

Small RNA Dynamics in Cholinergic Systems

DISSERTATION
ZUR ERLANGUNG DES DOKTORGRADES
DER NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN

VORGELEGT BEIM FACHBEREICH I4
DER JOHANN WOLFGANG VON GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT
IN FRANKFURT AM MAIN

VON
SEBASTIAN LOBENTANZER
AUS SCHLÜCHTERN

FRANKFURT 2019-2020
(D30)

Vom Fachbereich 14 der Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität als Dissertation angenommen.

Dekan:

Prof. Dr. Clemens Glaubitz

Gutachter:

Prof. Dr. Jochen Klein
Prof. Dr. Hermona Soreq

Datum der Disputation:

©2019-2020 – SEBASTIAN LOBENTANZER
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

Small RNA dynamics in cholinergic systems

ABSTRACT

Natural science is only just beginning to understand the complex processes surrounding transcription. Epitranscriptional regulation is in large parts conveyed by transcription factors (TFs) and two recently discovered small RNA (smRNA) species: microRNAs (miRNAs) and transfer RNA fragments (tRFs). As opposed to the fairly well-characterised function of TFs in shaping the phenotype of the cell, the effects and mechanism of action of smRNA species is less well understood. In particular, the multi-levelled combinatorial interaction (many-to-many) of smRNAs presents new challenges to molecular biology. This dissertation contributes to the study of smRNA dynamics in mammalian cells in several ways, which are presented in three main chapters.

I) The exhaustive analysis of the many-to-many network of smRNA regulation is reliant on bioinformatic support. Here, I describe the development of an integrative database capable of fast and efficient computation of complex multi-levelled transcriptional interactions, named *miRNeo*. This infrastructure is then applied to two use cases. II) To elucidate smRNA dynamics of cholinergic systems and their relevance to psychiatric disease, an integrative transcriptomics analysis is performed on patient brain sample data, single-cell sequencing data, and two closely related *in vitro* human cholinergic cellular models reflecting male and female phenotypes. III) The dynamics between small and large RNA transcripts in the blood of stroke victims are analysed via a combination of sequencing, analysis of sorted blood cell populations, and bioinformatic methods based on the *miRNeo* infrastructure. Particularly, importance and practicality of smRNA:TF:gene feedforward loops are assessed.

In both analytic scenarios, I identify the most pertinent regulators of disease-relevant processes and biological pathways implicated in either pathogenesis or responses to the disease. While the examples described in chapters three and four of this dissertation are disease-specific applications of *miRNeo*, the database and methods described have been developed to be applicable to the whole genome and all known smRNAs.

Contents

I	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Cholinergic Systems	1
1.2	Cholinergic Aspects of Physiology and Disease	3
1.2.1	Alzheimer’s Disease	3
1.2.2	Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder	5
1.2.3	Immunity	6
1.2.4	Neuroinflammation	8
1.2.5	Stroke	9
1.2.6	Circadian Aspects of Cholinergic Systems	11
1.2.7	Neurokines	13
1.3	Transcriptional Connectomics	15
1.3.1	Transcription Factors	16
1.3.2	microRNAs	17
1.3.3	Transfer RNA Fragments	20
1.4	Nested Multimodal Transcriptional Interactions - The Need for Connectomics	22
2	miRNeo: CREATION OF A COMPREHENSIVE CONNECTOMICS DATABASE	25
2.1	miRNeo - Implementation	26
2.1.1	Neo4j: A Graph-Based Infrastructure	27
2.1.2	High-throughput Database Generation	28
2.1.3	Maintenance and Quality Control	28
2.2	miRNeo - Materials	29
2.2.1	Gene Annotation	29
2.2.2	microRNA Annotation	30
2.2.3	Transcription Factor Targeting	30
2.2.4	microRNA Interactions	31
2.2.5	Filtering of Aggregated Prediction Scores	34
2.2.6	De-novo Prediction of tRF Targeting	34
2.2.7	microRNA Primate Specificity	35
2.3	miRNeo - Usage	38
2.4	Statistical Approach to Transcriptional Connectomics	41
2.4.1	Permutation	41
2.4.2	Gene Set Enrichment Analysis	42
3	MICRORNA DYNAMICS IN CHOLINERGIC DIFFERENTIATION OF HUMAN NEURONAL CELLS	43
3.1	Neuronal Transcriptomes - Background	43
3.2	Cortical Single-Cell RNA Sequencing	45
3.2.1	Single-cell Dataset Processing	45
3.2.2	microRNA and Transcription Factor Targeting Predictions	46
3.2.3	Single-cell Expression of Cholinergic and Neurokine Transcripts	46

3.2.4	Nested Regulatory Networks of miRNAs and Transcription Factors in Single Cholinergic Cells	46
3.2.5	Transcript Clustering Based On Expression	47
3.2.6	Co-Expression of Functional Groups of Cholinergic Transcripts	48
3.3	The Cellular Model	50
3.3.1	The SH-SY5Y Neuroblastoma Cell Line	50
3.3.2	The LA-N Neuroblastoma Cell Lines	50
3.3.3	Culture	51
3.3.4	Differentiation	51
3.3.5	RNA Isolation	53
3.4	Small RNA Sequencing and Differential Expression Analysis	54
3.4.1	Sequencing	54
3.4.2	Sequence Alignment	55
3.4.3	Differential Expression Analysis - R/DESeq2	56
3.4.4	microRNA Dynamics in CNTF-mediated Cholinergic Differentiation of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5	57
3.5	microRNA Family Gene Ontology Enrichment	62
3.5.1	microRNA Family Enrichment	62
3.5.2	Creation of miRNA Family Gene Target Sets	62
3.5.3	GO Analysis of Target Sets	62
3.5.4	Large Scale GO Term Curation	63
3.6	Whole Genome miRNA→Gene Network Generation	64
3.7	Application to Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder	67
3.7.1	Analysed Datasets	67
3.7.2	Microarray Quality Control and Data Preparation	68
3.7.3	Differential Expression Meta-Analysis	69
3.7.4	Sexual Dimorphism in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder	70
3.7.5	Combination of Disease Data and Cell Culture	72
3.7.6	miR-125b-5p Acetylcholinesterase Targeting Assays	74
3.7.7	hsa-miR-125b-5p Targets Acetylcholinesterase	75
3.7.8	Cholinergic/Neurokine Mechanisms in Web-Available RNA Sequencing Experiments	75
4	DYNAMICS BETWEEN SMALL AND LARGE RNA IN THE BLOOD OF STROKE VICTIMS	77
4.1	RNA Sequencing, Differential Expression, and Descriptive Methods	78
4.1.1	The PREDICT Cohort	78
4.1.2	Clinical Parameters Collected in the PREDICT Study	78
4.1.3	Sample Collection, RNA Isolation, and Sequencing	78
4.1.4	RNA Sequencing Alignment	79
4.1.5	Quality Control and Filtering	79
4.1.6	RNA Sequencing Differential Expression Analysis	79
4.1.7	Gene Ontology Analyses	79
4.1.8	Homology Computation Among tRNA Fragments	80
4.1.9	t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding	80
4.1.10	Cholinergic Association of Small RNA Species	81
4.2	Descriptive Analysis of RNA Dynamics in Blood After Stroke	82
4.2.1	Differential Expression of Large RNA	82

4.2.2	Gene Ontology Analyses of Differentially Expressed Genes	82
4.2.3	Differential Expression of small RNA	83
4.2.4	Homology Among tRNA Fragments	84
4.2.5	Cholinergic Association of Small RNA Species	85
4.3	Blood Compartments of Cholinergic Systems and Small RNA Species	86
4.3.1	Large RNA Regulatory Circuits in Tissues of the Blood	86
4.3.2	An Atlas of Small RNA Expression in Cell Types of the Blood	86
4.3.3	Definition of Presence and Absence of Lowly Expressed smRNA Molecules	87
4.3.4	Large RNA Expression Patterns Identify Cholinergic Systems in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes	88
4.3.5	Identification of Functional Enrichment of smRNA Expression in Blood-Borne Cells	89
4.3.6	Expression Patterns of Differentially Expressed and Cholinergic-Associated smRNAs	90
4.4	Regulatory Circuits of Small RNA and Transcription Factors in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes	91
4.4.1	Comprehensive Circuit Network Creation	91
4.4.2	Gene Ontology Analyses of TF→Gene Networks of CD14 ⁺ Monocytes	93
4.4.3	Dichotomy of Small RNA Targeting of Transcription Factors in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes	93
4.4.4	Gradual Shift in Control Over Transcription Factors by miRNAs and tRFs	94
4.4.5	Dichotomous Transcriptomic Footprints of Transcription Factors in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes	94
4.5	Feedforward Loops of Small and Large RNA	98
4.5.1	Feedforward Loop Creation	99
4.5.2	Visualisation and Modularisation	99
4.5.3	Module-specific Functions via GO Analysis	99
4.5.4	Feedforward Loop Network of CD14 ⁺ Monocytes	99
4.5.5	Transcript Clustering by smRNA:TF:gene Feedforward Loops Increases Informative Resolution of Gene Set Enrichment Analyses	111
5	DISCUSSION	117
5.1	Methods	118
5.1.1	Transcriptional Interactions: <i>miRNeo</i>	118
5.1.2	RNA Sequencing	120
5.1.3	Statistical Analyses of Network Interactions	122
5.1.4	Cholinergic Cellular Models: LA-N-2 and LA-N-5	124
5.1.5	Stroke Patient Blood Samples	126
5.1.6	Gene Ontology Analyses	126
5.1.7	Feedforward Loop Analyses	127
5.2	A Mechanistic Perspective of Transcriptional Interactions	129
5.2.1	Analysis of Small RNA Dynamics via RNA-sequencing and Bioinformatics	129
5.2.2	The Cholinergic/Neurokine Interface	132
5.2.3	Molecular Biology of Feedforward Loops	135
5.3	Small RNA Therapeutics and Pharmacology	140

5.3.1	Interactions Between Small Molecule Drugs and Small RNAs	140
5.3.2	Small RNAs as Pharmacological Agents	141
6	CONCLUSION	147
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	149
	LIST OF FIGURES	194
A	TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR REGULATORY CIRCUITS - TISSUE TYPES	196
B	LIST OF PRIMATE-SPECIFIC HOMOLOGUES OF HUMAN MICRORNAs	197
C	LIST OF GO TERMS FROM ANALYSIS OF DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED LARGE RNA IN STROKE	200
D	REQUIRED DOCUMENTS	207
D.1	Declarations	207
D.2	Summary in German Language	208
D.2.1	Einführung	208
D.2.2	miRNeo - eine Infrastruktur für smRNA-Dynamik	209
D.2.3	Cholinerge smRNA-Dynamik in Neuronen	211
D.2.4	Cholinerge smRNA-Dynamik in Immunzellen	212
D.2.5	Fazit	213
D.3	Publications	214
D.3.1	Peer-reviewed Manuscripts	214
D.3.2	Manuscripts in Submission	214
D.3.3	Book Chapters	214
D.3.4	Manuscripts with Preprint Status	214
D.3.5	Invited Manuscripts	214
D.3.6	Presentations	215

Preamble

This dissertation comprises three main chapters, which are written in a combined *methods-results-discussion* style. In the first main part, chapter two, I address the creation, maintenance, and usage of the database designed for assessing transcriptional interactions in the experimental parts. Since the creation process in itself is methodical, distinction between method and result can often not be implemented in a clear, »journal-style« manner. In chapters three and four however, that are concerned with experimental application of transcriptional interactions in cholinergic differentiation and disease, the manuscript will be consistently structured to visually distinguish the methods from results and discussion. Method-related paragraphs will be set in sans-serif font style, while non-method parts will be set in serif font. Because of the dimensions of this dissertation and the diverging topics, the non-method parts of each chapter will be in the style of combined results and discussion, to keep the immediate discussion close to the related results. Finally, there will be dedicated chapters for more broad and generalised discussion and conclusions.

At the date of submission, the majority of the contents of chapter three, the main experimental work of this dissertation, as well as most of the ideas developed in chapter two, have been published in a peer-reviewed journal.¹ Chapter four serves to illustrate my contributions to another manuscript that is currently in submission, awaiting response.² That manuscript diverges from the contents described in chapter four mainly by the additional experiments concerned with validation of detected tRNA fragments, and it does not study feedforward loops. The development and usage of the database described in chapter two is invited for closer explanation by *STAR Protocols* and pending submission.³

This dissertation features content boxes for general information pertaining to a specific aspect (e.g., cholinergic genes). For very large or very small numbers, the scientific exponential notation (»E notation«) is used; e.g., 4.7E-05 reads as 4.7×10^{-5} , or 0.000047.

Data Availability

The biological data from sequencing of *in vitro* experimental samples as well as stroke patient blood samples are deposited on NCBI GEO, and the individual accession numbers are available in the respective publications.^{1,2} Code repositories are published on GitHub, and the web links are likewise available in the respective manuscripts. Additionally, for both main manuscripts, a webpage was designed to facilitate the access to networks and subnetworks in an interactive manner; the pages are publicly available via GitHub, and the links are likewise available from the respective manuscripts. The git repository can be reached at <https://github.com/slobentanzer/>. Required documents, including declarations and a summary in German language, can be found in Appendix D.

FOR MY FAMILY.

*»Ever tried. Ever failed. No matter.
Try again. Fail again.
Fail better.«*

Simon Beckett

Acknowledgments

As a scientist, I am not only standing on the shoulders of figurative giants, but also on the shoulders of very real persons, without whom this dissertation would not have been possible. As such, I consider it an honour and a privilege to be in the position to give thanks to everybody who helped me along the way. I want to thank my parents, for always allowing me to follow my intuitions, and for giving me confidence and morals; and my brother, for always testing and enforcing these principles. I want to thank Betti, for listening, and for her valuable feedback and support; and Alex and Misel, for distractions and for being the friends that they are. Tobi and Christian, for giving me balance with music, physical activity, and consumption of alcoholic beverages; Frauke and Eunhye, for essentially the same, just more music and less of the other things; and Maurice, for helping me take my first steps in programming.

I also have the pleasure to thank my two advisors, Prof. Jochen Klein, for teaching me to think critically and for giving me the freedom to conduct my research in an unusual way, and Prof. Hermona Soreq, for being an exceptionally responsive and insightful partner in discussion, as well as a warm and welcoming human being. I want to thank my colleagues of the Frankfurt Institute of Pharmacology and of the Silberman Institute of Molecular Biology of the Hebrew University, for creating a pleasant and productive research atmosphere. In particular, my thanks go to Kasia for her tireless cooperation, and to Nadav, Coco, and Jonas, for lively discussions of life science and all things interesting. My thanks go to Dr. Estelle Bennett for her supervision in all matters conducted at the Hebrew University facility, and to the group of Dr. Jörg Halstenberg.

I also want to thank the original donors of cells that have led to the establishment of SH-SY5Y, LAN-2, and LAN-5 cell lines, and the authors of all open-source software I have used in my analyses, as well as the open, helpful, and progressive bioinformatics community. This thesis was typeset using \LaTeX , originally developed by Leslie Lamport and based on Donald Knuth's \TeX . The body text is set in 12 point Egenolff-Berner Garamond, a revival of Claude Garamont's humanist typeface. A template that can be used to format a PhD dissertation with this look & feel has been released under the permissive AGPL license, at github.com/suchow/Dissertate.

Abbreviations

ABC	ATP binding cassette
ACh	acetylcholine
AChE	acetylcholinesterase (protein)
AD	Alzheimer's Disease
Ago	argonaute (protein)
ALD	adrenoleukodystrophy
API	application programming interface
BD	Bipolar Disorder
CA	cholinergic-associated
CAGE	5' cap analysis of gene expression
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CARS	compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome
CD	cluster of differentiation
ChAT	choline acetyltransferase (protein)
ChIP	chromatin immunoprecipitation
CIDS	CNS injury-induced immunodepression syndrome
CNS	central nervous system
DAG	directed acyclic graph
DAMP	damage-associated molecular pattern
DE	differentially expressed
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified eagle medium
FCS	fetal calf serum
FDR	false discovery ratio
FFL	feedforward loop
GC	glucocorticoid
GEO	Gene Expression Omnibus (NCBI)
GO	Gene Ontology
gp130	see IL6ST (gene)
HACU	high affinity choline uptake (conveyed by CHT1 protein)
HLA-DR	monocyte human leukocyte antigen isotype DR
iPSC	induced pluripotent stem cell
IRF	interferon regulatory factor
KO	knockout

LA-N-2 human neuroblastoma cell line (female)
LA-N-5 human neuroblastoma cell line (male)
LBP lipopolysaccharide binding protein
LDL low density lipoprotein
LDT laterodorsal tegmentum (Ch6)
LFC log₂ fold change
LPS lipopolysaccharide
MBL mannan-binding lectin
MCAO middle cerebral artery occlusion
miRNA microRNA
mRS modified Rankin Scale (clinical score of stroke severity)
NCBI National Center for Biotechnology Information
ND10 nuclear domain 10
nt nucleotide
OR odds ratio
PBG parabigeminal nucleus (Ch8)
PBS phosphate buffered saline
PCA principal component analysis
RT-qPCR real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction
PD Parkinson's Disease
PPN pedunculo-pontine nucleus (Ch5)
REM rapid eye movement
RIN RNA integrity number (RNA quality measure)
RISC RNA-induced silencing complex
RPMI1640 Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium
SCN suprachiasmatic nuclei
SCZ Schizophrenia
RNA-seq RNA sequencing
SG significant gene (as in »differentially expressed«)
SIRS systemic inflammatory response syndrome
smRNA small non-coding RNA
SQL structured query language
TF transcription factor
tiRNA transfer RNA half
TPM transcripts per million

tRF transfer RNA fragment
tRNA transfer RNA
t-SNE t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding
UTR untranslated region
vAChT vesicular acetylcholine transporter (protein; from SLC18A3 gene)
VP vascular permeability
WT wild type

GENE SYMBOLS

ACHE acetylcholinesterase
ACLY ATP citrate lyase
AIF1 allograft inflammatory factor 1 (microglia marker protein)
AKT Serine/Threonine Kinase 1 (also known as Protein Kinase B)
ANG angiogenin
BAD BCL-2-associated agonist of cell death
BCL-2 B cell lymphoma 2
BDNF brain-derived neurotrophic factor
BMAL1 brain and muscle ARNT-like protein 1 (also known as ARNTL)
CHAT choline acetyltransferase
CHRNA7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunit $\alpha 7$
CHT1 high affinity choline transporter 1 (responsible for high affinity choline uptake; see HACU)
CLOCK circadian locomotor output cycles kaput
CNTF ciliary neurotrophic factor
CNTFR ciliary neurotrophic factor receptor (soluble)
CRY cryptochrome
ERK extracellular-signal-regulated kinase
GFAP glial fibrillary acidic protein (central astrocyte marker)
IFN interferon
IL interleukin
IL6R interleukin 6 receptor (soluble)
IL6ST interleukin 6 signal transducer (membrane bound; also known as gp130)
ISG IFN-stimulated gene
JAK janus kinase
JNK JUN N-terminal kinase
LIF leukaemia inhibitory factor

LIFR leukaemia inhibiting factor receptor (soluble)
MAPK mitogen-activated protein kinase
MCL1 myeloid leukaemia cell differentiation protein 1
MHC major histocompatibility complex
MyD88 myeloid differentiation primary response 88
NF- κ B nuclear factor 'kappa-light-chain-enhancer' of activated B-cells
NGF nerve growth factor
NGFR nerve growth factor receptor (also known as p75)
NPAS2 neuronal PAS domain protein 2
NR1D1 nuclear receptor subfamily 1; group D; member 1 (also known as Rev-Erb α)
NR1F1 nuclear receptor subfamily 1; group F; member 1 (also known as ROR α)
NTRK1 neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 1
NTRK2 neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 2
OLIG1 oligodendrocyte transcription factor 1
PCSK9 proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9
PER period
PI3K phosphoinositide 3-kinase
RBOX3 RNA-binding Fox-1 homolog 3 (neuronal marker gene; also known as NeuN)
RORA RAR-related orphan receptor α (see NR1F1)
SLC18A3 vesicular acetylcholine transporter (official gene symbol)
SLC5A7 high affinity choline transporter (official gene symbol; see CHT1)
SST somatostatin
STAT signal transducer and activator of transcription
TGF transforming growth factor
TLR toll-like receptor
TNF tumour necrosis factor
TYK tyrosine kinase
VEGF vascular endothelial growth factor
VIP vasoactive intestinal peptide

1

Introduction

I.I. CHOLINERGIC SYSTEMS

NARY a process in the mammalian body can commence without participation of cholinergic systems. Acetylcholine (ACh) was chemically and pharmacologically described by Henry Dale more than 100 years ago.⁴ A short time later, Otto Loewi published the first proof of signal transmission by small molecules: he transferred physiological solutions from electrically stimulated frog hearts to naive hearts and observed their reactions; the solution that provoked a parasympathetic response he proposed to contain a »vagus substance«. ⁵ Finally, in 1929, Henry Dale closed the circle by isolating acetylcholine from mammalian tissue and identifying it as the molecule responsible for the parasympathetic response.⁶ Dale and Loewi's joint effort in »Discoveries Relating to Chemical Transmission of Nerve Impulses« was rewarded with the »Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine« in 1936.

Although we have learned much about cholinergic systems in these past 100 years, our understanding of the mammalian nervous system still is fairly limited. Even when disregarding peripheral nervous systems, the complexity of cholinergic transmission is immense, and a myriad functions have been attributed to cholinergic circuits in the central nervous system (CNS). Central nervous projections of cholinergic fibres were extensively mapped by Marek-Marsel Mesulam and others in the 1980s,^{7,8} with a majority of long projection neurons originating in one of the eight cholinergic nuclei, Ch1-Ch8. While many of these anatomical structures have been filled with meaning by associations with both rudimentary as well as higher brain functions, there are still as many cholinergic pathways whose function is entirely unclear (Figure 1.1, from Lobentanzer *et al.*¹). This holds particularly true for the only recently discovered cortical cholinergic interneurons, which, in compari-




Figure 1.1: Cholinergic Projections in the CNS. Cholinergic systems are implicated in many diverse functional categories. **A)** The bulk of cholinergic projection neurons stems from one of the eight cholinergic nuclei, Ch1-Ch8 (right side of ideogram). They innervate wide areas of the mammalian CNS, and in turn receive incoming connections from all around the brain (left side of ideogram). Efferent connections are indicated by a small gap between ideogram and connector, in the first clockwise half of each ideogram component, afferent connection by a large gap, in the second half. A number of projections has been associated with specific functions, as implicated by the colours of the connectors. Two populations of cholinergic interneurons have been identified, in the striatum and the neocortex (outside of ideogram). **B)** Brain region and trait colour legend for A).

son to their projecting counterparts, are very small and numerically vastly inferior to other neuron types in the cortex. Thus, their detection and analysis with current methods is challenging.

The histological definition of what constitutes a cholinergic neuron is not without debate. The staining procedures established in the 1970s utilised monoclonal antibodies against acetylcholinesterase (AChE),⁹ whose association with cholinergic neurons is not definitive, as it can be expressed post-synaptically as well (for an overview of genes of the cholinergic systems, see Box 1). Later on, developments in horseradish peroxidase systems allowed immunohistochemistry on choline acetyltransferase (ChAT), which is a more immediate marker of cholinergic neurons,⁷ albeit much more lowly expressed than AChE. However, AChE-based staining still was consistently used in addition to ChAT staining,⁸ sometimes without much differentiation. Recently, single-cell RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) allows a more detailed appreciation of the transcriptional diversity of neurons, and enables a clearer distinction between cholinergic and non-cholinergic neurons expressing AChE (see Section 3.2).

1.2. CHOLINERGIC ASPECTS OF PHYSIOLOGY AND DISEASE

Cholinergic systems are integral for a myriad physiological functions, and as such they are critically involved in aetiologies and phenotypes of a number of central and peripheral diseases. Of interest to this dissertation are the cholinergic aspects of degenerative and non-degenerative central nervous diseases (such as Alzheimer's Disease, Bipolar Disorder, Schizophrenia), ischemic conditions in stroke, and peripheral modulation of immune responses, particularly in the context of the aforementioned diseases.

1.2.1 ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE

Alzheimer's Disease (AD) was characterised by Alois Alzheimer in 1906 and later named after him by his colleague and mentor, Emil Kraepelin.¹⁰ AD is a progressive neurodegenerative disease, its main risk factor is age, and it is estimated to make up 60-70% of all dementia cases. The disease incidence and progression distinctly differ between the sexes;¹¹ generally, women are affected more often. Unlike the very rare familial form (that can affect patients in their fifties), spontaneous AD usually only begins to manifest symptomatically in the 6th to 7th life decade. As a result of the demographic change in most western countries, patient numbers, and thus, medical efforts, are expected to more than double in size until the year 2050. The cognitive decline associated with AD is progressive and ultimately leads to exhaustive care dependency; there is no cure.

The pathological hallmarks of AD are two types of atypical protein aggregates, inter-cellular amyloid β »plaques«, and intra-cellular »neurofibrillary tangles« composed of hyper-phosphorylated

Box 1: The Cholinergic Genes

Acetylcholine is synthesised from acetyl-CoA - supplied by **ATP citrate lyase** (*ACLY*) - and choline via enzymatic catalysis by **choline acetyltransferase** (ChAT from the *CHAT* gene). It is then packed into vesicles by the **vesicular acetylcholine transporter** (vAChT from the *SLC18A3* gene). After release into the synaptic cleft, it binds to a variety of **nicotinic and muscarinic receptors** (*CHRNx*, 16 subunits, and *CHRMx*, 5 subtypes). Of those, the nicotinic receptors form heteropentameric or, seldom, homopentameric ion channels, while the muscarinic receptors are monomeric G protein-coupled transmembrane receptors. The human possesses a duplicate of the nicotinic $\alpha 7$ receptor, **dup $\alpha 7$** (*CHRFAM7A*), which cannot bind ACh and supposedly acts as a dominant negative regulator of the $\alpha 7$ homomeric receptor. Termination of the signal is mainly achieved by **acetylcholinesterase** (AChE from the *ACHE* gene), one of the fastest enzymes known, with a theoretical rate of 25 000 molecules per second. AChE tetramers are usually tethered to cell membranes in the synaptic vicinity by the **proline-rich membrane anchor** (*PRIMA1*) or **collagen Q** (*COLQ*) peptides. Complementary to the mostly residual AChE is the circulatory **butyryl cholinesterase** (BChE from the *BCHE* gene), which can also nonspecifically degrade ACh. After degradation, residual choline is reimported into cells via the high affinity choline uptake (HACU) by **choline transporter 1** (CHT1, from the *SLC5A7* gene).

tau-protein. Often, pathological aggregation of these proteins begins decades before the onset of symptoms. However, there have also been numerous cases of cognitively healthy subjects showing high amounts of protein aggregates. These inconsistencies and the unclear causality of pathology and symptoms have led to a redirection of scientific efforts to processes unrelated to amyloid and tau, such as neuroinflammation (Section 1.2.4).

Cholinergic systems have long been associated with AD, as evidenced by the cholinergic hypothesis that was posed in the 1980s. To cite from Bartus *et al.*, 1982:¹²

»We have been guided by three deductive requirements that must be satisfied if the cholinergic hypothesis is to deserve continued attention: (i) specific dysfunctions in cholinergic markers should be found in the brains of subjects suffering from age-related memory loss, (ii) artificial disruption of central cholinergic function in young subjects should induce behavioural impairments that mimic the cognitive loss found naturally in aged subjects, and (iii) appropriately enhancing central cholinergic activity in aged subjects should significantly reduce age-related cognitive deficits.«

Although many reports substantiate all three deductive prerequisites, the cholinergic hypothesis has in the last decades been overshadowed by alternative hypotheses, particularly, amyloid-related theories. However, the therapeutic approaches developed along the lines of preventing amyloid β aggregation or otherwise clearing the plaques or soluble aggregates have not been successful in alleviating the cognitive decline in patients.¹³ Thus, pro-cholinergic intervention by means of AChE inhibition still makes up the majority of approved drugs. The monotherapeutic approach of AChE inhibition is based on a premise that seems simple in light of the enormous complexity surrounding the interplay of the billions of neurons in the process of memory formation and recall, and in fact, pro-cholinergic therapy has only been shown to alleviate symptoms or delay their onset; a reversal of cognitive losses has so far not been achieved by any drug regime. As such, even in regard only to the cholinergic systems, novel approaches are sorely needed.

On the other hand, the cholinergic deficit in AD is not purely symptomatic. There is considerable debate whether the pathology originates from the entorhinal cortex or the basal forebrain. As Heiko and Eva Braak have shown,¹⁴ AD pathology follows a characteristic brain region distribution process that can be stratified into stages and starts in the entorhinal region. The early stages of pathology by far precede the onset of symptoms. Taylor Schmitz and colleagues substantiate the cholinergic origin of neurodegeneration in their longitudinal *in vivo* imaging studies:^{15,16} In cognitively normal and impaired human subjects, basal forebrain volume predicted longitudinal entorhinal degeneration, but not *vice versa*. As such, the cholinergic basal forebrain dysfunction precedes as well as predicts pathology in other affected areas and cognitive deficits.¹⁵ Additionally, the spread of

Alzheimer's pathology in the longitudinal progression of the disease reflects the spatial topography of basal forebrain cholinergic projections.¹⁶

1.2.2 SCHIZOPHRENIA AND BIPOLAR DISORDER

Cognitive deficits can also occur without neuron death. The earliest description of what we today call Schizophrenia (SCZ) was coined by Emil Kraepelin: »*dementia praecox*«, premature dementia.¹⁷ Cognitive deficits are an integral but often overlooked part of the clinical picture of SCZ, which is often dominated by the more impressive positive symptoms such as hallucinations and paranoia. Likewise, Bipolar Disorder (BD) can present with cognitive impairments. The cognitive impairments affecting both SCZ and BD patients involve diminished problem solving capabilities and reduced intelligence, and are more pronounced in SCZ than in BD.¹⁸ They have been connected to cholinergic dysfunction^{19,20} and the sum of anticholinergic medications.^{21,22} A human polymorphism in the $\alpha 5$ nicotinic receptor subunit predicts a higher propensity for smoking and SCZ, showing parallel manifestations in engineered mice²³ and rats.²⁴ Correspondingly, cholinergic stimulation can improve cognition^{25–27} and mood,²⁸ but can on the other hand provoke schizotypic behaviour in AD patients.²⁹

SCZ and BD clinically present with clear sexual dimorphisms. Compared to women, men have a higher SCZ prevalence with an odds ratio (OR) of 1.4, are affected earlier (at 15-25 as compared to 25-35 years of age), and face a worse prognosis.³⁰ Cholinergic participation also appears sex-dependent: Male SCZ patients more often self-medicate by smoking (7.2 versus 3.3 weighted average OR with 90% lifetime prevalence).³¹ BD, on the other hand, affects men and women almost equally, with an OR of ~ 1 . However, women make up 80-90% of so-called »rapid cyclers«, a subgroup of patients showing short intervals between manic and depressive phases which is associated with a worse prognosis.³² Additionally, major depressive disorder, which is a prerequisite for BD diagnosis, more often affects women (OR = 2).³³

Psychiatric genomics has recently identified a high amount of shared heritability between SCZ and BD.³⁴ Likewise, transcriptomic analyses have shown a high correlation (71%) between the transcriptional perturbations in the two diseases.³⁵ Clinical as well as molecular pathology intensifies from BD to SCZ, suggesting the two lie on different points of a shared spectrum. However, their genetic origins are tremendously complex. Multiple disease-relevant markers have been identified by genome-wide association studies, even able to distinguish between several sub-phenotypes of each disease.³⁶ These markers are found in neurotransmitter receptors (e.g., dopaminergic, glutamatergic, cholinergic), scaffolding proteins (DISC: »disrupted in schizophrenia«), multiple transcription factors (TFs), microRNAs (miRNAs), and non-coding regions without known function.^{37–39}

Considering this complex disease aetiology, it is not surprising that there are no »designer« drugs available against SCZ and BD. All available therapeutic options have been empirically identified, starting with the first antipsychotic, chlorpromazine, synthesised in 1950 by the French pharmaceutical company Rhône-Poulenc. Originally developed in a series dedicated to the search for antihistamines, it was soon recognised for its antipsychotic potential, and widely prescribed only few years later. Many other neuroleptic compounds have been derived from chlorpromazine, and through binding affinity assays, their receptor profiles were established. Most compounds with antipsychotic properties have a wide spectrum of different receptor activities, but most early drugs were strong antagonists of the D₂ dopamine receptor. Thus, the »dopaminergic hypothesis« of SCZ was formulated. However, aetiology as well as therapeutic principles are unclear to this day, and most antipsychotic substances still are very »dirty drugs«. In fact, newer developments leading to the discovery of the second generation (»atypical«) neuroleptic substances, starting with clozapine, have created molecules with an even wider spectrum of interactions and thus less specificity towards a single therapeutic mechanism of action. Similarly, the archetypal »mood stabiliser« lithium, that has been found to ameliorate depressive as well as manic phases of BD, likely influences a wide variety of neuronal functions via mechanisms yet unclear.⁴⁰ These circumstances are contrary to the principles of medicinal chemistry, where most developments aim for a higher target specificity. It is thus very likely that pharmacological therapy of SCZ and BD requires an approach consisting of multiple pharmacodynamic angles, to account for the multigenic disruption.


1.2.3 IMMUNITY

Aside from its vast neuronal functions, ACh also is highly relevant in immune cells, recently reviewed by Fujii and colleagues.⁴¹ The first to isolate ACh from an animal organ, Dale and Dudley,⁶ used the spleens of oxen and horses. The spleen receives sympathetic, but only very sparse parasympathetic innervation, and as such, the large amounts of ACh found by Dale and Dudley had to have come from immune cells. Indeed, nearly all mammalian immune cells express cholinergic components, most importantly, B- and T-cells, monocytes/macrophages, and dendritic cells. While ACh is rather stable physico-chemically, it is extremely susceptible to enzymatic degradation, and cholinesterases are ubiquitarily distributed and cleave ACh with stunning efficiency, reducing its diffusion range to few millimetres. As a result, ACh has to be supplied synaptically or, at most, in paracrine fashion. ChAT activity has been confirmed in B- and T-cells, which both contain significant amounts of ACh, although T-cells generally possess higher amounts. Additionally, in peripheral cells ACh can be synthesised by the mitochondrial carnitine acetyltransferase. In addition to B- and T-cells, *CHAT* mRNA has been found in macrophages and dendritic cells. ChAT expression

and ACh synthesis can be induced by various immune mediators, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and other toll-like receptor (TLR) agonists.

In addition to ACh synthesis, all of the aforementioned cell types can receive cholinergic signals. They express all muscarinic receptors as well as a selection of nicotinic receptor subunits, and the signal-terminating esterases. Although it is not completely clear how the parasympathetic signal reaches the immune cells, cholinergic activation as a result of inflammation can dampen the immune response in what is described as the »cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex« (Figure 1.2).⁴³ This reflex loop is designed to protect the body from pathogens and inflammation, but also from the harmful effects of immune stimulation. Upon afferent signalling through the afferent vagus nerve and humoral components, the brain releases humoral (via the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis) and neuronal (via the sympathetic and parasympathetic autonomous fibres) anti-inflammatory signals. The spleen has been identified as a pivotal organ in this response. Since none of the immune organs receives parasympathetic innervation, it has been proposed that the cholinergic activation is generated locally, with the help of sympathetic signalling to the organ.⁴⁴

A special role among cellular ACh receptors is occupied by the $\alpha 7$ nicotinic receptor subunit. Previously thought to exclusively form homopentameric ion-channel receptors, its functional characteristics have recently been extended. It has been found to form heteropentamers with $\beta 2$ subunits, akin to the prominent $\alpha 4\beta 2$ receptors in the brain, and an expression in immune cells also is likely. A hybrid duplication of the *CHRNA7* gene with *FAM7*, *CHRFAM7A*, is translated to a functional protein (dup $\alpha 7$). However, it seems to lack ACh binding ability, and thus is thought



Debbie Maizels/Springer Nature

Figure 1.2: Vagus nerve-mediated reflex circuitry in immunity and inflammation. In the inflammatory reflex, the activity of afferent vagus nerve fibres residing in the nodose ganglion is stimulated by cytokines and PAMPs. [...] The signal is propagated to the celiac ganglia and the superior mesenteric ganglion in the celiac plexus, where the splenic nerve originates. Norepinephrine (NE) released from the splenic nerve interacts with $\alpha 2$ -adrenergic receptors and causes the release of acetylcholine (ACh) from T cells containing functional choline acetyltransferase (T-ChAT cells). ACh interacts with $\alpha 7$ nAChRs on macrophages and suppresses pro-inflammatory cytokine release and inflammation. [...] Vagus nerve and splenic nerve signalling mediated through $\alpha 7$ nAChR on splenocytes controls inflammation in acute kidney injury and alleviates the condition. Figure and caption (modified) from Pavlov & Tracey.⁴²

to act as a dominant negative regulator of $\alpha 7$ receptor function. In addition to its ionotropic function, mainly by means of Ca^{2+} transduction, the $\alpha 7$ receptor has been found to possess G-protein coupled metabotropic effects that can extend the duration of cholinergic activation. The $\alpha 7$ receptor can also, independently of Ca^{2+} , activate the JAK2/STAT3 pathway (see Section 1.2.7) in macrophages, leading to suppression of NF- κ B signalling.

On the other hand, cholinergic activation via M_1 and/or M_5 muscarinic receptors can lead to a positive immune response. The difference between muscarinic and nicotinic immune-signalling is elucidated by transgenic receptor knockout (KO) animals: Splenic cells from selective M_1/M_5 -KO mice secreted significantly lower amounts of the neuromodulators tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α , interferon (IFN)- γ , and interleukin (IL)-6 than those from wild type (WT) mice. Conversely, antigen-stimulated splenic cells from $\alpha 7$ -KO mice produced significantly greater amounts of TNF- α , IFN- γ , and IL-6 than WT. In summary, the effects of cholinergic stimulation of the immune system is bidirectional and strongly context-dependent, and specific pharmacological intervention can shift homeostasis in both pro- as well as anti-inflammatory directions.

1.2.4 NEUROINFLAMMATION

Neurodegenerative as well as non-degenerative neurologic diseases are increasingly being associated with immunologic phenomena, prompting the need for integrative and translational approaches.⁴⁵ Transient and chronic inflammatory events can influence neuronal function and even survival in a dramatic fashion. Further, failure to resolve the acute inflammatory states may lead to maladaptive states, cases of »frustrated resolution«,⁴⁶ in which the goal of adaptive immunity is not met. As was recently shown,⁴⁷ resolution of inflammation is not just the »phasing-out« of inflammatory events, but rather bridges the gap between innate and adaptive immunity. While many acute-phase T_H1 -type cytokines may have evolved to drive inflammation, their protracted influence may derail these post-inflammatory events and thus lead to maladaptive responses and chronic inflammation. T_H1 -type cytokines include TNFs, IFNs, IL-1 β and IL-6, and downstream mediators discussed in this context are manifold: phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K); cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP); myeloid leukaemia cell differentiation protein 1 (MCL1); the complex of B cell lymphoma 2 (BCL-2), Serine/Threonine Kinase 1 (AKT), and BCL-2-associated agonist of cell death (BAD); all variants of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), i.e., extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1 and 2, JUN N-terminal kinase (JNK), and p38 MAPK; and the NF- κ B pathway. This list is not comprehensive, for a more detailed overview, see Fullerton & Gilroy.⁴⁶

While none of the cardinal symptoms of inflammation are easily assessed in a CNS context, Virchow's fifth cardinal sign, *functio laesa*, is particularly difficult to tie to chronic neuroinflammation.

Complex behavioural syndromes such as the functional deficits accompanying neurologic diseases may be influenced by protracted, maladaptive immunity, but the affected areas, brain structures, and timelines cannot be measured with current methods in neuroimaging. Only recently, it has become known that the brain is not immunologically pristine, but rather possesses a very specialised immune system, showing grave distinctions from, but also overlap with, peripheral immune systems.^{48,49} The mechanisms of immune privilege of the brain are constantly being refined; there is crosstalk between brain and periphery with blood-to-brain and brain-to-blood messaging,⁴⁴ and even migration of immune cells into the CNS, mainly as a response to sustained inflammation.

The first line of defence in CNS tissues are microglia, which in their physiological state are resident ramified monocytes, and upon antigen sensing can produce an immediate native immune reaction. Further, the nascent immune system of the CNS comprises similar cells as the peripheral systems (T-cells, B-cells, NK-cells, dendritic cells), albeit with significant differences: antigen presenting cells express significantly fewer major histocompatibility complex (MHC) I and II molecules (which can however be induced by cytokine release upon inflammation); and the endocrine conditions (secretion of immune mediators from neurons) entail a more rapid response (seconds instead of days) with shorter duration of inflammation than in the periphery.⁴⁹

Under the surveillance of resident microglia, there is constitutive and inducible migration of immune cells between CNS tissues and periphery, in a loop of infiltration and drainage. Starting at antigen presentation inside the CNS, activated immune cells leave the CNS through one of two routes, both ending at the deep cervical lymph nodes: either through the cribiform plate into the nasal mucosa, or into the meningeal lymphatic vessels accompanying the sagittal and transversal sinuses in the *dura mater*. Following an immunological stimulus, activated immune cells in the deep cervical lymph nodes facilitate a secondary immune response and protect nervous tissue through secretion of cytokines⁵⁰ and re-migration of secondary immune cells to the CNS. Regulatory cytokines include IL-1 and IL-6, CCLs and CXCLs, leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF), and epidermal and fibroblast growth factors. Re-migrating T cells can utilise various adhesion molecules expressed by endothelia along the blood-brain-barrier to cross into the CNS in a controlled fashion.⁴⁹

1.2.5 STROKE

Stroke is a medical emergency in which reduced blood flow leads to massive neuron death in the brain. There are two types of stroke: haemorrhagic stroke, which is caused by bleeding due to a rupture of brain vessels, and ischemic stroke, misperfusion of a brain region caused by a clot in a cranial artery. Ischemic stroke makes up the vast majority of all strokes, up to 90%.⁵¹ Stroke is currently the second most frequent cause of death in developed countries, only second to coronary artery disease

(excluding »cancer«).⁵² Those who survive the stroke are in many cases permanently and severely disabled. The prognosis of stroke patients is mainly dependent on clinical complications during initial care.

Infections are a leading cause of death in stroke patients. The CNS injury itself is an independent risk factor for the development of life-threatening infection. The most frequent complications accompanying stroke are fever and pneumonia, the fever in turn being most often caused by the infection. Affecting more than 20% of stroke patients, pneumonia is the most common serious post-stroke complication, featuring a mortality rate of more than 30%.⁵³ Stroke patients demonstrate a significant immunosuppression, resulting in lower count and functionality of immune cells. The ability of monocytes to synthesise cytokines is drastically reduced, a finding that has been reproduced in animal models of cerebral ischemia.

T cell activation and proliferation in the deep cervical lymph nodes is elevated following CNS injury, implicating that the drainage of immune cells from the site of injury plays an important role in immune system stimulation.⁵⁰ Depletion of those T cell leads to neuron death, suggesting that this naive response is favourable in stroke and similar conditions. However, the specifics of activation and migration, and the mediators (cytokines, antibodies) influencing the post-injurious response are still largely unclear.⁴⁸

The immunological situation after stroke is dominated by two opposing factors, the pro-inflammatory bodily response to injury and, often, infection, and the counter-regulatory immunodepressive response including the cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex (see Section 1.2.3). The inflammatory response can become pathologic in the case of excess stimulation, which can result in »systemic inflammatory response syndrome« (SIRS), which in extreme cases can lead to shock and organ failure. As a counterbalancing measure, the body responds with a »compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome« (CARS), designed to allow fighting the infection while also protecting the body from excessive immune stimulation. However, in CNS injury, the anti-inflammatory component may overwhelm inflammatory processes, leading to a pathological »CNS injury-induced immunodepression syndrome«, CIDS.

In addition to the humoral and neurohumoral immunomodulatory pathways described above, the brain can directly steer immune processes by the release of cytokines. This may be particularly impactful in CNS injury, where blood-brain-barrier and homeostasis are disrupted. Contrary to the selective uptake of substances into the CNS, export from the CNS is mostly instantaneous and not tightly controlled. Among the cytokines found in circulation after stroke are transforming growth factor (TGF)- β , IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α . So far, it is unclear which of the immunomodulatory axes (humoral, neurohumoral, or direct release of cytokines from the brain) contributes to CIDS,

and how they relate to each other. As a consequence, it is also unclear if directed intervention against CIDS would be beneficial, or even feasible.⁵³

The pro-inflammatory acute and sub-acute, and the anti-inflammatory chronic phases of stroke development are mediated by cellular components of the immune system. Particularly important for the homeostasis of debris removal and vascular stability are circulatory and stationary monocytes (in the brain called microglia).⁵⁴ Monocytes differentiate into macrophages upon inflammatory stimuli; the two diametrically opposite macrophage phenotypes are the pro-inflammatory M1 type and the anti-inflammatory M2 type (unfortunately harbouring confusion potential with muscarinic receptors). Human monocytes show similar distinctions by expression of several clusters of differentiation (CDs), mainly CD14 and CD16. The main pro-inflammatory phenotype expresses CD14 and no CD16 (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻), while the anti-inflammatory phenotype expresses less CD14 and high amounts of CD16 (CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺). There is an immediate phenotype (CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺), which is closer in function to the pro-inflammatory phenotype. Rodents also possess pro- and anti-inflammatory phenotypes, but no intermediate phenotype.⁵⁴

After stroke, monocytes undergo complex regulation that parallels acute and chronic phases. In acute and sub-acute phases, pro-inflammatory monocytes are elevated in blood and brain, whereas anti-inflammatory monocytes are decreased. This partly reverses in the chronic phase; in the brain, anti-inflammatory monocytes »take over«, while the numbers of pro-inflammatory monocytes decrease. Whether this is conveyed through cross differentiation from one phenotype to the other, or by migration, is yet unclear.⁵⁴ In blood, both phenotypes are decreased in the chronic phase, while the bone marrow increases monocyte production. In regard to the cholinergic inflammatory reflex, it seems important to note that the spleen, which acts as a reservoir for monocytes in the periphery,⁵⁵ has significant effects on stroke recovery. Stroke leads to contraction of the spleen, and a subsequent reduction in the number of monocytes in the spleen, and an increase in the brain.⁵⁶ Splenectomy, two weeks before permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion in rats, ameliorated acute pathology and reduced the number of brain macrophages after the infarction.⁵⁷ Influences on medium- to long-term recovery, however, were not tested.

1.2.6 CIRCADIAN ASPECTS OF CHOLINERGIC SYSTEMS

Cholinergic systems and psychiatric diseases share another common theme: regulation of circadian time and sleep patterns. Cholinergic nuclei have been associated with the resetting of the circadian clock in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN). Retrograde tracing from the SCN⁵⁸ has identified basal forebrain nuclei (Ch1 & Ch4), as well as the pedunculo-pontine nucleus (PPN, Ch5), laterodorsal tegmentum (LDT, Ch6), and the parabigeminal nucleus (parabigeminal nucleus (PBG), Ch8)

as regulatory input to the SCN (compare also Figure 1.1). Basal forebrain cholinergic neurons are active in wakefulness and in the rapid eye movement (REM) phase of sleep,⁵⁹ and optogenetic activation of PPN and LDT cholinergic neurons (channelrhodopsin 2 under the ChAT promoter) during non-REM sleep was sufficient to induce REM sleep in mice.⁶⁰ Basal forebrain projections to other brain regions seem to functionally diverge from the projections to the SNC. In a study analysing prefrontal and hippocampal cholinergic activities, the increase in tonic ACh release during REM sleep was contingent on subsequent wakefulness,⁶¹ and thus may convey a stronger »wake-up« signal than projections to the SCN alone.

Muscarinic receptors M1 and M3 are essential for REM sleep: REM-sleep is completely abolished in combined M1/M3 receptor KO mice.⁶² Arousal-induced phase shifts induced by activation of Ch4 cholinergic neurons projecting to the SCN were blocked in animals pretreated with (anti-muscarinergic) atropine injections to the SCN, demonstrating that cholinergic activity at muscarinic receptors in the SCN is necessary for arousal-induced phase shifting.⁶³ However, in their atropine perfusion experiment (locally via injection), the authors did not preclude cholinergic influences from the other nuclei.

In parallel, psychiatric patients often show symptoms of disturbed circadian rhythm. In the cholinergic-catecholaminergic imbalance hypothesis of BD,¹⁹ the imbalance follows transcriptionally regulated rhythms, and affected individuals exhibit decreased REM latency (the duration from onset of sleep to the first REM phase), which can be modulated by muscarinic agonists or antagonists.⁶⁴ Conversely, sleep deprivation exerts short-term antidepressant effects⁶⁵ and entails reduced cortical ACh levels⁶⁶ and vast transcriptional changes in basal forebrain cholinergic neurons.⁶⁷

While the SCN regulates circadian timing of the organism, individual cellular timings are controlled by a group of transcriptional activators and de-activators, called clock genes. The autoregulatory feedback loop thus created oscillates between day and night timing, under the influence of external factors. How exactly the individual cellular clocks are synchronised by the SCN is still unclear.⁶⁸ The first molecular circadian controller, circadian locomotor output cycles kaput (CLOCK), was identified by Joseph Takahashi and colleagues via mutagenesis screening in mice in 1997.⁶⁹ The transcription factors CLOCK and brain and muscle ARNT-like protein 1 (BMAL1) form heterodimers and bind to E-box elements in the promoters of period (PER) 1 and 2, and cryptochrome (CRY) 1 and 2, which lead to negative feedback regulation; or to E-box elements in the promoters of NR1D1 (giving rise to the Rev-Erb α protein) and NR1F1 (giving rise to ROR α), which compete for the ROR element in the BMAL1 promoter. ROR α induces BMAL1 expression, while Rev-Erb α represses it, thus leading to an oscillating expression pattern. In neurons, CLOCK can be substituted by its paralogue NPAS2.




Figure 1.3: The Neurokine Pathway. The neurokines, such as CNTF, LIF, and IL-6, signal through a combination of soluble and membrane-bound receptors. Activation of a transmembrane neurokine receptor is usually followed by JAK recruitment and phosphorylation, and successively by STAT activation and translocation to the nucleus. Other aspects of the figure refer to the probable distinction between the cholinergic neuron (left side) and the »cholinceptive neuron« (right side), the latter of which possesses ACh receptors and AChE for degradation of the transmitter, and which may also produce soluble neurokine receptors. The phenotype of cholinergic cells is controlled by cholinergic-specific transcriptional regulators such as LHX6 and LHX8, circadian regulators such as CLOCK and ARNTL, and by inducible and broad transcriptional regulation via the JAK/STAT pathway.

1.2.7 NEUROKINES

In comparison to the widely studied cholinergic projection neurons originating in the basal forebrain (Ch1-Ch4) that are known to depend on a retrograde survival signal by means of nerve growth factor (NGF), trophic influences on other cholinergic populations such as the cortical interneurons are unclear. NGF was described by Rita Levi-Montalcini in the 1950s as the first known instance of trophic peptides required for the survival of sympathetic ganglia.⁷⁰ The group of neurotrophic substances since discovered (most prominently, the brain-derived neurotrophic factor BDNF) are commonly referred to as »neurotrophins«. They convey their trophic effects through a family of transmembrane receptors; NGF binds to neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 1 (NTRK1) with high affinity, BDNF binds to neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (NTRK2) with high affinity. However, both also bind to a third receptor, nerve growth factor receptor (NGFR), which is also known as p75, although with low affinity. NGFR function is complex, depending on the context it seems to be able to suppress as well as enhance the primary neurotrophic signal mediated by NTRK1/2.⁷¹ The dependence of basal forebrain cholinergic neurons on retrograde NGF signalling was discovered in the 1980s.⁷²

A second group of trophic peptides with cholinergic implications are the »neurokines«; the name results from the fact that this subgroup of cytokines has been associated with neuronal function in the central and peripheral nervous systems. Most prominently, they include the ciliary neurotrophic

factor (CNTF), LIF, and IL-6, all of which coincidentally have been known by the acronym CDF. In the late 1980s, two groups of scientists (McManaman⁷³ and Rao⁷⁴) independently identified proteins in extracts of muscle fibre that induced a differentiation of neurons towards a cholinergic type, and thus termed these proteins »choline acetyltransferase development factor« or »cholinergic differentiation factor« (both abbreviated CDF). Only later, through sequencing of the peptides, it became known that they had in fact discovered two distinct neurokines, LIF (Rao) and CNTF (McManaman, personal communication). IL-6, on the other hand, is abbreviated CDF for an entirely different reason: in this case it is short for »CTL (cytolytic T lymphocyte) differentiation factor«.

CNTF, LIF, and IL-6 convey their impact on neuronal activity through a partly redundant neurokine receptor pathway.³² There are two basic types of neurokine receptors: soluble and transmembrane. The primary receptors for CNTF (CNTFR) and IL-6 (IL6R) are soluble proteins that are secreted into the extracellular space and, upon binding of a neurokine, bind to transmembrane receptor dimers on the cell surface. These transmembrane receptors are the LIF receptor (LIFR) and the »interleukin 6 signal transducer« (IL6ST), which is also known as gp130. Due to the latter's predominance, neurokines are also referred to as gp130 receptor family cytokines⁷⁵. Every neurokine has its preferred constellation of soluble and transmembrane receptors: CNTF binds to the soluble CNTF receptor and a dimer consisting of one gp130 and one LIFR protein; IL-6 binds to the soluble IL6R and a dimer of two units of gp130; LIF does not usually bind a soluble receptor but rather binds immediately to a dimer comprising one of each gp130 and LIFR; however, there are significant redundancy, pleiotropy, and crosstalk between those systems.⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ Notably, a membrane bound IL-6 receptor is also expressed on several select cell types: macrophages, neutrophils, some types of T-cells, and hepatocytes.⁷⁸

All receptor constellations result in a main effect of activation of the JAK/STAT cascade (Figure 1.3). More specifically, neurokines can activate janus kinases (JAKs) 1 and 2 or the homologous tyrosine kinase (TYK) 2, and, successively, STAT (»signal transducer and activator of transcription«) isoforms 1, 3, 5A, and 5B, which then convey a multitude of cellular effects (e.g. in immunity or differentiation) through transcriptional activation. The STAT cascade is inherently self-limiting in that it usually leads to expression of transcription factors that serve as repressors of the STAT genes by SOCS (suppressors of cytokine signalling), PIAS (protein inhibitors of activated STATs), and PTPs (protein tyrosine phosphatases).⁷⁶

Neurokines, particularly IL-6, may serve as a link between the immunological and cholinergic aspects of physiologic or disease processes. Since IL-6 is implicated in neurodegenerative, psychiatric, and injurious CNS diseases (Section 1.2), which all also possess a cholinergic facet, it makes sense to not only see it in the light of an immunomodulator, but also as a potential influence on neuronal function in cholinergic systems. A third of basal IL-6 levels are generated in adipose tissue in healthy

humans,⁷⁹ and central (fatty) obesity increases risk for AD about 3-fold.^{80,81} SCZ and BD also are associated with obesity, although causality still is unclear. While obesity itself is more predominant in SCZ than in BD, obesity in BD patients is associated with decreased global cognitive ability as well as with poorer performance on individual tests of processing speed, reasoning/problem solving, and sustained attention.⁸² Low-grade chronic inflammation is recognised in obesity⁸³ as well as in neurodegenerative⁸⁴ and non-degenerative psychiatric diseases.^{85,86} Sleep disturbance in animal models of mood disorders is accompanied by elevation in blood levels of IL-1, IL-6, and TNF- α .⁸⁷ Additionally, it has been shown that LIF can lead to a catecholaminergic-to-cholinergic neurotransmitter switch in peripheral neurons in a mouse model of protracted inflammation accompanying collagen-induced arthritis.⁸⁸ Though being a marginal phenomenon, it is not unthinkable that similar processes in central nervous cell may contribute to a disruption of homeostasis of cholinergic systems, and thus, to disease.

1.3. TRANSCRIPTIONAL CONNECTOMICS

The term »connectomics« is not strictly limited to one scientific discipline; it is frequently used when the studied matter is defined by complex relationships between interaction partners. The most frequent use outside of transcriptional matters is neuronal connectomics, i.e., the relationships and projections between brain regions. In this dissertation, connectomics generally refers to epi-transcriptional interaction, the processes surrounding protein-coding gene expression. For the sake of simplicity, in this dissertation all observations relating to genomics, transcriptomics, genes, and their small RNA regulators should be seen in the context of *Homo sapiens*, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

NO MATTER THEIR LOCATION, cholinergic neurons are defined by their ability to synthesise ACh and release it to neighbouring cells to a certain effect. To fulfil this task, two proteins are essential: the choline acetyltransferase (ChAT) to synthesise ACh from choline and acetyl-Coenzyme A, and the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (vAChT, official gene symbol SLC18A3), which concentrates ACh in vesicles for later release. A notable genetic feature connects these two proteins beyond their functional association: the small *SLC18A3* gene - only 2420 nucleotides (nt) in size - sits inside the first intron of the CHAT gene and thus is already included in its primary transcript, and is subject to the CHAT promoter. However, oftentimes the (mature) transcript levels of CHAT and SLC18A3 mRNA seem to be independently regulated; from the perspective of the organism, the possibility of differential regulation between these two genes makes sense. Since SLC18A3 apparently does not possess its own promoter, this differential regulation has to be conveyed epigenetically.

This dissertation deals in large parts with approaches aiming to decipher these interactions; and while its primary topic revolves around cholinergic systems, the methods described in the following are designed to be applicable to the entirety of the genome/epigenome. Four particular types of cellular actors are subjects of these methods and therefore will be briefly introduced: genes in the classical sense as the conveyors of cellular function by encoding for proteins; transcription factors (TFs), a subclass of protein coding genes that are able to regulate the expression of other genes; miRNAs, a class of small non-coding RNA (smRNA) that has been known for approximately two decades and is reasonably well described functionally and mechanistically; and transfer RNA fragments (tRFs), a second class of regulatory smRNA that has only recently been rediscovered and is significantly less well described regarding its functionality.

Naturally, there are multiple additional epigenetic regulatory mechanisms that are not subject to the herein described methods, some of which closely interact with small RNA function. For instance, long non-coding RNAs are a large, novel class of RNA that is poorly characterised as of yet, but has been shown in several instances to interfere with gene expression via RNA-binding protein interactions, or with miRNA function via sponging of miRNA molecules. Other epigenetic processes such as DNA methylation or histone modifications are also known to significantly influence gene expression; however, their target-specific effects are in most cases not catalogued in a comprehensive fashion and thus are not amenable to whole-genome bioinformatics analyses.

1.3.1 TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS

Transcription factors (TFs) were among the first intracellular regulatory mechanisms to be discovered (the earliest article referencing the term »transcription factor« in its title on PubMed was published in 1972). TFs commonly translocate from the cytosol into the nucleus upon activation (often by phosphorylation), where they bind specific DNA sequences that usually range in size from 6 to 12 nt. The regions containing these binding sites (about 100 - 1000 nt in size) determine the effect upon binding, which can be one of two main modes: either a promoter, leading to an increased activity of transcription in the downstream vicinity of the binding site, or a repressor, having the opposite effect.

There exists a vast body of knowledge on TF-interactions with genes, mostly due to the long period of time since their discovery and the multitude of scientific publications, most often studying single TFs and their interactions with few genes, but cumulatively curated by several organisations. One of the currently largest curations of TF data, TRANSFAC, saw its original release in 1988. While these curation efforts can be extensive, they may present with serious bias towards particular TFs that may hold more scientific interest and thus are published far more frequently than others.




Figure 1.4: Structure of the Ago2-guide complex. **A)** Schematic of the Ago2 primary sequence. Front and top views of human Ago2 bound to a defined guide RNA (red). Ago2 contains a large central cleft between two lobes (N-PAZ and MID-PIWI) connected by two linker domains (L1 and L2). **B)** Guide RNA omit map contoured at 2σ (blue mesh). **C)** Nucleotides g2–g5 are exposed, whereas Ago2 occludes nucleotides g6 and g7. **D)** The 3' half of the guide is threaded through the N-PAZ channel. **E)** View down the N-PAZ channel. Figure and caption from Schirle *et al.*⁸⁹

Recently, comprehensive efforts have extended the available data significantly. Driven by the advent of RNA-seq, computational approaches have become able to not only comprehensively predict TF-gene interactions, but to do so in a highly tissue-specific manner (see Section 2.2.3). The human body is estimated to express up to 2600 distinct DNA-binding proteins, most of them presumed TFs,⁹⁰ although other studies give lower estimates.

1.3.2 MICRORNAS

The first endogenous »small RNA with antisense complementarity« was described in 1993,⁹¹ but microRNAs (miRNAs) were only recognised as a distinct regulatory class of molecules in the early 2000s. They are typically between 18 and 22 nt-long, single stranded RNA fragments, and their function is now largely undisputed: miRNAs serve as targeting molecules for a protein complex whose primary purpose is to repress translation of mRNA, and, in some cases, lead to mRNA degradation. The complex, therefore, is called RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC); central to its function is the family of argonaute (Ago) proteins, which can bind the mature miRNA and orient it for interaction with its targets (Figure 1.4).⁹² Guidance of RISC to the target mRNA is generally mediated via sequence complementarity between miRNA and the targeted mRNA. Specifically, a




Figure 1.5: miRNA Binding Modes. miRNAs can bind to their target transcripts via a range of binding modes. N: any nucleotide; M: matching nucleotide. **A)** Simple seed matching of complementary bases (indicated by grey lines) is called canonical binding. Canonical sites can have different lengths and starting points. **B)** Seed pairing can be supplemented by complementarity in the 3' region of the miRNA, often after a »bridge« of non-complementary bases. This is particularly relevant in case of a mismatch in the seed (indicated by red »X«). Possible but less frequent are also **C)** offset 6-mer sites, **D)** seed-mismatched or G:U wobble sites, and **E)** G-bulge sites. Figure modified from van Peer *et al.*⁹⁴

»seed« region, usually bases 2-8 on the miRNA, is mainly responsible for the interaction; in case of perfect complementarity of this seed to the mRNA sequence, the interaction is considered »canonical«.⁸⁹

In early miRNA research, the 3' untranslated region (UTR) of the mRNA was believed to contain most miRNA binding sites due to its greater accessibility (i.e., the lack of active ribosomes); however, cumulative recent reports suggest that binding inside the coding region of the mRNA is a regular occurrence.⁹³ The rules governing miRNA binding to target sequences show considerable flexibility; a recent study shows about 30% of analysed relationships to be of »non-canonical« nature.⁹⁴ In those cases, seed pairing with the mRNA is often imperfect. To ameliorate this loss of stability, compensation occurs typically by a secondary complementary structure after a small gap of non-complementary bases, leading to a »bridge«-type constellation (Figure 1.5). This flexibility has implications in applications involving targeting algorithms; those that consider only the seed region are more prone to false negatives than models that consider, for instance, the free energy of the whole molecule (see Section 2.2.4). A recent study reveals a highly unusual non-canonical binding of miR-126-3p directly to caspase 3, inhibiting apoptosis independent of RISC association.⁹⁵

BIOGENESIS

miRNAs, similar to coding genes, are transcribed from loci on the genome, many inside introns or even exons of coding genes.⁹⁶ The primary transcript (primary miRNA or pri-miRNA) typically contains a hairpin-like structure that usually results in a double-stranded molecule because of internal complementarity, and can contain up to six mature miRNAs. This hairpin structure is recognised by the DGCR8 protein (DiGeorge Syndrome Critical Region 8, in invertebrates called »Pasha«); the complex then associates with the RNA-cleaving protein »Drosha«, which removes bases on the opposite side of the hairpin, creating a miRNA precursor (or pre-miRNA), which is subsequently exported from the nucleus by the shuttle protein Exportin-5. In a final step in the cytosol, the ribonuclease »Dicer« removes the loop joining the 3' and 5' arms of the pre-miRNA, resulting in a duplex of mature miRNA, about 20 nt long. Initially, it was thought to contain only one active miRNA, resulting in a designation of »miRNA*« for the complementary strand (commonly, the strand with lower expression). However, this notion has been disproven, and to reflect the possibility of both strands performing miRNA functions, nomenclature has changed to specify the arm of the pre-miRNA from which the mature form originates (suffix »-3p« for the 3' arm, and »-5p« for the 5' arm).

miRNA genes, in the same way as protein coding genes, can be subject to promoters and repressors, adding another layer of expression control by TFs. However, these TF-miRNA relationships are far less well described than common coding gene interactions, because miRNAs due to their shortness are not amenable to many standard gene expression assay forms. Estimation of the number of distinct gene targets of any one miRNA varies widely; however, it is generally accepted to not be less than several dozen targets per miRNA, and up to thousands of genes per miRNA (although that estimate may be overenthusiastic).^{97,98}

ORGANISATION AND CURATION

miRNAs are organised and curated by means of a periodically updated web-based platform, miR-Base.⁹⁹ For *Homo sapiens*, miRBase v21 contains 2588 mature miRNAs from 1881 precursors. Evolutionarily, the miRNA repertoire has grown from rodents to primates, resulting in a number of primate-specific miRNAs that may convey additional function. miRNA nomenclature is organised¹⁰⁰ in a way that assigns evolutionarily conserved miRNAs the same designation (number) in all species in which they are expressed. In their full names, a prefix stating the organism of origin is added; for example, hsa-miR-125b-5p (for *Homo sapiens*) and mmu-miR-125b-5p (for *Mus musculus*) share the same sequence and most of their functionalities.

miRNAs are subcategorised in families (designated »mir« with lowercase »r«) by their genomic origin and phylogenetic homology aspects. As the annotation itself, family affiliations are in flux and change with each miRBase version. miRBase v21 lists 151 distinct miRNA families with 721 individual members in total. The remaining 1867 miRNAs do not (yet) belong to a larger family; the majority (80%) of those is newly discovered, as indicated by a 4-digit designation number.

DISEASE ASSOCIATION

miRNAs have been associated with a number of CNS diseases, including AD, Parkinson's Disease (PD), BD, and SCZ. However, the largest contribution since their discovery by far has been made by cancer research; of the approximately 90 000 publications found on PubMed with the term miRNA, about 42 000 involve cancer (search term »miRNA AND cancer«). In comparison, »miRNA AND Alzheimer's Disease« results in about 600 hits, while a search for »miRNA AND Schizophrenia« yields just 363 publications (as of October 2019).

In AD, several groups of miRNAs have been found to show characteristic perturbations before the onset of symptoms, which makes them interesting biomarker candidates.¹⁰¹ Some miRNAs have been extensively studied in a variety of contexts, most prominently hsa-miR-132-3p. Among its targets are several key neuronal regulators (e.g. FOXP2, FOXO3, P300, MeCP2), and it is in turn controlled by many pivotal neuronal elements (e.g. REST, ERK1/2, CREB); this presents an explanation for the many physiological and pathological situations that miR-132-3p has been found to play a role in. Its functions include the control of neuronal survival/apoptosis, migration and neurite extension, neuronal differentiation, and synaptic plasticity.

miRNAs fulfil their regulatory purpose in a context- and cell-type-dependent manner,¹⁰² such that the perturbation of one single miRNA may provide different functional outcomes in different tissues (e.g., glial cells and neurons), or different stages of disease. However, this »jack-of-all-trades« behaviour also poses significant problems in establishing miRNAs as pharmacological targets: In the case of antagonising or mimicking an existing miRNA, the amount of off-target effects would not only be enormous, the entire definition of an off-target effect would continuously change between tissues and during the course of the disease. For this reason, the design of custom oligonucleotides with limited capabilities may be preferable in the development of therapeutics based on RNA interference (See also Section 5.3).

1.3.3 TRANSFER RNA FRAGMENTS

Transfer RNA (tRNA) breakdown products have been known for decades, with first descriptions in the 1970s; back then, they were associated with a higher turnover of tRNA in cancer cells,¹⁰³

and proposed as urine-based biomarkers for certain malignancies.¹⁰⁴ However, their genesis was attributed to random processes, and due to lacking molecular biology characterisation techniques, interest in those fragments quickly faded. It was not until recently that studies have shown tRNA to be a major source of stable expression of small noncoding RNA^{105,106} in most mammalian tissues. Indeed, replicating the reports from the 1970s, but now in the form of comprehensive small RNA analysis of human biofluids,¹⁰⁷ tRNA breakdown products are the dominant form of small RNA in secreted fluids, such as urine and bile, and make up large parts of the RNA profile of other bodily fluids as well. They exist in two major forms: transfer RNA halves (tiRNAs) and the smaller transfer RNA fragments (tRFs). tiRNAs derive from either end of the tRNA, and are created by angiogenin cleavage at the anticodon loop.^{108,109} Smaller fragments are derived from the 3' and 5' ends of the tRNA (3'-tRF/5'-tRF) or internal tRNA parts (i-tRF), respectively, and may incorporate into Ago protein complexes and act like miRNAs to suppress their targets.^{110,111}

However, there is considerable controversy about the generalisation of tRF functions, as distinct publications discover very different and sometimes opposing mechanisms of action for their respective fragments. An obvious assumption is the miRNA-like functionality, at least for those tRFs that are in the length range of miRNAs. There have been several instances of tRFs proven to act as miRNA-like suppressors of translation in a RISC-associated manner,¹¹¹ and of Dicer playing a large part in their biogenesis.¹⁰⁵ There are even instances of small RNA molecules previously mislabeled miRNAs that have been discovered to actually be tRNA-derived, such as miR-1280.¹¹²

On the other hand, multiple groups have identified tRFs to function not in an antisense-complementary manner, but by homology aspects. A valine-derived tRF was found to regulate translation by competing with mRNA directly at the binding site at the initiation complex and thereby displacing the original mRNA, leading to its translational repression.¹¹³ Others have found multiple classes of tRFs derived from glutamine, aspartate, glycine, and tyrosine tRNAs, that displace multiple oncogenic transcripts from an RNA-binding protein (YBX1), conveying tumour-suppressive activity.¹¹⁴ Most counterintuitive is the recent finding of a tRF proven to bind to several ribosomal protein mRNAs and *enhancing* their translation, and, when specifically inhibited, leading to apoptosis in rapidly dividing cells.¹¹⁵

There is no consistent nomenclature yet to describe and organise tRFs, which are by nature more heterogeneous than miRNAs; while only 61 mature tRNAs are required in a cell to achieve a one-to-one »codon→amino acid« translation, one tRNA molecule can be the origin of several hundred distinct tRF molecules. Additionally, the amount of human tRNA genes is estimated at 500-600,¹¹⁶ and there are many more pseudo-tRNA genes. To communicate the identity of individual tRFs, multiple approaches are common in current literature; most prominently, tRFs are tied to the parent tRNA and the amino acid carried by this tRNA. To illustrate: The 22-nt LeuCAG3' tRF (meaning:

a fragment of 22 bases starting at the 3' end of the leucine-carrying tRNA with anticodon »CAG«) was shown to play an important role in regulating ribosome biogenesis.¹¹⁵ Since there is no repository of the likes of miRBase yet, this approach can be cumbersome for replication purposes, and explicit statement of the exact sequence of each fragment is a must in publication. In fact, since the aforementioned paper does not mention the sequence explicitly, there exist six distinct possibilities of fragments fitting this description. While manageable on this small scale, this system prohibits efficient analysis of larger sets of tRFs that cannot be individually controlled. For this reason, the approach of Loher and colleagues¹¹⁷ may be preferable: they propose the generation of a »license plate« based on the sequence of the fragment directly, composed of the prefix »tRF«, the length of the fragment, and a custom oligonucleotide string encoding (e.g., »B3« codes for »AAAGT«). This way, tRF names are unique and unmistakably linked to the sequence, nomenclature is species-independent, and tRNA origin can be quickly determined by sequence lookup.

I.4. NESTED MULTIMODAL TRANSCRIPTIONAL INTERACTIONS - THE NEED FOR CONNECTOMICS

The ultimate aim of transcriptional connectomics is the combination of all interacting cellular components in a model that satisfactorily explains our real-life observations and is able to predict the functional outcome of a modification of one of these players. Even in the simplified case of only studying the interactions between coding genes, TFs, miRNAs, and tRFs, the complexity of the required model exceeds our current capabilities by far. The more we know about the functioning of these intertwined systems, the more we understand how much there is still to learn.

For instance, only recently has it become clear how complex transcriptional regulation by means of TFs really is, and, incidentally, the two systems studied foremost in this dissertation (nerve and immune cells) are the two most transcriptionally complex systems in any mammal.¹¹⁸ Through study of comprehensive genomic information of 394 tissue types in approximately 1000 human primary cell, tissue, and culture samples (from the FANTOM5 consortium) it was estimated that the mean number of active TFs towards any given gene is highest in immune (12 TFs per gene) and nervous cells (10 TFs per gene), and that any one TF in nervous and immune cells controls expression of a mean of 175 and 160 genes, respectively (see also Section 2.2.3).¹¹⁸

Similarly, it has been found that miRNAs, particularly in the nervous system, possess a much higher tissue specificity than coding genes, resulting in an expression landscape that varies widely between individual neuron types that are in close proximity in the brain. With the exception of single cell RNA-seq, no modern analysis method is capable of a resolution appropriate for accurate characterisation of these expression patterns, resulting in extinction of the signal of miRNAs that are

not expressed consistently across cell types (similar to »housekeeping« genes) because of statistical interference. Very recent studies show that miRNA:gene co-expression networks are tightly linked to cell types in the nervous system, and that groups of miRNAs as functional modules associate with particular phenotypes in developmental and mature states.¹¹⁹ This functional association with cell phenotype was found in quality comparable to the expression patterns of TFs, yet in quantity conveys smaller impact and thus is thought to be a fine-tuning mechanism, subtle and precise in purpose.

Another aspect of the tissue specificity of CNS-associated miRNAs is the high likelihood of under-representation or even non-discovery of those very specifically expressed miRNAs. Adding to the problem is the experimental bias towards rodent models when it comes to thorough studies of the CNS, where human or other primate samples are a rarity compared to rats or mice. Assessments of the numbers of yet unknown novel primate- and tissue specific miRNAs estimate their magnitude in the thousands,¹²⁰ resulting in an effective doubling of currently known miRNAs.

These high numbers of potentially interacting players present computational challenges: Approximating the number of expressed genes in a human cell at 20 000, the number of TFs at a low 500, and an actual number of interactions per TF at 10, the total possible interactions C are given by

$$C = \frac{500!}{10!(500 - 10)!} \cdot 20\,000$$

which practically equals infinity. This is without accounting for different tissue types or cell states (e.g., differentiation or disease). Similarly, the amount of mature miRNAs (2588 in miRBase v21) and their ability to target even more distinct transcripts than TFs with one single molecule present immense computational requirements for even listing all possible or actual relationships. An interaction table describing targeting of genes by miRNAs in one type of tissue has $2588 \cdot 20\,000 \approx 50$ million individual fields.

Combining the different modes of transcriptional interaction presents additional challenges. A simple model system to visualise (in only one type of cell) the interaction of TFs targeting genes, and of miRNAs targeting genes as well as TFs, contains about 20 000 genes (a subset of which of the size of about 2000 are TFs), 2588 mature miRNAs, and a total of $2588 \cdot 20\,000 + 2000 \cdot 20\,000 \approx 90\,000\,000$ potential interactions. In standard application scenarios, such as the generation of an interaction network around a group of genes (e.g., the cholinergic genes), the processing requirements grow linearly with each added interaction partner, and exponentially with every regulatory layer that is added.

Practically, this information has to be provided, gathered, and integrated, which further multiplies the amount of storage and processing power required. miRWalk 2.0, a collection of miRNA

interaction data, has collected 12 of the most popular miRNA-targeting prediction datasets, each of which has their strengths and weaknesses (see 2.2.4). Experimentally validated interactions (e.g. as collected in DIANA TarBase or miRTarBase) are gold standard, but far from comprehensive and strictly speaking only relevant for the cellular context in which the experiment was originally performed; there are also different evidence qualities to be accounted for, depending on the type of experiment performed. Ideally, all of these data are still accessible when performing the analysis, so a database created for this purpose should be able to incorporate all this information without any data loss while still remaining feasible in terms of computation time as well as space and working memory requirements.

This dissertation will first describe the creation of such a database and what has been learned during its various stages, and then go on to apply the database to different biological problems from real world experiments, such as the cholinergic differentiation of human male and female cultured neuronal cells, and the blood of stroke victims.

»Wir sehen in der Natur nie etwas als Einzelheit, sondern wir sehen alles in Verbindung mit etwas anderem, das vor ihm, neben ihm, hinter ihm, unter ihm und über ihm sich befindet.«

Johann Wolfgang von Goethe

2

miRNeo: Creation of a Comprehensive Connectomics Database

Natural philosophy, as represented by the thought of Johann Wolfgang von Goethe, wants to holistically describe nature and explain and interpret its particular mechanisms. Although natural philosophy is the predecessor of modern, empirical science, its concepts and approaches are still valuable in today's data driven world. As the data we collect grows to dimensions that can only be interpreted with the aid of computers, functional reductionism becomes a valuable paradigm: By studying the facets of nature, we strive to understand it as a whole. Similarly, we regularly encounter Goethe's paraphrase of »all things are connected« in neuro-immunology and in transcriptional connectomics.

BIOINFORMATIC SUPPORT IN CONNECTOMICS is indispensable, which can be seen by the sheer multitude of possible interactions between the participating factors. However, when I began working on this project (October 2015), there was no integrative database available for this purpose. Earlier that year, miRWalk 2.0 had been published, for the first time providing a relatively comprehensive source of predicted as well as experimentally validated miRNA targeting data¹²¹ (see 1.3.2). One year later, Marbach's »regulatory circuits« were published,¹¹⁸ enabling analysis of comprehensive TF→gene relationships in 394 human tissues (see Section 1.3.1). These collections (as well as the data they were derived from) are the basis of the database further called *miRNeo*, the development of which will be described in the following chapter.

Since a large part of the scientific progress of this dissertation deals with practical problems of multimodal connectomics, I will begin by describing the infrastructure that makes effective computation of these problems possible. After this technical description of database structure and creation,

I will explain the types and organisation of its content. The remainder of the chapter will then deal with the application of this infrastructure to real-world problems in transcriptional connectomics, and the statistical approaches suited to this special case.

2.1. MIRNEO - IMPLEMENTATION

For any biological question to be asked in a bioinformatics setting, the effectiveness of the computational query determines the practicality of the approach. Because resources (i.e., processing power, storage, and working memory) are limited, the database that is queried should be organised in a way that facilitates retrieval of the desired information without excess processing of useless information, for instance, reporting the absence of a connection. In the simplified case of only miRNAs interacting with genes in one direction (miRNA→gene), this means retrieval of only those interactions relevant for the queried genes or miRNAs.

Traditional table-based approaches (also known as relational databases) such as SQL («Structured Query Language») cannot provide such an implementation, since individual entries for genes and miRNAs (rows and columns) have to be accessed in their entirety, whether there is a connection between gene and miRNA (1) or not (0). Additionally, adding layers to these interactions (e.g., distinct prediction algorithms, tissues, or the interaction between TFs and genes) require the addition of entire tables the same size as the database, which is detrimental to effective use of space; and more complex queries also necessitate the transfer of information between those distinct tables (in SQL typically via a `JOIN` command), which claims additional working memory and processing time. Overall, the so-called «many-to-many» organisation of data does not lend itself to representation in a relational database.

The actual performance is determined by the processing power of the machine it is running on and several structural properties, such as organisation, indexing, monotony, and of course the size of the database; therefore, an estimation of processing time for queries is bound to be inaccurate. However, processing times typically do not vary on the scale of orders of magnitude, and thus general estimations can be made. Well optimised SQL databases with a size of 5 to 10 GB on disk usually require tens of minutes if not hours to complete one single complex query;¹²² *miRNeo* in its current form takes up approximately 15 GB of storage. Since one analysis typically consists of several hundreds (and, in the case of permutation analyses, several hundreds of thousands) of these queries, processing times in SQL implementation are too long to be practically useful. (It seems important to note that, as of 2018, SQL also offers a graph-based organisation in addition to the traditional, relational layout. These two are separate systems, and not to be confused. The advantages of Neo4j




Figure 2.1: Organisation of a Graph Database. A graph consists of two basic building blocks: *Nodes*, representing entities, and *edges*, representing connections between entities. Each database entry (node or edge) is an instance of a particular *type* and can possess an arbitrary amount of *properties* detailing its specifics.

as explained in the following should be seen from the perspective of 2015, when the database was established, and when there was no graph-based SQL implementation.)

2.1.1 NEO4J: A GRAPH-BASED INFRASTRUCTURE

To query and display biological data that are organised in a network-like structure (many-to-many), a database that lends itself to the efficient processing and storage of network data is optimal. »Neo4j« utilises a database structure that is built on the save and recall of data points in *nodes* and *edges*, which represent entities (nodes) and relationships between those entities (edges); both nodes and edges can have any number of attributes and a unique property called »type«, usually describing the class of the entry (such as *gene* or *miRNA*). This database organisation replicates the network-like structure of the biological data studied (Fig. 2.1). Neo4j combines this network-like data structure with an efficient indexing system for quickly finding the entries queried for, and then »walks« along the edges of the nodes that have been found, thus only searching and returning the data that is relevant to the current query. Theoretically, this makes the database more likely to be efficient in the setting of transcriptional interactions, an estimation that turned out to be true.

Depending on the input, these queries can also be rather large; however, the main pitfall of tabular databases such as SQL is circumvented: there is no need to process entire rows or columns of the table to make sure that the query is satisfied in its entirety. This is particularly useful in a setting of sparse information. To illustrate: only 30 of the 2588 miRNAs target a specific gene, which is common; a relational database, after finding the index of the queried gene, would have to search 2588 fields for 1/0. The graph database, on the other hand, has to execute only 30 searches (or, more accurately, 30 »walks« along the edges connected to the indexed node). In practice, even in

the very first prototype implementations, this accelerated standard-case computations immensely, and was even able to accommodate advanced approaches in situations that had been inaccessible in the tabular implementation.

2.1.2 HIGH-THROUGHPUT DATABASE GENERATION

Neo4j provides several API (»application programming interface«) possibilities in implementation. For the purpose of entering large amounts of data into the database at once, the Java implementation is superior to the other forms in that it provides a batch processing mode via its `BatchInserter` class. I thus wrote a custom Java program for the purpose of creating an initial state of the database from the largest set of data, the complete miRWalk 2.0 content with 12 algorithms and validated interactions. The downloaded data was organised in a plain text based file format, with one text file for each miRNA, totalling in size about 6 GB (for *H. sapiens*). The database was set up in a way that allows only one node for each individual miRNA and gene entered to avoid duplications, using the commands

- `createDeferredConstraint()`
- `assertPropertyIsUnique()`
- `createDeferredSchemaIndex()`

of the Neo4j Java package. This approach made sure to create only one node for each miRNA (type: `MIR`) and gene (type: `GENE`) in the data, which is essential for proper functioning of the database. Each of these nodes received several properties to store individual data, such as the various gene/miRNA identifiers, miRNA sequence, and species.

Between those basic nodes, the batch insertion process created edges for each relationship that was found in the original data, assigning a type identifier to each edge detailing the origin of this interaction (type: name of the prediction algorithm or »VALIDATED« for experimental data). Thus, while the nodes for genes and miRNAs themselves are unique, an arbitrary number of relationships can exist between any two nodes, depending on how many interactions they share.

2.1.3 MAINTENANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

All additional datasets, such as the TF regulatory circuits or tRF targeting predictions, were entered into *miRNeo* using the regular operation mode. Testing was also performed in regular operation, with manual as well as automated tests to assert the correct transfer of information from raw data to the graph database, and to avoid unpredictable behaviour. At times, conflicts had to be resolved manually, for instance when miRNA names conflicted between old »miRNA*« and new »3p/5p«

notation; all manual edits are documented in the code, which was published alongside Lobentanzer *et al.*¹

Except for the rapid import of large amounts of data in creation of a database, the Java implementation of Neo4j does not offer many advantages over the native R implementation, »RNeo4j«. Thus, after creation and a short period of experimentation with graphical user interfaces, I abandoned the Java program in favour of the more flexible R programming. While Java is an object-based programming language, whose benefits lie in extreme flexibility in regards to platform and purpose, high modularity, and speedy processing, R as a procedural language is the work horse of modern bioinformatics. Its procedural design (the division of data and functions that operate on that data) facilitates the transfer of approaches between distinct datasets, and the enormous vibrant community of data scientists using R provides a wealth of third party packages to tackle almost any bioinformatic task. In the remainder of this dissertation, all analyses are performed in R, unless specifically stated otherwise.

2.2. MIRNEO - MATERIALS

All materials used in the creation of *miRNeo* have been acquired from resources that are non-commercial, web-available, and open-source (in the case of code). All properties and relationships derived from this data were entered into *miRNeo* as either nodes, properties of nodes, edges, or properties of edges.

2.2.1 GENE ANNOTATION

Even though »regular« protein coding genes have been known for a long time, there is no consensus yet about their nomenclature and organisation. Complicated by newly discovered functions and properties of phylogenetic nature, the scientific representation of the human genome is in constant flux. Several large organisations strive to provide a robust annotation of the human gene catalog, but also in many cases contradict one another. There are three nomenclature systems that are of high importance in modern genomics:

- The traditional naming system of acronyms (e.g. CHAT) and fantasy-names (such as »Sonic Hedgehog«), also occasionally called »gene symbol«, is still widely popular because of its accessibility to humans, but is also not particularly robust because of a high amount of synonyms with high confusion potential (see e.g. Section 1.2.7 on CDF) and instances of genes without names having to carry unwieldy systematic names. Gene symbols are largely, but not exclusively, curated by the HUGO Genome Nomenclature Consortium (HGNC), under the roof of the

Human Genome Organisation (HUGO). As such, there also exists an »official« HGNC symbol for many genes, but these are not consistently used throughout the literature.

- The American National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), a branch of the National Institute of Health (NIH), curates and hosts a multitude of biological and medical data, and for the organisation of gene information uses its own systematic nomenclature termed »Entrez« ID. Entrez is a molecular biology database that integrates many aspects of biology and medicine in a gene-centred manner, and therefore Entrez IDs are useful to quickly connect a gene to its function, nucleotide sequence, or associated diseases. Entrez IDs are regular integers without additional characters.
- Akin to the NCBI effort, ENSEMBL is a project of the European Bioinformatics Institute (EBI) as part of the European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL). Compared to the Entrez database, it is more focused on study and maintenance of the genome itself, and therefore has a more intricate nomenclature that allows for differentiation of, for example, genes and their various transcript isoforms (ENSEMBL IDs carry character prefixes for class identification, e.g., ENSG for genes, ENST for transcripts).

All of these are being used on a regular basis in many publications, and, often, they are used exclusively. As a result, the end user of the published data has to have access to all possible annotation forms, or, at least, a means to translate one into the other; often, this also introduces conflicts. For this reason, all ID types were entered into *miRNeo* upon creation or during maintenance, for convenience and to minimise analysis prolongations due to conflict resolution.

2.2.2 MICRORNA ANNOTATION

miRBase provides a consistent annotation for miRNAs. Due to their relatively recent discovery, there still are major changes from version to version; the syntax, however, is stable. In addition to the miRNA »names« that are composed of species, the string »miR«, pre-miRNA designation number, and strand origin (e.g. »hsa-miR-125b-5p«), miRBase provides IDs for pre-miRNA molecules (also called ancestors) termed »MIID« and IDs for mature miRNA molecules termed »MIMAT«. However, in practice, these are rarely used. Similarly, miRNA families are annotated using the »MIPF« ID.

2.2.3 TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR TARGETING

The FANTOM5 project has applied 5' cap analysis of gene expression (CAGE) to a large number of human samples from diverse tissues to determine the accurate 5' ends of each transcript.¹²³ Knowledge of this fact enables accurate prediction of transcription factor binding sites likely to control a

transcript's expression. Marbach and colleagues used this information in combination with detailed human gene expression data to derive a complex interaction network of TFs and genes («regulatory circuits»), and in doing so aggregated samples with similar expression patterns and origins into 394 fictional tissues.¹¹⁸ For every tissue, each TF was assigned transcriptional activities towards all genes that it supposedly targets (with the sum of all activities in any given tissue being 1). Marbach and colleagues have shown that the cumulative transcriptional activities towards any given gene correlate well with the actual gene expression in corresponding samples from an independent repository.

Even in its fifth iteration, FANTOM data is not entirely comprehensive, which came to my attention due to a cholinergic anomaly: The 5' CAGE peaks of the *CHAT* and *CHRNA7* (the nicotinic $\alpha 7$ receptor subunit) genes in raw FANTOM5 brain tissue data do not pass the expression threshold, and therefore are not included in, e.g., Marbach's «regulatory circuits». Both are critically important not only for neuronal cholinergic systems, but also for the non-neuronal aspect of immune processes. For instance, macrophages have been shown to produce ACh via ChAT, and the $\alpha 7$ homomeric ACh receptor conveys direct immune suppression by its expression on monocytes.⁴¹ Paradoxically, the CAGE peak of *SLC18A3*, which lies in the first intron of *CHAT*, crosses the threshold and therefore is included in the data. Unfortunately, I was not able to remedy these circumstances even upon personal communication with Daniel Marbach (author of «regulatory circuits») and Hideya Kawaji of the FANTOM5 consortium, although the latter acknowledged the possibility of a gene annotation deficit leading to misattribution of the *CHAT* signal to *SLC18A3* due to the closeness of their 5' ends. Thus, it seems viable to substitute *SLC18A3* targeting data for the absent *CHAT* data in certain situations.

The entire collection of transcriptional activities in all tissues was downloaded from the project's web page,¹¹⁸ and neuronal and immune tissues were manually curated and entered into *miRNeo*. The collected data comprises 33 neuronal tissues and 26 immune cell tissues (Appendix A), and 1 130 196 TF→gene relationships in total (not all 394 tissues were entered due to the time requirements).

2.2.4 MICRORNA INTERACTIONS

The content of miRWalk 2.0 is freely available online;¹²⁴ however, there is no option of downloading the complete set. The targeting data thus was downloaded per miRNA using a custom crawler, with standard options for all 12 prediction algorithms (miRWalk, miRDB, PITA, MicroT4, miRMap, RNA22, miRanda, miRNAMap, RNAhybrid, miRBridge, PICTAR2, and TargetScan) in plain text format. For experimentally validated interactions, the main sources were DIANA TarBase¹²⁵ and miRTarBase,¹²⁶ both of which offer complete download options. As of 2019, the 3.0 version of

miRWalk allows complete species downloads; however, the developers have abandoned their third party algorithm plurality reducing the number of available alternatives from 12 to 4, which can be considered a significant disadvantage, as is described in the next paragraph.

While sequence complementarity, particularly of the »seed«-region, is the primary paradigm of miRNA-mRNA interaction, prediction algorithms vary widely in their implementation, general purpose, and approach to interaction prediction (for a comprehensive review of approaches and rules, see Yue *et al.*¹²⁷). A large group of available options utilise sequence conservation aspects to increase candidate viability (such as miRanda, PicTar, TargetScan, and microT4). Others, such as RNA22 and PITA, utilise biophysical aspects such as free energy of binding or the accessibility of target sites due to secondary RNA structures as prediction arguments. All of these approaches have their up- and downsides, e.g. considering their general precision and sensitivity, or their adequate prediction of particular cases, such as multiple site targeting. Thus, it has been proposed to use a combination of complementary approaches instead of only one algorithm per analysis.¹²⁸ For this reason, I may have preferred the 2.0 version of miRWalk, even if 3.0 had been available at the time.

One advantage of the collection of all data in a quickly accessible database is the opportunity to compare the different approaches to target prediction. A statistical evaluation of the collected interaction data from miRWalk 2.0 showed vast differences in general prediction quantity (Table 2.1) as well as prediction accuracy and sensitivity when compared to the validated subset of data (Table 2.2). Since the ground truth is not known, this is an additional argument for the combination of multiple algorithms instead of the use of a single set. Apart from RNAhybrid and miRBridge, all algorithms presented reasonable base hit frequencies and increases in the validated test set. While miRBridge already has the lowest positive frequency of all the algorithms, it is the only one to achieve a negative score in the validated test set. On the other hand, RNAhybrid has a vastly higher base hit frequency than the second highest scoring algorithm (by more than 300%), making it very likely to produce false positive results, and less valuable in the aggregation scoring system. The remaining ten algorithms were included in *miRNeo* targeting data. For ease of use, an additional relationship type was created from the aggregated single algorithm hits of any miRNA→gene relationship, with the sum of algorithms predicting the interaction as a score variable. This yields a theoretical score range from 3 to 10 (miRNA→gene relationships with only one or two hits were ignored for the sake of space). To account for experimentally validated interactions, each miRNA→gene relationship that was supported by strong evidence of interaction was modified by addition of 10.5 score points (a half point for quick identification of a validated relationship), extending the maximum score to 20.5 points. The resulting optimised graph contains 11 687 931 human miRNA→gene targeting relationships with a distinct score distribution (Fig. 2.2). In comparison, only 6146 miRNA→gene relationships are experimentally validated with »strong« evidence.




Figure 2.2: Histogram of miRNA→Gene Score Distribution. Aggregation of individual algorithms yields a score range of 3 to 10 per individual miRNA→gene interaction. In case of additional existence of experimental validation (evidence level high) for any predicted interaction, score is increased by 10.5. The distribution shows a sharp decrease in predicted interactions towards higher scores, and a maximum of validated interactions at prediction scores 6 and 7.

algorithm	hit frequency
RNAHYBRID	71.62%
MIRMAP	19.90%
MIRWALK	19.74%
TARGETSCAN	16.33%
RNA22	12.34%
MICROT4	11.81%
MIRANDA	10.65%
PITA	4.90%
MIRDB	1.17%
MIRNAMAP	0.75%
PICTAR2	0.62%
MIRBRIDGE	0.15%

Table 2.1: Prediction algorithms ordered by the fraction of all possible interactions they predict as being real (positive rate). Different algorithms display a wide variation of hit rates in the entirety of predicted interactions between any miRNA and gene. Red: excluded from analysis.

algorithm	validated hit frequency	hit rate increase
PICTAR2	6.98%	1129.40%
MIRDB	9.80%	838.43%
MIRANDA	51.73%	485.94%
TARGETSCAN	70.63%	432.51%
MIRNAMAP	3.10%	410.95%
PITA	15.57%	317.20%
MICROT4	32.60%	276.10%
MIRMAP	53.86%	270.65%
MIRWALK	50.95%	258.15%
RNA22	22.51%	182.38%
RNAHYBRID	90.47%	126.32%
MIRBRIDGE	0.01%	0.00%

Table 2.2: Prediction algorithms ordered by their increase in true positive rate when considering only validated interactions. The hit rate increase when comparing experimentally validated interactions with the entire predicted data (Table 2.1) is also subject to strong variation. Hit rate increase is the increase of hit rate if only considering validated data as opposed to all predicted interactions. None of the studied algorithms unite a good precision (hit rate increase) and coverage (validated hit frequency).

2.2.5 FILTERING OF AGGREGATED PREDICTION SCORES

For the estimation of the »true« miRNA→gene interactions in the predicted-only data in *miRNeo*, two premises are relevant: First, the enormous amount of hits with a score of 3 in all likelihood is an over-estimation, and second, the amount of currently validated interactions can be but a small fraction of »true« interactions. Assuming the truth lies on the axis between these two extremes (i.e., at some score value inside the *miRNeo* interactions), the true amount of human miRNA→gene interactions must approximately fall within the range of 2^{10} to 2^{20} . Looking at the score distribution of all *miRNeo* interactions (Fig. 2.2), the maximum amount of validated interactions is predicted by a combination of six or seven algorithms (i.e., a score of 16.5 or 17.5). Thus, to approximate the true state, I chose to apply a low-cut filter to *miRNeo* queries at a minimum score of 6. This is the standard case referred to as »*miRNeo* query« in the remainder of this dissertation. In some cases, such as the graphical analysis of whole-genome miRNA targeting (see e.g. Section 3.6), the score threshold was raised to 7 to circumvent computational limitations.

2.2.6 DE-NOVO PREDICTION OF tRF TARGETING

Due to the recency of their (re-)discovery, no comprehensive interaction sources exist for transfer RNA fragments (tRFs). There have been documented cases of miRNA-like behaviours of distinct RNA fragments,^{105,111} justifying an attempt to predict interactions in a comprehensive manner. Of the available options for nucleotide interaction prediction algorithms, TargetScan¹²⁹ seems particularly suited for this task because it provides the option of evaluating the evolutionary conservation of target sites in the putatively targeted genes, thereby providing an additional layer of security: The sequence of 3' UTRs is evolutionarily less stable than the coding part of genes; thus, high conservation of the binding site may indicate evolutionary pressure to keep up the interaction with the fragment, making an actual function of the interaction more likely. TargetScan also presents with reasonable sensitivity and specificity as confirmed by an independent group,¹³⁰ and through an additional algorithm allows the attribution of a score based on the branch length (on the species tree) of conserved targeting.¹³¹

miRNA-like behaviour implies the existence of a region on the tRF similar to a miRNA »seed«, and TargetScan also expects a seed as input to its targeting algorithm. Since there has been no definitive answer to the question as to where the seed region in tRFs may be, it is safest to assume nothing and explore all possibilities, i.e., simulate every possible seed position for interaction discovery. For this purpose, all discovered sequences of tRFs (exceeding a base mean expression of 10 counts) were chopped into 7-nt pieces (7mers), which is the length of miRNA seeds, and statistically improbable enough to appear in the genome at random; the average length of a human 3' UTR is 800 nt, so the

probability of finding any 7mer randomly in any one 3' UTR is $p = \frac{800}{4^7} = 0.049$, which agrees with the 5% false discovery ratio (FDR) convention.

2.2.7 MICRORNA PRIMATE SPECIFICITY

During the course of evolution, higher organisms typically attained more complexity in a variety of functional categories. The CNS as the system of highest complexity underwent several drastic developments from invertebrates to lower mammals to higher mammals still. miRNAs are no exception. While many miRNAs are functionally as well as literally conserved in all mammals, primates in particular have gained a substantial amount of novel miRNAs whose function is in large parts elusive. Due to the restrictions on experimentation on higher mammals, particularly primates, many of those miRNAs can only be studied observationally, or by transgenic experiments in rodents. A cholinergic example of a gain-of-function in higher mammalian miRNA regulation is the vesicular acetylcholine transporter, SLC18A3. As described in Section 2.2.3, the SLC18A3 gene is situated in the first intron of CHAT, and thus is always primarily co-expressed with the latter. However, a primate-specific miRNA, miR-298, targets the 3' UTR of SLC18A3.¹³² Thus, the primate neuron has gained a mechanism of independent SLC18A3/CHAT regulation that the mouse, for example, does not possess. It is easily imagined that such a gain of neuronal flexibility, in many instances, can aid the development of a more effective brain. However, the primate specificity of miRNAs is not yet consensus, and thus not found in annotation databases such as miRBase, even though they list all miRNAs discovered in any species. To get an impression of the amount of possible gain of function, I performed a review of miRNAs expressed in a representative variety of annotated species. From hereon out, largely method-related paragraphs will be set in sans-serif font face.

Species Selection

The tested species were selected from miRBase v21. Some of the available species are severely limited in the extent of miRNA annotation, likely because of a research bias. Therefore, only the most well-annotated species were selected. These are (number of annotated primary and mature miRNAs in brackets):

- *Homo sapiens* (human; 1881, 2588)
- *Gorilla gorilla* (gorilla; 352, 357)
- *Pan troglodytes* (chimp; 655, 587)
- *Pongo pygmaeus* (orangutan; 642, 660)
- *Macaca mulatta* (rhesus macaque; 619, 914)
- *Bos taurus* (cow; 808, 793)
- *Canis familiaris* (dog; 502, 435)
- *Mus musculus* (mouse; 1193, 1915)
- *Rattus norvegicus* (rat; 495, 765)

The first four species belong to the hominid group; the first five are primates. It is likely that these collections are not complete, with the degree of completeness depending on the amount of research performed on the species (as demonstrated, e.g., by the difference between mouse and the other non-primates). This places considerable difficulty on asserting primate specificity of miRNA, and in turn on assertion of the effects of evolution on the miRNA regulatory system.

Single miRNA Inter-Species Homology Computation

To determine the homology of miRNAs between the studied species, reference genomes were downloaded from the respective sources and analysed phylogenetically, using the genomic coordinates provided by miRBase. Homology of sequences was determined via dynamic programming using the Smith-Waterman algorithm.¹³³ Briefly, this algorithm can be used to determine the similarity of two genomic sequences, based on a scoring system rewarding matches and penalising mismatches. Smith and Waterman extended the original approach by Needleman and Wunsch,¹³⁴ which is used to compare two complete sequences. Both algorithms rate an alignment by dynamic scoring inside a 2D-matrix, with the sequences to be compared as the x- and y-axes (one letter per cell). By a change in the scoring system, the Smith-Waterman algorithm finds the best local alignments, instead of comparing the two sequences in their entirety. In the case of miRNAs, this behaviour is useful because, between species, there are frequent additions or deletions of single nt on both ends of the homologous miRNA. Genomes were procured from the following sources:

- *Homo sapiens*: GRCh38 (NCBI)
- *Gorilla gorilla*: gorGor3 (UCSC)
- *Pan troglodytes*: panTro4 (UCSC)
- *Pongo pygmaeus*: PPYG2 (Ensembl)
- *Macaca mulatta*: rheMac3 (UCSC)
- *Bos taurus*: bosTau6 (UCSC)
- *Canis familiaris*: canFam3 (UCSC)
- *Mus musculus*: mm10 (UCSC)
- *Rattus norvegicus*: rn5 (UCSC)

Using the genome coordinates provided by miRBase, the genomic sequences of miRNAs and pre-miRNAs of each species were determined. Using the Smith-Waterman algorithm, all identified homologs of human miRNAs were subjected to homology scoring, and score results were visualised as a heatmap.

INTER-SPECIES DISTRIBUTION OF MIRNAS

The inter-species relationships of annotated miRNAs do not follow a simple evolutionary distribution from less complex to more complex organisms, but rather seem to partially result from parallel development (Fig. 2.3). Taking into account the high probability of missing annotations in several species (particularly hominids), it seems prudent to define primate specificity of miRNAs not by presence in primates, but rather by absence of the miRNAs in non-primate species (also excluding




Figure 2.3: Homologues of Human microRNAs in Primate- and Non-Primate-Species. Homology to human miRNAs was determined by Smith-Waterman local alignment for each homologous miRNA of 8 species. Homology scores were visualised on a heatmap, each column represents the homology to human of the miRNAs of the respective species. The heatmap is ordered from bottom to top by the amount of miRNA homologues in primates. The miRNAs at the very bottom are shared by human as well as all four primate species, followed by the miRNAs shared by three primate species, and so on. Ggo: *Gorilla gorilla*, Ppy: *Pongo pygmaeus* (Orangutan), Ptr: *Pan troglodytes* (Chimp), Mml: *Macaca mulatta* (Rhesus macaque), Bta: *Bos taurus* (Cow), Cfa: *Canis familiaris* (Dog), Mmu: *Mus musculus* (Mouse), Rno: *Rattus norvegicus* (Rat).

miRNAs *only* annotated in human). Thus, primate specificity of a human miRNA is assumed if the miRNA is expressed in at least one primate species, and absent from all non-primate species in this roster. This definition yields a list of 377 primary and 350 mature putative “primate specific” miRNAs in miRBase v21 (Appendix B). Judging from recent analyses,¹²⁰ there probably exist many more. The primate-specificity attribute was entered into *miRNeo* as miRNA node property.

2.3. MIRNEO - USAGE

Neo4j uses a language (called »Cypher«) akin to SQL, which utilises keyphrases to issue commands, but combines it with a semi-graphical syntax to account for the graph-based layout of the data. In the following, I will describe its basic usage and the advantages it provides in the matter of transcriptional connectomics (code examples are simplified for explanatory purposes). The basic »finder« function (similar to **SELECT** in SQL) is called **MATCH** in Cypher, and, when combined with the semi-graphical syntax, can be used to identify nodes or more complex patterns in the database. The graphical syntax consists of two main building blocks that represent the basic types of data inside the database: nodes as regular brackets »()« and edges between nodes as a construct of hyphens and square brackets, that can also have a direction indicated by the greater sign »()-[]->()«. To specify the elements to be found, attributes of nodes and/or edges can be filtered by using curly brackets in the node definition, or the **WHERE** clause. To be returned, elements need to be assigned arbitrary variable names:

Listing 2.1: MATCH

```
1 MATCH (gene:GENE {species: 'HSA'})
2 WHERE gene.name = 'CHAT'
3 RETURN gene
```

Query 2.1 identifies a node (arbitrarily designated »gene«) with type GENE (indicated by the colon), with attributes »species« (HSA, i.e. *H. sapiens*) and »name« (CHAT), and returns the node with all its attributes. Since the nodes of type GENE are restrained, there can only be one gene of species *H. sapiens* with this name in the database, and thus, only one data point will be returned. The graphical syntax further allows for pattern matching of, for instance, miRNA→gene relationships:

Listing 2.2: Patterns

```
1 MATCH (mir:MIR)-[rel:TARGETS]->(gene:GENE {species: 'HSA'})
2 WHERE gene.name = 'CHAT'
3 RETURN mir, rel, gene
```

Query 2.2, similar to query 2.1, starts by identifying the node of species HSA with the name CHAT, and proceeds to look for miRNA→gene relationship edges arriving at this node; the relationships have to be of the type TARGETS (the pre-aggregated score-based accumulation of targeting). As soon as no further edges are found, the process terminates and returns all found miRNAs (»mir«), relationships (»rel«), and genes (»gene«) in discrete form, including all their attributes, such as the ENSG and Entrez IDs, the MIMAT IDs for all found miRNAs, or the score value of their targeting relationship. In this query, since there is a constraint on genes, the only gene returned is *CHAT*. However, Cypher is not limited to filtering on unique attributes; it allows for query and return of as many data points as are needed. For example, if one is interested in all miRNA→gene interactions in the cholinergic system, the query may look as follows:

Listing 2.3: Filtering

```

1 MATCH (mir:MIR)-[rel:TARGETS]->(gene:GENE {species: 'HSA'})
2 WHERE gene.name IN {cholinergic_genes}
3 RETURN mir, rel, gene

```

The effectiveness of graph-based databases becomes clear in this approach: Query 2.3 is processed starting at a user-defined filter, the list of cholinergic genes as an input (containing *CHAT*, *SLC18A3*, cholinergic receptor genes, acetylcholinesterase, etc). In a first step, all nodes are found that fulfil the criteria: type GENE, from species *H. sapiens*, that are in the list of names given. Since the gene nodes are indexed, this only requires milliseconds. Then, through the connection of edges to these nodes, it finds all miRNA nodes that have a miRNA→gene relationship towards any of the cholinergic genes. By using the gene nodes as starting point, the query can end as soon as no other edges fulfilling these criteria are found on any of the nodes. In comparison, to satisfy this query in a relational database, the rows representing these cholinergic genes would have to be assessed in their entirety, not only in those columns that represent an extant relationship, thus prolonging execution.

The database then returns all miRNA→gene relationships in this set, representing the network of cholinergic miRNA regulators, including all of their attributes. The advantages of graph-based data do not end there; say one wants to return only »master« regulators of cholinergic systems, defined as miRNAs that target at least 4 of the genes in the cholinergic set. In a relational database, this would have to be done post-hoc, by aggregation of relationships and removal of any results that do not exceed this threshold. This requires storage of the entire result in memory, and additional computational steps that can be very taxing depending on the size of the result table. In Cypher, this can be done during the query (code comments indicated by »//« explain single steps):

Listing 2.4: Two-stage Filtering

```
1 MATCH (gene:GENE {species: 'HSA'})
2 WHERE gene.name IN {cholinergic_genes}
3 WITH gene //the found genes are used as input for the second query
4 MATCH (mir:MIR)-[rel:TARGETS]->(gene)
5 WHERE count(rel) >= 4
6 RETURN mir, rel, gene
```

Query 2.4 essentially proceeds in the same way as query 2.3 in that it identifies the gene nodes filtered for and looks for the miRNAs connected to those nodes by TARGETS-type relationships; however, in the second step (which is performed per gene node as returned by the **WITH** clause), it returns only those patterns that have at least 4 incoming miRNA→gene relationships. Query 2.4 only requires little additional processing compared to query 2.3, and thus does not require nearly as much time as the post-hoc filtering required in a relational database query. This filtering can be applied in many stages, and in many forms, such as sums, averages, maximum and minimum, or other combinations of arithmetic and logical classifiers. Additionally, the patterns can be extended to represent complex relationships inside the graph. For instance, the following query 2.5 was used to find miRNAs that regulate any given gene in the database, and, simultaneously, affect TFs that are involved in regulation of this same gene (this type of interaction is called feedforward loop, see also Section 4.5).

Listing 2.5: Feedforward Loop Identification

```
1 MATCH (gene:GENE) //find gene
2 WHERE gene.id = ID //by identifier (Entrez)
3 WITH gene //use as input for next step
4 MATCH (tf:GENE {species: 'HSA', tf:TRUE})-[rel]->(gene)
5 //find TFs targeting that gene
6 WHERE type(rel) IN {tissue_types} //TFs only from specific tissues
7 //for instance, CNS cell types (Appendix A)
8 WITH gene, rel, tf //use as input for next step
9 MATCH (tf)-[rel]->(gene)<-[rel_m1:TARGETS]-(mir:MIR {species:
    'HSA'})-[rel_m2:TARGETS]->(tf)
10 //find miRNAs that target gene and gene-targeting TF at the same time
11 WHERE rel_m1.score > 5 AND rel_m2.score > 5
12 //low-cut filter at a minimum cumulative score of 6
13 RETURN gene, tf, rel, type(rel) AS tissue, mir, rel_m1, rel_m2
```

This analysis can be performed in real time, on the whole genome and miRnome, and merely takes seconds for one iteration, a performance unimaginable in a relational database approach; advanced statistical approaches such as permutation only become viable at this timescale.

2.4. STATISTICAL APPROACH TO TRANSCRIPTIONAL CONNECTOMICS

The enormous amounts of data generated by modern molecular biology methods, such as RNA-seq and bioinformatics, present new challenges to statistical methodology. A major objective in the analysis of large datasets is a robust statistical representation of the distribution of this data. Traditionally used approaches such as Student's t-test are not automatically applicable to the intermediary results of these modern methods, because the premise of a normal distribution often does not hold, or has to be proven first. This section will describe the statistical problems encountered in the analysis of intermediary data produced by *miRNeo*; the statistical properties of large count data directly generated by RNA-seq will be discussed in Sections 3.4.3 and 5.1.2.

2.4.1 Permutation

The evaluation of comprehensive prediction datasets regarding miRNA→gene interactions on a genome scale is statistically challenging. Molecular interaction studies have explored only a minority of all possible targeting relationships, and as such, the ground truth of miRNA→gene interaction is unknown (see Section 2.2.4). Since there is no negative interaction data, validated interactions can only be defined in the positive space. Additionally, the various prediction algorithms also heavily diverge in their predictions, which leads to the question of how to approach the estimation of false discovery ratio (FDR) while simultaneously avoiding high false negative rates.

One possible approach that can aid in identification of the most pertinent effects in this case is random permutation. In this approach, the result of an analysis (e.g., a numeric targeting score of a miRNA→gene interaction, or a Spearman correlation between two gene sets) is compared to a null distribution that was generated from an iterative analysis similar to the initial one, but with randomised input (e.g., a group of miRNAs of the same size as the original set, randomly selected from all miRNAs, or the gene sets from the original analysis with randomly scrambled group affiliations). This permutation of the analysis is performed many times (usually between 10 000 and 1 000 000 iterations, depending on the context), and results in a distribution of possible outcomes that can be arranged from lowest to highest, often resulting in a normal (or »normal-like«) distribution, thus facilitating the estimation of confidence intervals, and, similarly, p-values for the »real« result.

A positive side-effect of performing a permutation analysis on a base collection of data, such as *miRNeo*, is the automatic correction of inherent biases. For instance, should a particular gene by its genetic structure invite a large amount of false positive predictions as to the miRNA→gene interactions towards it, these will be present in the test as well as in the permutation comparison, and thus cancel out and yield a high p-value for this interaction, effectively transforming the false positive into a true negative. For further discussion, see Section 5.1.3.

2.4.2 Gene Set Enrichment Analysis

The objective of gene set enrichment is the identification of statistically over-represented entities in a dataset. The standard use case in biomedicine is the Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA), that is used to identify the most important classes of genes in large datasets, such as the ones produced by RNA-seq. Briefly, the analysis follows these steps: the studied genes are scored by a certain method, such as p-values from differential expression analysis, which enables the identification of a relevant subgroup, the test set (e.g., the 100 genes with lowest p-values). This test set is then compared to a background of genes (usually, all detected genes, or a large amount of genes from the entire dataset) by a statistical method fit to determine their enrichment in pre-defined categories. Often, ontological categories are used, such as the »biological process« type of Gene Ontology (GO), or KEGG pathways.

For each of these categories, the method tests for a representation of genes in the test set exceeding the frequency statistically expected by random sampling from the background of genes; thus enabling an estimation of the functionality these test set genes may inhabit in the process that is studied. Statistical approaches often employed in gene set enrichment are Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics, permutations, or, more generally, hypergeometric tests such as Fisher's exact test. There are a wide variety of software solutions available for the implementation of gene set enrichment testing.

Gene Ontology curates an enormous catalogue of coding gene products and their functions. At the current time, GO hosts 7 330 378 annotations (2 836 377 for »biological process«, 2 289 165 for »molecular function«, and 2 204 836 for »cellular component«), subdividing 1 405 197 individual gene products from 4493 species (205 with more than 1000 annotations) into 44 733 ontological terms (29 457 »biological process«, 11 093 »molecular function«, and 4183 »cellular component« terms). The individual GO categories are organised in a hierarchical manner, more specifically, a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each branch of the DAG tree contains related terms, progressing from the most general terms (top) to the most specific ones (at the bottom).

Whenever a GO analysis is described in chapters three and four, it means a gene set enrichment analysis performed on a particular subset of genes (that may e.g. be the targets of a group of miRNAs) towards the elucidation of their biological function, i.e., the »biological process« category of GO annotation. For further discussion, see Section 5.1.6.

One of the difficulties in understanding the brain is that it is like nothing so much as a lump of porridge.

Richard L. Gregory

3

microRNA Dynamics in Cholinergic Differentiation of Human Neuronal Cells

This chapter will discuss the current state of knowledge on brain transcriptomics, generally and in the specific case of cholinergic neurons in the CNS, and then go on to explain the steps we undertook to elucidate small RNA processes in central cholinergic systems. First, our aim was to clarify co-expression patterns of central cholinergic neurons, which required analysis of transcriptome data in single-cell resolution. Based on this information, we selected two human models of cholinergic neuronal differentiation and established a differentiation protocol amenable to RNA extraction and successive molecular biology assays, most importantly, RNA-seq. The expression patterns so obtained were then used to perform bioinformatics analyses using the database introduced in Chapter 2, *miRNeo*.

3.1. NEURONAL TRANSCRIPTOMES - BACKGROUND

The mammalian brain requires a constant supply of oxygen and nutrients, because it does not provide storage for either. Though it only makes up approximately 2% of the entire human body mass, its energy expenditure is around 20% of the whole.¹³⁵ For this reason, each square millimetre of brain tissue (except for the ventricles) is infiltrated by hundreds of capillaries.¹³⁶ Since the blood-brain-barrier is essentially provided by supporting glia cells surrounding all capillaries from the »inside« (see Fig. 3.1, modified from Lobentanzer & Klein¹³⁷), neurons numerically constitute only a minority of brain tissues (but burn two thirds of its energy).

Until very recently, studies aiming to clarify the transcriptional profiles of neurons applied either microarray technology or RNA-seq (also known as deep sequencing or next generation sequenc-




Figure 3.1: Schematic Display of the Blood-Brain-Barrier. The blood-brain-barrier surrounds virtually every capillary in the CNS. A: Astrocyte, B: Basal Membrane, E: Endothelial Cell, T: Tight Junction. Modified from Lobentzner & Klein, 2019.¹³⁷

ing). For these methods, several cubic millimetres of brain tissue are required at the least; often, cubic centimetres are used. In contrast, the diameter of neuronal somata is usually in the micrometre range. Thus, the resolution of the method and the actual cellular resolution differ by a factor of approximately 1000. Additionally, even among the neuronal population, there is considerable heterogeneity and transcriptomic plurality; single brain regions rarely consist of less than 30 different neuron types, tightly packed next to each other, each with their own transcriptional identity.^{138–141} Newest studies, deciphering the murine nervous system by sequencing of 500 000 individual cells, show that neuron diversity is very similar regardless of brain region.¹⁴² These circumstances hold true for any mammal, and most of our knowledge stems from the analysis of our favourite research animal, the mouse. In humans, the diversity is only exacerbated; in fact, the elevation in CNS complexity, which is only made possible by enhanced transcriptional control, may be the reason for our superior cognitive abilities.^{143–145}

Cholinergic neurons always constitute a minority in any neuronal population, sometimes to extremes. Most tissues are dominated by few neuron types, such as pyramidal cells in the cortex. The most common neurotransmitter types are GABAergic (inhibitory) and glutamatergic (excitatory), each with several subtypes. It is estimated that more than 80% of cortical neurons are excitatory, and more than 90% of synapses release glutamate.¹³⁵ There are two major cholinergic regions in the mammalian brain: the striatum is fairly well-populated with rather large cholinergic interneurons, and the basal forebrain holds a large amount of (smaller) cholinergic projection neurons (compare Fig. 1.1). However, in transcriptomic analyses, these tissues are seldom used, maybe due to lack of scientific interest, or because they are notoriously hard to access (the basal forebrain is small and

deeply imbedded in the midbrain). The cortex, particularly the neocortex, is most often the tissue of choice in these studies, due to its scientific interest and accessibility. Though it contains only a minuscule amount of cholinergic interneurons whose transcriptional identity is still a matter of debate, several of the recent single-cell RNA-seq approaches have independently identified cholinergic interneurons in cortical regions (see Fig. 3.2).

3.2. CORTICAL SINGLE-CELL RNA SEQUENCING

The impact of transcriptional dynamics on any disease depends on co-expression of the relevant genes in the affected cell. Selection of a model therefore has to take co-expression into account. In particular, if neurokinines are to possess any relevance for cholinergic properties of central nervous cells, the cells in question would have to express molecular machinery required to receive neurokinine signals. The advent of single-cell RNA-seq for the first time enables the resolution of gene expression on a cellular basis, and thus the disentangling of spatially close individual neuron types (and other, non-neuronal CNS cells); most of this information is lost in RNA-seq performed on brain homogenate, even of a small biopsy. Differences in genes are reduced to the universally expressed »housekeeping« genes, save the most extreme perturbations. In miRNAs, this circumstance is only exacerbated, in parallel to their even more tissue-specific expression.

3.2.1 Single-cell Dataset Processing

To provide a detailed tally of transcriptional subtypes in the CNS, publicly available single-cell RNA-seq datasets of suitable tissues were analysed towards their cholinergic properties. All studies that were available at the time focused on some subsection of the cortex (visual or somatosensory) or the hippocampus. The data provided by those studies were in some cases pre-aggregated to represent classes of single neurons with similar transcriptomes (Fig. 3.2 A&B ^{139,140}); in other cases, every single neuron was represented (Fig. 3.2 C&D ^{138,141}).

An important quality-related parameter of a single-cell RNA-seq experiment is the achieved sequencing depth per single sequenced cell. Some of the screened datasets do not provide sufficient depth to resolve genes with medium expression, which includes our primary cholinergic markers *CHAT* and *SLC18A3*. The datasets which did provide adequate sequencing depth were filtered for their expression of these markers, and additionally characterised by their expression of common markers for cell types to be expected in the CNS. Raw data were downloaded from their respective sources and imported into the R environment, where they were converted into similar format. Numeric expression values of each dataset were normalised to transcripts per million (TPM) to allow comparison (with counts n and transcript length ℓ of gene A and all genes i per sample):

$$TPM_A = \frac{\frac{n_A}{\ell_A}}{\sum_i \frac{n_i}{\ell_i}} \times 10^6$$

For graphical display, TPM were further normalised to a range of 0-1. The transcripts of interest were filtered from each dataset and plotted as heatmaps. Plotted were only samples that expressed *CHAT*, *SLC18A3* (also known as vAChT), and/or *SLC5A7* (also known as CHT1, responsible for HACU).

3.2.2 microRNA and Transcription Factor Targeting Predictions

Making use of the information aggregated in *miRNeo*, the genes identified as being expressed in cholinergic neurons were subjected to permutation targeting analyses of miRNAs and TFs. Genes were assumed to be expressed in cholinergic neurons if they were expressed in more than one individual sample in all single-cell RNA-seq datasets (Fig. 3.2 A-D). The TFs identified as active towards cholinergic genes in cholinergic neurons were additionally subjected to another round of miRNA targeting permutation analysis. Targeting of genes with random selections of miRNAs and TFs were permuted 100 000 times to estimate FDR. Statistical significance of the miRNA→gene or TF→gene interactions was assumed at FDR < 0.05.

3.2.3 SINGLE-CELL EXPRESSION OF CHOLINERGIC AND NEUROKINE TRANSCRIPTS

The identified samples provide an overview of potentially cholinergic cells in the sampled brain regions, and allow an assessment of the functional type and gene co-expression patterns in central cholinergic cells (Fig. 3.2). Most cells identified as cholinergic by this definition expressed the general neuronal marker *RBFOX3*, also known by its trivial name NeuN, but not the microglial marker *AIF1*. Few cells (or clusters of cells) expressed non-neuronal markers such as *GFAP* (astrocytes) or *OLIG1* (oligodendrocytes), hinting at sparse non-neuronal cholinergic functions. In agreement with our findings, cells or clusters identified as cholinergic by the authors of the respective studies^{139,140} (also by personal communication with Peter Lönnerberg) were classified as interneurons and co-expressed a number of known phenotypic neuronal markers, such as *somatostatin* (*SST*) and *vasoactive intestinal peptide* (*VIP*).

The identified cholinergic cells also revealed a constant co-expression with neurokine-related genes, particularly the transmembrane neurokine receptors LIFR and IL6ST, demonstrating a capacity to receive and process neurokine signals. In contrast, the high affinity receptor for NGF, *NTRK1*, is not co-expressed in mature (NeuN-positive) cholinergic neurons in the analysed regions, fundamentally distinguishing these cells from the basal forebrain cholinergic projection neurons.

3.2.4 NESTED REGULATORY NETWORKS OF MIRNAS AND TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS IN SINGLE CHOLINERGIC CELLS

Permutation targeting analyses revealed a nested regulatory interaction between 72 primate-specific miRNAs, 216 conserved miRNAs, and 18 TFs towards cholinergic genes expressed in cholinergic neurons (Fig. 3.2 E). TFs targeting cholinergic genes were in turn targeted by 49 conserved and 20 primate-specific miRNAs that also targeted cholinergic genes directly.

Due to the structure of the data (small number of entities compared to whole genome analysis, repetition of zeroes in individual samples), the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity¹⁴⁷ is superior to Euclidean distance. Bray-Curtis dissimilarity is defined as:

$$d_{BC}(p, q) = \frac{2C_{p,q}}{S_p + S_q}$$

Where C is the sum of the lesser expression values common to both vectors p and q , and S is the total number of transcripts expressed in each sample (i.e., values greater than zero in each vector). Based on this measure, the samples were clustered according to their cholinergic gene expression levels using Ward's method to yield five separate clusters. Intermediary clustering results (not shown) revealed a uniform distribution of ATP citrate lyase (*ACLY*), yielding no additional information; thus, it was removed. Also removed for the purpose of clustering were the non-neuronal nicotinic receptor subunits $\alpha 1$, $\beta 1$, γ , δ , and ϵ .

3.2.6 CO-EXPRESSION OF FUNCTIONAL GROUPS OF CHOLINERGIC TRANSCRIPTS

Hierarchic clustering of cholinergic transcripts in each of the datasets revealed a grouping of cholinergic transcripts according to their biological function. Table 3.1 shows considerable uniformity in two single-cell mouse datasets, which diverge substantially from the brain-region- and TF-based human set. Generally, clustering shows separation of at least 3 groups of cells, one of which is the classic *cholinergic* neuron with genes for synthesis and transport of acetylcholine. Due to the frequent co-expression of *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* in neurons, it is safe to assume the *SLC18A3* as a viable substitute for *CHAT* expression and clustering in the FANTOM5 data of Marbach *et al.*¹¹⁸ (for more details, see Section 2.2.3). In the single-cell datasets, the *CHAT* gene is expressed in parallel with the two cholinergic transporters, without exemption. The other groups could be described as *receptive* neuron (not cholinergic as the aforementioned, but different types of cholinergic receptors and esterase) and other, rather specialised groups, probably comprising various glial cells. These last, specialised groups are not very visible in the human dataset, which lacks the single cell resolution of the mouse datasets and therefore includes glial cells in every sample of any region. Therefore, differences in cholinergic gene expression patterns derived from Marbach *et al.* are likely the result of the numbers and dominant types of cholinergic neurons in the respective regions.

Functional stratification of cholinergic genes is also visible in a dendrogram of gene clusters from all four analysed single-cell sequencing datasets (Fig. 3.3). While there is variability in the composition of receptor subunits (which is to be expected considering the different sampled brain regions), the core cholinergic genes (such as *CHAT*, *SLC18A3*, *SLC5A7*, and *ACHE*) associate similarly in all datasets. Notably, the distinction between a *cholinergic* and a *cholinoceptive* neuron is always visible by a grouping of, on one hand the synthesis, vesicular packaging, and reuptake of ACh, and on the other hand, cholinergic receptors and signal termination by AChE.

cluster	Zeisel et al	Tasic et al	Marbach et al
I	Ache, Chrm1, Chrm2, Chrm3, Chrm4, Chrna4, Chrna5, Chrna7, Chrb2	Ache, Chrm1, Chrm2, Chrm3, Chrm4, Chrna2, Chrna4, Chrna5, Chrna7, Chrb2, Chrb4	CHRM1, CHRM2, CHRM5, CHRNA2, CHRNA4, CHRNA6, CHRN2, CHRN3
Ib			ACHE, BCHE, CHRNA3, CHRN4, PRIMA1
Ic			CHRM3
Id			CHRNA5, CHRNA9
II	Chat, Chrb4, Slc18a3, Slc5a7	Chat, Chrm5, Chrna3, Slc18a3, Slc5a7	SLC18A3, SLC5A7
III	Chrm5, Chrna10, Chrna3	Chrna10	
IV	Bche, Prima1	Bche, Prima1	
V	Chrna2, Chrna6, Chrb3	Chrna6, Chrb3	

Table 3.1: Cholinergic Transcript Clusters According to Cell Type vs. Brain Region. The two transgenic mouse datasets from Zeisel *et al.*¹³⁹ and Tasic *et al.*¹⁴⁰ show high similarity in transcript distribution. With high likelihood, cluster I is a group of postsynaptically cholinergic, »receptive« cells. Cluster II represents the classic *cholinergic* neuron, with synthesis, vesicular packaging and ACh-reuptake genes. The transcription factor-based dataset of Marbach *et al.*¹¹⁸ depends on whole brain regions instead of single cells to determine similarity, and thus yields distinctly different classification. However, it also distinguishes between cholinergic synthesis (with *SLC18A3* as a substitute for *CHAT* expression) and cholinceptive functions.




Figure 3.3: Clusters of Cholinergic Genes in Single-Cell Sequencing. Cholinergic genes were clustered using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity in four public data sets of single-cell sequencing. The displayed dendrograms visualise the distance between the genes across all samples. Gene clusters were coloured by grouping in Darmanis *et al.*¹³⁸ (A). Notably, genes clustered according to their biological function, for instance, *CHAT*, *vAChT* and *HACU* always are closely associated (blue), as are the genes comprising the putative »cholinceptive« neuron (yellow). A) Single-cell sequencing of the human developing neocortex.¹³⁸ B) Clustered single-cell sequences from transgenic mouse somatosensory cortex and hippocampus.¹⁴⁰ C) Clustered single-cell sequences from transgenic mouse somatosensory cortex and hippocampus.¹³⁹ D) Single-nucleus sequencing of adult mouse hippocampus.¹⁴¹ Marker genes are: NeuN - neurons; OLIG1 - oligodendrocytes; AIF1 - microglia; GFAP - astrocytes.

3.3. THE CELLULAR MODEL

Following our considerations of cholinergic systems in single-cell datasets, we set out to establish an experimental model for the analysis of small RNA dynamics in cholinergic neurons, leading to the selection of two mono-cultures of human neuronal cells for subsequent experiments: LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. During the selection process, multiple options were considered. Multicellular models would, in principle, allow disentanglement of the functions of distinct cell types, for instance glia and neurons. This could be achieved by *in vivo* or *ex vivo* approaches in rodents. However, our diseases of interest (Section 1.2) display a noticeable lack of transferability from lower mammals to human.¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵⁰ Alternatively, co-cultures of human cells in mono-layer or as 3D-culture have been proposed, but these still lack experimental stability.¹⁵¹

3.3.1 THE SH-SY5Y NEUROBLASTOMA CELL LINE

A prominent example of human neuronal cell culture used in the identification and elucidation of cholinergic processes is the immortalised neuroblastoma cell line SH-SY5Y.¹⁵² Derived from its parent line SK-N-SH, an adrenergic neuroblastoma,¹⁵³ it expresses ample amounts of *ACHE*, and thus had become a work horse in many cholinergic fields, such as Alzheimer's Disease (which is treated with AChE inhibitors), pesticide development, and warfare. However, in spite of its usefulness for processes involving *ACHE*, it turned out a less than optimal choice for the study of molecular events surrounding *CHAT* and *SLC18A3*, as it barely expresses both genes, and cannot be coerced to elevate *CHAT* expression by the usual differentiation techniques.¹⁵⁴ Thus, for the questions asked in this chapter of the dissertation, SH-SY5Y does not qualify as adequate representation of a »cholinergic neuron«.

3.3.2 THE LA-N NEUROBLASTOMA CELL LINES

Following the elimination of SH-SY5Y as a suitable subject, a literature search for candidates representing a cholinergic neuronal transcriptome revealed, among others, representatives of the LA-N neuroblastoma cell lines developed by R.C. Seeger around 1980.^{155,156} Neuroblastoma is a form of neuronal cancer often affecting small children, and, consequently, the two cell lines used in my experiments are immortalised biopsies of a 3 year old girl (LA-N-2¹⁵⁵) and of a 4 month old boy (LA-N-5¹⁵⁶). The decision to use LA-N-2 as initial cellular model was influenced by three factors: it is well described in literature, although most studies had been published in the 1980s and 90s; it expresses substantial amounts of *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* and it responds to neurokine-mediated differentiation by assuming a neuronal morphology accompanied by further elevation of *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* expression.¹⁵⁷ LA-N-5 was not nearly as well described as LA-N-2, but later added to the

experimental roster because of the complementary sex and hints towards cholinergic differentiation under retinoic acid.¹⁵⁸

3.3.3 Culture

LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 are very similar in their culture requirements. They have comparatively high duplication times, which can be lowered by using certain conditions that affect medium composition, nutrition, and CO₂ content. The cells were acquired at DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany), which recommends keeping them in a 50:50 mixture of Dulbecco's modified eagle medium (DMEM) and Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium (RPMI1640), with 20% fetal calf serum (FCS) added. Sometimes, recommendations also suggest Leibovitz's L-15 medium, which is specifically designed for low CO₂ conditions, and others have suggested increased CO₂ levels inside the incubator. A combination of the DSMZ-recommended medium with 8% CO₂ atmosphere inside a 37°C incubator accelerated growth to a degree that the cells could be split 1:3 to 1:4 in a weekly cycle. This protocol was used for all further experiments, which were performed between splits 2 to 8 after thawing of a batch from -80°C. All handling during maintenance and experimentation was performed under a laminar flow hood.

3.3.4 Differentiation

Neuronal differentiation of neuroblastoma cell lines has been performed in many instances, utilising a wide variety of differentiation agents such as the very general retinoic acid or 5-bromo-uracil, or very specific reagents, such as the neurokinins IL-6 and CNTF.¹⁵⁷ LA-N cells have also been described to react to a selection of these substances; however, due to our elevated interest in neurokinin mechanisms, we opted for a neurokinin-based differentiation protocol. In personal communication, James McManaman revealed that the »CHAT development factor« that he had discovered⁷³ was, in fact, CNTF, which had never been published. Additionally, of the neurokinins used for differentiation purposes, CNTF is best described in literature and easily acquired in dried form from Merck (formerly SigmaAldrich, Darmstadt, Germany). CNTF was resuspended in pure water to a concentration of 25 µg ml⁻¹ and stored for experimentation in aliquots at -20°C.

LA-N cells are very sensitive to repeated temperature changes (or other handling-related disturbances), which resulted in increased amounts of apoptotic cells following repeated removal from the incubator after seeding or medium changes during the experiment (Lobentanzer, not published). For this reason, the differentiation reagent was only added once, 24h after initial seeding of the cells, and further disturbances avoided until the time of lysis. For the maximum duration of the experiments, 120h from seeding until lysis, the initially supplied medium was sufficient for survival.

Differentiation was performed in regular growth medium without changes in FCS content, and CNTF was added to the medium after an initial growth period of 24h. Cells were seeded into 12-well plates at approximately 200 000 cells/well, with 1 ml of growth medium. To determine the optimal amount of CNTF for differentiation, time-dose experiments were performed for both cell lines in a range from 1 ng ml⁻¹ to 100 ng ml⁻¹ for several time points during four days. Here, we discovered the first pharmacological difference between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5: the maximum of their cholinergic response to neurokinin stimulation (i.e., an elevation in *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* transcription) occurs at different concentrations of CNTF. While LA-N-2 cells respond most strongly




Figure 3.4: Time-dose curve of CNTF-mediated differentiation of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. **A)** Cells were stimulated with varying doses of CNTF, and lysed at various time points to determine CHAT mRNA levels via qPCR. Expression ($\Delta\Delta C_t$) was normalised to house-keeping genes (ACTB, GAPDH, RPLP0) and to control sample without CNTF to determine fold-changes. LA-N-5 reacts strongest to a concentration of 10 ng ml⁻¹, while LA-N-2 reacts strongest to 100 ng ml⁻¹. *: p < 0.05, **: p < 0.001 **B)** Cells were seeded at ~2E05 cells/well in a 12-well-plate. After 24h, CNTF was added to the existing medium as quickly as possible to avoid disturbance. Cells were lysed *in situ* at time points 30 minutes, 60 minutes, 48 hours, and 96 hours using TRIzol for downstream RNA processing.

to 100 ng ml⁻¹, LA-N-5 cells show an »inverted u«-type dose response with a maximum around 10 ng ml⁻¹ CNTF (Fig. 3.4 A). James McManaman, who studied LA-N differentiation thoroughly in the 1990s,¹⁵⁹ believes both lines to respond in an »inverted u«-type manner (personal communication); thus, in all likelihood the LA-N-2 response would also diminish at CNTF concentrations significantly higher than 100 ng ml⁻¹. Also, CNTF concentrations could likely be significantly lowered by removal of the high amount of FCS in the medium, however, that would require the use of a special serum-free medium, which would have to be established up front, and may have other, unforeseen consequences. Regardless, CNTF concentrations around 100 ng ml⁻¹ (i.e., pico- to nano-molar) still are well within the physiological range of concentrations that the mammalian brain is able to reach by paracrine secretion via, e.g., astrocytes.¹⁶⁰

To study the small RNA dynamics following CNTF exposure of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, the experiment was stopped at 4 time points and the cells were quickly lysed *in situ* to preserve total RNA in that state: for the quick, immediate-early-like phase, at 30 and 60 minutes after the addition of CNTF, and, for the long-term effects of differentiation, at 48 and 96 hours after the addition of CNTF (Fig. 3.4 B, from Lobentanzer *et al.*¹). Each time point was controlled by a pseudo-treated (using pure water) culture from the same batch that had been seeded at the same time as the experimental group. In the final series used for the parallel sequencing of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, all experiments were carried out in quadruplicates.

3.3.5 RNA Isolation

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol (ThermoFisher Scientific), essentially as suggested by the manufacturer, with slight changes to the protocol to enrich small RNA species. The cells, growing in a monolayer in 12-well-plates, were cleared of medium, washed two times with 500 μ l of cell culture grade phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (Gibco), and immediately suspended in 1 ml of TRIzol, pipetting up and down until visibly dissolved. After incubation for 5 minutes at room temperature, the samples were stored in -20°C for short periods of time until RNA isolation.

TRIzol-suspended lysates (1 ml) were added to RNA-separation centrifuge tubes (PhaseMaker Tubes, ThermoFisher Scientific), adding 200 μ l of pure chloroform and mixing vigorously for 15 seconds. After two minutes, the mixture was centrifuged at 12 000 g and 4°C for 15 minutes, and the upper, watery phase containing the RNA was extracted. This was mixed with approximately 2 parts of pure ethanol and incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature to precipitate the RNA. The precipitate was spun at 12 000 g and 4°C for another 10 minutes, and the supernatant discarded. The pellet was washed with 85% ethanol (vortexed briefly) and centrifuged again for 5 minutes at 7500 g and 4°C .

After the final centrifugation step, the samples were transferred to the laminar flow hood, and air dried after removal of most of the supernatant via micropipettors. The pellet was allowed to dry almost until completion and resuspended in 30 μ l to 50 μ l pure RNase-free water. RNA concentration was measured at a Nanodrop 2000 instrument (ThermoFisher Scientific) and samples were diluted to a uniform concentration of 100 ng μl^{-1} . Finally, RNA samples were aliquoted according to later purpose and stored at -80°C .

RNA quality was determined by analysis on a 2100 Bioanalyzer instrument (Agilent) using a nano chip and 1 μ l of sample; RNA integrity number (RIN) was near optimal for all samples (>9).

3.4. SMALL RNA SEQUENCING AND DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION ANALYSIS

For the detection and analysis of small RNA species, RNA-seq is the current gold standard method. It allows the mapping of a comprehensive transcriptome and thus is vastly superior to small scale and consecutive methods such as real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), and even the larger scale microarrays. Microarrays, while also potentially allowing a »snapshot« of entire transcriptomes, are limited by the predetermined sequences on the chip. RNA-seq, on the other hand, is not biased towards any structural property of the sample; this is particularly important in the analysis of small RNA species, since their sequences are very variable (tRFs) and still not completely catalogued (miRNAs). Assuming an adequate sequencing depth (at least about one million reads/sample), RNA-seq allows a comparison of all expressed small RNA species at once, which is immensely helpful when dealing with processes on the combinatorial scale of miRNA regulation.

3.4.1 Sequencing

For small RNA sequencing, the aliquoted samples were shipped on dry ice to the cooperating institute at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, the Silberman Institute of Molecular Biology, the laboratory of Prof. Hermona Soreq. 600 ng of total RNA per sample were prepared for sequencing using the NEBNext Small RNA Library Prep Set for Illumina (New England BioLabs). The libraries were multiplexed with coloured barcodes, allowing for sequencing of all 48 samples on one chip. Briefly, this includes ligation of sequencing adapters to both 3' and 5' ends of all (single-stranded) RNA fragments in the sample, followed by 12-15 cycles of reverse transcription to form the RNA library. Ligated and amplified libraries were then size selected via gel electrophoresis on a 6% polyacrylamide gel. The band representing small RNA species on the gel was excised and prepared for loading onto the sequencing chip. After loading, the chip was sequenced in a NextSeq 550 series instrument (Illumina) with a read length of 80 nucleotides (nt), single-end.

The quantity of reads per sample was determined by analysis of the raw fastq files. The read count across all samples before filtering was $7.8E06 \pm_{SD} 2.5E06$, read count after quality filter and adapter removal was $6.8E06 \pm_{SD} 2.2E06$ ($n = 48$); a mean of 87% of reads remained after filtering, exceeding the recommended minimum amount (~1 million) by 4- to 12-fold (Fig. 3.5 A). Overall, ~326 million reads remained to be passed down to subsequent analyses. Sequencing quality was determined by analysis of the raw reads using the FastQC software.¹⁶¹ Even before adapter removal and quality filtering, FastQC detected no »reads of poor quality« in any sample. Fig. 3.5 B gives a representative example of read quality per base (Sample 1).

Raw reads were adapter-trimmed and quality filtered using the flexbar software¹⁶² with parameters

```
-a adapters.fa -q TAIL -qf sanger -qw 4  
-min-read-length 16 -n 1 --zip-output GZ
```

The sequence used in the *adapters.fa* file, as recommended by the manufacturer, was

AGATCGGAAGAGCACACGTCTGAACTCCAGTCAC




Figure 3.5: Small RNA Sequencing - Read Count, Quality, and Length. All samples provided near optimal quality. **A)** Per sample read count had a mean of $7.8 \times 10^6 \pm_{SD} 2.5 \times 10^6$ in raw samples (top) and $6.8 \times 10^6 \pm_{SD} 2.2 \times 10^6$ after quality filtering and adapter removal (bottom). 87% of reads were retained after filtering, with samples spanning read count values between 4 and 12 million. **B)** Representative example of quality score per base position in the sequencing (FastQC output of sample 1). Quality scores are always near the optimum, with a characteristic slight dip around nt 65. This occurs in all samples and is likely a technical result of the sequencing process. Possibly, it reflects the most common adapter ligation position after size selection of the RNA pool. **C)** Read length was determined for every one of the ~ 326 million reads. Nearly 80 million reads have a length of 22 nt, and the peak from 21 to 24 nt comprises ~ 205 million reads. This represents the bulk of miRNAs, and probably a significant amount of tRFs. The second peak, from 31 to 33 nt, still comprises ~ 21 million reads; these in all likelihood represent the longer tiRNAs. The reads above a length of 33 nt only sum up to an amount of ~ 6 million, and may contain RNA of viral origin, or even mature tRNAs.

Paired-end sequencing still is superfluous in small RNA-seq, because none of the alignment pipelines commonly employed can make use of the second (reverse) read, and manual paired alignment does not yield nearly as much benefit as the depth increase in single-end sequencing (the read count per sample effectively doubles). 80 nt is the maximum read length possible in our small RNA workflow, and is excessive for the analysis of miRNAs. For transfer RNA fragments, however, a longer read can yield a more complete picture of expression, since the longer tiRNAs can easily reach 40 nt in length. Indeed, the read length distribution after adapter removal shows a significant amount of small RNA species exceeding the length possible for miRNAs (Fig. 3.5 C).

3.4.2 Sequence Alignment

For the alignment of miRNA sequences, parts of the miRExpress 2.0¹⁶³ pipeline were used according to the documentation. First, a lookup table for the current miRBase version 21 was created as per the instructions of the authors. The alignment was then performed using the commands *Raw_data_parse*, *statistics_reads*, *align-*

mentSIMD, and *analysis*; *Trim_adaptor* was skipped because the adapters had already been trimmed in the quality filtering step. Additionally, since miRExpress is not accepting of sequences of any length, the raw data was length filtered to include only reads up to a length of 25 nt before input into miRExpress. Thus, raw reads were aligned to the miRnome provided by miRBase v21, yielding count tables of mature miRNAs and miRNA precursors for each sample. In total, 1913 mature miRNAs from miRBase v21 were discovered in the data.

3.4.3 Differential Expression Analysis - R/DESeq2

To determine the effect and dynamics of CNTF-mediated differentiation of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, the expression state of each measured time point was compared to the respective control using the established R package *DESeq2*.¹⁶⁴ *DESeq2* determines differential expression (for gene i and sample j) in count-based data by application of a linear regression model to a negative binomial distribution based on a fitted mean μ_{ij} and a gene-specific dispersion value α_i . The mean is derived using a sample-specific »size factor«, s_j , and a parameter q_{ij} proportional to the expected true concentration of RNA fragments in the sample. The *DESeq2* differential expression pipeline is composed of the following commands:

- `estimateSizeFactors()` to estimate s_j
- `estimateDispersion()` to estimate α_i
- `nbInomWaldTest()` application of a generalised linear model to determine log-fold changes and statistics via the Wald test, using $\mu_{ij} = s_j q_{ij}$ and $\log_2(q_{ij}) = x_j \beta_i$.

The Wald test, named after Abraham Wald,¹⁶⁵ is an approach to hypothesis testing that measures the distance between the tested unrestricted estimate and the null hypothesis, using the precision as a weighing factor. The larger the distance between tested values and the null, the more likely the measured values are »true«. RNA-seq data can be modelled using binomial distributions,¹⁶⁶ such as the Poisson distribution, and the difference between two Poisson means (e.g., »treated« vs »control«) can be tested by generalised linear models based on the distributions directly (Poisson regression), Fisher's exact test, or the likelihood ratio test. However, comparative analysis has shown that the Wald test on log-transformed data provides statistical power superior to these other methods,¹⁶⁷ particularly in lowly expressed fragments. The design formula for the linear regression was applied to LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 separately as a simple factor combination of condition and time point:

$$y \sim \text{condition_time}$$

The heteroskedastic nature of RNA-seq count data (variance is much higher in low-count features) brings statistical problems. To reduce the noise introduced by the high variance in low-count genes while preserving large, »real« differences, the authors propose the »shrinkage« of log-fold changes to avoid arbitrary low-cut filtering at a predefined expression (count) value. Multiple variants are available; for miRNA data, the adaptive algorithm »apeglm«¹⁶⁸ (adaptive t prior shrinkage estimator) yielded sensible results (see Fig. 3.6).




Figure 3.6: MD Plot Shrinkage Comparison. A mean-difference plot (MD Plot) is a plot of log-intensity ratios (differences, »M-values«) versus log-intensity averages (means, »A-values«); it is synonymous with »MA Plot«. The *DESeq2* function *plotMD* shows the log fold changes attributable to a given variable over the mean of normalised counts for all the samples in the data set. Points are coloured red if the adjusted p value is less than 0.1. Points which fall out of the window are plotted as open triangles pointing either up or down. The left plot is generated from the standard linear model, the plot on the right is corrected by the »apeglm« algorithm¹⁶⁸ to reduce noise in the low-count fragments (data from LA-N-2 CNTF vs control on day 4).

3.4.4 MICRORNA DYNAMICS IN CNTF-MEDIATED CHOLINERGIC DIFFERENTIATION OF LA-N-2 AND LA-N-5

Differential expression analysis performed in this manner yielded 490 differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs across all groups, with characteristic distributions between cell lines and time points. The raw data and processed counts were deposited to NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), accession GSE132951. An earlier sequencing experiment (deposited as GSE120520), which was similar in principle, but only comprised three biological replicates and only LA-N-2, reproduced 80% of DE miRNAs in the newer LA-N-2 samples. Considering the general reproducibility of RNA-seq and the lower replicate number, 80% is an excellent substantiation of the result. About 25% of miRNAs predicted in single-cell permutation targeting analysis (see Fig. 3.2 E) were found DE in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 (Fig. 3.7 A) in all three groups, i.e., conserved, primate-specific, and TF-targeting miRNAs.

DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION IN BOTH CELL LINES

114 mature miRNAs were detected as DE in both cell lines, with some changes similar in both, while others were inverted (Fig. 3.7 B). In both cases, however, count-change values (see Box 2) correlated highly between the two cell lines (similar: 76 miRNAs, Spearman's $\rho = 0.9066$, $p < 2.2E-16$; inverted: 38 miRNAs, $\rho = 0.9294$, $p < 2.2E-16$).




Figure 3.7: Differentially Expressed microRNAs in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. **A)** Differential expression in sequencing of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 identified approximately 25% of miRNAs predicted by permutation analysis of single cells expressing cholinergic markers (compare Figure 3.2 E) in all miRNA subgroups (evolutionarily conserved, primate-specific, and TF-targeting). **B)** Differentially expressed miRNAs in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 can be stratified into four groups by their expression changes: miRNAs only differentially expressed in LA-N-2 (pink) or LA-N-5 (blue), miRNAs changed in similar direction in both cell lines (green) and miRNAs changed inversely between cell lines (yellow). Notably, the changes in expression as measured by count-change in similarly as well as inversely changed miRNAs both correlate well.

DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION ALONG THE TIMELINE

For consistency, from hereon out, time points 30 minutes and 60 minutes will be termed »early«, while 2 days and 4 days will be referred to as »late«. Differential expression was detected in all groups, lending credibility to the rapid changes in expression needed for a miRNA response of the »immediate-early« type. However, the response to long-term CNTF stimulation was larger in miRNA numbers as well as effect sizes (Fig. 3.8 A&B). Of all early perturbed miRNAs, only 3 and 13 miRNAs were exclusively perturbed immediate-early-like in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, respectively;

Box 2: The count-change metric

The frequently used log-fold change metric is not ideally suited for assessing the potential effect of expression changes for individual miRNAs because it does not reflect mean expression levels. To determine the absolute change in expression, the count-change metric was introduced, a combination of base mean expression and log-fold change, to weigh DE miRNAs against one another. The count-change is defined as follows:

$$CC = (BM \cdot 2^{LFC}) - BM$$

CC: count-change, BM: base mean expression, LFC: log₂-fold-change.

Importantly, by using the base mean expression, count-change correlates directly with sequencing depth. Generalisation, e.g. comparison between two individual experiments, is therefore not straightforward. A normalisation to raw reads would enhance comparability, however, other effects such as fragment distribution and quality aspects may also play a significant role.

all others were still DE after 2 and/or 4 days. In LA-N-2, the late time points at 2 and, particularly, 4 days showed the greatest perturbation; in LA-N-5, the picture was more complex (Fig. 3.8 C&D). However, generally, there were large similarities as well as exclusivities between the time points 2 and 4 days in both cell lines. When comparing early and late time points between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 directly, similarly complex patterns emerged (Fig. 3.8 E&F). Particularly at late time points (Fig. 3.8 F), every possible combination of overlap exists. 24 miRNAs were DE in all late conditions; 107 miRNAs were DE only in LA-N-2, and 269 miRNAs were DE only in LA-N-5.

DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION BETWEEN LA-N-2 AND LA-N-5

While there was considerable intersection in DE miRNAs between the cell lines, a substantial amount of miRNAs was only DE in one of the two lines. Generally, response to CNTF was higher in the male-originated LA-N-5 cells; however, there were also miRNAs found DE only in the female LA-N-2 (compare Fig. 3.8). Thus, not all of the differences in miRNA expression can be attributed to a higher sensitivity in LA-N-5. Similarly, LA-N-5 shows a »non-significant trend« toward higher count-change values (mean of absolute count-change across all DE time points, 20 907 versus 3066, Welch two-sample t test, $p = 0.08$).

The influence of genotype on the differentiating effect of CNTF was determined via a statistical interaction design in the *DESeq2* Wald test. Briefly, by including an interaction term in the linear regression formula, the effect of the condition (CNTF or control at each time point) between the two genotypes can be isolated:

$$y \sim \text{condition} + \text{genotype} + \text{condition} : \text{genotype}$$

Using the interaction term *condition* : *genotype*, miRNAs that reacted significantly different to CNTF stimulation in LA-N-5 compared to LA-N-2 were determined. Of note, the sexual dimorphism becomes more pronounced over the course of differentiation. While there is no significant difference between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 at 30 minutes and only one miRNA DE at 60 minutes, numbers increase at 2 days and reach a maximum at 4 days, with significant overlap (Fig. 3.9 A). Although not all miRNAs found in this manner belong to the group of miRNAs with inverted expression between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, several show significant differential regulation between the male and female cellular models (e.g., hsa-miR-615-3p, Fig. 3.9 B). To further examine the effect of genotype on the small RNA response to CNTF, the regular differential expression results (Section 3.4.4) were intersected with the interaction term for the late time points. This resulted in a complex pattern of intersecting miRNAs, in both cell lines (Fig. 3.9 C&D). Again, all possible overlaps be-




Figure 3.8: LA-N-2 / LA-N-5 Timeline and Differential Expression. **A**) Experimental timeline of CNTF differentiation. **B**) Bar plot of differentially expressed (DE) miRNAs per time point, divided by cell line where differential expression was measured (LA-N-2 only, LA-N-5 only, or both). **C**) Venn diagram of DE miRNAs in LA-N-2, divided by time point. Few early DE miRNAs, and continually more the longer differentiation lasts. **D**) Venn diagram of DE miRNAs in LA-N-5, divided by time point. Similar in pattern to **C**, but more pronounced in numbers. **E**) Intersection of early time points in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. Despite the low differential expression in LA-N-2, there is overlap. **F**) Intersection of late time points in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. Overlap is pronounced and complex, however, there are also cell line-exclusive miRNAs.




Figure 3.9: miRNAs Differentially Expressed Between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. Application of a design model formula which includes an interaction term enables display of the influence of the male or female genotype on differential miRNA expression. **A)** Venn diagram of miRNAs differentially expressed between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 at all four time points. **B)** Counts plot of normalized raw expression values of hsa-miR-615-3p. Exemplary of a high influence of genotype on the differential expression caused by CNTF differentiation, hsa-miR-615-3p is more highly expressed in the female LA-N-2 and elevated after four days of CNTF-induced differentiation, while in the male LA-N-5, it is expressed slightly lower and suppressed upon differentiation. **C)** Venn diagram comparing late differential expression in LA-N-2 with late time points of differential expression between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. All possible combinations exist, however, there are miRNAs affected by genotype that are not differentially expressed in the simple model. **D)** Venn diagram comparing late differential expression in LA-N-5 with late time points of differential expression between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. Essentially similar to **C**, but with partly higher quantities of DE miRNAs.

tween any two groups exist; 37 and 36 miRNAs are found in all four groups of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, respectively. Among those, 16 mature miRNAs belong to all sets.

While this descriptive analysis shows significant differences in small RNA expression in response to neurokinine-mediated cholinergic differentiation of these two cell lines, the functional implications are much less clear. In the following, approaches to discerning cellular function, rather than just level changes, will be explored, via unbiased analysis as well as in a system-targeted manner.

3.5. MICRORNA FAMILY GENE ONTOLOGY ENRICHMENT

A significant drawback of the recency of the discovery of regulatory small RNAs is the lack of comprehensive functional annotation. While protein coding genes are well annotated and neatly organised into an enormous amount of ontological categories (see Section 2.4.2), miRNAs have only been anecdotally associated with specific functions in the cell. Additionally, the functional roles of protein coding genes are much more limited than those of miRNAs; the number of potential functions of any miRNA correlates with the number of mRNA targets this miRNA has, and is also highly context-dependent (e.g. regarding cell type, cell state, disease). Thus, to systematically screen a large amount of miRNAs and families, we had to turn to an indirect approach: the GO analysis of targeted genes.

3.5.1 microRNA Family Enrichment

To categorise and systematise the sexual dimorphism of CNTF differentiation of LA-N cells, statistically over-represented miRNA families in the differential expression datasets were determined. Of the 151 miRNA families listed in miRBase v21, members of 71 families are DE in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5. Enrichment of male, female, and ubiquitously DE miRNAs in these families was determined by hypergeometric enrichment via Fisher's exact test for each of the families. The targets of all individual miRNAs in the enriched families were determined via *miRNeo* query.

3.5.2 Creation of miRNA Family Gene Target Sets

GO analysis of the targets of a single miRNA is challenging, because the analysis requires a weighted scoring system of input genes. For single miRNAs, the options for scoring are limited to the aggregated targeting score or permutation p-values. Using families enables the introduction of a further scoring method: the aggregation of individual family members targeting the same gene. The reasoning behind this approach is to determine a general functional »area« of biological process that the miRNA family in question operates in. To account for the possibility of multiple areas being affected by a family, the test set of genes in any GO enrichment analysis should not be too small (i.e., rather the top 100 genes than the top 10).

Following this reasoning, the targets of all miRNAs in each family were determined via *miRNeo* query. For each family, genes were ranked by their cumulative targeting score ρ from all family members. For gene i and number of miRNAs in family x , gene score ρ is calculated from individual miRNA→gene scores s :

$$\rho_i = \sum_{n=1}^x s_{ni}$$

3.5.3 GO Analysis of Target Sets

The gene target sets of individual miRNA families were ordered decreasingly by their cumulative score ρ and subjected to GO analysis via the R package *topGO*.¹⁶⁹ Briefly, *topGO* analysis extends the basic hypergeomet-

ric approach of GO enrichment analysis by de-correlating the DAG structure of GO annotation (see Section 2.4.2), allowing a weighted correction for the interdependency of neighbouring GO nodes. If a gene is found in both the parent node (more general) and the child node (more specific), the less specific parent node gene is weighted less; in this way, the most specific node of each hierarchical branch can be found without confounding the result with less specific terms. While GO analysis always is subject to interpretation by the researcher, this weighted algorithm has been shown to reduce false positives while retaining a high true positive ratio.

topGO analysis was performed using the classic (i.e., Fisher's exact test) as well as weighted methods for comparison, however, to determine significance, the p-values calculated by the enhanced weighted algorithm were used. FDR was controlled at 5%. As recommended by the authors,¹⁶⁹ the ordered list of gene targets up to the 3000th position was used as a background for the analysis; the test set in each case was the top 10% of targeted genes.

GENE TARGETING OF ENRICHED FAMILIES

Five families were found to be enriched in both male and female cells, and 12 families in only one of the two cell lines (Fig. 3.10 A, left side). The size range of enriched families was substantial, from small families with only 4 mature members to extensive families with dozens of mature miRNAs. Of note, the amount of family members in any miRNA family did not correlate with the absolute amount of targets predicted (Fig. 3.10 A). Rather, the influence of individual miRNAs was the main factor determining the size of the gene target network. However, those families that were enriched in only one cell line presented with significantly smaller target sets than those that were found DE in both (mean targeted genes per miRNA 217 versus 378, Welch two-sample t test, $p = 0.001$). Relative to family size, 4 of the enriched families targeted less genes than all others: mir-10 ($p = 0.016$), mir-192 ($p = 0.042$), mir-379 ($p = 0.011$), and mir-515 ($p < 0.001$). Hypothetically, the spectrum of target amounts may correlate with the degree of functional specification of distinct miRNA families: on one end, broadly acting families such as let-7 with sex-independent function, on the other, families with a narrow target profile, such as mir-10, whose restricted function can associate with sex-specific effects.

3.5.4 LARGE SCALE GO TERM CURATION

The GO analysis performed in this manner for all 17 enriched families resulted in a list of 737 distinct GO terms related to any of the families. To generate an overview of functional implications of the individual families, the GO terms were filtered and aggregated manually. Terms not relating to CNS- or immune-function were removed, and the remaining terms were sorted into one of 21 categories (Fig. 3.10 B). Generally, the 17 miRNA-families associate with neurodevelopment and neural plasticity, diverse immune functions, cell cycle control, and sex. Most families present with




Figure 3.10: miRNA Families Enriched in Differential Expression and their Ontological Associations. 17 miRNA families were enriched significantly in the DE miRNAs following CNTF-mediated differentiation of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 (Fisher's exact test, $p < 0.05$). **A, left side)** Bar plot of p-values of enriched families, ordered by family size; family size encoded by colour. **A, right side)** Stacked bar plot of the number of gene targets per family. Bars are divided by the DE pattern between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 of each individual family member. DE context (encoded by colour) varies from detection in all categories (such as let-7 or mir-10) to detection only in one cell line (such as mir-515 or mir-154). Four families show significantly less target genes than all other families in relation to their size (denoted by asterisks). **B)** Gene Ontology enrichment analysis of gene targets of all enriched families via 737 distinct terms curated into CNS- or immunity-related categories. The miRNA families mir-10 and mir-199 show association with neurokinins and circadian rhythm.

association to general ontological categories such as neurodevelopment or sex, while more specific categories show a sparser distribution.

Only two families associate significantly with neurokinine-related function, mir-10 and mir-199. Both are, as many others, involved in neurodevelopment- and sex-related function, but both also show the very specific association with circadian rhythm. Family mir-10 additionally is implicated in control of neurotrophin-related mechanisms, and in several blood-borne immune cells, such as T-, B-, and NK-cells.

3.6. WHOLE GENOME MIRNA→GENE NETWORK GENERATION

A common approach to complex network relationships is physical modelling. A complex graph (with directed and weighted edges) can be coerced to self-organise by application of a force-directed layout. In this process (also known as spatialisation), the network, defined only by its nodes and edges, is transformed into a map, usually in two dimensions. An important prerequisite is the scale-free topology of the network, a structure that transcriptional connectomes usually present with.¹⁷⁰ A force-directed layout transforms a network by simulating a gravitational system, or a system of magnetic nodes connected by springs, in which the nodes repel each other, but edges between two

nodes pull them towards each other. By manipulation of multiple physical attributes of the model, a mapped representation of the network's organisation can be produced. As a result, nodes (i.e., genes, TFs, and miRNAs) with close interaction are mapped in close proximity, while nodes with low interaction are far apart. Similarly, nodes with pivotal function in the network (»hubs«) gravitate towards the centre of the map, while »less important« nodes are shifted towards the fringes.

The network comprising all DE members of the 17 enriched miRNA families and 12 495 targeted genes as determined via *miRNeo* query was subjected to force-directed mapping using the Java-based software Gephi 0.9 and its primary force-directed algorithm, ForceAtlas2.¹⁷¹ Gephi and ForceAtlas2 are designed to generally handle graphs with up to 10 000 unique relationships; however, the standard *miRNeo* query resulted in a network with ~160 000 edges. To reach a computationally manageable number of relationships, the score threshold was raised to a minimum of 7, which resulted in a network of 46 937 unique edges. The resulting network was exported as a vector graph and manually edited in Adobe Illustrator to further enhance its readability (Fig. 3.11).

The resulting transcriptional connectome map illustrates the functional compartmentalisation of miRNA→gene interactions. miRNAs of distinct families are frequently found in close proximity to one another, most often forming one or two clusters. In the case of two clusters forming, the clusters are usually representative of the two complementary strands of the pre-miRNA(s), since 3' and 5' variants of any pre-miRNA usually possess fundamentally different seed sequences, and thus, targets. The *let-7* family is distinguished by its removal from the bulk of other interactions, possibly representing a particularly specialised set of functions, at least for the 5' variants of the bottom cluster. Families with predominant differential expression in one of the two cell lines (sexes) inhabit different sides of the main graph and show little intermingling, pointing towards sexually dimorphic gene target distribution. The two neurokine-associated families, *mir-10* and *mir-199*, are located near the centre of the graph, in two strand-specific clusters (»[08a]&[12a]« and »[08b]&[12b]«).

To gather more detailed information than grouping of miRNAs with similar function, such as direct miRNA→gene interaction, the size of the studied networks must be reduced. For each family affected by CNTF-differentiation, a single graph was created, laid out by application of ForceAtlas2, and analysed for critical nodes. The distinct families and their gene targets yield immensely diverse graph layouts, that here cannot be described in their entirety. The complete collection of graphs in interactive visual form is accessible at <https://slobentanzer.github.io/cholinergic-neurokine>. Due to an elevated interest, the cholinergic/neurokine miRNA interface and the families *mir-10* and *mir-199* will be described in more detail and in conjunction with sex-specific perturbations in neurologic diseases.




Figure 3.11: Full Connectome of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 Differentially Expressed miRNA Families. The network of miRNA families and their 12 495 targeted genes self-organises into a connectome map with 46 937 unique edges. miRNA node size scaled by absolute count-change, nodes coloured by DE context. Numbers in brackets denote miRNA families, gene nodes have minimal size. By application of a force-directed layout, the miRNA families visibly self-segregate into clusters. The let-7 family, male-biased and female-biased clusters take up major parts of the network. Families mir-10 and mir-199, with neurokine association, form two mixed, sexually dimorphic clusters near the centre of the map (lighter shade).

3.7. APPLICATION TO SCHIZOPHRENIA AND BIPOLAR DISORDER

A comprehensive structural analysis of perturbations on a genome scale is hardly possible without heavy truncation of results or dimensionality reduction methods. Truncation is commonly performed by ranking perturbations by their p-values in ascending order and only regarding the highest ranked entries, which often amounts to less than ten individual transcripts. On the other hand, commonly used dimensionality reduction techniques include principal component analysis (PCA), t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (t-SNE), and clustering/stratification approaches. While truncation enables human-readable presentation of results, in principle it does not lend itself to complex polygenic events such as neurologic disease. Common dimensionality reduction techniques are useful in providing structural overview of a high-dimensional dataset, but give little insight into causal relationships of single entities. We thus aimed to find an alternative approach to dimensionality reduction which conserves the internal relationships inherent to the data, and which profits from the network organisation of our input data.

For the application of *miRNeo* data to real-world problems, suitable psychiatric and neurologic disease datasets were sought in the common repositories ArrayExpress, NCBI GEO, and Synapse. Among the datasets with agreeable quality, SCZ and BD were the only diseases with sample amounts that allowed a statistically valid analysis of sexual dimorphisms. While many neurologic disease studies are simply limited in their number of subjects, autism presented a different issue: the majority of donors were males (more than 90%). Direct analysis of miRNA expression patterns was not possible, because very few studies study miRNAs directly, yet. Thus, studies on mRNA were substituted to infer on miRNA dynamics.

3.7.1 Analysed Datasets

Twelve datasets including 1361 subjects were downloaded from their repositories (Table 3.2). Data of DLPFC RNA-seq of 579 SCZ patients and controls was obtained from the Common Mind Consortium (<http://www.synapse.org/CMC>). To address the diverse origins and technological aspects of data, care was taken to appropriately unify and normalise the data. The data preparation and meta analyses were performed essentially as described by Gandal and colleagues.³⁵ Samples of brain regions not consistent with the research question (e.g., cerebellum), or from patients with diseases other than SCZ or BD, were removed from datasets on a case-by-case basis. RNA-seq datasets were used to individually confirm the perturbations found in the meta-analysis of microarray studies.

source	accession	publication	technology	subjects/samples	disease
NCBI GEO	GSE35978	Chen <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷²	microarray	150/312	SCZ & BD
NCBI GEO	GSE12649	Iwamoto <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷³	microarray	102/102	SCZ & BD
NCBI GEO	GSE53987	Lanz <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁴	microarray	76/205	SCZ & BD
NCBI GEO	GSE17612	Maycox <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁵	microarray	51/51	SCZ
NCBI GEO	GSE21138	Narayan <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁶	microarray	30/59	SCZ
NCBI GEO	GSE5392	Ryan <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁷	microarray	82/82	BD
NCBI GEO	GSE80655	Ramaker <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁸	RNA-seq	96/281	SCZ & BD
NCBI GEO	GSE106589	Hoffman <i>et al.</i> ¹⁷⁹	RNA-seq	94/94	SCZ
NCBI GEO	GSE68559	Webb <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸⁰	RNA-seq	10/98	NA
NCBI GEO	GSE96659	Fontenot <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸¹	RNA-seq	5/209	NA
NCBI GEO	GSE45642	Li <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸²	RNA-seq	86/670	NA
Synapse	CMC	Gulyás-Kovács <i>et al.</i> ¹⁸³	RNA-seq	579/579	SCZ & BD

Table 3.2: Data Sources for Microarray and RNA-seq Analyses.

3.7.2 Microarray Quality Control and Data Preparation

Read-In and Normalisation

Illumina datasets were read, \log_2 -transformed, and quantile-normalised using R/lumi.¹⁸⁴ Affymetrix datasets were read and RMA-normalised (\log_2 -transformed, background corrected, quantile-normalised) using R/affy.¹⁸⁵ Affymetrix data were additionally corrected for 3'/5' bias using the *AffyRNAdeg()* function (not available for other chip manufacturers). All available biological (e.g., sex, age) and technical (e.g., batch, RIN, post-mortem interval) covariates were collected and used for the analysis. Individual correlations of case-control status S with any covariate C were assessed using a linear model (R/lm) with formula $C \sim S$; statistical significance was determined via ANOVA (R/anova). If necessary, case-control samples were balanced to eliminate significant covariate correlations with case-control status (all $p > 0.05$).

Outliers

Outlier removal was performed using the method proposed by Oldham, Langfelder & Horvath.¹⁸⁶ Briefly, the (dis-)similarity matrix of samples is transformed into a signed, weighted correlation network. Network adjacency (a) of samples (nodes) S_i and S_j is defined as:

$$a_{ij} = \left(\frac{\text{cor}(S_i, S_j) + 1}{2} \right)^2$$

As such, the connectivity between samples can be measured by the standardised connectivity ($Z.K$), which describes the strength of correlation between any given node and all other nodes in the network. As proposed by Oldham *et al.*¹⁸⁶, outliers were removed if their Z-score was below the threshold of $Z.K = -2$.

Annotation

To enable comparison between datasets of diverse technical origin, probes were annotated using ENSEMBL gene identifiers using R/biomaRt.¹⁸⁷ To maintain comparability with the analysis by Gandal *et al.*,³⁵ the same

version of ENSEMBL DB (v75, Feb 2014) was used. Probes were collapsed onto single genes using the *collapseRows()* function of R/WGCNA,¹⁸⁸ using the maximum mean signal across all probes per gene. Of note, information loss occurred by multiple collapsing of probes and integration of datasets, which can only be performed using the genes common to all datasets (i.e., represented by microarray probes). The final gene set encompassed 12 391 individual genes, with several notable cholinergic/neurokinin exceptions (CHRNA7, CHRM1, LHX8, CHKB, PRIMA1, CNTF). Missing genes result from annotation deficits between different probe sets, cannot be comprehensively manually controlled on a genome scale, and cannot be re-introduced at this stage.

3.7.3 Differential Expression Meta-Analysis

The individual experimental datasets were each corrected for covariate influences by multiple regression based on all available biological and technical covariates. Briefly, the linear regression model was solved using matrix algebra operations. In matrix form, a linear regression model of observations Y (i.e., gene expression levels), independent variables X (i.e., covariates), coefficients β , and error terms ε can be described as:

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

As a consequence, the residual sums of squares can be expressed as the cross product:

$$RSS = (Y - X\beta)^T(Y - X\beta)$$

Then, the coefficients $\hat{\beta}$ can be estimated by solving the derivative:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y$$

Coefficients were estimated for all relevant technical and biological covariates (e.g. post-mortem interval, RIN, sex, age) and used to regress covariate influence on gene expression levels:

$$Y_{new} = Y - (X\hat{\beta})^T$$

After covariate regression, differential expression was calculated across all datasets for each disease group using a linear mixed model with a fixed effect for each study and case-control status («group»), and a random effect for each individual subject. Computation was performed in R, using R/nlme,¹⁸⁹ with parameters

$$fixed = \sim group + study \text{ and } random = \sim 1|subject$$

This yielded an array of log-fold changes between cases and controls for each gene and disease. To determine statistical significance, 10 000 permutations of the mixed-model regression were performed for each case, randomly assigning case-control status. The resulting null distributions were used to determine FDR, with threshold for significance at 0.05.

Sex-Specific Meta-Analysis

Samples of all datasets were split between males and females (cases as well as controls), and individually subjected to the same procedure as the sex-independent data: covariate regression, differential expression via a linear mixed model, and estimation of statistical significance via permutation testing.

Transcriptome Correlation

Correlation of disease transcriptomes was performed by using Spearman's rank correlation coefficient. Spearman's ρ was determined between SCZ and BD sex-independently as well as separately in males and females.

Most Diverging Genes

Genes were ranked by their divergence between any two compared datasets, sex-independent data of SCZ and BD, and any meaningful combination of sex-dependent data in SCZ, BD, males, and females. The divergence δ of any gene G between datasets i and j was defined as:

$$\delta = LFC(G)_i - LFC(G)_j$$

Where positive values of δ indicate a positive bias of G towards dataset i ; LFC: \log_2 fold change.

3.7.4 SEXUAL DIMORPHISM IN SCHIZOPHRENIA AND BIPOLAR DISORDER

Sex-independent correlation replicated the finding of Gandal *et al.*,³⁵ with Spearman's $\rho = 0.7100$ ($p < 0.001$). However, diverging from the established annotation of ENSEMBL v75 to later versions of the database significantly altered the correlation coefficient, leading to lower correlation in all tested cases. Comparing the sex-independent data with only male or female subjects (all with ENSEMBL v75 annotation), those also show lower general correlation between SCZ and BD: in females, correlation was $\rho = 0.6150$ ($p < 0.001$), in males, $\rho = 0.5783$ ($p < 0.001$). While it is possible that these variations are caused by structural properties of the data unrelated to sexual dimorphism, such as the loss of power due to the reduction in size, the consistently lower correlation in sex-specific subsets also may indicate an averaging effect between male and female patients, leading to a higher correlation in spite of significant sexual dimorphism.

To address the potential differences between male and female brain transcriptomes, which may reflect the observed clinical dimorphism, we subjected the 100 most-diverging genes between any two datasets to GO enrichment analysis (Fig. 3.12, from Lobentanzer *et al.*¹) in hopes of identifying the most discriminating molecular pathways between SCZ and BD, and afflicted males and females. Sex-independently, the most-diverging pathways between SCZ and BD principally involved mechanisms of inflammation and immunity (e.g., “acute inflammatory response,” $p = 0.003$; “cellular response to cytokine stimulus,” $p = 0.01$).

DIFFERENCES IN SEXUAL DIMORPHISM BETWEEN SCZ AND BD

Computation of diverging pathways between males and females in each disease indicated a larger divergence between sexes in SCZ than in BD. SCZ-biased genes of males and females showed no overlapping GO terms (Fig. 3.12 A), but BD-biased genes of males and females showed large GO term overlap, particularly in inflammatory components (Fig. 3.12 B). Notably, specific components of neurokinine signalling were elevated in both males (IL-6, $p = 0.007$) and females (JAK/STAT, $p = 0.01$) with BD.

OVERLAP OF MALE-BIASED GENES BETWEEN SCZ AND BD

Shared transcriptional properties of SCZ and BD were identifiable only in male-biased genes. While female-biased SCZ genes showed no implications in CNS processes, male-biased SCZ and BD genes overlapped in functions concerning inflammation and immunity (Fig. 3.12 C). Female-biased BD genes were associated with CNS function and development (Fig. 3.12 D).




Figure 3.12: GO Enrichment of Diverging Genes. Results of differential gene expression were dually compared: SCZ versus BD and male versus female (indicated by colours). GO enrichment of the top 100 distinguishing genes in one dimension was compared with the other for each pair of combinations. **A)** SCZ-biased genes diverge between males and females. **B)** BD-biased genes share immunological ontology in both males and females. **C)** Male-biased genes share immunological ontology in BD and SCZ. **D)** Female-biased genes diverge between SCZ and BD.

SPECIFICITY OF ONTOLOGICAL TERMS

When comparing different areas of biological function, such as neurotransmission, immunity, and inflammation, the different areas notably diverged in the specificity of identified terms. Considering the functionality of the applied method (*topGO*, see Section 3.5.3) to find the most specific node in any branch of the DAG tree while disregarding its (less specific) parent nodes, this may indicate a difference in the magnitude of perturbation in the different systems. For instance, the GO terms indicating neurotransmission as affected system were much less specific than those indicating immunity-related processes. While significant neurotransmission-related terms failed to implicate specific neuron types or neurotransmitters (e.g., GO:0021953, »CNS neuron differentiation«; GO:0046928, »Regulation of neurotransmitter secretion«), immunity-related terms were very specific towards regulatory subsystems, and regularly implicated neurokinin mechanisms (e.g., GO:0032675, »Regulation of interleukin-6 production«; GO:0046427, »Positive regulation of JAK/STAT cascade«).

3.7.5 COMBINATION OF DISEASE DATA AND CELL CULTURE

To implement the proposed complexity reduction technique, we applied a reductionist approach to the comprehensive network generated from perturbed miRNA families and their targeted genes (Fig. 3.11), based on the unbiased analysis of sexual dimorphism in SCZ and BD, which implicated processes of neuronal, immunological, and circadian origin (Figure 3.12). To merge these results with the implications of cholinergic cell culture, we added genes implicated in neurokinin signalling and circadian rhythm to the list of cholinergic genes (see Box 1). Returning to the collection of web-available patient data, we subjected this limited set of 76 genes and their 18 neuronal TFs to differential expression analysis.

The comprehensive network was then filtered in multiple consecutive steps. (I) Permutation analysis of comprehensive miRNA targeting data specific for genes expressed in cholinergic neurons (Fig. 3.2) yielded a list of miRNA candidates that shows overlap with (II) miRNAs DE in our two models of neurokinin-induced cholinergic differentiation (Fig. 3.7 A). (III) We included only families of miRNAs we found to be enriched in differential expression (Fig. 3.10). Sixty-nine miRNAs from 12 families passed this filtering process and were consecutively assembled in a force-directed network with the 94 genes of the previously compiled list. As a »spike-in«, we added miR-132-3p (DE in LA-N-5 cells), a miRNA which controls cholinergic processes^{190,191} and is known for its function in neurons¹⁹² and immunity¹⁹³ and its perturbation in disease.¹⁹⁴ The resulting network (Fig. 3.13 A, from Lobentanzer *et al.*¹) shows high structural homology to the comprehensive net-




Figure 3.13: The Cholinergic/Neurokine Interface. **A**) The miRNA families mir-10 and mir-199 pose a sexually dimorphic interface of cholinergic, neurokine, and circadian regulation by targeting nicotinic/ muscarinic (e.g., $\alpha 4\beta 2$ and M1) and neurokine receptors, transcriptional regulators of cholinergic differentiation (LHX and STAT) and circadian rhythm (CLOCK and RORA), the AChE and the AChE linker proteins PRIMA1/COLQ, and high-affinity choline uptake (HACU). Members of mir-10/199 families, spike-in miR-132-3p, and their targeted genes are shown in colour, and other miRNA families that passed the multiple filtering are indicated as areas. miRNA node size corresponds to count-change and gene node size to connectivity; colour and thicker edges indicate the DE context and experimentally validated connections. **B–D** Validation experiments of AChE targeting by miR-125b-5p, with miR-132-3p as a positive control. **B**) Lentiviral expression of miR-132 and miR-125b suppresses luciferase fused to the 3' UTR of AChE in HEK293T cells. Error bars indicate SE. **C**) Lentiviral expression of miR-132 and miR-125b suppresses the endogenous AChE hydrolytic activity of U937 cells with similar efficacy. Error bars indicate SE. **D**) Life/death assay of stably transfected HEK293T cells carrying the AChE 3' UTR fused to a cytotoxic sensor (under CMV promoter) and co-transfected with miR-125b-5p, miR-132-3p, or control plasmids. Cells survive in case of binding of miR-132-3p and miR-125-5p to the 3' UTR.

work shown in Figure 3.11. The miRNA families in this reduced network show spatial organisation similar to the comprehensive network.

In agreement with their localisation in the comprehensive network, miRNA families mir-10 and mir-199 inhabit a central role in the resulting interactome. Most-targeted genes in this network (as indicated by their size) are the circadian regulators CLOCK and RORA. While CLOCK is located centrally, next to mir-10/199 miRNAs, RORA shows closeness to the mir-30/515 families. Generally, genes with larger cellular influence, such as transcription factors (STAT3, CLOCK) or TGF- β ligands (BMP family genes) are frequently targeted by miRNAs, while more specific transcripts, such as the cholinergic receptor genes or neurokines, are targeted more selectively.

More so than the spiked-in miR-132-3p, mir-10/199 miRNAs target cholinergic genes, for instance, the neuronal nicotinic $\alpha 4\beta 2$ and muscarinic M1 receptors (mir-125) and HACU (miR-199). In addition, they target neurokine genes, such as the transmembrane neurokine receptor LIFR

or STAT3, and circadian regulators (e.g., CLOCK and RORA). The two families react highly sexually dimorphic to CNTF-mediated differentiation; some are detected as DE only in one cell line, others exhibit inverted changes between cell lines. The 3p-variant of miR-125a distinguishes itself from the bulk of mir-10/199 miRNAs by exclusively targeting M1, $\alpha 5$ and $\beta 1$ receptors, and IL-6, and thus is slightly removed from the centre of the network.

The miRNA with most targets in this reduced interactome is miR-125b-5p, also displaying most experimentally validated interactions with neurokine genes (miRTarBase accessions: IL-6, MIRT022105; IL-6R, MIRT006844; JAK2, MIRT734987; LIF, MIRT001037; LIFR, MIRT732494; STAT3, MIRT005006). miR-125b-5p also is the most perturbed miRNA (in this interactome) upon CNTF-mediated differentiation (highest absolute count-change), and the only member of mir-10/mir-199 changed in similar direction in both cell lines (up-regulated). miR-125b-5p also targets multiple other inflammation-related genes (e.g., TNF, MIRT733472; IRF4, MIRT004534) and 5-lipoxygenase, which can influence inflammatory processes via production of eicosanoids.¹⁹⁵ miR-125b-5b has been directly associated with cytokine-mediated inflammation, as its over-expression increased the expression of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6, and markedly decreased I κ B- α .¹⁹⁶

A notable intersection of spike-in miR-132-3p and miR-125b-5p is the ACHE, an interaction which had not been validated for miR-125b-5p, but is known for miR-132-3p.^{190,193} Using miR-132-3p as a positive control, we performed ACHE-mRNA binding assays in validation of the predicted targeting by miR-125b-5p.

3.7.6 miR-125b-5p Acetylcholinesterase Targeting Assays

We performed three independent cell culture assays to confirm ACHE mRNA targeting by hsa-miR-125b-5p: luciferase suppression, AChE protein activity, and a cell death assay with a cytotoxic sensor. The 3' UTR of human ACHE mRNA¹⁹⁷ was cloned into the microRNA Target Selection System plasmid (System Biosciences, CA, USA) multiple cloning site, using EcoRI and NotI restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs). All plasmids were verified by DNA sequencing. For luciferase assays, HEK293T cells were transfected with miRNA Target Selection-AChE-3' UTR, and selected in the presence of Puromycin for 3 weeks. Stably transfected HEK293T (293T-AChE 3' UTR) cells were grown on 12-well plates and infected with lentiviruses expressing miR-125b-5p, miR-132-3p or a negative control sequence. After 48 hours of incubation, cells were analysed using the Dual Luciferase Assay kit (Promega, WI USA) and Luciferase activity was measured using an Envision luminescent plate reader (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA), essentially as previously described by Hanin *et al.*¹⁹⁸ For each reporter construct, renilla luciferase activity was normalised according to that of the firefly. Normalised activity after infection with miR-132-3p or miR-125b-5p was expressed as relative to that obtained after infection with the same plasmid with miRNA negative control. To show effects of changes in this miRNA's levels on real-life protein activities, we performed an AChE hydrolytic activity assay following infection of human monocyte-like U937 cells with hsa-miR-125b-5p, miR-132-3p or a negative control lentiviral vector. AChE hydrolytic activity levels were assessed by kinetic measurements of the hydrolysis rates of 1 mM acetylthiocholine (ATCh,

Sigma) at room temperature, following 20 min incubation with and without 50 μ M tetraisopropyl pyrophosphoramidate (iso-OMPA, Sigma), a specific inhibitor of butyrylcholinesterase, to selectively assay for AChE-specific or total cholinesterase activity. For the life/death assay, stably transfected HEK293T cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing miR-125b-5p, miR-132-3p or a negative control sequence. 72 hours post-infection, a cytotoxic reporter fused to AChE 3' -UTR was added to the media and cells were kept for an additional 5 days to assess their viability. For all cell culture assays, statistical significance was determined using ANOVA with correction for multiple testing. Each sample was assayed in at least 3 biological replicates, and in all cases, hsa-miR-132-3p served as a positive control.

3.7.7 HSA-MIR-125B-5P TARGETS ACETYLCHOLINESTERASE

In all tested conditions, miR-125b-5p suppressed *ACHE* mRNA with equal potency as the positive control miR-132-3p (Fig. 3.13 B-D). Towards mRNA expression (luciferase) and functionality (cytotoxic sensor) as well as on protein level (AChE activity), miR-125b-5p demonstrated its interaction with *ACHE* mRNA 3' UTR. Luciferase units after miR-125b-5p transfection were approximately halved, indicating significant transcript degradation of the *ACHE* 3'UTR.

3.7.8 CHOLINERGIC/NEUROKINE MECHANISMS IN WEB-AVAILABLE RNA SEQUENCING EXPERIMENTS

To include recent developments in methodology, we analysed several recent RNA-seq studies addressing related questions. In a study of post-mortem brain transcriptome profiling of psychiatric disorders,¹⁷⁸ we found a down-regulation of IL-6, LIF, and several cholinergic receptors (M2, M4, α 4, β 2, α 7), with sex-specific differences (males had significantly higher levels of neurokinins than females). These changes were visible only in SCZ patients, not in BD or major depressive disorder. In a study of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) of SCZ patients and controls that were induced to show a neuronal phenotype,¹⁷⁹ we found an up-regulation of *CHAT* in SCZ-derived iPSCs, and a down-regulation of IL6R and the nicotinic α 6 subunit. In this study, SCZ males showed a higher expression of the *SLC18A3* and lower expression of nicotinic subunits α 2, 7, and 9, and β 3. In a study of differentiated human neuronal progenitor cells,¹⁸¹ a knockdown of the circadian transcriptional controller CLOCK resulted in up-regulation of LIF and simultaneous down-regulation of neurokinin transmembrane receptors LIFR and IL6ST, accompanied by slight bi-directional changes in several cholinergic receptors.

I know words. I have the best words.

Donald Trump

4

Dynamics Between Small and Large RNA in the Blood of Stroke Victims

Stroke is a dramatic incision into bodily homeostasis and affects a multitude of organ functions, first and foremost the brain. The immediate actions upon stroke are focused on preserving as much functional tissue as possible, so as to alleviate the cognitive damages resulting from neuron death. After this initial period of few hours, longer-lasting processes determine the health and recovery of the patient. Many of these later events are related to immunity. The greatest danger to the patient after survival of the initial period are infections, such as pneumonia, usually between one and two weeks after the infarction. Pneumonia is often facilitated by aspiration of liquids or solids when the swallowing mechanism is impaired as a consequence of the cerebral damage. However, as introduced in Section 1.2.5, stroke-related immunodepression can play a role in post-stroke survival, and has been shown to have an impact on the transcriptome of blood-borne immune cells, at least for protein coding genes. The role of short RNA transcripts, and particularly of transfer RNA fragments, is much less clear. We thus opted to analyse the blood of stroke victims taken upon hospitalisation and screen it for changes in small and large RNA expression.

4.1. RNA SEQUENCING, DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION, AND DESCRIPTIVE METHODS

4.1.1 The PREDICT Cohort

The patient collective for the present study was recruited from a prospective, international, multi-center study with 11 study sites in Germany and Spain, led and approved by the neurologic department of Charité Berlin (www.clinicaltrials.gov, NCT01079728).¹⁹⁹ The study, called PREDICT, screened 484 stroke patients for clinical attributes and conventional biomarkers, with daily measurements in the first five days after stroke, and a three months follow-up. From these patients, a representative cohort of 49 patients were selected for blood small RNA sequencing.

4.1.2 Clinical Parameters Collected in the PREDICT Study

Stroke patients were assessed daily for the duration of hospitalisation, at least until four days after admission. Blood-based biomarkers that were measured at least once during this period include: monocyte human leukocyte antigen isotype DR (HLA-DR); interleukins IL-6, IL-8 and IL-10; IL-10 levels after 24h *in vitro* stimulation with lipopolysaccharide; lipopolysaccharide binding protein (LBP); mannan-binding lectin (MBL); and TNF- α . Also recorded were the time between admission and the collection of the blood sample, and the modified Rankin Scale (mRS). This scale is a rough categorisation of the severity of stroke, with 0 referring to no symptoms, and 6 signifying death. Scores 1-2 describe slight neurological deficits, 3 requires frequent help because of medium level deficits, 4 requires constant assistance with daily tasks, and 5 requires stationary care.

4.1.3 Sample Collection, RNA Isolation, and Sequencing

Blood was collected into RNA stabilising tubes (Tempus Blood RNA tubes, Applied Biosystems) on each day of hospitalisation, and we subjected blood samples collected on the second day to small and large RNA-sequencing. For sequencing, we only considered samples from patients with modified Rankin Scale (mRS) values of 3 and below at discharge from the hospital, to exclude very severe cases of stroke, leaving $n=240$ relevant cases. The time from stroke occurrence to blood withdrawal varied between 0.94 to 2.63 days, with an average of 1.98 days. Blood samples from age- and ethnicity-matched healthy controls were obtained at matched circadian time from donors with ethical approvals from institutional review boards (ZenBio, North Carolina, USA).

RNA was extracted from 3 ml of whole blood of all 484 PREDICT patients using the Tempus Spin RNA isolation kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA, USA). RNA quality was determined by RNA gel for all samples and by Bioanalyzer 6000 (Agilent, Santa Clara CA, USA) for samples selected for RNA-sequencing, which showed high RNA quality with a median RIN of 8.8 (lowest RIN 7.9, highest RIN 9.9). We used 600 ng total RNA of 49 samples for small RNA library construction (NEBNext Multiplex Small RNA library prep set for Illumina, New England Biolabs, Ipswich MA, USA) and selected 24 out of the 49 short RNA-sequenced samples for PolyA-selected mRNA sequencing. These libraries were prepared from 1000 ng total RNA using the TruSeq RNA library preparation kit (Illumina, San Diego CA, USA) and were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 500 platform at the Hebrew University's Center for Genomic Technologies.

4.1.4 RNA Sequencing Alignment

Small RNA species were aligned after quality filtering using flexbar and miExpress 2.0, as described in Section 3.4.2. Additionally, to assess tRF expression, small RNA reads were aligned to the exclusive tRNA space using the MINTmap pipeline.¹¹⁷ Briefly, this pipeline compares short RNA sequencing reads with a collection of sequences determined to only be contained inside mature tRNAs, without confounding from the many tRNA lookalikes in the human genome, for example in pseudogenes. The two RNA species were united into one expression matrix containing both miRNA and tRF expression.

Large RNA species were aligned to the human transcriptome using the ENSEMBL transcriptome *Homo sapiens* GRCh38 release 79, and using the fast dual-phase parallel inference algorithm *Salmon*.²⁰⁰ *Salmon* combines an »online« fragment mapping utilising continuous updating of a Bayesian prior with an »offline« phase that determines fragment quantities by application of the Bayesian model determined before via a standard expectation maximisation (EM) algorithm or a variable Bayesian EM. Additionally, the pipeline corrects for multiple typical biases in sequencing, such as position-specific biases, sequence-specific 3' and 5' end biases, fragment GC content bias, and fragment length distribution. The resulting quantified fragments were imported into R using the rsubreads package.²⁰¹

4.1.5 Quality Control and Filtering

Raw and processed reads were quality-controlled using FastQC, as described in Section 3.4.1, with no samples falling below acceptable thresholds. Small and large RNA alignments were batch-corrected followed by analysis of inter-sample relationships via the method proposed by Oldham *et al.*¹⁸⁶ (as described in Section 3.7.2). We excluded no large RNA samples and one small RNA sample (»11_40044_S12«).

4.1.6 RNA Sequencing Differential Expression Analysis

Quantified reads were subjected to differential expression analysis using DESeq2, essentially as described in Section 3.4.3. Small RNA species were analysed together by combining count tables for miRNAs and tRFs, large RNAs were analysed separately. Both datasets were corrected for covariates *subject age* and *batch*. Correction for patient sex was not necessary because all patients in the final analyses were male. LFC values were shrunk using *apeglm* as described in Section 3.4.3, at an alpha level of 0.1.

4.1.7 Gene Ontology Analyses

We performed GO analyses on the set of DE transcripts, using different ranking methods. GO analyses were performed using R/topGO as described in Section 3.5.3 using the weighted method.

Ranking by P-Value

Transcripts were ranked by p-value, and different test sets were tested against the background of the topmost two thousand transcripts. We tested the set of all DE transcripts (adjusted p-value < 0.05) and the separate sets of positively and negatively regulated transcripts. Additionally, for each test group, the criterion of LFC > 1.4 was applied and re-tested.

Ranking By Count-Change

Alternatively to ranking via p-value, transcripts were ranked by count-change, and the top 100 significantly DE transcripts were tested against the background of the first two thousand transcripts. Similarly to the p-value ranking, test sets comprised all transcripts as well as only negatively or positively regulated transcripts.

Visualisation of Results

While GO enrichment analysis can be informative, interpretation and visualisation of its results is not standardised and often limited to presentation of top X terms by p-value. R/gsoap²⁰² is an analysis tool proposed to aid in interpretation of GO enrichment results via t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (t-SNE) display of similarity of terms based on the amount of shared significant genes. GO enrichment results were processed to fulfil gsoap input criteria and visualised using ggplot2.²⁰³

4.1.8 Homology Computation Among tRNA Fragments

Transfer RNA fragment origin can be ambiguous, even in fragments derived from tRNA-exclusive space. To assess sequence-based relationships between tRFs, all detected fragments were subjected to pairwise homology analysis using local Smith-Waterman alignment (*pairwiseAlignment* function of the R/Biostrings package), and scores were transformed into a distance matrix to enable clustering and visualisation of relationships. t-SNE was employed to visualise tRF homologies in a 2D space.

4.1.9 t-Distributed Stochastic Neighbour Embedding

SNE (Stochastic Neighbour Embedding) replaces Euclidian distances between data points with conditional probabilities that represent similarities. The Gaussian distribution used in SNE to represent the probability density for any given data point in the low-dimensional space is replaced by a Student's t-Distribution in the updated t-SNE algorithm. In combination with the use of a symmetrised function with simpler gradients, this alleviates problems with optimisation of the cost function that is used to create forces between points on the low-dimensional map.²⁰⁴ The superiority of t-SNE with random initialisation remains subject of debate, and some advocate the use of the newer UMAP algorithm²⁰⁵, although most of the discussion is centred around analysis of single-cell RNA-seq and preservation of global structure in the lower-dimensional visualisation.²⁰⁶

t-SNE was used in a variety of applications to reduce the dimensionality of high-dimensional data, for instance, the amino acid origin of tRFs, or the association of tRFs with distinct cell types in the blood. t-SNE analyses were performed in R, using the Rtsne package.²⁰⁷ t-SNE requires, apart from the input data, a parameter called *perplexity*, which determines the weighting of local as opposed to global effects in the data. So far, there are no strict rules governing the selection of a perplexity value, other than that the perplexity cannot exceed the number of individual data points. Since different perplexities can give widely varying results, which can sometimes be misleading, the resulting maps have to be screened with a range of perplexities to assess their robustness.




Figure 4.1: Cholinergic-associated Small RNA ECDF Curves. Cholinergic association was tested using *miRNeo* targeting data of miRNAs and tRFs. To assess the best-suited threshold for defining cholinergic association, empirical cumulative density functions were calculated for the number of cholinergic-associated (CA) genes targeted by each unique smRNA. **A**) Cumulative frequency of number of CA genes targeted by tRFs. Threshold of 80% (red line) is passed at five CA genes targeted. **B**) Cumulative frequency of number of CA genes targeted by miRNAs. Threshold of 80% (red line) is passed at four CA genes targeted.

4.1.10 Cholinergic Association of Small RNA Species

To determine association of distinct smRNAs with cholinergic transcripts, we analysed the multiple-targeting relationships of each distinct smRNA towards our curated list of cholinergic-associated (CA) transcripts. We first created complete targeting data of all DE smRNAs towards all CA transcripts, which we then successively filtered for multiple targeting behaviours. To assess the base level of multiple targeting of cholinergic transcripts, we utilised empirical cumulative density functions of the number of individual cholinergic targets of each miRNA and tRF (Figure 4.1). We assumed 80% to be a robust threshold of cholinergic targeting, and for diverging numbers between miRNAs and tRFs chose to use the higher (more stringent) threshold. smRNAs above this threshold (i.e., smRNAs targeting at least as many cholinergic transcripts as the threshold value) were considered CA.

4.2. DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF RNA DYNAMICS IN BLOOD AFTER STROKE

4.2.1 DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION OF LARGE RNA

At an alpha level of 0.05, we detected 694 differentially expressed (DE) long transcripts, 204 of them up- and 490 down-regulated (Figure 4.3 A). 18 of the up-regulated and 109 of the down-regulated transcripts exceeded the common \log_2 fold change (LFC) threshold of 1.4. To determine the most-impacted pathways, we performed GO analyses.

4.2.2 GENE ONTOLOGY ANALYSES OF DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED GENES

Ranking of all transcripts (regardless of direction of regulation) by their differential expression p-value resulted in GO terms mainly related to circulatory system processes ($p = 0.018$) and immunity (Figure 4.2 A). Most notable immune-related terms included cytokine-mediated pathways ($p = 2.4E-04$), response to IFNs α ($p = 0.013$) and β ($p = 1.2E-03$), regulation of JAK/STAT cascade ($p = 0.013$), response to LPS ($p = 0.025$), and macrophage activation ($p = 0.026$). Limiting the test set to transcripts with LFC above 1.4 increased sensitivity towards immune processes, yielding lower p-values for the enrichment of positive ($1.7E-04$) and negative regulation of cytokine production ($5.7E-04$), type I interferon production ($3.9E-04$), response to bacterium ($5.9E-04$), innate immune response ($2.0E-03$), response to organophosphorus ($2.3E-03$), cytoplasmatic pattern recognition receptor signalling pathway ($2.8E-03$), and response to LPS ($9.1E-03$).

Up-regulated transcripts pertained to circulatory system processes, such as platelet degranulation ($1.2E-03$) and aggregation (0.02), and sprouting angiogenesis ($4.8E-03$), but also antigen processing and presentation ($4.5E-03$). Test set limitation to LFC above 1.4 did not increase sensitivity towards those terms, but presented essentially similar results. Up-regulated genes as such may be indicative of the bodily response to blood flow disruption and ischaemia caused by the stroke.

Down-regulated transcripts were enriched in terms involving response to IFN α ($1.3E-03$) and β ($3.1E-04$), response to LPS ($1.5E-03$), rhythmic process ($2.5E-03$), positive regulation of T cell proliferation ($4.3E-03$), positive regulation of JAK-STAT cascade (0.015), and cellular response to IL-1 (0.019). Test set limitation to LFC above 1.4 again increased sensitivity towards immune-related terms, but without changing the general pattern. Thus, down-regulated genes in all likelihood represent the post-stroke immunodepression, which can exacerbate into CIDS (see Section 1.2.5). The terms involving INF, IL-1, LPS, and JAK-STAT also indicate an important role for cytokine signalling in these processes.




Figure 4.2: Large RNA Differential Expression Gene Ontology Enrichment. GO terms from enrichment analysis were projected on a 2D map via t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (t-SNE) analysis of their shared significant genes. Size of circle indicates number of significant genes in term, depth of colour indicates p-value (all $p < 0.05$). **A)** t-SNE visualisation of GO terms of DE genes with LFC > 1.4 shows 36 terms in eight clusters. Immunological terms (left-hand side) and circulatory terms (right-hand side) are predominant. **B)** t-SNE visualisation of 24 GO terms of top 100 genes measured by count-change corroborate immunological changes in stroke patient blood differential gene expression.

As a cross-check, DE transcripts were ranked by count-change, and re-analysed (Figure 4.2 B). The top 100 changed transcripts, without regard to direction (absolute count-change) yielded terms implying response to IFN α ($3.8E-04$), β ($1.1E-04$), and γ ($1.4E-04$), mitochondrial organisation ($5.6E-03$) and ATP synthesis ($6.9E-03$), response to IL-4 ($6.9E-03$), positive regulation of JAK-STAT cascade ($8.8E-03$), response to antibiotic (0.044), and platelet degranulation (0.045). The top 100 up-regulated transcripts yielded terms involving platelet degranulation ($3.8E-03$), mitochondrial ATP synthesis ($4.2E-03$), response to xenobiotic stimulus (0.017), platelet aggregation (0.013), and response to antibiotic (0.016), while the top 100 down-regulated transcripts were associated with inflammatory response ($1.3E-04$), regulation of apoptosis ($1.8E-04$), cytokine secretion ($6.8E-04$), antigen processing and presentation ($1.2E-03$), regulation of lymphocyte apoptosis ($2.3E-03$) and proliferation ($2.7E-03$), response to antibiotic ($5.2E-03$), leukocyte homeostasis ($7.6E-03$), response to IL-1 ($7.6E-03$), and many more immune-specific processes. This corroborates the previous findings that up-regulated transcripts represent the response to circulatory system damage, and down-regulated transcripts indicate a cytokine-mediated immunodepression. For a full list of all terms from these analyses, see Appendix C.

4.2.3 DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION OF SMALL RNA

In the simultaneous co-analysis of miRNAs and tRFs, we detected 420 DE miRNAs and 143 DE tRFs (adjusted p -value < 0.05 , Figure 4.3 B&C). 63% of miRNAs (265) were down-regulated, while 87% of tRFs (124) were up-regulated. tRFs were mainly derived from the 3' end (3'-tRFs, 87) or




Figure 4.3: Small and Large RNA Differential Expression and tRF Properties. **A)** Differential expression analysis reveals multiple large RNA transcripts changed in patient blood after stroke. The majority of differentially expressed (DE) transcripts above a LFC threshold of 1.4 (red) are down-regulated. **B)** Blood-borne tRNA fragments (tRFs) also change after stroke. Unlike large RNA and miRNAs, the majority of DE tRFs are up-regulated. Cholinergic-associated (CA) tRFs in red. **C)** Blood-borne miRNAs are heavily influenced by the events following stroke. Like large RNA transcripts, miRNAs are also overwhelmingly down-regulated. CA miRNAs in red. **D)** The distribution of amino acid origin among the DE tRFs is non-random and biased towards the amino acids alanine, glycine, leucine, proline, and methionine. Each square represents one DE tRF, colour denotes amino acid origin. **E)** t-distributed stochastic neighbour embedding (t-SNE) of pairwise fragment homology by local Smith-Waterman alignment shows clustering of the dominant amino acid groups of tRFs. Clear clusters can be observed for tRFs derived from tRNA carrying alanine, glycine, leucine, proline, and methionine.

from internal tRNA regions (i-tRFs, 48), while the tRFs from 5' ends (5'-tRFs) were in the minority (6). The amino acid distribution was shifted in favour of alanine- (35), glycine- (28), and proline-carrying (12) tRNAs (Figure 4.3 D). 30 of the 35 alanine-associated tRFs were 3'-tRFs, and all of those were up-regulated, indicating non-random generation of these fragments.

4.2.4 HOMOLGY AMONG TRNA FRAGMENTS

Using pairwise homology among all DE tRFs, visualised via t-SNE (see Section 4.1.9), we identified clusters of highly similar fragments, that correlate with their amino-acid origin, i.e., the amino acid which is carried by the respective parent tRNA (Figure 4.3 E). This relationship persisted across dis-

tinct individual tRNAs coding for the same amino acid, and was particularly pronounced in tRNAs associated with alanine, glycine, leucine, proline, and methionine. This further indication of non-random generation of tRNA fragments shorter than tiRNAs leaves an open question about their biogenesis, particularly, which nucleases are responsible for the generation of the mature transcripts, and if 3'-tRF generation is dependent or independent from tiRNA generation by angiogenin.

4.2.5 CHOLINERGIC ASSOCIATION OF SMALL RNA SPECIES

Determination of the association of smRNA species with distinct systems or pathways is not trivial because of the multiple-targeting nature of these RNAs. For the purpose of the following analyses, we defined a small RNA as being associated with cholinergic processes by the positive association of the smRNA with a number of cholinergic-associated (CA) large transcripts. We did not assess the question whether this small RNA also targeted other systems equally, or if it targeted cholinergic transcripts with greater likelihood than a random selection of genes. For this reason, we selected a fairly high threshold for the definition of a CA smRNA, which is the targeting of at least 5 CA transcripts (above 80% on the empirical cumulative density function of cholinergic targeting, see Section 4.1.10).

Following this definition, we detected 52 CA miRNAs (90% down-regulated, 5 up and 47 down), and 18 CA tRFs (83% up-regulated, 15 up and 3 down). Above an LFC threshold of 1.4, we found 33 CA miRNAs (97% down-regulated, 1 up and 32 down), and 9 CA tRFs (78% up-regulated, 7 up and 2 down). CA smRNAs are marked in red in Figure 4.3 B&C.

4.3. BLOOD COMPARTMENTS OF CHOLINERGIC SYSTEMS AND SMALL RNA SPECIES

To address the shortcomings of whole-blood RNA sequencing, which is more representative of the clinical setting, but less specific regarding cellular compartments, we consulted third party datasets to assess RNA species distribution in different cellular and non-cellular compartments of the blood. For small RNA species, we re-analysed a published dataset of small RNA-seq of 450 human samples from various blood tissues;²⁰⁸ for large RNA transcripts, we utilised the tissue specificity of Marbach's regulatory circuits.¹¹⁸ The large RNA information was used to identify blood cell types with cholinergic transcriptional activity, which was then used to zoom in into small RNA expression subsets related to cholinergic processes.

4.3.1 Large RNA Regulatory Circuits in Tissues of the Blood

To evaluate the cell type distribution of cholinergic genes in blood tissue types, we utilised the expression patterns derived from cumulative transcription factor activity of Marbach's regulatory circuits.¹¹⁸ As shown by the authors, the cumulative activity of all transcription factors towards one gene describe well the actual expression of that gene in the respective tissue type. To maximise comparability to the parallel analyses of small RNA species (Section 4.3.2), blood cell types (i.e., »regulatory circuits«) were selected to reflect the cell type selection of Juzenas *et al.*²⁰⁸ based on similar markers of the »cluster of differentiation« family of genes. These were: CD4⁺ T-helper cells, CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cells, CD14⁺ monocytes, CD15⁺ neutrophils, CD19⁺ B-cells, CD56⁺ natural killer cells, and, for comparison, whole blood. For the sake of simplicity, genes were considered »present« in each blood tissue type if at least one TF showed significant activity towards the gene.

TF activities were collected for all of the tissues and aggregated across all TFs per gene by summing. The resulting table of 15 032 genes in the seven tissues was used as input for the *Rtsne* function.²⁰⁷ t-SNE was computed using a range of perplexities and visualised as 2D map with a perplexity of 49 using R/ggplot2.²⁰³

4.3.2 An Atlas of Small RNA Expression in Cell Types of the Blood

To evaluate the cell type distribution of our small RNA molecules, we analysed a dataset deposited by Juzenas *et al.*,²⁰⁸ who separated and sequenced 450 samples comprising seven types of individual blood cell types (characterised by »cluster of differentiation«-type cell surface molecules), serum, exosomes, and whole blood (Figure 4.4 A). The individual blood cell types comprised CD4⁺ T-helper cells, CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cells, CD14⁺ monocytes, CD15⁺ neutrophils, CD19⁺ B-cells, CD56⁺ natural killer cells, and CD235a⁺ erythrocytes (the only distinct cell type not available in Marbach's regulatory circuits, since mature erythrocytes do not transcribe). Starting from the raw data deposited on NCBI GEO, we controlled the quality, applied quality-based filtering, and aligned the 450 samples to miRNA and tRF sequences, as described in Section 4.1.4. The original publication did not offer statistical analyses because of a failure in the spike-in procedure, and defined presence of a small RNA by a measure of at least five counts in 85% of samples. However, since this definition relies heavily on sequencing depth, and depth can vary widely even in methodically robust sequencing experiments




Figure 4.4: Small RNA Sequencing of Sorted Cell Types of the Blood and Definition of Presence/Absence. A) Data deposited by Juzenas *et al.*²⁰⁸ include smRNA-information on CD4⁺ T-helper cells, CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cells, CD14⁺ monocytes, CD15⁺ neutrophils, CD19⁺ B-cells, CD56⁺ natural killer cells, CD235a⁺ erythrocytes, serum, exosomes, and whole blood. Raw data were re-analysed to yield miRNA- and tRF-expression levels. B) Definition of presence or absence of small RNA molecules from RNA-sequencing data is based on log-normality of count data, irrespective of expression levels. Log-normal distributions were calculated using the mean and standard deviation of expression levels (green lines) and compared to the actual distribution via the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Presence (upper example) was assumed at a relatively stringent level of $p > 0.1$. The red line indicates a power-law distribution in comparison (absence, lower example).

depending on a large number of variables (see Figure 3.5 C), we defined our own test for descriptive analysis of presence or absence of lowly expressed small RNAs in each of the sample types (Section 4.3.3).

4.3.3 Definition of Presence and Absence of Lowly Expressed smRNA Molecules

This definition comprises estimation of a log-normal distribution from a small RNA expression profile, and a statistical test to refute the null hypothesis that the distribution is in fact log-normal. The danger of evaluating true expression of lowly expressed smRNA molecules by a count-based threshold is the possibility of random reads resulting from degradation products of highly expressed RNA with similar sequence, and the amplification of noise. Both problems are exacerbated by an increase in sequencing depth. In today's RNA-seq technology, most chips can accommodate only a limited amount of samples compared to the amount of reads that can be generated. While this is not as problematic in cases of longer inserts and paired design, which is usually employed in large RNA-seq, in small RNA-seq this can lead to enormous overheads of reads. It is not uncommon to receive tens of millions of reads for each sample, which exceeds the recommended amount (of at least one million) by large margins.

Thus, there is the need to distinguish between degradation products of highly expressed RNA molecules or amplified noise and legitimate lowly expressed smRNA molecules (even more so since one of the smRNA species is a product of non-random tRNA degradation). The central assumption for our proposed method is: The expression pattern of legitimate smRNA molecules follows, as is common in biology, a normal distribution of some kind, or, for the discrete case, a normal poisson distribution. On the other hand, degradation products or noise would rather follow other, »non-biological« distributions, such as a uniform distribution or a monotonously decreasing power-law distribution such as the Pareto distribution. Thus, we chose

to statistically test each smRNA in each tissue type for the adherence to this criterion, by comparing the measured counts with a distribution function estimated based on the mean and standard deviation of the measured counts. During testing, we found the log-normal distribution to give the best classification results.

The distribution mean and standard deviation of the expression values per cell type and smRNA were estimated using the *fitdist* function of the R/*fitdistrplus* package.²⁰⁹ The count distribution was then tested against a log-normal distribution with the estimated mean and standard deviation via the R implementation of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, with a cutoff of 0.1. The small RNA was defined as present if the test failed to reject the null hypothesis (Figure 4.4 B).

Analysis of Expression Patterns and Establishment of Virtual Tissues

The distribution of smRNA expression across the different cell types was used to assign eight functional compartments (i.e., »virtual tissues«) to the entirety of detected fragments such that each smRNA was sorted into one of the tissue classes. Ideally, these classes would be unambiguous, i.e., there would be no overlap of smRNA molecules between the classes. Eight classes were created via hierarchical clustering of miRNA and tRF expression separately (Figure 4.5), and then used in combination with t-SNE applied to the entire expression matrix, to visualise the compartmentalisation of smRNAs in these virtual tissues. The samples taken from stroke patients in the PREDICT study were sequenced from whole blood, which precludes direct information about tissue distribution. Thus, the two-dimensional maps from t-SNE visualisation were used to, first, explore the tissue association of smRNAs differentially expressed in whole blood samples of stroke patients, and second, examine the potential impact of cholinergic-associated smRNAs in these tissues.

4.3.4 LARGE RNA EXPRESSION PATTERNS IDENTIFY CHOLINERGIC SYSTEMS IN CD14⁺ MONOCYTES

The expression patterns of 15 032 large RNA molecules in blood-borne immune cells were visualised in a t-SNE-derived 2D map (Figure 4.6 A). More than half of all transcripts show highest expression in whole blood (7533, not shown), so subsequent analyses were performed on the set of six tissues, without the whole blood compartment. In this set (14 280 genes), most transcripts show highest expression in CD14⁺ monocytes (9125 transcripts), followed by CD19⁺ B-cells (1176) and CD15⁺ neutrophils (1166). Remaining are CD4⁺ T-helper cells (1092), CD56⁺ NK-cells (948), and CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cells (773). When filtered for cholinergic genes, there is visible enrichment of core cholinergic transcripts in a spatial sub-compartment of CD14⁺ monocytes (Figure 4.6 B). Considering the different monocyte phenotypes (pro- and anti-inflammatory, see Section 1.2.5), and their implied transcriptomic differences, which most likely are brought on by divergent TF activity, this compartmentalisation of cholinergic transcripts inside one spatial sub-compartment may indicate a cholinergic »preference« in favour of one particular monocyte phenotype.




Figure 4.5: Functional Characterisation of Hierarchical Clusters in Blood Cell Small RNA Expression. Information on presence/absence of miRNAs and tRFs in the tissue types analysed in Juzenas *et al.*²⁰⁸ were hierarchically clustered into 8 clusters using the Ward method,²¹⁰ and plotted on a heatmap (single smRNAs on the y-axis, tissue types on the x-axis). To assign meaning to these clusters, manual inspection was followed by annotation of enrichment in tissue types. Complex combinations were approximated by their most prominent features. **A)** Clusters of miRNA presence/absence in blood cell compartments. Clearest cluster association was shown by miRNAs expressed only in monocytes (»mono«), in all blood-borne immune cells except neutrophils (»immune«), ubiquitously without exception (»ubi«), and in whole blood but not any of the single compartments (»whole-blood«). **B)** Clusters of tRF presence/absence in blood cell compartments. Clearest cluster association was shown by tRFs expressed only in monocytes (»mono«), and in all blood-borne immune cells except neutrophils (»immune«). The other tissue-related clusters were not as clear as in the miRNA expression data, indicating a looser association to cell type of tRNA-derived smRNAs.

4.3.5 IDENTIFICATION OF FUNCTIONAL ENRICHMENT OF smRNA EXPRESSION IN BLOOD-BORNE CELLS

To date, there is no comprehensive expression catalogue of smRNA species expression in the tissue types of the human body that is comparable to what has been achieved in the description of large RNA. To classify the detected smRNAs in a manner specific to tissues in human blood, we utilised a dataset published by Juzenas *et al.*,²⁰⁸ who describe miRNA expression in a variety of blood tissues. We re-analysed the publicly deposited data for miRNA and tRF expression, and developed our own method of defining »presence« of the smRNA in each tissue type based on the evaluation of a log-normal distribution model (instead of using a simple count threshold, see Section 4.3.2 for details).

Using these presence/absence data, we first utilised hierarchical clustering to establish »virtual tissues« that could be assigned to each smRNA (Figure 4.5) for later evaluation in the stroke patient sequencing. Both miRNAs as well as tRFs showed a number of smRNAs clearly associated with several compartments, whereas other compartments and smRNAs were distributed in a more complex




Figure 4.6: Large RNA Expression Patterns in Blood-Borne Cells. Expression derived from transcriptional activity in blood-borne cell types in the Marbach dataset¹¹⁸ was visualised via t-SNE. The input matrix comprised all 14 280 detected genes in 6 types of blood-borne immune cells. Genes were plotted on the first two t-SNE dimensions and coloured by the cell type of their highest expression, i.e., the highest cumulative transcriptional activity of all active TFs. **A)** Complete t-SNE shows a gradient of expression across the different cell types, with much expression in CD14⁺ monocytes and T-cells. **B)** Highlighting of cholinergic core genes reveals an enrichment in close compartments of CD14⁺ monocytes. The vesicular ACh-transporter SLC18A3 can serve as substitute for the main cholinergic marker, CHAT, as discussed in Section 2.2.3.

manner. The ten tissue types of the Juzenas *et al.*²⁰⁸ study were equally parted into two five-tissue superclusters by the expression patterns of both smRNA species (Figures 4.5 A&B, x-axis). These two clusters distinguish immune from non-immune compartments in the blood, but for one notable exception: while the »immune supercluster« comprises monocytes, T-cells, B-cells, and NK-cells, the »non-immune supercluster« contains neutrophils in addition to erythrocytes and the non-cellular tissues serum, exosomes, and whole blood. Notably, the neutrophil samples cluster closest to the whole blood compartment in both smRNA species.

Two distinct virtual tissues showed high consistency in both smRNA species: a virtual tissue containing only CD14⁺ monocytes and another tissue comprising all studied cellular immune components except neutrophils (i.e., monocytes, B-cells, both types of T-cells, and NK-cells). miRNAs (Figure 4.5 A), in addition, yield clear clusters for miRNAs expressed in whole blood, and for miRNAs expressed ubiquitously without exception. In tRFs (Figure 4.5 B), the general picture is more complex, as the clusters are often mixed.

4.3.6 EXPRESSION PATTERNS OF DIFFERENTIALLY EXPRESSED AND CHOLINERGIC-ASSOCIATED SMRNAs

Similarly to the visualisation of large RNA molecules, the expression patterns of 600 miRNAs and 1671 tRFs in ten tissues of the blood were visualised in t-SNE-derived 2D maps (Figure 4.7 A&B).

In the initial visualisation, the forming of multiple clusters according to some virtual tissues can be observed, while other virtual tissues are visibly more dispersed. Clearest clusters are formed in both cases by ubiquitously expressed smRNAs (»ubi«), smRNAs expressed only in monocytes (»mono«), and smRNAs equally expressed in all immune-related blood-borne cells except for neutrophils (»immune«). Examination of DE smRNAs on this 2D map shows a further parallel between miRNAs and tRFs (Figure 4.7 C&D): differential expression after stroke takes place in all compartments of the blood, and highest changes in transcript amount (as measured by count-change) are observed in ubiquitously expressed smRNAs. Similarly, cholinergic-associated (CA) miRNAs and tRFs (Figure 4.7 E&F) are observed in all compartments, but the most highly differentially regulated CA smRNAs are expressed in all blood compartments alike. Notably, whole blood does not play a role in DE miRNAs, which may indicate lower relative importance of non-cellular blood compartments in terms of classification. In other words, most smRNAs that are found in non-cellular compartments are found in the cellular compartments as well, making them irrelevant for classification (however, their biological function in these non-cellular compartments remains a matter of interest).

On the other hand, smRNAs that are ubiquitously expressed are also detected in differential expression with high frequency and perturbation (see Figure 4.7 C&D). In addition to a putatively significant biological function of these smRNAs, this may also indicate a covariation of broadness of expression with detection in whole blood differential expression. Presenting an important limitation, this possibility cannot be assessed in the present data, because it requires a stratification of blood tissues prior to sequencing, for instance via fluorescence-assisted or magnetic-activated cell sorting (FACS/MACS). This issue is further discussed in Section 5.1.5.

4.4. REGULATORY CIRCUITS OF SMALL RNA AND TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS IN CD14⁺ MONOCYTES

The clear separation of CD14⁺-biased smRNAs (Figure 4.5) and the cholinergic importance of CD14⁺ monocytes as shown by large RNA t-SNE (Figure 4.6) merit a detailed analysis of these cells in terms of their transcriptomic interactions. We thus created the whole-transcriptome network of transcription factors, miRNAs, tRFs, and target genes in CD14⁺ monocytes using *miRNeo*, and analysed it in different ways.

4.4.1 Comprehensive Circuit Network Creation

The comprehensive transcriptomic network in CD14⁺ monocytes was created in a two-step process of *miRNeo* targeting. First, the complete TF→gene network was created from the targeting data derived from Marbach




Figure 4.7: Small RNA Expression Patterns in Blood-Borne Cells. Two-dimensional expression maps were created using t-SNE on the full numeric expression data derived from re-analysis of the Juzenas *et al.*²⁰⁸ data set for miRNAs and tRFs separately. Single smRNAs (points) were coloured by the virtual tissues derived from the cluster heatmap analysis (Figure 4.5). Node size reflects absolute count change in **C**, **D**, **E**, and **F**. Shown are full data, differentially expressed (DE) smRNAs, and cholinergic-associated (CA) smRNAs for each species. **A)** Full t-SNE visualisation of miRNA expression. The largest 2D-associative clusters are comprised of the clearest presence/absence virtual tissues, monocytes (yellow) and ubiquitously expressed (orange). Smaller clusters can be identified for the tissue of all immune cells except neutrophils (green) and the complex cluster of immune cells including neutrophils and whole blood (turquoise). **B)** Full t-SNE visualisation of tRF expression. The largest 2D-associative clusters are, as in miRNAs, comprised of the clearest presence/absence virtual tissues, monocytes (brown) and immune cells except neutrophils (pink). A smaller cluster can be identified for ubiquitously expressed tRFs (orange). **C)** miRNAs DE after stroke are ubiquitously expressed in all virtual tissues. Highest differential expression is seen in the »ubi« cluster. **D)** Likewise, tRFs DE after stroke are ubiquitously expressed in all virtual tissues, and highest differential expression is seen in the »ubi« cluster. **E)** CA miRNAs are enriched in the lower quadrants of the 2D map, particularly in the clusters associated with ubiquitous expression (»ubi«, »ubi-checker«). **F)** CA tRFs show a similar distribution, skewed towards virtual tissues with ubiquitous expression. This may indicate covariation of detection with broadness of expression (see text and Section 5.1.5).

*et al.*¹¹⁸, yielding a CD14-specific network comprising 616 TFs with activity towards 13 447 transcripts, in 318 731 unique interactions. Second, this network was then subjected to successive *miRNeo* targeting of all transcripts in the network by miRNAs and tRFs.

For each node fulfilling an active role in this network (i.e., miRNAs, tRFs, and TFs), an activity parameter was computed. The activity of each node is hereby defined as the sum of all scores of each of its targeting relationships. In the case of miRNAs, the score is the summary score introduced in Section 2.2.4; for tRFs, it is the score calculated with the BL-PCT method (see Section 2.2.6); and for TFs, it is the transcriptional activity given by Marbach and colleagues.¹¹⁸ Activities were normalised, for each biotype separately, by scaling the calculated values v onto a range between 0 and 1, using

$$v_{i,norm} = \frac{v_i}{\max(v)}$$

with $\max(v)$ being the maximum of all scores in this biotype category, and all $v > 0$. The activity of each relationship determined the weight of the edge between the two connected nodes.

The network was visualised in gephi,¹⁷¹ omitting all non-TF genes, and using ForceAtlas2 to generate a force-directed 2D map of smRNA→TF interactions in CD14⁺ monocytes. Network modularity was calculated using the function included in gephi,²¹¹ with a resolution of 2.0, to yield two distinct modularity classes of predominant regulation by either miRNAs or tRFs. The associations of TFs to the tRF- and miRNA-regulated modules were used to perform subsequent analyses of the distinct modules.

4.4.2 Gene Ontology Analyses of TF→Gene Networks of CD14⁺ Monocytes

The TF→gene networks of each of the two modules derived from smRNA species association (miRNAs versus tRFs) were analysed using topGO¹⁶⁹ essentially as described in Section 3.5.3. Genes were ordered according to the cumulative activity of TF targeting of each gene in CD14⁺ monocytes. To display a range of top genes, transcript background was iterated in five equal steps from 1000 transcripts to the maximum size of target transcripts in each network (12 927 for miRNA-targeted TFs, 12 904 for tRF-targeted TFs). The test set was the top 10% of transcripts for each background size. GO terms were collected and screened for multiple entries among the sets. The most prevalent terms were used to infer the functional roles of miRNA- and tRF-targeted transcription factors. We determined the overlap of GO terms between both smRNA species as well as the terms exclusive to either.

4.4.3 DICHOTOMY OF SMALL RNA TARGETING OF TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS IN CD14⁺ MONOCYTES

Organisation of the smRNA→TF network via a force-directed algorithm resulted in visible clustering of two distinct subnetworks, that are governed by miRNAs and tRFs, respectively (Figure 4.8). Inside this network, 10 TFs were found DE in patient blood after stroke (Figure 4.8 A). Calculation of modularity clearly divided the network into TFs primarily influenced by miRNAs and TFs primarily influenced by tRFs (Figure 4.8 B). Based on these two sets of TFs, two distinct TF→gene

networks were created: 289 miRNA-biased TFs with 152 649 unique TF→gene targeting relationships, and 280 tRF-biased TFs with 163 641 unique TF→gene targeting relationships.

4.4.4 GRADUAL SHIFT IN CONTROL OVER TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS BY MI RNAs AND tRFs

356 TFs were detected in the stroke patient blood sequencing experiment. It is notable that, although the complete graph shows clear segregation between miRNA-targeted and tRF-targeted transcripts, merely 106 of those TFs are targeted by only one of the two smRNA species (48 only by miRNAs and 58 only by tRFs), and 55 are supposedly not at all targeted by any smRNA present in CD14⁺ cells. The remaining 195 TFs are putative targets of both smRNA species (Figure 4.8 C).

At an alpha level of 0.1 for the differential expression between stroke patients and controls, 26 of these TFs remain, also showing a gradual pattern of targeting by miRNAs and tRFs (Figure 4.8 D). Six of these transcription factors are implicated in the control of cholinergic core or receptor genes (marked with a »C«). It is notable that a number of TFs show no indication of being a target of either smRNA species present in CD14⁺ cells under the premises of our targeting approach (Figure 4.8 E). Considering the multiple-targeting behaviour of smRNAs, and the general experience that non-targeted genes are uncommon, this finding is interesting in itself, particularly since it involves well-described regulators of immunological processes, such as *STAT2* and *ELF1*.

The *STAT* family of transcription factors is an interesting example in this analysis. The cholinergic/neurokine interface is facilitated by JAK/STAT signalling, in which neurokine receptors can activate the pathway through STAT1, STAT3, and STAT5A/B phosphorylation.¹ There are three differentially expressed STATs in our data set: STAT1 is down-regulated (highest absolute count-change of all TFs), targeted preferentially by tRFs (tRF fraction = 82.1%), and directly associated with cholinergic genes in CD14⁺ monocytes (»C«); STAT5B is up-regulated (second highest count-change of all TFs), preferentially targeted by miRNAs (tRF fraction = 37.5%), and not directly associated with cholinergic genes in CD14⁺ cells; and STAT2 is also down-regulated (third highest absolute count-change of all TFs), does not directly associate with cholinergic genes, and additionally is not predicted to be targeted by any smRNA present in CD14⁺ monocytes, although it is expressed and induced by interferons in these cells.²¹²

4.4.5 DICHOTOMOUS TRANSCRIPTOMIC FOOTPRINTS OF TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS IN CD14⁺ MONOCYTES

To determine the putative effect of TF regulation by each smRNA species, we evaluated the potential impact of the TFs most targeted by either miRNAs or tRFs (i.e., the two modules from Figure




Figure 4.8: Small RNA Targeting of Transcription Factors in CD14⁺ Monocytes. The two-dimensional map of all TFs active in CD14⁺ monocytes and their smRNA controllers was created via *miRNeo* targeting and visualisation via force-directed algorithm. Node size is determined by activity (see Section 4.4.1). **A)** Nodes coloured by biotype: miRNAs - green, tRFs - purple, TFs - yellow, differentially expressed (DE) TFs - red. TFs targeted mainly by tRFs segregate visually from TFs targeted mainly by miRNAs. Both sets contain DE TFs, indicating complementary function. **B)** The network was divided into two modules by network connectivity measures. Node colour denotes modularity class association. Network modularity largely reflects TF targeting of tRFs (orange) versus miRNAs (blue). **C)** Bar graph displays the fraction of smRNAs of each species targeting each of the TFs (on the y-axis, 301 TFs). A tRF fraction of 1 means all smRNAs targeting the TF are tRFs, 0 means all are miRNAs. Displayed on x axis is »tRF fraction - 0.5« to centre on 50:50 targeting by both species. 48 TFs are solely targeted by miRNAs (leftmost) and 58 solely by tRFs (rightmost). **D)** Similarly, TFs differentially expressed in the blood of stroke victims show a distribution on the miRNA-tRF gradient. Point size denotes count-change, point colour denotes direction of change; a »C« denotes the TF as targeting cholinergic core and receptor genes. **E)** Notably, there is also a set of TFs that are supposedly not targeted by any smRNA present in CD14⁺ cells.

4.8 B). The top 10% of TF targets in CD14⁺ monocytes (derived from Marbach *et al.*¹¹⁸) were subjected to iterative GO analysis (see Section 4.4.2). Assuming a general effect of repression in tRF-targeted TFs (because the majority of DE tRFs are up-regulated), and a general de-repression in the set of miRNA-targeted TFs (because most DE miRNAs are down-regulated), the putative functional effects of changes in smRNA levels can be described by GO enrichment analysis of these two test sets. Although this is a very rough categorisation, it may help in classifying the areas of influence shared between the two smRNA species, or exclusive to either.

GO TERM OVERLAP BETWEEN MIRNA- AND tRF-TARGETED TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS

If we assume the functions associated with TF→gene interaction (the »footprint«) in each sub-network under miRNA or tRF control as an indication of the sphere of (most) influence of this smRNA species, the GO terms associated with both can give an indication of their overlapping functions. Further assuming the simplified scenario of dominating de-repression in miRNA-controlled transcripts, and dominating repression in tRF-controlled transcripts, this set of overlapping function is the set where a homeostasis is met by the cooperation of miRNAs and tRFs, or where, upon perturbations such as stroke, a shift in the balance between the two smRNA species can alter the physiological response to the stimulus.

We found 39 significant GO terms to overlap between multiple sets of miRNA- and tRF-targeted transcription factors, almost exclusively comprised of immunity-related terms. Seven terms were found with adjusted p-value < 0.001, namely: neutrophil chemotaxis (p = 1.3E-04), regulation of myeloid leukocyte differentiation (2.6E-04), positive regulation of cold-induced thermogenesis (2.8E-04), negative regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade (3.0E-04), regulation of type 2 immune response (4.9E-04), regulation of antigen receptor-mediated signalling pathway (5.0E-04), and negative regulation of IFN- γ production (5.9E-04). Further terms included positive regulation of CD4⁺, alpha-beta T cell activation (p = 0.0013), monocyte chemotaxis (0.0018), negative regulation of immune response (0.0021), response to hypoxia (0.0041), positive regulation of cytokine secretion (0.0049), and parasympathetic nervous system development (0.0051).

FUNCTIONS DISTINGUISHING BETWEEN MIRNA- AND tRF-TARGETED TRANSCRIPTION FACTORS

After removal of the overlapping GO terms between miRNA- and tRF-targeted transcription factors, the remaining miRNA- and tRF-associated sets were examined to assess their differences. In the following, only terms which had been found in at least two steps of the five-step iterative process

are considered. Terms found in both sets that were not identical but very similar were also removed from the analysis.

Transcription factors from the module targeted preferentially by miRNAs (Figure 4.8 B, blue) are active towards genes that implicate the following biological processes; several terms showed adjusted p-values below 0.001: response to TNF ($p = 1.2E-04$), erythrocyte differentiation ($2.6E-04$), cellular response to cytokine stimulus ($3.5E-04$), positive regulation of cytokine production ($3.8E-04$), positive regulation of myeloid cell differentiation ($3.9E-04$), regulation of IL-12 production ($4.9E-04$), positive regulation of leukocyte chemotaxis ($6.5E-04$), regulation of cellular response to insulin ($6.6E-04$), negative regulation of T cell mediated immunity ($8.1E-04$). Other terms include: regulation of macrophage activation (0.0021), response to LPS (0.0045), negative regulation of haematopoiesis (0.006), regulation of production of interleukins 1, 6, 12, 13, and 17 (all $p < 0.005$). These processes may, simply, be seen as amplified, since the general down-regulation of miRNAs would lead to a de-repression of their targets. However, in many cases of »regulation«, no direction is implied.

The processes regulated exclusively by miRNA-regulated TFs in this scenario thus are mainly related to pro-inflammatory events, more specifically, innate immune response, mediated by interferons and pro-inflammatory interleukins. An amplification of pro-inflammatory innate responses is contrasted by a reduction in haematopoiesis and T cell-mediated reactions.

Transcription factors from the module targeted preferentially by tRFs (Figure 4.8 B, orange) are active towards genes that implicate the following processes; several terms showed adjusted p-values below 0.001: negative regulation of apoptotic process ($1.3E-04$), negative regulation of coagulation ($1.9E-04$), positive regulation of haematopoiesis ($1.9E-04$), regulation of IFN- γ production ($2.5E-04$), positive regulation of angiogenesis ($2.7E-04$), IL-4 production ($4.1E-04$), nuclear pore organisation ($4.5E-04$), monocyte differentiation ($5.0E-04$), leukocyte migration ($5.2E-04$), and T cell cytokine production ($6.4E-04$). Other terms include: negative regulation of NIK/NF- κ B signalling (0.0016), macrophage differentiation (0.0030), lymphocyte activation involved in immune response (0.0032), negative regulation of leukocyte mediated immunity (0.0043), negative regulation of neuron death (0.0052), monocyte differentiation (0.0062), negative regulation of insulin receptor signalling pathway (0.013), natural killer cell activation (0.017), positive regulation of STAT cascade (0.019), sensory perception of pain (0.026), CD8⁺, alpha-beta T cell activation (0.043), production of interleukins 2, 4, 6 (all $p < 0.005$). These processes, as opposed to the miRNA-associated processes, may be seen as attenuated, since a strong trend towards up-regulation is seen in tRFs; this again holds true only for terms where a direction is implicit or explicitly described.

The processes regulated exclusively by tRF-targeted TFs refer to apoptosis, coagulation, angiogenesis, myeloid leukocyte regulation, and interleukin/STAT signalling. Processes amplified via the

putative de-repression include apoptosis, coagulation, NIK/NF- κ B signalling, leukocyte activity and differentiation, and insulin-mediated signalling. Negatively impacted processes include haematopoiesis, angiogenesis, STAT signalling, and IL-2 production.

Immediately comparing miRNA- and tRF-associated processes, there are multiple functional overlaps even in the set curated to show only exclusive terms for either smRNA species. Specifically, the perturbations in both species seem to up-regulate innate immune response, particularly via INFs, TNF, interleukins, and myeloid leukocytes. Additionally, the perturbations in both species seem to have an additive suppressive effect on haematopoiesis and T cell activation.

4.5. FEEDFORWARD LOOPS OF SMALL AND LARGE RNA

To delve deeper into the transcriptional cooperation between small RNAs, transcription factors, and the genes they target, feedforward loops including all three actors can be of analytical use. Briefly, a feedforward loop (FFL) describes a constellation of three entities (X , Y , and Z), in which one entity (X) has control over an intermediate (Y), and both control the outcome of the ultimate (Z).²¹³ Since data on TF control over smRNAs is scarce, only cases of $X = \text{smRNA}$, $Y = \text{TF}$, $Z = \text{gene}$ can be realistically evaluated. FFLs can be further distinguished: a coherent FFL describes the case of regulation by X and Y towards Z in a similar direction (i.e., amplification, Figure 4.9 A), while in an incoherent FFL, X and Y influence Z in opposite directions (attenuation, Figure 4.9 B). While the latter is unintuitive at first sight, it can serve a multitude of meaningful functions in a cellular context, such as noise reduction, reduction of cross-contamination, or increase of temporal resolution.²¹⁴




Figure 4.9: Small RNA Feedforward Loop Theory. The figure represents the two cases of smRNA FFLs most accessible to current analysis methods, i.e., the cases of smRNA (X) targeting of TF (Y) and ultimate gene (Z). **A)** Basic coherent smRNA FFL. The smRNA (X) inhibits both the TF as well as the ultimate gene target. Since the TF (Y) is an activator of target gene (Z) expression, smRNA regulation has the same direct and indirect effect on ultimate gene expression. **B)** Basic incoherent smRNA FFL. The smRNA (X) has a direct suppressive effect on the target gene (Z), but the simultaneous suppression of the TF (Y), which in turn represses the target gene (Z), leads to elevation of target gene expression, ameliorating or even inverting the direct effect.

In the following, the principle of feedforward loops will be applied to transcriptomic and ontological analyses of gene expression in blood-borne cells after stroke. Pathways of co-regulated

transcripts and their TFs will be identified by modularisation of a comprehensive FFL network in CD14⁺ monocytes. The additional information leveraged from FFL-implied pathways will be ordered and interpreted based on previous studies on the identified pivotal actors.

4.5.1 Feedforward Loop Creation

Starting from the set of differentially expressed TFs ($p < 0.05$) active in CD14⁺ monocytes (as seen in Figure 4.8), single feedforward loops (FFLs) of smRNAs (miRNA or tRF), TFs, and genes were detected using *miRNeo* (as described in Query 2.5, Section 2.3). FFLs were created CD14⁺ monocyte-specific by using only TF activity from these cells and by removal of any smRNAs not detected in CD14⁺ cells in the Juzenas *et al.*²⁰⁸ data set. Additionally, because of the high amount of TF→gene relationships in CD14⁺ cells, TF relationships were filtered for the 10% with highest activity.

4.5.2 Visualisation and Modularisation

The network of all smRNAs, TFs, and genes included in these FFLs was visualised in gephi¹⁷¹ as a two-dimensional force-directed map, using the ForceAtlas2 algorithm. At initial network creation, tRFs were represented by the seeds included in their sequences, which were later associated with the mature tRFs. Using a community detection algorithm,²¹¹ the network was sub-classified into five module classes (using edge weights and a resolution of 1.5, Modularity coefficient = 0.482).

4.5.3 Module-specific Functions via GO Analysis

The module classification was reimported into R, and using R/topGO,¹⁶⁹ the functions of individual submodules were assessed by testing the significantly differentially expressed genes (adjusted $p < 0.05$) from each module against a background of 2000 randomly selected genes. Significant terms were manually screened, and differentially expressed genes were extracted from the test data for relevant terms.

4.5.4 FEEDFORWARD LOOP NETWORK OF CD14⁺ MONOCYTES

The complete FFL network of TFs DE in stroke patient blood ($p < 0.05$) was created using *miRNeo* and visualised in gephi. In total, 195 043 unique FFLs were discovered, 193 803 containing miRNAs, and only 1240 containing tRFs. After filtering of the top 10% of TF→gene relationships by activity, 19 309 miRNA- and 169 tRF-FFLs remained. These FFLs constitute a network of 2628 nodes and 22 456 edges (Figure 4.10). Community detection²¹¹ resulted in a sub-classification of nodes into five distinct modules, which were subsequently analysed for their functions using GO.

The reasoning behind this approach is to explain in more detail the findings of GO enrichment analysis of the differentially expressed large transcripts (compare Section 4.2.2), and to more closely define the pathways implicated in the context of smRNA regulatory modules. In applying feedforward loops, there may be an increase in identification of biologically relevant pathways, as opposed

to network creation by TF→gene and smRNA→gene relationships alone. Notably, there was no cross-talk of modules across significant GO terms; if a term was found significant in GO enrichment analysis of a module, all genes associated with the term were located in the same module. The following paragraphs will attempt to interpret the terms associated with each module to shed some light on the distribution of their functions and possible inter-module cooperations.




Figure 4.10: Complete Feedforward Loop Network of Differentially Expressed Transcription Factors in CD14⁺ Monocytes. Feedforward loops (FFLs) were created by *miRNeo* query of transcriptional interaction of transcription factors (TFs), miRNAs, and tRFs towards all genes, but limited to FFLs of which the regulatory elements (TFs and smRNAs) were present and active in CD14⁺ monocytes, and additionally filtered for the top 10% TF→gene relationships by activity. The resulting network was assembled in a force-directed 2D-projection and analysed to yield modularity information. The algorithm identified 5 distinct modules of small and large RNA FFLs, indicated by colours and numbers in circles. TFs differentially expressed in stroke patient blood are marked with a name tag (node size denotes differential expression count-change of TFs).

Particular attention was paid to GO terms indicating a direction (e.g., »positive regulation«), which in combination with the direction of differential expression of the implicated genes may give an indication of the tangible effect of module gene regulation after stroke. When writing of »mod-

ule genes«, differential expression (adjusted p-value < 0.05) of these genes in stroke patient blood is always implied.

MODULE ONE

GO enrichment analysis of significantly DE genes from module one resulted in 16 GO terms from 35 DE genes. The most significant biological process governed by module one is »negative regulation of transcription« with eight significant genes (SGs) and an adjusted p-value of 1.6E-04. The second- and third-most significant terms indicate an influence on apoptotic processes (p = 0.0021 and 0.0049) with three SGs, which are a subset of genes from the first term, *SP100*, *SKIL*, and *ATF3*. Further, module one genes are implicated in positive regulation of GTPase activity (5 SGs, p = 0.0069), epithelial cell differentiation (5 SGs, p = 0.0088), cellular response to IFN- γ (2 SGs, p = 0.024), platelet degranulation (2 SGs, p = 0.033), and cellular response to IL-1 (2 SGs, p = 0.049).

Apart from *SP100* (major constituent of PML-SP100 bodies, see module three), *SKIL* (part of SMAD pathway, regulating cell growth and differentiation), and *ATF3* (member of the broadly acting CREB family of transcription factors), frequently implicated genes include *CCL2* (chemokine ligand for CCR2, exhibits chemotactic activity for monocytes²¹⁵) and *CAMK1* (broadly acting calmodulin-dependent protein kinase, involved e.g. in the ERK cascade). All above SGs are down-regulated in stroke patient blood (own results).

SP100 is induced by IFNs, presents with potent antiviral and tumour suppressor effects, and has been shown to induce apoptosis via the extrinsic pathway together with *FLASH* or caspase-2 and p53 in *PML* nuclear bodies (see module three).²¹⁶ A reduction of *SP100* expression would thus likely lead to inhibition of apoptotic events. Histone deacetylase (*HDAC*) inhibition (compare module five) suppresses the IFN-mediated *SP100* up-regulation.²¹⁷ Recently, *SP100*, *STAT1* (compare module three), and *KLF4* (compare module two) were found to be co-elevated in the peripheral monocytes of tobacco-smoking (but not in non-smoking) HIV-positive patients and associated with an increased depressive index.²¹⁸

SKIL, also known as SnoN, is known as a pro-apoptotic mediator that can bind and activate p53, and is targeted by mir-30 family members, which can ameliorate its apoptotic effect.²¹⁹ Conversely, Smad3, a pro-apoptotic protein, is repressed in synergy by *STAT3* and the *SKIL* paralog c-Ski.²²⁰ A recent study has identified *SKIL* at the heart of a feedforward loop including *EGR1* and hsa-miR-124-3p in the blood of schizophrenia patients, which showed a down-regulation of *EGR1* and *SKIL*, and concomitant up-regulation of miR-124-3p.²²¹ However, in post-stroke whole blood, *EGR1* and miR-124-3p are unchanged (own results).

ATF3 also shows a pro-apoptotic effect, it can be activated by stress mediator p38 MAPK;²²² reduction of *ATF3* in a cell model via siRNA interference reduced apoptosis.²²³ *ATF3* is a key regulator of macrophage IFN responses, it represses pro-inflammatory pathways (e.g. IL-6, TLR), and is also induced by IFNs. Correspondingly, *ATF3*-deficient mice are more susceptible to endotoxin-mediated shock by cytokine overproduction. *ATF3* and *CXCL10* (compare module two) are co-induced by IFNs.²²⁴ *ATF3* sustains *STAT3* phosphorylation through inhibition of phosphatases, and thus amplifies IL6-gp130-STAT3 signalling.²²⁵ *ATF3* down-regulates *ACHE* expression during stress by binding to the consensus recognition site of cyclic-AMP responsive element binding (CREB) proteins, »TGACGTCA«. In this case, a non-enzymatic, pro-apoptotic function of *ACHE* is stipulated.²²⁶ Similarly, *ATF3* attenuates hypoxia-induced apoptosis by down-regulating the expression of the pro-apoptotic factor carboxyl-terminal modulator protein (CTMP), via binding to the ATF/CREB site in the CTMP-promoter.²²⁷

Module one genes, which decrease translational activity, are in the majority down-regulated, which may indicate a positive influence on transcriptional mechanisms, in line with the facilitation of a response to the insult. Given the uncertainty of whether the transcription factors of module one act as activators or repressors (FANTOM5 data only supplies binding probability, not mode of action), the real picture likely is more complicated, with mixed outcomes in expression regulation. A major component of module one processes is apoptotic signalling, which the top three (down-regulated) genes, *SP100*, *SKIL*, and *ATF3*, are all involved in. All three genes are oncogenes, and as such involved in cell cycle control. While module one genes present a complex regulatory picture, the function of the three most-involved genes may be summarised as pro-apoptotic; their consistent down-regulation in patient blood after stroke thus implies an inhibition of apoptotic processes inside module one. Additionally, *SP100* and *ATF3* present with clear ties to cholinergic processes, in their involvement with neurokinins and STATs, association with nicotine consumption, and the attenuation of *ACHE* expression.

MODULE TWO

Module two, being the largest module, predictably also offers most process-related terms (50 GO terms, 34 SGs), of which most are related to immunity or basic processes of cell physiology. For the sake of clarity, immunity-related terms will be explored first. Module two genes most significantly participate in regulation of response to cytokine stimulus (4 SGs, $p = 2.9E-04$), response to LPS (5 SGs, $p = 5.6E-04$), inflammatory response (6 SGs, $p = 0.0015$), and T- and B-cell differentiation (2 SGs each, $p = 0.036$ and 0.041). The highest DE genes from module two involved in these processes are *SORL1* (a known regulator of neurokinin signalling), *STAT5B*, the IL-10 receptor α , *PLXNB2*

(involved in cell migration), *JAK2*, the transcription factor *KLF4* (with many broad functions, but implicated in MAPK/ERK cascades and IL-4 mediated macrophage differentiation²²⁸), *PTPN2* (phosphatase involved in dephosphorylating JAKs and STATs), and *CXCL10* (pro-inflammatory chemokine ligand implicated in response to brain injury by activating microglia).

Non-immune physiological terms refer to regulation of cell migration (8 SGs, $p = 1.4E-04$), negative regulation of MAPK cascade (4 SGs, $p = 4.7E-04$), cellular response to peptide (5 SGs, $p = 9.5E-04$), nucleus organisation (3 SGs, $p = 0.0010$), erythrocyte differentiation (3 SGs, $p = 0.0010$), negative regulation of cell adhesion (4 SGs, $p = 0.0015$), regulation of ERK cascade (3 SGs, $p = 0.0085$), regulation of angiogenesis (3 SGs, $p = 0.013$), regulation of cold-induced thermogenesis (2 SGs, $p = 0.031$) and several more basic processes. Many of these processes appear to be vital to the immune functions described above, as they share many of the most highly DE genes, such as *SORL1*, *STAT5B*, *PLXNB4*, *KLF4*, and *PTPN2*.

Module two includes several genes directly involved in the JAK/STAT immune response, such as *IL10RA*, *JAK2*, *STAT5B*, and *PTPN2*; module two genes are mostly down-regulated except for *SORL1* (which shows dramatic increase in terms of count-change) and *STAT5B* (own results).

SORL1 gives rise to the protein SorLA, synonymous with LR11, a transmembrane receptor that can interact with a wide variety of ligands intra- as well as extra-cellularly.²²⁹ SorLA is primarily known as the neuronal ApoE4 receptor, and thus widely associated with AD risk. Among other functions, it regulates APP trafficking and processing, and is significantly decreased in the AD-vulnerable regions of late-onset AD patients.²²⁹ However, in addition to its well-studied neuronal roles, it is highly expressed on the surface of monocytes and macrophages, and additionally up-regulated in acute myeloid leukaemia.²³⁰ *SORL1* binds many immune-related ligands such as the neurokine IL-6 and soluble neurokine receptors IL6R and CNTFR, mediating their cellular uptake. It associates with the transmembrane IL-6 receptor and reduces downstream effects via a reduction in STAT3 phosphorylation.²³¹ Conversely, while decreasing *cis* signalling as just described, *SORL1* may increase *trans* signalling, i.e., IL6 availability in the blood stream which then binds to the soluble IL6 receptor and can affect any cell possessing the ubiquitously distributed gp130.²³² It has been shown that overexpression of a soluble gp130 form can effectively suppress inflammation mediated by the soluble IL6 receptor without interfering with the function of the transmembrane IL6 receptor.²³²

PLXNB2 can promote inflammation via activation of the NF- κ B pathway.²³³ In addition, it prominently mediates a plethora of the functions of angiogenin (ANG): it is the receptor for ANG in physiological and pathological cells; ANG acts through *PLXNB2* on cell proliferation; *PLXNB2* modifies ANG RNA-processing activity and cell type specificity (see Section 1.3.3); and *PLXNB2* mediates neuroprotective effects of ANG.²³⁴ Moreover, *PLXNB2*-deficient macrophages showed

greater mobility and would healing capabilities than WT cells.²³⁵ A recent study shows its close association to inflammation-related circulatory events: upon inflammatory stimulation (using TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-4, and IL-6) of murine and human cells, endothelium-derived extracellular vesicles carrying miRNAs were released and taken up by monocytes causing decreased monocytic *PLXNB2* levels and increased splenic monocyte mobilisation.²³⁶ Twelve miRNAs were enriched in these vesicles, most of which show high differential expression in stroke patient blood (own results in brackets); these are: miR-632 (not detected in stroke), miR-126-3p (LFC = -1.12, p = 3.0E-11), miR-151a-3p (LFC = -2.37, p = 5.6E-22), miR-26b-5p (LFC = -1.17, p = 5.0E-05), miR-126-5p (LFC = -2.23, p = 5.2E-07), let-7a-5p (LFC = -0.54, p = 0.03), miR-1972 (not detected), miR-15b-5p (LFC = -0.85, p = 5.2E-07), miR-23a-3p (LFC = -1.68, p = 9.9E-16), miR-374b-5p (LFC = -1.85, p = 1.3E-05), miR-23b-3p (LFC = -1.73, p = 1.2E-17), and let-7b-5p (LFC = 0.73, p = 4.1E-06).

KLF4 has gained much attention since it was discovered to be one of the four factors for induction of pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs, induced by OCT3/4, SOX2, MYC, and *KLF4*).²³⁷ In macrophages (often using the model RAW264.7), *KLF4* controls activation in response to LPS stimulation by regulating, among others, NF- κ B, TGF- β , IL-1 β , and HMGB1, at least partly through Smad3 inhibition (compare module one).²³⁸⁻²⁴⁰ In monocytes, *KLF4* regulates differentiation towards a pro-inflammatory type of resident monocytes, such that *KLF4* KO mice completely lacked inflammatory monocytes in blood and spleen.²⁴¹⁻²⁴³

The protein tyrosine phosphatase *PTPN2* is implied in IL-1 β -mediated inflammation. Mice deficient of *PTPN2* die few weeks after birth because of anaemia, colitis, and severe systemic inflammation. Macrophages depleted of *PTPN2* show excessive inflammasome activation. *PTPN2* reduction leads to more general inflammation, but also less tumour susceptibility, likely because of a more efficient eradication of oncogenic cells.²⁴⁴ Increased inflammatory cascades following *PTPN2* reduction are likely caused by the decrease in *STAT1* and *STAT3* dephosphorylation, and the concomitant increase in STAT signalling (compare module five, *HDAC7*).²⁴⁵

In summary, module two genes seem to be responsible for facilitating an adequate immune response by regulating basic processes in order to enable immune cells to fulfil their functions (e.g., response to cytokines and cellular mobility). *SORL1* up-regulation suppresses *STAT3* activation, but putatively increases IL-6 availability in the blood stream, thereby pushing a whole-body immune activation. *PLXNB2* reproducibly is reduced in response to inflammatory signalling, which leads to higher-functioning monocytic cells. *PTPN2* reduction likewise is associated with a higher-functioning immune system and increased inflammatory cascades via an inhibition of *STAT* inactivation. *KLF4* reduction may indicate a pro-differentiation signal, generating mature immune cells to interfere with the infarction. In addition, *PLXNB2* interferes with angiogenin (ANG) function, and thus may directly impact tRF generation.

MODULE THREE

Module three, with 14 GO terms and 13 SGs, appears similar in principle to module two, although much fewer basic physiological terms are involved. This is explained by the smaller size of the test set; the ontologies for basic terms include substantially more genes, and the likelihood of significant enrichment in the test set is reciprocal to test set size. The most significant biological processes of module two genes involve cellular response to IFN- γ (3 SGs, $p = 1.6E-04$) and positive regulation of transcription (5 SGs, $p = 9.1E-04$), as opposed to negative regulation of transcription found in module one. Further, module three genes are involved in the cytokine-mediated signalling pathway (4 SGs, $p = 0.0018$), regulation of IFN production (2 SGs, $p = 0.0034$), JAK-STAT cascade (2 SGs, $p = 0.0048$), negative regulation of angiogenesis (2 SGs, $p = 0.0056$), regulation of innate immune response (2 SGs, $p = 0.035$), and positive regulation of cytokine production (2 SGs, $p = 0.048$). Most frequently occurring genes are *STAT1*, *PLSCR1* (implicated in amplification of IFN response), *PML* (also associated with IFN- and TNF-responses), and *IRF5* (implicated in TLR7/8-induced induction of IFNs and other pro-inflammatory cytokines). All SGs of module three are down-regulated in stroke patient blood (own results).

Phospholipid scramblase 1 (*PLSCR1*) is an oncogene implicated in cell cycle control, apoptosis, and mediation of antiviral response. While its mechanism of action is yet unexplained, the mature protein localises to the nucleus and has been shown to bind DNA.²⁴⁶ While it does not induce apoptosis on its own, its overexpression has inhibitory effects on several cell cycle controllers and anti-apoptotic proteins such as Bcl-2.²⁴⁷ *PLSCR1* participates in the antiviral response by potentiating IFN activity, which increases expression of a subset of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), including *STAT1*.²⁴⁸ It is expressed in human macrophages and monocytes, in which it is increased in systemic inflammatory conditions, and seems to also contribute to pro-thrombotic conditions.^{249,250}

Promyelocytic leukaemia protein (*PML*) is, together with *SP100* (see module one), the major constituent of PML nuclear bodies, that are also known as nuclear domain 10 (ND10). These small nuclear organelles are known for their peculiar and enigmatic function in antiviral response, which they seem to convey by a wide variety of molecular functions, from chromatin modification to physical trapping of virus particles.²⁵¹ Recently, however, they have also been connected to a direct regulation of innate immune responses. IFN therapy increases the expression of both *PML* and *SP100*, and enhances their antiviral activity.²⁵² Correspondingly, *PML* depletion reduces the capacity of IFNs to interfere with viral infection.²⁵³ *PML* has the capacity to modulate different stages of the pathway from IFNs through ISGs to the activation of STATs by associating with and stabilising transcription factor complexes, for instance by binding directly to *STAT1* and interferon regulatory factors (IRFs) (see below).²⁵⁴ The production of IL-1 β and IL-6 is significantly reduced

in *PML*-deficient cells;²⁵⁵ and correspondingly, *PML*-deficient mice are resistant to LPS-mediated lethality.²⁵⁶ This directly links to an epigenetic switch that leads to cellular transformation: inflammation, through NF- κ B, activates Lin28 and rapidly reduces let-7 miRNA levels. This leads to de-repression of the IL-6 mRNA, and the increased IL-6 peptide conveys cellular transformation through *STAT3* activation, as well as positive feedback by inducing NF- κ B synthesis.²⁵⁷

IRF5, a well-studied member of the Interferon Regulatory Factor transcription factor family, is an important element of most blood-borne immune cell types, particularly of macrophages and pro-inflammatory monocytes.²⁵⁸ It has been demonstrated that *IRF5* is involved in transcriptional induction of IL-6, IL-12, and TNF- α mediated by the toll-like receptor (TLR)/myeloid differentiation primary response 88 (MyD88) complex. *IRF5*-deficient mice also show resistance to LPS-mediated lethality (compare *PML/SP100*).²⁵⁹ While KO-mice showed severely impaired production of the aforementioned cytokines, IFN- α production was not impaired; the responsible interferon-stimulated response elements have yet to be determined.²⁵⁹ *IRF5* is highly expressed in monocytes and macrophages as well as B cells and dendritic cells, and its expression is induced by a pro-inflammatory environment.²⁶⁰ On the spectrum of macrophage states after differentiation, *IRF5* (together with *IRF1* and *IRF8*) is involved in the commitment to a pro-inflammatory (M1) phenotype (as opposed to the »wound healing« M2 type).²⁶¹ The M1 phenotype is brought about by increased activity of NF- κ B and STAT1, whereas the M2 counterpart is induced by IL-4-, IL-10-, and IL-13-mediated STAT3 and STAT6 signalling.²⁶² Molecular competition for MyD88 binding between *IRF5* and *IRF4* is crucial for the determination of pro- (*IRF5*) or anti-inflammatory (*IRF4*) differentiation.²⁶³ Thus, a down-regulation of *IRF5* together with unchanged levels of *IRF4*, as is the case in stroke patient blood (own results²), would lead to anti-inflammatory conditions in monocyte and macrophage populations. M1→M2 macrophage transition supports resolution of inflammation and tissue healing; reduction of *IRF5* expression in monocytes and macrophages via siRNA interference improved healing after myocardial infarctions and skin wounds in mice, in parallel with a reduction of the pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α .²⁶⁴

Module three genes (implicated in positive regulation of transcription, but all down-regulated) appear to act in partial opposition to module one genes (implicated in negative regulation of transcription, and all down-regulated), thus possibly being part of homeostatic events surrounding gene transcription in response to inflammatory events. Modules one and three also share GO terms involved in responses to, and production of, interferons and interleukins.

In summary, module three seems to be representative of immune suppression via several mechanisms; mediation of pro-inflammatory signalling via INFs is repressed, and *IRF5* suppression may induce an anti-inflammatory, pro-resolving differentiation of monocytes and macrophages; cyto-

kines and STAT signalling are likewise down-regulated; ND10 nuclear bodies are repressed. On the other hand, pro-apoptotic signalling may be de-repressed via PLSCR1 reduction.

MODULE FOUR

Module four, with 12 significant GO terms from 7 SGs, is a fairly small module, which is nevertheless highly associated with immune processes; most significantly, genes of module four convey positive regulation of innate immune response (2 SGs, $p = 0.0039$) and positive regulation of cytokine production (2 SGs, $p = 0.0075$); more accurately, IL-1 production (1 SG, $p = 0.038$). Further, module four genes show association with negative regulation of myeloid cell differentiation (1 SG, $p = 0.038$), positive regulation of response to cytokine (1 SG, $p = 0.038$), myeloid cell homeostasis (1 SG, $p = 0.042$), positive regulation of inflammatory response (1 SG, $p = 0.045$), and negative regulation of myeloid leukocyte differentiation (1 SG, $p = 0.049$). The most prevalent SGs in these terms are *GBP5* (activator of the NLRP3 inflammasome assembly), *MAFB* (transcription factor required for monocyte differentiation), and *ZBP1* (cytoplasmic DNA-sensor which activates downstream IFN production in activated macrophages). All above SGs are down-regulated in stroke patient blood (own results).

GBP5 (for Guanine Nucleotide Binding Protein) is a molecular marker for the classically activated, pro-inflammatory M1 type macrophage,²⁶⁵ and a critical factor for the assembly of the *NLRP3* inflammasome.²⁶⁶ *GBP5* is strongly induced by IFNs through NF- κ B, and in turn induces expression of IFNs and pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and TNF- α .²⁶⁷ Consequently, it plays a critical role in response to viral infection, e.g. by Influenza or HIV, as well as diverse other pathogens.^{267,268} In mice, miR-21-5p inhibition led to an increase in macrophage *GBP5*, with a concomitant increase in TNF- α and a decrease in the anti-inflammatory IL-10.²⁶⁹ However, in stroke patient blood, both miR-21-5p and *GBP* are down-regulated (own results).

MAFB is a transcription factor with critical roles in macrophage differentiation and function, and specific for mononuclear phagocytes in all cells of the haematopoietic system.²⁷⁰ Macrophages deficient in *MAFB* and *MAF* reacquire the ability for self-renewal, but only upon concomitant up-regulation of two pluripotent stem cell-inducing factors, *KLF4* and *MYC* (compare module two).²⁷¹ However, in stroke patient blood (own results), *KLF4* is reduced while *MAF* and *MYC* are unchanged. After induction of ischemic stroke, macrophage-specific *MAFB*-deficient mice showed excessive sterile inflammation, likely due to a failure in clearing of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs).²⁷² The authors found *MAFB* to be a critical controller of the macrophage scavenger receptor 1 (MSR1), which in turn is essential for the clearance of DAMPs after ischemic stroke, and is also down-regulated in stroke patient blood (own results, LFC = -3.54, $p = 2.9E-06$). Additionally,

retinoic acid receptor agonist *Am80* increased *MAFB* and *MSR1* expression in the delayed phase of ischemic stroke, and had ameliorating effects on stroke pathology.²⁷² *miRNeo* query of retinoic acid receptor interactions in CD14⁺ cells indicates *RARA*, the α retinoic receptor, as most active towards *MAFB* (lower activity also exhibited by *RARG* and *RXRA*). *RARA* also targets STATs 1, 5A, and 5B, as well as *ACHE* and *IL6ST* (also known as gp130) in these cells.

An *ex vivo* experiment of *MAFB* inhibition by siRNA in human CD14⁺ monocytes found an elevation in IRF3 phosphorylation and concomitant increase in INF- α and INF- β production.²⁷³ *MAFB* also seems responsible for direct regulation of all genes of the C1q complement complex, which activates the classical component pathway.²⁷⁴ Thereby, and by regulation of the Axl protein, *MAFB* is essential for efferocytosis, the phagocytosis of apoptotic cells *in vivo*.²⁷⁵ *MAFB* is regulated by diverse pathways; immunological mediators (e.g. cytokines), lipid metabolism, and miRNAs.²⁷⁰ Two miRNAs experimentally identified as regulating *MAFB*, miR-152²⁷⁶ and miR-155²⁷⁷, are significantly down-regulated in stroke patient blood (own results; hsa-miR-152-3p: LFC = -1.97, p = 2.0E-11; hsa-miR-155-5p: LFC = -0.69, p = 6.5E-04). miR-155 has additionally been shown to induce pro-inflammatory macrophages, while *MAFB* itself promotes anti-inflammatory M2-type macrophage differentiation.¹¹⁵ In CD14⁺ monocytes, *MAFB* interacts with *CHRNA6*, *IL6*, the *IL6* receptor, and *STAT1* (via *miRNeo*, from Marbach *et al.*¹¹⁸ regulatory circuits). In summary, *MAFB* reduction after stroke may contribute to a shift towards pro-inflammatory M1-type macrophages, prolonged activity of which may inhibit efferocytosis and the M2-mediated healing process in the delayed phase.

Z-DNA binding protein 1 (*ZBP1*, also known as *DAI*) is an IFN-induced cytoplasmic sensor of DAMPs that positively mediates various forms of programmed cell death, general pro-inflammatory events (such as cytokine production), and *NLRP3* inflammasome assembly; however, its triggering ligands or molecular patterns are still unclear.²⁷⁸ More recently, it was shown that *ZBP1* is necessary for type-I and type-II IFN-mediated necroptosis (a programmed form of necrosis),²⁷⁹ by sensing viral as well as endogenous RNA (in addition to DNA), possibly in the unusual Z-conformation.²⁸⁰

Murine macrophages lacking the MyD88 TLR adapter protein were able to undergo apoptosis via redundant TLR pathways mediated by *ZBP1*. In contrast, KO of the type-I INF receptor, as well as of its downstream effectors *STAT1* and *IRF9*, abolished the macrophages' ability to undergo TLR-mediated MyD88-independent apoptosis.²⁸¹ Moreover, de-novo transcription and protein synthesis (compare »regulation of transcription« in modules one and three), as well as JAK1/STAT1 transcriptional activation are required for IFN-induced necroptosis through *ZBP1* signalling.²⁷⁹ *ZBP1*-deficient mice (via CRISPR/Cas-9 KO) were significantly protected from acute IFN-mediated *systemic inflammatory response syndrome* (SIRS),²⁷⁹ making the *ZBP1* down-regulation in stroke patient blood (own results, LFC = -1.70, p = 0.001) a logical bodily response to prevent

CNS injury-induced immunodepression syndrome (CIDS), and a possible component of the counter-regulatory *compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome* (CARS, see Section 1.2.5). Notably, NF- κ B signalling blocks type-II IFN-induced necroptosis (compare modules two and three).²⁸²

In summary, module four constitutes a small, largely anti-inflammatory module, conveying an attenuation of inflammatory processes by limiting the sensing of inflammatory stimuli (*ZBP1*), activation of inflammatory pathways, inflammasome assembly and cytokine production (*GBP5*), and clearance of DAMPs in the infarct area (*MAFB*). However, GO terms also indicate a positive influence on differentiation of monocytes. For instance, the decrease in *MAFB* expression may promote a delayed phenotypic shift towards M1-type macrophages, which may have a deleterious effect on patient recovery.

MODULE FIVE

Module five is a medium-sized module (29 GO terms from 18 SGs) and highly involved with stroke-relevant processes, most importantly, vascular permeability. Most terms relate to basic molecular functions of the cell, such as regulation of cell-substrate adhesion (3 SGs, $p = 0.0037$), cellular component maintenance (2 SGs, $p = 0.0052$), membrane depolarisation (2 SGs, $p = 0.0052$), regulation of protein tyrosine kinase activation (2 SGs, $p = 0.0063$), positive regulation of small molecule metabolism (2 SGs, $p = 0.0063$), fatty acid metabolic process (3 SGs, $p = 0.0064$), positive regulation of lipid metabolic process (2 SGs, $p = 0.010$), regulation of cytokine production (3 SGs, $p = 0.022$), response to hypoxia (2 SGs, $p = 0.027$), and several more. The genes most highly implicated in these processes are *SRC*, *ABCD1*, and *HDAC7*. Module five SG expression is mixed in stroke patient blood; of the most prevalent genes, *SRC* and *ABCD1* are down-regulated, and *HDAC7* is up-regulated.

Src, from the *SRC* gene, is a well-studied kinase from the larger family of Src kinases implicated in diverse physiological processes. Increased vascular permeability (VP), i.e., a loss of blood-brain-barrier function, can be induced by TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). Of relevance, Src controls VEGF expression after ischemic stroke, thereby modulating VP. Reduction of Src (but not Src family kinase Fyn) activity in mice via complete congenital KO or pharmacologic inhibition (using the synthetic inhibitor PP1) resulted in a reduction of permanent middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO)-induced stroke volume of 50% and up to 70%, respectively. This beneficial effect was associated with a prevention of VP.²⁸³ In these experiments, Src inhibition seemed to restore perfusion and oxygenation in the penumbra (the region adjacent to the infarct), protecting the surrounding tissue. Later, these VEGF-modulating effects of Src on VP and stroke recovery were replicated in rats with transient infarction.²⁸⁴ In another set of experiments, it was

shown that the neuroprotective effect of ischemic postconditioning in mice probably depends on Src kinase activation.²⁸⁵ In a human cellular model, Src was up-regulated upon inflammatory TNF- α signalling and conveyed increases in VP in a p38 MAPK-dependent manner (compare module one, *ATF3*).²⁸⁶ Src family kinases can physically bind to gp130, phosphorylate STAT3,²⁸⁷ and are intricately involved with TLR-CD14 signalling, in an »important but not obligatory« manner.²⁸⁸

ABCD1 is a member of the ATP binding cassette (ABC) superfamily of active transporters, responsible for the transport of very long chain fatty acids, and implicated in inflammatory processes by its influence on peroxisomal β -oxidation.²⁸⁹ It is best known for its role in adrenoleukodystrophy (ALD), where an *ABCD1* deficiency leads to microvascular perfusion anomalies in the brain.²⁹⁰ The working hypothesis states that *ABCD1*-related up-regulation of adhesion molecules in the endothelium causes higher leukocyte interaction and thus impairs blood flow in the capillaries. Notably, carriers of the ApoE4 allele are more significantly affected by ALD (compare module two, *SORL1*).²⁹¹ Most molecular changes caused by the deficiency are likely a result of impaired fatty acid transport into peroxisomes. *Abcd1*-deficient mice show a dramatically altered brain region-specific phospholipid profile.²⁸⁹

HDAC7 (histone deacetylase 7) is a protein responsible for deacetylating histones and non-histone proteins, with regulatory implications in cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, and migration.²⁹² *Hdac7*^{-/-} mice are embryonic lethal because of an angiogenic failure leading to rupture of blood vessels.²⁹³ It has been shown that the HDAC7 protein directly interacts with STAT3, deacetylation of which interferes with its ability to dimerise, thus impairing its functionality.^{292,294} *HDAC7* was also found to induce an apoptotic gene program and c-Myc suppression upon ectopic expression in human SD-1 cells.²⁹⁵ *HDAC7* additionally suppresses macrophage genes relevant for phagocytosis, TLRs, interleukins and TNF pathway genes by acting as a transcriptional repressor of myocyte enhancer factor *MEF2C*.²⁹⁶ In human C10 cells reprogrammed to macrophages, *HDAC7* expression significantly inhibited the expression of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6.²⁹⁶ VEGF stimulates HDAC7 phosphorylation, leading to its aggregation in the cytosol and subsequent suppression of angiogenesis mediated by matrix metalloproteases (MMT) 10 and 14, which degrade proteins essential for vessel integrity.^{297,298} *HDAC7* can also directly associate with *RARA* (see module four, *MAFB*) to form a repressor complex that inhibits, among others, miR-10a.²⁹⁹ This inhibition led to de-repression of pro-inflammatory signalling via *GATA6* and *VCAM1*, with negative impact on VP in vascular endothelia.

In summary, module five genes seem to be primarily associated with vascular permeability and the integrity of the blood-brain-barrier. Reduction of *SRC* may be beneficial in regard to stroke volume, whereas the effects of *ABCD1* decrease on vessel integrity are less clear. Secondarily, down-regulation of *SRC* and *ABCD1* may have a negative impact on lipid metabolism, with consequences for lipid-

mediated signalling. *HDAC7* up-regulation, on the other hand, may constitute a pro-apoptotic signal.

4.5.5 TRANSCRIPT CLUSTERING BY smRNA:TF:GENE FEEDFORWARD LOOPS INCREASES INFORMATIVE RESOLUTION OF GENE SET ENRICHMENT ANALYSES

In principle, the analysis of separate modules represents changes brought on by DE TFs in stroke patient blood, focused on CD14⁺ cells by analysing feedforward loops comprising smRNAs and TF-interactions specific to CD14⁺ cells. An advantage of the separation via FFL association is a reduction in dimensionality, which may make the implications of each module more accessible than a complete list of all changed genes or TFs in the experiment, and may also increase the informative resolution of the GO enrichment analyses. Visual inspection of Figure 4.10 indicates a partition between modules two and four on the top, and modules one and five on the bottom of the map. The role of module three is visually less clear. Module three is the module most »intermingling« with the other modules, particularly in the case of *IRF5*, which spatially is closer to module one and five than it is to three (see Figure 4.10, bottom). More generally, there are two zones of module three intermingling, between modules one and five, and between modules two and four.

Visual representation of the GO terms derived from non-modularised analysis versus the terms collected from module analyses clearly shows the proposed advantage regarding informative resolution of the method (Figure 4.11). The non-modularised version shows less terms, that are also less specific (Figure 4.11 A, reproduced from Figure 4.2), while the module-derived terms are higher in number, and also refer to more specific processes (Figure 4.11 B). Intriguingly, this t-SNE visualisation of GO terms shows a central cluster around module one, comprising terms from all other modules. Since the similarity of terms is calculated via their shared genes, this may indicate that processes involving cooperation of modules are grouped in the center, while module-specific processes cluster at the edges. Correspondingly, module three terms cluster on the left side in close vicinity of this central cluster, while module two and module five terms are located in opposite areas on the graph.

The most prevalent themes of module function are inflammatory events, in particular those mediated by TLR/MyD88-associated pathways, IFN responses, and interleukin and TNF- α signalling. Many of these innate immune pathways are important in sterile inflammation as well as in response to viral infection. This is in concert with the fact that the most prevalent genes identified by module GO analysis are pivotal regulators of immunity. Other themes implied by the analysis are apoptotic/necroptotic events, transcriptional activation, lipid metabolism and signalling, and blood ves-




Figure 4.11: FFL module Gene Ontology Enrichment Increases Informative Resolution. A comparison between GO enrichment performed on (A) differentially expressed (DE) genes and (B) DE genes classified by FFL network modules shows similar biological processes but increased information depth. Size denotes number of significant genes in term, depth of colour indicates p-value (all $p < 0.05$). **A)** Differentially expressed genes with absolute \log_2 fold change > 1.4 are enriched in processes of immunity and circulation. Cluster colour derived from t-SNE similarity. Reproduction of Figure 4.2. **B)** t-SNE mapping of GO terms derived from analysis of single FFL modules shows details of involvement with immune processes and basic cellular function. Colour indicates original FFL module (see text). The middle cluster, comprised of parts from all modules surrounding module 1, may signify an »area of cooperation« between the modules.

sel integrity. In most cases, the participating forces are representative of activation as well as inhibition, again underscoring the homeostatic aspect of these regulatory processes.

Inflammation as a common theme is represented in all modules, with diverging implications. Modules one, two, and five may be described as pro-inflammatory modules, while anti-inflammatory properties dominate in modules three and four. The module topology of the two-dimensional force-directed map of FFLs (see Figure 4.10) replicates this pro- versus anti-inflammatory dichotomy, indicating that the innate immune response may be the defining factor in FFL modularisation (Figure 4.12). Module one conveys pro-inflammatory signals via the de-repression of IFN response through *ATF3*, module two via the increase in IL6 availability through *SORL1*, the decrease in STAT dephosphorylation by *PTPN2*, and the decrease in *PLXNB2*, which may be mediated by smRNA-carrying vesicles and is associated with splenic monocyte mobilisation. In module five, *HDAC7* in association with *RARA* may repress miR-10a, which in turn de-represses pro-inflammatory mediators. Modules three and four, on the other hand, may be described as largely anti-inflammatory. Module three presents inhibition of IFN signalling via the suppression of *PLSCR1* and *STAT1*, *PML/SP100* nuclear bodies, and *IRF5*. Down-regulation of module four genes may convey attenuation of inflammation through *GBP5*, *MAFB* (and the related *MSR1*), and *ZBP1* suppression. Attenuation of inflammation after stroke may be a bodily response to prevent systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS), however, exaggerated response or external intervention harbours the




Figure 4.12: Complete Feedforward Loop Network of Differentially Expressed Transcription Factors in CD14⁺ Monocytes, Annotated. A reproduction of Figure 4.10 annotated with most pertinent biological processes as identified via individual module GO analysis. Distance to the center of the graph of each annotation resembles the distribution of the category across all modules (closer to the center - more common functional class), and functions shared by two modules are indicated by their annotation across the intersecting lines. The most common functional classes refer to inflammation, apoptosis, and STAT regulation.

danger of compensatory anti-inflammatory response syndrome (CARS) and CNS injury-induced immunodepression syndrome (CIDS).

Influences on apoptotic/necroptotic events are also distributed between several modules. Module one conveys anti-apoptotic signals via reduction of *SP100*, in agreement with *PML* reduction in module three. Additionally, *PLSCR1* down-regulation in module three may have an indirect anti-apoptotic effect through de-repression of anti-apoptotic proteins such as Bcl-2. *ZBP1* in module four is a DAMP sensor that can induce necroptosis independently of MyD88, however, it is suppressed in stroke patient blood and requires STAT1 signalling (which is also suppressed), and de-novo protein synthesis. Transcriptional activation is regulated by genes in modules one and three,

but the final effect of their competition cannot be assessed with certainty. *HDAC7* up-regulation in module five, on the other hand, may induce a pro-apoptotic program.

Lipid metabolism and blood vessel integrity are implied specifically in module five. Peroxisomal β -oxidation of very long chain fatty acids is reduced through the inhibition of *ABCD1*, with consequences in lipid mediator signalling (e.g., regulation of *MAFB*) and inflammation. However, the bottom line effect of module five gene reactions to stroke in regard to blood vessel stability, i.e., the question if *SRC*, *ABCD1*, and *HDAC7* regulation are beneficial or detrimental to vascular integrity, is still largely unclear and a worthwhile subject for further studies.

Another common theme of module gene processes is the involvement and regulation of signal transducers and activators of transcription, STATs. Many SGs are inducers of STATs (*PLSCR1*, *IRF5*, *RARA*, *MAFB*), binding partners (*SKIL*, *PML*), or involved in activation or deactivation via phosphorylation/dephosphorylation and acetylation/deacetylation (*ATF3*, *SORL1*, *PTPN2*, *SRC*, *HDAC7*). Thus, homeostasis of STAT transcription and activation seems to play an important role in the observed processes. Of note, STAT1 is decreased in stroke patient blood, but STAT3 is not differentially regulated. However, effects mediated by modulators of activity, such as sustained STAT3 phosphorylation via *ATF3* (module one), reduced STAT3 phosphorylation via *SORL1* (module two), increased STAT3 phosphorylation via *PTPN2* (module two) and *SRC* (module five), or STAT3 deacetylation via *HDAC7* (module five) can have dramatic impacts on cellular function without a change in STAT3 expression. Additionally, the studies cited on STAT control via phosphorylation and acetylation are not comprehensive, so some of the implied proteins may interact with STATs other than STAT3. In stroke patient blood, changes are seen in STAT1 (down-regulated), STAT2 (down-regulated), and STAT5B (up-regulated).

Among module functions is also the control of blood cell differentiation, particularly of monocytes and macrophages. Both cell types can be driven towards a pro- or an anti-inflammatory phenotype via expression and activation of certain mediators. *KLF4* (module two) drives monocytes towards a pro-inflammatory phenotype, and *IRF5* (module three) mediates commitment to the pro-inflammatory M1-phenotype of macrophages in response to IFN signalling. Their concomitant down-regulation may thus produce an anti-inflammatory, pro-resolving phenotype of monocytic immune cells. Additionally, *IRF5*-mediated M1-commitment is conveyed by STAT1 signalling, which is impaired in stroke through *STAT1* down-regulation, whereas M2-commitment is mediated by STAT3 and STAT6 signalling, both of which are unimpaired. On the other hand, *MAFB* (module four) expression drives commitment to the anti-inflammatory M2 type, and thus, its down-regulation may convey an opposite signal to *KLF4* and *IRF5* regulation.

A number of module SGs also show cholinergic association: apart from the cholinergic/neurokinine interface connecting IL6, gp130, and JAK/STAT to cholinergic properties of immune cells

and neurons,¹ *ATF3* down-regulates *ACHE* which interferes with its stipulated non-enzymatic, pro-apoptotic function; *RARA* induces *STAT1*, gp130, and *ACHE*, and *MAFB* induces *CHRNA6*, *IL6*, *IL6R*, and *STAT1*. Other module cross-associations include module one/module three cooperation in regard to PML/SP100 nuclear bodies, *SP100* and *STAT1* co-elevation in monocytes of tobacco-smoking HIV-positive patients, and their antagonism in regard to the activation or deactivation of transcription. Module two and module four both have an impact on cell identity and differentiation via pluripotency-associated *KLF4* and *MAFB* signalling. Module one and module five are associated via the induction of SGs by p38 MAPK (*ATF3* and *SRC*), the ApoE4-association of *SORL1* and *ABCD1*, the support of *HDAC7* in IFN-mediated *SP100* up-regulation, and their opposite effect on apoptotic signalling. All of these associations (1-3, 1-5, 2-4) can be retraced in the FFL module visualisation (Figure 4.12).

*If the human brain were so simple that we could understand it,
we would be so simple that we couldn't.*

Emerson M. Pugh

5

Discussion

The general objective of this dissertation was to facilitate an understanding of the complex processes surrounding transcriptional interactions in mammalian cells. The multi-levelled relationships of only two interacting molecule species make analysis and interpretation inaccessible without the help of software, and even the output of software analyses can be overwhelming in the multitude of genes that are involved. Additionally, while this dissertation started with the objective of illuminating cholinergic processes exclusively in neurons, it naturally gravitated towards immunology in all of the different foci: the studied degenerative and non-degenerative psychiatric diseases, as well as stroke, all have significant immunological components. Arguably, immune cells and the central nervous system are those two mammalian tissues that offer the greatest challenges to the life sciences in terms of complexity.

Historically, the areas of immunology and neuroscience research have little in common, and translational advances have been few. However, as Robert Dantzer illustrates in his recent review,⁴⁴ the two disciplines have much to learn from each other, and bringing them closer together is all but necessary. Not by coincidence, the description of brain-to-immune-signalling in that review is predominated by cholinergic implications in immunity; and the best-studied immune-to-brain-signalling molecules are the endogenous pyrogens IL-1 β , IL-6, TNF- α , and IFN- α , which in this dissertation also are frequently implicated. However, current research barely scratches the surface of neuro-immune communication; currently, interactions are mainly studied at the level of cell-to-cell or protein-to-protein (also including transcriptional processes induced by proteins). An enormous amount of transcriptional interactions are still almost completely in the dark, including but not limited to small RNA regulatory processes. The main challenge in adding an additional regulatory layer onto an already complex subject such as neuro-immune communication is the resulting exponential increase in complexity.

This brings us back to the initial objective of this dissertation, the facilitation of an understanding of transcriptional interactions. Since the data generated by modern life-science technologies is not comprehensible to humans in its raw form, and even after statistical analyses often remains overwhelming, dimensionality reduction is a logical step to further the comprehension of the science by the scientist. Indeed, most approaches described in this dissertation result in reduction of dimensionality, and a common train of thought behind the distinct analysis steps undertaken was governed by the idea of a »smart« dimensionality reduction, as opposed to, for instance, exclusively looking at the miRNA→gene relationship with the lowest p-value.

To this end, I had to develop a computational basis for the assessment of transcriptional interactions in a manner that is practicable in day-to-day research, i.e., that can generate results for these complex interactions in a matter of seconds to hours. I also had the fortune to be able to apply these methods to a range of relevant biological data, including the ones discussed in-depth in this dissertation: the cellular model of human male and female cholinergic neurons, and the blood of stroke patients. All undertaken analyses are subject to a wide variety of limitations, the most important of which will be discussed in the following.

For the sake of clarity, the discussion will be split into parts: first, the methodological and technical aspects; second, the bio-mechanistic perspective and basic molecular biology implications; and third, the physiologic, pathologic, and medical/therapeutic inferences.

5.1. METHODS

5.1.1 TRANSCRIPTIONAL INTERACTIONS: *MIRNEO*

The comprehensive (however justified this term may be) analysis of transcriptional interactions seems to be, for the moment, a rather marginal endeavour. At the beginning of my work on this dissertation, a database such as *miRNeo*, even in its most basic form, was not available. Recently, some efforts have been published,^{300,301} including one which has necessitated a name change of my database, which was previously called *miRNet*.^{1,300} The premise of the approach is simple: for a biological network that is structured in the way of interaction partners connected by molecular interactions, build a database that models interaction partners as nodes of a network, and their interactions as its edges (see Chapter 2). The technical implementations, however, diverge.

miRNeo follows the philosophy of modelling the studied networks as closely as possible in the raw database, to keep data recall at a minimum in terms of storage and processing power requirements. Neo4j seemed like a fitting platform for its implementation, since it is focused around building large networks with flexible computational requirements and possesses an infrastructure for process op-

timisation. Additionally, it can be integrated into development environments common in bioinformatics, such as Java and R. Most of the work presented in this dissertation has been performed on Neo4j version 3.0, however, the release of Neo4j version 4.0 was just announced and promises to bring further improvement in terms of handling and performance.³⁰²

The main drawback of graph database integration into biological applications is the difference in infrastructure to virtually all other data, which is in tabular format. The effort of transitioning data into a dedicated graph format is not justified for simple questions, such as the gene targets of a single miRNA. The practical creation of *miRNeo* from raw data in its current extent, without accounting for development time, would take up the majority of a month in computational time on a standard 16-core personal computer. However, nested analyses with multiple levels, and dynamic analyses with multiple steps in which the analysis in the next step depends on the result of the previous, necessitate computationally efficient implementation, and *miRNeo* was able to handle all complex questions that presented themselves during my work. The most computationally demanding questions were the comprehensive whole-genome feedforward loop analyses (Section 4.5), which nevertheless were completed in a matter of hours.

The most important limitation of *miRNeo* is the sum of limitations that apply to the raw data *miRNeo* is created from. Small RNA targeting is immensely complex, and small RNA expression is even more tissue-specific than transcription factor expression.¹¹⁹ Thus, all results from predictions, be they based on complementarity, evolutionary conservation, or physical modelling, and even experimentally validated interactions can currently not be seen as certain indications of an actual interaction in different contexts, making validations indispensable. However, complex multi-layer interactions are nearly impossible to validate, making this area of research highly dependent on inference from circumstantial evidence. In 2017, Kenneth Kosik introduced an experimental model of miRNA interactions at a conference for non-coding RNA in neurodegenerative disease.³⁰³ The study included the successive knockout of each one of a set of 11 miRNAs and observation of the cellular phenotype for each of the resulting cultures in a high throughput setting; he gave the cost of these experiments to be in the million dollar range. According to Kosik, the knockout of *each one* of the 11 miRNAs led to a loss of the particular phenotype, which implied that all 11 cooperated to govern the molecular basis of the phenotype. However, this kind of experimentation cannot be applied to all open questions simply for economical reasons, and additionally, there still has been no publication of the study in a peer-reviewed journal as of now (May, 2020).³⁰⁴

Similarly, in transcription factor interactions, the shortcomings of raw data may transfer into the database. A very pertinent example of FANTOM5 misannotation is the controversy around the promoters of *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* (see also Section 2.2.3). Since, by the statement of a FANTOM5 scientist, it is possible that the 5'-peaks of the two genes may have been confused because they lie

in such close vicinity, it is not possible to distinguish between *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* in this data, or even state with certainty which of the two is implied in an analysis. However, as the immune cell data underlying Figure 4.6 shows, it is very feasible that the *SLC18A3* signal in reality refers to *CHAT* expression, because blood-borne immune cells do not require a vesicular transporter, but have been proven to express *CHAT*. An advantage in modelling transcription factor interactions, however, is that in using FANTOM5 data and secondary sources such as Marbach *et al.*¹¹⁸ we are one step further than we are in small RNA analyses: we can differentiate between interactions in the different cell types of the human body. In extension, we can also infer on small RNA regulation in a cell type-specific manner by applying our knowledge on transcription factor interactions in these cell types, as we have attempted in the analysis of blood cell-specific networks in stroke (Section 4.3).

But even simpler shortcomings of the raw data in *miRNeo* must be acknowledged: for instance, the annotation of biologically active molecules, be it DNA, RNA, or proteins, is always in flux, which together with the multiple institutions handling annotation leads to foreseeable deficits in translation between one set of data to the other. Particularly in whole-genome analyses (or likewise whole-miRnome etc.), individual control of every nomenclature deficit that results in loss of information (e.g., gene identifiers are different in experimental data and database) is not possible. For this reason, I integrated several identifiers (e.g. Entrez, HGNC, ENSEMBL) with failsafe mechanisms for the identification of as many molecules as possible. Still, there has been loss in several of the analyses. For miRNAs, the newer version 22 of miRBase annotation has not yet been implemented, since it may have caused compatibility issues with previous results.

Consequently, the more complex assessments suffer from a combination of single shortcomings of the raw data. For instance, feedforward loops are only feasible in a very particular constellation (see also Section 4.5): because we lack information on TF→smRNA interactions, smRNA species have to be at the center of the loop (*X*), and transcription factors have to assume the role of controlling while being controlled (*Y*). Associations of the kind TF→smRNA are still in the stage of anecdotal evidence, for instance the HDAC7/RARA/miR-10a circuit (Figure 5.1).²⁹⁹

5.1.2 RNA SEQUENCING

By 2020, RNA-seq has by and large outgrown the teething troubles of its initial technological phase. However, the method itself brings with it inherent, largely mathematical problems. A lack of reproducibility was a great concern in the initial periods of RNA-seq, but the reproducibility of cholinergic cell culture (Section 3.4.1) as well as the validations performed on small RNA during the studies of stroke patient blood (data not shown in this dissertation, but in the associated publication²) show an agreeable reliability of the method in our hands.




Figure 5.1: Schematic diagram of the roles of hormone receptors and HDACs in modulating miR-10a expression and hence proatherogenic and antiatherogenic signaling in EC in response to different flow conditions. Boxes with black and white shading represent proatherogenic and atheroprotective molecules, respectively. Image and caption from Lee *et al.*²⁹⁹

Unrelatedly, the multiple testing problem still remains a pertinent issue of modern molecular biology. It is now more impressive to find a negative result in one of these analyses (e.g., no differential expression in a reasonably powered sequencing experiment) than it is to find actual differences. The question of where to place the threshold of significance, or whether to use such a threshold at all, is still a matter of very lively debate among scientists of many disciplines; additionally, consensus thresholds vary between fields or even between different kinds of assay. This dissertation, in general, follows the philosophy of balance between limiting false positives (by monitoring false discovery rate and utilising cross-experimental comparison) and identifying »real« changes (by monitoring adequate powering and effect sizes). In the limited area of RNA-seq, this is still manageable, because standard approaches in the form of multiple correction for differential expression analysis (e.g. in DESeq2,¹⁶⁴ which essentially uses Benjamini-Hochberg correction) and power analysis packages (I used R/powsimR³⁰⁵) already exist; in the extended graph-based network analyses, the matter is more complicated (see below in Section 5.1.3).

For sequencing, particularly of small RNA, there remain open questions about the nature of detection. For instance, the alignment from raw sequencing reads of the two different smRNA species surveyed in Chapter 4 is handled by two separate software solutions, each tailored exactly to the biological nature of the respective smRNA species. I used miRExpress, version 2,¹⁶³ to align miRNA sequences, and MINTmap¹¹⁷ for the tRNA fragments (more specifically, only the fragments »exclusive to the tRNA space«). Procedurally, there is no argument or consensus against analysing these two species separately, and unifying results afterwards. The main effect of concatenation of the two count tables for joint analysis in DESeq2 differential expression analysis is a loss in sensitivity, because multiple testing has to be corrected in relation to the number of unique analytes. However, since the hypotheses assumed before analysis included modes of cooperation between the two distinct species, I decided to test them together rather than apart from each other. The inspection

of MA plots (see e.g. Figure 3.6), effect size distribution, and the comparison to separate analyses for both species all indicated the joint approach to be feasible. The loss in specificity was mild in miRNAs, joint analysis reproduced 98.4% of detected and 83% of differentially expressed miRNAs (FDR < 0.05), and 98.3% of differentially expressed miRNAs with high effect size (absolute LFC > 1.4). For tRFs, the loss was greater; joint analysis reproduced 96.1% of detected, but only 20.5% of differentially expressed tRFs. This can be explained by a high number of very lowly expressed tRFs compared to miRNAs: tRFs with high differential expression effect size (LFC > 1.4) were reproduced at 52.1%; and reproduction in the top 5 percentile by count-change was 92.3%. Notably, this 95th percentile count-change cutoff value is at an absolute count-change of 28.5, meaning the loss of differentially expressed tRFs compared to separate analysis happened in the very low expression range, which is more desirable than it is a problem. An alternative solution would have been the truncation of low-count analytes before differential expression analysis; however, the threshold for such a step is always arbitrary, and thus truncation is no longer recommended.¹⁶⁸

5.1.3 STATISTICAL ANALYSES OF NETWORK INTERACTIONS

There is much less consensus when it comes to statistical interpretation of network analyses. This may in part be a result of network analyses being relatively uncommon compared to, for instance, sequencing experiments, and thus a lack of community consensus on how to approach certain problems. Often, a »network analysis« is a very confined, ultimate visualisation of the impact of one or few miRNAs with several genes that seem pertinent to the publication (for an example see Figure 5.2). Thus, there is often no need to characterise the statistical relevance of the shown relationships, as they serve as a visualisation of hypotheses, or an illustration of a proposed pathway. In contrast, most network analyses presented in this dissertation are intermediary steps, the results of which are supposed to aid in identification of pertinent factors in the molecular interactions studied. As a result, there is a need to measure the relevance of each component of the network, as well as the validity of its message as a whole. Those can be approached in different ways, as is described in the following.

The validity of single network components is subject to various pre-existing properties. To make this discussion more tangible, I will give an example of the most common single component in my analyses: a miRNA→gene interaction. A first measure of its validity can be the existence of a validation experiment of this interaction. This is reflected in the scoring inside the database. The limitations as discussed in Section 5.1.1, e.g. in regard to tissue specificity, still apply. Below this highest level of stringency are the predicted interactions. As has been discussed previously by others, miRNA→gene relationship predictions are best seen in comparison to other models, and most




Figure 5.2: Interaction network showing miRNAs and their predicted and validated targets. The protein interaction network (light gray lines) shows the interaction between proteins (ellipses) encoded by tumor necrosis factor- α pathway genes. Green triangles and solid gray lines represent miRNAs and validated target genes, respectively; red triangles and dotted gray lines represent predicted relationship between miRNA and target genes, respectively. TNF: Tumor necrosis factor. Image and caption from Rossi *et al.*³⁰⁶

valuable predictions are the ones that several prediction algorithms agree upon, particularly if these algorithms are based on modelling different aspects of miRNA \rightarrow gene binding.¹²⁸ For this reason, I implemented the largest collection of algorithms I could find at the time (miRWalk 2.0¹²¹), supplemented by other sources as they became available, and also statistically evaluated the performance of all included algorithms to select a suitable subset for the summation of scores (Section 2.2.4). These steps were undertaken to minimise the risk of bias by using as many sources as possible, while still retaining an amount of flexibility in analysis. For instance, if the resulting gene network was too large for sensible analysis, its size could be easily decreased by elevation of the score threshold, thus making the analysis more stringent.

The scoring threshold as used for miRNA interactions is not available for tRFs, because there are no prediction datasets available yet. The prediction was performed in-house on miRNA-like seeds of each detected differentially expressed tRF, which brings two important limitations: it assumes a miRNA-like functionality of tRFs, disregarding other interaction principles that have been found (see Section 1.3.3); and it limits the prediction sources to one, TargetScan.¹²⁹ TargetScan was selected for its approach of measuring evolutionary conservation of putative target sites, a measure that is very valuable in the case of tRFs, because we know little about any other parameters that could be relevant for the targeting. Since tRNAs and their fragments have been part of mammalian cells for a long time, evolutionary conservation of target sites in the genetically flexible 3' UTRs is a significant measure of functionality.¹³¹ Thus, for tRFs, the aggregation score of multiple algorithms was substituted with the conservation score generated by branch length (BL) and probability of conserved targeting (P_{CT}).¹³¹

However, these cautionary steps still cannot preclude any and all possible biases that may be inherent to prediction models, and thus, statistical analyses on the basis of this general procedure are

desirable. The simplest, most practical, although computationally intensive solution to inherent database biases is permutation (see Section 2.4.1). Briefly, a null distribution of the measured parameter (e.g., miRNA→gene interaction score) is generated by iterative analysis of randomly permuted datasets of the same size as the »real« dataset to be tested. The location of the »real« score inside this null distribution then gives the »extremity« of that real result, and thus the likelihood of the result being as extreme by chance, which equals false discovery ratio (FDR). However, this approach requires a defined set of analytes that present with a measurable attribute (e.g., multiple miRNAs targeting one gene, or a defined set of target genes for any one miRNA). An additional measure to ensure robustness of this approach is the iteration across a range of parameters, for instance, a sliding score cutoff. Results staying »significant« across a range of different cutoffs may be an indication of their robustness. However, for each level of iterations added, computation time also increases. Since permutation approaches usually require tens to hundreds of thousands of iterations, the computational requirements can be considerable.

The advantage of permutations, as opposed to the disadvantage of having to test a set of multiple entries, is its scalability. Thus, permutations can also be used to assess the validity of the entire network, for instance by random permutation of case-control status (applicable in patient scenarios, as in Chapter 4), or of another attribute (such as sex, as in Chapter 3).

5.1.4 CHOLINERGIC CELLULAR MODELS: LA-N-2 AND LA-N-5

The decision to use a human cellular model of cholinergic neuronal cells was driven by two main factors: I) *In vivo* experimentation, i.e., animal-based research, is not reliable as a model of complex human neuropsychiatric diseases.^{148–150} II) Particular to RNA-based mechanisms, the difference between rodent and human genes is enormous, for instance in 3' UTRs that are not subject to the same evolutionary pressure as coding regions, which leads to low transferability of the application of hypothetical therapeutic oligonucleotides.³⁰⁷ Furthermore, so-called »3D cell cultures« or co-cultures of human cells of different types (e.g., neurons with astrocytes) are still not as developed as is necessary for stable experimentation, particularly in the case of cholinergic systems;¹⁵¹ thus, we opted for mono-culture of neuronal cell lines. Even in traditionally cholinergic research, the number of human neuronal models representative of actual cholinergic neurons is very low; the popular cell line SH-SY5Y had to be excluded after in-depth experimentation because it fails to express sensible amounts of the main cholinergic marker *CHAT* and the vesicular transporter *SLC18A3* even upon differentiation.¹⁵⁴

LA-N cells were the logical alternative in the search for an adequate cholinergic model, although their maintenance is not as straightforward as that of many of the work-horses of human cell culture.




Figure 5.3: Correlation between CHAT and SLC5A7 in Nervous Cells. CHAT: choline acetyltransferase, SLC5A7: high affinity choline transporter (also known as CHT1), SLC2A1-3: passive glucose transporters. Size and depth of colour of circles denote strength of correlation (Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient, PPMCC), numbers denote PPMCC (range: -1 to 1). Non-significant correlation coefficients ($p > 0.05$) are crossed out. Rows and columns are ordered by hierarchical clustering, representing similarity of PPMCC values (Euclidian distance). (A) Correlation of transcripts in all tissues (cell-type-level) of the murine nervous system. (B) Correlation in all neurons (single-cell-level) of the murine nervous system. (C) Correlation in cholinergic neurons (single-cell-level) as determined by expression of the vesicular ACh-transporter, SLC18A3. Modified from Yuliani *et al.*³⁰⁸

The main argument for their selection was their natural expression of *CHAT* and *SLC18A3* as well as an impressive induction of these genes via stimulation by neurokinins. This expression of *CHAT* is a pivotal factor in the studies of cholinergic neurons, because there is much confusion about what constitutes a cholinergic cell in the CNS, and about the properties of the different cholinergic populations in the different brain regions. By definition, cholinergic neurons must be able to synthesise ACh (*CHAT*) and release it through vesicles (*SLC18A3*). Additionally, the high affinity choline uptake mediated by the SLC5A7 transporter is highly correlated with these two central markers in the nervous system (own results, Figure 5.3, Yuliani *et al.*³⁰⁸). Other defining properties of cholinergic neurons are more optional (for instance, the defining property of basal forebrain cholinergic projection neurons to receive the retrograde NGF signal via *NTRK1*). An additional benefit was the availability of LA-N cells of both sexes, which aided in studying sex differences in Lobentanzner *et al.*¹

The decision for a mono-culture of immortalised human neuronal cells naturally introduced limitations common to all similar models: the cells are derived from tumour cells and do not resemble a physiological cell any more, otherwise they would not have lost senescence. All biological implications derived from these models have to be interpreted based on this central limitation. However, interpretation is based on the assumption that basic molecular processes, such as the control of mRNA by miRNAs, are still intact. Additionally, the cell communities generated *in vitro* that are the basis of bulk sequencing analyses are very homogeneous, more so than any tissue derived from a living multi-cellular organism. While this enables bulk sequencing without »dilution« by supporting CNS-derived cells, as would be the case in patient brain region bulk sequencing, it also introduces a »non-natural« homogeneity in the RNA derived from the lysis of these cells and there-

fore harbours the danger of exacerbated sensitivity in differential expression. This may lead to the false positive identification of differentially expressed smRNAs, particularly those with a low base expression or small changes. However, controlling of effect sizes (e.g., a cutoff for absolute \log_2 fold change, or analysis of count-change) is well suited to prevent many irrelevant false positives.

5.1.5 STROKE PATIENT BLOOD SAMPLES

The main limitations in the sequencing of post-stroke patient blood are the lack in cell type specificity of RNA generation and the composition of the patient collective, which resulted in exclusion of females for balancing reasons and thus contains only male patients. Additionally, the controls by principle have to be external, since stroke is an unexpected event and thus there is virtually no possibility of attaining blood samples of patients pre-stroke except in very specific clinical conditions. As a result, control samples are healthy volunteers that have to be matched in a manner that reproduces the patient collective as closely as possible, which often cannot be more specific than matching for sex and age. Strictly speaking, the results of analyses based on the differential expression profile can only be applied to male patients. However, the tissue-specific analyses performed on small RNAs as well as on transcription factor interactions are based on large data collections representative of many male and female volunteers, and thus the CD14⁺-related analyses, such as the feedforward loop analysis in Section 4.5.4, can in large parts be applied to both sexes.

Section 4.3 exclusively deals with the shortcomings of sequencing whole blood instead of isolated cellular components. Whether this method of extrapolation serves the purpose of explaining the roles of the tissues involved in smRNA stroke response remains to be clarified. However, translational approaches such as the one presented in Section 4.3 can also aid in studying the transferability of whole blood results (which may be more common in the clinical setting) to tissue-specific analyses, which often require complex and costly purification steps in acquiring the isolated cell populations.

5.1.6 GENE ONTOLOGY ANALYSES

Some of the approaches to dimensionality reduction presented here rely heavily on the analysis of enrichment of genes in ontological categories of biological processes. The primary purpose of using GO enrichment as a tool is to deal with the reality of not being able to know all functions of any given gene. Strictly speaking, here also apply the same limitations as to other datasets of curated information: the quality of results depends on the quality of annotation in the raw ontology collection. For some lesser known proteins, these annotations may well be far from complete, and thus, the interpreting scientist is in danger of presentation bias. Similarly, by interpreting the list of ontological terms yielded by an analysis, terms may be selected or discarded based on their perceived

relevance to the topic of study; inadequate knowledge about all participating processes may lead to the dismissal of relevant terms, constituting confirmation bias. To try and avoid large amounts of this form of confirmation bias, GO terms were presented in comprehensive form or as curated selection of all available data as much as possible (see for instance Figure 3.10 B and Section 4.5.4). Additionally, the visual display of GO terms as a t-SNE projection, where distance is based on the amount of shared genes between the terms (using R/gsoap²⁰²), can aid in identifying the underlying categories of processes and their relationships to one another. This is further supported by the weighing functionality of R/topGO analysis,¹⁶⁹ whereby less specific parent GO terms are dismissed in favour of the more specific child nodes in the DAG graph (see also Sections 2.4.2 and 3.5.3).

An intriguing possibility is the transfer of ontology analyses of smRNA-targeted protein-coding transcripts to facilitate an understanding of the biological processes controlled by the smRNA interference. The approach is still underdeveloped in several regards: I) The weighing of smRNA→gene relationships has a large influence on the outcome, making iteration and testing of robustness indispensable. II) The resolution of annotation is much coarser than in direct gene annotation. Due to the many-to-many nature of the miRNA interactome, functional implications for any one miRNA strongly intersect with other, similar miRNAs. This is the main reason for the analysis of families instead of single miRNAs in Section 3.5.3. In summary, while the derivation of function of miRNAs from their targeted genes is tempting in the light of a complete lack of direct functional annotation for miRNAs, this projection approach will have to be developed and validated before it can be routinely applied.

5.1.7 FEEDFORWARD LOOP ANALYSES

Feedforward loop analysis brings together most of the issues discussed above. I) It is based on the aggregation of several types of molecular targeting relationships and thus is subject to their individual limitations. II) It is applied to the results of differential expression in stroke patient blood, and thus is also influenced by sequencing-related issues. And III) The modules yielded by FFL stratification are then scrutinised with the help of GO analysis to find gene collectives relevant to stroke.

Regarding I); the results of concatenation of these individual relationship types are unknown and difficult to measure. Every kind of influence on the validity of results is imaginable: the insecurities from each individual method may be additive, or even super-additive, making the end result more unreliable in consequence. Alternatively, the processes being firmly rooted in the biological reality of the cell may also have a corrective function on the end result, effectively acting as a filter that removes »illogical« circuits from the output, thus increasing its validity. There is no measure for answering this question as of now. However, the circuits and their ontological associations gathered in

Chapter 4 make sense from a biological perspective, and, maybe more importantly, they make more biological sense than an observation of only differentially expressed genes, or only of smRNAs. In short, although the evidence is circumstantial, the message gained from FFL analysis may be larger than the sum of its parts. A more detailed discussion of the individual findings is held in the individual module descriptions of Section 4.5.4 and Section 4.5.5. The substantiation of FFL analyses and their biological meaning is an important topic for further research.

Regarding II); sequencing-related issues need to be primarily controlled in the process of differential expression analysis. During feedforward loop analysis, results from differential expression are fixed, and thus cannot cause much confounding if they are used in a descriptive way, as is the case in my analyses. Should they be used beyond that, for instance, to weigh targeting relationships by the differential expression of their participating factors, more care has to be taken that the model applied makes sense. Although the approach is feasible in principle, the practical application in FFL analysis is not trivial. Generally, it makes sense to compare the expression levels of smRNAs and their target genes, for two main reasons, as is detailed below.

One: In the cellular context, any interaction is only practically relevant if the expression levels or changes in expression are on the same scale for both smRNA and mRNA. Of note, the count-change measure introduced in Lobentanzer *et al.*¹ is much more suited to this assessment than the commonly used LFC, because the latter does not relate to expression levels at all. However, until the sequencing of small and large RNAs can be routinely done in the same experiment (i.e., on the same microfluidic chip), the comparison of base mean expression (or count-change) between small and large RNA will always be very approximate, because it is dependent on sequencing depth of the individual experiment.

Two: Considering miRNA-like behaviour, those interactions will be particularly interesting where the smRNA is regulated inversely to the target mRNA. However, this concerns only the theoretical interaction of two isolated partners, whereas the smRNA→gene interactions in live cells are layered and only the strongest single relationships will have a chance of prevailing against the regulatory »chaos« that is an actual cell. This alone precludes actual analysis of differential expression influence on smRNA targeting (apart from complex mathematical models which remain to be established), without even considering the third interaction partner, transcription factors. Those introduce another element of uncertainty: although we know more about their tissue specific activities through efforts such as Marbach *et al.*¹¹⁸, we do not specifically know which transcription factor acts on a promoter or repressor towards which genes in which tissues. Mathematical structural models able to predict these interactions in a cellular, whole-genome context are desirable, but not yet a reality.

Regarding III); the main criticism of proceeding from comprehensive FFLs through modularisation to GO analysis is the arbitrary nature of network modularisation. Modularisation itself is a

purely mathematical process of describing the interconnectedness of nodes in the network, implemented by Blondel *et al.* (»Fast unfolding of communities in large networks«).²¹¹ The choice of resolution that yielded five communities in my analysis thus was largely arbitrary, selected in a way that correlated module identity with the visual clusters created by force-directed organisation of the FFL network. However, since the main purpose of modularisation is a reduction in dimensionality that facilitates human understanding, every possible resolution that results in a manageable number of modules may be seen as »reasonable«. A standardisation of these procedures is nevertheless desirable and will be subject of further studies.

5.2. A MECHANISTIC PERSPECTIVE OF TRANSCRIPTIONAL INTERACTIONS

This dissertation is aimed at elucidating epi-transcriptional processes surrounding the expression of cholinergic genes. To this end, a framework was developed to assess interactions between players on the field of RNA-related processes in mammalian cells. This framework was then applied to state-of-the-art measurements of RNA levels, i.e., RNA-sequencing. In the following paragraphs, an assessment will be held on the outcomes of my efforts in clarifying »Small RNA Dynamics in Cholinergic Systems«.

5.2.1 ANALYSIS OF SMALL RNA DYNAMICS VIA RNA-SEQUENCING AND BIOINFORMATICS

Small RNAs and the mechanisms by which they control the expression of coding genes have fascinated researchers since their discovery around the turn of the millennium. Much of the pioneering work has been done on miRNAs, but with tRFs, a new class of regulatory small RNA is increasingly being investigated in physiological and pathological contexts. During the current, early phase of small RNA studies, research has often assumed a limited perspective; many publications study interaction between few partners, in most cases reducing focus to one miRNA and one targeted gene. However, during the initial phase of my work on transcriptional interactions, it quickly became apparent that an integrative perspective is crucial. First and foremost, this involves information on the coding genes that are the supposed targets of small RNA intervention, but also the workings of transcription factors, which shape the phenotype of the cell, and, relatedly, tissue specificity of all of the aforementioned processes.

As such, a comprehensive integrative model of smRNA interactions did not exist when I started to work on this dissertation. More recently, there have been developments of integrative databases which model miRNA→gene interaction, one of them also including tissue specificity and transcrip-

tion factors. The most extensive efforts in my view are mirDIP, miRWalk 3.0, and miRNet (causing the name change of my own database). Thus, they will be briefly reviewed and compared to my own work in the following.

mirDIP 4.1³⁰¹ and miRWalk 3.0³⁰⁹ are similar in their focus on miRNA→gene interactions. To this end, both collected and integrated third-party data into their database. Both offer public access through a browser-based interface and database downloads. In addition, mirDIP offers integration into development environments via Java, R, and Python APIs. The main difference between the two is their data aggregation approach. While the mirDIP team collected all resources available (75 different sources³⁰¹), the miRWalk developers reduced their source count between versions 2.0 and 3.0, from 12 sources to 4.³⁰⁹ Instead of combinatorial power, the authors of miRWalk 3.0 rely on a single algorithm as core principle of miRNA→gene targeting, TarPmiR.³¹⁰ Briefly, TarPmiR utilises machine learning (random forest) to identify miRNA binding sites by characteristics learned from photoactivatable-ribonucleoside-enhanced crosslinking and immunoprecipitation (PAR-CLIP) sequencing results. A comparison to other prediction algorithms indicated superior performance in the authors' hands.³¹⁰ However, it also shows how incomplete these approaches still are: the average recall of TarPmiR in the initial publication was 0.543, and the precision was merely 0.181 (or 0.191, the numbers in the manuscript conflict), indicating a high number of false positives. In light of these numbers, reliance on any one algorithm still remains statistically inferior to the combination of predictions based on different modelling techniques.¹²⁸ In light of the reliability of prediction algorithms, which ranges from very low to medium at best, stringent assessment of statistical properties of these data collections is necessary. However, the authors of miRWalk 3.0 have not statistically evaluated the performance of their database in the most recent publication.³⁰⁹

At the other extreme, mirDIP 4.1 includes 30 publicly available sources, selected from a review of 75 sources, to yield a total amount of 150 million targeting predictions.³⁰¹ Of note, due to performance issues (space requirements and query times), the database only supports miRNA:gene interactions, without additional information such as mRNA binding site, and does not work with gene identifiers other than HUGO symbol (which may be ambiguous). For integration of all third-party datasets, the authors normalised confidence levels of predictions inside each dataset to yield a score between 0 and 1, and then ranked each prediction dataset based on a benchmarking procedure (using experimentally validated interactions). These ranks were then used to calculate the confidence of miRNA→gene relationships via an integrative scoring, similar to the score in *miRNeo*, but with the addition of a weight for each prediction dataset. The addition of a weight may be beneficial the more source datasets are used, to differentiate between different qualities of source material. What I did by excluding the two poor performance datasets (Section 2.2.4) equals a simplified weighing procedure (with score of 1 for included datasets and 0 for dropped). A comparison of *miRNeo* ac-

curacy compared to the mirDIP 4.1 data using the benchmarking data will be interesting, but has not been performed yet. Once the mirDIP data is integrated in *miRNeo*, the benefit of the weighing procedure can be evaluated.

miRNeo is not designed to compete with these types of database; on the contrary, *miRNeo* relies on a combination of publicly available datasets to enable accurate prediction¹²⁸ and to be able to derive test statistics from comparison of different source materials. Rather, *miRNeo* is designed to be efficient in managing complex computations on RNA-based interactions so as to enable the study of complex relationships and biological mechanisms such as feedforward loops.

As such, miRNet³⁰⁰ is closest in functionality to *miRNeo*, as it provides interaction data on transcription factors as well. Very recently, it seems to have been updated to version 2.0, which allows study of transcription factors and feedforward loops, but there has been no publication detailing the results as of yet (May 2020). Its main »advantage« (see below) over *miRNeo* for users is that it provides an easy-to-use web-based interface for analyses; its main downside is that it practically includes only two main miRNA targeting sources, TarBase and miRecords. miRNA:TF data were collected from a dedicated source, TransmiR, which includes only manually curated interactions, and thus likely underestimates the true interactions by orders of magnitude. Additionally, the new version of miRNet seems to diverge significantly from the original description,³⁰⁰ and the only way of evaluating the database is by the very limited »About«-section on the webpage, which unfortunately features several inconsistencies. For instance, the authors state that »miRNA to TF interaction data were collected from TransmiR 2.0«, however, TransmiR is a TF→miRNA database, which is critically and fundamentally different in its implications. In addition, a curated database such as TransmiR cannot currently be designated comprehensive, by their own description it includes »2,852 TF-miRNA regulations from 1,045 publications«, and very limited tissue-specific information. However, in the miRNet web application, tissues can be selected for TF interactions, regardless of target type. How that is possible is not explained. In summary, while the idea behind miRNet may be similar to *miRNeo*, function and performance cannot currently be assessed without additional information on what exactly miRNet does, and what data it is based on. It may even be dangerous to present researchers with such an easily accessible tool, a »black box«, which from the input of only a few gene names generates complex analyses without requiring any understanding from the researcher performing the analyses. Maybe even more questionable is the lack of transparency as to how the results are generated. Taken together, it harbours the danger of generating a large amount of false results, and consequently, a number of irreproducible research findings.

In summary, *miRNeo* as an integrative approach to small RNA dynamics is a valuable addition to the repertoire of the study of transcriptional interactions. It collects several resources for targeting of genes by small RNAs, which have been statistically evaluated as to their performance; it

integrates this targeting data with tissue-specific TF→gene targeting information from 394 human tissues, based on the FANTOM5 dataset; and it provides, through its graph-based infrastructure, high computational performance for the assessment of complex relationships in RNA interaction. From these vantage points, it is the most complete integrative transcriptional interaction database to date. Its main limitation in this context is the limited availability due to the lack of a web-interface or public R package, and the high entry-level of knowledge it requires for usage.

5.2.2 THE CHOLINERGIC/NEUROKINE INTERFACE

Multiple lines of orthogonal evidence confirm the significance of neurokines for cholinergic processes, and imply a cooperation between cholinergic and neurokine systems in health as well as in disease. Earliest descriptions of neurokines, in the late 1980s, have tied them to cholinergic differentiation, which was the reason for adopting the LA-N cell models for experimental work in this dissertation.⁷³ The bioinformatic analyses of these experiments identified two miRNA families, mir-10 and mir-199, to inhabit a pivotal role in interfacing between cholinergic and neurokine genes, and transcriptomic analyses of single cell data from murine and human CNS demonstrate a co-expression of cholinergic markers and neurokine receptors.¹ Thus, an assessment of cholinergic neuron functionality, be it in health or in neurological disease, has to take into account these para- and endocrine influences, particularly from the neurokine and neurotrophic factor families.

More generally, it is very likely that most neuroscientific endeavours would benefit from integrating other aspects of life-science, in particular, endocrinology and immunology. As recent literature shows, many classifications of diseases originally thought neurologic are currently being revised, often resulting in inclusion of immunological aspects. First and foremost, the term »neuroinflammation« has seen a rise in popularity by 806% in the last decade (PubMed search results of publications between 1900 and 2010: 2414, between 2010 and 2020: 19465, Figure 5.4). Consequently, the scientific community has much to gain from cooperation between the neurologic and immunologic branches of research.

Importantly, the interaction between neurokine and cholinergic systems is not unidirectional; both systems control manifold properties of the mammalian body, and thus, communication between the two systems takes place in various ways, cell types, and organs of the body. Arguably, the most immediate form of this communication is the elicitation of cholinergic properties in neuronal cells by neurokine signals. It has been shown by myself and others that isolated neuronal cells express more choline acetyltransferase (CHAT) and vesicular acetylcholine transporter (SLC18A3) upon neurokine stimulation,^{157,311} and stimulation by leukaemia inhibitory factor (LIF) results in catecholaminergic→cholinergic transformation of sympathetic neurons *in vivo*.⁸⁸ In theory, this




Figure 5.4: Number of Publications on Neuroinflammation by Year.

Data were downloaded from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed> on the first of April 2020.

type of interaction between neurokinine and cholinergic systems requires only one type of cell (if the neuron in question were able to synthesise and release a neurokinine); however, *in vivo*, it likely involves at least two types of cells: the neuron receptive of the neurokinine signal, and a regulatory cell which releases the neurokinine. While the regulatory cell types releasing IL-6, the most studied neurokinine by far, are already well described, the cellular sources of the other, lesser-studied neurokinines are still enigmatic, particularly in the CNS. Similarly, the differences in effect on the stimulated cells by the different neurokinines, which in all likelihood are rather subtle, have not been studied as of yet. By the rather unique combination of soluble and membrane-bound receptors, which cooperate in a fashion unique to each individual member of the gp130 family, neurokinines present a tremendously complex regulatory mechanism.

Conversely, cholinergic systems can influence neurokinines, however, this side of the interaction is much less clear and subject to considerable controversy. While the definition of »cholinergic« is relatively simple in the transcriptional context, a clear definition of neurokinine tissues is all but impossible. A cholinergic neuron by definition is characterised by its expression of CHAT and SLC18A3, without which it would not be able to transmit a cholinergic signal; in the case of non-neuronal cholinergic systems, it is admittedly more complex. In neurokinine systems, however, most cell types that may be considered as candidates fulfil a wide range of functions, using multiple and diverse messenger molecules; mainly, this involves tissues of the immune system. As such, the »target cell« of cholinergic→neurokinine interaction may be different depending on the context, which complicates the analysis of clinical and experimental data. The most prominent instance of cholinergic→neurokinine interaction is the so-called cholinergic anti-inflammatory reflex, coined and popularised by the work of Kevin Tracey (see also Section 1.2.3).³¹² While Tracey's work is not specifically aimed at in-

fluences on neurokinin systems, the anti-inflammatory properties of vagal activation extend to neurokinins, as can be seen by the suppression of IL-6-mediated effects of LPS by vagal activation.³¹³ However, while there has been proof of the anti-inflammatory effect of vagal activation, its mechanism still is a matter of debate. Since ACh is rapidly degraded by circulating esterases, an endocrine functionality is out of the question. However, the spleen, as the major organ target of the immunosuppression, is not parasympathetically innervated (or very sparsely, as some results suggest).⁴¹ The current working hypothesis involves a participation of sympathetic mediation of the vagal signal through the splenic nerve, where it activates β_2 adrenergic receptors on ChAT⁺ T cells, which in turn release the ACh required for cholinergic suppression of inflammation. While the target tissue still is unclear, ACh was proposed to act on T cells, Macrophages, or splenic sympathetic synaptic terminals.³¹⁴ Influences on immune cells by direct cholinergic signalling via ACh are further complicated by the availability of different receptor types and subtypes. For instance, the activation of homopentameric α_7 nicotinic receptors causes a suppression of inflammatory processes; incidentally, this can also happen in part due to activation of JAK2/STAT3 activation.³¹⁵ Conversely, an activation of muscarinic receptors often is associated with immune stimulation.³¹⁶

The identification of the two interfacing families, mir-10 and mir-199, adds another piece of circumstantial evidence to the complex picture of cholinergic/neurokinin interaction (Figure 5.5). Given the likely assumption that there is, in fact, a small RNA interface controlling and/or fine-tuning the interaction between cholinergic and neurokinin systems, mir-10 and mir-199 family members are prime candidates for this role.

A pivotal mediator of cholinergic/neurokinin interaction, and of neurokinin function in general, is the JAK/STAT pathway, which is immediately tied to gp130 activation. Importantly, JAK/STAT signalling is exclusive to neither cholinergic nor neurokinin processes, but is critically important in both. Neurokinins can activate tyrosine kinases JAK1/2 and TYK2, as well as STATs 1, 3, 5A, and 5B.⁷⁶ Which of these leads to pro-cholinergic differentiation of neurons is still unclear and an interesting topic for further research. Some work has been done on the distinction of effects between different members of the JAK and STAT families, mainly in immune cells. For instance, STAT1 activation in phagocytic monocytes leads to differentiation towards the M1 type pro-inflammatory macrophage, while STAT3 activation favours the generation of anti-inflammatory M2 type macrophages.³¹⁷ The broad expression and wide-reaching functionalities of the JAK/STAT pathway bring with them an important caveat regarding all matters they were implicated in in the course of this dissertation, particularly in regard to gene ontology analyses: due to their importance in many processes in mammalian cells, they may be overrepresented in annotation, for instance in the ontology catalogues, such that these harbour an implicit bias for finding associations to JAK/STAT-related processes. Although there are measures in place in the analysis process that are supposed to sup-




Figure 5.5: The Cholinergic/Neurokine miRNA Interface. The neurokinins, such as CNTF, LIF, and IL-6, signal through a combination of soluble and membrane-bound receptors. Activation of a transmembrane neurokine receptor is usually followed by JAK recruitment and phosphorylation, and successively by STAT activation and translocation to the nucleus. Gp130-family neurokine, cholinergic, and circadian signalling pathways are controlled by primate-specific and evolutionarily conserved miRNAs. miRNA targeting of individual genes (indicated by coloured symbols) yields complex transcriptional interactions. Several miRNAs directly targeting the cholinergic pathway also target TFs controlling this pathway (circles and triangles).

press false identification, for instance the weighing in R/topGO, there is no way of guaranteeing the absence of false positives in these results. However, since JAK/STAT mechanisms were implied with high frequency and in various independent analyses, there is a high level of confidence in their relevance to the studied phenomena.

Neurokinins are implicated in a range of diseases that have previously been associated with cholinergic systems and their dysfunction, particularly in the context of neuroinflammation, and particularly regarding IL-6. Whether this is a result of research bias, or of IL-6 actually being more relevant for disease processes, cannot at the moment be determined. There have been recent investigations of neurokine participation in AD,^{318,319} SCZ,^{320,321} BD,^{322–324} and stroke.^{325–328} Thus, the establishment of LA-N-2 and LA-N-5 as human neuronal models of cholinergic/neurokine interaction can serve as a platform for further studies of the molecular mechanistic properties of this interaction and the evaluation of therapeutic interference in these systems (see also Section 5.3).

5.2.3 MOLECULAR BIOLOGY OF FEEDFORWARD LOOPS

Small RNA feedforward loops are a mechanistically feasible epigenetic controller of transcription,³²⁹ and the existence of biologically relevant FFLs has been convincingly shown.²⁹⁹ However, this evidence is still anecdotal, and thus, quantitative estimations of the extent of this phenomenon cannot with certainty be made. Hypothetically, feedforward loops affect a significant portion of all miRNA→gene relationships, as can be seen in the FFL module analysis in Section 4.5. Intriguingly,

tRF→gene feedforward loops (with a miRNA-like mechanism) are predicted in significantly smaller numbers. The stroke-relevant genes identified in Section 4.2.1 are involved in 3.5% of miRNA FFLs (681 FFLs) and 11% of tRF FFLs (21 FFLs). Thus, the low number of identified tRF-FFLs in stroke is not a stroke-specific observation, but rather a consequence of a low number of tRF-FFLs overall. Whether this is a result of the still inaccurate prediction or a real difference between these two smRNA species cannot be answered by my analyses. It is, however, an interesting question for future research.

Hypothetically, if the low number of tRF-FFLs is not an artefact, but rather a representation of real epigenetic state, the question then arises, »What may the reason for this discrepancy be?« Generally observed, tRF→gene interaction is present and not significantly less so than miRNA→gene interaction, for instance in the FFL module analysis in Section 4.5. For comparison, the network that is the basis for FFL analysis in Figure 4.10 contains 481 miRNAs and 344 tRFs, but 681 miRNA-FFLs and only 21 tRF-FFLs. This discrepancy may carry biological significance, and two possible explanations come to mind: 1) tRFs may preferentially target genes that represent the ultimate stage of gene expression, and show less direct tRF→TF interaction; or 2) tRFs show tRF→gene and tRF→TF interactions in similar extent as miRNAs, but the target sets do not overlap, i.e., targeted non-TF transcripts and TF transcripts do not form meaningful FFLs in the case of tRF targeting.

To determine the most likely answer based on my data, I calculated the ratio of smRNA→TF to smRNA→gene (excluding TFs) interactions for both smRNA species in the raw FFL network data of Figure 4.10. The ratio was similar in both species, around 10% (miRNAs: 10.95%, 6491 / 59 269; tRFs: 9.37%, 17 542 / 187 124), indicating that assumption #1 may not hold. It follows that miRNAs and tRFs target TFs and non-TF genes in comparable amounts, but that the targeting in the case of miRNAs shows significantly more overlap between TFs and non-TF genes, leading to significantly more FFLs, in agreement with hypothesis #2. This argument is only strengthened by the fact that the absolute number of tRF→gene interactions was significantly higher as compared to miRNA→gene (as a result of the score-based thresholding procedure in miRNA analysis).

Another aspect of FFL theory is the coherence of loops. While there are feasible roles for coherent as well as incoherent smRNA:TF:gene loops, their implications may diverge depending on the cellular context. Just looking at summary statistics of the differentially expressed smRNAs in stroke, there is an implied difference between the two smRNA species: while miRNAs in the majority are down-regulated, tRFs in the majority are up-regulated (see Figure 4.3). Combined with the preferential down-regulation of mRNA and the putative antagonistic role of both smRNA species, the general role of tRFs agrees with coherent FFLs, while the general role of miRNAs seems incoherent. Computing coherence on an individual FFL level indeed shows a high number of incoherent FFLs among all miRNA FFLs, mainly of the type »all down-regulated« (Figure 5.6). Likewise, all 21




Figure 5.6: Coherence of miRNA Feedforward Loops. Individual FFLs were classified based on the direction of regulation of each of their components (miRNAs, TFs, and non-TF genes) in the blood of stroke patients, as determined via RNA-seq. Barplots represent the count of each class of FFL, colour denotes coherence. Incoherent FFLs dominate quantitatively. **A)** Barplot of all possible types of FFLs. **B)** Barplots of FFLs only with coherent TF → gene relationships (both either up- or down-regulated).

detected tRF-FFLs were of the type »incoherent«. However, due to the very low number of tRF-FFLs, this finding in all likelihood is not representative. The few detected tRF-FFLs may also be false positives.

Regardless of their individual biological significance, FFLs can be used as a tool to gain insight on transcriptional processes. FFLs may identify tightly connected processes, and allow stratification of large data, which is one of the main problems in descriptive bioinformatics. The approach shown in Section 4.5 is an attempt at dimensionality reduction that retains as much of the original data structure as possible, while allowing human interpretation. As is demonstrated by the comparison between GO analyses on the whole set of data and the individual clusters as defined by FFL analysis, the latter allows deeper insight into the biological processes affected by stroke through its increased resolution (Figure 4.11).

In this manner, the most pathologically pertinent coding transcripts in the blood of stroke victims were identified and classified, as well as their associations with biological processes involved in pathogenesis of or response to the infarction. The main biological pathways identified in this context are involved in immunity and inflammation, cell death, regulation of transcription, STAT signalling, lipid metabolism, and blood vessel integrity. A comparison between annotated FFL modules and t-SNE visualisation of module GO terms summarises the implications drawn from this bioinformatically supported clinical study (Figure 5.7). Of note, the number of molecules comprising each module correlates with the number of GO terms identified for the respective module, as seen by the comparison between the largest module (two) and the smallest modules (three and four). This can




Figure 5.7: Comparison of Annotated Feedforward Loop Network and t-SNE Visualisation of Module GO Terms. A) Reproduction of Figure 4.12, colours have been adapted to allow module comparison between A and B. Displayed is the network of all CD14⁺-specific FFLs, stratified into five modules, and overlaid with module-specific GO analysis results. B) Reproduction of Figure 4.11 B. Displayed is the t-SNE-based visualisation of all module-specific GO terms from the CD14⁺ FFL-network (A), coloured by module. The distance between nodes is based on amount of shared genes between terms, depth of colour represents significance level, size of node represents number of genes in term.

be explained by the comparison of the number of DE genes in each module and the absolute number of genes making up any GO term (i.e., the *successes*). Hypergeometric enrichment p-values are dependent on the size of the set of successes, and thus, the likelihood of identifying a large (i.e., less specific) GO term with a comparatively small number of test set genes is very low, which is not the case for smaller, highly specific GO terms. Consequently, larger modules (test sets) have available to them a larger number of GO terms that can potentially be enriched.

Comparing the topography between Figure 5.7 A and B, the location of most modules appears as a mirror image. This could be interpreted as a confirmation of the general feasibility of the approach: the similarity of transcripts as determined by their participation in closely related FFLs is paralleled by the similarity of module GO terms as determined by the genes shared between the GO terms. However, a significant difference between the two visualisations seems the central position of module one in Figure 5.7 B, which may indicate a central relevance of module one transcripts in the studied processes. Indeed, module one GO terms appear to function as a nucleation point for related terms from the other modules (central cluster of Figure 5.7 B), which may be used as an indicator of a focus point for further studies.

There are several lines of investigation that could be based on the present results: 1) As described above, the cluster surrounding module one GO terms could be dissected as to the implications of the genes relevant to these terms. These genes may represent a »cooperative set«, which mediates between the distinct modules and their influences on the biological processes in question. As such,

it may be interesting to »zoom in« into the network of only those genes defining this central cluster, to identify pathways sitting at the epicentre of transcriptional response to stroke.

2) Feedforward loops as an abstract classification method may be helpful in the differentiation of inductive versus repressive behaviours of single TF→gene relationships. As discussed several times in the course of this dissertation (see e.g. Section 5.1.7), the current comprehensive data on TF→gene interaction does not allow prediction of the direction of regulatory influence of the TF over the gene. Even in manually collected data, such as TRANSFAC, the interaction of TF and gene is often described in a »yes/no« fashion, with the added limitation of tissue-specific information that is not easily transferred. This is mainly owed to the fact that most TF:gene interactions are found via binding assays such as chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) sequencing and related variants. The combination of smRNA:TF:gene FFLs with interventional experiments (i.e., yielding regulatory output) may serve as methodical support to determine the direction of regulation in individual TF→gene interactions. The application of FFLs (from prediction or web-available datasets) to experiments (also from web-available datasets) may aid in detecting regulatory circuits, and a meta-analysis of these circuits across multiple different experiments may be used to calculate likelihood data of positive or negative regulatory interaction between any TF and gene. Such an approach may be a cost-effective data-mining alternative to painstaking single-experiment molecular biology.

3) Similarly, FFLs can aid in the classification of small RNA species and their families and sub-families in a functional manner. The participation in FFLs from a comprehensive dataset can be mathematically transformed into a similarity- or distance-matrix, and the information so gained on relationships among smRNAs can be used for the stratification and analysis of relationships between individual smRNAs. This classification can serve as an independent comparative dataset, complementing the traditional strata derived from phylogenetic studies.

4) There is need for the development of statistical frameworks in the analysis of feedforward loops. In particular, a measure for the relative importance of each FFL in a network would be helpful for the dissemination of the network and its functions. Possible components of a mathematical description of significance in this setting may be the differential expression of FFL components, the strength of the interaction between FFL components, or network-specific parameters such as centrality. A formal definition of such an »importance measure« is not a trivial task and will require extensive comparison and validation.

5.3. SMALL RNA THERAPEUTICS AND PHARMACOLOGY

5.3.1 INTERACTIONS BETWEEN SMALL MOLECULE DRUGS AND SMALL RNAs

While we know a lot about the transcriptional effects of approved small molecule drugs, and likewise have learned much about the workings of miRNAs in regulating the transcriptome, the intersection between small molecule drug effects and miRNAs (and other small RNAs) *in vivo* is still very current and ongoing research. There have been reports of miRNA regulation conveying drug resistance in single instances (mainly in cancer);³³⁰ for example, the deletion of the genomic locus containing the miR-125b gene led to an increased susceptibility of breast cancer patients to anthracyclines.³³¹ There have been attempts at comprehensively assessing the potential interaction spaces between drug- and miRNA-effects,^{332,333} however, these are limited to indirect comparison of the transcript spectra of drugs and miRNAs compared (\gg drug **A** influences expression of gene **B**, which is also influenced by miRNA **C**, so there may be interaction \ll), and text mining. Both examples are relatively crude estimations of possible interactions, feature a low resolution, and disregard tissue-specific effects and transcription factor interactions, both of which are elementary in the effects of most approved transcriptionally relevant drugs.³³⁴

While a majority of drug-miRNA interactions is still in the dark, it is feasible that miRNAs not only convey drug resistance, but may also play a part in the known effects of approved small molecule drugs, particularly those with known transcriptional effects. There have been single reports of miRNA perturbations upon treatment with antipsychotics such as haloperidol, clozapine, and chlorpromazine, but those reports are seldom and remain descriptive.³³⁵ Clayton and colleagues have recently reviewed the role of miRNAs in glucocorticoid (GC) action.³³⁴ They found that miRNAs modulate the biogenesis of GCs in the adrenal glands as well as cell responses to GCs. At least part of the effects of GCs in cell function, proliferation, and survival are conveyed via their regulation of miRNA expression. The GC receptor is regulated by miRNAs, such that a repression of the receptor by up-regulated miRNAs conveyed treatment resistance in leukaemias and asthma. In leukocytes, miR-155 down-regulation is an important aspect of GC-mediated suppression of inflammation; dexamethasone-mediated suppression of the response to lipopolysaccharide (LPS) inhibited the up-regulation of miR-155 in primary macrophages, macrophage cell lines, spleen and liver cells of mice, and T cells of sepsis patients.³³⁴ In our hands, dexamethasone prevented the up-regulation of the top six stroke-perturbed transfer RNA fragments (tRFs) in murine RAW 264.7 macrophages *in vitro*.²

GCs also inhibit the expression of miR-101, which leads to impaired mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activation and subsequent suppression of inflammation. Resistance to GC-induced

apoptosis in multiple myeloma has been associated with elevations of miR-221/222 and miR-125b. Paradoxically, GCs themselves increase the expression of miR-125b, which may constitute a negative feedback mechanism, partly via suppression of p53.³³⁶ Notably, members of the mir-10/199 families (see Section 3.5) are closely intertwined with regulation of GC function. In addition to the aforementioned effects of miR-125b on GC action, miR-125a and miR-10b regulate GC synthesis via interaction with CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 (11-Deoxycorticosterone → Corticosterone → Aldosterone; 11-Deoxycortisol → Cortisol), whereas miR-199a is up-regulated by GC treatment of osteoblasts and conveys WNT pathway suppression, and miR-199a levels were found to be reduced in patients with Cushing's disease. Clayton *et al.*³³⁴ also identify major hurdles in the development of therapeutic strategies paying respect to miRNA involvement, which are congruent with my own assessment. The first refers to the complex biological role of small RNAs and the challenges it presents to bioinformatics:

»How are functional interactions to be predicted with confidence, and how are subtle effects of individual miRNAs to be experimentally validated without the danger of confirmation bias? How are miRNA-mediated effects on biological processes to be identified and understood when they involve many-to-many rather than one-to-one interactions?«

The second refers to the challenge in translating the biological knowledge so gained into effective treatment:

»Even where good therapeutic targets can be clearly identified, it remains to be seen whether a mimic or antagonist of a single miRNA species will be sufficient to exert therapeutic effects. If targeting more than one miRNA proves necessary, this will create additional barriers to development, in part because of the problem of predicting and mitigating off-target effects.«

These questions imply that mimicking or antagonism of an endogenous miRNA (or multiple) may not be the most logical way of pursuing small RNA therapeutic applications, which will be addressed in the following section (5.3.2).

5.3.2 SMALL RNAs AS PHARMACOLOGICAL AGENTS

Application of small oligonucleotides in therapy of human diseases is in the early stages of development. Theoretically, antisense oligonucleotides can, via their instrumentalisation of endogenous RNA interference machinery, silence virtually any gene in the human body, including non-coding genes. Thus, presuming an appropriate design strategy, synthetic oligonucleotides possess a broader




Figure 5.8: siRNA Nanoparticle Lipid. (6Z,9Z,28Z,31Z)-Heptatriaconta-6,9,28,31-tetraen-19-yl 4-(dimethylamino)butanoate. Image and caption from Jayaraman *et al.*³³⁹

spectrum than traditional small molecule drugs. Because of their chemical nature, i.e., high molecular weight and poly-ionised backbone, oligonucleotides cannot cross biological membranes via passive diffusion and therefore have to be delivered using advanced pharmaceutical formulations, most commonly, lipid nanoparticles (Figure 5.8).^{337,338} Additionally, to circumvent recognition by the host defence system, e.g. by TLRs, the bases composing the oligonucleotide are often chemically modified. Common modifications include the 2'-O-methyl sugar modification, which prevents TLR7-mediated response, bridging between two sugar molecule carbon atoms (creating so-called »locked nucleic acids«), which fix the nucleotides in a specific steric position, and hybridisation to molecules such as cholesterol or polyethylene glycol, which can convey steric hindrance and even organ targeting properties.³³⁸

Once they have reached the cytoplasm of the target cell, therapeutic antisense oligonucleotides can load into the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and convey translational suppression similar to endogenous molecules such as miRNAs and tRFs. Notably, and perhaps surprisingly, single-dose application of comparatively low doses of synthetic oligonucleotides can continuously suppress target mRNA synthesis, and consequently protein expression, over a period of months.³⁴⁰ The most advanced lipid nanoparticles are composed of ionisable amino lipids that self-assemble into particles of the size of approximately 100 nm when mixed with polyanionic oligonucleotides (i.e., the drug molecules).³³⁷ The dual function of these amino lipids is 1) to interact with drug molecules via ion-ion interaction, forming the delivery particles and 2) to allow the drug molecules to escape from endosomes after endocytosis by the target cell. Through developments in the last two decades, these particles have reached a therapeutic index suitable for human therapy.^{339,340}

The first FDA-approved antisense drug was afovirsen, approved in 1991 for use in human papillomavirus treatment, targeting the *E2* gene implicated in virus replication. However, afovirsen and the Bcl2-antisense oblimersen failed their clinical trials. It took several more years for the first synthetic oligonucleotide to be approved for human treatment: fomivirsen, also a blocker of viral RNA, for the local treatment of HIV-associated cytomegalovirus retinitis, was approved in 1998.³⁴¹ It has since been retracted, but several others are currently approved for treatment: mipomersen for the treatment of familial hypercholesterinaemia, defibrotide for treatment of veno-occlusive liver disease, eteplirsen and golodirsen for treatment of Duchenne muscular atrophy, pegaptanib for age-related macular atrophy, nusinersen for treatment of spinal muscular atrophy, inotersen for the

treatment of heritable transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis, and volanesorsen for treatment of hypertriglyceridaemia, familial chylomicronaemia syndrome and familial partial lipodystrophy.^{342,343} A fascinating case is the personalised oligonucleotide (named milasen) for therapy of the rare neurodegenerative condition »Batten's disease«, which has been specifically designed for the patient Mila Makovec based on a sequencing of her genome, and which modifies the splicing of the mutated *MSFD8* gene identified as causal in her affliction.³⁴⁴ It can be described as a repurposed version of nusinersen, featuring the same backbone and sugar chemistry modifications, but adapted to target the splicing of *MSFD8* instead of *SMN2* mRNA.

Notably, extant antisense approaches are characterised by their high specificity for an affected organ (liver, eye) and a bias for rare diseases, many of them previously untreatable. The organ specificity can be explained by the delivery aspect: due to their chemical nature, they are most effective if applied to the organ directly (eye) or if they are hybridised to a targeting molecule. The discovery of hybridisation of an oligonucleotide to N-acetylgalactosamine, which specifically binds to asialoglycoprotein receptors expressed by hepatocytes, has led to a surge in candidates for the treatment of liver-associated diseases (Figure 5.9).³⁴³ In addition, capillary endothelia in most tissues do not easily allow the passage of particles larger than 5 nm; notable exceptions are tissues containing sinusoidal endothelia, particularly the bone marrow, liver, and spleen.^{345,346} The remainder of extant approaches are explained largely by the orphan status of the targeted diseases, facilitating approval. Most pipeline drugs are being developed in the fields of oncology and neurology; as of late, RNA antisense therapeutics seem to have reached the point of profitability.³⁴³

Another notable common characteristic of antisense therapeutics on the market or in the pipeline is the monogenetic or pseudo-monogenetic nature of the targeted diseases. For instance, in neurology, phase III pipeline candidates include IONIS-HTT_{Rx} for treatment of Huntington's disease, and tofersen for treatment of SOD1-driven amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Both diseases are characterised by a clear understanding of how changes in single transcripts cause pathology, and thus are easily accessible to the design of an antisense sequence. Inclisiran, also known as ALN-PCSsc, has recently successfully completed phase II trial for the treatment of familial hypercholesterinaemia.³⁴⁰ Its target is the proprotein convertase subtilisin-kexin type 9 (PCSK9) mRNA, reduction of which leads to a reduction in low density lipoprotein (LDL) particles. Similarly to other liver-targeted oligonucleotides, inclisiran is hybridised to triantennary N-acetylgalactosamine carbohydrates, conveying liver-specific receptor-mediated endocytosis. The encapsulating lipid nanoparticles utilise the aminolipid DLin-MC3-DMA (see Figure 5.8).³³⁹ Inclisiran has been shown to significantly alleviate familial hypercholesterinaemia (LDL-levels reduced by approximately 40%) via only bi-annual subcutaneous application.^{340,348–350}




Figure 5.9: The Mechanism of Small Interfering RNA (siRNA) Therapy. Synthetic double-stranded RNA containing an ALAS1-specific sequence is derivatized with N-acetylgalactosamine to target the asialoorosomuroid (galactose) receptor, which is expressed nearly exclusively on hepatocytes. Within the hepatocyte, the RNA is processed into approximately 20-bp fragments by a cellular enzyme (dicer), and then separated into single strands. The strand that is complementary to ALAS1 (the guide strand) binds to cellular ALAS1 messenger RNA (mRNA) and enters the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), where the new double-stranded RNA is cleaved by a group of factors that include argonaute, a ribonuclease. The result is a reduction in the level of delta ALA synthase 1 protein and decreased production of ALA. Image and caption by Dr. Gerald Diaz.³⁴⁷

The use of single-target oligonucleotides parallels the leitmotif of modern medicinal chemistry: creating drugs with as little off-target effects as possible, to be able to tightly control the biological effects of the drug while simultaneously preventing adverse effects. Due to their extreme target specificity caused by mRNA complementarity, antisense oligonucleotides mainly cause adverse effects via their application (e.g., local effects at the subcutaneous injection site) or the compounds needed to facilitate their delivery (e.g., the lipid nanoparticles). Considering the very infrequent application as compared to other subcutaneous therapeutics, the general adverse effect risk of antisense therapy can be considered low.³⁴⁰

The main problem in antisense therapy in its current state is the limitation to easily accessible compartments and the single-target nature of the drugs. All diseases featured in this dissertation (compare Section 1.2 and Chapters 3 & 4) are known for their polygenetic or poly-factorial nature, and thus, monogenetic therapeutic approaches are bound to fail. Additionally, psychiatric diseases present the major delivery hurdle of the blood-brain-barrier, and possibly pose advanced delivery problems such as single affected CNS cell types. Traditional small molecule therapeutics in psychiatric disease are known for their extreme range of target molecules. Most are derived from chlorpromazine, an artefact of antihistamine discovery synthesis, and are notorious for their targeting

of multiple classes of neurotransmitter receptors. Impressively, second generation antipsychotics, which generally are seen as an improvement over the first generation of chlorpromazine-type antipsychotics, often present a more extensive and complex receptor profile, contrary to the specificity leitmotif of medicinal chemistry.

Translation of the knowledge of these dynamics in psychiatric diseases to antisense oligonucleotide therapy is the biggest hurdle for the design of adequate drug molecules. As opposed to small molecule drugs (high throughput screening of synthetic derivative molecules), serendipity is all but impossible in the case of antisense drugs, which are comprised of combinations of only four principal building blocks, as opposed to thousands of different chemical moieties, but a greater combinatorial multitude of possible molecules: 4^n , with the common length of 22 nt, yields approximately 17.6 trillion individual molecules. The iterative screening of all possible combinations of the four bases in common high-throughput assays would quickly exceed experimental capacities and would lead to uneconomic development costs, particularly if seeking a multi-target oligonucleotide. Thus, bioinformatic predictions of suitable candidate molecules to be tested are necessary for efficient and economic screening of drug candidates for any given application, as well as for the prior identification of suitable combinations of target molecules in any given disease.

The dissertation here presented provides an infrastructure for these analysis steps (Chapter 2) as well as examples for high-prevalence diseases (Chapters 3 & 4). Integrative transcriptomics analyses can serve as tools for the identification of pertinent pathways in pathogenesis as well as for the development of oligonucleotides with multi-target behaviour that enables synergistic effects in therapy and an imitation of multiple-target small molecule drug behaviour. Priorities in these analyses can be set to reflect the researchers' focus; for instance, an analytical prior could bias the search towards pharmacologically interesting targets that are so far inaccessible to small molecule drugs, such as IRF5 in inflammation (see Section 4.5.4).³⁵¹ Multi-target oligonucleotides can follow two principal design strategies: the mimicking or antagonising of extant endogenous smRNA molecules, such as miRNAs, or the de-novo creation of oligonucleotides based on complementarity to predefined target transcripts. The former method is more likely to be efficacious in a short time frame, but brings with it the risk of generating a plethora of adverse effects, because the target profiles of miRNAs are mostly very broad, tissue specific, and not entirely clear yet. De-novo design, on the other hand, theoretically allows the creation of defined target populations without off-target effects. The human genome is known, and thus, sequences can be generated that are complementary only to the target RNA molecules, without any other hits across the genome. However, since not all mechanistic aspects of RNA interference are clear (canonical versus non-canonical binding, bridging, wobble), not all complementary oligonucleotides are similarly effective. Thus, candidate molecules require thorough testing. This brings attention to another important limitation in de-novo design of

oligonucleotide drugs: due to the differences in species genomes, these molecules cannot be comprehensively tested in animal models, particularly in rodents. Safety considerations thus should have utmost priority in clinical evaluation of such molecules. Studies of these phenomena have led to the new subfields of genocompatibility and toxicogenomics.³³⁷

In immunology, hybridisation of oligonucleotide drugs to immune cell-specific receptor ligands could be used to convey a cell-type specificity akin to current liver-specific approaches. Many transcription factors (TFs) show highly context-dependent activities,²⁷⁰ and thus, a combination of TF specificity conveyed by the oligonucleotide and cell type specificity conveyed by a hybridisation partner may allow context-dependent intervention. For instance, ligands specific for CD4⁺ cells could be used to target oligonucleotides to T helper cells, targeting relevant TFs such as NF- κ B or STATs to modify the inflammatory reflex; oligonucleotides hybridised to CD14⁺-targeting ligands could be utilised to interfere with monocyte responses, e.g. after stroke. Due to the trafficking of immune cells between brain and periphery, therapy of diseases with neuroinflammatory components could be accessible through the much easier peripheral (e.g., subcutaneous) application. Considering the accessibility of different tissues to standard parenteral application routes, the most promising strategies are targeting of immune cells circulating in the blood, or stationary in the spleen, both of which are relatively permissible to nanoparticles,³⁴⁵ and, in limited fashion, also lymph nodes, which can accommodate particle sizes between 10 and 100 nm.³⁵² A knockdown of few select monocyte-specific transcripts could be used to drive differentiation towards the pro-resolving M2-type macrophage population,³⁵³ possibly breaking the vicious cycle of protracted inflammation. Co-targeting of cholinergic and neurokinin transcripts may interfere more causally in pathogenesis of diseases with cholinergic participation than current single-target small molecule approaches.

6

Conclusion

The study of small RNA dynamics is greatly facilitated by modern bioinformatic methods that enable an understanding of complex transcriptional events in unprecedented detail. While the application and integration of the diverse sources characterising interactions and the participating molecules still are prone to error and misinterpretation, the field advances in giant steps. In summary, the dissertation here presented contributes to the field the following findings:

- Establishment of a framework for the fast and efficient computation of complex interactions between smRNAs, transcription factors, and target genes, including high-resolution tissue specific effects, and on the scale of the whole genome and all miRNAs and tRFs simultaneously;
- (Re-)establishment of an *in vitro* human cellular model for the study of cholinergic neurons with regard to sex specific phenomena, and, particularly, the effect of neurokine differentiation on cholinergic processes;
- In this context, elucidation of the potential impact of small RNA dynamics in psychiatric and neurologic diseases;
- Identification of pertinent mechanisms in the response to stroke of blood-borne human cells, and interactions between small and large transcripts in these cells;
- Identification of a neuro-immune axis connecting neurokine mechanisms and cholinergic signalling in multiple instances;
- Exploration of the feasibility and practicality of feedforward loop analyses, in general and in an example of CD14⁺ monocytes in post-stroke blood samples;
- Description of a first step in utilising advanced network approaches for data science in the life sciences, for instance by implementing a »smart« dimensionality reduction.

In prospect of further research on the subject, this dissertation may be built upon in various ways: *miRNeo* as an infrastructure of smRNA-interactions can be extended by inclusion of novel data on several aspects, such as tissue-specific information on small RNAs (compare Section 4.3) or interactions with small molecule drugs, further enhancing the possibilities of identifying feedforward or other loops relevant to human health. Likewise, integration of data from multiple sources allows the statistical evaluation of data reliability by means of comparison between datasets, increasing the sensitivity and specificity of bioinformatic predictions of relevant interactions. *miRNeo* may also act as an interface between different measurement methods, such as smRNA and mRNA sequencing, or even non-transcriptional parameters such as biomarkers, drug monitoring, or proteome measurements, to enable integrative assessments of complex biological processes in health and disease, and to disentangle the bi-directional relationships between drug effects and smRNA regulation. It may also be extended (incorporating human genomic information) to be used as a de-novo predictive tool for designer oligonucleotides targeting a specific group of transcripts that do not directly mimic endogenous smRNAs, but rather are designed to suppress disease-relevant transcripts with little off-target genomic effects (i.e., toxicogenomics and genocompatibility analyses).

Regardless of the implications of *miRNeo* and related technical issues in computational infrastructure, the analytical properties of network dynamics between small and large RNAs can further enhance our understanding of the principles behind epigenetic regulation in mammalian cells. We are only just beginning to understand the interaction dynamics between small and large RNA in the many-to-many context of molecular reality. More research is needed to comprehend the cell type-specific activation and deactivation of regulatory circuits such as feedforward loops, and their impact on the cell's phenotype and health. Only when the basic principles of smRNA regulation are understood can we begin to rationally build therapeutic strategies that address these mechanisms.

Bibliography

- [1] Lobentanzer S, Hanin G, Klein J, & Soreq H. Integrative Transcriptomics Reveals Sexually Dimorphic Control of the Cholinergic/Neurokinin Interface in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder. *CellReports*, pp. 1–19 (2019). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.09.017.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2019.09.017>
- [2] Winek K, Lobentanzer S, Nadorp B *et al.* *Transfer RNA fragments replace microRNA regulators of the cholinergic post-stroke immune blockade* (2020). Currently in submission.
- [3] Lobentanzer S. *miRNeo: Integrative Transcriptomics Analyses of Complex Dynamics Between Small RNA, Transcription Factors, and Genes* (2020). Not published, invited submission to STAR Protocols.
- [4] Dale H H. The Action of Certain Esters And Ethers of Choline, and their Relation to Muscarine. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 6(2) (1914).
- [5] Loewi O. Über humorale Übertragbarkeit der Herznervenwirkung. *Pflügers Arch. Ges. Physiol.*, 189:239–242 (1921).
- [6] Dale H H & Dudley H W. The Presence of Histamine and Acetylcholine in the Spleen of the Ox and the Horse. *J. Physiol.*, 68:97 (1929).
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1402860/pdf/jphysiol01676-0019.pdf>
- [7] Mesulam M M, Mufson E J, Levey A I, & Wainer B H. Atlas of cholinergic neurons in the forebrain and upper brainstem of the macaque based on monoclonal choline acetyltransferase immunohistochemistry and acetylcholinesterase histochemistry. *Neuroscience*, 12(3):669–686 (1984). doi:10.1016/0306-4522(84)90163-5.
- [8] Mesulam M M & Geula C. Nucleus basalis (Ch4) and cortical cholinergic innervation in the human brain: Observations based on the distribution of acetylcholinesterase and choline acetyltransferase. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 275(2):216–240 (1988). doi:10.1002/cne.902750205.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/cne.902750205>
- [9] Mesulam M M & Van Hoesen G W. Acetylcholinesterase-rich projections from the basal forebrain of the rhesus monkey to neocortex. *Brain Research*, 109(1):152–157 (1976). doi:

- 10.1016/0006-8993(76)90385-1.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0006899376903851>
- [10] Berrios G E. Alzheimer's disease: A conceptual history. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 5(6):355–365 (1990). doi:10.1002/gps.930050603.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/gps.930050603>
- [11] Miech R A, Breitner J C, Zandi P P, Khachaturian A S, Anthony J C, & Mayer L. Incidence of AD may decline in the early 90s for men, later for women: The Cache County study. *Neurology*, 58(2):209–218 (2002). doi:10.1212/WNL.58.2.209.
- [12] Bartus R, Dean R, Beer B, & Lippa A. The cholinergic hypothesis of geriatric memory dysfunction. *Science*, 217(4558):408–414 (1982). doi:10.1126/science.7046051.
URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.7046051>
- [13] Giacobini E & Gold G. Alzheimer disease therapy—moving from amyloid- β to tau. *Nature Reviews Neurology*, 9(12):677–686 (2013). doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2013.223.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrneurol.2013.223>
- [14] Braak H & Braak E. Staging of alzheimer's disease-related neurofibrillary changes. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 16(3):271–278 (1995). doi:10.1016/0197-4580(95)00021-6.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0197458095000216?via%3Dihub>
- [15] Schmitz T W & Nathan Spreng R. Basal forebrain degeneration precedes and predicts the cortical spread of Alzheimer's pathology. *Nature Communications*, 7:1–13 (2016). doi:10.1038/ncomms13249.
- [16] Schmitz T W, Mur M, Aghourian M, Bedard M A, & Spreng R N. Longitudinal Alzheimer's Degeneration Reflects the Spatial Topography of Cholinergic Basal Forebrain Projections. *Cell Reports*, 24(1):38–46 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.001.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.06.001>
- [17] Kraepelin E. *Psychiatrie. Ein Lehrbuch für Studierende und Aerzte*. Leipzig Barth, edition 8, edition (1913).
- [18] Bortolato B, Miskowiak K, Vieta E, Köhler C, & Carvalho A F. Cognitive dysfunction in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia: a systematic review of meta-analyses. *Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment*, 11:3111 (2015). doi:10.2147/NDT.S76700.

-
- [19] Van Enkhuizen J, Janowsky D S, Olivier B, Minassian A, Perry W, Young J W, & Geyer M A. The catecholaminergic-cholinergic balance hypothesis of bipolar disorder revisited. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 753:114–126 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.05.063.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.05.063>
- [20] Smucny J & Tregellas J R. Targeting neuronal dysfunction in schizophrenia with nicotine: Evidence from neurophysiology to neuroimaging. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 31(7):801–811 (2017). doi:10.1177/0269881117705071.
- [21] Gray S L, Anderson M L, Dublin S, Hanlon J T, Hubbard R, Walker R, Yu O, Crane P K, & Larson E B. Cumulative Use of Strong Anticholinergics and Incident Dementia. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 175(3):401 (2015). doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7663.
URL <http://archinte.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamainternmed.2014.7663>
- [22] Eum S, Hill S K, Rubin L H *et al.* Cognitive burden of anticholinergic medications in psychotic disorders. *Schizophrenia Research*, 190:129–135 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.schres.2017.03.034.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28390849>
- [23] Koukouli F, Rooy M, Tziotis D *et al.* Nicotine reverses hypofrontality in animal models of addiction and schizophrenia. *Nature Medicine*, 23(3):347–354 (2017). doi:10.1038/nm.4274.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.4274>
- [24] Forget B, Scholze P, Langa F, Mourot A, Faure P, & Maskos U. Article A Human Polymorphism in CHRNA5 Is Linked to Relapse to Nicotine Seeking in Transgenic Rats Article A Human Polymorphism in CHRNA5 Is Linked to Relapse to Nicotine Seeking in Transgenic Rats. *Current Biology*, pp. 1–10 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.044.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.08.044>
- [25] Sacco K A, Bannon K L, & George T P. Nicotinic receptor mechanisms and cognition in normal states and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 18(4):457–474 (2004). doi:10.1177/026988110401800403.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15582913>
- [26] Rowe A R, Mercer L, Casetti V, Sendt K V, Giaroli G, Shergill S S, & Tracy D K. Dementia praecox redux: A systematic review of the nicotinic receptor as a target for cognitive
-

- symptoms of schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 29(2):197–211 (2015). doi:10.1177/0269881114564096.
URL <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0269881114564096>
- [27] Lewis A S, van Schalkwyk G I, & Bloch M H. Alpha-7 nicotinic agonists for cognitive deficits in neuropsychiatric disorders: A translational meta-analysis of rodent and human studies. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 75:45–53 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2017.01.001.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28065843>
- [28] Higley M J & Picciotto M R. Neuromodulation by acetylcholine: Examples from schizophrenia and depression. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 29:88–95 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.conb.2014.06.004.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.06.004>
- [29] Değirmenci Y & Keçeci H. Visual Hallucinations Due to Rivastigmine Transdermal Patch Application in Alzheimer’s Disease; The First Case Report. *International Journal of Gerontology*, 10(4):240–241 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.ijge.2015.10.010.
- [30] Leger M & Neill J C. A systematic review comparing sex differences in cognitive function in schizophrenia and in rodent models for schizophrenia, implications for improved therapeutic strategies. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 68:979–1000 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.06.029.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27344000>
- [31] de Leon J & Diaz F J. A meta-analysis of worldwide studies demonstrates an association between schizophrenia and tobacco smoking behaviors. *Schizophrenia Research*, 76(2-3):135–157 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.schres.2005.02.010.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0920996405000757>
- [32] Berger M, (Editor) *Psychische Erkrankungen*. Berger, Mathias (2014).
- [33] Bekker M H & van Mens-Verhulst J. Anxiety Disorders: Sex Differences in Prevalence, Degree, and Background, But Gender-Neutral Treatment. *Gender Medicine*, 4:S178–S193 (2007). doi:10.1016/S1550-8579(07)80057-X.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S155085790780057X>

-
- [34] Anttila V, Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane H K *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science*, 360(6395):eaap8757 (2018). doi:10.1126/science.aap8757.
URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aap8757>
- [35] Gandal M J, Haney J R, Parikshak N N *et al.* Shared molecular neuropathology across major psychiatric disorders parallels polygenic overlap. *Science*, 359(6376):693–697 (2018). doi:10.1126/science.aad6469.
URL <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/359/6376/693>
- [36] Ruderfer D M, Ripke S, McQuillin A *et al.* Genomic Dissection of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia, Including 28 Subphenotypes. *Cell*, 173(7):1705–1715.e16 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.05.046.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867418306585>
- [37] Harrison P J. Recent genetic findings in schizophrenia and their therapeutic relevance. *Journal of Psychopharmacology*, 29(2):85–96 (2015). doi:10.1177/0269881114553647.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25315827>
- [38] Henriksen M G, Nordgaard J, & Jansson L B. Genetics of Schizophrenia: Overview of Methods, Findings and Limitations. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 11:322 (2017). doi:10.3389/fnhum.2017.00322.
URL <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnhum.2017.00322/full>
- [39] Kanazawa T, Bousman C A, Liu C, & Everall I P. Schizophrenia genetics in the genome-wide era: a review of Japanese studies. *npj Schizophrenia*, 3(1):27 (2017). doi:10.1038/s41537-017-0028-2.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41537-017-0028-2>
- [40] Malhi G S, Tanius M, Das P, Coulston C M, & Berk M. Potential Mechanisms of Action of Lithium in Bipolar Disorder. *CNS Drugs*, 27(2):135–153 (2013). doi:10.1007/s40263-013-0039-0.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s40263-013-0039-0>
- [41] Fujii T, Mashimo M, Moriwaki Y, Misawa H, Ono S, Horiguchi K, & Kawashima K. Physiological functions of the cholinergic system in immune cells. *Journal of Pharmacological Sciences*, 134(1):1–21 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.jpshs.2017.05.002.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1347861317300695>
-

- [42] Pavlov V A & Tracey K J. The vagus nerve and the inflammatory reflex - Linking immunity and metabolism. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 8(12):743–754 (2012). doi:10.1038/nrendo.2012.189.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2012.189>
- [43] Pavlov V A & Tracey K J. Neural regulation of immunity: Molecular mechanisms and clinical translation. *Nature Neuroscience*, 20(2):156–166 (2017). doi:10.1038/nn.4477.
- [44] Dantzer R. Neuroimmune interactions: From the brain to the immune system and vice versa. *Physiological Reviews*, 98(1):477–504 (2018). doi:10.1152/physrev.00039.2016.
- [45] Lurie D I. An Integrative Approach to Neuroinflammation in Psychiatric disorders and Neuropathic Pain. *Journal of Experimental Neuroscience*, 12:117906951879363 (2018). doi:10.1177/1179069518793639.
URL <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1179069518793639>
- [46] Fullerton J N & Gilroy D W. Resolution of inflammation: A new therapeutic frontier. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 15(8):551–567 (2016). doi:10.1038/nrd.2016.39.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2016.39>
- [47] Newson J, Stables M, Karra E, Arce-Vargas F, Quezada S, Motwani M, Mack M, Yona S, Audzevich T, & Gilroy D W. Resolution of acute inflammation bridges the gap between innate and adaptive immunity. *Blood*, 124(11):1748–1764 (2014). doi:10.1182/blood-2014-03-562710.
URL <https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/124/11/1748/33037/Resolution-of-acute-inflammation-bridges-the-gap>
- [48] Louveau A, Harris T H, & Kipnis J. Revisiting the Mechanisms of CNS Immune Privilege. *Trends in Immunology*, 36(10):569–577 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.it.2015.08.006.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2015.08.006>
- [49] Negi N & Das B K. CNS: Not an immunoprivileged site anymore but a virtual secondary lymphoid organ. *International Reviews of Immunology*, 37(1):57–68 (2018). doi:10.1080/08830185.2017.1357719.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/08830185.2017.1357719>
- [50] Walsh J, Hendrix S, Boato F *et al.* MHCII-independent CD4+ T cells protect injured CNS neurons via IL-4. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 125(2):699–714 (2015). doi:10.1172/JCI76210DS1.

-
- [51] Tsai C F, Thomas B, & Sudlow C L M. Epidemiology of stroke and its subtypes in Chinese vs white populations: A systematic review. *Neurology*, 81(3):264–272 (2013). doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31829bfde3.
URL <http://www.neurology.org/cgi/doi/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31829bfde3>
- [52] Brooke H L, Talbäck M, Hörnblad J, Johansson L A, Ludvigsson J F, Druid H, Feychting M, & Ljung R. The Swedish cause of death register. *European Journal of Epidemiology*, 32(9):765–773 (2017). doi:10.1007/s10654-017-0316-1.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s10654-017-0316-1>
- [53] Meisel C, Schwab J M, Prass K, Meisel A, & Dirnagl U. Central nervous system injury-induced immune deficiency syndrome. *Nature reviews. Neuroscience*, 6(10):775–86 (2005). doi:10.1038/nrn1765.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16163382>
- [54] ElAli A & Jean LeBlanc N. The Role of Monocytes in Ischemic Stroke Pathobiology: New Avenues to Explore. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*, 8(FEB):1–7 (2016). doi:10.3389/fnagi.2016.00029.
URL <http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fnagi.2016.00029/abstract>
- [55] Swirski F K, Nahrendorf M, Etzrodt M *et al.* Identification of Splenic Reservoir Monocytes and Their Deployment to Inflammatory Sites. *Science*, 325(5940):612–616 (2009). doi:10.1126/science.1175202.
URL <https://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.1175202>
- [56] Kim E, Yang J, Beltran C D, & Cho S. Role of Spleen-Derived Monocytes/Macrophages in Acute Ischemic Brain Injury. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, 34(8):1411–1419 (2014). doi:10.1038/jcbfm.2014.101.
URL <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1038/jcbfm.2014.101>
- [57] Ajmo C T, Vernon D O L, Collier L, Hall A A, Garbuzova-Davis S, Willing A, & Pennypacker K R. The spleen contributes to stroke-induced neurodegeneration. *Journal of Neuroscience Research*, 86(10):2227–2234 (2008). doi:10.1002/jnr.21661.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/jnr.21661>
- [58] Bina K G, Rusak B, & Semba K. Localization of cholinergic neurons in the forebrain and brainstem that project to the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the hypothalamus in rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 335(2):295–307 (1993). doi:10.1002/cne.903350212.
-

- [59] Xu M, Chung S, Zhang S *et al.* Basal forebrain circuit for sleep-wake control. *Nature Neuroscience*, 18(11):1641–1647 (2015). doi:10.1038/nn.4143.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nn.4143>
- [60] Van Dort C J, Zachs D P, Kenny J D *et al.* Optogenetic activation of cholinergic neurons in the PPT or LDT induces REM sleep. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(2):584–589 (2015). doi:10.1073/pnas.1423136112.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1423136112>
- [61] Teles-Grilo Ruivo L M, Baker K L, Conway M W, Kinsley P J, Gilmour G, Phillips K G, Isaac J T, Lowry J P, & Mellor J R. Coordinated Acetylcholine Release in Prefrontal Cortex and Hippocampus Is Associated with Arousal and Reward on Distinct Timescales. *Cell Reports*, 18(4):905–917 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.12.085.
URL <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2211124716318071>
- [62] Niwa Y, Kanda G N, Yamada R G *et al.* Muscarinic Acetylcholine Receptors Chrm1 and Chrm3 Are Essential for REM Sleep. *Cell Reports*, 24(9):2231–2247.e7 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.082.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.07.082>
- [63] Yamakawa G R, Basu P, Cortese F, MacDonnell J, Whalley D, Smith V M, & Antle M C. The cholinergic forebrain arousal system acts directly on the circadian pacemaker. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(47):13498–13503 (2016). doi:10.1073/pnas.1610342113.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1610342113>
- [64] Ising M, Lauer C, Holsboer F, & Modell S. The Munich vulnerability study on affective disorders: premorbid neuroendocrine profile of affected high-risk probands. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*, 39(1):21–28 (2005). doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2004.04.009.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022395604000585>
- [65] Wu J C & Bunney W E. The biological basis of an antidepressant response to sleep deprivation and relapse: review and hypothesis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 147(1):14–21 (1990). doi:10.1176/ajp.147.1.14.
URL <http://psychiatryonline.org/doi/abs/10.1176/ajp.147.1.14>
- [66] Boonstra T W, Stins J F, Daffertshofer A, & Beek P J. Effects of sleep deprivation on neural functioning: an integrative review. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences*, 64(7-8):934–946

- (2007). doi:10.1007/s00018-007-6457-8.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00018-007-6457-8>
- [67] Nikonova E V, Gilliland J D, Tanis K Q *et al.* Transcriptional Profiling of Cholinergic Neurons From Basal Forebrain Identifies Changes in Expression of Genes Between Sleep and Wake. *Sleep*, 40(6):16–20 (2017). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsx059.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/sleep/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/sleep/zsx059>
- [68] Balsalobre A. Clock genes in mammalian peripheral tissues. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 309(1):193–199 (2002). doi:10.1007/s00441-002-0585-0.
- [69] King D P, Zhao Y, Sangoram A M *et al.* Positional Cloning of the Mouse Circadian Clock Gene. *Cell*, 89(4):641–653 (1997). doi:10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80245-7.
URL <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0030665708702269>
- [70] Levi-Montalcini R & Booker B. Destruction of the sympathetic ganglia in mammals by an antiserum to a nerve-growth protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 46(3):384–391 (1960). doi:10.1073/pnas.46.3.384.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16578497>
- [71] Meeker R & Williams K. The p75 neurotrophin receptor: at the crossroad of neural repair and death. *Neural Regeneration Research*, 10(5):721 (2015). doi:10.4103/1673-5374.156967.
URL <http://www.nrronline.org/text.asp?2015/10/5/721/156967>
- [72] Hefti F. Nerve growth factor promotes survival of septal cholinergic neurons after fimbrial transections. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 6(8):2155–2162 (1986).
- [73] McManaman J L, Crawford F G, Stewart S S, & Appel S H. Purification of a Skeletal Muscle Polypeptide Which Stimulates Choline Acetyltransferase Activity in Cultured Spinal Cord Neurons. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 263(12):5890–5897 (1988).
- [74] Rao M S, Patterson P H, & Landis S C. Multiple cholinergic differentiation factors are present in footpad extracts: comparison with known cholinergic factors. *Development (Cambridge, England)*, 116(3):731–44 (1992).
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1289063>
- [75] White U A & Stephens J M. The gp130 receptor cytokine family: regulators of adipocyte development and function. *Current pharmaceutical design*, 17(4):340–6 (2011). doi:10.

- 2174/138161211795164202.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21375496>; <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC3119891>
- [76] Rawlings J S. The JAK/STAT signaling pathway. *Journal of Cell Science*, 117(8):1281–1283 (2004). doi:10.1242/jcs.00963.
URL <http://jcs.biologists.org/cgi/doi/10.1242/jcs.00963>
- [77] Nathanson N M. Regulation of neurokinine receptor signaling and trafficking. *Neurochemistry International*, 61(6):874–878 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.neuint.2012.01.018.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0197018612000307>
- [78] Scheller J, Chalaris A, Schmidt-Arras D, & Rose-John S. The pro- and anti-inflammatory properties of the cytokine interleukin-6. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research*, 1813(5):878–888 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2011.01.034.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0167488911000425>
- [79] Mohamed-Ali V, Goodrick S, Rawesh A, Katz D R, Miles J M, Yudkin J S, Klein S, & Coppack S W. Subcutaneous Adipose Tissue Releases Interleukin-6, But Not Tumor Necrosis Factor- α , in Vivo 1. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, 82(12):4196–4200 (1997). doi:10.1210/jcem.82.12.4450.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/jcem/article-lookup/doi/10.1210/jcem.82.12.4450>; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9398739>
- [80] Gustafson D, Lissner L, Bengtsson C, Bjorkelund C, & Skoog I. A 24-year follow-up of body mass index and cerebral atrophy. *Neurology*, 63(10):1876–1881 (2004). doi:10.1212/01.WNL.0000141850.47773.5F.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15557505>; <http://www.neurology.org/cgi/doi/10.1212/01.WNL.0000141850.47773.5F>
- [81] Profenno L A, Porsteinsson A P, & Faraone S V. Meta-Analysis of Alzheimer’s Disease Risk with Obesity, Diabetes, and Related Disorders. *Biological Psychiatry*, 67(6):505–512 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.02.013.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0006322309002261>
- [82] Depp C A, Strassnig M, Mausbach B T *et al.* Association of obesity and treated hypertension and diabetes with cognitive ability in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Bipolar Disorders*, 16(4):422–431 (2014). doi:10.1111/bdi.12200.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/bdi.12200>

-
- [83] Eder K, Baffy N, Falus A, & Fulop A K. The major inflammatory mediator interleukin-6 and obesity. *Inflammation Research*, 58(11):727–736 (2009). doi:10.1007/s00011-009-0060-4. URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00011-009-0060-4>; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19543691>
- [84] Heppner F L, Ransohoff R M, & Becher B. Immune attack: the role of inflammation in Alzheimer disease. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 16(6):358–372 (2015). doi:10.1038/nrn3880. URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/nrn3880>
- [85] Kirkpatrick B & Miller B J. Inflammation and Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 39(6):1174–1179 (2013). doi:10.1093/schbul/sbt141. URL <https://academic.oup.com/schizophreniabulletin/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/schbul/sbt141>
- [86] Takao K, Kobayashi K, Hagihara H *et al.* Deficiency of Schnurri-2, an MHC Enhancer Binding Protein, Induces Mild Chronic Inflammation in the Brain and Confers Molecular, Neuronal, and Behavioral Phenotypes Related to Schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 38(8):1409–1425 (2013). doi:10.1038/npp.2013.38. URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/npp201338>
- [87] Hodes G E, Kana V, Menard C, Merad M, & Russo S J. Neuroimmune mechanisms of depression. *Nature Neuroscience*, 18(10):1386–1393 (2015). doi:10.1038/nn.4113.
- [88] Stangl H, Springorum H R, Muschter D, Grässel S, & Straub R H. Catecholaminergic-to-cholinergic transition of sympathetic nerve fibers is stimulated under healthy but not under inflammatory arthritic conditions. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 46:180–191 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2015.02.022. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2015.02.022>
- [89] Schirle N T, Sheu-Gruttadauria J, & MacRae I J. Structural basis for microRNA targeting. *Science*, 346(6209):608–613 (2014). doi:10.1126/science.1258040. URL <https://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.1258040>
- [90] Babu M M, Luscombe N M, Aravind L, Gerstein M, & Teichmann S A. Structure and evolution of transcriptional regulatory networks. *Current Opinion in Structural Biology*, 14(3):283–291 (2004). doi:10.1016/j.sbi.2004.05.004. URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0959440X04000788>
-

- [91] Lee R C, Feinbaum R L, & Ambros V. The *C. elegans* heterochronic gene *lin-4* encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to *lin-14*. *Cell*, 75(5):843–854 (1993). doi:10.1016/0092-8674(93)90529-Y.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/009286749390529Y>
- [92] Elkayam E, Kuhn C D, Tocilj A, Haase A D, Greene E M, Hannon G J, & Joshua-Tor L. The Structure of Human Argonaute-2 in Complex with miR-20a. *Cell*, 150(1):100–110 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.05.017.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867412006186>
- [93] Hausser J, Syed A P, Bilen B, & Zavolan M. Analysis of CDS-located miRNA target sites suggests that they can effectively inhibit translation. *Genome Research*, 23(4):604–615 (2013). doi:10.1101/gr.139758.112.
URL <http://genome.cshlp.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.139758.112>
- [94] Van Peer G, Mets E, Claeys S, De Punt I, Lefever S, Ongenaert M, Rondou P, Speleman F, Mestdagh P, & Vandesompele J. A high-throughput 3' UTR reporter screening identifies microRNA interactomes of cancer genes. *PLOS ONE*, 13(3):e0194017 (2018). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0194017.
URL <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194017>
- [95] Santovito D, Egea V, Bidzhekov K *et al.* Noncanonical inhibition of caspase-3 by a nuclear microRNA confers endothelial protection by autophagy in atherosclerosis. *Science Translational Medicine*, 12(546):eaaz2294 (2020). doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz2294.
URL <https://stm.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz2294>
- [96] Rodriguez A. Identification of Mammalian microRNA Host Genes and Transcription Units. *Genome Research*, 14(10a):1902–1910 (2004). doi:10.1101/gr.2722704.
URL <http://www.genome.org/cgi/doi/10.1101/gr.2722704>
- [97] Hendrickson D G, Hogan D J, McCullough H L, Myers J W, Herschlag D, Ferrell J E, & Brown P O. Concordant Regulation of Translation and mRNA Abundance for Hundreds of Targets of a Human microRNA. *PLoS Biology*, 7(11):e1000238 (2009). doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1000238.
URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000238>
- [98] Zhdanov V P. Conditions of appreciable influence of microRNA on a large number of target mRNAs. *Molecular BioSystems*, 5(6):638–643 (2009). doi:10.1039/b808095j.

-
- [99] Kozomara A, Birgaoanu M, & Griffiths-Jones S. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(D1):D155–D162 (2019). doi:10.1093/nar/gky1141. URL <https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/47/D1/D155/5179337>
- [100] Ambros V, Bartel B, Bartel D P *et al.* A uniform system for microRNA annotation. *RNA (New York, N.Y.)*, 9(3):277–9 (2003). doi:10.1261/rna.2183803. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12592000>
- [101] Salta E & De Strooper B. microRNA-132: a key noncoding RNA operating in the cellular phase of Alzheimer’s disease. *The FASEB Journal*, 31(2):424–433 (2017). doi:10.1096/fj.201601308. URL <http://www.fasebj.org/doi/10.1096/fj.201601308>
- [102] Lu L F, Gasteiger G, Yu I S *et al.* A Single miRNA-mRNA Interaction Affects the Immune Response in a Context- and Cell-Type-Specific Manner. *Immunity*, 43(1):52–64 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2015.04.022. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26163372>
- [103] Borek E, Baliga B S, Gehrke C W, Kuo C W, Belman S, Troll W, & Waalkes T P. High Turnover Rate of Transfer RNA in Tumor Tissue. *CANCER RESEARCH*, 37:3362–3366 (1977). URL <https://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/37/9/3362.full-text.pdf>
- [104] Speer J, Gehrke C W, Kuo K C, Waalkes T P, & Borek E. tRNA breakdown products as markers for cancer. *Cancer*, 44(6):2120–2123 (1979). doi:10.1002/1097-0142(197912)44:6<2120::AID-CNCR2820440623>3.0.CO;2-6. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/509391>
- [105] Cole C, Sobala A, Lu C, Thatcher S R, Bowman A, Brown J W, Green P J, Barton G J, & Hutvagner G. Filtering of deep sequencing data reveals the existence of abundant Dicer-dependent small RNAs derived from tRNAs. *RNA*, 15(12):2147–2160 (2009). doi:10.1261/rna.1738409. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19850906>
- [106] Lee Y S, Shibata Y, Malhotra A, & Dutta A. A novel class of small RNAs: tRNA-derived RNA fragments (tRFs). *Genes & development*, 23(22):2639–49 (2009). doi:10.1101/gad.1837609. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19933153>; <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2779758>
-

- [107] Godoy P M, Bhakta N R, Barczak A J *et al.* Large Differences in Small RNA Composition Between Human Biofluids. *Cell Reports*, 25(5):1346–1358 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.014.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2018.10.014>; <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2211124718315778>
- [108] Yamasaki S, Ivanov P, Hu G f, & Anderson P. Angiogenin cleaves tRNA and promotes stress-induced translational repression. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 185(1):35–42 (2009). doi:10.1083/JCB.200811106.
URL <http://jcb.rupress.org/content/185/1/35.long>
- [109] Ivanov P, Emara M M, Villen J, Gygi S P, & Anderson P. Angiogenin-Induced tRNA Fragments Inhibit Translation Initiation. *Molecular Cell*, 43(4):613–623 (2011). doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2011.06.022.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1097276511005247>
- [110] Burroughs A M, Ando Y, de Hoon M L, Tomaru Y, Suzuki H, Hayashizaki Y, & Daub C O. Deep-sequencing of human Argonaute-associated small RNAs provides insight into miRNA sorting and reveals Argonaute association with RNA fragments of diverse origin. *RNA Biology*, 8(1):158–177 (2011). doi:10.4161/rna.8.1.14300.
URL <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.4161/rna.8.1.14300>
- [111] Kumar P, Anaya J, Mudunuri S B, & Dutta A. Meta-analysis of tRNA derived RNA fragments reveals that they are evolutionarily conserved and associate with AGO proteins to recognize specific RNA targets. *BMC Biology*, 12(1):78 (2014). doi:10.1186/s12915-014-0078-0.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25270025>
- [112] Huang B, Yang H, Cheng X *et al.* tRF/miR-1280 Suppresses Stem Cell-like Cells and Metastasis in Colorectal Cancer. *Cancer Research*, 77(12):3194–3206 (2017). doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-3146.
URL <http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/lookup/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-3146>
- [113] Gebetsberger J, Wyss L, Mleczko A M, Reuther J, & Polacek N. A tRNA-derived fragment competes with mRNA for ribosome binding and regulates translation during stress. *RNA Biology*, 14(10):1364–1373 (2017). doi:10.1080/15476286.2016.1257470.
- [114] Goodarzi H, Liu X, Nguyen H C, Zhang S, Fish L, & Tavazoie S F. Endogenous tRNA-Derived Fragments Suppress Breast Cancer Progression via YBX1 Displacement. *Cell*,

- 161(4):790–802 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.053.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867415003189>
- [115] Kim H K, Fuchs G, Wang S *et al.* A transfer-RNA-derived small RNA regulates ribosome biogenesis. *Nature*, 552(7683):57 (2017). doi:10.1038/nature25005.
URL <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nature25005>
- [116] Parisien M, Wang X, & Pan T. Diversity of human tRNA genes from the 1000-genomes project. *RNA Biology*, 10(12):1853–1867 (2013). doi:10.4161/rna.27361.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24448271>
- [117] Loher P, Telonis A G, & Rigoutsos I. MINTmap: fast and exhaustive profiling of nuclear and mitochondrial tRNA fragments from short RNA-seq data. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1):41184 (2017). doi:10.1038/srep41184.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/srep41184>; <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep41184>
- [118] Marbach D, Lamparter D, Quon G, Kellis M, Kutalik Z, & Bergmann S. Tissue-specific regulatory circuits reveal variable modular perturbations across complex diseases. *Nature Methods*, 13(4):366–370 (2016). doi:10.1038/nmeth.3799.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26950747>; <http://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.3799>; <http://regulatorycircuits.org>
- [119] Nowakowski T J, Rani N, Golkaram M *et al.* Regulation of cell-type-specific transcriptomes by microRNA networks during human brain development. *Nature Neuroscience*, 21(12):1784–1792 (2018). doi:10.1038/s41593-018-0265-3.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30455455>
- [120] Londin E, Loher P, Telonis A G *et al.* Analysis of 13 cell types reveals evidence for the expression of numerous novel primate- and tissue-specific microRNAs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(10):E1106–E1115 (2015). doi:10.1073/pnas.1420955112.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1420955112>
- [121] Dweep H & Gretz N. miRWalk2.0: a comprehensive atlas of microRNA-target interactions. *Nature Methods*, 12(8):697–697 (2015). doi:10.1038/nmeth.3485.
URL <http://www.nature.com/doi/10.1038/nmeth.3485>
- [122] Chaudhuri S, Narasayya V, & Ramamurthy R. Estimating Progress of Execution for SQL Queries (2004).
URL <https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/research/publication/>

- estimating-progress-of-execution-for-sql-queries/?from=https%3A%2F%2Fresearch.microsoft.com%2Fapps%2Fpubs%2F%3Fid%3D76556
- [123] Hon C c, Ramilowski J A, Harshbarger J *et al.* An atlas of human long non-coding RNAs with accurate 5' ends. *Nature Publishing Group*, 543(7644):199–204 (2017). doi:10.1038/nature21374.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature21374>
- [124] Dweep H & Gretz N. miRWalk2 web page.
- [125] Karagkouni D, Paraskevopoulou M D, Chatzopoulos S *et al.* DIANA-TarBase v8: a decade-long collection of experimentally supported miRNA–gene interactions. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(D1):D239–D245 (2018). doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1141.
URL <http://academic.oup.com/nar/article/46/D1/D239/4634010>
- [126] Chou C H, Shrestha S, Yang C D *et al.* miRTarBase update 2018: a resource for experimentally validated microRNA-target interactions. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(D1):D296–D302 (2018). doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1067.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29126174>
- [127] Yue D, Liu H, & Huang Y. Survey of Computational Algorithms for MicroRNA Target Prediction. *Current Genomics*, 10(7):478–492 (2009). doi:10.2174/138920209789208219.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20436875>
- [128] Witkos T M, Koscianska E, & Krzyzosiak W J. Practical Aspects of microRNA Target Prediction. *Current molecular medicine*, 11(2):93–109 (2011). doi:10.2174/156652411794859250.
- [129] Friedman R C, Farh K K H, Burge C B, & Bartel D P. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Research*, 19(1):92–105 (2009). doi:10.1101/gr.082701.108.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18955434>
- [130] Alexiou P, Maragkakis M, Papadopoulos G L, Reczko M, & Hatzigeorgiou A G. Lost in translation: an assessment and perspective for computational microRNA target identification. *Bioinformatics*, 25(23):3049–3055 (2009). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp565.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19789267>; <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp565>

-
- [131] Agarwal V, Bell G W, Nam J W, & Bartel D P. Predicting effective microRNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *eLife*, 4 (2015). doi:10.7554/eLife.05005.
URL <https://elifesciences.org/articles/05005>
- [132] Soreq H. Checks and balances on cholinergic signaling in brain and body function. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 38(7):448–458 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.tins.2015.05.007.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2015.05.007>
- [133] Smith T & Waterman M. Identification of common molecular subsequences. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 147(1):195–197 (1981). doi:10.1016/0022-2836(81)90087-5.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0022283681900875>
- [134] Needleman S B & Wunsch C D. A general method applicable to the search for similarities in the amino acid sequence of two proteins. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 48(3):443–453 (1970). doi:10.1016/0022-2836(70)90057-4.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022283670900574?via=ihub>
- [135] Raichle M E & Gusnard D A. Appraising the brain's energy budget. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99(16):10237–10239 (2002). doi:10.1073/pnas.172399499.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.172399499>
- [136] Bohn K A, Adkins C E, Mittapalli R K, Terrell-Hall T B, Mohammad A S, Shah N, Dolan E L, Nounou M I, & Lockman P R. Semi-automated rapid quantification of brain vessel density utilizing fluorescent microscopy. *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, 270:124–131 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2016.06.012.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27321229>
- [137] Lobentanzer S & Klein J. Zentrales und Peripheres Nervensystem. In Wichmann & Fromme, (Editors) *Handbuch für Umweltmedizin*, chapter 11. ecomed Medizin, erg. lfg. edition (2019).
- [138] Darmanis S, Sloan S A, Zhang Y, Enge M, Caneda C, Shuer L M, Hayden Gephart M G, Barres B A, & Quake S R. A survey of human brain transcriptome diversity at the single cell level. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(23):201507125 (2015). doi:10.1073/pnas.1507125112.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/content/112/23/7285.abstract>
-

- [139] Zeisel a, Machado a B M, Codeluppi S *et al.* Cell types in the mouse cortex and hippocampus revealed by single-cell RNA-seq. *Science*, 347(6226):1138–42 (2015). doi:10.1126/science.aaa1934.
URL <http://science.sciencemag.org.docelec.univ-lyon1.fr/content/347/6226/1138.abstract>
- [140] Tasic B, Menon V, Nguyen T N T *et al.* Adult mouse cortical cell taxonomy revealed by single cell transcriptomics. *Nature Neuroscience*, advance on(January):1–37 (2016). doi:10.1038/nn.4216.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nn.4216>
- [141] Habib N, Li Y, Heidenreich M, Swiech L, Avraham-Davidi I, Trombetta J J, Hession C, Zhang F, & Regev A. Div-Seq: Single-nucleus RNA-Seq reveals dynamics of rare adult newborn neurons. *Science*, 353(6302):925–928 (2016). doi:10.1126/science.aad7038.
URL <http://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.aad7038>
- [142] Zeisel A, Hochgerner H, Lönnerberg P *et al.* Molecular Architecture of the Mouse Nervous System. *Cell*, 174(4):999–1014.e22 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.06.021.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30096314>
- [143] Ataman B, Boulting G L, Harmin D A *et al.* Evolution of Osteocrin as an activity-regulated factor in the primate brain. *Nature*, 539(7628):242–247 (2016). doi:10.1038/nature20111.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nature20111>
- [144] Hardingham G E, Pruunsild P, Greenberg M E, & Bading H. Lineage divergence of activity-driven transcription and evolution of cognitive ability. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 19(1):9–15 (2018). doi:10.1038/nrn.2017.138.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrn.2017.138>
- [145] Yap E L & Greenberg M E. Activity-Regulated Transcription: Bridging the Gap between Neural Activity and Behavior. *Neuron*, 100(2):330–348 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2018.10.013.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0896627318309012>
- [146] Murtagh F & Legendre P. Ward’s Hierarchical Agglomerative Clustering Method: Which Algorithms Implement Ward’s Criterion? *Journal of Classification*, 31(3):274–295 (2014). doi:10.1007/s00357-014-9161-z.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00357-014-9161-z>

-
- [147] Bray J R & Curtis J T. An Ordination of the Upland Forest Communities of Southern Wisconsin. *Ecological Monographs*, 27(4):325–349 (1957). doi:10.2307/1942268.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.2307/1942268>
- [148] Nestler E J & Hyman S E. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nature Neuroscience*, 13(10):1161–1169 (2010). doi:10.1038/nn.2647.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nn.2647>
- [149] Drummond E & Wisniewski T. Alzheimer’s disease: experimental models and reality. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 133(2):155–175 (2017). doi:10.1007/s00401-016-1662-x.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00401-016-1662-x>
- [150] Sommer C J. Ischemic stroke: experimental models and reality. *Acta Neuropathologica*, 133(2):245–261 (2017). doi:10.1007/s00401-017-1667-0.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s00401-017-1667-0>
- [151] Watson P M D, Kavanagh E, Allenby G, & Vassey M. Bioengineered 3D Glial Cell Culture Systems and Applications for Neurodegeneration and Neuroinflammation. *SLAS DISCOVERY: Advancing the Science of Drug Discovery*, 22(5):583–601 (2017). doi:10.1177/2472555217691450.
URL <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/2472555217691450>
- [152] Biedler J L, Roffler-Tarlov S, Schachner M, & Freedman L S. Multiple Neurotransmitter Synthesis by Human Neuroblastoma Cell Lines and Clones. *Cancer Res.*, 38(11_Part_1):3751–3757 (1978).
URL <http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/38/11{ }Part{ }1/3751.short>
- [153] Biedler J L, Helson L, & Spengler B A. Morphology and Growth, Tumorigenicity, and Cytogenetics of Human Neuroblastoma Cells in Continuous Culture. *Cancer Research*, 33(11):2643–2652 (1973).
- [154] Korecka J A, van Kesteren R E, Blaas E, Spitzer S O, Kamstra J H, Smit A B, Swaab D F, Verhaagen J, & Bossers K. Phenotypic Characterization of Retinoic Acid Differentiated SH-SY5Y Cells by Transcriptional Profiling. *PLoS ONE*, 8(5) (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063862.
- [155] Seeger R C, Rayner S A, Laug W E, Neustein H B, & Benedict W F. Morphology, Growth, Chromosomal Pattern, and Fibrinolytic Activity of two New Human Neuroblastoma Cell Lines. *Cancer Research*, 37(5):1364–1371. (1977).
-

- [156] Seeger R C, Danon Y L, Rayner S A, & Hoover F. Definition of a Thy-1 determinant on human neuroblastoma, glioma, sarcoma, and teratoma cells with a monoclonal antibody. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950)*, 128(2):983–9 (1982).
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6172518>
- [157] Crosland R D. Differentiation agents enhance cholinergic characteristics of LA-N-2 human neuroblastoma cells. *Life sciences*, 58(22):1987–94 (1996).
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8637428>
- [158] Hill D P & Robertson K a. Characterization of the cholinergic neuronal differentiation of the human neuroblastoma cell line LA-N-5 after treatment with retinoic acid. *Developmental Brain Research*, 102(1):53–67 (1997). doi:10.1016/S0165-3806(97)00076-X.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9298234>; <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S016538069700076X>
- [159] McManaman J L & Crawford F G. Skeletal Muscle Proteins Stimulate Cholinergic Differentiation of Human Neuroblastoma Cells. *Journal of neurochemistry*, pp. 258–266 (1991).
- [160] Sun M, Liu H, Min S, Wang H, & Wang X. Ciliary neurotrophic factor-treated astrocyte-conditioned medium increases the intracellular free calcium concentration in rat cortical neurons. *Biomedical Reports*, 4(4):417–420 (2016). doi:10.3892/br.2016.602.
URL <https://www.spandidos-publications.com/>; <https://www.spandidos-publications.com/10.3892/br.2016.602>
- [161] Andrews S, Krueger F, Segonds-Pichon A, Biggins L, Krueger C, & Wingett S. *FastQC*. Babraham, UK (2012).
- [162] Roehr J T, Dieterich C, & Reinert K. Flexbar 3.0 – SIMD and multicore parallelization. *Bioinformatics*, 33(18):2941–2942 (2017). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx330.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/33/18/2941/3852078>
- [163] Wang W C, Lin F M, Chang W C, Lin K Y, Huang H D, & Lin N S. miRExpress: analyzing high-throughput sequencing data for profiling microRNA expression. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 10:328 (2009). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-10-328.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19821977>
- [164] Love M I, Huber W, & Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biology*, 15(12):550 (2014). doi:10.1186/

- s13059-014-0550-8.
URL <http://genomebiology.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8>
- [165] Wald A. Contributions to the Theory of Statistical Estimation and Testing Hypotheses. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 10(4):299–326 (1939). doi:10.1098/rsta.1937.0005.
URL <http://rsta.royalsocietypublishing.org/cgi/doi/10.1098/rsta.1937.0005>
- [166] Bullard J H, Purdom E, Hansen K D, & Dudoit S. Evaluation of statistical methods for normalization and differential expression in mRNA-Seq experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 11(1):94 (2010). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-94.
URL <https://bmcbioinformatics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2105-11-94>
- [167] Chen Z, Liu J, Ng H, Nadarajah S, Kaufman H L, Yang J Y, & Deng Y. Statistical methods on detecting differentially expressed genes for RNA-seq data. *BMC Systems Biology*, 5(Suppl 3):S1 (2011). doi:10.1186/1752-0509-5-S3-S1.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22784615>
- [168] Zhu A, Ibrahim J G, & Love M I. Heavy-tailed prior distributions for sequence count data: removing the noise and preserving large differences. *Bioinformatics*, 35(12):2084–2092 (2019). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty895.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/35/12/2084/5159452>
- [169] Alexa A, Rahnenfuhrer J, & Lengauer T. Improved scoring of functional groups from gene expression data by decorrelating GO graph structure. *Bioinformatics*, 22(13):1600–1607 (2006). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btl140.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16606683>; <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl140>
- [170] Broido A D & Clauset A. Scale-free networks are rare. *Nature Communications*, 10(1):1017 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08746-5.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-019-08746-5>
- [171] Jacomy M, Venturini T, Heymann S, & Bastian M. ForceAtlas2, a continuous graph layout algorithm for handy network visualization designed for the Gephi software. *PLoS ONE*, 9(6):1–12 (2014). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0098679.
- [172] Chen C, Cheng L, Grennan K, Pibiri F, Zhang C, Badner J A, Members of the Bipolar Disorder Genome Study (BiGS) Consortium, Gershon E S, & Liu C. Two gene co-expression modules differentiate psychotics and controls. *Molecular psychiatry*, 18(12):1308–14 (2013).

- doi:10.1038/mp.2012.146.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23147385>; <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC4018461>
- [173] Iwamoto K, Bundo M, & Kato T. Altered expression of mitochondria-related genes in postmortem brains of patients with bipolar disorder or schizophrenia, as revealed by large-scale DNA microarray analysis. *Human molecular genetics*, 14(2):241–53 (2005). doi:10.1093/hmg/ddi022.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15563509>
- [174] Lanz T A, Joshi J J, Reinhart V, Johnson K, Grantham L E, & Volfson D. STEP levels are unchanged in pre-frontal cortex and associative striatum in post-mortem human brain samples from subjects with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder. *PloS one*, 10(3):e0121744 (2015). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0121744.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25786133>; <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC4364624>
- [175] Maycox P R, Kelly F, Taylor A *et al.* Analysis of gene expression in two large schizophrenia cohorts identifies multiple changes associated with nerve terminal function. *Molecular psychiatry*, 14(12):1083–94 (2009). doi:10.1038/mp.2009.18.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19255580>
- [176] Narayan S, Tang B, Head S R, Gilmartin T J, Sutcliffe J G, Dean B, & Thomas E A. Molecular profiles of schizophrenia in the CNS at different stages of illness. *Brain research*, 1239:235–48 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.brainres.2008.08.023.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18778695>; <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC2783475>
- [177] Ryan M M, Lockstone H E, Huffaker S J, Wayland M T, Webster M J, & Bahn S. Gene expression analysis of bipolar disorder reveals downregulation of the ubiquitin cycle and alterations in synaptic genes. *Molecular psychiatry*, 11(10):965–78 (2006). doi:10.1038/sj.mp.4001875.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16894394>
- [178] Ramaker R C, Bowling K M, Lasseigne B N *et al.* Post-mortem molecular profiling of three psychiatric disorders. *Genome Medicine*, 9(1):1–12 (2017). doi:10.1186/s13073-017-0458-5.
- [179] Hoffman G E, Hartley B J, Flaherty E, Ladran I, Gochman P, Ruderfer D M, Stahl E A, Rapoport J, Sklar P, & Brennand K J. Transcriptional signatures of schizophrenia in hiPSC-

- derived NPCs and neurons are concordant with post-mortem adult brains. *Nature Communications*, 8(1) (2017). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02330-5.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02330-5>
- [180] Webb A, Papp A C, Curtis A *et al.* RNA sequencing of transcriptomes in human brain regions: Protein-coding and non-coding RNAs, isoforms and alleles. *BMC Genomics*, 16(1):1–16 (2015). doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2207-8.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-2207-8>
- [181] Fontenot M R, Berto S, Liu Y, Werthmann G, Douglas C, Usu N, Gleason K, Tamminga C A, Takahashi J S, & Konopka G. Novel transcriptional networks regulated by clock in human neurons. *Genes and Development*, 31(21):2121–2135 (2017). doi:10.1101/gad.305813.117.
- [182] Li G, Klein J, & Zimmermann M. Pathophysiological Amyloid Concentrations Induce Sustained Upregulation of Readthrough AChE Mediating Anti-Apoptotic Effects. *Neuroscience*, 240:349–60 (2013). doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.02.040.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23485809>
- [183] Gulyás-Kovács A, Keydar I, Xia E, Fromer M, Hoffman G, Ruderfer D, Sachidanandam R, & Chess A. Unperturbed expression bias of imprinted genes in schizophrenia. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):2914 (2018). doi:10.1038/s41467-018-04960-9.
URL <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2018/05/24/329748?%3Fcollection=;>
<http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-018-04960-9>
- [184] Du P, Kibbe W A, & Lin S M. lumi: a pipeline for processing Illumina microarray. *Bioinformatics*, 24(13):1547–1548 (2008). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btn224.
URL [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18467348;](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18467348) <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn224>
- [185] Gautier L, Cope L, Bolstad B M, & Irizarry R A. affy-analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip data at the probe level. *Bioinformatics*, 20(3):307–315 (2004). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg405.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg405>
- [186] Oldham M C, Langfelder P, & Horvath S. Network methods for describing sample relationships in genomic datasets: application to Huntington’s disease. *BMC Systems Biology*, 6(1):63 (2012). doi:10.1186/1752-0509-6-63.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22691535>

- [187] Durinck S, Spellman P T, Birney E, & Huber W. Mapping identifiers for the integration of genomic datasets with the R/Bioconductor package biomaRt. *Nature Protocols*, 4(8):1184–1191 (2009). doi:10.1038/nprot.2009.97.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19617889>
- [188] Langfelder P & Horvath S. WGCNA: An R package for weighted correlation network analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9 (2008). doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-559.
- [189] Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, & R Core Team. *nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models* (2019). R package version 3.1-142.
URL <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>
- [190] Shaltiel G, Hanan M, Wolf Y, Barbash S, Kovalev E, Shoham S, & Soreq H. Hippocampal microRNA-132 mediates stress-inducible cognitive deficits through its acetylcholinesterase target. *Brain structure function*, 218(1):1–5 (2013). doi:10.1007/s00429-011-0376-z.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22246100>
- [191] Hanin G, Yayon N, Tzur Y *et al.* miRNA-132 induces hepatic steatosis and hyperlipidaemia by synergistic multitarget suppression. *Gut*, 67(6):1124–1134 (2018). doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312869.
URL <http://gut.bmj.com/lookup/doi/10.1136/gutjnl-2016-312869>
- [192] Mellios N, Sugihara H, Castro J *et al.* miR-132, an experience-dependent microRNA, is essential for visual cortex plasticity. *Nature Neuroscience*, 14(10):1240–1242 (2011). doi:10.1038/nn.2909.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nn.2909>
- [193] Shaked I, Meerson A, Wolf Y, Avni R, Greenberg D, Gilboa-Geffen A, & Soreq H. MicroRNA-132 potentiates cholinergic anti-inflammatory signaling by targeting acetylcholinesterase. *Immunity*, 31(6):965–973 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2009.09.019.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20005135>
- [194] Pichler S, Gu W, Hartl D, Gasparoni G, Leidinger P, Keller A, Meese E, Mayhaus M, Hampel H, & Riemenschneider M. The miRNome of Alzheimer’s disease: consistent downregulation of the miR-132/212 cluster. *Neurobiology of Aging*, 50:167.e1–167.e10 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2016.09.019.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0197458016302330>

-
- [195] Busch S, Auth E, Scholl F, Huenecke S, Koehl U, Suess B, & Steinhilber D. 5-Lipoxygenase Is a Direct Target of miR-19a-3p and miR-125b-5p. *The Journal of Immunology*, 194(4):1646–1653 (2015). doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1402163.
URL <http://www.jimmunol.org/lookup/doi/10.4049/jimmunol.1402163>
- [196] Zhang J, Qu P, Zhou C, Liu X, Ma X, Wang M, Wang Y, Su J, Liu J, & Zhang Y. MicroRNA-125b is a key epigenetic regulatory factor that promotes nuclear transfer reprogramming. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 292(38):15916–15926 (2017). doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.796771.
- [197] Soreq H, Ben-Aziz R, Prody C A, Seidman S, Gnatt A, Neville L, Lieman-Hurwitz J, Lev-Lehman E, Ginzberg D, & Lipidot-Lifson Y. Molecular cloning and construction of the coding region for human acetylcholinesterase reveals a G + C-rich attenuating structure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 87(24):9688–9692 (1990). doi:10.1073/pnas.87.24.9688.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.87.24.9688>
- [198] Hanin G, Shenhar-Tsarfaty S, Yayan N *et al.* Competing targets of microRNA-608 affect anxiety and hypertension. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 23(17):4569–4580 (2014). doi:10.1093/hmg/ddu170.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24722204>
- [199] Hoffmann S, Harms H, Ulm L *et al.* Stroke-induced immunodepression and dysphagia independently predict stroke-associated pneumonia – The PREDICT study. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism*, 37(12):3671–3682 (2017). doi:10.1177/0271678X16671964.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27733675>; <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/0271678X16671964>
- [200] Patro R, Duggal G, Love M I, Irizarry R A, & Kingsford C. Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nature Methods*, 14(4):417–419 (2017). doi:10.1038/nmeth.4197.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nmeth.4197>
- [201] Liao Y, Smyth G K, & Shi W. The R package Rsubread is easier, faster, cheaper and better for alignment and quantification of RNA sequencing reads. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 47(8):e47–e47 (2019). doi:10.1093/nar/gkz114.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/47/8/e47/5345150>
-

- [202] Tokar T, Pastrello C, & Jurisica I. GSOAP: a tool for visualization of gene set over-representation analysis. *Bioinformatics* (2020). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa001.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/advance-article/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btaa001/5715574>
- [203] Wickham H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York (2016).
- [204] van der Maaten L & Hinton G. Visualizing Data using t-SNE. *Journal of Machine Learning research*, 9(1) (2008).
- [205] McInnes L, Healy J, & Melville J. *UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction* (2018).
- [206] Becht E, McInnes L, Healy J, Dutertre C A, Kwok I W H, Ng L G, Ginhoux F, & Newell E W. Dimensionality reduction for visualizing single-cell data using UMAP. *Nature Biotechnology*, 37(1):38–44 (2019). doi:10.1038/nbt.4314.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nbt.4314>
- [207] Krijthe J H. *Rtsne: T-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding using Barnes-Hut Implementation* (2015). R package version 0.15.
URL <https://github.com/jkrijthe/Rtsne>
- [208] Juzenas S, Venkatesh G, Hübenthal M *et al.* A comprehensive, cell specific microRNA catalogue of human peripheral blood. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45(16):9290–9301 (2017). doi:10.1093/nar/gkx706.
- [209] Delignette-Muller M L & Dutang C. *fitdistrplus: An R package for fitting distributions*. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 64(4):1–34 (2015).
URL <http://www.jstatsoft.org/v64/i04/>
- [210] Ward J H. Hierarchical Grouping to Optimize an Objective Function. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 58(301):236–244 (1963). doi:10.1080/01621459.1963.10500845.
URL <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/01621459.1963.10500845>
- [211] Blondel V D, Guillaume J L, Lambiotte R, & Lefebvre E. Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics Theory and Experiment* (2008). doi:10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/P10008.
URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/0803.0476>; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2008/10/P10008>

-
- [212] Lehtonen A, Matikainen S, & Julkunen I. Interferons up-regulate STAT1, STAT2, and IRF family transcription factor gene expression in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and macrophages. *The Journal of Immunology*, 159(2):794 LP – 803 (1997).
URL <http://www.jimmunol.org/content/159/2/794.abstract>
- [213] Reeves G T. The engineering principles of combining a transcriptional incoherent feedforward loop with negative feedback. *Journal of Biological Engineering*, 13(1):62 (2019). doi:10.1186/s13036-019-0190-3.
URL <https://jbioleng.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13036-019-0190-3>
- [214] Lai X, Wolkenhauer O, & Vera J. Understanding microRNA-mediated gene regulatory networks through mathematical modelling. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(13):6019–6035 (2016). doi:10.1093/nar/gkw550.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gkw550>
- [215] Zhang Y J, Rutledge B J, & Rollins B J. Structure/activity analysis of human monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) by mutagenesis. Identification of a mutated protein that inhibits MCP-1-mediated monocyte chemotaxis. *The Journal of biological chemistry*, 269(22):15918–24 (1994).
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8195247>
- [216] Sanchez-Pulido L, Valencia A, & Rojas A M. Are promyelocytic leukaemia protein nuclear bodies a scaffold for caspase-2 programmed cell death? *Trends in Biochemical Sciences*, 32(9):400–406 (2007). doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2007.08.001.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0968000407001892>
- [217] Vlasáková J, Nováková Z, Rossmeislová L, Kahle M, Hozák P, & Hodný Z. Histone deacetylase inhibitors suppress IFN α -induced up-regulation of promyelocytic leukemia protein. *Blood*, 109(4):1373–1380 (2007). doi:10.1182/blood-2006-02-003418.
URL <https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/109/4/1373/23413/Histone-deacetylase-inhibitors-suppress>
- [218] Lorenz D R, Misra V, & Gabuzda D. Transcriptomic analysis of monocytes from HIV-positive men on antiretroviral therapy reveals effects of tobacco smoking on interferon and stress response systems associated with depressive symptoms. *Human Genomics*, 13(1):59 (2019). doi:10.1186/s40246-019-0247-x.
URL <https://humgenomics.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40246-019-0247-x>
-

- [219] Kim J O, Park J H, Kim T *et al.* A novel system-level approach using RNA-sequencing data identifies miR-30-5p and miR-142a-5p as key regulators of apoptosis in myocardial infarction. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1):14638 (2018). doi:10.1038/s41598-018-33020-x.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-33020-x>
- [220] Makino Y, Yoon J H, Bae E, Kato M, Miyazawa K, Ohira T, Ikeda N, Kuroda M, & Mamura M. Repression of Smad3 by Stat3 and c-Ski/SnoN induces gefitinib resistance in lung adenocarcinoma. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 484(2):269–277 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.01.093.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0006291X17301432>
- [221] Xu Y, Yue W, Yao Shugart Y *et al.* Exploring Transcription Factors-microRNAs Co-regulation Networks in Schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 42(4):1037–1045 (2016). doi:10.1093/schbul/sbv170.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/schizophreniabulletin/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/schbul/sbv170>
- [222] Song H M, Park G H, Eo H J, & Jeong J B. Naringenin-Mediated ATF3 Expression Contributes to Apoptosis in Human Colon Cancer. *Biomolecules & Therapeutics*, 24(2):140–146 (2016). doi:10.4062/biomolther.2015.109.
URL <http://www.biomolther.org/journal/DOIx.php?id=10.4062/biomolther.2015.109>
- [223] Sun M M, Wang Y C, Li Y, Guo X D, Chen Y M, & Zhang Z Z. Effect of ATF3-deletion on apoptosis of cultured retinal ganglion cells. *International journal of ophthalmology*, 10(5):691–695 (2017). doi:10.18240/ijo.2017.05.05.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28546922>
- [224] Labzin L I, Schmidt S V, Masters S L *et al.* ATF3 Is a Key Regulator of Macrophage IFN Responses. *The Journal of Immunology*, 195(9):4446–4455 (2015). doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1500204.
URL <http://www.jimmunol.org/lookup/doi/10.4049/jimmunol.1500204>
- [225] Glal D, Sudhakar J N, Lu H H, Liu M C, Chiang H Y, Liu Y C, Cheng C F, & Shui J W. ATF3 Sustains IL-22-Induced STAT3 Phosphorylation to Maintain Mucosal Immunity Through Inhibiting Phosphatases. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 9 (2018). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.02522.
URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02522/full>

-
- [226] Heinrich R, Hertz R, Zemel E, Mann I, Brenner L, Massarweh A, Berlin S, & Perlman I. ATF3 Regulates the Expression of AChE During Stress. *Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience*, 11 (2018). doi:10.3389/fnmol.2018.00088.
URL <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnmol.2018.00088/full>
- [227] Huang C Y, Chen J J, Wu J S, Tsai H D, Lin H, Yan Y T, Hsu C Y, Ho Y S, & Lin T N. Novel Link of Anti-apoptotic ATF3 with Pro-apoptotic CTMP in the Ischemic Brain. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 51(2):543–557 (2015). doi:10.1007/s12035-014-8710-0.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12035-014-8710-0>
- [228] Ghaleb A M & Yang V W. Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4): What we currently know. *Gene*, 611:27–37 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.gene.2017.02.025.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378111917301142>
- [229] Yin R H, Yu J T, & Tan L. The Role of SORL1 in Alzheimer’s Disease. *Molecular Neurobiology*, 51(3):909–918 (2015). doi:10.1007/s12035-014-8742-5.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s12035-014-8742-5>
- [230] Sakai S, Nakaseko C, Takeuchi M *et al.* Circulating soluble LR11/SorLA levels are highly increased and ameliorated by chemotherapy in acute leukemias. *Clinica Chimica Acta*, 413(19-20):1542–1548 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.cca.2012.06.025.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0009898112003300>
- [231] Larsen J V & Petersen C M. SorLA in Interleukin-6 Signaling and Turnover. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 37(11) (2017). doi:10.1128/MCB.00641-16.
URL <http://mcb.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/MCB.00641-16>
- [232] Rabe B, Chalaris A, May U, Waetzig G H, Seegert D, Williams A S, Jones S A, Rose-John S, & Scheller J. Transgenic blockade of interleukin 6 transsignaling abrogates inflammation. *Blood*, 111(3):1021–1028 (2008). doi:10.1182/blood-2007-07-102137.
URL <https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/111/3/1021/25448/Transgenic-blockade-of-interleukin-6>
- [233] Zhang C, Xiao C, Dang E *et al.* CD100–Plexin-B2 Promotes the Inflammation in Psoriasis by Activating NF- κ B and the Inflammasome in Keratinocytes. *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, 138(2):375–383 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.jid.2017.09.005.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022202X17329494>
-

- [234] Yu W, Goncalves K A, Li S *et al.* Plexin-B2 Mediates Physiologic and Pathologic Functions of Angiogenin. *Cell*, 171(4):849–864.e25 (2017). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2017.10.005.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867417311893>
- [235] Roney K E, O'Connor B P, Wen H *et al.* Plexin-B2 Negatively Regulates Macrophage Motility, Rac, and Cdc42 Activation. *PLoS ONE*, 6(9):e24795 (2011). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0024795.
URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024795>
- [236] Akbar N, Digby J E, Cahill T J *et al.* Endothelium-derived extracellular vesicles promote splenic monocyte mobilization in myocardial infarction. *JCI Insight*, 2(17) (2017). doi:10.1172/jci.insight.93344.
URL <https://insight.jci.org/articles/view/93344>
- [237] Takahashi K & Yamanaka S. Induction of Pluripotent Stem Cells from Mouse Embryonic and Adult Fibroblast Cultures by Defined Factors. *Cell*, 126(4):663–676 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.024.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867406009767>
- [238] Feinberg M W, Cao Z, Wara A K, Lebedeva M A, SenBanerjee S, & Jain M K. Kruppel-like Factor 4 Is a Mediator of Proinflammatory Signaling in Macrophages. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 280(46):38247–38258 (2005). doi:10.1074/jbc.M509378200.
URL <http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.M509378200>
- [239] Liu J, Liu Y, Zhang H, Chen G, Wang K, & Xiao X. KLF4 PROMOTES THE EXPRESSION, TRANSLOCATION, AND RELEASE OF HMGB1 IN RAW264.7 MACROPHAGES IN RESPONSE TO LPS. *Shock*, p. 1 (2008). doi:10.1097/shk.0b013e318162bef7.
URL <http://journals.lww.com/00024382-900000000-99762>
- [240] Liu J, Yang T, Liu Y, Zhang H, Wang K, Liu M, Chen G, & Xiao X. Krüppel-like factor 4 inhibits the expression of interleukin-1 beta in lipopolysaccharide-induced RAW264.7 macrophages. *FEBS Letters*, 586(6):834–840 (2012). doi:10.1016/j.febslet.2012.02.003.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1016/j.febslet.2012.02.003>
- [241] Feinberg M W, Wara A K, Cao Z *et al.* The Kruppel-like factor KLF4 is a critical regulator of monocyte differentiation. *The EMBO Journal*, 26(18):4138–4148 (2007). doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7601824.
URL <http://emboj.embopress.org/cgi/doi/10.1038/sj.emboj.7601824>

-
- [242] Alder J K, Georgantas R W, Hildreth R L, Kaplan I M, Morisot S, Yu X, McDevitt M, & Civin C I. Kruppel-Like Factor 4 Is Essential for Inflammatory Monocyte Differentiation In Vivo. *The Journal of Immunology*, 180(8):5645–5652 (2008). doi:10.4049/jimmunol.180.8.5645.
URL <http://www.jimmunol.org/lookup/doi/10.4049/jimmunol.180.8.5645>
- [243] Kurotaki D, Osato N, Nishiyama A *et al.* Essential role of the IRF8-KLF4 transcription factor cascade in murine monocyte differentiation. *Blood*, 121(10):1839–1849 (2013). doi:10.1182/blood-2012-06-437863.
URL <https://ashpublications.org/blood/article/121/10/1839/31201/Essential-role-of-the-IRF8KLF4-transcription>
- [244] Spalinger M R, Manzini R, Hering L *et al.* PTPN2 Regulates Inflammasome Activation and Controls Onset of Intestinal Inflammation and Colon Cancer. *Cell Reports*, 22(7):1835–1848 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.052.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2211124718301013>
- [245] Kim M, Morales L, Jang I S, Cho Y Y, & Kim D. Protein Tyrosine Phosphatases as Potential Regulators of STAT3 Signaling. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 19(9):2708 (2018). doi:10.3390/ijms19092708.
URL <http://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/19/9/2708>
- [246] Ben-Efraim I, Zhou Q, Wiedmer T, Gerace L, & Sims P J. Phospholipid Scramblase 1 Is Imported into the Nucleus by a Receptor-Mediated Pathway and Interacts with DNA \dagger , \ddagger . *Biochemistry*, 43(12):3518–3526 (2004). doi:10.1021/bi0356911.
URL <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/bi0356911>
- [247] Huang Y, Zhao Q, Zhou C X, Gu Z M, Li D, Xu H Z, Sims P J, Zhao K W, & Chen G Q. Antileukemic roles of human phospholipid scramblase 1 gene, evidence from inducible PLSCR1-expressing leukemic cells. *Oncogene*, 25(50):6618–6627 (2006). doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1209677.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/1209677>
- [248] Dong B, Zhou Q, Zhao J *et al.* Phospholipid Scramblase 1 Potentiates the Antiviral Activity of Interferon. *Journal of Virology*, 78(17):8983–8993 (2004). doi:10.1128/JVI.78.17.8983-8993.2004.
URL <http://jvi.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JVI.78.17.8983-8993.2004>
-

- [249] Suzuki E, Amengual O, Atsumi T *et al.* Increased expression of phospholipid scramblase 1 in monocytes from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *The Journal of rheumatology*, 37(8):1639–45 (2010). doi:10.3899/jrheum.091420.
URL <http://www.jrheum.org/lookup/doi/10.3899/jrheum.091420>; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20516018>
- [250] Herate C, Ramdani G, Grant N J, Marion S, Gasman S, Niedergang F, Benichou S, & Bouchet J. Phospholipid Scramblase 1 Modulates FcR-Mediated Phagocytosis in Differentiated Macrophages. *PLoS ONE*, 11(1):e0145617 (2016). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0145617.
URL <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145617>
- [251] Lallemand-Breitenbach V & de Thé H. PML nuclear bodies: from architecture to function. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology*, 52:154–161 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2018.03.011.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0955067418300231>
- [252] Regad T, Saib A, Lallemand-Breitenbach V, Pandolfi P P, de Thé H, & Chelbi-Alix M K. PML mediates the interferon-induced antiviral state against a complex retrovirus via its association with the viral transactivator. *The EMBO journal*, 20(13):3495–505 (2001). doi:10.1093/emboj/20.13.3495.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11432836>
- [253] Chee A V, Lopez P, Pandolfi P P, & Roizman B. Promyelocytic Leukemia Protein Mediates Interferon-Based Anti-Herpes Simplex Virus 1 Effects. *Journal of Virology*, 77(12):7101–7105 (2003). doi:10.1128/JVI.77.12.7101-7105.2003.
URL <http://jvi.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/JVI.77.12.7101-7105.2003>
- [254] Chen Y, Wright J, Meng X, & Leppard K N. Promyelocytic Leukemia Protein Isoform II Promotes Transcription Factor Recruitment To Activate Interferon Beta and Interferon-Responsive Gene Expression. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 35(10):1660–1672 (2015). doi:10.1128/MCB.01478-14.
URL <http://mcb.asm.org/lookup/doi/10.1128/MCB.01478-14>
- [255] Lo Y H, Huang Y W, Wu Y H *et al.* Selective inhibition of the NLRP3 inflammasome by targeting to promyelocytic leukemia protein in mouse and human. *Blood*, 121(16):3185–94 (2013). doi:10.1182/blood-2012-05-432104.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23430110>

-
- [256] Lunardi A, Gaboli M, Giorgio M *et al.* A Role for PML in Innate Immunity. *Genes & Cancer*, 2(1):10–19 (2011). doi:10.1177/1947601911402682.
URL <http://gan.sagepub.com/lookup/doi/10.1177/1947601911402682>
- [257] Iliopoulos D, Hirsch H A, & Struhl K. An Epigenetic Switch Involving NF- κ B, Lin28, Let-7 MicroRNA, and IL6 Links Inflammation to Cell Transformation. *Cell*, 139(4):693–706 (2009). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.10.014.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867409013026>
- [258] Li D, De S, Li D, Song S, Matta B, & Barnes B J. Specific detection of interferon regulatory factor 5 (IRF5): A case of antibody inequality. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):31002 (2016). doi:10.1038/srep31002.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep31002>
- [259] Takaoka A, Yanai H, Kondo S *et al.* Integral role of IRF-5 in the gene induction programme activated by Toll-like receptors. *Nature*, 434(7030):243–249 (2005). doi:10.1038/nature03308.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nature03308>
- [260] Krausgruber T, Blazek K, Smallie T, Alzabin S, Lockstone H, Sahgal N, Hussell T, Feldmann M, & Udalova I A. IRF5 promotes inflammatory macrophage polarization and TH1-TH17 responses. *Nature Immunology*, 12(3):231–238 (2011). doi:10.1038/ni.1990.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/ni.1990>
- [261] Chistiakov D A, Myasoedova V A, Revin V V, Orekhov A N, & Bobryshev Y V. The impact of interferon-regulatory factors to macrophage differentiation and polarization into M1 and M2. *Immunobiology*, 223(1):101–111 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2017.10.005.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.imbio.2017.10.005>
- [262] Wang N, Liang H, & Zen K. Molecular Mechanisms That Influence the Macrophage M1/M2 Polarization Balance. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 5 (2014). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00614.
URL <http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00614/abstract>
- [263] Negishi H, Fujita Y, Yanai H, Sakaguchi S, Ouyang X, Shinohara M, Takayanagi H, Ohba Y, Taniguchi T, & Honda K. Evidence for licensing of IFN- γ -induced IFN regulatory factor 1 transcription factor by MyD88 in Toll-like receptor-dependent gene induction program. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 103(41):15136–15141 (2006). doi:10.1073/
-

- pnas.0607181103.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0607181103>
- [264] Courties G, Heidt T, Sebas M *et al.* In vivo silencing of the transcription factor IRF5 reprograms the macrophage phenotype and improves infarct healing. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 63(15):1556–66 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2013.11.023.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24361318>; <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC3992176>
- [265] Fujiwara Y, Hizukuri Y, Yamashiro K, Makita N, Ohnishi K, Takeya M, Komohara Y, & Hayashi Y. Guanylate-binding protein 5 is a marker of interferon- γ -induced classically activated macrophages. *Clinical & Translational Immunology*, 5(11):e111 (2016). doi:10.1038/cti.2016.59.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1038/cti.2016.59>
- [266] Shenoy A R, Wellington D A, Kumar P, Kassa H, Booth C J, Cresswell P, & MacMicking J D. GBP5 Promotes NLRP3 Inflammasome Assembly and Immunity in Mammals. *Science*, 336(6080):481–485 (2012). doi:10.1126/science.1217141.
URL <https://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.1217141>
- [267] Feng J, Cao Z, Wang L, Wan Y, Peng N, Wang Q, Chen X, Zhou Y, & Zhu Y. Inducible GBP5 Mediates the Antiviral Response via Interferon-Related Pathways during Influenza A Virus Infection. *Journal of Innate Immunity*, 9(4):419–435 (2017). doi:10.1159/000460294.
URL <https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/460294>
- [268] Krapp C, Hotter D, Gawanbacht A *et al.* Guanylate Binding Protein (GBP) 5 Is an Interferon-Inducible Inhibitor of HIV-1 Infectivity. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 19(4):504–514 (2016). doi:10.1016/j.chom.2016.02.019.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1931312816300609>
- [269] Corsetti P P, de Almeida L A, Gonçalves A N A, Gomes M T R, Guimarães E S, Marques J T, & Oliveira S C. miR-181a-5p Regulates TNF- α and miR-21a-5p Influences Guanylate-Binding Protein 5 and IL-10 Expression in Macrophages Affecting Host Control of *Brucella abortus* Infection. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 9 (2018). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2018.01331.
URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fimmu.2018.01331/full>
- [270] Hamada M, Tsunakawa Y, Jeon H, Yadav M K, & Takahashi S. Role of MafB in macrophages. *Experimental Animals*, 69(1):1–10 (2020). doi:10.1538/expanim.19-0076.
URL https://www.jstage.jst.go.jp/article/expanim/69/1/69_{ }19-0076/{ }article

-
- [271] Aziz A, Soucie E, Sarrazin S, & Sieweke M H. MafB/c-Maf Deficiency Enables Self-Renewal of Differentiated Functional Macrophages. *Science*, 326(5954):867–871 (2009). doi:10.1126/science.1176056.
URL <https://www.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/science.1176056>
- [272] Shichita T, Ito M, Morita R, Komai K, Noguchi Y, Ooboshi H, Koshida R, Takahashi S, Kodama T, & Yoshimura A. MAFB prevents excess inflammation after ischemic stroke by accelerating clearance of damage signals through MSR1. *Nature Medicine*, 23(6):723–732 (2017). doi:10.1038/nm.4312.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.4312>
- [273] Liu T M, Wang H, Zhang D N, & Zhu G Z. Transcription Factor MafB Suppresses Type I Interferon Production by CD14+ Monocytes in Patients With Chronic Hepatitis C. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10 (2019). doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.01814.
URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01814/full>
- [274] Tran M T N, Hamada M, Jeon H *et al.* MafB is a critical regulator of complement component C1q. *Nature Communications*, 8(1):1700 (2017). doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01711-0.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-017-01711-0>
- [275] Sato M, Shibata Y, Inoue S *et al.* MafB enhances efferocytosis in RAW264.7 macrophages by regulating Axl expression. *Immunobiology*, 223(1):94–100 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.imbio.2017.10.007.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0171298517301420>
- [276] Tozaki-Saitoh H, Masuda J, Kawada R, Kojima C, Yoneda S, Masuda T, Inoue K, & Tsuda M. Transcription factor MafB contributes to the activation of spinal microglia underlying neuropathic pain development. *Glia*, 67(4):729–740 (2019). doi:10.1002/glia.23570.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/glia.23570>
- [277] Jablonski K A, Gaudet A D, Amici S A, Popovich P G, & Guerau-de Arellano M. Control of the Inflammatory Macrophage Transcriptional Signature by miR-155. *PLOS ONE*, 11(7):e0159724 (2016). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0159724.
URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0159724>
- [278] Kuriakose T & Kanneganti T D. ZBP1: Innate Sensor Regulating Cell Death and Inflammation. *Trends in Immunology*, 39(2):123–134 (2018). doi:10.1016/j.it.2017.11.002.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1471490617302120>
-

- [279] Yang D, Liang Y, Zhao S, Ding Y, Zhuang Q, Shi Q, Ai T, Wu S Q, & Han J. ZBP1 mediates interferon-induced necroptosis. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology*, pp. 1–13 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41423-019-0237-x.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41423-019-0237-x>; <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41423-019-0237-x>
- [280] Maelfait J, Liverpool L, Bridgeman A, Ragan K B, Upton J W, & Rehwinkel J. Sensing of viral and endogenous RNA by ZBP1/DAI induces necroptosis. *The EMBO journal*, 36(17):2529–2543 (2017). doi:10.15252/embj.201796476.
URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5579359>
- [281] Kuriakose T, Man S M, Subbarao Malireddi R K, Karki R, Kesavardhana S, Place D E, Neale G, Vogel P, & Kanneganti T D. ZBP1/DAI is an innate sensor of influenza virus triggering the NLRP3 inflammasome and programmed cell death pathways. *Science Immunology*, 1(2):aag2045–aag2045 (2016). doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aag2045.
URL <https://immunology.sciencemag.org/lookup/doi/10.1126/sciimmunol.aag2045>
- [282] Thapa R J, Basagoudanavar S H, Nogusa S, Irrinki K, Mallilankaraman K, Slifker M J, Beg A A, Madesh M, & Balachandran S. NF- B Protects Cells from Gamma Interferon-Induced RIP1-Dependent Necroptosis. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 31(14):2934–2946 (2011). doi:10.1128/MCB.05445-11.
URL <http://mcb.asm.org/cgi/doi/10.1128/MCB.05445-11>
- [283] Paul R, Zhang Z G, Eliceiri B P, Jiang Q, Boccia A D, Zhang R L, Chopp M, & Cheres D A. Src deficiency or blockade of Src activity in mice provides cerebral protection following stroke. *Nature Medicine*, 7(2):222–227 (2001). doi:10.1038/84675.
- [284] Zan L, Zhang X, Xi Y, Wu H, Song Y, Teng G, Li H, Qi J, & Wang J. Src regulates angiogenic factors and vascular permeability after focal cerebral ischemia–reperfusion. *Neuroscience*, 262(2):118–128 (2014). doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2013.12.060.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0022202X15370834>
- [285] Kumar A, Jaggi A S, & Singh N. Pharmacological investigations on possible role of Src kinases in neuroprotective mechanism of ischemic postconditioning in mice. *International Journal of Neuroscience*, 124(10):777–786 (2014). doi:10.3109/00207454.2013.879869.
URL <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.3109/00207454.2013.879869>
- [286] Adam A P, Lowery A M, Martino N, Alsaffar H, & Vincent P A. Src Family Kinases Modulate the Loss of Endothelial Barrier Function in Response to TNF- α : Crosstalk with p38

- Signaling. *PLOS ONE*, 11(9):e0161975 (2016). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161975.
URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161975>
- [287] Brown M T & Cooper J A. Regulation, substrates and functions of src. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Cancer*, 1287(2-3):121–149 (1996). doi:10.1016/0304-419X(96)00003-0.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0304419X96000030>
- [288] Okutani D, Lodyga M, Han B, & Liu M. Src protein tyrosine kinase family and acute inflammatory responses. *American Journal of Physiology-Lung Cellular and Molecular Physiology*, 291(2):L129–L141 (2006). doi:10.1152/ajplung.00261.2005.
URL <https://www.physiology.org/doi/10.1152/ajplung.00261.2005>
- [289] Hama K, Fujiwara Y, Morita M *et al.* Profiling and Imaging of Phospholipids in Brains of Abcd1 -Deficient Mice. *Lipids*, 53(1):85–102 (2018). doi:10.1002/lipd.12022.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/lipd.12022>
- [290] Lauer A, Da X, Hansen M B *et al.* ABCD1 dysfunction alters white matter microvascular perfusion. *Brain*, 140(12):3139–3152 (2017). doi:10.1093/brain/awx262.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/brain/article/140/12/3139/4608962>
- [291] Orchard P J, Markowski T W, Higgins L, Raymond G V, Nascene D R, Miller W P, Pierpont E I, & Lund T C. Association between APOE4 and biomarkers in cerebral adrenoleukodystrophy. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1):7858 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41598-019-44140-3.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-019-44140-3>
- [292] Lei Y, Liu L, Zhang S *et al.* Hdac7 promotes lung tumorigenesis by inhibiting Stat3 activation. *Molecular Cancer*, 16(1):170 (2017). doi:10.1186/s12943-017-0736-2.
URL <https://molecular-cancer.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12943-017-0736-2>
- [293] Chang S, Young B D, Li S, Qi X, Richardson J A, & Olson E N. Histone Deacetylase 7 Maintains Vascular Integrity by Repressing Matrix Metalloproteinase 10. *Cell*, 126(2):321–334 (2006). doi:10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.040.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0092867406008130>
- [294] Peixoto P, Blomme A, Costanza B *et al.* HDAC7 inhibition resets STAT3 tumorigenic activity in human glioblastoma independently of EGFR and PTEN: new opportunities for selected targeted therapies. *Oncogene*, 35(34):4481–4494 (2016). doi:10.1038/onc.2015.506.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/onc2015506>

- [295] Barneda-Zahonero B, Collazo O, Azagra A *et al.* The transcriptional repressor HDAC7 promotes apoptosis and c-Myc downregulation in particular types of leukemia and lymphoma. *Cell Death & Disease*, 6(2):e1635–e1635 (2015). doi:10.1038/cddis.2014.594.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/cddis2014594>
- [296] Barneda-Zahonero B, Román-González L, Collazo O *et al.* HDAC7 Is a Repressor of Myeloid Genes Whose Downregulation Is Required for Transdifferentiation of Pre-B Cells into Macrophages. *PLoS Genetics*, 9(5):e1003503 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003503.
URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1003503>
- [297] Miano J M & Berk B C. HDAC7 supports vascular integrity. *Nature Medicine*, 12(9):997–998 (2006). doi:10.1038/nm0906-997.
- [298] Ha C H, Jhun B S, Kao H Y, & Jin Z G. VEGF Stimulates HDAC7 Phosphorylation and Cytoplasmic Accumulation Modulating Matrix Metalloproteinase Expression and Angiogenesis. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, 28(10):1782–1788 (2008). doi:10.1161/ATVBAHA.108.172528.
URL <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/ATVBAHA.108.172528>
- [299] Lee D Y, Lin T E, Lee C I, Zhou J, Huang Y H, Lee P L, Shih Y T, Chien S, & Chiu J J. MicroRNA-10a is crucial for endothelial response to different flow patterns via interaction of retinoid acid receptors and histone deacetylases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(8):2072–2077 (2017). doi:10.1073/pnas.1621425114.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/lookup/doi/10.1073/pnas.1621425114>
- [300] Fan Y, Siklenka K, Arora S K, Ribeiro P, Kimmins S, & Xia J. miRNet - dissecting miRNA-target interactions and functional associations through network-based visual analysis. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 44(W1):W135–W141 (2016). doi:10.1093/nar/gkw288.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/nar/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/nar/gkw288>
- [301] Tokar T, Pastrello C, Rossos A E M, Abovsky M, Hauschild A C, Tsay M, Lu R, & Jurisica I. mirDIP 4.1—integrative database of human microRNA target predictions. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 46(D1):D360–D370 (2018). doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1144.
URL <http://academic.oup.com/nar/article/46/D1/D360/4670951>
- [302] Neo4j I. Introducing Neo4j 4.0 (2020).
URL <https://neo4j.com/press-releases/announcing-neo4j-4-0/>

-
- [303] Kosik K S. *High Throughput Profiling of the microRNA - mRNA Interactome in the Developing Human Brain* (2017). Non-coding RNAs in Nervous System Development, Plasticity and Disease, SPP1738, June 21-24, Marburg, Germany.
- [304] Kosik K S. Publication List (2020).
URL <https://ken-kosik.mcdb.ucsb.edu/publications>
- [305] Vieth B, Ziegenhain C, Parekh S, Enard W, & Hellmann I. powsimR: power analysis for bulk and single cell RNA-seq experiments. *Bioinformatics*, 33(21):3486–3488 (2017). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx435.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/33/21/3486/3952669>
- [306] Rossi A F T, Contiero J C, Manoel-Caetano F d S, Severino F E, & Silva A E. Up-regulation of tumor necrosis factor- α pathway survival genes and of the receptor TNFR2 in gastric cancer. *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*, 11(4):281–294 (2019). doi:10.4251/wjgo.v11.i4.281.
URL <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v11/i4/281.htm>
- [307] Mor E & Shomron N. Species-specific microRNA regulation influences phenotypic variability. *BioEssays*, pp. n/a–n/a (2013). doi:10.1002/bies.201200157.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/bies.201200157>
- [308] Yuliani T, Lobentanzer S, & Klein J. *Central cholinergic function in the rat streptozotocin model of Alzheimer's disease* (2020). Currently in submission.
- [309] Sticht C, De La Torre C, Parveen A, & Gretz N. miRWalk: An online resource for prediction of microRNA binding sites. *PLOS ONE*, 13(10):e0206239 (2018). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0206239.
URL <http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206239>
- [310] Ding J, Li X, & Hu H. TarPmiR: a new approach for microRNA target site prediction. *Bioinformatics*, 32(18):2768–2775 (2016). doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btw318.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/bioinformatics/btw318>
- [311] Saadat S, Sendtner M, & Rohrer H. Ciliary Neurotrophic Factor Induces Cholinergic Differentiation of Rat Sympathetic Neurons in Culture. *The Journal of Cell Biology*, 108(May):1807–1816 (1989).
-

- [312] Tracey K J. The inflammatory reflex. *Nature*, 420(6917):853–859 (2002). doi:10.1038/nature01321.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nature01321>
- [313] Garcia-Oscos F, Peña D, Housini M *et al.* Vagal nerve stimulation blocks interleukin 6-dependent synaptic hyperexcitability induced by lipopolysaccharide-induced acute stress in the rodent prefrontal cortex. *Brain, Behavior, and Immunity*, 43:149–158 (2015). doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2014.07.020.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0889159114004127>
- [314] Zila I, Mokra D, Kopincova J, Kolomaznik M, Javorka M, & Calkovska A. Vagal-Immune Interactions Involved in Cholinergic Anti-Inflammatory Pathway. *Physiological Research*, pp. S139–S145 (2017). doi:10.33549/physiolres.933671.
URL <http://www.biomed.cas.cz/physiolres/pdf/2017/66{ }S139.pdf>
- [315] Cui W Y & Li M D. Nicotinic Modulation of Innate Immune Pathways Via $\alpha 7$ Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptor. *Journal of Neuroimmune Pharmacology*, 5(4):479–488 (2010). doi:10.1007/s11481-010-9210-2.
URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11481-010-9210-2>
- [316] Razani-Boroujerdi S, Behl M, Hahn F F, Pena-Philippides J C, Hutt J, & Sopori M L. Role of muscarinic receptors in the regulation of immune and inflammatory responses. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*, 194(1-2):83–88 (2008). doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2007.11.019.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165572807004328>
- [317] Wang P, Lü J, & Yu X. Identification of important nodes in directed biological networks: a network motif approach. *PloSone*, 9(8):e106132 (2014). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0106132.
URL <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0106132>
- [318] Pasquin S, Sharma M, & Gauchat J F. Ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF): New facets of an old molecule for treating neurodegenerative and metabolic syndrome pathologies. *Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews*, 26(5):507–515 (2015). doi:10.1016/J.CYTOGFR.2015.07.007.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S1359610115000556?via{ }3Dihub>
- [319] Baazaoui N & Iqbal K. A Novel Therapeutic Approach to Treat Alzheimer’s Disease by Neurotrophic Support During the Period of Synaptic Compensation. *Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease*, 62(3):1211 (2018). doi:10.3233/JAD-170839.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5870029/>

-
- [320] Chase K A, Cone J J, Rosen C, & Sharma R P. The value of interleukin 6 as a peripheral diagnostic marker in schizophrenia. *BMC Psychiatry*, 16 (2016). doi:10.1186/S12888-016-0866-X.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4874006/>
- [321] Girgis R R, Ciarleglio A, Choo T, Haynes G, Bathon J M, Cremers S, Kantrowitz J T, Lieberman J A, & Brown A S. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Clinical Trial of Tocilizumab, An Interleukin-6 Receptor Antibody, For Residual Symptoms in Schizophrenia. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 43(6):1317 (2018). doi:10.1038/NPP.2017.258.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5916349/>
- [322] Goldsmith D, Rapaport M, & Miller B. A meta-analysis of blood cytokine network alterations in psychiatric patients: comparisons between schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and depression. *Molecular psychiatry*, 21(12):1696 (2016). doi:10.1038/MP.2016.3.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6056174/>
- [323] Lu Y R, Rao Y B, Mou Y J, Chen Y, Lou H F, Zhang Y, Zhang D X, Xie H Y, Hu L W, & Fang P. High concentrations of serum interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 in patients with bipolar disorder. *Medicine*, 98(7) (2019). doi:10.1097/MD.00000000000014419.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6407988/>
- [324] Wiener C D, Moreira F P, Portela L V, Strogulski N R, Lara D R, da Silva R A, Souza L D d M, Jansen K, & Oses J P. Interleukin-6 and Interleukin-10 in mood disorders: A population-based study. *Psychiatry Research*, 273:685–689 (2019). doi:10.1016/J.PSYCHRES.2019.01.100.
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0165178118321292?via=ihub>
- [325] Kang S S, Keasey M P, Cai J, & Hagg T. Loss of Neuron-Astroglial Interaction Rapidly Induces Protective CNTF Expression after Stroke in Mice. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(27):9277 (2012). doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1746-12.2012.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3403837/>
- [326] Kang S S, Keasey M P, Arnold S A, Reid R, Gerald J, & Hagg T. Endogenous CNTF mediates stroke-induced adult CNS neurogenesis in mice. *Neurobiology of disease*, 0:68 (2013). doi:10.1016/J.NBD.2012.08.020.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3657597/>
-

- [327] Bustamante A, Sobrino T, Giralt D *et al.* Prognostic value of blood interleukin-6 in the prediction of functional outcome after stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Neuroimmunology*, 274(1):215–224 (2014). doi:10.1016/J.JNEUROIM.2014.07.015.
URL [https://www.jni-journal.com/article/S0165-5728\(14\)00230-6/fulltext](https://www.jni-journal.com/article/S0165-5728(14)00230-6/fulltext)
- [328] Armstead WM, Hekierski H, Pastor P, Yarovoi S, Higazi A A R, & Cines D B. Release of IL-6 After Stroke Contributes to Impaired Cerebral Autoregulation and Hippocampal Neuronal Necrosis Through NMDA Receptor Activation and Upregulation of ET-1 and JNK. *Translational Stroke Research* 2018 10:1, 10(1):104–111 (2019). doi:10.1007/S12975-018-0617-Z.
URL <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%7B%7D2Fs12975-018-0617-z>
- [329] Cora' D, Re A, Caselle M, & Bussolino F. MicroRNA-mediated regulatory circuits: outlook and perspectives. *Physical Biology*, 14(4):045001 (2017). doi:10.1088/1478-3975/aa6f21.
URL <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1478-3975/aa6f21>
- [330] Ma J, Dong C, & Ji C. MicroRNA and drug resistance. *Cancer Gene Therapy*, 17(8):523–531 (2010). doi:10.1038/cgt.2010.18.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/cgt201018>
- [331] Climent J, Dimitrow P, Fridlyand J, Palacios J, Siebert R, Albertson D G, Gray J W, Pinkel D, Lluch A, & Martinez-Climent J A. Deletion of Chromosome 11q Predicts Response to Anthracycline-Based Chemotherapy in Early Breast Cancer. *Cancer Research*, 67(2):818–826 (2007). doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3307.
URL <http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-06-3307>
- [332] Meng F, Wang J, Dai E, Yang F, Chen X, Wang S, Yu X, Liu D, & Jiang W. Psmir: a database of potential associations between small molecules and miRNAs. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1):19264 (2016). doi:10.1038/srep19264.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/srep19264>
- [333] Xie W B, Yan H, & Zhao X M. EmDL: Extracting miRNA-Drug Interactions from Literature. *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 16(5):1722–1728 (2019). doi:10.1109/TCBB.2017.2723394.
URL <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/7970147/>
- [334] Clayton S A, Jones S W, Kurowska-Stolarska M, & Clark A R. The role of microRNAs in glucocorticoid action. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 293(6):1865–1874 (2018). doi:10.1074/jbc.R117.000366.
URL <http://www.jbc.org/lookup/doi/10.1074/jbc.R117.000366>

- [335] Gardiner E, Carroll A, Tooney P A, & Cairns M J. Antipsychotic drug-associated gene-miRNA interaction in T-lymphocytes. *The International Journal of Neuropsychopharmacology*, 17(06):929–943 (2014). doi:10.1017/S1461145713001752.
URL <https://academic.oup.com/ijnp/article-lookup/doi/10.1017/S1461145713001752>
- [336] Murray M, Rushworth S A, Zaitseva L, Bowles K M, & MacEwan D J. Attenuation of dexamethasone-induced cell death in multiple myeloma is mediated by miR-125b expression. *Cell Cycle*, 12(13):2144–2153 (2013). doi:10.4161/cc.25251.
URL <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.4161/cc.25251>
- [337] Akhtar S & Benter I F. Nonviral delivery of synthetic siRNAs in vivo. *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 117(12):3623–3632 (2007). doi:10.1172/JCI33494.
URL <http://content.the-jci.org/articles/view/33494>
- [338] Whitehead K A, Langer R, & Anderson D G. Knocking down barriers: advances in siRNA delivery. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 8(2):129–138 (2009). doi:10.1038/nrd2742.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrd2742>
- [339] Jayaraman M, Ansell S M, Mui B L *et al.* Maximizing the Potency of siRNA Lipid Nanoparticles for Hepatic Gene Silencing In Vivo. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition*, 51(34):8529–8533 (2012). doi:10.1002/anie.201203263.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/anie.201203263>
- [340] Raal F J, Kallend D, Ray K K *et al.* Inclisiran for the Treatment of Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 382(16):1520–1530 (2020). doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1913805.
URL <http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1913805>
- [341] Piascik P. Fomiversen Sodium Approved to Treat CMV Retinitis. *Journal of the American Pharmaceutical Association (1996)*, 39(1):84–85 (1999). doi:10.1016/S1086-5802(16)30428-4.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1086580216304284>
- [342] Sharad S. Antisense Therapy: An Overview. In *Antisense Therapy*. IntechOpen (2019). doi:10.5772/intechopen.86867.
URL <https://www.intechopen.com/books/antisense-therapy/antisense-therapy-an-overview>

- [343] Wang F, Zuroske T, & Watts J K. RNA therapeutics on the rise. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery* (2020). doi:10.1038/d41573-020-00078-0.
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/d41573-020-00078-0>
- [344] Kim J, Hu C, Moufawad El Achkar C *et al.* Patient-Customized Oligonucleotide Therapy for a Rare Genetic Disease. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 381(17):1644–1652 (2019). doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1813279.
URL <http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1813279>
- [345] Gullotti E & Yeo Y. Extracellularly activated nanocarriers: A new paradigm of tumor targeted drug delivery. *Molecular pharmaceutics*, 6(4):1041 (2009). doi:10.1021/MP900090Z.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2743941/>
- [346] Hassanshahi M, Hassanshahi A, Khabbazi S, Su Y W, & Xian C J. Bone marrow sinusoidal endothelium as a facilitator/regulator of cell egress from the bone marrow. *Critical Reviews in Oncology/Hematology*, 137:43–56 (2019). doi:10.1016/j.critrevonc.2019.01.024.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1040842818301975>
- [347] Diaz G. The Mechanism of Small Interfering RNA (siRNA) Therapy (2018).
URL <https://www.grepmed.com/images/411/porphyria-pathophys-therapy-sirna-nejm-honc>
- [348] Frank-Kamenetsky M, Grefhorst A, Anderson N N *et al.* Therapeutic RNAi targeting PCSK9 acutely lowers plasma cholesterol in rodents and LDL cholesterol in nonhuman primates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(33):11915–11920 (2008). doi:10.1073/pnas.0805434105.
URL <http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0805434105>
- [349] Fitzgerald K, Frank-Kamenetsky M, Shulga-Morskaya S *et al.* Effect of an RNA interference drug on the synthesis of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) and the concentration of serum LDL cholesterol in healthy volunteers: a randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 1 trial. *The Lancet*, 383(9911):60–68 (2014). doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61914-5.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673613619145>
- [350] Fitzgerald K, White S, Borodovsky A *et al.* A Highly Durable RNAi Therapeutic Inhibitor of PCSK9. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 376(1):41–51 (2017). doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1609243.
URL <http://www.nejm.org/doi/10.1056/NEJMoa1609243>

- [351] Almuttaqi H & Udalova I A. Advances and challenges in targeting IRF5, a key regulator of inflammation. *The FEBS Journal*, 286(9):1624–1637 (2019). doi:10.1111/febs.14654.
URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/febs.14654>
- [352] Schudel A, Francis D M, & Thomas S N. Material design for lymph node drug delivery. *Nature Reviews Materials* 2019 4:6, 4(6):415–428 (2019). doi:10.1038/s41578-019-0110-7.
URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41578-019-0110-7?proof=trueIn>
- [353] Panizzi P, Swirski F K, Figueiredo J L, Waterman P, Sosnovik D E, Aikawa E, Libby P, Pittet M, Weissleder R, & Nahrendorf M. Impaired Infarct Healing in Atherosclerotic Mice With Ly-6Chi Monocytosis. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 55(15):1629–1638 (2010). doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.08.089.
URL <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0735109710004547>

List of Figures

1.1	Cholinergic Projections.	2
1.2	Vagus nerve-mediated reflex circuitry in immunity and inflammation.	7
1.3	The Neurokinin Pathway.	13
1.4	Structure of the Ago2-guide complex.	17
1.5	miRNA Binding Modes.	18
2.1	Organisation of a Graph Database.	27
2.2	Histogram of miRNA→Gene Score Distribution.	33
2.3	microRNA Species Homology.	37
3.1	Schematic Display of the Blood-Brain-Barrier.	44
3.2	Single-Cell Sequencing of CNS Tissues.	47
3.3	Clusters of Cholinergic Transcripts in Single-Cell Sequencing.	49
3.4	LA-N-2 and LA-N-5, Time-dose Curve and Differentiation Timeline.	52
3.5	Small RNA Sequencing - Read Count, Quality, and Length.	55
3.6	MD Plot Shrinkage Comparison.	57
3.7	Differentially Expressed microRNAs in LA-N-2 and LA-N-5.	58
3.8	LA-N-2 / LA-N-5 Timeline and Differential Expression.	60
3.9	miRNAs Differentially Expressed Between LA-N-2 and LA-N-5.	61
3.10	Differential Expression miRNA Family Enrichment	64
3.11	LA-N-2 / LA-N-5 Full Connectome.	66
3.12	GO Enrichment of Diverging Genes.	71
3.13	The Cholinergic/Neurokinin interface.	73
4.1	Cholinergic-associated Small RNA ECDF Curves.	81
4.2	Large RNA Differential Expression Gene Ontology Enrichment.	83
4.3	Small and Large RNA Differential Expression and tRF Properties.	84
4.4	Small RNA Sequencing of Sorted Cell Types of the Blood and Definition of Presence/Absence.	87
4.5	Functional Characterisation of Hierarchical Clusters in Blood Cell Small RNA Expression.	89
4.6	Large RNA Expression Patterns in Blood-Borne Cells.	90
4.7	Small RNA Expression Patterns in Blood-Borne Cells.	92
4.8	Small RNA Targeting of Transcription Factors in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes.	95

4.9	Small RNA Feedforward Loop Theory.	98
4.10	Complete Feedforward Loop Network of Differentially Expressed Transcription Factors in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes.	100
4.11	FFL module Gene Ontology Enrichment.	112
4.12	Complete Feedforward Loop Network of Differentially Expressed Transcription Factors in CD14 ⁺ Monocytes, Annotated.	113
5.1	HDAC7/RARA/miR-10a Circuit.	121
5.2	miRNA-Interaction Network Example.	123
5.3	Correlation between CHAT and SLC5A7 in Nervous Cells.	125
5.4	Number of Publications on Neuroinflammation by Year.	133
5.5	The Cholinergic/Neurokinin miRNA Interface.	135
5.6	Coherence of miRNA Feedforward Loops.	137
5.7	Comparison of Annotated Feedforward Loop Network and t-SNE Visualisation of Module GO Terms.	138
5.8	siRNA Nanoparticle Lipid.	142
5.9	The Mechanism of Small Interfering RNA (siRNA) Therapy.	144

A | Transcription Factor Regulatory Circuits - Tissue Types

CNS Tissues	
AMYGDALA ADULT BRAIN ADULT CAUDATE NUCLEUS ADULT CEREBELLUM ADULT CEREBRAL MENINGES ADULT CORPUS CALLOSUM ADULT DIENCEPHALON ADULT FRONTAL LOBE ADULT GLOBUS PALLIDUS ADULT HIPPOCAMPUS ADULT INSULA ADULT LOCUS COERULEUS ADULT MEDIAL FRONTAL GYRUS ADULT MEDIAL TEMPORAL GYRUS ADULT MEDIAL TEMPORAL GYRUS MEDULLA OBLONGATA ADULT NEUROBLASTOMA CELL LINE	NUCLEUS ACCUMBENS ADULT OCCIPITAL CORTEX ADULT OCCIPITAL LOBE ADULT OCCIPITAL POLE ADULT OLFACTORY REGION ADULT OPTIC NERVE PARACENTRAL GYRUS ADULT PARIETAL LOBE ADULT PONS ADULT POSTCENTRAL GYRUS ADULT PUTAMEN ADULT SPINAL CORD ADULT SPINAL CORD FETAL SUBSTANTIA NIGRA ADULT TEMPORAL LOBE ADULT THALAMUS ADULT
Immune Tissues	
CD14-CD16+ MONOCYTES CD14+CD16- MONOCYTES CD14+CD16+ MONOCYTES CD14+ MONOCYTES CD19+ B CELLS CD4+ T CELLS CD8+ T CELLS DENDRITIC CELLS - PLASMACYTOID ENDOTHELIAL PROGENITOR CELLS LANGERHANS CELLS IMMATURE	LANGERHANS CELLS MIGRATORY LYMPH NODE ADULT MACROPHAGE - MONOCYTE DERIVED MAST CELL NATURAL KILLER CELLS NEUTROPHILS SPLEEN ADULT SPLEEN FETAL THYMUS ADULT THYMUS FETAL
CD34+ STEM CELLS - ADULT BONE MARROW DERIVED CD4+CD25-CD45RA+ NAIVE CONVENTIONAL T CELLS CD4+CD25+CD45RA+ NAIVE REGULATORY T CELLS CD4+CD25-CD45RA- MEMORY CONVENTIONAL T CELLS CD4+CD25+CD45RA- MEMORY REGULATORY T CELLS DENDRITIC CELLS - MONOCYTE IMMATURE DERIVED	

B

List of Primate-Specific Homologues of Human microRNAs

Primate-specific microRNAs			
hsa-let-7c-3p	hsa-mir-3138	hsa-mir-517c-3p	hsa-mir-604
hsa-mir-101-3p	hsa-mir-3149	hsa-mir-518a-3p	hsa-mir-606
hsa-mir-105-3p	hsa-mir-3155a	hsa-mir-518a-5p	hsa-mir-608
hsa-mir-105-5p	hsa-mir-3165	hsa-mir-518b	hsa-mir-609
hsa-mir-1180-3p	hsa-mir-3170	hsa-mir-518c-3p	hsa-mir-610
hsa-mir-1180-5p	hsa-mir-3174	hsa-mir-518c-5p	hsa-mir-611
hsa-mir-1181	hsa-mir-3188	hsa-mir-518d-3p	hsa-mir-612
hsa-mir-1182	hsa-mir-3193	hsa-mir-518d-5p	hsa-mir-6127
hsa-mir-1183	hsa-mir-3200-3p	hsa-mir-518e-3p	hsa-mir-6128
hsa-mir-1184	hsa-mir-3200-5p	hsa-mir-518e-5p	hsa-mir-6129
hsa-mir-1185-5p	hsa-mir-320c	hsa-mir-518f-3p	hsa-mir-613
hsa-mir-1200	hsa-mir-320d	hsa-mir-518f-5p	hsa-mir-6130
hsa-mir-1202	hsa-mir-320e	hsa-mir-519a-3p	hsa-mir-6131
hsa-mir-1203	hsa-mir-323a-3p	hsa-mir-519a-5p	hsa-mir-6132
hsa-mir-1204	hsa-mir-323a-5p	hsa-mir-519c-3p	hsa-mir-6133
hsa-mir-1205	hsa-mir-323b-3p	hsa-mir-519c-5p	hsa-mir-6134
hsa-mir-1206	hsa-mir-323b-5p	hsa-mir-519e-3p	hsa-mir-614
hsa-mir-1207-3p	hsa-mir-33b-3p	hsa-mir-519e-5p	hsa-mir-617
hsa-mir-1207-5p	hsa-mir-33b-5p	hsa-mir-520a-3p	hsa-mir-618
hsa-mir-1208	hsa-mir-3612	hsa-mir-520a-5p	hsa-mir-621
hsa-mir-1225-5p	hsa-mir-3661	hsa-mir-520b	hsa-mir-622
hsa-mir-1244	hsa-mir-372-3p	hsa-mir-520c-3p	hsa-mir-623
hsa-mir-1253	hsa-mir-372-5p	hsa-mir-520c-5p	hsa-mir-626
hsa-mir-1254	hsa-mir-374a-3p	hsa-mir-520d-3p	hsa-mir-628-3p
hsa-mir-1255a	hsa-mir-374a-5p	hsa-mir-520d-5p	hsa-mir-628-5p
hsa-mir-1257	hsa-mir-376a-2-5p	hsa-mir-520e	hsa-mir-630
hsa-mir-1258	hsa-mir-378e	hsa-mir-520h	hsa-mir-633
hsa-mir-1260a	hsa-mir-378j	hsa-mir-521	hsa-mir-634

Primate-specific microRNAs (continued)			
hsa-mir-1261	hsa-mir-3923	hsa-mir-522-3p	hsa-mir-635
hsa-mir-1262	hsa-mir-3937	hsa-mir-522-5p	hsa-mir-636
hsa-mir-1263	hsa-mir-3938	hsa-mir-523-3p	hsa-mir-637
hsa-mir-1264	hsa-mir-3943	hsa-mir-523-5p	hsa-mir-638
hsa-mir-1265	hsa-mir-422a	hsa-mir-524-3p	hsa-mir-639
hsa-mir-1267	hsa-mir-432-3p	hsa-mir-524-5p	hsa-mir-640
hsa-mir-1270	hsa-mir-432-5p	hsa-mir-525-3p	hsa-mir-641
hsa-mir-1271-3p	hsa-mir-4427	hsa-mir-525-5p	hsa-mir-643
hsa-mir-1271-5p	hsa-mir-4428	hsa-mir-526a	hsa-mir-645
hsa-mir-1272	hsa-mir-4429	hsa-mir-527	hsa-mir-646
hsa-mir-1273a	hsa-mir-4446-3p	hsa-mir-548d-3p	hsa-mir-647
hsa-mir-1273c	hsa-mir-4446-5p	hsa-mir-548d-5p	hsa-mir-648
hsa-mir-1273e	hsa-mir-4451	hsa-mir-548g-3p	hsa-mir-649
hsa-mir-1275	hsa-mir-4484	hsa-mir-548g-5p	hsa-mir-650
hsa-mir-1276	hsa-mir-4488	hsa-mir-548h-3p	hsa-mir-6505-3p
hsa-mir-1278	hsa-mir-449b-3p	hsa-mir-548h-5p	hsa-mir-6505-5p
hsa-mir-1283	hsa-mir-449b-5p	hsa-mir-548i	hsa-mir-656-3p
hsa-mir-1286	hsa-mir-4504	hsa-mir-548j-3p	hsa-mir-656-5p
hsa-mir-1289	hsa-mir-4510	hsa-mir-548j-5p	hsa-mir-657
hsa-mir-1290	hsa-mir-4515	hsa-mir-548k	hsa-mir-660-3p
hsa-mir-1293	hsa-mir-4526	hsa-mir-548l	hsa-mir-660-5p
hsa-mir-1294	hsa-mir-454-3p	hsa-mir-548n	hsa-mir-661
hsa-mir-1296-3p	hsa-mir-454-5p	hsa-mir-548p	hsa-mir-662
hsa-mir-1296-5p	hsa-mir-4637	hsa-mir-549a	hsa-mir-663b
hsa-mir-1299	hsa-mir-4654	hsa-mir-553	hsa-mir-6790-3p
hsa-mir-1302	hsa-mir-4660	hsa-mir-554	hsa-mir-6790-5p
hsa-mir-1303	hsa-mir-4672	hsa-mir-555	hsa-mir-6794-3p
hsa-mir-1305	hsa-mir-4703-3p	hsa-mir-556-3p	hsa-mir-6794-5p
hsa-mir-1322	hsa-mir-4703-5p	hsa-mir-556-5p	hsa-mir-6827-3p

Primate-specific microRNAs (continued)			
hsa-mir-1323	hsa-mir-4766-3p	hsa-mir-557	hsa-mir-6827-5p
hsa-mir-1324	hsa-mir-4766-5p	hsa-mir-558	hsa-mir-760
hsa-mir-1469	hsa-mir-4788	hsa-mir-559	hsa-mir-765
hsa-mir-1471	hsa-mir-4791	hsa-mir-563	hsa-mir-767-3p
hsa-mir-147a	hsa-mir-4796-3p	hsa-mir-564	hsa-mir-767-5p
hsa-mir-147b	hsa-mir-4796-5p	hsa-mir-566	hsa-mir-769-3p
hsa-mir-151a-3p	hsa-mir-4803	hsa-mir-567	hsa-mir-769-5p
hsa-mir-151a-5p	hsa-mir-486-5p	hsa-mir-569	hsa-mir-885-3p
hsa-mir-151b	hsa-mir-492	hsa-mir-571	hsa-mir-885-5p
hsa-mir-1538	hsa-mir-498	hsa-mir-572	hsa-mir-889-3p
hsa-mir-181a-3p	hsa-mir-500a-3p	hsa-mir-573	hsa-mir-889-5p
hsa-mir-1825	hsa-mir-500a-5p	hsa-mir-575	hsa-mir-890
hsa-mir-1827	hsa-mir-500b-3p	hsa-mir-576-3p	hsa-mir-891b
hsa-mir-1911-3p	hsa-mir-500b-5p	hsa-mir-576-5p	hsa-mir-892a
hsa-mir-1911-5p	hsa-mir-502-3p	hsa-mir-577	hsa-mir-892b
hsa-mir-1912	hsa-mir-502-5p	hsa-mir-581	hsa-mir-892c-3p
hsa-mir-1913	hsa-mir-506-3p	hsa-mir-583	hsa-mir-892c-5p
hsa-mir-197-3p	hsa-mir-506-5p	hsa-mir-584-3p	hsa-mir-920
hsa-mir-197-5p	hsa-mir-507	hsa-mir-584-5p	hsa-mir-921
hsa-mir-1976	hsa-mir-508-3p	hsa-mir-586	hsa-mir-922
hsa-mir-198	hsa-mir-508-5p	hsa-mir-587	hsa-mir-924
hsa-mir-2110	hsa-mir-510-3p	hsa-mir-588	hsa-mir-933
hsa-mir-2278	hsa-mir-510-5p	hsa-mir-590-3p	hsa-mir-934
hsa-mir-23c	hsa-mir-512-3p	hsa-mir-590-5p	hsa-mir-936
hsa-mir-298	hsa-mir-513a-5p	hsa-mir-591	hsa-mir-938
hsa-mir-299-3p	hsa-mir-514a-3p	hsa-mir-595	hsa-mir-943
hsa-mir-299-5p	hsa-mir-514a-5p	hsa-mir-596	hsa-mir-944
hsa-mir-302e	hsa-mir-515-3p	hsa-mir-600	hsa-mir-95-3p
hsa-mir-302f	hsa-mir-515-5p	hsa-mir-601	hsa-mir-95-5p
hsa-mir-3122	hsa-mir-516a-3p	hsa-mir-602	
hsa-mir-3132	hsa-mir-516a-5p	hsa-mir-603	

C | List of GO Terms from Analysis of Differentially Expressed Large RNA in Stroke

Abbreviations. A: Number of Annotated Genes in Term; S: Number of Significant Genes in Term; E: Number of Expected Significant Genes in Term; P: Adjusted P-Value; LFC: log₂ fold change.

Ranking by Count-change, Absolute					
GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0035456	response to interferon-beta	16	6	0.86	1.1E-04
GO:0071346	cellular response to interferon-gamma	66	12	3.57	1.4E-04
GO:0035455	response to interferon-alpha	13	5	0.70	3.8E-04
GO:0007005	mitochondrion organization	63	9	3.40	5.6E-03
GO:0042775	mitochondrial ATP synthesis coupled elec...	15	4	0.81	6.9E-03
GO:0050691	regulation of defense response to virus ...	15	4	0.81	6.9E-03
GO:0070670	response to interleukin-4	15	4	0.81	6.9E-03
GO:0046427	positive regulation of JAK-STAT cascade	16	4	0.86	8.8E-03
GO:0032069	regulation of nuclease activity	10	3	0.54	1.4E-02
GO:0045648	positive regulation of erythrocyte diffe...	10	3	0.54	1.4E-02
GO:0010469	regulation of signaling receptor activit...	38	6	2.05	1.4E-02
GO:0097696	STAT cascade	32	7	1.73	2.2E-02
GO:0008285	negative regulation of cell proliferatio...	95	10	5.13	2.4E-02
GO:0033273	response to vitamin	12	3	0.65	2.4E-02
GO:0050806	positive regulation of synaptic transmis...	12	3	0.65	2.4E-02
GO:0001936	regulation of endothelial cell prolifera...	21	4	1.13	2.4E-02
GO:0051100	negative regulation of binding	32	5	1.73	2.6E-02
GO:0043393	regulation of protein binding	44	6	2.38	2.8E-02
GO:0042157	lipoprotein metabolic process	13	3	0.70	2.9E-02
GO:0046677	response to antibiotic	56	7	3.03	4.1E-02
GO:0048167	regulation of synaptic plasticity	15	3	0.81	4.3E-02
GO:0009617	response to bacterium	125	11	6.75	4.4E-02
GO:0002576	platelet degranulation	37	5	2.00	4.6E-02
GO:0009410	response to xenobiotic stimulus	26	4	1.41	4.8E-02

Ranking by Count-change, Negative

GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0006954	inflammatory response	97	15	4.99	1.3E-04
GO:0042981	regulation of apoptotic process	217	25	11.16	1.8E-04
GO:0051241	negative regulation of multicellular org...	153	19	7.87	2.9E-04
GO:0032963	collagen metabolic process	14	5	0.72	4.5E-04
GO:0046718	viral entry into host cell	21	6	1.08	4.6E-04
GO:0030198	extracellular matrix organization	29	7	1.49	4.7E-04
GO:0050663	cytokine secretion	36	9	1.85	6.8E-04
GO:0051048	negative regulation of secretion	32	7	1.65	8.9E-04
GO:0007186	G protein-coupled receptor signaling pat...	52	9	2.67	1.0E-03
GO:0032101	regulation of response to external stimu...	99	16	5.09	1.1E-03
GO:0002479	antigen processing and presentation of e...	17	5	0.87	1.2E-03
GO:1901136	carbohydrate derivative catabolic proces...	42	8	2.16	1.3E-03
GO:0070228	regulation of lymphocyte apoptotic proce...	12	4	0.62	2.4E-03
GO:0010038	response to metal ion	48	8	2.47	2.5E-03
GO:0050671	positive regulation of lymphocyte prolif...	20	5	1.03	2.7E-03
GO:0008630	intrinsic apoptotic signaling pathway in...	14	4	0.72	4.4E-03
GO:0071236	cellular response to antibiotic	23	5	1.18	5.2E-03
GO:0046635	positive regulation of alpha-beta T cell...	16	4	0.82	7.4E-03
GO:1904064	positive regulation of cation transmembr...	16	4	0.82	7.4E-03
GO:0001776	leukocyte homeostasis	25	5	1.29	7.6E-03
GO:0070555	response to interleukin-1	25	5	1.29	7.6E-03
GO:0007565	female pregnancy	26	5	1.34	9.0E-03
GO:0030218	erythrocyte differentiation	17	4	0.87	9.3E-03
GO:1901222	regulation of NIK/NF-kappaB signaling	17	4	0.87	9.3E-03
GO:0006898	receptor-mediated endocytosis	48	7	2.47	9.9E-03
GO:0043123	positive regulation of I-kappaB kinase/N...	48	7	2.47	9.9E-03
GO:0048771	tissue remodeling	27	5	1.39	1.1E-02
GO:0009617	response to bacterium	83	10	4.27	1.2E-02
GO:0032731	positive regulation of interleukin-1 bet...	10	3	0.51	1.2E-02
GO:0062013	positive regulation of small molecule me...	10	3	0.51	1.2E-02
GO:0042542	response to hydrogen peroxide	28	5	1.44	1.2E-02
GO:0044092	negative regulation of molecular functio...	149	16	7.66	1.4E-02
GO:0010595	positive regulation of endothelial cell ...	19	4	0.98	1.4E-02
GO:0051208	sequestering of calcium ion	19	4	0.98	1.4E-02
GO:0045807	positive regulation of endocytosis	29	5	1.49	1.4E-02

Ranking by Count-change, Negative (continued)

GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0050706	regulation of interleukin-1 beta secreti...	11	3	0.57	1.6E-02
GO:0032760	positive regulation of tumor necrosis fa...	20	4	1.03	1.7E-02
GO:0045582	positive regulation of T cell differenti...	20	4	1.03	1.7E-02
GO:0043901	negative regulation of multi-organism pr...	25	7	1.29	1.9E-02
GO:0042060	wound healing	65	9	3.34	2.0E-02
GO:0032414	positive regulation of ion transmembrane...	12	3	0.62	2.1E-02
GO:0071621	granulocyte chemotaxis	12	3	0.62	2.1E-02
GO:1901565	organonitrogen compound catabolic proces...	230	20	11.82	2.1E-02
GO:0070372	regulation of ERK1 and ERK2 cascade	32	5	1.65	2.2E-02
GO:0043069	negative regulation of programmed cell d...	138	14	7.09	2.4E-02
GO:0006955	immune response	391	49	20.10	2.4E-02
GO:0071356	cellular response to tumor necrosis fact...	33	5	1.70	2.4E-02
GO:0010811	positive regulation of cell-substrate ad...	13	3	0.67	2.6E-02
GO:0043370	regulation of CD4-positive, alpha-beta T...	13	3	0.67	2.6E-02
GO:0070231	T cell apoptotic process	13	3	0.67	2.6E-02
GO:1903034	regulation of response to wounding	23	4	1.18	2.7E-02
GO:0097237	cellular response to toxic substance	34	5	1.75	2.7E-02
GO:0001910	regulation of leukocyte mediated cytotox...	14	3	0.72	3.2E-02
GO:0021987	cerebral cortex development	14	3	0.72	3.2E-02
GO:0045637	regulation of myeloid cell differentiati...	48	6	2.47	3.4E-02
GO:0000209	protein polyubiquitination	49	6	2.52	3.7E-02
GO:0001501	skeletal system development	49	6	2.52	3.7E-02
GO:0002709	regulation of T cell mediated immunity	15	3	0.77	3.8E-02
GO:0006342	chromatin silencing	15	3	0.77	3.8E-02
GO:0032392	DNA geometric change	15	3	0.77	3.8E-02
GO:0032608	interferon-beta production	15	3	0.77	3.8E-02
GO:0051262	protein tetramerization	15	3	0.77	3.8E-02
GO:0002495	antigen processing and presentation of p...	26	4	1.34	4.1E-02
GO:0006906	vesicle fusion	26	4	1.34	4.1E-02
GO:0032088	negative regulation of NF-kappaB transcr...	16	3	0.82	4.5E-02
GO:0035265	organ growth	16	3	0.82	4.5E-02
GO:0043666	regulation of phosphoprotein phosphatase...	16	3	0.82	4.5E-02
GO:0050731	positive regulation of peptidyl-tyrosine...	16	3	0.82	4.5E-02
GO:0045185	maintenance of protein location	27	4	1.39	4.6E-02
GO:0030855	epithelial cell differentiation	54	8	2.78	4.7E-02

Ranking by Count-change, Positive

GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0033273	response to vitamin	12	4	0.61	2.3E-03
GO:0002576	platelet degranulation	31	6	1.57	3.8E-03
GO:0042775	mitochondrial ATP synthesis coupled elec...	14	4	0.71	4.2E-03
GO:0030324	lung development	17	4	0.86	8.9E-03
GO:0008037	cell recognition	18	4	0.91	1.1E-02
GO:0009410	response to xenobiotic stimulus	28	5	1.42	1.2E-02
GO:0042445	hormone metabolic process	19	4	0.96	1.3E-02
GO:0070527	platelet aggregation	19	4	0.96	1.3E-02
GO:0046677	response to antibiotic	53	7	2.69	1.6E-02
GO:0060348	bone development	31	5	1.57	1.8E-02
GO:0001503	ossification	43	6	2.18	1.9E-02
GO:0048705	skeletal system morphogenesis	22	4	1.12	2.2E-02
GO:0019915	lipid storage	13	3	0.66	2.5E-02
GO:0060996	dendritic spine development	13	3	0.66	2.5E-02
GO:0099173	postsynapse organization	14	3	0.71	3.1E-02
GO:0060271	cilium assembly	25	4	1.27	3.5E-02
GO:0061448	connective tissue development	25	4	1.27	3.5E-02
GO:0006325	chromatin organization	113	10	5.74	3.6E-02
GO:0051604	protein maturation	37	5	1.88	3.6E-02
GO:0010595	positive regulation of endothelial cell ...	15	3	0.76	3.7E-02
GO:0070670	response to interleukin-4	15	3	0.76	3.7E-02
GO:0007507	heart development	50	6	2.54	4.0E-02
GO:0007160	cell-matrix adhesion	38	5	1.93	4.0E-02
GO:0060359	response to ammonium ion	16	3	0.81	4.4E-02
GO:0030198	extracellular matrix organization	39	5	1.98	4.4E-02
GO:0009636	response to toxic substance	81	8	4.11	4.6E-02
GO:0045596	negative regulation of cell differentiat...	81	9	4.11	5.0E-02

Ranking by P-value, Absolute, LFC > 1.4

GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0001819	positive regulation of cytokine producti...	66	14	4.38	1.7E-04
GO:0032479	regulation of type I interferon producti...	29	8	1.93	3.9E-04
GO:0001818	negative regulation of cytokine producti...	51	11	3.39	5.7E-04
GO:0009617	response to bacterium	95	18	6.31	5.9E-04
GO:0045087	innate immune response	151	38	10.03	2.0E-03
GO:0098586	cellular response to virus	15	5	1.00	2.1E-03
GO:0046683	response to organophosphorus	22	6	1.46	2.3E-03
GO:0002753	cytoplasmic pattern recognition receptor...	10	4	0.66	2.8E-03
GO:0048661	positive regulation of smooth muscle cel...	16	5	1.06	2.9E-03
GO:0060760	positive regulation of response to cytok...	16	5	1.06	2.9E-03
GO:0014074	response to purine-containing compound	23	6	1.53	3.0E-03
GO:0032649	regulation of interferon-gamma productio...	17	5	1.13	3.8E-03
GO:0016525	negative regulation of angiogenesis	12	4	0.80	6.0E-03
GO:0034446	substrate adhesion-dependent cell spread...	13	4	0.86	8.3E-03
GO:0032496	response to lipopolysaccharide	46	8	3.06	9.2E-03
GO:0009063	cellular amino acid catabolic process	14	4	0.93	1.1E-02
GO:0031349	positive regulation of defense response	58	11	3.85	1.2E-02
GO:0002576	platelet degranulation	22	5	1.46	1.3E-02
GO:0010469	regulation of signaling receptor activit...	49	8	3.26	1.3E-02
GO:0070887	cellular response to chemical stimulus	404	54	26.84	1.6E-02
GO:0030168	platelet activation	32	6	2.13	1.6E-02
GO:0034109	homotypic cell-cell adhesion	16	4	1.06	1.8E-02
GO:0050731	positive regulation of peptidyl-tyrosine...	25	5	1.66	2.2E-02
GO:0048469	cell maturation	17	4	1.13	2.2E-02
GO:0097696	STAT cascade	17	4	1.13	2.4E-02
GO:0043330	response to exogenous dsRNA	10	3	0.66	2.4E-02
GO:0071695	anatomical structure maturation	18	4	1.20	2.7E-02
GO:0050680	negative regulation of epithelial cell p...	19	4	1.26	3.3E-02
GO:0007267	cell-cell signaling	146	11	9.70	3.5E-02
GO:0001936	regulation of endothelial cell prolifera...	20	4	1.33	3.9E-02
GO:0006906	vesicle fusion	20	4	1.33	3.9E-02
GO:0007160	cell-matrix adhesion	20	4	1.33	3.9E-02
GO:0030856	regulation of epithelial cell differenti...	12	3	0.80	4.0E-02
GO:0034113	heterotypic cell-cell adhesion	12	3	0.80	4.0E-02
GO:0042130	negative regulation of T cell proliferat...	12	3	0.80	4.0E-02
GO:0051668	localization within membrane	12	3	0.80	4.0E-02

Ranking by P-value, Negative, LFC > 1.4

GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0051607	defense response to virus	44	10	2.72	2.2E-04
GO:0019221	cytokine-mediated signaling pathway	110	16	6.80	7.8E-04
GO:0035270	endocrine system development	10	4	0.62	2.2E-03
GO:0006511	ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolic pr...	74	11	4.57	2.6E-03
GO:0042102	positive regulation of T cell proliferat...	17	5	1.05	2.8E-03
GO:0000209	protein polyubiquitination	42	8	2.60	3.3E-03
GO:0034341	response to interferon-gamma	42	8	2.60	3.3E-03
GO:0002831	regulation of response to biotic stimulu...	18	5	1.11	3.7E-03
GO:0045786	negative regulation of cell cycle	70	10	4.33	3.8E-03
GO:0050658	RNA transport	31	6	1.92	4.5E-03
GO:0006513	protein monoubiquitination	13	4	0.80	6.4E-03
GO:0045071	negative regulation of viral genome repl...	14	4	0.87	8.5E-03
GO:0071347	cellular response to interleukin-1	14	4	0.87	8.5E-03
GO:0016032	viral process	108	15	6.67	2.0E-02
GO:0043900	regulation of multi-organism process	53	11	3.28	2.5E-02
GO:1902533	positive regulation of intracellular sig...	110	14	6.80	2.6E-02
GO:0034097	response to cytokine	156	23	9.64	2.8E-02
GO:0001819	positive regulation of cytokine producti...	61	8	3.77	3.1E-02
GO:0030855	epithelial cell differentiation	57	8	3.52	3.3E-02
GO:0032663	regulation of interleukin-2 production	12	3	0.74	3.4E-02
GO:0035264	multicellular organism growth	12	3	0.74	3.4E-02
GO:0051865	protein autoubiquitination	12	3	0.74	3.4E-02
GO:0044265	cellular macromolecule catabolic process	148	20	9.15	3.6E-02
GO:0018107	peptidyl-threonine phosphorylation	13	3	0.80	4.2E-02
GO:0048469	cell maturation	13	3	0.80	4.2E-02
GO:0032103	positive regulation of response to exter...	32	5	1.98	4.3E-02
GO:0008285	negative regulation of cell proliferatio...	80	10	4.94	4.8E-02

Ranking by P-value, Positive, LFC > 1.4

GO.ID	Term	A	S	E	P
GO:0010594	regulation of endothelial cell migration	20	5	0.87	1.2E-03
GO:0006939	smooth muscle contraction	13	4	0.56	1.7E-03
GO:0090257	regulation of muscle system process	28	5	1.21	6.0E-03
GO:0002478	antigen processing and presentation of e...	20	4	0.87	9.3E-03
GO:0016358	dendrite development	20	4	0.87	9.3E-03
GO:0016525	negative regulation of angiogenesis	11	3	0.48	1.0E-02
GO:0022904	respiratory electron transport chain	11	3	0.48	1.0E-02
GO:0048041	focal adhesion assembly	11	3	0.48	1.0E-02
GO:0002040	sprouting angiogenesis	12	3	0.52	1.3E-02
GO:0030193	regulation of blood coagulation	12	3	0.52	1.3E-02
GO:0046034	ATP metabolic process	23	4	1.00	1.5E-02
GO:0006898	receptor-mediated endocytosis	35	5	1.52	1.6E-02
GO:0001936	regulation of endothelial cell prolifera...	13	3	0.56	1.6E-02
GO:1902600	proton transmembrane transport	13	3	0.56	1.6E-02
GO:0050900	leukocyte migration	60	6	2.60	2.0E-02
GO:0097305	response to alcohol	25	4	1.08	2.1E-02
GO:0043434	response to peptide hormone	43	5	1.86	2.6E-02
GO:0007611	learning or memory	27	4	1.17	2.7E-02
GO:0051048	negative regulation of secretion	29	4	1.26	2.9E-02
GO:0007584	response to nutrient	16	3	0.69	2.9E-02
GO:0034622	cellular protein-containing complex asse...	115	10	4.98	2.9E-02
GO:0051897	positive regulation of protein kinase B ...	18	3	0.78	4.0E-02
GO:0050730	regulation of peptidyl-tyrosine phosphor...	31	4	1.34	4.2E-02
GO:0016571	histone methylation	19	3	0.82	4.6E-02

D | Required Documents

D.I. DECLARATIONS

Except where stated otherwise by reference or acknowledgment, the work presented was generated by myself under the supervision of my advisors during my doctoral studies. The material listed below was obtained in the context of collaborative research:

Figure 3.13: »The Cholinergic/Neurokine Interface«, Geula Hanin (formerly Hebrew University of Jerusalem, now University of Cambridge), her contribution: cell culture experiments generating data for panels B-D (Section 3.7.6), my own contribution: data generation and analysis for panel A, visual representation of the entire figure.

All RNA sequencing described in this dissertation was carried out in the National Center for Genomic Technologies, a Core Facility in the Alexander Silberman Institute of Life Sciences of the Hebrew University, Jerusalem, and with the help of Dr. Estelle Bennett (Soreq lab).

Chapter 4: sequencing of patient blood samples, sample generation (RNA isolation from patient blood): Bettina Nadorp, formerly Hebrew University of Jerusalem, now NYU Langone Health. The work presented in chapter four was done in close collaboration with Kasia Winek and Hermona Soreq of the Soreq lab at the Silberman Institute of Life Sciences, Hebrew University, Jerusalem. Starting with alignment of sequenced reads (Section 4.1.4), all analyses were performed by myself.

The following parts of the thesis have been previously published:

- Chapter three has in large parts been described in Lobentanzer *et al.*¹ This includes parts of Figures 3.2, 3.4, 3.7, 3.10, 3.11, 3.12, and 3.13.
- Figure 3.1 has been published in Lobentanzer & Klein.¹³⁷
- Sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4 of Chapter four have been partly described in Winek *et al.*² This includes parts of Figures 4.1, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.7, and 4.8.

D.2. SUMMARY IN GERMAN LANGUAGE

Die vorliegende Dissertation beschäftigt sich mit der Charakterisierung und Analyse von kleinen nicht-codierenden RNA-Molekülen im Kontext der Regulation cholinergere Prozesse in neuronalen und nicht-neuronalen Geweben. Durch die geometrische Komplexität der Interaktion von kleinen RNAs (smRNAs) mit codierenden Transkripten in der Zelle, die in der Art eines »viele-zu-viele« Netzwerkes organisiert ist, kann man eine umfassende Analyse der Dynamiken der smRNA-Regulation nur mit bioinformatischer Hilfe unternehmen. Diese Dissertation etabliert eine bioinformatische Infrastruktur für solche Analysen (unter dem Namen *miRNeo*) und diskutiert dann deren Anwendung an zwei klinischen Beispielen: 1) die neuronale Rolle von cholinergere smRNA-Regulation in den psychiatrischen Erkrankungen Schizophrenie und Bipolare Störung¹ und 2) die Relevanz cholinerg-assoziierte smRNAs in immunologischen Reflexen im Blut von Schlaganfall-Patienten.² Im Hinblick auf die steigende Relevanz von therapeutischen Mechanismen im smRNA-Bereich gibt die Dissertation einen Ausblick auf die bioinformatisch gestützte Arzneistoff-Entwicklung, besonders in Beachtung der smRNA-typischen Funktionsweise und multiplen Interaktionen mit codierenden Genen und Transkriptionsfaktoren (TFs).

D.2.1 EINFÜHRUNG

Cholinerge Systeme sind unerlässlich für die physiologische Funktion des Säugetier-Organismus (Kapitel 1.1). Sie zeichnen sich aus durch die Verwendung des Signalmoleküls Acetylcholin (ACh), des ersten Neurotransmitters, für den eine derartige Funktion nachgewiesen wurde. Cholinerge Systeme sind im zentralen Nervensystem hochrelevant, vor allem in einer modulatorischen Rolle. Cholinerge Projektionen aus den acht primären cholinergen Kernen Ch1-Ch8 üben Kontrolle über weite Bereiche des Mittelhirns und der Hirnrinde aus. Zusätzlich existieren cholinerge Interneurone mit kurzen Projektionen in mehreren Hirngebieten, zuvorderst im Striatum, und, erst seit kurzem bekannt, in der Hirnrinde.

Cholinerge Systeme sind, parallel zu ihrer wichtigen Rolle in physiologischen Prozessen, auch beteiligt an verschiedensten Krankheitsprozessen (Kapitel 1.2). Ätiologisch ist eine kausale Rolle von ACh in mehreren zentralnervösen und peripheren Erkrankungen nachgewiesen, beispielsweise in der Alzheimer-Demenz, Schizophrenien und Bipolaren Störungen, sowie in peripheren Immunreflexen, auch im Zusammenhang mit Schlaganfall. In der Alzheimer-Erkrankung stellt die Hemmung des ACh-abbauenden Enzyms Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) das dominierende Therapieprinzip dar. Allerdings ist die aktuelle Therapie begrenzt auf Symptomatik und eventuelle Verlangsamung des geistigen Verfalls. In psychiatrischen Erkrankungen, die oft multigenetische Ätiologien aufweisen, ist die Beteiligung von cholinergen Systemen komplex und Gegenstand der aktiven

Forschung. Gleichmaßen ist der Einfluss cholinergere Signale im Bereich der Immunität noch weitgehend ungeklärt. Es gibt deutliche Hinweise auf die Beteiligung von ACh an der Regulation von T-Zellen, Monozyten/Makrophagen und an deren Mobilisierung aus der Milz. Die genauen Mechanismen sind allerdings noch kontrovers diskutiert, beispielsweise verfügt die Milz nicht über direkte vagale Innervation, was zu der Hypothese geführt hat, dass die Ausschüttung von ACh in diesem Fall von lokalen Immunzellen unter Stimulation durch den Sympathikus stattfindet (der die Milz umfassend innerviert).

Cholinerge Systeme, genauer, die Zellen der cholinergen Systeme, sind charakterisiert durch ihre Fähigkeit zur Synthese und Freisetzung von ACh und damit durch ihren transkriptionellen Phänotyp. Kleine RNAs (smRNAs), deren bekannteste Vertreter momentan microRNAs (miRNAs) und tRNA-Fragmente (tRFs) sind, üben auf die zelluläre Ebene des Phänotyps, bestimmt durch mRNA, kontrollierende Funktion aus (Kapitel 1.3.2 & 1.3.3). miRNAs sind in ihrem reifen Stadium kurze (etwa 18-22 Basen), einzelsträngige RNA-Moleküle, die einen Translationsstopp oder den Abbau von einzelnen mRNAs veranlassen können. Sie nutzen dafür einen Proteinkomplex, der unter dem Namen RISC (*RNA-induced silencing complex*) bekannt ist, und dienen als »Zielmolekül«: durch Komplementarität mit einer kurzen Sequenz der Ziel-mRNA leiten sie den RISC zur mRNA. tRFs wurden erst vor kurzem als Kontrollmoleküle identifiziert, obwohl ihre Existenz schon länger bekannt ist. Ihre Rolle ist bisher weniger klar als die der miRNAs. Teils wurde für einige tRFs ein miRNA-Mechanismus nachgewiesen (RISC-vermittelter Translationsstopp), aber in anderen Fällen interagierten die tRFs mit anderen funktionalen Bestandteilen von Zellen, beispielsweise RNA-bindenden Proteinen.

Die komplexe »viele-zu-viele«-Struktur der smRNA-Kontrolle cholinergere Prozesse kann ohne die Hilfe von informatisch gestützten Systemen vom Menschen nicht direkt erfasst werden. In der Arbeit wurde ein Ansatz, die Struktur und Dynamik von smRNAs in cholinergen Systemen sichtbar zu machen, beschrieben; dieser Ansatz soll jedoch nicht auf cholinerge Systeme begrenzt bleiben, sondern wurde mit dem Ziel entwickelt, jedes transkriptionelle System in jeder Zelle untersuchen zu können.

D.2.2 MIRNEO - EINE INFRASTRUKTUR FÜR SMRNA-DYNAMIK

Die Entwicklung und Pflege der interaktiven Datenbank *miRNeo* macht einen großen Teil der wissenschaftlichen Arbeit an dieser Dissertation aus und zieht sich über die gesamte Dauer der Promotion (Kapitel 2). Der Grundgedanke hinter *miRNeo* ist die Darstellung eines komplexen biologischen Regulationsnetzwerkes in einer digitalen Form, die der biologischen Organisation entspricht. Digitale Datensammlungen, insbesondere Datenbanken, sind geprägt durch tabellarische Organisation;

die Daten werden in Zeilen und Spalten gesammelt, geordnet und abgerufen. In vielen Fällen ist dieses Vorgehen ausreichend, denn entweder ermöglichen die Ressourcen eine effektive - wenn auch ineffiziente - Nutzung, oder die natürliche Struktur der Daten ist ohnehin tabellarisch, beispielsweise ein Telefonbuch. Die Interaktion von RNA-Molekülen im epitranskriptionellen Kontext ist jedoch geprägt von einer hohen Vereinzelung (die meisten potentiellen Interaktionen existieren nicht) und einer Organisationsstruktur, die sich durch »viele-zu-viele« beschreiben lässt: eine miRNA reguliert mehrere (teils hunderte) mRNA-Transkripte und ein mRNA-Transkript wird reguliert von mehreren miRNAs.

Diese strukturelle Organisation birgt eine Problematik für althergebrachte, tabellarische digitale Infrastruktur: das Abfragen eines komplexen Zusammenhangs (beispielsweise die Zusammenarbeit von TFs, smRNAs und mRNAs im cholinergen System) kann mehrere hundert oder tausend einzelne Schritte enthalten und benötigt viel Zeit, Platz und Rechenleistung. *miRNeo* andererseits fußt auf einem graph-basierten Datenbankschema: die Einträge werden repräsentiert von Knoten (nodes) und Verbindungen zwischen diesen Knoten (edges). Diese Organisation entspricht der biologischen Organisation genauer als ein tabellarisches Format es könnte. Durch die technische Implementation im Rahmen der öffentlichen Datenbanksoftware »Neo4j« können so Rechenleistung, Platz und Zeit gespart werden.

Die *miRNeo*-Datenbank enthält in ihrer jetzigen Form Informationen über miRNA-Bindevverhalten auf der Basis sowohl von experimentell validierten als auch von bioinformatisch vorhergesagten miRNA-mRNA-Interaktionen. Durch die Integration verschiedener Quellen verfügt jede miRNA-mRNA-Verbindung über eine Wertung, die sich im Zahlenraum zwischen 3 und 20 bewegt. Durch die Verwendung einer Mindest-Wertung (in den durchgeführten Analysen meist 6 oder 7) kann das untersuchte Netzwerk nach Qualität der Information gefiltert werden. *miRNeo* enthält außerdem eine Sammlung von TF-Regulationskreisläufen (*regulatory circuits*), die für den menschlichen Organismus gewebespezifisch die Ermittlung der Aktivität von TFs gegenüber einzelnen Genen erlauben. Zudem enthält die Datenbank eigene Vorhersagen über die miRNA-ähnliche Interaktion von tRFs (mittels TargetScan) und verbindet mehrere Gen-Nomenklatorsysteme, um die Integration und Translation zwischen Datensätzen zu ermöglichen (und deren Fehleranfälligkeit zu reduzieren).

miRNeo ist aber nicht nur ein Datenspeicher; Neo4j bietet mit der Sprache »CYPHER« die Möglichkeit eines effizienten Datenabrufs von komplexen Zusammenhängen innerhalb des Datenbank-Netzwerkes. Beispielsweise können in einem Arbeitsschritt sämtliche Interaktionen von kleinen RNAs, die das cholinerge System betreffen, abgerufen werden, und sie können gleichzeitig mit den TFs verbunden werden, die in einem bestimmten Gewebe (oder mehreren bestimmten Geweben) den cholinergen Phänotyp steuern. Dieser Vorgang, der in einem traditionellen tabellarischen

System eine Kombination mehrerer Abrufe und nachfolgende Verarbeitung der großen Datenmengen im Arbeitsspeicher erfordern würde, kann durch die hohe Ähnlichkeit der biologischen Daten und der Organisation dieser Daten in *miRNeo* deutlich effizienter und damit zeitsparender gestaltet werden. Dies ist vor allem von Vorteil, wenn die durchgeführten Analysen ein hohes Maß an Komplexität zeigen, beispielsweise die Ergründung von smRNA:TF:Gen »*feedforward*«-Schleifen (Kapitel 4.5).

D.2.3 CHOLINERGE SMRNA-DYNAMIK IN NEURONEN

Cholinerge Neurone sind definiert durch ihre Expression von cholinergen Marker-Genen. Dies sind im engeren Sinne die Cholin-Acetyltransferase (ChAT), die zur Synthese von ACh benötigt wird, und der vesikuläre ACh-Transporter (vAChT, Gensymbol SLC18A3), der die neuronale ACh-Freisetzung ermöglicht. Außerdem ist der hochaffine Cholintransporter (CHT-1, Gensymbol SLC5A7) fast ausschließlich in cholinergen Nervenzellen zu finden. Im Gegensatz dazu ist die Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) auch oft auf nicht-cholinergen Zelltypen zu finden. Neue Entwicklungen auf dem Gebiet des Einzelzell-Sequencing ermöglichen eine hochauflösende transkriptionelle Darstellung des zentralen Nervensystems. In Anwendung öffentlich zugänglicher Daten - gewonnen aus murinem und menschlichem Gewebe - fand eine Charakterisierung der Expressionslandschaft zentraler cholinergischer Neurone statt (Kapitel 3.2). Auf der Basis dieser Daten, genauer, der Co-Expression von cholinergen Genen und Rezeptoren für Neurokin-Signale (siehe unten), fand eine Bewertung der Eignung der Zellkultur-Modelle LA-N-2 und LA-N-5 statt. Diese menschlichen, neuronalen Zellen differenzieren nämlich unter dem Einfluss von Neurokinen zu Neuronen cholinergischer Typs, erkennbar an der ansteigenden Expression von ChAT und vAChT (Kapitel 3.3). Neurokine sind eine Familie von Zytokin-Typ-Signalmolekülen, die ihre Funktion an Rezeptoren der Familie vom gp130-Typ (Gensymbol IL6ST) ausüben. Die bekanntesten Neurokine sind Interleukin (IL)-6, CNTF (*ciliary neurotrophic factor*) und LIF (*leukaemia inhibiting factor*).

Um die smRNA-Dynamik in der cholinergen Entwicklung dieser Zellen unter Neurokin-Einwirkung zu untersuchen, wurden sie unter Behandlung mit CNTF zu mehreren Zeitpunkten im Differenzierungsprozess einer RNA-Analyse durch Sequenzierung aller kleinen RNAs unterzogen. RNA wurde aus LA-N-2 und LA-N-5 jeweils zu den Zeitpunkten 30 Minuten, 60 Minuten, 2 Tage und 4 Tage isoliert und mit unbehandelten Kontrollen verglichen (Kapitel 3.4.4). Im Resultat fanden sich 490 teils drastisch veränderte miRNAs zu verschiedenen Zeitpunkten und in einer oder beiden Zelllinien. Diese veränderten miRNAs wurden daraufhin unter Verwendung einer Annotation für miRNA-Familien systematisiert und aufgrund ihrer Ziel-Proteine in funktionale Kategorien geordnet. Dabei fielen insbesondere die miRNA-Familien mir-10 und mir-199 auf, die cho-

linerge Kontrolle mit der Regulation von Neurokin-Prozessen verbinden. Molekularbiologische Validierungsexperimente bestätigten die cholinerge Rolle von hsa-miR-125b-5p in der Regulation der AChE. Die integrative Analyse dieser Prozesse im Kontext der transkriptionellen Veränderungen im Rahmen der Schizophrenie und der Bipolaren Störung führten zur Veröffentlichung des ersten Manuskripts.¹

D.2.4 CHOLINERGE SMRNA-DYNAMIK IN IMMUNZELLEN

Das zweite Manuskript (geteilte Erstautorschaft) untersucht die Prozesse in zirkulierenden Immunzellen des Blutes, die nach einem Schlaganfall auftreten.² Schlaganfall-Patienten aus einer multi-zentrischen Studie unter Leitung der Neurologie der Charité Berlin (PREDICT) wurden für die Sequenzierung von langen und kurzen RNA-Spezies rekrutiert. Die Isolierung der RNA fand in diesem Fall aus dem Vollblut statt. Im Anschluss fand eine Vielzahl analytischer Methoden Anwendung, mit dem Ziel, die Dynamik zwischen langen und kurzen RNAs darzustellen und verständlich zu machen; manche sind in der vorliegenden Dissertation beschrieben, andere in der begleitenden Veröffentlichung, und manche sind bisher nicht veröffentlicht.

Die Ergebnisse legen eine Verschiebung der kleinen RNA-Spezies zu Ungunsten der miRNAs, aber zugunsten der tRFs nahe (Kapitel 4.2). Da die gängige Hypothese über smRNA-Funktionen einen antagonistischen Mechanismus unterstellt, bietet die gemeinsame Analyse von kurzen und langen RNAs eine interessante Perspektive auf das Zusammenspiel in den Regelkreisen der Immunzellen. Ontologische Analysen implizieren eine tiefgreifende Modulation von immunologischen Funktionen im Blut der Patienten, mit den wichtigsten Facetten »Entzündung«, »Cytokine« (einschließlich Neurokine), »Interferone«, »Apoptose«, »Koagulation« und »Integrität von Blutgefäßen«. Zur Einordnung der Relevanz der Blutbestandteile für diese Analysen fand eine Re-Analyse von externen Datensätzen über die Expressionsunterschiede von miRNAs, tRFs und langen RNAs in verschiedenen Blutkompartimenten statt. Dabei identifizierten wir eine hohe Relevanz von CD14-positiven Monozyten für cholinerge Prozesse im Blut und beschrieben die Verteilung der in der Expression gestörten smRNAs im Blut der Patienten (Kapitel 4.3).

Von den durchgeführten Analysen wird in dieser Dissertation die Nutzung von »*feedforward loops*« in der Analyse der Co-Expression von langen und kurzen RNAs (Kapitel 4.5) näher beschrieben. *Feedforward loops* sind transkriptionelle Regelkreise, bestehend aus drei Teilnehmern, in diesem Fall smRNA, TF und Gen. In diesen Regelkreisen wird die Expression eines Gens von sowohl TF als auch smRNA reguliert, wobei die smRNA auch gleichzeitig den TF beeinflusst. Eine Netzwerkanalyse basierend auf den *feedforward loops* der schlaganfall-beeinflussten Gene identifizierte eine modulare Topographie des entstehenden Netzwerks in CD14-positiven Monozyten. Die einzelnen

fünf Module wurden daraufhin auf ihre Bestandteile und auf die Funktionen, die diese Bestandteile im Kontext der Antwort auf den Schlaganfall erfüllen, untersucht. Die modulspezifischen und intermodularen Eigenschaften wurden grafisch und textlich zusammengefasst und umfassen (unter anderem) Regulation des Immunsystems durch Cytokine und Interferone, apoptische Prozesse und vaskuläre Permeabilität. Dabei reproduziert diese Analyse die Erkenntnisse, die aus der ontologischen Analyse der differentiellen Expression gewonnen wurden (siehe oben), doch die Applikation der *feedforward loops* und anschließende Modularisierung erhöhte die »Auflösung« der ontologischen Resultate signifikant (Kapitel 4.5.5).

D.2.5 FAZIT

Die vorliegende Dissertation bringt Fortschritte im Bereich der integrativen Analyse von RNA-Mechanismen, besonders im Bereich der Regulationsnetzwerke. Der umfassendste Beitrag ist die entwickelte Infrastruktur zur effizienten Berechnung komplexer epitranskriptioneller Vorgänge zwischen kleinen RNAs, Transkriptionsfaktoren und proteincodierenden Genen. Außerdem demonstriert die Arbeit die Anwendung dieser Methodik auf aus menschlicher neuronaler Zellkultur und dem Blut von Schlaganfall-Patienten gewonnenen RNA-Messungen. Ferner identifiziert die Arbeit cholinerg relevante smRNA-Spezies, die in der Differenzierung cholinergischer Nervenzellen sowie in der Immunabwehr wichtige Rollen spielen. Die beschriebenen neuronalen Zellmodelle erlauben eine weitere Untersuchung der mit der cholinergen Funktionalität von Neuronen assoziierten Prozesse, auch unter Betrachtung von geschlechtsspezifischen genetischen Einflüssen, beispielsweise in der weiteren Erforschung des Einflusses cholinergischer Systeme auf psychiatrische Erkrankungen.

Die Arbeit identifiziert eine Neuro-Immun-Achse, die eine direkte Verbindung zwischen den Neurokinen, den cholinergen Systemen und deren smRNA-Regulatoren herstellt. In diesem sowie in größerem Kontext findet eine Charakterisierung der Analyse komplexer transkriptioneller Regelkreise statt, insbesondere von *feedforward loops*, deren biologischer Relevanz, und deren Nutzung zur Untersuchung molekularer Zusammenhänge. Dies wird an einer Analyse der Rolle von CD14-positiven Monozyten im Blut von Schlaganfall-Patienten praktisch verbildlicht. Die Nutzung von komplexen Netzwerkanalysen zur Systematisierung molekularbiologischer Untersuchungen zeigt einen Weg zum weiteren umfassenden Verständnis der epigenetischen Regelkreise auf, welches die Grundlage für eine schlussendliche Anwendung dieser Prinzipien in der Therapie von psychiatrischen und immunologischen Erkrankungen darstellt.

D.3. PUBLICATIONS

D.3.1 PEER-REVIEWED MANUSCRIPTS

Lobentanzer S, Hanin G, Klein J, Soreq H (2019) *Integrative Transcriptomics Reveals Sexually Dimorphic Control of the Cholinergic/Neurokine Interface in Schizophrenia and Bipolar Disorder*. Cell Reports 29(3):764-777.e5, doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.09.017.

Stein C, Koch K, Hopfeld J, Lobentanzer S, Lau H, Klein J (2019) *Impaired hippocampal and thalamic acetylcholine release in P301L tau-transgenic mice*. Brain Research Bulletin 152:134-142, doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2019.07.014.

D.3.2 MANUSCRIPTS IN SUBMISSION

Winek K[†], Lobentanzer S[†], Nadorp B, Dubnov S, Dames C, Moshitzky G, Hotter B, Meisel C, Greenberg DS, Shifman S, Klein J, Shenhar-Tsarfaty S, Meisel A, Soreq H (2020) *Transfer RNA fragments replace microRNA regulators of the cholinergic post-stroke immune blockade*. Submitted for peer-review. (†: equal contribution.)

Yuliani T, Lobentanzer S, Klein J (2020) *Central cholinergic function in the rat streptozotocin model of Alzheimer's disease*. Submitted for peer-review.

D.3.3 BOOK CHAPTERS

Lobentanzer S & Klein J (2019) *Zentrales und Peripheres Nervensystem*. In Wichmann & Fromme (Verleger), Handbuch für Umweltmedizin, Kapitel 11, ecomed Medizin, erg. lfg.

D.3.4 MANUSCRIPTS WITH PREPRINT STATUS

Lobentanzer S (2020) *Scavenging of Interleukin 6 Receptor by Bioidentical Recombinant gp130 as Intervention in Covid-19 Exacerbation*. Submitted for peer-review, doi: 10.31219/osf.io/3gwmp. <https://dx.doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/3gwmp>

Lobentanzer S (2020) *Why most pre-published research findings are false*. Not currently submitted for peer-review, doi: 10.31219/osf.io/29jnp <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/29jnp>

D.3.5 INVITED MANUSCRIPTS

Lobentanzer S (2020) *miRNeo: an integrative transcriptomics infrastructure for whole-genome miRNA interaction profiling*. Invited by STAR Protocols, in preparation.

D.3.6 PRESENTATIONS

Invited talk at Hebrew University (Jerusalem, Israel), Silberman Institute, for the course 'Recent technologies in molecular neuroscience' (by Hermona Soreq and David S. Greenberg), Topic: *Understanding cholinergic regulation*. January 2018

Edward and Lili Safra Center of Neuroscience (ELSC) Annual Retreat (Ein Gedi, Israel), invited presentation, recipient of ELSC Annual Retreat Travel Grant. Topic: *Sex-related perturbations in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder brains reflect microRNA-mediated cholinergic/neurokine interactions*. February 2019

Invited talk at Hebrew University (Jerusalem, Israel), Silberman Institute, for the course 'Recent technologies in molecular neuroscience' (by Hermona Soreq and David S. Greenberg). Topic: *A systematic approach to tRNA fragment interactions*. February 2019

16th International Symposium on Cholinergic Mechanisms (ISCM XVI, Rehovot, Israel), invited talk, recipient of Young Investigators Travel Grant. Topic: *The cholinergic/neurokine interface*. December 2019