



## Perspektiven auf globale Politik

### AFK-EUPRA – 1: ETHNIC DIVIDED SOCIETIES

12. März 2017 · von jungeafk · in AFK-EUPRA 2017 · [Hinterlasse einen Kommentar](#)

#### AFK-EuPRA – Panel 1: Ethnic Divided Societies

Chair: Johannes Vüllers (University of Konstanz, Germany)

Presenters:

#### Mitja Sienknecht (Viadrina University of Frankfurt/Oder, Germany): Strategies of Inclusion – International Organizations as Part of Intrastate Conflicts

The paper deals with the communicative construction of conflicts and uses a system-theoretical approach that helps to understand intrastate conflicts as social (parasitic) systems in World Society. Based on the theoretical assumption that the conflict-specific “logic of operation” tends to perpetuate and to integrate more and more actors, topics and resources into the conflict system, the paper analyzes the communicative de- and rebordering of ethno-political conflicts. Of special interest are the relations between non-state conflict parties and International Organizations (IOs) – a structural relationship, often initiated by the non-state group and aiming for a normative or institutional inclusion of the IO in the conflict system. The aim of this paper is to demonstrate how politically excluded (ethnic) minority groups do address international organizations (UN, EU, etc.) of the world-political system and thereby try to overcome the blocked communication channels on the national level. On the basis of the Kurdish conflict in Turkey, the communicative



Folgt uns per E-Mail:

Schließe dich 1.214 Followern  
an

SUCHE

#### LETZTE KOMMENTARE

- Kommentar zu Ein „westafrikanisches Afghanistan“? Staatskrise in Mali und Herausforderungen an eine ECOWAS-Intervention von Ein “westafrikanisches Afghanistan”? Zur Staatskrise in Mali und der bevorstehenden

inclusion of the EU into the Turkish-Kurdish conflict system will be explained. It is concluded that IOs are not located outside of the conflict system – as it is often conceptualized in peace and conflict studies – but rather, that they are part of the conflict system due to the emergence of structures of expectations between the local (minority group) and the global level (IOs).

### **Nils-Christian Bormann (University of Exeter, UK): Power-Sharing Coalitions and Ethnic Civil War**

How do coalition governments affect the risk of civil war onset in ethnically divided societies? Existing research argues that power-sharing coalitions decrease the risk of civil war because they redress grievances. Building on a formal model of coalition formation, we predict that ethnic elites are most likely to form oversized rather than minimum-winning coalitions in anticipation of future challenges to the regime. Put differently, we expect most power-sharing to occur where the risk of regime-threatening civil war is highest.

Rational group leaders would prefer to build minimum winning coalitions to increase their own payoffs. However, in the context of civil war ethnic groups are frequently prone to fragmentation and division into competing factions. It is well understood that this makes it hard to achieve stable peace agreements and prolongs fighting. Our key insight is that the uncertainty surrounding group coherence induces a risk-return trade-off for the formateur in coalition bargaining. Coalitions that are close to a minimum winning coalition maximize the formateur's bargaining power, but potentially are unstable. In contrast, larger coalitions reduce the formateur's gain but decrease the risk of a coalition failure due to group fragmentation. We identify the costs of fighting and the risk of internal divisions as driving forces for oversizing coalitions. As the costs associated with bargaining breakdown decrease, for example in the presence of peace keeping troops, realized coalitions approach the winning coalition ideal. Similarly, as group coherences increases, coalition sizes decrease. When the approximate power and internal coherence of ethnic groups cannot be accurately gauged, ethnic elites operate in an environment of incomplete information. Uncertainty is reduced as actors learn more about other groups. Following this logic, we make a prediction about the time of civil war onsets: Power-sharing coalitions are more likely to face violence initially when uncertainty about their true capabilities is high. This risk decreases over time when only capable governments have survived.

Relying on the latest version of the Ethnic Power Relations (EPR) data, we construct all possible ethnic coalitions between 1946 and 2013 in 135 ethnically divided societies. We employ a novel two-stage statistical model that first estimates the likelihood of coalition formation and then

Intervention - Genocide  
Alert 3. September 2016

- Kommentar zu Über uns / About von Roberta Ioana 4. Mai 2016

#### TWITTER

- Blog for the AFK-EuPRA-conference 2017  
ibkommentar.wordpress.de/2017/03/12/blo...  
<https://t.co/scHhOqpIEy> | 19 hours ago
- RT @JanWilkins: Join the public lecture @unihh with @philippesands on the origins of #genocide & crimes against #humanity #eastweststreet h... | 1 month ago

#### BLOGROLL

- A View From the Cave
- Aidnography
- ArmsControlWonk
- Chris Blattman
- Göttinger Institut für Demokratieforschung
- Inner City Press
- International Law Observer
- IR Blog (Berlin)
- ISN Blog ETH Zürich
- Jihadica
- Junge UN Forschung
- Junge Wissenschaft im öffentlichen Recht
- Justice in Conflict
- Kings of War

the risk of civil war onset and find support for our hypotheses. We find that oversized coalitions are the most likely type of government in ethnically divided societies but they are very vulnerable early on in their tenure. Finally, there is no evidence that commonly considered institutional arrangements such as democratic rule, proportional representation, or authoritarian institutions impact either ethnic coalition formation or civil war risk.

### **Sebastian Schutte (BICC, Germany): Politics or Prejudice: Explaining Individual-Level Hostilities in India's Hindu-Muslim Conflict**

Understanding the dynamics of identity-based conflict remains a central challenge of contemporary peace research. A crucial case that has received decades of scholarly attention is the Hindu-Muslim conflict in India. While there is no shortage of theories explaining the causes of the continuing hostilities, empirical research has faced a trade-off between broad geographic coverage and highly disaggregated data. This paper contributes to overcoming the trade-off by combining data from an electronic survey with event-data on religious riots and tests three prominent theories of why groups go to war. The results show that individual-level exposure to negative experiences with the out-group are a strong predictor of a confrontative stance towards the out-group, which is in-line with social-psychological expectations. Concerns for future violence are also positively correlated with hostile attitudes, which lends support to the logic of a security dilemma.

- menschenhandel heute
- Mobilizing Ideas
- Opinio Juris
- Political Violence @ a Glance
- Pundicity – Aymen Jawad Al-Tamimi
- Radikale Ansichten
- Sicherheitspolitik-Blog
- Stephen M. Walt
- Syria Comment
- The Disorder of Things
- The Duck of Minerva
- The Monkey Cage
- Theorieblog
- Theorieleben
- Theory Talks
- Thought Economics
- Völkerrechtsblog
- World Peace Foundation – Reinventing Peace
- zoon politikon

#### META

- Registrieren
- Anmelden
- Beitrags-Feed (RSS)
- Kommentare als RSS
- WordPress.com

**10% WOHNAKTION**

zum Shop » *Schwab*

schwab.de

Online-Vorteil: **2 GB** (statt 1 GB)

**JETZT WECHSELN!**

congstar.de

*sheego* **ZUM SHOP »**

sheego.de

**ROLLER.de**

*Ella@HOME*  
er nord-ost

**Boxspringbett**  
Lederoptik schwarz, Absatz  
Webstoff grau, 7-Zonen-  
Taschenfederkern, inkl. Kalt-  
schaumtopper, Liegefläche ca.  
80 x 200 cm, 1246 0019/ +55

~~999.99~~

**20% SPAREN**  
**799.99**

Bewerten:

Rate This

Teilen mit:

Twitter Facebook E-Mail Google

★ Gefällt mir

Sei der Erste dem dies gefällt.

### Ähnliche Beiträge

**AFK-EuPRA – 12:**  
Regional Case  
Studies of  
In-/Exclusion of  
Ethnic or Other  
Minorities and  
Peace Education  
In "AFK-EUPRA  
2017"

**AFK-EuPRA – 18**  
(Part 1) and 23 (Part  
2): Conflict and  
Peace in Arts, Media  
and the Public  
In "AFK-EUPRA  
2017"

**AFK-EuPRA – 3 (Part  
1), 6 (Part 2) and 7  
(Part 3): Forced  
Migration and  
Inequality: The  
Production and  
Process of (Forced)  
Migration**  
In "AFK-EUPRA  
2017"

---

## Kommentar verfassen

Gib hier Deinen Kommentar ein ...

← [AFK-EuPRA – 2: Everyday Communal](#) [Blog for the AFK-EuPRA-conference 2017](#) →  
[Peace and/or Everyday Hybrid Peace in the  
Fergana Valley](#)

---

Bloggen auf WordPress.com.

☺