friend Heinrich Gremmels, in an impassioned tone approaching exasperation, in explication of his 1972 lecture, “Aufstand der Dinge”. As to language, Kästner, writing to Hans Egon Holthusen and dismissing Jaspers’ Von der Wahrheit, maintains that in Heidegger Dichtung can be demonstrated to be Wahrheit:

Die Bedeutung Heideggers besteht unter anderem darin, daß er weiß [...] daß sie dasselbe wie Dichtung ist, und er hat dem Denken und dem Philosophieren den Rang und Charakter der Dichtung wiedergegeben. Kästner’s objections are directed at Jasper’s later work, and would seem to overlook Jaspers’ insistence (in Philosophy of Existence, 1938) that scientific cognition of things is not cognition of being, prefatory to a call for the recognition of an Existenz that is more than the sum of existence, consciousness and spirit, which concept would supplant the ‘deceptive idea’ of a universal, necessary and knowable totality of events. To another correspondent, Kästner stoutly maintains that the older Heidegger would not deny the impossibility of fixing meaning in the sign-and-referent circularity of language:

[...] bloß Verstehen, ohne Wortwunder, ohne Bannzauber, das ist platte Wissenschaft, [...] oder schafft der alte Heidegger etwa keinen magischen Raum? Will er behaupten, man könne das, was er sagen will, nicht auch glatter sagen? Doch, wenn er nicht (wie wir) wohl wüßte, daß das Glatthingesagte halt nichts mehr ist, Wort ist nicht Nachrichtenübermittlung, Wort ist Wortwunder.

The Nietzschean insistence that epistemological thinking simply does not occur, that ‘causality’ eludes us in the play between two thoughts of all kinds
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of affects,\textsuperscript{618} corresponds to Kästner’s privileging of the aura inseparably surrounding words.

Kästner acknowledged that he had arrived in Greece filled with the conventional enthusiasm for classicism, as received from Schiller, but had come to realise that the modern-day Greeks in no way shared this; they could have had no idea of a Schiller-inspired theory of a \textit{Universalgeschichte}. Kästner’s later books, stemming from his post-war visits to Greece, had therefore focused on the transmutation and continuation of the antique world in the Byzantine.\textsuperscript{619} In the end, the search that had begun in \textit{Griechenland} and \textit{Kreta} became a strident polemic against the spawning by science of an all-dominant technology. In his “Aufstand der Dinge” text, Kästner describes scientists as the ‘\textit{Getriebenen}’, beings driven and pursued by their master, science.\textsuperscript{620} While expressing appreciation of a presentation volume of that work with Kästner’s handwritten dedication\textsuperscript{621} Heidegger is nonetheless compelled to be unwontedly specific in pointing to a misreading on the part of his friend and admirer: “So weit ich sehe, treibt das Wesen der Technik […] Man meint immer noch, die neuzeitliche Wissenschaft sei der Grund für die Technik, während es sich in Wahrheit so verhält, daß die Wissenschaft im Wesen der modernen Technik grundet.”\textsuperscript{622} With a concluding caveat, “Doch möchte ich Ihr Buch nicht auf das Feld der »Philosophie« zerren, weil es ein dichterisches Buch aus eigenem Wuchs ist.”, Heidegger accords Kästner the status rather of a hilltop prophet from Mount Ida, a prophet of the elusive wonder of language, and therefore forever a recusant in the matter of conformity to methodological (fearing thereby reductive) approaches.

5. Felix Hartlaub: Paris underground

5.1. Formative influences on \textit{Weltanschauung}

Wilke’s study of Hartlaub’s juvenilia concludes that this was heavily edited through parental intervention and that the original drafts attributable to Hartlaub himself have less literary worth than had been assumed from the
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published versions. The parents of Felix Hartlaub, Gustav Friedrich and Félicie Mathilde, concerned at the sombre content of Felix’s drawings (though this content was for the most part illustrative of works he had read) and more so at the fatal conclusions through suicide, in his fiction, enrolled him in the liberal Odenwaldschule where he was a pupil from April 1928 to September 1932. The school, whose free-spirit ethos owed much to the Wandervogel and Jugendbewegung movements, emphasised ‘whole person’ and group education, self-administration, co-education, and community spirit. This idealistic social model was at variance with the authoritarian cast of post-1933 Germany and ill-equipped the young Felix for assimilation into the new political realities. Henri Plard, citing Klaus and Monika Mann, also students at the school, describes its effects on Hartlaub: “cette école de l’Odenwald semble avoir produit […] une désastreuse incapacité à se défendre de la vie et des hommes.” Plard’s 1959 study of Hartlaub, concentrating on the Paris sketches, posits that Hartlaub transposed the mundanely detached ethic of the Odenwaldschule into a literary aesthetic: “Au fond, il transpose en esthétique l’éthique de l’Odenwaldschule.” Wilke notes that the distinctive style of the adult Hartlaub, the mode of seeing and narrating without commentary, breaks through for the first time in the Berlin sketches of his postgraduate studies. Hartlaub’s most sustained attempt to subject the world to ethical scrutiny, the allegorical novella “Brueghels Affe”, was also his last. Wilke concludes that the real world of the 1930s from which the Odenwaldschule had isolated Hartlaub now in turn isolated him. In October 1932, a month after leaving the school, Hartlaub writes to his father from the train station in Zurich telling him that, after a morning ‘toing and ‘froing in indecision, he has deliberately missed the train back to Mannheim. He asks if he may be allowed to remain on in Switzerland through November and December so that may overcome
“die Kluft zwischen »OSO« und »Leben«”.

In the event, Hartlaub is allowed to spend the greater part of 1933 in Italy, from February to October; there, he puts down his unease with his Italian acquaintances to what he sees as an un-German shallowness and a dual-morality among his Italian fellow-students, outwardly subservient to family codes and inwardly hedonistic. Forsaking Naples for a three-month stay in the university town of Perugia, he flees from there in turn to Florence in order to detach himself from the “vor Überintellektualismus gänzlich wert- und wissenschaftsfeindlich” clique among whom, some of them fellow German expatriates, he still feels peripheral. On his return to Berlin, he found himself no less alienated from his surroundings. Werner Meyer, recollecting Hartlaub, wrote that during his doctoral studies in history at the Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität in Berlin, Hartlaub found the critical study of sources more difficult than “die intuitive Erkenntnis aus seiner unmittelbaren Sehschärfe und die lebendige Darstellung von Menschen und Zeiten.” Meyer concluded that this period of formal study in history obstructed Hartlaub’s instinctive inclination towards what were his innate creative talents, to which, despite the urgings of his father to produce an academic post-doctoral work, he turned in the end. Meyer attributed to Hartlaub “die Objektivität eines Epikers” and ventured that it could be said, “fast könnte man sagen”, that Hartlaub had preserved this epical distance to the then ever more pressing “Gegenwartsfragen der Politik” were it not that “seine Wahrhaftigkeit, seine Gerechtigkeitsgefühl und seine Parteinahme für die Schwachen ihn aktiviert hätten.”

With reference to Hartlaub’s penetrating literary style, Meyer remarks that “Dieser Zwang seiner Seele, das jeweils Begegnende aufzunehmen, die
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629 Ibid., see entry for 1933, p. 338 and letter dates, pp. 89-128.
630 Ibid., see pp. 108-9.
631 Ibid., see p. 123.
632 See Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen, Felix Hartlaubs, pp. 150,151,152,159-60.
634 Ibid., p. 630.
Schalen zu durchdringen, nirgends in Halbheiten auszuweichen, ist ihm geblieben.”  

From school essays, Meyer had noted this facility for expressing the whole through a focalising detail: “seine Art, das Große zu sehen und vom merkwürdigen Einzelnen her das Ganze zu begreifen.”

Meyer noticed how Hartlaub in the political discussion evenings at the Odenwaldschule affirmed “die offene Auseinandersetzung als menschliche Erscheinung” and how he participated in these discussions “mit einem gelassenen oder grimmigen Humor, wenn nicht mit Bitterkeit.”

This tinge of bitterness which Meyer noticed may have been transmuted into that amoral distance which characterises Hartlaub’s writing thereafter. Balancing this there was his attraction to form and beauty. Something more in the way of enthusiasm than might have been expected even from the son of a museum curator was evident during the Italian trip: Meyer was struck by how Hartlaub appeared to be captivated and intoxicated as “eine Trunkenheit des Sehens und Entdeckens nahm ihn in Florenz gefangen.”

Hartlaub’s minute observation of architectural detail is also evident from his fragments written while at university in Berlin and is at its most sustained in the Paris sketches. What to Meyer seemed a visual intoxication of discovery had become a conscious cerebral discipline:


...wie sehr einem das eine Jahr Schlicktown doch anhaftet, leide vor allem sehr an der Unfähigkeit zu konzentriertem Sehen.

Auch dass ich solange nicht mehr gezeichnet habe, sei es auch nur zur Kontrolle des eigenen Sehens, rächt sich jetzt bös.

Hartlaub was stationed at Wilhelmshaven, “Schlicktown”, for much of the time before his posting to Paris.

Before the move to Paris, postings in October 1939 to the Ruhr mining district of Gelsenkirchen-Scholven and briefly to the industrial settlement of Köln-Knapsack produced observations on Rhineland Catholicism:

---
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Das Ineinander von westfälischem Bauernort, Berghauf und Katholizismus zog mich sehr an. [...] Ich würde mich hier gerne mal niederlassen, wenn ich einen anständigen Beruf hätte. 642

Knapsack. Vorstellungen von frommen mittelalterlichen Bergmannsknappen, die der Name weckt. Katholizismus, der in seiner Vereinigung mit den Gegebenheiten des Industriearbeitertums den Berlinern sehr fremd ist. 643

The Kulturkampf of the Bismarck era had left its mark in the sense of grievance, still directed at the Preußentum, felt by Böll at the social setbacks suffered by his family in Cologne the 1920s: family properties had to be sold and the family was obliged to move to a more modest district. Rheinland industry and enterprise was still overwhelmingly controlled by Protestant, for Böll ‘Prussian’, interests, 644 and from the Catholic magazine Hochland, read in the Böll household, Böll would have absorbed Theodor Haecker’s polemic against the ‘Prussian’ influence. 645 Hartlaub is aware that his own fascination with and attraction to the Westphalian overlap of farming and mining communities: industrial service in ‘Protestant’, Prussian industry and a community background in rural Catholicism, is at odds with the bemusement of his Berliner and therefore ‘Prussian’ fellow servicemen, and at odds with his own background also, to which the ensuing images present both attracting and repelling aspects:

Priester und weihräuchernder Chorknabe [...] Nonnen in Ringelreihen mit Arbeiterkindern [...] Kirche und Schule stattliche Bauten. Die Siedlung alle[s] niedrige Arbeiterhäuser [...] Zugänglichkeit der Mädchen. [...] Mädchen mit polnischen, jugoslawischen Namen, die zur Beichte gehen. 646

The attraction is romantic, in contrast to his attachment to Protestantism which is intellectual, as evidenced by the youthful Hartlaub’s reaction to the floridity of the Catholic, Italian baroque:

Weißt Du, hier unten, wo einen das Heidentum ewig anlächelt oder der katholische Barock seine Hände theatralisch gen Himmel reckt, bekommt man manchmal direkt etwas Sehnsucht nach Protestantismus. 647

Der Protestantismus als solcher ist schon etwas Großartiges und auch etwas ungeheuer Modernes. Die erste Tat, die außerhalb des Mittelmeeres, der klassischen Überlieferung getan worden ist. Er gehört mit der Entdeckung

642 Ibid., p. 375/381, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
643 Ibid., p. 21.
644 See Sagarra & Skrine: A Companion to German Literature, p. 125.
Amerikas, der Naturwissenschaft, alles neueste Dinge, zu den Leitersprossen, die die Menscheit zu besteigen sich erst gerade anschickt;\footnote{Ibid., p. 96} Amid the heightened language, it is the intellectual independence and sober rigour of Protestantism which Hartlaub is here commending to his brother, from Italy in 1933, on the occasion of the latter’s confirmation. Hartlaub’s later spare fictional style, characteristically paratactic, may be viewed as a product of his adherence to a code of thought and to an intellectual discipline of restraint in style and expression which he terms ‘Protestant’. Ernst Jünger would articulate the elevation of rationality through the Reformation and the shaping legacy of the apotheosis of rationality, the French Revolution, in terms of intellectual eugenics:

\begin{quote}
\end{quote}

Hartlaub’s architectural impressions from his Italian sojourn, of classical severity juxtaposed with the ‘Catholic’ baroque, prompted self-reflective musings, among which was the regret that he had spent too much time at the \textit{Odenwaldschule} on projects for Meyer and for the school community instead of looking to his own maturation: “Ich habe diese Zeit auf der OSO mit nützlicher und weniger nützlicher Arbeit für Meyer und für die Gemeinschaft totgeschlagen, ohne mich viel um mein Alter zu kümmern.”\footnote{Krauss: \textit{Felix Hartlaub: in seinen Briefen}, p. 96} Six years later, returning refreshed from a visit to Dresden and perhaps subliminally chafing at the Protestant work-ethic of personal responsibility and career-directed academic diligence of his father’s urgings, Berlin is dismissed: “die ganze Kümmerlichkeit der Friderizianik.” An appraisal of Dresden’s \textit{Frauenkirche}, “ja wohl der einzige wirklich eigenwüchsige protestantische Kirchenbau, die regelbestätigende Ausnahme”, acknowledges the Catholic Baroque influence, from Venice, through the apostate Duke Augustus, \textit{August der Starke}. That which was \textit{eigenwüchsig}: self grown, self cultivated, non-conformist, commanded Hartlaub’s admiration.\footnote{Ibid., p. 171.} Already, on the earlier school-group visit to Italy in 1931 and not yet eighteen, Hartlaub had intuited what would
become the hallmark of his literary efforts: a visual rendering and ordering of objects and phenomena without authorial comment:

In meinem Inneren liefern sich wie früher Wissenschaft und einfaches Betrachten der Dinge grimmige Schlachten. Doch wird die Wissenschaft diesmal wohl den Kürzeren ziehen.\footnote{Ibid., p. 76.}

The \textit{einfaches Betrachten der Dinge} was what he turned to, despite dutiful attention to a long reading programme and card-index note-taking for his post-doctoral project on 19th century French literature. To his mentor Rudolf Kieve in December 1938 he wrote presciently: “Das einzige, was ich habe bzw. vielleicht einmal werde haben können: la lingua tedesca und was sich damit anstellen läßt.”\footnote{Ibid., p. 296.} What Hartlaub would do with \textit{la lingua tedesca} was to foreshorten its strict syntax through paratactic stream-of-consciousness passages and an Austen-like use of focalising characters thinking in interior monologue.

5.2. The migrant \textit{flâneur}

Sketches from the time of Hartlaub’s doctoral studies in Berlin are contained in a single handwritten notebook and on typed transcriptions in the \textit{DLA} archive at Marbach. The provenance of the typescripts is not recorded; they are free of the characteristic Hartlaub revisions and insertions and are on white, modern paper dissimilar to the coarser-grained service notepaper of Hartlaub’s own later typescripts from the \textit{FHQ}. Non sequiturs in the typescripts point to possible errors of transcription from the notebook MS. Nonetheless, a reading reveals a striking similarity to the Paris sketches in the detached observation of scenes, in the sparsity of dialogue and the absence of any involving the narrator himself, and in a narrating gaze that strays even against the thread of the narration towards skyline and rooftops. In the intervening sketches from the period of service in 1939-1940 with an air-defence balloon unit in Northwest Germany the gaze still seeks out the skyline, as in the sketch “Flak”: “Nachts bewegen sich die Gestalten der Kanoniere phantastisch vor dem vom Brande der Hochöfen geröteten Himmel.” Blast furnace towers, brown-coal excavators, smokestacks and high-tension cable masts dominate the landscape in “Industrieschutz” where

\footnote{Ibid., p. 76.}
\footnote{Ibid., p. 296.}
the twin spires of Cologne Cathedral appear only now and again through the smog, between chimney and cable-tower pairs. An impression is conveyed of an already busy landscape complicated by the intrusion of the soldiery and their apparatus. In the Berlin notebook, the observed figures appear as inverted vanishing points in their own perspective: walled in, overlooked from high windows, their plane to the horizon tilted at a steep roofwards angle. The piece “Der Hund” is written momentarily from the perspective of the dog who is busily seeking to play with the Student, the principal perceiving persona of the piece who is, like others without money or connections, whiling away the Sunday afternoon in a deckchair at Wannsee. A high window opens and the white-bearded face of an elderly Jew looks down, but, seeing himself noticed, immediately withdraws from view, as if extinguished, “blitzschnell zurück, wie ausgelöscht.” The setting is the area around the Oranienburg Strasse, the Jewish quarter of the Berlin Altstadt. The fear and furtiveness is conveyed without authorial comment. The concluding section of the piece gently mocks the morbid juvenile melancholy of the student which strains upwards and away from lived-in street level to symbolic silhouettes and motifs in bronze and stone:


The direction of the gaze along facades and across parapets is, as in the sketches from Paris that would follow, a device to depopulate the scene, which Hartlaub then re-animates through metaphor, as here where the traffic of the Lindenallee shatters against the facade of the Berlin Schloss.

654 Cf. Geno Hartlaub: Das Gesamtwerk (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1955), p. 17; also, DLA Marbach, typescript 93.17.6, p. 9.
655 See Das Gesamtwerk, p. 18; here cited from Deutsches Literatur Archiv typescript 93.17.6, p. 11.
It is perhaps another Berlin piece which is the quintessential flâneur essay. “Holsteinufer”\textsuperscript{656} describes an apartment house; more accurately, a tenement of six stairwells with a walled-in, refuse-strewn garden of bare berry bushes and yew, so dark the birds do not venture into it, and a narrow courtyard. The tenantry is eclectic: “Frau Sch. angeblich Türkin,”; “Herr M.,” almost 80 years old, shares a lodging with a “Frau A.,” lives by uncertain, allegedly shady, means, reeks of garlic soap, has a classical library, many dictionaries, and is reputed to speak many languages. The somewhat sinister figure is nonetheless shy and retreats into his room when encountered. Indeterminate noises from adjoining apartments disturb by night. The landlady, a Polish Jew, lives with her partner, once her ‘gentleman’ lodger: “war möblierter Herr bei Ihr”. The whole house is full of such women partnered by come-down, broken-down men, “meist ältlichen und irgendwie lädierten, schwer zu ertragenden, unvermeidlich dummen, frechen, verrückten Männer.” Here begins Hartlaub’s prose poetry, a succession of poetic oppositions describing the man, whom the woman holds on as to a security, a pledge, “er ist nur das Pfand”, a never-used credit, “nie benutzter Gutschein”, against life: “ein Kranker, der gepflegt, ein Erpresser, der hingehalten, ein Rasender, der beschwichtigt werden muss. […] frech und feig wie ein Dieb, geil und lustlos, gewalttätig und wehleidig.” First, Hartlaub writes, it was just the women within these damp walls; then came the man. The man portrayed stands for them all; he lives under the terms of the Matriarchat der modernen Grosstadt. The latter phrase forms a full sentence and is Hartlaub’s sovereign pronouncement, as flâneur of interiors, on age and dependency in the modern metropolis.

The Paris sketches with their surrogate point of view are a direct continuation of the Berlin impressions. Hartlaub was not satisfied with their fluctuation between prose poetry and reportage.\textsuperscript{657} Prosagedicht Hartlaub saw as one pole, with reportage, of a Scylla and Charybdis of stylistic uncertainty. The lyrical praise of Paris itself alternates with sharp-eyed and witty vignettes of the uniformed tourists and of the citizens in whose way they came. The flâneur does not philosophise; Benjamin insists that this is not his role: what

\textsuperscript{656} See Das Gesamtwerk, pp. 31-33; here cited from Deutsches Literatur Archiv typescript 93.17.6, pp. 28-30.

he must do is to observe acutely and relentlessly, under cover of a pose of idleness, and acquire knowledge of the history and provenance of his subjects, as would any expert collector. His study is not speculative physiognomy, but the study of the city as chthonic Labyrinth, though he is, as the collector is a physiognomist of objects, a practitioner of physiognomy.\textsuperscript{658} Hartlaub, in addition, in interposing the alter ego of “Er” allowed the reader to be at times also the \textit{flâneur}, watching the \textit{flâneur}. The “Er” \textit{flâneur} is not yet quite developed in the Berlin sketches and there is still something of the schoolboy fixation with the Gothic in his penchant for the surreal, as the concluding section of “Holsteinerufer” exemplifies. Death claims the decayed tenement gent with a Faustian panache:


This passage is omitted from \textit{Das Gesamtwerk}, perhaps deemed immature in being too like the fantasy pieces of Hartlaub’s juvenilia. Death’s entrance and appearance is plausible, however, since he is paying a call on an already surreal human menagerie.

Just as surreal are the encounters in Hartlaub’s interior studies from Paris, of the Hotel d’Orsay, of the abandoned foreign ministry, and of the “Puff”; these forming a kind of trilogy and containing character portrayals that are fragmentary and putative, drawn from fleeting encounters in corridors, in an elevator, from snatches of conversation overheard, from rumour, from the personal effects of the departed diplomatic staff. The Paris narrator has matured, and the bizarre incongruity of out-of-place people is conveyed

\footnotesize
\textsuperscript{658} See Tiedemann (ed.): \textit{Walter Benjamin. Gesammelte Schriften} V.2 (Franfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1982), pp. 526, 541, 554, 559, 1027.
\textsuperscript{659} Cited from \textit{DLA} typescript 93.17.6, p. 30.
through factual observation in the tone of free indirect speech, the tone mocking the characters’ own self-accounts or suggesting by flat re-telling the implausibility of the hearsay. The chillingly bizarre magic realism used in the late *Führerhauptquartier* sketches is a further development of the technique of which the Berlin sketches were trial pieces and the Paris sketches a refinement.

5.3. Idle pursuit? The validity of a *flâneur* literature in wartime

The writings from France of Böll and Hartlaub have in common that they are intentionally not records of service experience, but, rather, of leisure time, above all of moments of detachment and mental flight. The necessary precondition for the *flâneur*’s role, idleness, the idleness of the literary term, *Müßigang*, corresponds well to the aimless off-duty time of a serviceman on a foreign posting. The *flâneur* need not necessarily be a native, but must acquire a native’s topographical familiarity by walking, aimlessly, without the direction from a *Baedeker*. Neumeyer (1999) posits that since directionless roaming is the ‘minimum definition’ of the *flâneur*, the figure of the *flâneur* is therefore an ‘open paradigm’– an open pattern of thought and an open philosophical framework. Neumeyer sees the functions assigned to this paradigm as lying within the context of the invention and exposition of the aestheticisation of the modern.

Franz Hessel’s *Spazieren in Berlin* (1929) is in Neumeyer’s view primarily a tour of the literature of the city and a sentimental exercise in *Heimatkunde*, local history, rather than a confrontation of the surreality of its present. It is Hessel’s later, 1938 manuscript, “Letzte Heimkehr”, which contains his observation on the *sichtbare Vergangenheit* temporarily exposed by the new building in Berlin. Hartlaub, too, noted the interiors of the half-demolished houses, but sentiment is confined to a single remark on the widening of the Spree: “Alt-Berlin entrollt sich am Ufer.”

Hartlaub notes the practicalities of the new construction: foundations excavated by pneumatic drills, the spoil transported away on barges, white-clad stonemasons chiselling at the new Nazi facades.
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fragments of Hartlaub’s Berlin notebook are intense with people-watching: of student, rooming-house and boulevard café life. The undercurrent of political ferment is present also: brown shirts make up part of the Tracht dress of the student societies; there are Austrian refugees (it is pre-Anschluss), and Russian émigrés, nicknamed “Nazikosaken”.

The students and eccentric bibliophiles of the sketches are outsiders, viewed by an outsider. It is this sense of alienation which creates an air of unreality in the sketches. For Hartlaub, occupied Paris would also acquire an air of unreality, through its abnormally diminished activity:

Die Baulichkeiten liegen infolge des fehlenden Strassentrubels natürlich in einer aufregenden Weise bloss, ohne aber, wie ich es wenigstens empfinde, an Sichtbarkeit zu gewinnen. Es fehlt das Medium zwischen ihnen und der Netzhaut.

The city was laid bare by the absence of traffic and human commerce, but did not seem to gain thereby in visibility.

Severin (1988) has formulated a precondition for herumlaufende Schriftsteller, “strolling writers”. It pertains to the flâneur and the city, since one defines the other.

Severin explains:

Der aus dem Zusammenhang des Großstadttags herausgelöste einzelne Eindruck erhält in der kleinen Prosa den Status eines eigenständigen literarischen Sujets. Indem damit das Marginale des Alltags zum Zentrum des Textes wird, nähert sich die kleine Prosa in ihrem Wirklichkeitsbezug der Perspektive des Flaneurs.

In paraphrase: the single impressions detached from the weave of the everyday life of the big city become the very sujet, plot, to the flâneur’s fabula, story; the marginal of the everyday then becomes the centre of the flâneur’s text.

Seibert (1995) maintains that in Hartlaub the attempt to write a flâneur literature as the specific literature of city-experience under the conditions of occupation, and unmodified, failed. The attempt could not succeed because the code of reference, “Bezugssystem”, between the observing flâneur-subject and the perceivable urban exterior world had become its own threatening opposite, “hat sich in sein bedrohliches Gegenteil verkehrt.”

The grounding of this

---
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opinion need not be challenged, so long as one reads these texts of Hartlaub only as flâneur literature. Read as flâneur literature, Hartlaub’s Paris prose returns a detached and surreal image of reality, registering that estrangement which he himself had felt. Benjamin’s essay on the Passages of Paris speaks of a ‘Copernican’ change in the principle of historic perception:

…man hielt für den fixen Punkt das »Gewesene« und sah die Gegenwart bemüht, an dieses Feste die Erkenntnis tastend heranzuführen. Nun soll sich dieses Verhältnis umkehren und das Gewesene seine dialektische Fixierung von der Synthesis erhalten, die das Erwachen mit den gegensätzlichen Traumbildern vollzieht.667

The “Gewesene”, the fixed reference point of ‘that which is and has occurred’, is quite unfixed in occupied Paris, and Benjamin’s dialektische Fixierung achieved through dream images, Traumbildern, is accreted by Hartlaub from the fixed features of façades, mansards and oeils-de-bœuf. The dialectical Fixierung is much more concrete, achieved through an Entfremdungseffekt of an excess of precision, the principle of his ‘meticulous art’, as divined by Henri Plard: “le principe de son art minutieux, étrange par l’excès de sa précision.”668

If the forty separate pieces which make up Felix Hartlaub’s Paris sketches669 represent a failed attempt to pursue flâneur literature, then it is the paradox of a successful failure. The arbitrary sequencing of the Paris sketches in Geno Hartlaub’s “Das Gesamtwerk” and “Im Sperrkreis” of 1955, which remained unchanged even in the enlarged 1984 edition of “Im Sperrkreis”, had obscured at least one perception of the evolution of the sketches, that of the flâneur’s progress. The two trial ‘colour’ pieces appearing first in the MS notebooks, “Ventre de Paris” and “Hochwasser”, are followed in sequence in the MS by a quartet of sketches beginning with “Rubrik: Tout seul oder: Le civil équivoque” which trace the personal sensations and encounters of the flâneur, tentatively identifying him in the first section of “Tout seul” by the indeterminate man and thereafter as “Er”. The focalising “Er” is contrasted in his loneliness in “Place Pigalle” to the groups of night-revellers: he is crowded off the footpath, and coins the word “Begegnungschlacht” for the mass-hunger

669 As published in Ewenz (2002/2007). One additional sketch is a memoir of Rouen.
for human companionship; the mirrored interior of a restaurant Séparé reflects his isolation, and he feels that he himself emanates an aura of alienation and mistrust, “Der eisige Hof von Befremden, Misstrauen, den er um sich verbreitet.”670 The sequence is broken off in “Die Bergéren, Diwane” by a hurried encounter with a colleague, as if this were for the writing and written-about flâneur literally as well as socially unsatisfactory. There follows a long series of sketches which are depersonalised observations whose narrator is an unidentified seeing eye. The blend of objective point of view for the sketches in general, and of the limited omniscient for the narrator/character “Er” and the fleetingly encountered minor characters, allowed Hartlaub’s stance to gravitate from the peripatetic of the flâneur towards the incident-and-character focus of the novelist. “Er” is employed in the two further short sketches immediately following “Place Pigalle”, but thereafter this focal character is dispensed with until re-introduced two-dozen sketches later in just one more sketch, “Le Rendezvous manqué – der versetzte Sieger”, and then not again until the flâneur himself becomes the narrating protagonist, though as ever in the third person, of “Weltwende im Puff”.

Otherwise, characters remain socially distant from one another, merely strangers on a train, as in “Rückfahrt von Fontainebleau”, or penned in, like the cheerless soldier tourists on the Seine steamer in the ironically titled “Lustbarke”. Even the late sketch, “Boulevard Montmartre”, is a night scene of hurrying figures which empties with the evacuation of the last departing Metro train. Character portrayals become more sustained only in the last, long sketches, and the very last, “Paar auf Montmartre,” is written entirely from within the consciousness of its single thinking character. Character-limited omniscience is further limited in this instance for comic effect: the disgruntled off-duty serviceman half of the “Paar auf Montmartre” is at a loss to know quite why Paris is getting under his skin. With similar comic effect the omniscience of the madam in “Weltwende im Puff” is limited by her cloistered dependence on hearsay: bereft of clientele through the lassitude of the July heat, she is half credulous of the rumour of an expeditionary exodus of Napoleonic proportions from Paris to Russia. The comic bewilderment of

these characters undercuts the official high seriousness of the German presence in Paris. Accounts of the early experience of the Occupation such as that in Irène Némirovsky’s novel, *Suite française*,\(^{671}\) may be critically fêted for their vivid verisimilitude, but Hartlaub’s staccato succession of scenes and of characters who feel out of place in their surroundings says more about the times: the madam of the “Puff” is unsure of her now de-familiarised surroundings and the preoccupations of her narrator client are entirely introspective and detached from all thought of a *Weltwende*. Eschewal of any attempt to offer rounded characters or character-bound *fabula* narrative more successfully conveys that very lack of or disruption of a *Bezugssystem*, that necessary frame of civic and societal reference, felt by the occupiers and the occupied alike.

The conventional notion of the *flâneur* is in any case challenged by Benjamin; the *flâneur* is neither the philosophical stroller nor the voyeuristic physiognomist:

> Den Fall in dem der Flaneur sich ganz vom Typ des philosophischen Spaziergängers entfernt und die Züge des unstet in einer sozialen Wildnis schweifenden Werwolfs annimmt, hat Poe […] auf immer fixiert.\(^{672}\)

The *flâneur*, then, may be other than and more than a strolling, aphorism forming Peter Altenberg\(^ {673}\) or an impression gathering and opinion dispensing Robert Walser.\(^ {674}\) Besides rejecting the comfortable categorisation of ambient philosopher, Benjamin will also allow no set hypothesis define the activity of the *flâneur*:

> …nichts ist […] törichter als die konventionelle These, […] die These: er habe aus der physiognomischen Erscheinung der Menschen sein Studium gemacht, um ihnen Nationalität und Stand, Charakter und Schicksale am Gang, am Körperbau, am Mienenspiel abzulesen.\(^ {675}\)

The *flâneur* may well philosophise and indulge in physiognomic speculation, but not to any predetermined sociological end because, Benjamin chillingly
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\(^{671}\) Irène Némirovsky: *Suite française* (Munich: BTB, 2007).


\(^{675}\) Benjamin: *Gesammelte Schriften V.2*, p. 541.
here insists: “Die Stadt ist die Realisierung des alten Menschheitstraumes vom Labyrinth.”

In Seibert’s analysis, following Severin, the metropolis occasions a constitutive fragmentation of plot structure in *flâneur* literature. The German quest for regulated order went to un-Gallic extremes, as Tewes (1998) has outlined, hence Seibert reads in Hartlaub’s sketches a text-immanent sympathy for the resistance potential of the Parisians, which is literarily transmuted into plot-disorder, “Sujet-Chaos”. Hartlaub’s Paris oeuvre is thus seen to contain another, infra-textual, level of subversion.

**5.4. Hartlaub: the writing persona**

Hartlaub enjoyed the privacy of a hotel room during his foreign ministry assignment in Paris, twice extended, from the beginning of December 1940 until the beginning of September 1941, when he was recalled to field military duties. Habitually, from his student days in Italy and in Berlin, a solitary observer and in Paris impecunious because of currency restrictions, the leisure-time occupation of *flâneur* beckoned, not, as Ewenz has pointed out, that of the pleasure seeking bohemian known from the literature of the nineteenth century, but, rather, “immer der einsame Melancholiker, der sich die Stadt auf seinen Streifzügen eroberte.” The letters and sharply observed sketches, in contrast to the anecdotes in Böll’s letters, contain almost no exchanges between the observing narrator and the observed. Hartlaub’s civilian attire made Parisians wary of one who might be a member of the plain-clothes security services:

> Während der d[eutsche] Zivil, im Freien immer als suspekt empfunden (besonders ich mit meiner sprachlichen Versiertheit und meinem unklaren Phänotyp, in dem man einen ganz besonders ekligem spy und Niemandsländler wittert)

In addition, his Semitic-seeming appearance attracted no less suspicion from his own countrymen: “von den Landsleuten […] ernte ich nicht minder atomzerümmernernde Blicke ob meines Phänotyps.” He wonders, before the

---
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mirror, if anything is left of himself from this continual grinding between two millstones, “bei dieser dauernden Bearbeitung durch zwei Mühlsteine.” On his eventual return to uniformed service, at first in Berlin, Hartlaub remarked with relief that “in Uniform kann ich mich unbefangen in die Gegend mischen, bin nicht mehr ein aus- und abgekapselter verfehmer Bazillus etc.” That the characteristic distance in his literary perception and the oscillation in narrator identity between the fictionally denoted “Er” and the biographical self should give his narrative at times a tone of out-of-body quality, cannot be unconnected with the estrangement he felt from his own his physical exterior. His portrayals of city scenes are correspondingly bleak and desolate; people are singled out only by quirks of behaviour, the people en masse are heard by their silence, in their behaviour a collective organism:


Marianne Feuersenger, a secretary in the war diary section, although finding him charming and astonishingly open on a casual meeting, saw in Hartlaub “ein verschlossener, zurückhaltender Mensch” and expresses much sympathy for the lowly, put-upon situation of “dieser schmale, nachdenklich-melancholische Mann”, though adding revealingly that the whole apparatus of the FHQ fascinated him. The inverse parallels of engagement and estrangement in the writings from France of Böll and Hartlaub mirror their respective personalities and contain the sad irony that the latter was the convinced Francophile.

Hartlaub was a singular case, a precocious literary talent, even if, as Wilke has demonstrated, some of his early works were re-drafted by parental hand. An unaided historical work, the novella Parthenope, set in Napoleonic Naples, was published posthumously. The long allegorical story, “Brueghels Affe”, is told from the point of view of the chained ape depicted in the Brueghel painting, and is a dark study on the nature of human nature. Other than

683 Ibid., pp. 476/484.
686 Feuersenger: Mein Kriegstagebuch, pp. 164, 165.
dramatic pieces and stories written while a boarder at the Odenwaldschule, the rest of Hartlaub’s work subsists in fragments. The wartime fictional writings comprise the sketches from the early months of military service with a barrage-balloon unit, the Paris sketches of 1940-1941, sketches from a subsequent interval with the balloon unit, in Romania, and sketches from his service in the war-diary section at the forward headquarters at Winniza in the Ukraine in the late Summer and Autumn of 1942. Wilke has determined a two-year gap thereafter in the surviving sketches, from November 1942 until the appearance of the final long sketches depicting life at the Führerhauptquartier, tentatively though convincingly dated by Wilke to late September 1944 until early January 1945. Wilke suggests that writings from the 1942-1944 interval may have been lost in the Spring of 1945 through the looting of the Berlin cellar of Melita Laenebach, who had received materials from Hartlaub for safekeeping. Hartlaub was not unique in being an ‘other ranks’ writer or artist in occupied France; Jünger mentions the writers Gerhardt Nebel, Eberhard Kretzchmar, the Schiller biographer Erich Müller, and the painters Hans Kuhn and Ernst Wilhelm Nay, all of them in the rank of corporal, who were stationed in or about the Paris area. It is what Hartlaub and Hartlaub alone wrote out of that experience that has a claim to literary uniqueness, in that it evades categorisation as journal, fiction or reportage, and is instead an original application of the insouciant form of flâneur literature to point up the bizarre continuity of civil life as franchised under an alien regime. Jünger expresses the paradox:

Die Verwaltung eines eroberten Landes ist um so einfacher, je kultivierter, durchgebildeter es ist. Das erklärt den Erfolg Alexanders im persischen Großreich, den Mißerfolg Napoleons in Rußland und Spanien.

In this view, education and a cultivated culture facilitated an accommodation with the occupier. Though accommodated, there was for the cultured occupier no modern precedent for presenting his experience in creative literary form. Hence Hartlaub’s tentative “Er”, his un-named alter ego, and other minor occupation figures, briefly animated and only vaguely identified.

688 See Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs, pp. 84-99.
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5.5. Doppelgänger and double alienation: Hartlaub and “Er”

G.F. Hartlaub in 1933 furnished a Hartlaub family tree to Werner Meyer of the Odenwaldschule, concerned that Geno and Michael should not suffer there from the same suspicion of Jewish heritage as had their older brother, Felix. GFH insisted that the possibility of great-great grandfather Adolph Meyer being of Jewish extraction was “pure hypothesis”. Hartlaub’s sallow complexion may well have been attributable to a French Creole maternal grandmother. This ‘non-Aryan’ forebear alone was sufficient to deny him promotion even to higher non-commissioned rank. Walter Dietz remarks: “Nur daß er nicht Unteroffizier werden konnte, das bedrückte ihn sehr. Er hatte nur eine der beiden vorgeschriebenen arischen Großmütter.” Geno Hartlaub is more definite on the point: “Besonders unter der Judenverfolgung litt er fast physisch, zumal da er selbst von der Mutter her einen wenn auch nur geringen jüdischen Blutseinschlag hatte.” In any event, his complexion and profile gave his fellow Germans in Paris cause to look askance at him. For them, if the possibility of full Jewish blood was discounted as improbable, the alternative possibility of partial Jewish descent was even more problematic. His Semitic-like appearance was marked, his prominent ears adding even more to the ‘non-Aryan’ stereotype. Circulating while in pre-war Berlin chiefly among Jewish and half-Jewish acquaintances known from the Odenwaldschule, he remarks that “Am nettesten sind wie immer die Juden, nur daß sie mich für einen Verräter halten und mir mein Abzeichen nicht glauben.” The Abzeichen was the certificate of full Aryan descent, a requisite for any public appointment and for registration at university. The unease of the strolling civilian, incorporated in the title of the sketch “Rubrik: Tout seul oder: Le civil équivoque”, is acute when he is obliged to show his

697 See Geno Hartlaub (ed.): Im Sperrkreis, (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1984), afterword, p. 217.
pass to the French officials in the Métro. The pass is entirely in order; no questions necessary; nonetheless, the mistrust is all the deeper and its signs, for being subtle, felt the more: “Frauen als Schaffnerinnen: Eine kleine Ebbe im Gesicht, ein unmerkliches Engerwerden, die Augen erweitern sich eine Spur, weichen langsam zur Seite.”

Despite seeking above all else not to attract attention, the unidentified narrator notes that: “man fühlt Blicke in den Schultern”. Even though adopting a shrinking posture, his hunched, meagre, “dürftige”, shoulders clad “in dem vagen, impeccablen Pariser Frühlingsmantel”, seem “mitleidheischend” to implore pitying glances. This silent admirer of the defiantly stylish Parisians is reluctant to reveal his full identity to the German soldiers manning the exit barrier at the Metro and opts after hesitation to address them “mit einem wohltemperierten, diskret soldatischem Deutsch.” In cafés, eager to quickly establish a routine German identity, “Er” speaks deliberately bad French and flourishes a German newspaper and a Baedeker. The Paris Hartlaub attracted a wary attention unwished for by the strolling flâneur. The ‘Er’ figure clearly shares the same inhibitions as Hartlaub and, watcher, feels himself to be the watched one. Seibert sees in the suspicion which the civilian-clothed Hartlaub aroused in Parisians the loss of that residuum of individuality which the flâneur had preserved amid the dissolution occasioned by the modern metropolis of traditional patterns of experience and order, and in just those situations where the roles of viewer and viewed are reversed, an ‘Er’ figure is occasionally introduced. Literarily, for a writing flâneur and personally, for the real-life Hartlaub, an inconspicuous anonymity was a circumstantial desideratum.

The flâneur, to observe as such, must appear to have no particular business in mind; equally so the flâneur among his own Landsleute, especially so one of ‘non-Aryan’ appearance:

---

700 Ibid.
702 Ibid., p. 46.
703 Ibid., pp. 48, 51.
Da ich [...] mit meinem gesamten Kontur und Habitus so gänzlich aus dem Schosse meiner Landsleute herausfalle, muss ich mindestens in Puncto Garderobe völlig einwandfrei dastehen.

Painfully aware of the conspicuous inadequacy of his civilian wardrobe among the smart diplomats of the foreign ministry, even for his role of lowly archivist, he expresses the discomfort with droll self-mockery:

Déjeuner im ehemaligen Palais Talleyrand (jetzt deutsches Kulturinstitut) brachte ich es fertig, im braunen Strassenanzug zu erscheinen (ich selbst hätte mich unbedingt hinauswerfen lassen).

The stroller in the sketches, with the same inhibitions as Hartlaub, is withdrawn, shuns contact, and maintains a voyeuristic distance. A night scene in the blackout borrows lighting from neon signs: “Abends, totale Verdunkelung [...] nur am Anfang einige gedämpfte, erblasste Transparente: Hotel.” In the same piece, “Place Pigalle”, drunken privates crowded around a single girl are steadied by her purposeful stride in tripping high heels, “die Zielstrebigkeit der trippelnden Stöckelschuhe”. These are contrasted in the same noir cinematic frame with the stumbling boots which strike sparks from the cobblestones, “das Stolpern, Schleifen, Funkenschlagen der Nagelstiefel.” The soldiers crash in and out of the cafés in search of excitement. Swept into one in a crush he, the anonymous “Er”, finds himself alone at the bar. The mirrored walls give back his unprepossessing appearance. He orders a drink in deliberately faulty French and then, as if to test his own confidence, invites a drunken soldier newly stumbled in to drink with him. The private stares at the black-haired ‘jungle boy’, at “dieser schwarzlockige Jangelknabe”, astonished to be addressed in fluent German. Here the night-stroller shares distinctive physical characteristics with Hartlaub and also his pain at the racial prejudice registered upon faces at every encounter. The pointed parallel to the author’s self suggests an alienation borne as physical affliction. If German war literature of World War II is more that of the Obergefreite, the lance-corporal, and that of World War I that of the Oberleutnant, as Hans Schwab-Felisch cum grano salis suggests, Felix Hartlaub, denied the commission to which his social class would otherwise
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have provided entry, had the added disadvantage, unlike Böll, of not fitting physically or figuratively into the camouflage of a corporal’s uniform or rank.

5.6. Phenomenology and animation

5.6.1. Sea and skyline: elemental transmutation

Avoiding thematisation or historical reflection, as Seibert has noted,\textsuperscript{709} Hartlaub’s observing, narrating flâneur rarely engages personally with his subjects, but in substitution endows objects and phenomena with characteristics symptomatic of the population he is so intently studying. In “Ventre de Paris”, Hartlaub endows clouds with organs: stomachs, hearts and kidneys, in allusion to the setting, Les Halles, and sees them like dray horses straining forward with steaming necks, moving in a north-easterly direction – also a possible allusion to war and slaughter in that quarter of France:

\textit{…der Blick auf die Fassade von St.Eustache. […] Das grosse Stück Himmel zwischen den Türmen – leuchtende feuchte saftige Wolken auf der Fahrt nach Nordosten, meist richtige Wolken mit Bäuchen, Herz und Nieren, dazwischen manchmal auch blosse Tücher und Fahnen. Mit gebeugtem Nakken [sic], rauchenden Stirnen nach vorne geworfenen Kämmen, Mähnen.}\textsuperscript{710}

\textit{Tücher}, clothes, contains a suggestion of the clouds as meat-porters. Even the sun appears stooped, “sie treibt sich herum, niedrig, über den Kuppeln, in den Wolken.”\textsuperscript{711} The citizens in queue for their meat rations are similarly carnalised into a multi-headed snake, “die vielköpfige Schlangen”\textsuperscript{712} The whimsically bestial comicality is one of lowliness, of burden, the burden of the Occupation.

The cloud-laden studies of the Paris skyline and rooftop topography can seem overworked and in their pastel variations merely imagist prose-poetry, were it not for the dominant metaphor of a powerful natural element, the open sea, which Hartlaub himself had not seen since Naples in 1938:

die Hügelwelle des Dächermeers (“Blick auf Paris – Ile de France”)  
eine leicht gewellte Dächerflur (ibid.)  
Der Hügelfuss liegt im Wolkenschatten, im Tintenmeer. (ibid.)  
Das Dächermeer flirrt und kocht (“Das eroberte Ministerium”)  
dieses weisse Marmorgebirge [...] vorne zerrte dieses unmögliche, unmenschliche Dächermeer an den Kalkdaunen (“Paar auf Montmartre”)

\textsuperscript{709} Seibert, in Drost et al.: \textit{Paris sous l’occupation}, p. 65.  
\textsuperscript{711} Ibid.  
\textsuperscript{712} Ibid., p. 42.
The geology of *Marmorgebirge* and *Kalkdaunen* (the latter, literally: ‘chalk down’, i.e., feather down, though here, in a plural taking the dative, possibly a coining for the English sense of ‘chalk downs’) is sea-formed. Elsewhere, earth-bound natural forms agitate in the sea metaphor of *Brandung der Blätter* (in: “Sommer, Wind”). Perhaps in an echo of his doctoral dissertation on Lepanto, a class distinction in living figures, promenading Parisiennes, is rendered in a lavish maritime simile:

> die richtigen »Poules« […] wie hochbordige stolze Karavellen unter kommunen Fischkutters. (“Boulevard Montmartre”)

Even a lake metaphor, employed to describe a chilly Spring downpour, is banked as though by sea-formed mud flats, *wattigen Ufern*, and the water is figuratively corrosive, *angesäuert*, like seawater:

> Ein grosser See angesäuertes Blau mit wattigen Ufern kommt angetrieben. Der Regen hört auf. (“Autre Promenade”)

Reflections on nature which go beyond the merely descriptive are a common reaction to battlefront trauma, as evidenced in *Feldpost* letters.\(^{713}\) Sensing the unseen trauma of the city, Hartlaub offers in word-pictures an impersonal, abstract construct as an alternate and opposing realm.

An example of Hartlaub consciously using atmospherics to underscore a socio-economic view is to be found in an infernal night-scene of Köln-Knapsack in 1939, from the sketch entitled “Industrieschutz”:

> Im Hintergrunde, das Industrie-Kombinat filmkulissenhaft zusammengetürmt. [...] Irgendwo dringt wagrecht eine rote Flamme heraus. Nachts scheint sie zu wandern, ertrinkt im Nebel [...] Nie ist klares Wetter. [...] Von den Dächern der Siedlung muss man den Dreck mit Schaufen abtragen.\(^{714}\)

The scene is constructed as on a film set, *filmkulissenhaft*, with hellish lighting. Hearing bell peals and seeing occasionally through the haze the twin spires of Cologne cathedral, Hartlaub suggests a remarkable ecclesiastical expression of sympathy for Warsaw, though may be expressing simply his own dismay at the architectural and cultural loss of the Polish capital:

> Die Türme des Kölner Münsters kommen manchmal durch die trübe Luft. [...] Das Glockenläuten für Warschau.\(^{715}\)

---


\(^{715}\) Ibid., p. 22.
He later expresses the same regret for Cologne itself, distancing himself at the same time from the war-makers at the FHQ:

Über die Wirkung der Luftangriffe bin ich mir leider sehr im Klaren. [...] allerdings interessieren die kunsthistorischen Verluste hier weniger. – Ich bedauere sehr, daß ich Köln nicht einmal ausführlich angesehen habe.716

In the fragment “Wiesen”, the son of the art museum director appreciates a fortuitous trompe l’œil offered by a passing ship on the Kiel canal:

Die Kriegsschiffe, die unendlich langsam durch den Kanal fahren, scheinen unmittelbar durch die Wiesen zu reisen.717

Writing to his father from Wilhelmshaven, he wryly imagines in citation a forest of ships’ masts on the bare East Friesian landscape, “Ein Horizont von Stahl, Stein und Tauwerk. – »der Flotte mastenreicher Wald« – kein Baum noch Strauch.”718 On the opposite bank of the Jade estuary a narrow strip of settlement suggests a townscape profile by a Dutch master, “eine winzigschmale Borte mit einigen Jan van Goyen Motiven”, seen on the horizon as a mere trimming of braid, Borte.719 He dryly observes that “ein Fischerfahrzeug dort nach alle den Kriegsschiffen ist ein Erlebnis.”720

Hartlaub’s wry visuals of the industrial landscape eschew sentiment. A fishing boat motif is used by Böll as an escapist, romantic fantasy:

…es wird mir bitter sein, morgen in einem häßlichen Industriedorf zu schlafen, in einem Mauergewirr, ohne das Meer in der Nähe und ohne die berauschend schönen Morgen und Abende, ohne die demütig schöne Parade der Fischerboote die Küste entlang.721

Hartlaub applies the same motif as a single emblem of absence.

5.6.2. The body municipal.

In Hartlaub’s figurative representations of Paris anthropomorphosis and its inversions are a recurring trope by which the city is likened to a living body. The city as it appears in the ‘exterior’ sketches, that is, in virtually all except the three long ‘interiors’, is continually anthropomorphised. The result is that the reader feels for the citizens through animate attributes assigned to house fronts, roof-slate and quay walls and, curiously converse, through inanimate petrifications or metallisations of living things. Geological, marine and, in one
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instance, lacustrine metaphor ("Autre Promenade") is applied to sustain the conceit of a city of natural forms, one thereby animate in cycles outliving any disruptive human intervention.

At ground level, the inanimate surfaces are rendered tactile and fleshly: the facade of St. Eustache has incomplete flanking towers, one described as being of stunted growth, "nicht zu Ende gewachsen", a thinner stump than the other, "ein magerer Stumpf" ("Ventre de Paris"). The Seine quay walls are large-pored, *gроссporig*, ("Hochwasser", "Quai"). Stones breathe ("Abendspaziergang", "Nachts, Wind"), and sweat ("Il fait lourd"); have *égout* mouths ("Lustbarke"), though this latter is a silent, "strömt lautlos", hard-lipped, cemented mouth – a barque of German soldier tourists is passing by. Mineral surfaces are endowed with humanoid features: houses with steep-slated roofs appear to have brows, *Stirnfläche* ("Die Häuser des Quai de Béthune"); zinc-sheeted roof surfaces appear to have bulging ribs, *weissliche Wilsste-Rippen*, suggestive of skeletal undernourishment ("Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain"). Where it pierces the mist, the roof terrain appears as something dermal: a crust, *Dächerschorf*, with scars and furrows, *Narben, Furchen*; when embedded in mist it is a gently rolling meadow, *eine leicht gewellte Dächerflur* ("Blick auf Paris – Île de France") – this latter metaphor is part of the general terrestrial shift to a liberated and defended zone above ground level: chimney cowls become helmets, *Helmen*; chimney shafts become halberds, *Hellebarden* ("Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain").

Natural forms are animated or rendered inanimate according to contextual mood: meadowland appears benignly ‘flower-browed’, *blumengestirnt* ("Die Parkmauer"). Contrastingly, in sultry parkland flowers appear narrow-eyed, to have *engl* *Blumenaugen*; heavy leafed canopy appears as oppressive *Blattgebirge*, and tree screens appear chiselled and petrified, *wie gemeisselten Baumwände*; even the goldfish in the fountain are lignified into *totes Holz* ("St Cloud – Allée des Marnes"). Sylvan metaphor, as in *Laubdach, Kastaniendach, Kaminwald*, is also part of the terrestrial shift upwards ("Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain"). This transposition of Paris to a suspended ‘space world’, *Raumwelt*, is accompanied by much marine and geological metaphor. Slate cliffs, *Schieferklippen*, appear; houses appear to surge, *branden*, upwards against St Etienne du Mont, causing it, unlike the
longer-hulled Pantheon, to ride less surely on the Düchermeer, but the long pontoon of its slated roof, at least, appearing as though clad with Eisenblech, looks unsinkable (“Abendspaziergang”). The late-gothic Tour Saint Jacques appears as a weathered, hewn piece of coral reef (“Quai”). About the bluff of Montmartre, a reef of houses appears to subside into an inky sea, Tintenmeer; Montmartre itself appears as a marble reef, Marmoriff (“Blick auf Paris – Île de France”). The sky, as wattiger Himmel (“Dimanche – Île Saint Louis”) has the dully luminous quality of mudflats; elsewhere it is compared to the cotton wool quality of fine ash, Wattehimmel (“Schwarze Bestien”).

As if to render them as part of the city statuary, human figures are in places gilded, bronzed or silvered, while flora borrows human features. The effect is that of stilling human activity and of allowing vegetable or mineral forms to take its place. The moonlight reflection of a small-statured German officer becomes a blurred, silvered manikin (“Mitteleuropäische Mondscheinidylle”). An abbé is gilded about the shoulders by a tongue of bronze sunlight, eine Zunge Bronzelicht (Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain). Through the railings of the Jardin du Luxembourg two Bronze-Tänzerinnen, sharing a twisted towel, are seen to hurry with glistening flanks into the interior of the garden (“Autre Promenade”) – none of the park’s permanent sculptures correspond, and the railings and gates are plain. Meanwhile, many-tongued ivy clammers over the Seine quay walls (“Hochwasser”). The Spring chestnuts have already acquired finger-long leaves (“Die Bergéren, Diwane”); in high summer they have grown to Blätterhänden (“St. Cloud – Allée des Marnes”), and in April, the grass, too, is fingerlang (“Buttes Chaumont”). Tree trunks appear to sigh, limbs occasionally to groan or laugh (“Sommer, Wind”). House rows appear to move in whole-body parts, Bauch, Hüfte, Hohlbrust (“Quai”). Antiquated, vorgestrige, house fronts appear faceless, ohne Gesicht, their window glass turned to sullen looking, air renfrogné, spar (“Porte Saint Martin”). Clouds are leaden-bellied (“Die Parkmauer”), or attenuated and body-less (“Ufer, draussen”). These exchanges of vitality allegorise the city as an organism, disturbed and uncomfortable in it parts, but everywhere manifesting life.
5.6.3. People watching: anthropometric ironies

Marko (1987) suggests that Hartlaub’s letters and ironic fictional portrayals offer a more penetrating understanding of that time than that of later well-meaning but ill-understanding *Vergangenheitsbewältigung* literature, or of what Marko terms the blackmailing hypocrisy/cant of literary-psychological grieving, “erpresserische Trauerarbeitsheuchelei.” Geno Hartlaub was aware that the editions of her brother’s work which she brought to publication in the 1950’s remained not without influence on post-war literature in West Germany, particularly on the ‘so-called’ *Kahlschlag* literature, “nicht ohne Einfluß auf die Nachkriegsaliteratur in der Bundesrepublik, besonders die des sogenannten „Kahlschlags”, geblieben.”

Hartlaub on a summer-term visit to the Alps in 1935 was already a practised observer in the manner of the detached, non-participating *flâneur*:

Ich sitze meist in der Gaststube und studiere den homo alpinus in allen Aggregatzuständen […] die meisten ein klein wenig spinnig wegen dem vielen Alleinsein in den Bergen.

In the same year, from Hanseatic Bremen, his sociological and anthropogeographic curiosity is confronted by what appears to him to be a real physiognomic paradox:


Here is not Böll’s warm affinity with mankind, but, at the age 22, a detached sociological and *anthropogeographical* frame of observation. Hartlaub was, however, capable of flights of lyric fantasy, as here when accounting to his father from Paris for his diffidence in approaching the opposite sex:

[…] hier kaum je einmal über die Strasse gehen kann, ohne eine weibl. Gestalt zu sehen, die mich nicht ganz unmittelbar ergreift, deren Entschwinden ein Gefühl unwiederbringlichen Verlustes hervorrufit.

---
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The passage describes in uncannily similar terms the same feeling of illogical instant infatuation and fleeting but irrecoverable loss of Baudelaire’s À une passante:

Un éclair . . . puis la nuit! — Fugitive beauté
Dont le regard m’a fait soudainement renaître,
Ne te verrai-je plus que dans l’éternité? 727

The anthropogeographical fascination is applied once more, to the population of Holstein, in the first months of what for the conscripted millions would become the Europatourismus, as yet still largely an internal tourism:

Die beste Schülerin mit dem mächtigen Stirnkopf auf dem unausgewachsenen Körper. [...] Die weissen Blondköpfe der Kinder unter den Händen der Berliner Flaksoldaten. [...] Sind wir hier noch in Deutschland – nicht in Dänemark, Holland? Wo Deutschland aufhört, fangen die richtigen Germanen erst an. 728

Hartlaub lets us hear this racial irony from out of the mouths of the “Berliner Flaksoldaten”. The allusion to Nazi phrenology goes almost unnoticed in the casual observation on “Die beste Schülerin”, and the fatuousness of the whole Nazi racial theory exploded by the concluding remark. Böll registers the blank surprise of himself and his fellows upon encountering the same unexpected pigmentation east of the Reich borders, in Poland: “Was von uns wohl eigentlich gar nicht erwartet wird, ist die Tatsache, daß mindestens die Hälfte der Polen blond, und zwar strohblond ist.” 729 Their common interest in physiognomy was compulsive; Böll, with seldom a good word to say about the military, is awed by this sight:

Und dann reitet der Leutnant quer über das Feld auf uns zu, elegant und jung, nordisch und blond und mit seinen Orden [...] wie ein junger Herrscher; [...] dieser reitende Herrenknabe. 730

Previously, in honest wonderment, “ein sehr netter, sauberer Kerl, sehr jung, mit E.K.I. verwundet […] ein idealer Norde, aber wirklich sympathisch.” 731

Hartlaub’s etching-like ink drawings of macabre and grotesque figures reveal his deficiency of formal training in life drawing; nonetheless, his gaze is that of the painter. Conscious perhaps of his own hapless appearance in uniform, “Hartlaub ist ein Mensch, zu dem die Uniform einfach nicht paßt” was
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the comment of Marianne Feuersenger,\textsuperscript{732} he is attracted by becoming appearance in others, as evident from this closely observed scene:

\begin{quote}
Und Matrosen von den grossen Schiffen. […] In Gruppen zu zweien, dreien. In der eisigen Kälte mit offener Brust, die sich durch die knappe Jacke modelliert. Einer jünger als der andere, schlank, sie wirken im Gegensatz zu den in unförmigen Waffenröcken, faltigen Stiefeln versinkenden Landsoldaten alle merkwürdig zierlich, schmalbrüstig. Wie sie sich gegenseitig beim Anziehen der Collanis helfen, die Exerzierkragen dürfen nicht dabei heraufrutschen.\textsuperscript{733}
\end{quote}

The technique is cinematic: the frame containing figures first in full-figure, then in upper body and head-and-shoulders close-up, \textit{die Exerzierkragen dürfen nicht dabei heraufrutschen}. There is more here expressed than the simple envy of the baggily clad and clumsily booted soldiers for the graceful uniforms of the \textit{Kriegsmarine}. Something of an androgynous beauty is rendered in addition. A similarly unabashed observation is found in a letter to his father and stepmother from his Naples lodgings in 1933:

\begin{quote}
Der ältere von den beiden Jungens, Luigi, ist ein bezaubernd hübscher, sehr mädchenhafter, tiefdunkler Neapolitaner, der andere ein strohblonder kührender Bengel deutscher Artung.\textsuperscript{734}
\end{quote}

A precocious twelve year old is studied loitering by a café table in “Boulevard Montmartre”:

\begin{quote}
Ein hübscher, fast kokett gekleideter Knabe steht reglos an einem der Tische, […] Tiefschwarzes seidiges Haar, ein grosser Wirbel am Hinterkopf, Ponyfransen fast bis auf die Brauen herab.\textsuperscript{735}
\end{quote}

A pre-war literary observation from Italy, however, is unequivocal:

\begin{quote}
Ich dachte an eine dichterische Nachgestaltung der letzten Tage Winckelmanns in Trieste. Doch fehlt mir da eine entscheidende Voraussetzung, Verständnis für die gleichgeschlechtige Liebe, die ja dabei das Grundmotiv war.\textsuperscript{736}
\end{quote}

It is not sexual orientation which prompts the studies of youthful beauty, but a mastery, now in words, of the sure-stroked and minimalist technique of the sketch that was not his in the fiercely inked and cross-hatched drawings of his boyhood.

Writing to Melita Lanebach after she had to his delight and to the admiration of his colleagues made the rail journey from Berlin to Rastenburg,

\textsuperscript{732} Feuersenger: \textit{Mein Kriegstagebuch}, p. 165.
\textsuperscript{734} Krauss: \textit{Felix Hartlaub: in seinen B riefen}, p. 91.
\textsuperscript{736} Krauss: \textit{Felix Hartlaub: in seinen Briefen}, p. 103.
the now thirty-year old Hartlaub choreographs an apparition of peach-coloured style emerging from out the armpit proximity and fleshly shortcomings of the thrusting platform throng:

Die ganze amorphe, aus dem Gleis geworfene, überanstrengte Menge, die nun leider für unsere Zeit bezeichnend ist. […] Da erschien, angetan mit einem grossen Hut und allen möglichen distinguierten Pfirsichfarben, still wie der Mond, mit grossen traurigen, aber sehr gutmütigen und zutraulichen Augen ein ausgesprochenes Nicht-Dirndl.737

The negative stress of “Nicht-Dirndl” can be read as tongue-in-cheek comment on the straight-laced folk image of German womanhood idealised in family-directed regime propaganda which belied an active and independent feminist movement.738 Hartlaub’s letters from France are, like the sketches, piquantly terse in their observations. Hartlaub would later become romantically involved with Melita Laenebach, an acquaintance of his friends Klaus and Irene Gysi, and his letters to her would reveal his admiration for her individual style in femininity,739 but his letters about her would give paramount place to intellectual parity.740 The philologue Hartlaub can switch to the mellifluent when the topic, romance, requires it, but cannot resist a grammatical tag:

Jetzt ein Brief an einen Einzelnen Menschen wie Dich ist etwas seit langem Ungewohntes, wie ein Schwimmen in einem anderen Wasser, mit einer leichtflüssigen gleitenden Tinte, mit wenig Hauptwörtern und vielen Verben.741

In Hartlaub’s mode of observation the narrator does not report on personal encounters. Incipient encounters are bungled affairs: a coat caught in a train-door, an abortive attempt to engage a soldier in conversation at a bar.742 Hartlaub’s narrator confines himself to comments on groups or types. The meat-market maids at Les Halles, in “Ventre de Paris”, are depicted in the cold, dark light of their surroundings and are completely un-romanticised:

740 Ibid., pp. 549, 565 / 557, 573.
741 Ibid., pp. 563/571.
742 Ibid., pp. 46, 49.
Einzelne Lehrmädchen, in knapp sitzenden weisen Kitteln und Schaftstiefeln, die Hände in den Taschen, unter den Männern, rauchend, sie scharren an dem kühlern, dunkeln Holperpflaster.\textsuperscript{743}

In the same sketch a pair of nuns is observed; again, no dialogue ensues or is overheard:

Zwei Nonnen schleppen einen schweren Sack zwischen sich, er streift den Boden. Ihre staubigen, unbequemen Kutten. Die eine, Jüngere, trägt den Kopf im Nakken \textsuperscript{sic} mit einem mühsamen, entschuldigenden Lächeln. Sie hat rote Backen und eine schwarzene Hornbrille. Von der Anderen, Gebeugten hört man nur das Keuchen.\textsuperscript{744}

A thumbnail sketch of the pair by Hartlaub in the notebook MS is comically accurate,\textsuperscript{745} but the word-picture is hard-edged; femininity portrayed through its obverse. In the indicatively titled “Autre” half a page is devoted to the close and unsparing physiognomic study of an Oriental, demurely dressed, seemingly Islamic female on the Metro. It is the narrator who observes:

Über der Stirn ist ein Fünfmarkstück-grosser silberiner Halbmond angeheftet. Sie hält die langbewimperten Lider beständig gesenkt, ohne Flattern. [...] die wilde Bitternis der Mundwinkel erinnern an ein edles Kamelsgesicht.\textsuperscript{746}

The phonetically rendered coarse speculations the pair of German soldiers sitting opposite contain the prevailing racist attitude: one of them would not be averse to what propaganda has told him would be “Rassenschande”, with the woman. In “Place Pigalle” the drunkenly explicit but shocked description of witnessed depravity related by the German Landser at the bar reads as implicit condemnation the pleasure-seeking soldiery.\textsuperscript{747} The superior confidence, but also abandon, of the French female in the company of German admirers is conveyed in a metonymy of footsteps in “Place Pigalle” and “Mitteleuropäische Mondscheinidyille”:

Mehrere Soldaten um ein Mädchen, die der kreisenden, stockenden Bewegung Halt und Richtung gibt. [...] Die Zielstrebigkeit der tripelnden Stöckelschuhe, das Stolpern, Schleifen, Funkenschlagen der Nagelstiefel.\textsuperscript{748}

...dreimal schwarzer Schatten [...] Im Marschritt kommt es heran, die Seidenstrumpfbeine in der Mitte fliegen am höchsten.\textsuperscript{749}

Balancing that, German Occupation females out of uniform are seen to give 

\textit{consumerist} scandal:
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Schräg den Fahrdamm querend, hinter einem gereckten Zeigefinger her, steuern drei deutsche Bürodamen auf eine gehäkelte Bluse zu: Preis hat schon wieder aufgeschlagen.\textsuperscript{750}

Even where correct behaviour on the part of the occupiers is manifestly evident, it is no less objectionable to the French, who see it as a presumption. A group of German female auxiliaries, known by their nickname, “Blitzmädchen” or “Blitzmädel”,\textsuperscript{751} are strap-hanging on a Paris suburban train, without appropriating seating places. The seats are occupied exclusively by the French. When places become free, the group seat themselves all at once, quickly and quietly; all but one girl, who sits down heavily and blushes with embarrassment as a result. A few more such gaffs, Hartlaub suggests, would relieve the suffocating tension, because “Gerade das im Grunde völlig einwandfreie Benehmen der Mädchen ist für die Franzosen der eigentliche Skandal.”\textsuperscript{752}

The acerbic clarity of these vignettes, particularly where at the expense of his fellow countrymen and women, is in accord with Hartlaub’s elective view for his study of Paris: that of a city put-upon, its innate civility strained, its dignity slighted. It is the unwavering view, sombre, tinged with a wry wit that penetrates below the charged ephemera of the occupation showpiece. In contrast, Hartlaub’s future co-worker at the war-history/war-diary unit of the \textit{OKW}, Marianne Feuersenger, heard from a colleague returning from Paris in August 1941 that “Unseren Offizieren sollen die Pariserinnen doch zu gut gefallen und unsere Mädels bemühen sich eifrigst, es an Eleganz und so weiter den Französischen gleichzutun.” It was all too much for the colleague: “Die Kameradschaftlichkeit soll darunter leiden.”\textsuperscript{753} Another lady, Luise von Benda, a career secretary at the army general staff headquarters, the \textit{OKH}, had been in Paris immediately after the armistice, had seen the returning columns of refugees, and been astounded at the rapid revivification of the city: “War dies noch dieselbe Stadt wie vor knapp drei Wochen? Mir schien, als habe sie sich in dieser kurzen Zeit von einer trauernden Witwe in eine strahlende und lebensfrohe Frau verwandelt. Die Läden boten alles an, was ein Frauenherz
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begehrtc, wozu der Wehrsold aber nicht reichte.”754 Luise von Benda would later become the wife of General Alfred Jodl.

The Paris sketches culminate in the longer, overtly satirical studies of Hartlaub’s own milieu at the Hôtel d’Orsay where German foreign ministry officialdom was quartered, of the vacated foreign ministry at the Quai d’Orsay, as well in the surreal episode of the ‘Puff’, or brothel, and conclude with the short story in interior monologue, “Paar auf Montmartre”. These latter sketches are interiors, the last of all interior to the mind of the character-narrator. These sketches are more densely peopled, and their characters are more assertive. In the earlier sketches, the cold-coloured skyscapes, roofscapes and streetscapes were a metaphorical commentary on the frigid quality of urban life under the occupation. Switching to interior observation, posture, mannerisms and foibles are observed as the outer architecture of character.

One of the German residents of the “Hochburg” – Hartlaub’s term for the Hôtel d’Orsay – is Fräulein X, an embassy secretary who keeps a parrot, white mice, and various dogs. This character is never seen, but “eine deutliche Nuance von Menagerie” at her door adds to the already musty smell in the corridors of the hotel, itself “nicht mehr ersten Ranges.”755 Fräulein X is unique in being the only secondary character to be mentioned in more than one sketch; she is referred to again for comic effect in “Weltwende im Puff”, because of her surname, which sounds like that of an apple variety, “Boskopp, Mostkopp oder so” – the hearer isn’t sure.756 The young Hartlaub had holidayed with relatives at Kreuzlingen on the Bodensee, a renowned apple-growing region, and may be enjoying a private joke. “Weltwende im Puff” is unique among the Hartlaub Paris sketches in having a named supporting character, “Zitsche”, a self-important embassy functionary, and also for the promotion of the Hartlaub-like narrating character to diplomatic status. The latter decides on a brothel visit alone. The tête-à-tête with champagne conducted by the brothel madam for the benefit of her sole client on the sultry afternoon of June 1941 is turned into a parody of diplomatic spying, “Un Du bischt immer noch hier, noch nit Soldat geworre? Isch Dei Tätigkeit hier denn
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756 Ibid., pp. 127/128.
eso wischig? The bizarre quality of the dialogue – Madame fears the rumours that her clients, two German divisions, have already entrained for the Russian front – is a lampoon on the historical inversion of a German invasion of Russia from French soil and on the economic perils of this for France. The Hartlaub-like character in “Weltwende” has visited the brothel before as a paying guest. The Böll-like character in the story “Unsere gute, alte Renée” calls there only for an apéritif. Renée is a small-town amateur, selling drink by her sex-appeal, a suggestion of moral looseness attaching to her, and is a credible character in so far as Böll transfers his own war-weariness to her. The affectedly mannered madam of the Puff is by contrast a big-city professional, sharing the stable detachment of Hartlaub’s “Er” from the destabilising commotions of the war.

The social superiority of French, of which the French and the Germans are convinced, is a subtle weapon of condescension: a cleaning lady at the Quai d’Orsay must endure the rudeness of a German NCO, but wears a fur boa to work. The diminutive governess of the “Hochburg”, the Hôtel d’Orsay, neglects to greet most of the German ‘guests’, but does favour with a small apologetic smile the more elderly diplomats, those who by their age clearly belong to the ‘old school’ and not the new regime. The sympathies of Hartlaub, too, are clear: just days into his Paris posting he had declared himself unreservedly for the Parisiennes, “die Pariserin ist eben ein »schlechthinniger Inbegriff«, sicher das abendländische Höchstprodukt.” Hartlaub has observed a threadbare elegance, in men as in women, that summed up the quietly defiant mood of the population: “Aber auch mit abgetragenen, alten Sachen: der unnachahmliche Schick ist nicht tot zu kriegen.” The German policy of correctness is met with an aloofness and a corresponding correctness, not least in dress, that declares it redundant:

Die Diziplin der Bevölkerung, die weise Haltung durch alle Schichten hindurch, ist ganz einzigartig. Es passiert so gut wie nichts, gerade das ist das eigentlich Unheimliche.
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Felix Hartlaub repeatedly asked that his sketches from Paris be removed to safety and considered them, along with the unidentified and lost ‘sketches from Elmau’, as the most important among his literary papers. By the time of the FHQ sketches, the Paris notebooks were already deposited with family friends for safekeeping. The thirteen-page novel draft written amid the late FHQ sketches is centred around the exploits, or lack thereof, of the male characters “Gustl”, “Herbert”, “Schr.[eiber], “Seppl”, and Z[eichner]”, who have attributes of Hartlaub’s war-diary colleagues and of Hartlaub himself. In the final FHQ sketch, “Der Zug in den Abgrund”, the sex-starved, overworked and sleep-deprived ‘Schreiber’ must, while lusting after, induct and supervise the female assistants who have replaced his front-mustered male colleagues. In the earlier and longest of the FHQ sketches, “Im Dickicht des Südostens”, there is time yet for the narrator to fantasise satirically in dialogue (actually, in the form of interior monologue), with the narcotically named ‘Fräulein Rauschkohl’, in the persona of a poseur and inner émigré of the all-embracing Hellenist ‘Third Humanism’ as propounded by Werner Jaeger. It is a not unreasonable speculation that Parisiennes and Blitzmädchen might similarly have come into the foreground as focalising foils in any reworking of the Paris sketches, had fate permitted.

5.7. Erzählte Zeit. Hartlaub’s relegation of chronological time

Henri Plard has noted that one or other of the two poles of the personal journal or diary: passing time and the presence of the author, is almost absent in the writings of Hartlaub. Plard has also noted that Hartlaub’s wartime writings contain no dates: occasions can be dated only by incidental references to known events of the period. Seibert (1995) notes that it was Geno Hartlaub in her 1955 edition of her brother’s writings, Das Gesamtwerk, who dated some of the Paris sketches: “March 1941”, “April”, “May” and “Summer”, but that these can be only assumptions, made from incidental
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seasonal indications. In fact, some of sketches in the first of the two Paris notebooks do bear such date notations in what appears to be Hartlaub’s hand: “Ventre de Paris” is headed with the date 1. März and the numerals 1.3, “Quartier Latin” has the word “Avril” under the title, “Porte Saint Martin” contains a dateable reference to “Karsamstag Abend”, “Buttes Chaumont begins with the word April, “Dimanche – Île Saint Louis” bears the word “Avril” to the right of the title, and “Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain” begins “Mai _ _ 21h.”. Notably, the sketches in the second and later notebook bear no direct date references at all; alone “Weltwende im Puff” contains just a humorously oblique reference to the date of the German attack on Russia in June of 1941. Seibert suggests that the disregard for time and date indicates a disdain for the victors’ relish in this time of conquest and triumph.

Hartlaub does not, in fact, so much suppress or avoid dates as, rather, subordinate time to narrative circumstance. A morose piece dubbed “Porte Saint Martin” paints an insistently gloomy picture of post-twilight Paris. The tardy darkness fails to seep through, as when a glossy paper resists ink, seeming “nicht hineinfließen zu können, wie auf zu glattem Papier”; the half-moon appears as a forgotten, weaker imprint of itself, “wie ein vergessener schwächster Ausdruck seiner selbst.” These may be meta-similes for the literary difficulty of satisfactorily registering impressions of the pervading mood in the occupied city. A passage in the sketch dwelling on the imperceptible advance of the darkness, “Nur am dem Düsterwerden der Häuser erkennt man das Fortschreiten der Dämmerung”, opens with the notation “Karsamstag Abend.” This is specifically dateable to 12th April 1941, but naming the day is without obvious relevance to the text unless that by indicating the dead hours in the Christian liturgy between Good Friday and the Resurrection on Easter Sunday it is an oblique allusion to the dead hand of the occupation which has yet to be lifted from the spirit of the city. Another fragment, “Buttes Chaumont”, begins similarly with a notation, “April”, and goes on to describe the signs of spring in the chestnuts, planes, poplars, and plum. Evergreen thuja...
solitary high-school student, a “Pennäler”, mane of blond hair blowing in the wind, sits on a bench over a copy of Racine’s *Andromaque*; others exchange pubescent gossip, identifiable only by their voices, but it is the solitary figure which is picked out in detail and precisely placed as part of the composition. Time is present, but also, in the sense of people being unaware of it, as Louis MacNeice meant, “away and somewhere else”.

In yet another, piece, “Dimanche – Île Saint Louis”, superscribed with the notation “April”, the weather is cloudy, already humid; the book-sellers’ stands are all open, the quays and bridges are thronged. The floodwaters have receded; the remaining mud slick, mixed with plane seeds and bud-husks, covers the stones so that “man fast unhörbar darauf geht”. Three children, ranked in size, sit closely together “mucksmäuschenstill” by the side of an angler. The tableau is a fixed focus. Time, except in so far as it is indicated by nature and the stirrings of people, is not of consequence. A preceding piece, “Mitteleuropäische Mond scheinidylle”, contains a reference to “noch nicht weggeräumte Schneehaufen” and bare trees. The Pont Royal appears as if formed out of ‘bone-like’ marble and ‘ashen’ snow. It is a warm “Föhnacht”, but for the Parisians a curfew is in force from 23.00 and everything that is moving in the dead still, “totenstillen” streets is “Besatzungsmacht”, time thereafter belongs to the occupiers. A searchlight switches on, probes the low cloud cover, dies out in a fraction of a second, “stirbt in einem Sekundenbruchteil ab.” Figures are swallowed by moon shadow. Exchanges are conducted by torchlight. The source of an overheard conversation is located by two lighted cigars. The moon itself appears as an inwardly-torn fleeing prisoner of the clouds and in a moment is extinguished again in another cloud. The motif is extinction, as if spring, too, is to be extinguished.

“Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain” opens with the notation „Mai …21h”, the month and time of day only. A study in twilight, exclusively at roof-top level; only in a short concluding paragraph is the gaze directed downwards at the street, following a sudden shaft of sunlight. The Paris of mansard roofs and œils-de-bœuf is seen as a dwelled-in, frequented space-world, a roof village, a
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city in itself, “eine bewohnte begangene Raumwelt, ein Dorf, eine Stadt für sich.” The appellation is repeated, with variations: “Dächerstadt”, “Dächerwelt”. Hartlaub’s view is taken from a bedroom window in the Hôtel d’Orsay, where he and the staff of the German foreign ministry archives commission were quartered. The narrating viewer is placed at a distance from the city, surveying it from a superior position, aware that he is remote from its life, and presenting the mute, surreal roof architecture as symbol of the city’s aloofness to the importunities of Occupation regulations.

Light, colour and temperature indicators of the time of year are interpolated as if by mere convention: “die Helligkeiten noch winterlich fahl” (“Blick auf Paris – Île de France”), “schwüler Spätnachmittag im Hochsummer” (“Le Rendezvous manqué – der versetzte Sieger”), but they are applied as warm or cool hues to tone the mood of each scene. In a piece on the fruit and vegetable gardens below the long terrace at Saint-Germain-en-Laye, a reference to leaves already browning on a cherry tree and to colonies of red-green apples indicates late Summer or Autumn fruiting, but the point is that the gardens are untended, husbandry sacrificed to the exigencies of war. Hartlaub’s Dickensian description of the abandoned French foreign ministry building on the Quai d’Orsay, “Das erobernte Ministerium”, papers strewn about, desks ransacked, “in jeder Schublade ein Roman”, does not neglect the roof architecture and sees in the zinc-plated roof-terrace of a connecting wing “ein leergefressener Brandaltar der Juli-sonne.” In the tongue-in-cheek satire of the brothel visit in “Weltwende im Puff” the client because, he thinks, of some caviare consumed from the confiscated stocks of the Russian embassy, is feeling queasy and is uncertain of his capabilities for the occasion. He has not been feeling quite himself since Sunday, “seit die Russlandsache losgegangen war”, since Sunday the 22nd of June 1941. With this apocalyptic date are connected stomach aches, “vielleicht dieses bolschewistische Fischzeug?” and, in passion-sapping Summer heat, the high farce of Madame’s supposition that all her clients – two divisions dispatched by train in one night from Paris, she has heard – have left for the Russian front. Hartlaub has here just once

779 Ibid., pp. 119/120.
linked a scene to a significant date in the war and done so only point up the remoteness of ‘world-changing’ events from the banal but immediate concerns of humans.

The Paris pieces including the excursion to Rouen lack, as Seibert says, the identifying marks of a diary: successive dates, a chronology, and an experiencing and narrating subject. The experiencing flâneur figure is unnamed and in only one sketch is a reflector figure introduced with whom he interacts, “Zitsche”, a fellow foreign ministry official in “Weltwende im Puff”. “Er”, when he appears in the sketches clearly has education, occupation, appearance and inhibitions in common with Hartlaub. From Paris in April 1941 Hartlaub writes to his father how his “Hunger nach Spaziergängen” is ever more acute, how he devotes most of his free time to this pursuit and how he daily discovers new favourite localities, how intoxicatingly sad is the Paris Spring and how much immeasurable depths of suffering and exhaustion, “unermessliche Tiefen von Leid und Verbrauchtheit” is masked under the little display of colour in “Knospen, Marquisen und Halstüchern.” Also, dismissively, he admits to pursuing some ‘diary-like’ activity, “ein wenig Tagebuchoid führen”. There is here all the compassion and keen interest in fellow humans that is so to the fore in the letters of Böll. Hartlaub has used a diary-like, tagbuchoid, mode to record this feeling, but with direct expression of feeling withheld. Interest and feeling is evoked in the reader through observation only. In the stillness of the pieces – there is little street noise and the reserved silence of the citizens is noted – the contrast with the busy tourism of the occupiers is made. The diary-like observations of roofscapes, streetscapes and parklands are ambulatory in true flâneur tradition, most of the pieces being set in particular localities. The flâneur has time on his hands, or must appear to have; time is not therefore chronological time, but occasional time, to indicate the appropriate activities for the time of day, pursuits for the time of year.

Plard points out that all is with Hartlaub according to the same plan and participates in the same “facticité”, a coinage which might be translated literally as ‘artificiality’, but with a stress here on the art, particularly on its
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visual aspect, in artificiality: what is presented to the senses is recorded without effort at hierarchy, without synthesis or symbolism; the judgement of the author is implicit or absent. All the more so, Plard stresses, do these “témoignages”, witness statements, of Hartlaub serve as material for the history of the times.\textsuperscript{782} This is, Plard asserts, the very opposite of the objectivity of Hegel.\textsuperscript{783} Rather, it might be said that it is the objectivity of the Young Hegelians, who maintained, contrary to Hegel himself, that it is the physical and material life of human beings that determines consciousness.\textsuperscript{784}

5.8. Paris: the aesthetic of occupation

The kinaesthetics of architecture, light, colour and weather in Hartlaub’s Paris sketches have a prefiguration in the diary observations of Simone de Beauvoir on the theatrical suspension of disbelief at the unreality of the sudden appearance of a costumed troupe on the streets and in the cafés of Paris. Evacuation and migration, the scarcity of petrol and the scarcity of provisions, all contributed to an impression of lifelessness in the French capital, against which backdrop alien uniforms were foregrounded. De Beauvoir registers both an inner and an outer visual impact. The inner change had already occurred before the Germans were much in evidence: “Paris ist ungewöhnlich leer, […] von den Deutschen ist nicht viel zu sehen […] Aber der Unterschied ist vor allem ein innerer, nicht so sehr einer des Straßenbildes.”\textsuperscript{785} The inner change, the existentialist realises, is the result of the individual’s changed relativity to the societal surroundings, by which the individual defines his or her \textit{Existenz} as distinct from the mere continuation of the \textit{Dasein} of one’s objective existence. The altered \textit{Straßenbild}, the peopled streetscape, might be conveyed by reportage, but the altered frame of reference in which the familiar, gazed-upon urban exterior now returned a menace would be a problematic even for the detached and non-participant flâneur.\textsuperscript{786}

\textsuperscript{783} Ibid.
The outer, purely optical change has an aesthetic appeal that is paradoxically heightened by it’s being emotionally repellent. The ground troops had worn ‘Italian’ green, but the first steel-grey (Luftwaffe) uniforms do impress: “die ersten stahlgrauen Uniformen […] Mit ihren schönen Uniformen und den schönen gleichfarbigen Autos gebärdeten sie sich stattlich.” Not only the uniforms, but also the sleek, uniformly coloured autos have the appeal of couture. In the heady days of late June 1940, in the full flush of their victory, de Beauvoir is struck by the repeated impressions of youth and happiness, and again particularly by the beauty of the more elegantly cut, open-necked (again, most probably, Luftwaffe) steel-grey uniforms. That was at Le Mans, on the return to Paris after the panic of the first flight. Later, as the Germans invade her favourite café Dôme, she finds the phenomenon strangely abstract; they seem like tourists, reserved, foreign. In the previous two days, caught up in convoy with them, de Beauvoir had actually felt initial feelings of solidarity with the enemy soldiers; the change in her own feelings astonishes her:

Ich bin darüber erstaunt, denn in den letzten zwei Tagen haben mich heftige Gefühle bewegt. Allerdings waren sie einem da viel näher, unser Leben war mit ihrem verquickt, man fühlte sich solidarisch; und außerdem waren sie in Aktion – hier sind sie wie Touristen, reserviert, fremd.

The sprucely uniformed and be-gloved German officers en route to Paris were, de Beauvoir notes, conscious of their gloves, their beautiful uniforms, their rank, and their politeness, and consequently oozed a dreadful arrogance, “trieften von einer gräßlichen Arroganz.” Their punctiliousness had often an angry nuance to it because it was so self-conscious and prescribed, yet frequently also, she notes, full of grace and charm, and among the other ranks, natural and spontaneous. The German truck drivers are lavish with cigarettes, food, and champagne, so de Beauvoir has heard, and one of them is solicitous of her wellbeing after she has been travel-sick while hitching a lift; she finds them in fact to be obliging, tactful and ready with help without, somehow, being aware that they were embodying German magnanimity to the
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A troop truck goes by, full of grey-uniformed soldiers sporting splendid red roses on their tunics. The image sticks in her mind.

It was crushing, De Beauvoir writes, amid the refugee flux to see the elated Germans with their spick-and-span field ambulances, their well-groomed appearances, their pronounced politeness, while France was represented by hundreds of fearful, helpless refugees reliant “auf diese schönen Soldaten” for every help. An involuntary attraction to order, to the allure of graceful competence, was the confused but natural reaction to disorder, incompetence, and powerlessness. The rational reaction is negative, against the collaborative propaganda and false tone of sentimental brotherly sympathy for the afflicted French people in the compliant press. The reaction of the senses remains one of a visual fixation which at the same time repels. The Café de la Paix had swarmed with ‘very elegant’ German officers on Thursday, July 4th, but a notice encountered on entering Café Dôme on Saturday proclaimed it out of bounds for German servicemen; a pleasure, De Beauvoir pronounces, not to have to see these uniforms there anymore. On Sunday the 7th, on the way to the Dôme on foot, a tank convoy goes by, “voll Deutscher in Schwarz und mit großen Mützen, die im Wind flatterten – ziemlich schön und unheilvoll.” The black bonnets of the tank crews are rather beautiful, and ominous. On Wednesday the 10th, a small wood is full of exercising Germans, ‘really like steel robots’. On Thursday the 11th, the Place de la Concorde is ‘full of German sailors and soldiers in black’. And disagreeably, on Bastille day, Sunday the 14th, the boulevard cafés still swarm unceasingly with Germans. The initial fascination, bordering on admiration, was gives way to irritation. The compulsive fascination with that which rationally repelled was the product of that same estrangement by which art transforms the mundane. This aesthetic appeal of the well-ordered sleekness of the victor is frankly wondered at in the diary. Though for de Beauvoir only a temporary manifestation, it is honestly recorded. The same impressions of the first days of the occupation are retold with hindsight in de Beauvoir’s *La force de*
but without the diary’s nuances of involuntary wonderment and attraction and reflexively rational correction. Felix Hartlaub’s studied fascination is rather with the departed order, with the demeanour of its erstwhile subjects, as well as with, in a view from the within, the posture of those same figures which had confronted the imagination of de Beauvoir.

5.9. Subtexts of subversion

By dwelling on old photographs of colonial occasion and on the pathetically abandoned contents of once officiously quired desks at the Quai D’Orsay (“Das eroberte Ministerium”) Hartlaub conveys a sense of the absent world of an overturned order. In the succession of fragments that make up the “Kriegsaufzeichnungen aus Paris” and written from the point of view of a narrator who at times is bemusedly watching the progress of his own alter ego, a sense of an interrupted and absented civilian world is conveyed. The last and longer sketches from 1944/45 would expose the mad normality of unending war in which sane thinking had atrophied. The Paris sketches, employing a ruefully melancholy tone coupled with wry amusement, expose the same ominous surreality on a municipal scale. Saluting their ancient and times-defying character, the Parisians are accorded with affection their classical appellation of “Lutetier”, from the Lutetia of ancient Gaul. The technique is cinematic; the frames those of the travel documentary; stills, shot in close-up and long-shot, cut into one another without syntactic cues; street exteriors are architectonically detailed, passing figures precisely captured in clothing and posture. The technique is already present in the Berlin sketches. The lone student observer of those years is now at one further remove from his surroundings, in civilian clothes, and doubly suspect for that.

The first of the Paris sketches, “Ventre de Paris”, set in Les Halles, is an entirely civil scene; the occupation is evident only through the arrival of a massive, bull-like German marine, “ein richtiger Küchenbulle”, in insignia-less fatigues, arrived in an unmarked small vehicle, an “Autochen”, to collect meat from a stallholder, whose leather-clad shoulder he claps in greeting and

whose own neck is blood-red with the exertion of lifting the laden harasse.\textsuperscript{800} The carnal rendering of the German at once reduces him to a natural, instinctive animal rather than a conscious alien agent, and at the same time alludes to the bloodletting occasioned by that agency which he represents. The oxblood colour of a row of houses in the vicinity of the famous meat market, “Eine Häusergruppe ochsenblutrot angestrichen,”\textsuperscript{801} is noted, apparently casually, but only that detail is noted. The slight shudder the reader feels at the unfortunate coincidence in colour causes an involuntary look over the shoulder; the bloodiness of the times is inescapably called to mind.

The second sketch in the Paris notebooks sequence, “Hochwasser”, is less than a page long, and describes the Seine in flood:

\begin{quote}
Der weisse, grossporige Stein der Quaimauer, mit blauen, gelben Verfärbungen, und das grüne dicke Wasser. Die Stämme der Pappeln, schwärzer als schwarz – lebendige atmende Farbe [...] Der Lärm des Wassers und die Stille der vielen Menschen. Die schweigende Belagerung des Flusses.\textsuperscript{802}
\end{quote}

The quay walls, white, have flesh-like pores. Though the black of the poplar trunks is schwärzer als schwarz, it still, lebendige atmende, lives and breathes. The allusion to the city’s situation in Belagerung is obvious. The silent, schweigende, siege made by the river’s busy, noisy waters is watched by silent, motionless anglers. Oppositions of noise and silence, of movement and stillness, of animation suspended and transposed, convey a sense of muted, restless discontent. In the spring floods of 1941 the bridge piers stand ‘up to their shoulders’ in water, “Die Brücken stehen bis an die Schultern im Wasser.”\textsuperscript{803} The sturdy stonework of the city thus acquires by transference characteristics of its citizenry.

Reticent, nervous signs of the Parisians themselves are transferred to window-blinds and awnings which sigh in a light breeze, “irgendwo schwingen die Persiennes einwärts, mit leichtem Seufzen”, and panic in the sudden gusts, “Panik unter den Fenstermarquisen.”\textsuperscript{804} Streetwalkers appear as night-figures who merely absorb animation from light, “Licht absorbierend,

\begin{flushright}
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\textsuperscript{802} Ibid., p. 44. \\
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\textsuperscript{804} Ibid., p. 58, “Die Häuser des Quai de Béthune,” and p. 91, “Nachts, Wind....”
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wartende Mädchen.” 805 As though symptomatic of a flight, human features are made to migrate to characteristic features and landmarks of the city; the plane trees acquire hands, “mit grossen Blätterhänden verkleidet”, and bodily trunks which appear to hunch their shoulders towards the water of the Seine, “die grossen Leiber [...] und die dem Wasser zugekehrte Schulter.” 806 The mansards on the Quai de Béthune are steep-browed, “die steile Stirnfläche des Schieferdachs.” 807 The Hotel Dieu is blue-bespectacled with its own roof-tiles, “mit tausend blauen Brillen.” 808 Roofs appear too steep to receive even light, shedding it instead as they would rain, “die Dächer [...] zu abschüssig für das Licht.” 809 The houses of Paris appear to surf drunkenly upwards in a sea of roofs, “Die Häuser branden höher hinauf, etwas Schlagseite.” 810 The collective impression is of a population turned in upon itself in dark days, reluctant to be seen abroad in the cold light of the occupation.

In place of a subdued and withdrawn citizenry Hartlaub endows the elements with human characteristics. The rising half-moon acquires copper-coloured flesh, “bekommt er kupfernes Fleisch”; on a squally night it has a wet-streaked face, “der Mond, mit zerissenem nassem Gesicht.” 811 The night acquires subversive traits, dawdling in the woods, “die im Walde angesammelte Nacht hat es nicht eilig,” and swallowing the silver braid of military caps, “die Nacht schluckt Litzensilber.” 812 The sky is at times restless, troubled, containing “unruhige Wolkenländer”, and night clouds appear as scattered herds of moonlight, “zersprengte Herde von Mondlicht.” 813 Clouds themselves appear as leadenly shuffling, tethered animals, “einige Wolken schleppen einen bleiernen Bauch”, or as wandering masses across the sky, “Wolkenbank”, “Wolkenherden”, “Wolkenzug.” 814 Light and dark appear to be physically part of the city, a wall of light, “die Mauer des Lichtes”, intrudes into the Place des Vosges, and the dark descends not from the sky, but is

807 Ibid., p. 56, “Die Häuser des Quai des Béthune”.
808 Ibid., p. 58, “Quai”.
809 Ibid., p. 65, “Place des Vosges”.
810 Ibid., p. 55, “Abendspaziergang”.
813 Ibid., p. 64, “Buttes Chaumont”, and p. 68, “Mitteleuropäische Mondscheinidylle”.
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released out of the open pores of the stones in the walls, “cher wie von den Mauern ausgeatmet […] aus den offenen Poren der Steine.” The successive play of metaphor would appear overworked and tedious were it not for the abnormal state of the city portrayed. Hartlaub’s own dissatisfaction with the instability of a course steered between prose-poetry and reportage suggests that his impersonal observation of phenomena was a seal against sentimental contamination from the emotive in metaphor.

As with the carved figures of the Hof Hôtel Sully, as with the gilded abbé, figures are placed in the scene as part of a composition. As figure-and-ground the finished piece has the balance of history painting, in which the one figure studied in detail focuses a group portrait. In cinematic technique Hartlaub opens “Impression” with an establishing shot of the Seine quays, moves to a queue of unemployed at the German Arbeitsvermittlungsstelle, and dwells on one female figure, noting her tattered black coat, in shawl, mittens and beret, and her flaking make-up and trace of moustache above the red-painted mouth. Seibert (1995) elaborates the deeply coded criticism of the occupation in this piece: the East-wind cold of the opening line, “Kalter Ostwind den Seinekai entlang,” may be read as a metaphor for the occupation; a dust, “vermischt mit flaumigen goldbraunen Platanensamen,” is tainted by a lingering odour of fresh horse manure, another metaphor – this is the Spring of 1941, and the occupation army had nine months before come with mounted officers and a baggage train still to a considerable extent horse-drawn. As a smart French limousine rolls by with German uniforms inside, the female figure reacts: “Ein plötzliches Erhärten der Lider, die Pupille verengt sich, ein Glanz von der Seite her.” The black-coated figure straining against the cold wind stands for mourning and a readiness to resist. The limousine is a compact French four-seater, an inappropriately sporty model with yellow-walled tyres. Three uniformed Germans inside and their civilian driver are described as having “viermal dieselbe Kinnlinie, dasselbe Rückgrat”; cramped, yet they sit bolt upright despite the danger of banging heads against the roof. Seibert points to the contrast of the intimate portrait of the Frenchwoman and the formulation of the Germans as a mere number of uniforms, whose rigid posture, by
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extension that of the occupying power, is undermined by the comical incongruity of their conveyance. The scene closes with the blanched, seated figure of Calvin with “sacht gekreuzten Beinen” presiding, as if in ironic detachment, over the traffic scene to which Hartlaub has added a shaky gas-powered truck following immediately upon the sleek indulgence of the occupiers. Impression is piled upon allusive impression: the tramlines are dead, “Tote Tramgleise.” – two words and a full stop; this motif followed by a funereal line of braziers: “Die Mauer vor der Tempelfront trägt auf ihrem Kamm Stachelbukets”. In place of an Akroteion an abandoned shack for a flak MG. The reference is Greek; the allusion is to tragedy. Seibert selects “Impression” as exemplary of how far from lacking in structure and how tightly constructed the Paris sketches actually are.

Hartlaub’s expression of dissatisfaction with the sketches, describing them to his father as hesitating “zwischen Prosagedicht und satirischer Reportage”, dates from July of 1941. “Das eroberte Ministerium” and “Weltwende im Puff”, two of the three long subversively satirical ‘interiors’ of the Paris sequence were not, however, written until six months later, at the beginning of 1942, which would also suggest a date closer to then for “Die Hochburg”, the first of the three interior sketches and separated from the others in the MS only by a 35-line beginning draft of Hartlaub’s sketches from his subsequent posting to Romania. “Paar auf Montmartre” appears last in the MS and is in probability therefore similarly dateable to early 1942. The “Paar” of the title is ironically intended, as the sketch is narrated entirely in the self-reflecting interior monologue of one of the title characters only. It is a short story in setting, characterisation and orientation, but dispenses with the conventional sequence of development, complication, resolution, and coda. Wilke’s observation that the action of this sketch falls victim to too much reflection is uttered in the context of appraising Hartlaub’s later elaborate weaving of action and reflection in the FHQ sketches, but omits to note that the subversive point of the sketch is the alienated state of mind of its out-of-
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place protagonist. From the growing complexity and mastery in the latter sketches from Paris it may therefore be argued that Hartlaub’s much quoted self-criticism of his work there was premature in its utterance.

5.10. Hartlaub: colour as agent.

5.10.1. The coded spectrum.

Wilke (1967) statistically established that the proportion of metaphorical descriptives to substantives increased continually in progression from the pre-war Berlin sketches to the early sketches from Paris, and concluded that Hartlaub thereby increasingly succeeded in writing uninterrupted description without the need to otherwise comment or analyse. More than that, it may be added, thanks to his reliance on predominantly visual phenomena for metaphor and to his use of a descriptive clarity of detail analogous to deep-focus photography, Hartlaub’s writing did not, as he feared, teeter between prose-poetry and satirical reportage. “Man sieht als Zeichner, weiß als Baedekerleser”, the 17 year old Hartlaub had written to his parents in 1930 while on a student-exchange visit, with a Jewish family, in Strasbourg. In July of the same year he wrote to an aunt that “In Paris fand ich mich plötzlich in der Heimat, im Nest und Lebenselement all der Dinge, von denen ich gelesen hatte. Zum ersten Male begriff ich auch etwas vom eigentlichen Schicksal und Dasein einer Stadt, vom Stadtplan und von der Logik seines Werdens”. When, ten years later, Hartlaub viewed again the city whose planned evolution so appealed to him as Baedeker-reading artist-draughtsman, and now as ill-shod flâneur also, “Seine Sohlen brennen...”, he recorded its confusion and distress in intensely hued miniatures: of randomly studied bric-a-brac in a shop window, of a whole townscape rendered in Impressionist infinity:


Das unendlich noble Grau und Blau, in das sich die Häusermassen kleiden – unmerklich, rauchartig scheint es ihnen aus den Poren zu atmen.
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Relatively few of Hartlaub’s drawings, many of them macabre illustrations for historical works he had read; others, equally macabre, of a Neue Sachlichkeit social realism, are coloured.\footnote{See Seemann (ed.): Felix Hartlaub. Die Zeichnungen (Frankfurt am Main: Schirn-Kunsthalle, 1993).} In the Paris sketches he could apply colour with a technical confidence he had not attained in the juvenilia of his ink-and-wash sketches. His colours come from the play of light on neutrally coloured roofs, house-fronts, quay walls and paving. In the letter of 10 April 1941 he describes the “Frühlingsstimmungen” of the city as both exciting and intoxicatingly sad, and very difficult to reproduce, “Es lässt sich sehr schwer wiedergeben”, but, acknowledging that it gave the colour-key, adds: “Sehr wesentlich ist immer der Himmel.”\footnote{See Ewenz I (2002/2007), pp. 470/478, and Krauss: Felix Hartlaub: in seinen Briefen, p. 200.} Marose (2000) suggests that the frequency of Hartlaub’s mention of grey, “die Farbe der Tristesse”, and his use of many grey-tinted, “graugetünchte”, adjectives creates a mood-picture, a Stimmungsbild, in which the highlighting of colour serves all the more as a reminder of the everyday drabness of the Occupation.\footnote{Marose: “Das Eigentliche ist unsichtbar” [dissertation], p. 103.} This reading omits note of Hartlaub’s extensive use of anthropomorphosis in his subversive creation of much more than mere mood. His use of colour is also more complex, and three quite separately functional effects wrought through colour may be identified.

5.10.2. Colour as re-animation

Hartlaub, in deciding that the sky was to give the colour key, used dappled colour with pointillist effect, fusing city and sky. In “Place des Vosges”, describing the first really Spring-like evening of the Paris of 1941, “Der erste, reine, ausgegohrene, ausgewogene Frühlingsabend”, the sky receives the city’s offering of vapour without loss of lustre; the city in turn receives the sky’s radiance:

\begin{quote}
Der Himmel hoch und zugleich nah, er enthält den Dampf aller Dächer und ist doch nichts als purpurblau, gleichmässig golden durchleuchtet. [...] die noch voll besonnte Ostseite des Platzes [...] Rot und Weiss-gelb, dazu das goldgraue Glimmern der steilen schlichten Schieferdächer.
\end{quote}

The sky over the “Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain” is unreachably far away, a veiled, *breathed-on* opal, “unerreichbar weit weg, ein verschleierter behauchter Opal.” In “Blick auf Paris – Île de France” Sacré Coeur is a natural petrification, “eine gelbe grelle Marmorklippe, ein vereister Termitenbau.” The city is rendered as a natural and living organism: sky is breathed upon, *behaucht*; roofs expel vapour, *Dampf*; ants, *Termitenbau*, build. Profiles in the dusk take the form of reefs: “Häuserriff”, “Marmorriff”; others that of a bulwark against the sea of roofs, “ein feuchtes dunkles Bollwerk”. Everything in the view field of “Blick auf Paris” is presented as it registers in image on the mind’s eye; even the prosaically functional, factories, are noted only for the glinting of their glass roofs.

In “Quartier Latin” grey and *Violett* dominate “in der Tiefe der Strasse”. The vanishing perspective of house fronts appears as a flight, “Häuserflucht”, and seems endlessly alive, familiar and intimate, its forms human-like, “unendlich belebt, vertraut, menschenförmig.” In “Abendspaziergang” in an evening sky of muted gold and satin blue, “gedämpftes Gold und Atlasblau”, the setting sun absorbs “Dämmerpulver” and the housing blocks appear to clothe themselves, *sich kleiden*, in “unendlich noble Grau und Blau.” Although “Die Häuser des Quai de Béthune” are all painted in the same milky white, each has taken on another hue, of pink, olive-green, ochre yellow, “jede mit einer anderen zarten Beifärbung.” Another frontage appears in blinding white, but shot through with blue and purple. The window mouldings are of soft grey-green limestone, “Travertin?”, Hartlaub wonders. As the evening light burns out on their façades, the dry, grainy white appears as moist mother-of-pearl, finally flushing pink, “feuchtes Perlmutt, das Rosa blüht.” In these sketches the inanimate and stony is rendered soft with colour and imbued with a feminine delicacy. In another twilight scene, the moon over Hartlaub’s “Porte Saint Martin” is copper fleshed, “bekommt kupfernes Fleisch.” A crescent on the Rue Aboukir returns a heavier spectrum of gold, bronze and brown; is cluttered, built in upon itself, its pilasters flat-chested, “flachbrüstig”, under too many coats of paint. Among the antique furniture stores in “Quartier Latin” the tension of stretched damask leads the gaze, as in a painting, from the fabric to clawed chair-leg to living limbs, with an erotic tension:
Rokokobeinen mit gespreizten Krallen. Blaurosane, blassgrüne Seidendamastbespannungen. [...] damit verflochten die Beine des Paares, [...] die Kniete [...] schüchterne blinde Hügel in der bewegten Weite der Röcke. 

The sky appears to clothe the city, and the figurative animation of the city’s physical fabric and even the literal fabric of its interior furnishings, stresses that the city has an independent life of its own, untouchable by any occupation.

5.10.3. Colour as isolation: studies in grey

Drab grey, when Hartlaub chooses to use it, is applied flatly to descriptions of the occupation presence itself, as in “das graue Feld der parkenden Wehrmachtsautos.” A heavy, leaden quality attaches to the military colour: “Die Mauern scheinen ein graues schweres Gas auszuschwitzen. […] Das mischt sich mit dem deutschen Bleibenzindunst” (“Il fait lourd”). Hartlaub here is not entirely free from the Böll weakness for iteration, but is more deft in his variations. Contrasting ironically with the title of another piece, “Lustbarke”, a Seine pleasure steamer, “e in kleiner Touristendampfer” is “voll grauer Soldaten”; even its sun-awning is grey: “ein graues Sonnensegel.” Military greyness is applied aurally also, by extension: in “Blitzmädchen”, a group of German female auxiliaries is strap-hanging in a Paris suburban train. Their speech is toneless, “klanglos”; only some Bavarian dialects lend any colour, “bringt sparsame Farbe hinein”. The dissonance is projected by Hartlaub onto the mismatch of their lustreless dark-blonde hair with the sharp, ‘poisonously’ yellow piping on their service caps, “Dasselbe glanzlose dunkelblonde Haar, in keinerlei Zusammenhang mit der giftiggelben Mützenpaspelierung.” The seats are occupied by unspeaking French. The Germans are equally ill at ease; their speech is without resonance, as though emanating from a birdcage hung from the ceiling of the compartment, as though the very colours of their uniforms hindered its transmission, defeating the conductive properties of air, metal and wood in the carriage itself:

Das Geschwätz ohne jede Resonanz, wie in einem an der Wagendecke aufgehängten Vogelkäfig. Es scheint weniger die fremde Sprache als die Klangfarbe, dieses stumpfe Grau und Wasserblau, was die Ausbreitung der Stimmen verhindert. Die Luft, das Metall und Holz leiten nicht. 

The tightly written language conveys the oppressive atmosphere of the scene.

A phenomenon is observed that had affected de Beauvoir in the very first days
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of the occupation, the repulsive-compulsive fixation on the object of hate and resentment:

Blicke hängen wie gebannt an den Mädchen, nicht an einzelnen Gesichtern – am Haar, an irgendeinem unmöglichen Detail der Uniform, – ausgeleert, ausgeblasen vor Schrecken, Widerwillen und doch mit einer Art von Unersättlichkeit.\textsuperscript{833}

As in a painting, a small detail of intense colour can focus an entire composition: the red fez worn by a pair of native colonial soldiers is the sole complimentary against a mud-flats sky, a complimentary opposite also to the pervading \textit{colourlessness} of the occupied city:

... zwei Kameraden in scharlachrotem Fez, [...] auf dem Scheitel der Brücke bleiben sie stehen. [...] Das blühende Rot über dem mittleren Brückenjoch ist völlig allein. Der Wattehimmel, der graue Kalk, der Schiefer, die fahlen Kamine haben nichts dagegen.\textsuperscript{834}

The sketch is entitled “Schwarze Bestien” – a terminology alluding, with no doubt intentional irony, to Germany’s own African colonial past, and possibly also to the affront felt at the stationing of French colonial units in the Rhineland. The piece begins, “Kolonialsoldaten beim Sonntagsausgang”, and the text indicates that these are French colonial troops, on restricted liberty within the French capital itself. A sketch in the MS notebook shows what appears to be a Negroid soldier wearing puttees and the twin-peaked forage cap worn by another group mentioned in the sketch. These solitary, shunned figures, clad in “Graugrün” and themselves doubly alienated – a concierge does not trouble to withdraw his slippered stretched-out feet from their path – compound the sum of sadness pervading the city.

Studies of lone figures emphasise a solitariness and a loss of sociability. The sunset in “Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain” has enflamed a cloud-mass, “eine grosse Wolkenmenge entflammmt” and the setting sun pours a tongue of bronze light, “ergiesst sich eine Zunge Bronzelicht”, onto the shoulders of a passing abbé, who becomes a gilded figure, as in a church. In “Quai”, a figure at an open window is portrayed with a crisp economy according with the dapper appearance presented: “Ein gepflegter silberhaariger Mann, mit einem Panama und einer braunen Samtjacke.” In “Ufer, draussen…” the threadbare fashion of a student dandy lounging in a park speaks to Hartlaub’s own

\textsuperscript{833} Ibid., p. 76.
\textsuperscript{834} Ibid., pp. 97-98.
strainings to keep up an inconspicuously correct dress appearance: “Die einst hochanständige schwarze Jacke ist zu knapp, unter den Achseln aufgeplatzt, die rissigen Spitzen der gelben Halbschuhe haben den Glanz alten Elfenbeins.”

In “Hof Hôtel Sully, Rue Saint Honoré”, the imagery is that of figures imprisoned in stone. The evening sky appears “unerreichbar hoch” and into the narrow quadrangle of the courtyard no sunlight ever falls on the twin sphinxes guarding the terrace steps. The window gables culminate in garlanded female heads, and two-storey narrow niches above the courtyard main portal constrain carved human figures. The motif of stony imprisonment occurs also in “Schwarze Bestien”, as one of Hartlaub’s marine-geological metaphors: “Die Köpfe über den Portalen gleichen […] im Kalk erblindeten Muscheln.”

In “Hof Hôtel Sully” roof tiles are anthropomorphised into scales, Schuppen, and are a population in themselves, varying in their receptivity to light: “Das Dach mit seinen tausend Schieferschuppen, jede empfängt das Licht anders. Einige sind rauh, blind, verschlucken es, andere blank wie Glas.” Hartlaub sees a “Dächerstadt”, a roof city, which he imagines as a separately inhabited space world, “eine bewohnte begangene Raumwelt.” At the level of the “unberührbare Dächerwelt” Paris is still sovereign, indifferent to the Occupation (Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain”). Hartlaub’s own intellectual discomfort with the Occupation is evident from irreverent references to the busily fluttering Hakenkreuzflagge:


Auf der Giebelspitze die vom Wind schon halb aufgrefressene Haken[reuz]fahne in dauernder knatternder Ekstase.

The flag of the occupiers may fly over the rooftops, but it is alone, straining against the wind, is in a state of high agitation, and already fretted away.

5.10.4. Colour as myopia: the use of etiolation

The last of the Paris sketches, “Paar auf Montmartre”, nearest resembles a conventional short story in its initial focus on the engagement of two characters, that of a short-statured German private with his casually picked up
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and taller French lady companion (Hartlaub’s ink sketch in the MS well suggests this disparity and the disharmony between the pair). The whole piece is narrated in the interior monologue of the soldier, who in retrospection reveals his own coarse character. The monologist, already at a social disadvantage, senses that Paris fits no German paradigm: it cannot be comprehended, without guidance, with the naked eye, “aber nicht so, mit blosem Auge, so ganz ohne Vermittlung.” Reduced to a selection of tourist landmarks, Paris does not add up to anything that is familiar: “die Sowieso Kirche, den Dingsbahnhof” – a long-naved church may just as well be an airship hanger, a “Luftschiffhalle”; the Opera he can manage to identify, dismissively, by its ‘half violin case’ shape. This other “Er” observer is out of sympathy with the conquered rival capital and irritated by the landmark spotting efforts of his fellow-countrymen, which provoke him to a passionate interior outburst: “Doofes Volk! Das war ja garnicht zum Entziffern, das sollte zusammengebacken und ineinander verschachtelt bleiben.” A copper church-roof is poisonously, “giftiggrün”, green; a train sends up a yellow-white “Rauchpilz”, a toadstool of smoke. This involuntary, conscripted tourist of 1940-41 is repelled by the city; its colours strike his eye without resolving into familiar schema. The sky overhead oppresses; is white, blinding, glowing with oppressive heat, “dieser weisse, blendende Gluthimmel.” Only a faded butterfly stirs in the air, a cabbage white, a “Kohlweissling”. The winding streets are stony entrails with stillborn squares, “heisse steinerne Därme, totgeborene Plätze.” On reaching the terrace before Sacré-Cœur the church towers up oppressively as a white marble hill-range, “dieses weisse Marmorgebirge”, at the back of his neck, “auf den Nakken” [sic]: from a distance, in “Blick auf Paris – Île de France”, the Hartlaub narrating eye had seen it as a cliff of brilliant yellow marble. To the disgruntled soldier the city below is a huge stone cow-pat, floating in a milky haze, a fermentation of limestone, “Gährung des Kalksteins.” The ‘impossible, inhuman’ roof-sea, “Dächermeer”, strains towards the chalk down/downs, “Kalkdaunen” (here a possible play on the English sense of the word) of the clouds. Colour

everywhere, when perceived by the unsympathetic, is viewed as distasteful, malign, blanched, petrified, and lifeless.

5.11. The centrality of the Paris sketches; critical reception

Wilke’s determination of the period to which no works of Hartlaub can be dated, namely, after the return of the war-diary section from the Winniza forward *Führerhauptquartier (FHQ)* in November 1942, does not rule out that works from before that point may have been among those lost. This supposition is supported by the recollection of Hartlaub’s war-diary colleague, Walter Dietz, that Hartlaub was just as busy at his desk during the three-and-half months’ stay in the Ukraine in the summer and autumn of 1942, had experienced more in freer surroundings there, and must therefore have written more. The Ukraine notebook does contain two pages of thematic headings: some, like “Abend am Bug” correspond clearly to passages in the notebook text, but one other heading at least, containing the phrase “die Suche nach dem Puff”, is just as clearly not realised. As the two Paris notebooks contain similar pages of headings, it seems probable that unless another Winniza notebook existed, the headings, as with the Paris notebooks, indicate a preliminary list only, with some redundancy, of themes to be explored. Dietz’s recollection relates to the texts published in Geno Hartlaub’s *Im Sperrkreis* (1984). Further material identifiable with the interlude at Winniza neither appears in Ewenz (2002/2007) nor is to be found among the Hartlaub papers at *DLA* Marbach. The longest of the Winniza sketches, “W[erwolf]”, describes life and surroundings at the so-dubbed headquarters with quirkily oblique humour. This sketch and the other Winniza fragments lack the specific streetscapes and defined interiors of the Berlin and Paris sketches and are crowded with civilians, military construction workers, forced labourers, and nervously energetic and unsettled young soldiers, “die lauten, stets pressierten und unsteten jungen Landser,” and reflect a relative liberty and intensity of local intercourse in the neighbouring hinterland. The fragments read as densely written and hurried and, though acutely observed, are without a

---

841 See Walter Dietz typescript, *DLA* Marbach ref. 95.76.3, p. 11.
842 Hartlaub papers at *DLA* Marbach, ref. 93.17.17.
843 Ibid., ref. 93.17.26, 93.17.38
focalising character-narrator like the “Er” of the Paris sketches. Nothing more thereafter of Hartlaub’s creative work survives until the long last sketches from his service with the war-diary section. Wilke has persuasively dated the writing of these final writings as beginning no earlier than September 1944. In them, so Wilke has determined, the narrating character is elusive, nowhere a personalised first person, yet never separately and wholly distinguishable from the author. Wilke maintains that an inconsistency in Hartlaub’s handling of narrative inversions in characterisation and consciousness led to a drift from ironic authorial intention into the merely fantastic. As the Paris sketches expand from observations in the form of sequences of minutely detailed word-sketches in the manner of film-frames – Hartlaub insisted on the connection between the discipline of drawing and that of concentrated observation for written recall (vide supra, 5.1.) – the later, longer, FHQ sketches become staccato successions of dialogue, free indirect monologue, and observations on scene, background and noises off. The Paris characters are not resident and interchangeable as are the small cast of characters in the last FHQ sketches and so may retain their fleeting but distinct identities. In the sardonic detachment of busy night-scene, bizarre interiors (an abandoned ministry, a commandeered hotel, a patron-less brothel), and a mind-interior (“Paar auf Montmartre”), these, last and longest of the Paris sketches prefigure the neurally cross-wired multiple and overlapping consciousness, increasingly vertiginous, of the FHQ sketches, and are therefore central to the understanding of Hartlaub’s development as a writer.

Seibert (Drost et al., 1995) concludes that Hartlaub’s Paris ‘diaries’ were feted by a majority of the West German intelligentsia of the early post-war years to such an extent that this helped to defer further reappraisal of the recent past. Müller’s study of 1997 traces the critical reception of Hartlaub from the abbreviated texts in the Geno Hartlaub editions of 1950, 1955 and 1984. The intentional irony of the unstable narrator perspective in

845 See Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs, pp. 81-83.
846 See ibid., pp. 41,118,119.
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Hartlaub’s final FHQ sketches was not wholly apparent from the truncated published texts and was misread, influentially for others, by Holthusen (1951), who saw Hartlaub as an ironist and satirist, but one without ideals.\footnote{See ibid., pp. 7, 8.} Already by 1952, however, Schwab-Felisch\footnote{See Hans Schwab-Felisch: “Die Literatur der Obergefreiten”, in: Der Monat, year 4, volume 42, March 1952, pp. 650-51.} had divined an innovative language technique in the Paris texts printed in Geno Hartlaub’s Von unten gesehen (1950), though Müller gives the credit for this discovery rather to Ruland (1955).\footnote{See Frederike Müller: op. cit., p. 9, note 31.} Critical realisation that Hartlaub’s writings were not diary-sketches, Tagebuchaufzeichnungen, at all, but an entirely new form of prose was first philologically grounded by Wilke (1967).\footnote{Cf. Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs (Bad Homburg: Gehlen, 1967).} Schäfer (1976)\footnote{See Hans Dieter Schäfer: “Die nichtnationalistische Literatur der jungen Generation im Dritten Reich”, in: Denkler & Prumm (eds.) Die deutsche Literatur im Dritten Reich. Themen. Traditionen. Wirkungen. (Stuttgart: Reclam, 1976), revised and reprinted in Schäfer, “Das gespaltene Bewußtsein (Frankfurt am Main: Ullstein, 1981), pp. 7-68; on Hartlaub, pp. 45-47.} and Geno Hartlaub herself in her afterword to the 1984 edition of Im Sperrkreis\footnote{See Geno Hartlaub (ed.): im Sperrkreis (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1984), afterword, pp. 211-18.} completed the change in perspective on Hartlaub.\footnote{Frederike Müller: op. cit., pp. 15-16.} A fuller exposition of that perspective must acknowledge Hartlaub’s innovation in hybrid literary form: the Fragment of early German Romanticism now written with an unsparring verist concentration on emblematic detail, pointed with apposite neologisms and the aperçu of imagist conceits.

Wilke (1967), writing before the publication of the unabridged Hartlaub, had already pointed out that Hartlaub’s sketches are attempts to order events otherwise than as an authentic rendering of personal experience,\footnote{Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs, p. 141.} and amount to story narratives, Erzählungen, albeit fragmentary, rather than diary excerpts. Taking the selective, and therefore fragmentary, as a given in any authentic account of personal experience, Wilke insists that unintentional fragmentariness, leaving aside the intentional fragmentariness of “free form” writing, is a defect in a literary work, and in Hartlaub’s sketches also, these being what Wilke terms intendierte Wortkunstwerke.\footnote{Ibid., pp. 141-42, p. 163.} Hartlaub’s Paris Sketches are certainly intended to be in the first instance verbal artworks, in
which word sequences are deployed asyndetically, for associative rather than
denotative effect. With such an associative primary purpose, a fragmentariness
or, rather, a disjunction with regard to denotative content results, but no defect
due to deficiency, through “unrealised potential”, as Wilke puts it, should
be inferred from this (vide supra 5.9., Seibert, on structure in Hartlaub’s
“Impression”). That only one in ten of the Paris sketches bear a date in the MS
is indication in itself that their author was not concerned with maintaining the
chronology of a personal journal. Fewer than half of the sketches contain a
specific Paris location in the title, but all except the final four are situated in a
particular location or written from a single physical vantage point. Severin
(1988) posits that the act of flânerie itself can disrupt the character and
perspective of the narrative and become the expression of the flâneur’s
disorientation in the big city. Citing the examples of Rilke’s Malte and Robert
Walser’s Räuber, Severin identifies the prevalence of écriture automatique as
the resulting most typical technique by which the peregrinating narrator is
released from his engagement with the external world. The stream of
staccato observations in the Hartlaub Paris sketches does have characteristics
of écriture automatique, but the precision of observation indicates anything
other than an unpremeditated rendering of impressions. That Hartlaub’s own
inner disorientation was attributable to other factors has already been
discussed here (vide supra: 5.5.).

His seclusion in the model community of the Odenwaldschule had left him
ill prepared to adjust to the new society in Germany, in consequence of which
he felt estranged from what he saw and experienced as a student in Berlin,
but in Paris, Hartlaub’s alter ego knows his Metro plan better than the
natives. Responding to the urgings of his father to avail of the opportunity
to engage in cultural promenades, Hartlaub gave the assurance that, though he
so did in his scarce free time, and with “aufgerissenen Sehschlitzen”, this
visual cultural feast was for connoisseurs of a particular kind, “für Geniesser
eigener Art”. Surprisingly, in view of his meticulous phrasing of
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architectural detail (e.g. dormer windows having or resembling aedicula, temple-like niches, in “Hof Hotel Sully”), he himself, he felt, had “keinerlei Organ für Architektur, keine Terminologie dafür.” He nonetheless became a compulsive flâneur: “Mein Hunger nach Spaziergängen wird immer ärger, ihnen widme ich die meiste Freizeit.” His “Boulevard Montmartre” night scene teems with people studies: ladies in peignoirs on a balcony; the lead violinist of a lady-orchestra, quickly sketched, “vollreif –, schlank, mit Hornbrille und hoher Stirn”; a man in a beret with the rosette of the Legion d’Honneur; ‘professors’ sporting bamboo canes and too-wide spats; a sharply dressed African gallant; on the fringes, scruffy clochards. German military and female auxiliaries join the scene; German civilians alone remain undigested in the throng, “unverschluckt, unverdaut, wie luftgefüllte Schweinblasen auf dem Wasser kugelnd.” This densely peopled picture, the fifth last sketch in the Paris series, contrasts with the many ethereal, sky-angled streetscape studies which precede it. Isolation is here also still the theme: “Und das alles schiebt sich durcheinander mit wenigen Zentimern Abstand, und bleibt doch unvermischt unaufgelöst.” In another scene, “Er” waits, a lone figure in oppressively sultry weather, conscious of his shortcomings in dress – Hartlaub in his letters complains of just such a pair of creaking shoes:


The reflections of “Er”, sporadically surfacing throughout the Paris sketches, are of the Schlegelian model, embodying in the thoughts expressed a multiple mind (Geist) and an ironic, intellectual Anschauung. The pieces cohere into a mosaic of city and, in so much, the terms fragment or torso are not applicable to them in the sense of belonging collectively to an uncompleted whole.

865 Ibid., pp. 466/474, letter to parents.
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In the last five sketches the average word count approaches three thousand words per sketch and the pieces become a succession of densely described scenes. In “Boulevard Montmartre” figures are plucked out of the darkness for observation in vignette. The portrait of the Hôtel d’Orsay that is “Die Hochburg” is claustrophobic in its narrator’s impressions of corridors, room interiors, of noises and snatches of conversation overheard through bedroom partitions, of a lift interior, and restaurant and bar scenes. In “Das eroberte Ministerium” the scenes are set in distinct architectural segments of the ministry building, proceeding from the gilded lances of the external railings to the gate lodge, to the archive wing, up and down stairways, and finally to the basement and exit into the garden again. A significant shift in narrative perspective is then apparent in the final two sketches, “Weltwende im Puff” and “Paar auf Montmartre”: here, except for passages of dialogue, the narrative in both comes from interior monologue. This is the technique which Hartlaub later applied in his sketches depicting the milieu at the periphery of the Führerhauptquartier. In these, as Wilke’s study established, Hartlaub advanced from focalising characters based on himself or on single, observed individuals to composite characters, and to what Wilke has determined as a characteristically for Hartlaub fluctuating migration between the narrating consciousness and that of the focalising character. In fact, Harlaub’s late technique of documentary-like presentation of anecdotal detail prefigures Alexander Kluge’s *Schlachtbeschreibung*, on Stalingrad, which work, a hybrid of documentary collage and fiction, uses day-by-day pseudo-diary and press-release chronologies as scaffolding for an erratically ordered stream of biographical and circumstantial information. Kluge’s biographical sketch of 6th Army commander von Reichenau, for instance, has the bizarre detail and staccato compression of one of Hartlaub’s thumbnail figure studies.

How the narration/reflection technique of shifting consciousness might have been maintained for the duration of Hartlaub’s planned novel is an intriguing question of innovation in literary form. The beginnings of this innovation in the last two sketches from Paris demonstrate that it could at the
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same time be satisfyingly entertaining, satirically subtle, and historically illuminating. In the thirteen-page novel outline in typescript with emendations in Hartlaub’s hurried handwriting which survives in the MS, a comically irreverent, tongue-in-cheek tone is evident: “Panne mit dem Dienstseigel, der Sonderführer verfährt sich” (p. 1); “Radiergummikonsum auf der Ostkarte” (p. 3); “Z.s Buntstiftspektrum reicht nicht aus für die verschiedenen Linien und Auffangsstellungen im W[esten]” (p. 9). Characters from the FHQ sketches: “Gustl”, “Schr.[eiber]”, “Seppl”, “Sonderführer”, and “Z[eichner]”, appear repeatedly. “Schreiber” has particular correspondences to Hartlaub: the break in his relationship with Melita Laenebach can be read from p. 11; he is assigned, like Hartlaub, the South East theatre in his war-diary duties (p. 2), and in an intriguing entry apparently makes (fictional) flights to Budapest, Bucharest and “vor allem nach Ploestâi”, at which last Hartlaub himself had been stationed; but Schreiber, oddly (in a Hartlaub handwritten insertion), is about to be awarded an Austro-Hungarian, Habsburg decoration, “Er bekommt das K.u.K 1. Klasse” (p. 6). The main events of the war from the end of the North African campaign in 1943 to the Ardennes offensive in December 1944 are the subject of brief notes, in sequence, though only as a background chronology. The personal preoccupations of the characters are paramount: “Im Café “Bazar” in Szb.[Salzburg] erlebt Seppl das Einsetzen des Fernkampfs mit. Seine Frauenöte in selbiger Stadt” (p. 7). Hartlaub had himself been disquieted by a one-day sojourn in Salzburg in June of 1944:


Wilke’s philological and graphological analysis of the thirteen-page novel outline, Roman Disposition is Wilke’s term for it, has convincingly argued for

---

the late date of its composition (20th November 1944 to the beginning of January 1945), and for the fact that it post-dates and deconstructs the compressed chronology of the longest of the FHQ sketches, “Im Sperrkreis” (in Ewenz, titled: “Im Dickicht des Südostens”), while pre-dating and serving as template for another: “Franken II” (in Ewenz: “Der Zug in den Abgrund”).\textsuperscript{872} Wilke speculates that, since exposition of the full novel was not something Hartlaub could hope for before the end of the war, he confined himself to re-working the passages concerning the one recurring event of the novel outline, the journeys of the FHQ train. It may have been this constraint which creates the extraordinarily sustained surreality evident in the final “Zug” sketch. What richness of irony and what piquant satire might have been expected from the full novel, it is possible to conjecture, thanks to the decrypting of the typescript narrative and of the emendations in Hartlaub’s miniscule handwriting, which Wilke fortuitously undertook with the help of personal enquiry of Hartlaub’s then still living contemporaries from the War Diary staff: W. Dietz, W. Hubatsch, and P.E. Schramm.\textsuperscript{873}

Reviewing his current literary plans and literary efforts in a letter to his father from Paris in July of 1941, Hartlaub doubts if he has the necessary self-confidence and incubatory warmth, “Brutwärme”, for a longer “création” and appraises what he himself calls his “tagebuchartigen Aufzeichnungen” as a series of ‘word-etchings’, “Wortgravüren.”\textsuperscript{874} Less than a month after leaving Paris he writes: “An die »Aufzeichnungen« erinnere ich mich im Einzelnen nur ungenau. Nur als Materialien gedacht.”\textsuperscript{875} Even more emphatically, in November of 1941, three months after leaving Paris: “Aus meinen »Pariser Fragmenten« ziehst du Wohl zu weitgehende Folgerungen. Ich errinnere sie im Übrigen fast garnicht mehr.”\textsuperscript{876} Wilke (1967) maintains that Hartlaub up until the end had not succeeded in writing sketches that were far enough removed from his own personal experiences as to stand as completely self-contained pieces. Nonetheless, Wilke concedes that Hartlaub gradually attained a sovereignty, “Souveränität”, over reality so great that in his last works, the

\textsuperscript{872} See Wilke: \textit{Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs}, pp. 117-31.
\textsuperscript{873} See ibid., Wilke’s acknowledgement, p. 169.
\textsuperscript{875} Ibid., pp. 492/500, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
\textsuperscript{876} Ibid., pp. 499/507, letter to parents.
sketches from the *FHQ*, he needed to copy no single real person as a model. Walter Dietz, Hartlaub’s immediate work colleague in the War Diary section, confirmed to Wilke that, in relation to Hartlaub’s the novel outline, there were no striking correspondences to any single person and that the vaguely identifiable factual details could almost always be attributed to persons other than those described.\(^{877}\) Wilke, determining the path of Hartlub’s progress as a writer, acknowledges a necessary fragmentariness in all attempts at authentic recall of experience, but adds this reservation: “Ein fragmentarisches Wortkunstwerk ist nur in seiner Potenz nach ein Wortkunstwerk, nicht aber seiner Realisierung nach.”\(^{878}\) It is here argued that literary *completeness* is neither necessary nor, any more than in graphic art, appropriate to sketches, particularly so when the fragment occupies such an honoured place in the German literary canon.\(^{879}\)

Marose (2000) has formulated Hartlaub’s style avails of a realism that “in seiner Sachlichkeit um so schonungsloser Unaussprechliches offenbart,”\(^{880}\) one which in its dispassionate factuality the more unsparingly expressed the inexpressible. What Wilke describes as Hartlaub’s “nachgerade leibliche Beziehung zu Worten”\(^{881}\) gave him, in addition to his facility for sharp imagist observation, a fine-tuned ear for dialogue – here in example turned to good subversive effect in what is written to look like a casually observed Metro scene. The extent of the contamination of the everyday language by racial ideology is pointed by the fact that the exchange between two women-ogling *Landsers* a Metro platform is conducted in Plattdeutsch:

\[
\text{Dolle Weiber gibt det hier ... Wat war'n det nu wieder für ne Judenschickse?} \\
\text{Gloob ick nich. Eher ne Türkin.} \\
\text{[...] Weeste wat, Maxe, sone jesunde kleene Rassenschande – ick wäre jarnich abjeneigt...} \\
\]

In one sketch, a series of vignettes, “Mitteleuropäische Mondscheinidylle”, tableaus, passing figures, sounds and snatches of dialogue reveal how incongruous, forlorn and *provincial* the occupation soldiers are in night-time

\(^{877}\) Wilke: *Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs*, pp. 43, 63, 119.
\(^{878}\) Ibid., p. 142.
\(^{880}\) Marose: “Das Eigentliche ist unsichtbar” [dissertation], p. 130.
Paris. The piece is ironically prefaced by a citation, in parenthesis, from a triumphalist speech broadcast from the Reich:

(Ein Festredner aus dem Reich nannte das jetzige Paris nichts weiter als eine europ. Provinzstadt; man brauche ihr nicht mehr mit Ehrfurcht zu nahen.)

There follows a series of aural recordings, snippets of overheard night-life dialogue spliced with free indirect speech and interior monologue and devoid of all authorial comment, the hallmark of Hartlaub’s style. A small tell-tale sound, a subdued reflex of clicked heels which reaches the ears of the narrator, “eine Spur von Hackenklappen,” parodies the Occupation posture of correctness. There is no comment, only the jarring alien sound. Kafka’s first published work, *Betrachtung*, contains a passage that expresses the literary pace of his *flâneur* wanderings: “ich marschiere und mein Tempo ist das Tempo dieser Gassenseite, dieser Gasse, dieses Viertels”.

In fact, Hartlaub’s observer, though moving on foot or by *Metro*, makes his observations almost always from a static viewpoint. It is the occupiers who move about in busy pursuit of duty or distraction. What appeared to Böll as an exasperating lassitude on the part of the French, Hartlaub gave witness to as a dignified passivity maintained to undermine the self-importance of the usurpers.

Even the high farce of “Hochburg”, “Das eroberte Ministerium” and “Weltewende im Puff” is delivered with a restrained succinctness of expression: that which Oehler (1988) terms the ‘disciplined impersonality’, “disziplinierte Unpersönlichkeit”, by which Benjamin and Hartlaub avoided the trap of sentimentality.

Moreover, from Hartlaub’s Paris texts in general there speaks, so Oehler, the mortification of the coerced fellow-traveller, “Scham des gezwungenen Mitläufers,” deadly in the sense of its emphasis, “im emphatischen Sinne tödliche.” Oehler, adapting a pronouncement of Ernst Erich Noth, declares that the quality of Hartlaub’s Paris ‘diary’ stems “aus einer die Ohnmacht einer Generation widerspiegelnden Paris-Passion”, and (borrowing the title of a work by Peter Weiß) that the ‘diary’ amounts to a
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“Dokument einer Ästhetik des Widerstands.” Noth’s pronouncement, on the impotence and failure of German émigrés of the 1930s in France, “Ohnmacht und Scheitern”, exempts the then émigré literary sector.888 Felix Hartlaub honourably upheld that literary record.

Hartlaub’s promotion to officer rank was hindered by an insufficiency of certifiably Aryan forebears on his mother’s side.889 His lowly rank served, in the event, as a camouflage of inconspicuousness for his later project of observing and rendering in surreal parody the insanely meticulous order that attached to the management of Armageddon. Geno Hartlaub believed that the very need for concealment and camouflage begot the unique writing style of the last sketches, and that no one in that war wrote comparable prose.890

5.12. Lacunae in the Hartlaub oeuvre; resistance speculation; death of a flâneur

As Wilke’s entry for Hartlaub in the Kritisches Lexikon zur deutschsprachigen Gegenwartsliteratur observes, the hope in the post-war years that quantities of such literature as his would be discovered was disappointed. The literature of the innere Emigration, Wilke dryly notes, was written by those who had been forced into external emigration.891 The judgement of Geno Hartlaub on the question is unequivocal: her brother did not belong to the writers of the innere Emigration; he did not see his task as that of safeguarding Western cultural values in a time of dictatorship, terror and war (pace Kästner); his mood was apocalyptic.892 That is the mood of the late sketches from the war-diary headquarters, in which his despairing hatred of the regime found full expression in an ironically de-familiarised

Shakespearian ‘porter’s view’ of great events. Marose (2000) was given to understand in interviews with Hartlaub’s intimate friends Klaus and Irene Gysi that although Hartlaub had not passed on any documents from the Führerhauptquartier, he would have discussed important events and occurrences of which he had knowledge with Klaus Gysi, who in a last interview admitted to being active in the information ring of the Soviet spy network, the “Rote Kapelle”. Against this information Marose sets the opinion of Geno Hartlaub, who had already stubbornly rejected rumours circulating since the 1950s that her brother had been an anti-fascist conspirator during his service inside the Sperrkreis of the FHQ. In a 1989 interview with Marose, Geno Hartlaub reaffirmed her opinion that, for her, resistance activity of this nature on the part of her brother was out of the question. Already, in a radio feature of 1965, Geno Hartlaub had insisted that undercover heroism was simply not in her brother’s nature: “Er ist kein Held, nicht einmal ein negativer. Es gelingt ihm auch nicht, als unbeteiligter unter der Tarnkappe zu leben.” Also to be weighed against any suspicion of witting indiscretion is the comment of his father, G. F. Hartlaub: “Es ist erstaunlich welche Materialien F[elix] zu sehen bekommt, welche Einblicke er gewinnt. Freilich darf er im Einzelnen nichts sagen und diese Schweigepflicht hält er sich natürlich eisern” (italics added). A stroke which robbed Gysi of speech prevented a further scheduled interview with Marose at which he had promised to reveal more on the topic. Marose’s inconclusive enquiry does not therefore add to our knowledge of possible ulterior authorial motivation in the case of Felix Hartlaub.

Hartlaub himself in no place evinces a view of the Paris sketches as anything in the nature of covert, dissident, ‘desk-drawer’, Schubladenliteratur; he thought of them merely as trial material for other, as yet unformulated work, “nur als Materialien gedacht.” There are no marginal remarks in the

893 Ibid., p. 16.
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Paris notebooks or the Ukraine notebook indicating that they had been read by or discussed with others. A notebook, probably the latter, was relayed for safekeeping to G.F. Hartlaub via Irene Lessing January 1943.\textsuperscript{899} Hartlaub’s published letters from 1943 and the first half of 1944 seem to indicate very little, if any, creative writing activity in that period. After his return from the Ukraine, Hartlaub met and formed a relationship with Melita Laenebach with whom much of his correspondence from the spring of 1943 is taken up. In March 1943 something he has been reading awakens in him memories of his boyhood writing efforts: “…das längst begrabene, schon völlig fossile, knabenhafte litterarische Pläne wieder aufgewärmt hat. Ich schrieb so gerne was, was ganz Kleines, Komprimiert-Loses, nur ein Paar Seiten, aber ich brauche immer einen Anstoss von aussen, einen kleinen Auftrag.”\textsuperscript{900} In April, from Berchtesgaden, he notes that deceptive lulls in the war-diary work have tempted him to draw and write a little again: “Durch trügerische Arbeitsflauten verlockt, habe ich mal wieder versucht, ein wenig zu zeichnen und zu schreiben.”\textsuperscript{901} By July, complaining of distractions, headaches, eyestrain and sleeplessness, he has abandoned all private creative or scholarly activity: “jegliche private Tätigkeit bis zur Zeitungslektüre […] eingestellt.”\textsuperscript{902} In August he wishes to look up references from his notes-index on his study of 19\textsuperscript{th} French writers.\textsuperscript{903} and in September is preoccupied with a reappraisal of his plans for a book on this theme.\textsuperscript{904} In October he reproves Melita Laenebach for having failed to relay university library-loan chits.\textsuperscript{905} In November, his friends the Gysis have been suggesting to him that he turn his attention more towards German and contemporary subjects rather than the early 19\textsuperscript{th} century French of his research until then.\textsuperscript{906} In December he is reading Zola and 19\textsuperscript{th} century German history.\textsuperscript{907} By February 1944 he is reading Ina Seidel’s 1938 novel, \textit{Lennacker}, and writes of stalled literary-

\textsuperscript{899} See ibid., pp. 560 / 568-69; Ewenz II, pp. 235/263.
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historical work.\textsuperscript{908} Equally, in May of 1944, he confesses to the Gysis to being stalled in his study of Karl Lamprecht, which subject Schramm had suggested for Hartlaub’s \textit{Habilitation} dissertation.\textsuperscript{909} At the end of May 1944, writing to his confidant and mentor, Gustav Radbruch, Hartlaub sees as the only task for scholars of his age-group, the forming of a standpoint on current events; he also expresses his disillusionment with the conventional career example of such as his superior, Schramm.\textsuperscript{910} He admits to Radbruch to having produced very little: “Persönlich stagniert man ziemlich vor sich hin; gelegentlich kommt man zu einem Buch, einem Entwurf.”\textsuperscript{911} From the foregoing indications it seems probable that any writings from the period after the return from Winniza and presumed among the materials deposited for safekeeping in September 1944 were chiefly notes and drafts for Hartlaub’s post-doctoral studies.\textsuperscript{912}

In the period after the 20 July of 1944 Hartlaub’s superior, Schramm, whose sister-in-law was among those implicated and later executed,\textsuperscript{913} sought refuge in private work for which Hartlaub’s help was also enlisted.\textsuperscript{914} As late as March of 1945 Hartlaub complains that Schramm’s work obsession had now focused back on war-diary duty and had become detail-compulsive in its demands on his assistants.\textsuperscript{915} In August of 1944 Hartlaub had complained of Schramm’s obsessive preoccupation with primarily private work and of the war-duty responsibilities devolving on him, Hartlaub, in consequence: “…weil die Arbeit nicht weiter kommt, bzw. auf mir hängen bleibt. Ich selbst komme zu gar nichts, […] Lust hätte ich eigentlich nur zum Schreiben.”\textsuperscript{916} The stultification and turning towards creative writing again, possibly in reaction additionally against Schramm’s urging of \textit{Habilitation} post-doctoral work,\textsuperscript{917} bore fruit. Wilke (1967) has dated the resumption of Hartlaub’s creative

\textsuperscript{908} Ibid., pp. 684/693.
\textsuperscript{909} Ibid. I,II pp. 697-98 / 706-7, 274-75 / 304; also Schramm, \textit{Kriegstagebuch des Oberkommandos der Wehrmacht}, vol. 4.2. p. 1816.
\textsuperscript{911} Ibid., pp. 707/716.
\textsuperscript{912} Cf. Wilke: \textit{Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs}, pp. 79-81.
\textsuperscript{913} See ibid., p. 43.
\textsuperscript{915} Ewenz I (2002/2007), pp. 744 /754
\textsuperscript{916} Ibid., pp. 716/725, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
\textsuperscript{917} See Schramm: \textit{Kriegstagebuch des OKW}, vol. 4.2, p. 1816.
writing, on the last FHQ sketches, to no earlier than September of 1944. In October, attributing his re-found energy to the bean coffee issued to night-duty staff, Hartlaub wrote: “habe ich wieder etwas zu schreiben angefangen.” The urge to draw returns at the same time: “Zu zeichnen juckt es mich auch mächtig.”

In November 1944, writing to Melita Laenebach, Hartlaub muses on what to do with “meinen Manuskripten in Heidelberg”, then the Hartlaub family home, and mentions the sketches from Wilhelmshaven, Paris and the Ukraine. He emphatically pronounces them as containing nothing ready for publication, “druckfertiges ist beileibe nicht dabei,” but is at this late point in the war conscious of their potential documentary importance, “…die später einfach auf Grund ihres dokumentarischen Werts Bedeutung gewinnen könnten.” Writing to his father days later, and stressing the importance to him of the two Paris notebooks, he adds mention of what he terms, in quotation marks, the “Errinnerungen aus Elmau”, remarking that if he should not have opportunity to work on these latter again, they could be of financial value to GFH, but adding a reservation “wegen des Stoffgehalts.” He cannot recall if the Elmau writings are complete, but feels that they cannot lack much by way of completion. Hartlaub’s own plans to visit Elmau with Melita Laenbach in 1943 never came to fruition, for lack of leave. Already in July 1943, expressing worry about the safekeeping of his notebooks and card-catalogue, and suggesting the Odenwaldschule as a possible depository, he conjoins the Elmau writings with those from Paris as “meine Tagebuch Aufzeichnungen aus Paris, Elmau, etc.” Again, in September 1943, and again referring to Paris and Elmau sketches: “Ich bitte um grösstmögliche luftschutzmässige Sicherung.” Evidently, the ‘Elmau’ sketches were already written by mid 1943 and were of some exceptional, possibly political, content. Elmau, a resort in Upper Bavaria, was favoured in Nazi circles, and Hartlaub’s sister, Geno,
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918 See Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs, pp. 79-83.
920 Ibid., pp. 730/740, letter to Melita Laenebach.
921 Ibid.
922 Ibid., pp. 732/741, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
923 See ibid., pp. 598/606, 635/643.
924 Ibid., pp. 619/628, letter to parents.
925 Ibid., pp. 637/646, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
had been a guest there a number of times and had made publishing contacts there. However, Hartlaub himself had never visited there, and researchers in the Hartlaub archive have not found any verification of the existence of ‘Elmau’ sketches. However, since Hartlaub’s satire from the latter Paris sketches onwards is interior to the minds of the focalising, not directly narrating, characters, and since these characters themselves cite hearsay, he might have constructed similar satire on the milieu at Elmau from recollections of his sister Geno with whom he remained intermittently in contact throughout the war. Where those particular writings were deposited for safekeeping is not now known, though it seems unlikely from the 1943 references suggesting family custody that they were among the papers consigned to Melita Laenebach in September 1944 and later lost through looting. The loss leaves an intriguing gap in what would have been, taken with the Paris sketches and the Führerhauptquartier sketches, a composite satirical picture of the Paris Sieger mentality, of decadence (at Elmau, possibly – Hartlaub makes a reference to such at the FHQ also, already in July 1944), and of the meticulously planned delusion to the end.

Through his father’s connections Hartlaub was in contact with publishers, with Johannes Maassen of the Karl Alber publishing house in Munich, who had wished to engage him for historical works, and similarly in a literary historical connection with Hellmut Köster of Koehler & Amelang in Leipzig. To the latter Hartlaub in October 1944 arranged to entrust his notes on a resumed literary-historical project from 1940, on the 19th century French Romantics. He had also, the year before, in September 1943, as an alternative to the 19th century book-project, thought of the Paris sketches and the lost ‘Elmau’ sketches as essential background material for a series of ‘impressions’ (almost certainly literary-historical or art-history in nature, as the proposal gratified G.F. Hartlaub), and to this end had requested the return
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927 See ibid., pp. 252/280. The ‘Elmau’ sketches are not specifically mentioned in Wilke (1967).
929 Ibid. pp. 714/723.
of some of his card-index notes on the theme.\footnote{See ibid., pp. 632, 637 / 640, 646, letter to G.F. Hartlaub; Ewenz II, pp. 258/287.} In January 1945, stationed at Zossen in Berlin, he regrets not having retrieved his Paris notebooks during a visit home to Heidelberg, “hätte auch gerne meine Pariser Aufzeichnungen an mich genommen. Das Paket habe ich in der Ulrichstr. gelassen, ohne dass mich diese Lösung voll befriedigt.”\footnote{Ibid., I, pp. 739/749.} His worry is apparently for the safety of the notebooks, last left with a family friend in the Ulrichstrasse, Frankfurt am Main. We cannot know if he had their independent revision in mind. In their original state, the idiosyncratic narrative mode and cryptically ironic language would have been problematic for a contemporary publisher. Thus, thanks ironically to the risk of their destruction, the Paris sketches have been preserved in an unmediated state of very close proximity to the time and perspective of their origination.

Speculation on the circumstances of Hartlaub’s disappearance extended to the possibility that he suicidally courted death.\footnote{See Jochen Schimmang: “Wo warst du, Felix?”, in: Berliner Morgenpost, 12 May 2002.} Notification of his recall to active duty issued in early April 1945, and after two weeks’ leave his orders to report to the Seeckt barracks in Spandau reached him on 20 April at the house of the Gysi’s, with whom he had been staying. This latter date corresponds with the final move of the high-command staff within Berlin, from Zossen, where Hartlaub had last been stationed, to Berlin-Wannsee.\footnote{Cf. Ewenz II (2002/2007), pp. 288/319.} The length of the ensuing interval until Hartlaub’s final parting at the Nikolassee suburban-rail station from Irene Lessing, wife of Klaus Gysi, is unclear from the account in Marose (2000),\footnote{See Marose: “Das Eigentliche ist unsichtbar” [dissertation], pp. 173-74.} but if it was as late as May 2\textsuperscript{nd} 1945, the day of Berlin’s surrender, then the putative circumstances of his death as supplied in the enlarged commentary in Ewenz (2007) point, rather, to a common misadventure.\footnote{Cf. Ewenz II (2007), pp. 321-22.} The reflections of his last letters from Berlin are illuminating of his wonderment at the enduring aura of the city, even when ruined from the air, and of his high regard in that war for embattled metropolitans, both of Paris and Berlin.
The psychological internalisation of the air war in the consciousness of the German people, a theme for long avoided in post-war German literature, had already engaged Hartlaub. Bomb damage he had encountered on visits to Berlin, and long walks undertaken through the ruins in the course of a four day visit in February 1944 had left a deep impression on him. Actual raids he directly experienced later, after the War Diary section had been relocated to Berlin from Rastenburg in late November 1944. The one aspect of the war that alone seems to have stirred him emotionally was the fortitude of the civilian population under air attack. He is unstinting in his admiration:

Irgendwie ist das Aushalten der Bevölkerung in den Bombenstädten das Erste, was mich in diesem Krieg begeistert oder wie man es nennen soll. Es ist auch das einzige wirklich Neue und Eigenartige. Wie solche Stadt ein Ganzes ist; was den Menschen für Kräfte zuwachsen. [...] Was hätte man mit diesem Volk alles machen können... Something singular and new had emerged from the trial by fire, and Hartlaub defines a city here as more than architecture: as a whole, as a concept not existing outside the consciousness of its citizenry. He has difficulty in reifying the ruin landscape and this recognition increases his respect for the Berliners:

Die Ruinen habe ich trotz ausgedehnter Gänge noch garnicht voll realisiert, hatte nur ein dumpfes Staunen und unglaubliches Kichern dafür und einen masslosen Respekt vor den Bewohnern und der Lebenskraft dieser Stadt. Hartlaub speculates on the seemingly irrational will to remain: “Verstehen wird das ja später keiner, dieses Bleibenwollen, man wird darin doch eine Art Psychose mit umgekehrten Vorzeichen erblicken.” There is in this Bleibenwollen a psychosis, with contrary symptoms, that may not be understood later by any who have not lived it. Ursula von Kardorff also wondered in her Berlin diary which was actually real, the ruins or the surviving plutokratisch-bürgerliche dining-out social world of the well-to-do. Von Kardorff remarks wryly also that it is the allegedly rootless metropolitans who fanatically hang on in the bombed-out cellars of their Berlin Heimat rather choose evacuation and safety.
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941 Ibid., pp. 686/695, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
942 Ibid., pp. 691/700, letter to Irene Lessing and Klaus Gysi.
944 Ibid., pp. 122-123.
Hartlaub ponders longer the spatial and psychological disjunctures: the city, personified, has grown accustomed to its ruins, and the city districts, cut off from knowledge of one another’s fates following heavy attacks, call to mind for Hartlaub the shrinking of the West-Roman Empire in the late antique into separated and practically independent territories:

Hier auch in Berl., das sich, scheint mir, völlig an seine Ruinen gewöhnt hat, [...] Schon die einzelnen Stadtteile wissen nach einem schweren Angriff so wenig voneinander, [...] diese dauernde Schrumpfung des Horizonts, diese Verengung aufs Territoriale ist schon sehr merkwürdig, es fällt schwer, nicht an den Reichszerfall der Spätantike zu denken.\(^\text{945}\)

Hartlaub had felt his own connection to reality to have been long distorted. The inner monologue of the war-diarist in “Im Dickicht des Südostens” – Hartlaub is credited with writing much of the War Diary chronicle of the South-East theatre\(^\text{946}\) – reveals a mind struggling to order a torrent of events; the speaker feels the want of real experience, even if it be that of shots fired in anger and of bombs:

...der Mensch altert eben nur an den Erlebnissen, und die fehlen hier völlig, hier ist noch kein scharfer Schuss, noch keine einzige Bombe gefallen, diese seltsame Ausgespartheit hier, manchmal kommt sie einem etwas unheimlich vor.\(^\text{947}\)

Hartlaub speaks already in January 1942 of the home-comer psychosis, of an eerie revenant feeling, “schaurigen Revenantgefühlen.”\(^\text{948}\) He seems to have intuited a caesura in experience that would prevent him from ever writing Trümmerliteratur:

...zwischen einer Bombe und einer Wohnung fehlt irgendwie das Zwischenglied. In einer Wohnung kann man sich einen Mord vorstellen, eine individuelle Feuersbrunst und dergl. [...] Na, und so weiter und so fort... Im Übrigen handelt es sich ja leider nur um Plagiate.\(^\text{949}\)

He does, however, offer a calculated assessment of the housing problem in the rubble, the problem that would be solved in the East by the Plattenbauten:

Man muss bedenken, dass das Wohnungsproblem in Deutschland schon bei Beginn des Krieges eigentlich überhaupt nicht mehr zu lösen war, dass 3 Millionen Menschen, glaube ich, keine eigene Wohnung hatten. […] Das Privileg des Steinhauses wird anderen vorbehalten bleiben.\(^\text{950}\)

\(^{945}\) Ibid., pp. 735/744, letter to parents.

\(^{946}\) Cf. Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen, bibliography, pp. 165-66.


\(^{948}\) Ibid., pp. 509/517, letter to parents, 22.1.1942.

\(^{949}\) Ibid., pp. 586/594, letter to Melita Laenebach, 7.3.1943.

The reference to the privilege of a “Steinhaus” reveals a social consciousness and is an implicit criticism of a regime that wilfully brought about so much waste of resources. The rubble world itself, as it stood, was intellectually intriguing to Hartlaub. The mind could not digest the actual emptiness behind the still-intact facades. The ruins had to be seen soon after, so that they might become reality in the inner Seelenschrein, the ‘soul-reliquary’:

Man muss wohl richtig mit den Trümmern »zusammenleben«. [...] sie zu verschiedenen Tageszeiten und vor allem bei ihrer Entstehung oder kurz danach sehen, damit sie Wirklichkeit werden im inneren Seelenschrein. Die eigentliche Leere hinter den stehengebliebenen Fassaden hat sich noch nicht ins Bewusstsein gefressen.951

The scrupulous street-care adds to illusion of undisturbed continuity. The incomprehensible is swept, like the rubble, to one side and a conviction takes hold that what is lost is not finally so:

… fast alles zwischen Tiergarten und Wittenbergplatz, der »alte Westen« [...] ist entschieden das Unheimlichere, weil die äusseren Umrisse meist noch irgendwie stimmen und man angesichts der wieder ausschlagenden Bäume und spiegelsauberen Strassen das Gefühl hat, dass es dort noch irgendwie weiter leben muss und nicht endgültig verloren ist.952

Life in the ruins even had a certain attraction and frisson. Böll would recall this later in flatly simple terms:

Aber das Leben in einer zertsörten Stadt, einer total zerstörten Stadt, hatte natürlich nicht nur schwierigkeiten, sondern auch Reize. Es war sehr still, es war wunderbar ruhig.953

Hartlaub, with the urbanity of the flâneur, formulates a European distillation of the bizarre continuity:

Dass das Leben im übrigen weitergeht und sogar nicht ohne eine gewisse sommerliche Eleganz und mit allerlei neuen Nuancen steht nicht nur in den Zeitungen, sondern stimmt auch wirklich. [...] In Gegenden, von denen kaum noch die Strassennamenisschilder stehen, weht einen die Atmosphäre der Pariser Banlieue, der italienischen Piazza, des ukrainischen Dorfplatzes an [...] es ist schon alles sehr merkwürdig und ich würde es gerne näher festzuhalten versuchen, wenn ich wirklich in diesem Leben drin wäre.954

If only he were part of it, “wenn ich wirklich in diesem Leben drin wäre”. Hartlaub’s estrangement from civil life resulted not from the trauma of frontline service, but from his long isolation in an ordered calm that did not correspond to any reality, military or civil, without. He realised that the

952 Ibid., pp. 718/727, letter to G.F. Hartlaub.
connection to any reality was maintained by a web of unseen links and that one was surprised to discover that these links could have become detached:

…die grosse Unruhe, die die plötzliche Rezivilisierung mit sich bringt. Man möchte an möglichst vielen Stellen einsetzen, wiederanknüpfen und entdeckt, dass man überall weit im Hintertreffen ist. Hartlaub’s assignment to OKW war diary work did not bring with it any special leave concessions. By Christmas 1942 he saw leave as in itself a cruelty:

Wenn diese sog. Urlaube nur nicht immer so schrecklich kurz bemessen wären! Sie genügen immer gerade, um einem zu demonstrieren, wie unvertraut mit menschlichen Dingen man geworden ist.

By 1944, his fictional alter ego even welcomes the prohibition on leave, preferring not to be made aware of the artificiality of his life under the bell jar of the Führerhauptquartier:

In gewisser Weise hat es sein Gutes, dass es keinen Urlaub mehr gibt, sie würden es einem draussen gleich anmerken, dass man gewissermassen unter der Glasglocke lebt.

At the end, he wishes for a restoration of the personal connection to reality and pronounces himself thankful to be sharing in the fate of Berlin. Geno Hartlaub’s autobiographical sketch of the last phone call with her brother conveys his concern for her safety: he counsels her to remain in Oslo, on no account to risk posting elsewhere; advice founded on his estimation of the coming lottery of death in Berlin. The wording here is, however, far from heroic; his admiration for the unbroken spirit of the Berliners seems to evoke a shamed courage in him, and he speaks of a bashful, schüchtern, reconnection of his own severance from the “reality of these days”:

Nett sind die Leute im Luftschutzkeller, lauter noch völlig ungebrochene Urberliner, mit weitgehend gelöster Zunge, von erstaunlich frischem Aktionsgeist, der sich aber ganz auf den privaten Sektor, d.h. auf den Kampf um die Lebensmittel u.s.w. beschränkt. [...] Ich selbst bin alles in allem natürlich sehr dankbar dafür, das ich aus einiger Nähe an dem Schicksal dieser Stadt teilnehme[] und den gänzlich verlorengegangen Anschluss an die Realität dieser Tage wieder schüchtern anknüpfen kann.

---

955 Ibid., pp. 505/513, letter to parents.
It is for Hartlaub an untypical declaration of solidarity and, even rarer for him, of participation; it is clearly also a deeply felt expression of loyalty, not to regime or country, but to the country of the self-effacing flâneur; as in Paris, so in Berlin: to the city.

6 Conclusion

6.1. The fortunes of war

Handwritten notebooks and furtively typed drafts on box-squared service notepaper bear all of Harlaub’s wartime creative literary work. The appearance of literary research, some of it genuine, deflected suspicion. Erhart Kästner was fortunate in having an assignment for the duration which allowed of literary pursuit without need for concealment. Preservation of the integrity of the inner self was a common problem: the moral charges levelled at Kästner have been discussed here, as has the relative failure in that respect of his friend, Göpel, whose very talent as an art historian compromised him. Gerhard Nebel, who was indiscreet in his utterances, was fortunate to escape with banishment to the Channel Islands. Fortune, chance and circumstance determined how well or how far a writer might succeed, preserve his moral integrity, and physically (or not) survive.

Fortune and modest family and collegiate connections preserved, but also trapped Hartlaub. He bore an undue share of the burden of the war-diary work: P.E. Schramm, Hartlaub’s superior, acknowledged that he, Schramm, put his private work before his war-diary duty, and on his own admission returned from his war-diary service with the MS material for two of his subsequently published books.60 It must be granted, against this, that it was Hartlaub’s very indispensability to Schramm (and Schramm’s pleading of this to General Jodl) which spared him from front line duty until almost the end. Counter-insurgency warfare almost cost Kästner his life while on Crete: he recounts in Kreta how on one occasion, but for the Cretan law of hospitality, he himself would have perished.61 In the end, Hartlaub, Raschke and Rexroth did perish; Göpel, Kästner and Nebel survived.

60 See Schramm (ed.): Kriegstagebuch des Oberkommandos der Wehrmacht, vol. 4.2, pp. 1810, 1812.
The authors here studied were subject, besides censorship, to military discipline (Kästner’s illustrator was vindictively assigned to a punishment battalion on the Eastern front; Hartlaub for what might have been a fruitful period complained of being overworked and professionally exploited by his superior). Kästner’s stratagem was to relegate the war to the given, understood backdrop to a foregrounded aura of classical Greece. The art historian Göpel found himself centrally involved in one of the Nazi art acquisition/seizure programs. Some of the authors wrote in the full knowledge that they might not survive: Raschke died of a gunshot wound to the stomach, on the Eastern front in November 1943; Rexroth survived the East only to die in northern Italy in September 1944, of gunshot wounds to the head and stomach, a second volume of Der Wermutstrauch unfinished. Hartlaub knew that sooner or later he, like other colleagues before him, would be culled and dispatched to active service – with fatal pointlessness as happened in his case.

The surviving authors resumed pre-war career paths or re-built pre-war careers: Kästner and Göpel, friends of Raschke, retained their mutual regard and remained in contact; Heinrich Wiegand Petzet, a colleague of Hartlaub’s in the war-diary unit, later published the correspondence of Erhart Kästner and Martin Heidegger. Percy Ernst Schramm edited the post-war edition of the OKW war diary. Kurt Lothar Tank of the Pariser Tagebuch collaborated with Paul Raabe, Kästner’s successor at the Wölfenbüttel library, on an illustrated biography of Kästner’s literary idol, Gerhart Hauptmann. Ehrengard Schramm-von Thadden, the wife of Percy Ernst Schramm and sister of the denounced and executed Elisabeth von Thadden, published memoirs of her post-war engagement on behalf of the surviving victims of the German anti-partisan reprisals in Greece. Ursula von Kardorff, instrumental in Kästner’s first appearances in print in the Deutsche Allgemeine Zeitung, and whose Berlin diary is insightful into the personalities and backgrounds of the German resistance plotters, remained Kästner’s literary confidante in the immediate post-war years. These overlapping connections, though coincidental, are suggestive of a relatively small cohort of engaged intellectuals in the humanist

964 Cf. ibid., pp. 298, 316, 323, 405.
cause, even if the writings here considered may be considered to be a general outcome, direct or indirect, of the ‘third humanism’ influence in German Gymnasium education. The writings may also be seen as falling into two groups: the titles Die Bretagne, Die Normandie, Frankreich, Hellas and Der Peloponnes, since being in the scheme of their ultimate publishers presumptive of a German pre-eminence in European cultural determination, are generically similar and therefore form one group. The Paris writings of Hartlaub, private and subversive, though in whimsical disguise, and Kästner’s Griechenland and Kreta which concede very little at all to the appropriative propaganda on Greece, form a second group. In this second group, in which may be included the between-the-lines content of Göpel’s Bretagne and Normandie, humanism championed by Kästner combines with Hartlaub’s sardonic parodies and elegiacally coded studies of occupied Paris to project past the action of the war to the war’s absorption into the continuum of European history, thus to its Bewältigung.

While they lived and endured, psychological survival was for all of them a pre-requisite for creative output. On the example of Hartlaub this was difficult, even behind the lines. He had found some release from stress while at Winniza: “Bei uns war es ja im Sommer, in der Ukraine, sehr gemütlich;”965 but, by December, the memory was itself reintroducing stress: “Die Monate in der Ukraine gehen noch mächtig in mir um und haben ein ziemliches Schwergewicht angesetzt.”966 These remarks are the more poignant in view of the happy photographs in the Ukraine notebook, of a wedding party and of an open air country dance at a kolkhoz, and of the flower garlanded Danya and Anja in their rowing skiff.967 Snapshots record the riverside encounter on the banks of the Bug between Hartlaub and colleagues Walter Dietz and Fritz Puhl and the Ukranian girls who had come boating by.968 Anja, a trainee teacher, could speak German, and Hartlaub and his colleagues were guests at her house on the following Sunday in the company of her friends Danja and Katya,969 which three Dietz photographed in their demure Sunday frocks playfully
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966 Ibid., pp. 552/ 560-61
967 Walter Dietz typescript, DLA 95.76.3, p. 11, also photographs by Walter Dietz in the Ukraine notebook of Felix Hartlaub, DLA 93.17.17.
969 Ibid.
seeking to detain Hartlaub, “ein schöner Jüngling in den festen Händen der drei Charittinnen oder Grazien, die nach der griechischen Sage Dienerinnen Aphroditons sind, Agleia, Euphrosyne und Thalia.”\footnote{Walter Dietz typescript, DLA 95.76.3, p. 21.} The bucolic images are at odds with the well documented horrors of the Barbarossa invasion and with the subsequent systematic expropriation of food supplies from the Ukrainian population, particularly that of the cities.

In the Ukraine it was the inability to communicate that oppressed most: “Der Mutismus mangels Beherrschung der Landessprache ist natürlich ein unmöglicher und unerträglicher Zustand.”\footnote{Ewenzi (2002/2007), pp. 543/552.} The state of being mute, mutismus, strikes a forlorn note. Human contact at an intellectual level with non-military people leading non-military lives was something Hartlaub craved. The sketches from the three-month Ukraine interval include Landser dialogue in parodies of the crude Landser viewpoint, but because of the language barrier, the voices of the people of the occupied territory are unheard.

Only once in the sketches does Hartlaub’s observer encounter lethal atrocity, in the sketch sequence from the Winniza headquarters. Conflating real events in the vicinity, the first sketch alludes to the general fate of the Jews.\footnote{Cf. Ewenzi II (2002/2007), note 5, pp. 67-69 / 80-82.} The sequence opens with the phrase “der forstwirtschaftlich mustergültige Kiefernwald” (the headquarters at Winniza was located in a small pine plantation). The model order of the sheltering pine trees is ironic symbol of the organised disorder emanating from their midst. The callous indifference of the anonymous narrator who recounts the construction of the headquarters by forced labour and the subsequent fate of those workers evident from the mass grave nearby, is indicated by a dismissive brevity and by a disjunctive switch to an account of the prohibition by camp-order of the keeping of fowl in living quarters and the slaughter to which this led. The description of chicken cadavers and severed parts appears to stand metaphorically for the slaughtered construction workers. In the occurrence of the words “Gefangene” and “Geflügel” in such proximity Marose (2000) sees
an intentional associative metaphor. Typically for Hartlaub, the distasteful truth of the war is neither concealed nor explained, but simply noted, by an amoral observer and in an offhand manner. The pointedly callous and unfeeling tone of the narrating voice serves to underscore the gruesomeness of the events. In Conrad Wiedemann’s elucidation: “H.s suggestive Stilkunst einer passiven Erlebnisweise unter Verzicht auf Wertung steht allein in der deutschen Literatur seiner Zeit.”

6.2. The modes of literary response: an evaluation

The premise, discursively examined in the preceding sections here, is that there is a case to be made for a literature, in German, of the occupation of Europe; that further there was such a literature that was aesthetically accomplished and original and that was unprepossessed though not dispassionate in its humanism (Kästner, on the aura of the antique in Greece, is eloquently passionate). Originality of literary form (e.g. Hartlaub’s alternating flâneur narrator and anonymous acteur, “Er”, the sender/receiver actant) has been determined; equally so Kästner’s demonstration that the travel diary, indeed, the literary diary per se, was a creative form. An intensity of high aesthetic has been found to have had application (e.g. Kästner’s lyric compounds on the imagined Aegean refraction of light in Greece; Hartlaub’s coded atmospheric compounds of Paris). Intellectual integrity has been found to have stood the test: under censorship such integrity was sometimes only obliquely possible (e.g. Erhard Göpel), and even private, covert writing as in the case of Hartlaub was at risk of discovery. These primary examples are not mutually exclusive. From out of a propaganda campaign directed not at the enemy, but at projecting an image of a backward and barbarous, racially, culturally and politically threatening ‘other’, insightful observations on the East-West cultural gulf did emerge (e.g. Raschke), and bourgeois literary form was turned to good effect (Lange, Rexroth). Examples of essay work (Frankreich) have been shown to have been perceptive and sympathetic, if here and there tinged with, though not in the overall tainted by, conscious or subconscious patronising. Examples of archaeo-historical research have been

---

shown in example of *Hellas* to be, apart from its introduction and just one other, partial, exception (Kirsten), professional and objectively enlightening. An implicit rhetoric of the publication may be read as one of the German presence being a liberation from British ‘interference’, and of Greece being now an intellectual protectorate, but that is not in general the presumption of the individual texts. Further, it is here argued that, in the example of *Der Peloponnes*, similar research with added geologic, agronomic and internal-colonisation aspects has been shown to be a remarkably instructive adaptation of military cartography.

Forms of market publishing may also be distinguished. Specifically commissioned Baedekers, as in the examples of *Die Normandie/Die Bretagne* in France, find no direct single-volume counterparts in Greece; there, *Der Peloponnes* corresponds to *Die Normandie* in geographic remit, but under its sub-title of *Landschaft, Geschichte, Kunststätten* are offered comprehensive surveys rather than guide-book checklists. Though *Frankreich* and *Hellas* were produced as large-format presentation volumes, the *Feuilleton*-style content of the former is wholly absent in the latter: *Hellas* is an academic *Festschrift* dedicated to ancient Greece. As commissioned works of cultural appreciation all these publications were implicitly political in intent, but manifest political intent, excepting the introduction to *Hellas* as already remarked on here, is absent from the writing of the contributors and sole authors. The publications on France and Greece thus range from the instructive or densely informative to the leisurely feuilletonistic, to the intensely impressionistic. At the other end of the market scale there was an undemanding product for consumption by the ordinary occupation soldier, as for example, from 1943, *Nacht unter Sternen*, sub-titled *Weihnachtsbuch für den deutschen Soldaten in Norwegen*.975 Fronted by *Edda* epigrams, sentimental seasonal stories – from the Norwegian also – and including a 1939 ‘Norwegian Diary’ piece from Walter Bauer present an impression of a land and culture as though already peacefully absorbed into the Germanic

Völkergemeinschaft of the new Europe – exactly as intended in the Kulturpolitik of Goebbels and Rosenberg.\textsuperscript{976}

The literary sketchbook and diary genres pursued by Bauer, Böhmer, Henkels, Leitgeb, Raschke and Tank were by definition more personal in impressions and reflection, but even there, circumspection was a pre-condition of publication. Martin Raschke’s journal sketches, “Im Schatten der Front”,\textsuperscript{977} include an (anti-communist) Antigone story,\textsuperscript{978} and his Zwiegespräche im Osten\textsuperscript{979} is a pseudo-philosophical flying, musing on questions of the war and its meaning for its (German) participants, but these latter, though literarily experimental appear, after censorship, politically conformist.\textsuperscript{980} Censorship alone, however, may not necessarily account in over two chapters of Zwiegespräche im Osten for such utterances, set against the backdrop of a burning Russian village, as: “Vorm Kriege ist alles gleich,”\textsuperscript{981} or (invoking Philip of Macedon), “Er erkannte die blutige Münze, um die wir allein dem Schicksal ein wenig Größe abhandeln.”\textsuperscript{982}

The conventional fictional forms of the novel and the novella were applied, by H. G. Rexroth and Horst Lange respectively, with creditable effect in critical commentary on the war, as war, in the East. In the German philhellenic disposition Erhart Kästner found a cloak for allusion and metaphor. That Kästner was a brilliant stylist added a dazzle factor which deflected penetration by the censor. Only Felix Hartlaub, writing covertly, could pen sardonic parody, but that, too, cloaked as idiosyncratic whimsy so as to pass for oblique nonsense, were it discovered. Since discovery was always a possibility and since eventual publication could not be envisaged beyond the prevailing system, resort was made for camouflage in the Paris sketches to ingenious codes of colour and mood. Hartlaub’s last sketches, from the war-diary headquarters, where the mood was already cynical and decadent, eschewed allegorical camouflage and used instead a magic realism

\textsuperscript{977} For publication history, see Haefs & Schmitz: Martin Raschke (Dresden: Thelem, 2002), pp. 263-64.
\textsuperscript{978} See ibid., p. 261.
\textsuperscript{979} Martin Raschke: Zwiegespräche im Osten (Leipzig: Paul List, 1942).
\textsuperscript{980} See Haefs & Schmitz, op. cit., p. 264.
\textsuperscript{981} Raschke: Zwiegespräche (1942), p. 47.
\textsuperscript{982} Ibid., p. 53.
for satirical parody on the disconnection from reality prevalent in the seclusion of the dictator’s theatrically named lairs. Discovery at this point could well have proved fatal: the first and longest of the last sketches set directly in the Sperrkreis surrounding the Hitler headquarters, “Im Dickicht des Südostens”, is in fact extraordinarily frank on the disarray on the Balkan front and on the climate of fear following the 20th of July plot and even includes, unthinkable in publication terms, an imagined denunciation.983

Kästner’s Griechenland was not re-published in the original text, but precisely because of its metaphysical impressions and intimations of the aura of the antique in the Greek landscape, still reads as a call to the sublime. That such a call, in wartime, was an elitist and escapist resort is the substance of the ethical criticism on Kästner. Why Kästner’s wartime oeuvre should not be judged against peer literature on classical appreciation is less clear – Walter Bauer’s Wanderer im Süden (1938) offers a parallel. A sequence of five chapters deals with the perception of the Greek aura in southern Italy. Bauer begins with Goethe’s voyage to Sicily in the Italienische Reise, noting that Goethe was accompanied by the artist, Kniep, who provided the illustrations. As the focalising voice, Bauer paraphrases Goethe’s own preoccupation with an Arcadian antique:

...das Meer der Griechen und Römer, das Meer Nausikaas und Iphigenies, und des irrenden Odysseus und das Meer von Salamis […] Das Wasser […] ist ein Teil des Elementes, alt und jungfräulich, das Griechenland berührt. […] …das Mittlemeer, das griechische Wasser.984

Like Kästner, Bauer celebrates the light of the South: the landscape is lichtüberschüttet; the light is von unsäglicher Klarheit (p. 95). The sea is unirdisch blau – das Meer der alten Welt (p. 97). That the sea is unearthly is the distinction that Bauer makes. Goethe had wondered at the beautiful Tenne, barn floor, that the retreating tide had left in the lagoon of Venice: Bauer places him on the margin of “dieses ungeheuren, wogenden Silberfeldes” (p. 82), another land metaphor. Goethe’s ship had not simply put to sea, but had sich endgültig vom Lande befreit (p. 87). At Paestum, Bauer perceives the inaudible: das Unhörbare dieser Abendstunde: das tiefe, leidlose Weben der Erde (p. 115). The stillness of the Earth, die Stille der Erde, surrounds him (p.

119); he listens to the heartbeat of the Earth: *ich lauschte dem Herzschlag der Erde* (p. 120). Bauer dedicates a chapter to “Das Licht von Agrigent”, where the evening light clothes the temple columns *wie eine kostbare, rötliche Haut* (p. 112), and stays to see *wie das Licht von der alten Erde fortging*; quoting Hölderlin, “O Geist der uns erzog, […] und du, o Licht, und du, o Mutter Erde!”: the Earth is the source of light (p. 113). Light awakens longings in him, is the sentimental, the unobtainable: *die Farbe des Gewölbes […] die Sehnsucht erweckenden grünlichen Blau* (p. 115).

Bauer’s metaphor of a pulsating Earth is predominantly aural, whereas Kästner’s overarching metaphor is visual. What the American poet Richard Wilbur terms “the water’s blue, which is the shade of thought” and “the sky’s blue speech”985 are almost in a literal sense such for Kästner. The land receives the spectrum of light which seems to issue from the prism of the sky, and which the sea returns; hence blue, the dominant colour in his working of language as series and clusters of word-picture cells, *Bild-Zelle*.986 Kästner’s theory of language as a system of communicated images is formulated in his last work: *Aufstand der Dinge*,987 but is already and most uninhibitedly displayed in the wartime works. The animation of inanimate landscape features, as if these were still in a state of rapid tectonic formation, is a feature of the writing.988 Light, however, is the supreme agent of a self-aware animation. In *Griechische Inseln*, the extended preliminaries to a Greek wedding are brought to order by the *Pappas*, who is described signally: “das weiße Haar […] gewandet in leuchtendes Rot […] bedeckt vom schwarzen Rundhut […] Kreuz aus Silber […] Der Goldstrom des Abendlichts fiel auf ihm und blendete ihn […] verehrenswerte Gestalt, vom Lichte umflossen”.989 This burst of colour and light is the first after ten pages of the account, and spotlights the high ceremonial moment of the wedding. The evening celebrations which follow are conducted under moonlight: “Der Mond überschmolz alles mit weißem, rinnendem Licht. […] erweckte das Lied dieses südlichen Dorfs […]. Es hob sich empor, dieses Lied, in die

987 See ibid., pp. 289-92.
989 Kästner: *Griechische Inseln*, p. 130, “Hochzeit”.
stumpfblaue Nacht, in den ewigen Vorrat von Blau, aus dem alles geschöpft ist." Colour thus applied supplies not only image and mood, but a language register deriving directly from images and denoted in word coinages and metaphors of animation. The whole enterprise was that of offering a humanist counter-image to the wartime reality, which image however, as Hiller von Gaertringen explicates, was also availed of by the propaganda services. That point is not contested here. What should be accorded due recognition is the literarily innovative construction of an alternative world view which, illuminated in cascading images of light, outshone the then overtly prevailing presumptions of the official view.

Felix Hartlaub’s Paris sketches, not published in German as a self-contained work until April 2011, still read as a literally atmospheric impressionist portrait of a city and as a tribute to that city’s impregnability against alien possession, as distinct from mere occupation, a metaphysical notion well conveyed, again, by lines of Richard Wilbur:

A gardener works before the heat of day
[...]
He and the cook alone
Receive the morning on their old estate,
Possessing what the owners can but own.

(A Summer Morning)  

Durs Grünbein’s afterword to the new edition of the Paris sketches is an expanded version of his 1996 essay, “Einer wie Felix Hartlaub”, and suggests that Hartlaub anticipated the experiments of Arno Schmidt and Walter Kempowski. Schmidt developed the notion of the ‘etym’, by which language operates at two levels: that of conscious direct communication, and through neologisms which emerge from a reservoir of language fragments in the subconscious. Kempowski adopted a technique of free association to eliminate biased retrospective interpretation. The Paris sketches (vide supra 5.6., 5.10.) are characterised in like mode by a Joycean parataxis, neologic compounds, and an avoidance of interpretation.

990 Ibid., p. 133.
993 Wilbur: New and Collected Poems, p. 188.
994 See also Furness and Humble: Twentieth Century German Literature, pp. 163, 258.
Erhard Göpel’s *Die Bretagne* and *Die Normandie* are the modestly aspiring works of an art historian who, as his friend Erhart Kästner said of him, was, exceptionally for a *Kunstschreiber*, one who avoided all show of erudition and who dared show warmth for objects, and with his unaffected style succeeded supremely at art concealing art. Horst Lange’s *Die Leuchtkugeln* remains an allusively compelling portrait of the artist, in this instance a composer, who courted popularity at the expense of his art and who seeks redemption in solitariness and self-sacrifice. Hermann Georg Rexroth’s *Der Wermutstrauch*, ‘the wormwood bush’, carries in its title the bitter experience of war and in its text an imagist and psychologically insightful picture of a war whose scale and reach was beyond normative comprehension.

Writing became for Kästner, as Hiller von Gaertringen has noted, a psychotherapeutic survival strategy. As Kästner himself reflected during his internment in the Egyptian desert; by writing, the writer fathomed himself, and the re-creation of experience, even when not improving on or sparing reality, was of itself a creative act:

> Wenn das Schreiben überhaupt einen Sinn hat, dann ist es der, daß man sich dabei selber ergründet; [...] Jede Erzählung von etwas Erlebtem verklärt, auch wenn sie nicht im banalen Sinne verschön, nur durch die wiedererschaffende Kraft, die auch eine Schöpferkraft ist.

The *Zeltbuch von Tumilad*, the title spelled by the author on purely euphonic grounds with the variant Tumilad instead of Tumilat, may well be considered here a transition book, since it was written, literally, in the transition period from military service to release into post-war civilian life. It twice invokes an epigram which in context appears to express only the beginning of Kästner’s preoccupation with a personal philosophy: “Was ist der Mensch? Ein Träger von Bildern, die nicht mitteilbar sind.” Language as system of communicated images afforded therefore not denotative syntagms, but only associative images, whereby traumatic impressions could be conveyed; this for Kästner as for all the Occupation writers.

---

999 Kästner: *Zeltbuch von Tumilad*, pp. 9, 147.
Of the occupation quotidien: fear for livelihood, hunger, latent terror, there is little explicit in these works. Kästner in *Griechenland* (vide supra 4.7.) does indicate the catastrophic scale of the Greek hunger, but must remain oblique as to its root causes. Kästner’s perception is often suspended in light, or the light of the past, above the terrestrial. Hartlaub paints a picture of a subdued Paris, but substitutes the fabric of the city metonymically for its population. In the East, the land offers no cultural-intellectual point of contact, and its vastness of itself casts doubt on the possibility of its subjugation (vide supra 3.3.). Correspondingly, the Eastern writings are introspective studies of character under stress, in which the indigenous population is not encountered as a functioning societal whole. From all three theatres: from France, the contemporarily sophisticated West; from Greece, the imagined land of a Hellenist education; from the East, the threatening unknown, the writings, by the common fact of their inevitably creative re-creation of historical realities, are literary constructs. For their particular merits argued, the several works are each distinguished literary or scholarly achievements, and within the limited remit afforded some of the writers, signal attainments. There is work that is unsentimental, non-partisan, and humane. There is also skilled literary adaptation and innovation (Hartlaub, Kästner; Lange, Rexroth); elsewhere there is honest, journeyman talent, and elsewhere still, high academic professionalism.

In sum, this was a literary output that sought, with some success, to attest to civilised values despite the contradiction in its authors’ situations. The reports of murderous methods which must on occasion have reached the authors’ ears were among many other accounts of mass death and destruction. The full script of the exploitative hegemonic and ruthlessly genocidal ultimate plan in which they were actors was not known to them. The writers, largely the products of the Wilhelmine education system, had found themselves catapulted into a second period of continental upheaval, the embarkation on which the German military leadership itself had sought to avoid.1000 An

---

evaluation of the authors’ work with historicist detachment requires recognition of these facts.
Appendix
The Hartlaub Paris MS: commentary.

As indicated in the prefatory note, the author had compared the manuscript texts of the Hartlaub writings from France with the fullest published text available at the time of examination, that of the Ewenz (2002) edition. The conclusions of Seibt,\(^{1001}\) which are extrapolative, were borne out by the incidence of error and omission encountered in the course of this author’s examination. The Ewenz text was, however, found to be faithful and accurate where the MS was of reasonable legibility and free of deletions and insertions, though some omissions, particularly from the longer, later sketches, correspond to passages of miniscule and hurried script in Hartlaub’s handwriting. The MS referred to here is that appearing in the two handwritten notebooks in the *Deutsches Literatur Archiv* at Marbach which contain the ‘Paris’ sketches. The Ewenz edition reproduces the sketches in the order in which they occur in these notebooks. Deviations noted are commented on here below. The difficulties of faithfully reproducing the actual MS text in print and of faithfully divining the sense intended by the hand of the author will be apparent from the observations following. The anacoluthic style and the haste in which the originals are written sometimes result in unfixed subtleties of meaning – these in themselves one of the pleasures to be had from their author – and reason for pondering here what might have come from his own editorship.

“Rubrik: Tout seul oder: Le civil équivoque”
Final paragraph of MS begins with the sentence *Aber er kennt seinen Métroplan besser als die Einheimischen, “Havre-Cammartin, onze heures”*. Ewenz 2002 I [p. 46] omits the text between the quotation marks, which is restored in the 2007 edition.

“Place Pigalle”

---

“Die Bergèren, Diwane”
Ewenz 2002 [p. 54] supplies the word “vorbei” in dazu zieht noch der nächste Laden an seinem Kopf vorbei. The word is absent in the MS and in the associated typescript, and accordingly omitted in the 2007 edition.

“Abendspaziergang”
“Abendspaziergang” begins with Das Panthéon von der Île Saint Louis aus gesehen, durch die breite Bresche der Rue Jean de Bellay. At this point Ewenz 2002 [p. 55] omits four lines of text which appear on the left-hand opposite page of the MS over a rough sketch of Notre Dame and the Île de Saint Louis. These lines re-appear in the 2007 edition as Ein mächtiger Himmelsraum liegt da, in den die Häuser und Dachermassen in ein paar wimmelnden Treffen hineindrängen, mit ihren zerrissenen Kämmen, Schieferklippen, Kaminen. A single dash referring, in strict grammatical usage, back to the preceding text, connects: – aber das gerade, unendlich lange Dach des Pantheon legt sich darüber und schafft einen geraden Horizont, über den sich nur die Kuppel [gap in MS] erheben darf, von einem ruhigen, gemessenen Atemholen geschwelt[.]. The second reference to the Panthéon, darüber, makes it clear that the additional text is an expansion of the original opening, and it is equally clear from the completed interpolation that its syntactical incorporation was pending.

“Quai”
The MS, after …tauchen in die Farben der Häuserfronten Ewenz (2002) [p. 60] is interrupted by a table of adjectives and adjectival phrases, in French, with, alongside, a thumbnail sketch of a long building with mansard windows and beyond it a square, gothic tower – identified in the text as the Quai de la Mégisserie and the the tower of St Jacques. The table is given in the notes to the 2007 edition (Vol.II), [p.66]: délicat – suave – noble – doré – douceur – / evaporer couleur de cendre aux teintes molles? / s’éffacer jaune meurti / beige, mauve, grisatre, bleu mourant / argenté / brillant / scintillant / joyeux / pointillant. In substitution for the sombre and morbid couleur de cendre, jaune
meurti and bleu mourant Hartlaub selects a figurative verholzte, verwelkte Schmuckdistel for the corner pillars of the Tour Saint Jacques, stumpfe Gelb for the trunks of the plane trees, and a Schieferblau of the rooftops that cuts one off from the Glanzstaub, dust-glitter filled shimmer of the zartblauen Frühlingshimmel.

“Impression”
MS: Die Mauer vor der Templefront – “Défense d’uriner” – trägt auf ihrem Kamm Stachelbuketts. Ewenz 2002 [p. 67] omits the sanitary injunction, restored in the 2007 edition, which in the MS is the more effective for the classical contrast and is part of the subversive tone of the piece.

“Dächer – Quartier Saint Germain”

“Blitzmädchen”

“Il fait lourd”
MS: Sie wechseln sich alle Augenblicke die Beine. Ewenz 2002 [p. 79] reverses and alters this to Alle Augenblicke wechseln sie die Beine. The subject is draught horses waiting, harnessed, by the side of the street in the July heat. The animals are in some discomfort, and the more active formulation of the MS seems better to convey that. The reversal is not corrected in the 2007 edition.

“St Cloud – Allé des Marnes”

“Rückfahrt von Fontainbleu”

MS: *Endlose Alleen, schon vollgezogen mit blauem Schatten, nur der weisse Sand des Grundes macht sie leb.* Ewenz 2002 [p. 87] omits the uncompleted latter phrase, which is restored, omitting the stricken final word without speculative completion, in the 2007 edition.

The MS resumes with *kommen auf den Bahndamm zugeeilt, teilen einen Augenblick lang.* Ewenz (2002) fails to incorporate from this point a text of twelve or thirteen words, six of which are struck out in the MS. The passage is restored in the 2007 edition as *teilen einen Augenblick lang* [gap in MS] *gleich ist Laubmasse* [gap in MS] *wie Wasser, das man geritzt hat.*


“Le Rendezvous manqué – der versetzte Sieger”


“Hof Hotel Sully. Rue Saint Honoré”

und die bleigraue Gosse davon abgesetzt. [gap in MS]. This passage continues (in both editions) as Die halbe Dolde einer Geranie, ein paar winzige rote Farbschuppen, ganz nah und warm [gap in MS]. The botanical exactitude of Dolde (umbel) and the identification of the classically formed dormer windows as shrine-like aedicula are examples of the excess of precision which Plard identified as the principle of Hartlaub’s estrangement technique.1002

“Verdunkelt”
MS: Die wenigen Lampen mit ihren langen schwarzen Pappmanschetten schütten [gap in MS] auf die Carrefours. Glastafeln, Goldschrift an Läden, Die goldenen Tierköpfe der Boucherien[,] Cafés nehmen matte Funken davon auf. In the 2002 edition [p. 101], Die goldenen Tierköpfe der Boucherien appears at the end of this passage, and as a separate sentence, thereby losing the coupled sense that the lustre of the gold script of the shop fronts and the gilded animal heads of the butcher shops both reflect in the glazed café fronts. The 2007 edition is faithful to the MS.

“Rond Point”
MS: title and one line of script on the right-hand, title page. This script is reproduced as a note in the 2007 edition (II), [p. 70] as Rond Point de la Porte verte, ...de la bonne expérience ... Die braunvioletten Wege kommen darauf zu [break in MS] . On the opposite left-hand page twelve lines of script with references to the Paris roofscape and skyscape appear. This text is not referred to in the commentary of the 2002 edition and is not reproduced in connection with “Rond Point” in the 2007 edition.

“Boulevard Montmartre”
MS: Hemdsarmelige in den Fenstern liegen. Ewenz 2002 [p. 103] alters the passive sense of this phrase, apposite in the nightfall mood of the MS context, by placing the verb immediately after the subject. The 2007 edition follows the word order of the MS.

MS: Und das alles schiebt sich durcheinander mit wenigen Zentimetern Abstand, und bleibt doch unvermischt unaufgelöst. Jedes Blitzmädchen, den letzten Winkel des dämmrigen [gap in MS] bereit in Sekundenschnelle einen bezeichnenden Vorgang zu registrieren, ohne als Zeuge in Frage zu kommen[,] einer Schlägerei, einer Streife auszuweichen, im Metroschacht zu verschwinden. Ewenz 2002 [p. 106] indicates by ellipsis a cessation of this passage in the MS after unvermischt, though the MS, skipping just half a line, continues. The 2007 edition renders the full text. There follows in the MS an eight-line section of script in pencil, cross-hatched and partly erased, which may be a continuation of the description of the Blitzmädchen as nervously alert and conscious to display blameless behaviour, as they were depicted in the eponymously titled “Blitzmädchen” sketch, but this text is not reconstructed in either edition.

MS: Nur im Westen [gap in MS] und gerade dort, in dem eisigen unbrennbaren Lichtäther, keimen zitternd die ersten Sterne. Die Strasse wird schon leerer. Ewenz 2002 [p. 106] renders keimen, budding, so apposite to the sense of newly appearing stars, as kreisen; the also omits the second syllable in leerer, both mistranscriptions corrected in the 2007 edition.

MS: Die wenigen einzelnen Gäste der Caféterrasse fühlen ihren Seltenheitswert von Minute zu Minute steigen. Immer länger, immer häufiger stolziere die Mädchen in den Buchten [gap in MS] Wo sie hinblicken, finden sie dasselbe breite weisse Gesicht, die glänzenden [gap im MS] Augen. This passage is repeated with slight variation in the MS, where it appears to disrupt contiguity; it appears once only in the 2002 edition. Both instances of the passage are reproduced in the 2007 edition [pp. 106, 108].


“Die Hochburg”


MS: Den milit. Gruss begegnender Landser erwidert er ernst, doch mit einer gewissen Ausgelöschtheit (Hat sich angeblich früher verschiedentlich freiwillig gemeldet, aber, Sie wissen ja, hier kommt keiner los.) This text, part of a passage conveying the varyingly affected reactions of a dandy in diplomatic uniform to the Nazi and to the military salutes and its parenthetical interior monologue is omitted from the 2002 edition and restored in the 2007 edition [p. 111].


MS: ältere Herren der B[otschaft] zumeist, die schon von »avant« datieren. The qualifying clause here gives the reason for the slight additional courtesy extended by the French ‘governess’ to the older and longer-serving German officials who pre-date the Nazi regime, and is omitted from the 2002 edition, but restored in the 2007 edition [p. 112].


MS: an Kommoden, bahûts etc. großer Mangel, and omits bahûts, ‘chests’, from the same passage. The 2007 [p. 112] edition renders the MS correctly in both instances.

MS: Die beiden jungen Herren essen abends öfter zusammen auf dem Zimmer. Ein im office bestelltes, mit eigenen Victualien untermauertes souper. »Ölsardinen –Kunsthonig – an der Quelle sass der Knabe. [...]« The 2002 edition truncates and mistranscribes Hartlaub’s embedded quotation from Schiller’s “Der Jüngling am Bache” as aus der Quelle. Since Schiller’s poem ends with the lines “Raum ist in der kleinsten Hütte / für ein glücklich liebend Paar.” the suggested significance of the subsequent sudden departure
from the hotel of the epicurean same-sex German couple following a visit from a brown-shirted, blue-suited, black-leather overcoated official is lost. The correct transcription appears in the 2007 edition [p. 112].

Taking “Die Hochburg” as a case in point, Seibt (2003), citing Christian Hartwig Wilke’s reaction to the Ewenz 2002 edition and itemising these latter two misreadings among others, statistically extrapolates the probable misreadings throughout the 2002 edition at between one and two thousand.\footnote{See Seibt: “Im Sperrkreis des Dilettantismus”, loc. cit.}

A high frequency of small omissions and misreadings is evident from a comparison of the “Hochburg” MS with Ewenz 2002 text: e.g., *Alors, excusez moi* omitted before a supplied feminine to *bon[ne] nuit*, where Hartlaub has actually written *bon soir* [2007, p. 112]; the blackout instructions so casually observed here by the hotel staff at the Hotel D’Orsay come from the Stadtkommandant [2002, p.111], or the Sûreté, as the MS adds; the MS title of one of three songs sung by Lucienne Boyer is omitted [2002, p. 112]; the *unbefangene vainquers* of the MS telephone with the Aussenwelt, not with the Aussenstelle of the Ewenz text [2002, p. 114]; the *alte deutsche Admiral* of the MS has a *Bursche–Assistent*, the first half of this compound being omitted in Ewenz 2002 [p. 115], and the indecipherable *Ogouecke*, for which the 2007 edition [p. 116] suggests Hartlaub may have intended the gastronomic coining *Hautgoûtecke*, is rendered in the 2002 edition simply as *die Bar*. In the penultimate paragraph of the piece a collective narrating consciousness reflects on that to which it was party during invasion of Belgium and on the current prospect of sudden and unwelcome reassignment to active duty, such as has already befallen some comrades. A group discard overcoats on entering: *Beim ablegen helfen sie sich* – the phrase is omitted in the 2002 edition [p. 116] – cuff-links are shaken free, hair sleeked back, the room surveyed and the appropriate bows, arm salutes and heel-clicks transmitted to a far corner, then gourmet discussion and gossip wholly engage the attention. Hartlaub’s portrayal of the German military and diplomatic staff quartered in the Hôtel d’Orsay is particularly rich in closely observed minutiae, from which even small omissions and misreadings detract.
“Das eroberte Ministerium”

MS: six words with a following ellipsis, *und der Kies ist bald gar-gesotten* ..., are omitted in Ewenz 2002 after *die goldenen Lanzen zittern* [p. 118]. The reference is to the German guards at the French foreign ministry, lounging in armchairs in the open in the July heat beside the dialect ‘boiled’ (*gesotten*) gravel of the ministry garden path. As the sketch ends with the line *Im Garten rufen die Amseln, der Posten stampft den Kies*, a motif thereby goes unnoticed. The 2007 edition [p. 119] restores the full text.

MS: in a passage dealing with the effect of the leaden July heat on the roofscape, the Hartlaub script appears to read: *die Invalidenkuppel ist unerträgliches bleissen* – leaden, an adjectival coining, but both the 2002 and 2007 editions [p. 120, p. 121] render the word as *Gleissen*, a substantive derived from *gleißen*, ‘to gleam’ or ‘to glisten’.

MS: *die Bücher schmoren eng gepresst hinter engmaschigem Draht – teils fast leer.*


MS: »*Le commissaire spécial d’Annemasse ... division spéciale de police ... direction générale de la sûreté nationale etc. La nommée X, employée de M. Thyssen à Monte Carlo, se montre paisiblement hitlérienne; elle se trouve en relations intimes avec le chauffeur T...« »À propos du nommé Schneider Franz, figurant sur la cinquième liste des éléments terroristes ...«

This surveillance report in French appears on one of the carbon-copies trodden into the floor of the foreign ministry on the Quai d’Orsay, now being emptied of its archives. Cartons of papers are thrown recklessly from hand to hand down a line of German soldiers to the dismay of the watching French archivist, pointing the irony of the now otiose secrecy of the documents. The text is indicated in the 2002 edition [p. 122] only by an ellipsis, and is restored in the 2007 edition. Hartlaub describes the last-minute unavailing efforts of the archivist to secure the string bindings of the cartons before they are manhandled by the confiscation commando. More Sûreté text is glimpsed fleetingly: *Refoulés – indésirables – inconnus – »heimatlos« – Arméniens*; the archivist ceases his efforts; these trailing words in the French, indicative of his faltering resistance, are also absent in Ewenz 2002 [p. 123] and reincorporated in the 2007 edition [p. 124].
“Weltwende im Puff”

MS: Jetzt war ihm auch wieder ganz ulkig um die Rosette. Vielleicht dieses bolschewistische Fischzeug? Die Sache mit den Eisenpfeilspähnen in der Schokolade hat sich ubrigens aufgeklärt. Ewenz 2002 [p. 129] gives mulmig, ‘queasy, uncomfortable’, for ulkig, ‘funny, odd, peculiar’; omits um die Rosette, and all the text after Fischzeug. The piece opens with the focal character ruminating on the plunder of the Soviet embassy, with a clear conscience but an uneasy stomach: the Russian tea had a fatty taste and the sturgeon, or what he took to be sturgeon from the illustration on the tin, was a rich but unaccustomed taste, and the late June heat is now taxing his digestion. Rumours of bizarre discoveries at the embassy – actually, the remains of hurried attempts to destroy documents – have inflamed character’s imagination to a paranoid fear of poisoning: the story about metal filings in the Soviet chocolate has been discounted, but lingers in his mind. The juxtaposition of the banal with Weltwende events is the satirical motif of this piece, some of which is lost through the omissions. The full MS text is restored in the 2007 edition [p. 130].


MS: Zu heißgebadet? Den ganzen Nachmittag zusammen in der Badewanne gelegen und sich gegenseitig an der Musike gespielt? This text, following on ...im Hinblick auf die mangelnde Kundschaft?, extends the “er” character’s surreal speculations about the odd stiltedness of his reception in the night-deserted brothel on the sultry June evening, and is omitted in Ewenz 2002 [p. 132 ], but restored in the 2007 edition [p. 134].

MS: Herkules am Scheideweg. Der war fein rausgewesen, hatte nur Dreie gegen sich, ausserdem spielte sich die Sache im Freien ab, er konnte sich jederzeit tümen. Faced with the availability of virtually the entire brothel staff, the focal character here envies the relatively simple choice of Hercules (or of Paris: the speaker confuses two legends).1004 This text occurs on one of the left hand notebook pages, usually reserved by Hartlaub for interpolations,

and continues: Aber Erich am Scheidenmarkte, Hundstags Zwangsmarkt, erbarmungsloses Auge in Auge mit unübersehbaren Auswirkungen der neueingetretenen militär-politischen Situation ... – Ein paar Worte finden, einen Witz, um die ganz schwüle Scharade zum Platzen zu bringen .... This continuation of the character’s interior monologue of disconcretion is omitted in Ewenz 2002 [p. 133] and restored in the 2007 edition [p. 134].

MS: in the bedchamber scene, the “er” character is nervous and seeks to embrace without preamble the girl, who insists on a certain decorum: während Madeleine, es war Madeleine, den Pariser unter das Kopfkissen steckte. Ewenz 2002 [p. 135] omits this text and, later, an interior monologue of the character as he settles into bed with the girl: Mais vous avez l’air d’une jeune fille, d’une petite jeune fille toute fraîche. Pourtant pas mal poilue – er hatte auch einen ganz schönen Teppich auf der Brust, – das passte eigentlich garnicht in das Gesamtbild. He has made a ridiculous figure, tangled up in his own cufflinks; is aware that he brings a kind of barrack-room chill with him; has misgivings, and is happy to have accomplished the act at all, since the woman does not in any case interest him. The interior reflections belie the outward indifference of the character’s behaviour and are symptomatic of the reversal of superiority: the privileged Occupation client, though not an insensitive specimen, is unsure of himself in the face of the high decorum in (economic) adversity displayed by Madame and her staff. The omitted texts are restored in the 2007 edition [pp. 136, 137].

“Paar auf Montmartre”

MS: a section of interior monologue commentary of the narrator-observer persona is omitted in Ewenz 2002 [p. 136] following War aber alles simuliert! The MS continues from this point: [W]ie ein Einsteiger[,] Bei leerem Magen fällt der Mittagsschlaf flach[,] Da war alles hellwach, dem summte der Kohldampf in den Schläfen, Spinnen kitzelten die Herdplatte. Dieser Geruch nach heissen Ziegeln und eingetrockneter Schiffe! Und wenn dann mal irgendwo ein Essgeruch dahergezogen kam: das war wie ein Hornstoss, mächtig laut, aber langgezogen, aus einem gewundenen, heissen Messingdarm. Das war dann eine Sensation, das machte einen ganz besoffen,warf die Katzen von der Fensterbank und brachte die Vogelbauer zum
Kochen. Und die heissen buckligen Pflastersteine hatten ihn in Nullkommanix weggeschnobert. The ill-humour of the soldier escort, disenchanted with his lady companion, oppressed by the refractory summer heat of the Montmartre limestone and alienated by Paris is conveyed in the surreal digestive ruminations here. The passage is integral to the interior monologue portrait of the uncomprehending Occupation soldier which the whole sketch is. The 2007 edition [p. 138] reinstates the missing text.

MS: two-and-a-half lines of struck-out but legible script are omitted in both the 2002 [p. 137] and 2007 [p. 140] editions, preceding Na, und diese Strassen!.

MS: Man sah auf ein ganz unbekanntes Stück Stadt hinunter, das hatte wahrscheinlich garkeinen richtigen Namen, eine ganz abgelegene Angelegenheit, am Arsch der Welt. Ewenz, 2002 [p. 137] omits ein ganz unbekanntes Stück Stadt hinunter, das hatte wahrscheinlich garkeinen richtigen Namen, thereby curtailing the speculation of the observer, the ignorant soldier tourist overwhelmed by the extent of Paris, the rival urban civilisation which in rejection he seeks to relegate to provincial status. The full MS text appears in the 2007 edition [p. 140].

Recognising, belatedly, what Wilke (1967) had achieved with limited access to the original Hartlaub papers, Geno Hartlaub in her afterword to Im Sperrkreis, the 1984 edition of her brother’s work,1005 acknowledged the need for a full philological edition. Such a full edition, reproducing all Hartlaub’s archived correspondence, has yet to appear. Marko (1987) regretted that for lack of funding and for lack of political-intellectual interest, no philologically reliable, historical-critical edition had to that point been published.1006 Marko’s comment, coming just two years before the end of the cold war, is pertinent. Müller (1997)1007 noted in a postscript to her dissertation that an academically funded edition of Hartlaub was then in planning: this was the Ewenz edition of 2002 which Rowohlt redactors1008 corrected and re-issued with enlarged notes in September 2007 – the notes on the Paris sketches alone

1005 See Geno Hartlaub (ed.): Im Sperrkreis, afterword (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1984), p. 213.
1008 Andrej Angrick, Hajo Lust, Regine Strotbeck.
being extended from four-and-a-half to thirteen-and-a-half pages. The author found that the 2007 edition noted all the same omissions and errors of transcription evident to him from his examination of the DLA manuscripts, and more; those commented here are those uncovered by the author himself, who is indebted to the Rowohlt 2007 edition for the full and philologically correct reproduction of the passages omitted or incorrectly transcribed in the earlier edition. The 2007 edition [vol. II] also reproduces in full the fragments and jottings found in the Paris notebooks. The object of the textual commentary offered here is not to draw attention to what has already been corrected, but rather, discovering from defect, to point up a dense subtlety in miniature, cognizance of which is a prerequisite to any reading of Hartlaub.

A graphical curiosity of the Hartlaub notebooks is that only the two Paris notebooks contain illustrations, these on the left-hand pages which Hartlaub reserved for insertions and revisions. Neither the notebook from his doctoral study time in Berlin, 1938/39, nor the notebook containing the sketches from his 1939/40 military service in the Wilhelmshaven area, nor the single notebook from the Ukraine contain any such marginal sketches. Graphologically, it is also noteworthy that alone the handwriting of the Wilhelmshaven notebook is consistently legible throughout, with regularly formed characters. The other notebooks, particularly the succeeding Paris and Ukraine notebooks, display an increasingly hurried script with diminished and in places, were it not for the syntactical context, wholly indistinguishable character formation.

Even at the war-diary headquarters, with access to a typewriter, private writing had to be done surreptitiously, and Hartlaub managed to produce typescripts only of the thirteen-page novel outline and of the final sketch, “Der Zug in den Abgrund”. All the manuscript notebooks, however, do share a common feature: a page or pages with headings, marked with an x where the heading has been used as the title of a completed sketch. There are two such pages in the black-covered Paris notebook [DLA 93.17.38] and one in the spiral-bound, buff-covered one [DLA 93.17.26]. The headings, ninety-five in all in manuscript on the three lists here mentioned and now transcribed in the

---

1009 Ewenz (ed.): In den eigenen Umriss gebannt (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2007).
1010 See Wilke: Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs, p. 94.
notes to the 2007 edition, Vol. II, pp. 64, 68, 72-3, 73-74 together with two other, shorter listings also transcribed in the 2007 edition notes, Vol. II, pp. 69, 71, indicate a surplus of unworked mental material. Some of the headings are recognisable as sections incorporated in completed sketches: “Das nette Klofräulein” and “Wachlokal” for example, are developed sections within, respectively, “Die Hochburg” and “Das eroberte Ministerium”; and “Le Pornographe” is recognisable in the figure of the ‘professor’ in “Die Hochburg”. Other headings are intriguingly suggestive of actual encounters and observations: “General im Theater”, “Tafelrunde”, “Beim Tailleur”, “Rodin Mus.”, “Les Clochards”, “Der deutsche Picasso”. Had more time been granted to him in Paris, more would certainly have come from his pen then. Afterwards, had he not needed to be concerned for his ability to make a post-war living, or been urged towards further scholarship by his father (and by his war-diary superior, P.E. Schramm), had not concern for their safekeeping put his Paris notebooks out of his reach in the intervening years, more must certainly have come out of them, as they were, alone from their evocative promptings.
Bibliography

Original manuscripts and typescripts from the Felix Hartlaub papers at the Deutsches Literatur Archiv, Marbach, with the following folder references:

93.17.6 typescript of Hartlaub’s “Berliner Tagebuchblätter” (33 pages);
93.17.7 typescript, “Beschäftigung mit griechischer Mythologie”, 9 pages;
93.17.32 “Das Unglück des begabten Kindes”, fragment;
93.17.34 “Die wahre Bedeutung des Rheins” (1931), 25-page manuscript and 37-page typescript;
93.17.59 notebook diary of Hartlaub’s Italian journey, 1931, with pen and pencil sketches;
93.17.40 fragments in 28-leaf ms notebook and in typescripts;
93.17.6 typescript of Hartlaub’s “Berliner Tagebuchblätter” (33 pages);
93.17.17 manuscript of “Jan” (circa 1939-1940), 6 A5 pages, double-sided;
93.17.17 Hartlaub notebook from the Ukraine, 1942;
93.17.26 Hartlaub notebook from Paris, 1940-41;
93.17.36 Hartlaub notebook from Wilhelmshaven, Kiel, Odermünde, 1939-1940;
93.17.38 Hartlaub notebook from Paris, Romania, 1940-1941;
93.17.106 letter dated 23.10.1940 to Hartlaub from Wilhelm Scheidt of war-diary section, OKW;
93.17.105 letter dated 14.05.1941 to Hartlaub from Archive Commission;
95.76.3 Walter Dietz typescript on Felix Hartlaub (28 pages).


Balzer, Bernd: Das literarische Werk Heinrich Bölls (Munich: Deutscher Taschenbuch Verlag, 1997).


Bauer, Walter:
Wanderer im Süden (Recklingshausen: Bitter & Co., 1940)
Tagebuchblätter aus Frankreich (Dessau: Karl Rauch, 1941).
Tagebuchblätter aus dem Osten (Dessau: Karl Rauch, 1944)

accessed 31 January 2012.

de Beauvoir, Sylvie le Bon (ed.), Simone de Beauvoir, Kriegstagebuch September 1939-Januar 1941, German translation by Judith Klein (Reinbek bei Hamburg: Rowohlt, 1994).


Böhmer, Günter: Pan am Fenster (Berlin: Suhrkamp, 1943).

Böll, Heinrich:

Eine deutsche Erinnerung. Interview mit René Wintzen (Munich: Deutscher Taschenbuch Verlag, 1981)

Frankfurter Vorlesungen (Munich: Deutscher Taschenbuch Verlag, 1974)

Das Vermächtnis (Bornheim-Merten: Lamuv, 1982).

Das Vermächtnis (Cologne: Kiepenheuer & Witsch, 1990), commentaries, afterword, pp. 101-144.


Brenner, Peter:

Reisekultur in Deutschland: von der Weimarer Republik zum »Dritten Reich« (Tübingen: Max Niemeyer, 1997).


Danilenko, Vladimir: “German Occupation of Kiev in 1941-1943: Documents of the Nazi-controlled City Administration.”
1 February 2012.


Denkler, Horst:


Ewenz, Gabriele Lieselotte (ed.): Felix Hartlaub. “In den eigenen Umriss gebannt” (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 2002/2007), two volumes.

Feuersenger, Marianne: Mein Kriegstagebuch: zwischen Führerhauptquartier und Berliner Wirklichkeit (Freiburg im Breisgau: Herder, 1982).


Fleischer, Hagen:
Im Kreuzschatten der Mächte. Griechenland 1941-1944 (Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 1986).


Hiller von Gaertringen, Julia, Freifrau:


27 January 2012.

„Antike und Christentum. Die Griechenlandbücher Erhart Kästners“
27 January 2012.

27 January 2012.

„Erhart Kästner. Ein Annenser und unbeirrbarer Humanist“
27 January 2012.


Göpel, Erhard:
*Der Buchbinder Ignatz Wiemeler* (Leipzig, Vienna: Brünn, 1938).

*Die Bretagne: Volkstum · Geschichte · Kunst.* (Paris: army headquarters, 1940).


*Deutsche Holzschnitte des XX. Jahrhunderts* (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1955).


Grübined, Durs:


Hartlaub, Geno (ed.):


*Im Sperrkreis,* foreword (Hamburg-Basel: Rowohlt, 1955), pp. 5-10.


Hartlaub, Geno:


Interview with Sigrid Weigel reproduced in Der Mond hat Durst (Frankfurt am Main: Zweitausendeins, 1986), pp. 125-159


Heller, Gerhard & Grand, Jean: In einem besetzten Land. Leutnant Heller und die Zensur in Frankreich 1940-1944 (Bergisch Gladbach: Bastei-Lübbe, 1982).

Henkels, Walter: Östliche Silhouetten (Berlin: Scherl, 1943).


Hoffman; Dieter (ed.): Hinweis auf Martin Raschke (Heidelberg: Lambert Schneider, 1963).


Inwood, Michael: Heidegger (Freiburg: Herder, 1999).


Kafka, Franz: Betrachtung (Leipzig: Rowolt, 1913), facsimile reprint (Frankfurt am Main: Fischer, 1994).

Kästner, Anita and Kästner, Reingart: Erhart Kästner. Leben und Werk in Daten und Bildern (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1980).

Kästner, Erhart:

Zeltbuch von Tumilad (Wiesbaden: Insel, 1949)
“Wiedersehen mit Griechenland”. In: Schwäbische Landeszeitung (SLZ) 03.05.1952.
“Enttäuschte Liebe zu den Deutschen”. In: Schwäbische Landeszeitung (SLZ) 31.05.1952.
Aristide Maillol. Hirtenleben (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1957).

Offener Brief an die Königin von Griechenland (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1973).

Kreta. Aufzeichnungen aus dem Jahre 1943 (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1975).

Griechische Inseln 1944 (Frankfurt am Main, Insel, 1975).


Lange, Horst: *Die Leuchtkugeln. Drei Erzählungen* (Hamburg: H. Goverts, 1944)


Raabe, Paul: *Erhart Kästner. Briefe* (Frankfurt am Main: Insel, 1984).


Schiller, Friedrich: *Kallias oder über die Schönheit; Über Anmut und Würde* (Stuttgart: Reclam, 1993)

*Über die ästhetische Erziehung des Menschen* (Stuttgart: Reclam, 1993)


Seibt, Gustav: “Im Sperrkreis des Dilettantismus”, in: Süddeutsche Zeitung, 6 February 2003, p. 16.

Severin, Rudiger: Spuren des Flaneurs in deutschsprachiger Prosa (Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 1988).


Tank, Kurt Lothar: Pariser Tagebuch 1938 1939 1940 (Berlin: S.Fischer, 1941).


Tiedemann, Rolf (ed.): Walter Benjamin: Gesammelte Schriften, V.2 (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp, 1982).


Wilke, Christian-Hartwig:  
*Die letzten Aufzeichnungen Felix Hartlaubs* (Bad Homburg: Gehlen, 1967).


Wittrock, Christine: “Das Frauenbild in faschistischen Texten und seine Vorläufer in der bürgerlichen Frauenbewegung der zwanziger Jahre” (Frankfurt am Main: Johann Wolfgang von Goethe Universität, 1981 [dissertation]); also as *Weiblichkeitsmythen* (Frankfurt am Main: Vervuert, 1988).

