RESEARCH



Safety and efficacy of the Seraph[®] 100 Microbind[®] Affinity Blood Filter to remove bacteria from the blood stream: results of the first in human study



Gabriele Eden^{1†}, Julius J. Schmidt^{2*}, Stefan Büttner^{3,4}, Philipp Kümpers⁵, Carsten Hafer¹, Alexandros Rovas⁵, Benjamin Florian Koch⁴, Bernhard M. W. Schmidt² and Jan T. Kielstein¹

Abstract

Background: Bacterial burden as well as duration of bacteremia influence the outcome of patients with bloodstream infections. Promptly decreasing bacterial load in the blood by using extracorporeal devices in addition to antiinfective therapy has recently been explored. Preclinical studies with the Seraph[®] 100 Microbind[®] Affinity Blood Filter (Seraph[®] 100), which consists of heparin that is covalently bound to polymer beads, have demonstrated an effective binding of bacteria and viruses. Pathogens adhere to the heparin coated polymer beads in the adsorber as they would normally do to heparan sulfate on cell surfaces. Using this biomimetic principle, the Seraph[®] 100 could help to decrease bacterial burden in vivo.

Methods: This first in human, prospective, multicenter, non-randomized interventional study included patients with blood culture positive bloodstream infection and the need for kidney replacement therapy as an adjunctive treatment for bloodstream infections. We performed a single four-hour hemoperfusion treatment with the Seraph[®] 100 in conjunction with a dialysis procedure. Post procedure follow up was 14 days.

Results: Fifteen hemodialysis patients (3F/12 M, age 74.0 [68.0–78.5] years, dialysis vintage 28.0 [11.0–45.0] months) were enrolled. Seraph[®] 100 treatment started 66.4 [45.7–80.6] hours after the initial positive blood culture was drawn. During the treatment with the Seraph[®] 100 with a median blood flow of 285 [225–300] ml/min no device or treatment related adverse events were reported. Blood pressure and heart rate remained stable while peripheral oxygen saturation improved during the treatment from 98.0 [92.5–98.0] to 99.0 [98.0–99.5] %; p = 0.0184. Four patients still had positive blood culture at the start of Seraph[®] 100 treatment. In one patient blood cultures turned negative during treatment. The time to positivity (TTP) was increased between inflow and outflow blood cultures by 36 [–7.2 to 96.3] minutes. However, overall TTP increase was not statistical significant.

Conclusions: Seraph[®] 100 treatment was well tolerated. Adding Seraph[®] 100 to antibiotics early in the course of bacteremia might result in a faster resolution of bloodstream infections, which has to be evaluated in further studies.

[†]Gabriele Eden and Julius J. Schmidt contributed equally to this manuscript and should both be considered first authors

*Correspondence: schmidt.julius@mh-hannover.de

² Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg Str. 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Trail registration: ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02914132, first posted September 26, 2016. **Keywords:** Extracorporeal devices, Bloodstream infections, Haemodialysis, Bacteraemia

Background

The total bacterial burden and the duration of bacteraemia affects the outcome of patients with blood stream infections. This has been first shown in a preclinical model of pneumonia in which all rabbits receiving small doses of Streptococcus pneumoniae survived, while dose escalation of bacterial count increased mortality stepwise up to 100% [1]. In men this correlation between bacterial load and outcome has been shown in E. coli and Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia where the amount of bacterial DNA in the blood correlated with mortality [2]. The time to positivity (TTP) of blood cultures can be used as an indicator of pathogen load. In 742 adult hospital patients a positive blood culture within 48 to 96 h after initial diagnosis was the strongest predictor of complicated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia [3]. In children with Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia a time to positivity of ≤ 17 h correlated with adverse outcomes [4]. The relationship between high bacterial burden and adverse clinical outcome has also been shown for Neisseria men*ingitides* [5], *Streptococcus pneumoniae* pneumonia in adults [6] and in children [7]. Aside from surgical intervention, only the timely administration of an empiric, broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy is able to improve outcome in critically ill patient with an infection so far [8]. However, empiric broad spectrum antibiotic treatment increasingly fails due to a rising frequency of resistance among most human pathogens [9], rendering even antibiotics of last resort ineffective [10].

To quickly reduce the blood pathogen load and to be effective in removing even multi-resistant bacteria, extracorporeal methods capturing bacteria are developed by several companies. A fast decrease of blood pathogen content, irrespective of the infectious agent, would not even require the microbiological identification of bacteria and viruses. After failed attempts of such a strategy in the 1980s [11] many different technologies such as filtration [12], use of human opsonin Mannose Binding Lectin [13], magnetic nanoparticle separation [14] bendable polycrystalline nanowires/carbon foam [15] and polyethylene beads with endpoint-attached heparin [16] have been developed. The latter uses covalently end-point attached heparin-coated ultrahigh molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) that mimics heparan sulfate on cell surfaces so that bacteria and viruses bind to it in vitro [17].

The aim of the current first in human study was to investigate safety as well as efficacy of the Seraph $^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$ 100

Microbind[®] Affinity Blood Filter in the setting of blood stream infections in hemodialysis patients.

Methods

Patients and study design

This first in human, prospective, multicenter, non-randomized interventional study included patients with bloodstream infections diagnosed with positive blood cultures and the preexisting need for kidney replacement therapy. The study was conducted in four different German hospitals (Academic Teaching Hospital Braunschweig, Hannover Medical School, University Hospital Frankfurt and University Hospital Münster). All patients had an already existing hemodialysis dialysis access at study enrollment, so no dialysis catheters had to be placed. Patients between 18 and 90 years of age were eligible when they showed signs of dialysis catheter related infection (redness, tenderness or purulence at the exit site or a lower time to positivity (TTP) of at least two hours at the catheter than in the peripheral blood culture) or a suspected high bacterial burden (TTP less than 14 h or blood culture positivity in at least two blood cultures). Detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria are shown in Additional file 1: Table S1.

Medical device and treatment characteristics

The Seraph[®] 100 Microbind[®] Affinity Blood Filter (Seraph[®] 100) was manufactured by ExThera Medical Corporation, Martinez, CA, USA. The Seraph[®] 100 is a whole blood sorbent hemoperfusion device packed with polymer beads whose surface is modified with covalent endpoint attached heparin [17]. This bound heparin mimics cell-surface heparan sulfate in the body, a binding target for pathogens, toxins and Antithrombin III. This gives the Seraph[®] 100 the ability to remove pathogens while presenting a blood compatible surface to the blood being treated. The Seraph[®] 100 was placed upstream of the dialyzer on a regular dialysis machine. The treatment was intended to last between three to four hours with an established blood flow of 250–350 mL/min.

Outcome measures

The *primary endpoint* measure of the study was to demonstrate the safety of the Seraph[®] 100 in a hemodialysis circuit assessed by rate of adverse events during procedure, clinically significant changes in hematology and chemistry indices and device complications during the procedure and the 14 days thereafter. The *secondary* *endpoint* measure was the reduction of susceptible or multi-drug resistant (MDR) bacteria in blood passed through the Seraph[®] 100, indicated by an increase in time to positivity (TTP) of at least 22 min, indicating a pathogen reduction of at least 40% measured as colony forming units (CFU), in those blood cultures with a pre cartridge detectable bacterial count. As reported by Idelevich et al. [18], the relationship between TTP and CFU is inverse and log-linear over the range of 1 to 100 CFU/mL. Therefore a TTP increase of 22 min is estimated to indicate a CFU reduction of about 40%.

Blood sampling during the treatment

Blood cultures were drawn upstream (inflow) and downstream (outflow) of the Seraph[®] 100 at 5, 30, 60, 120, 180 and 240 min after treatment initiation. The bacterial burden was assessed by the time to positivity (TTP), defined by the time between collection of the blood culture and the first signal of bacterial growth. Additionally, a panel of routine laboratory test was performed before and after the Seraph[®] 100 treatment.

Statistical analysis

To evaluate the safety of the device, which was the primary endpoint of the study, the notified body suggested to include a total of 15 patient in this first in men study. According to a possible 66% pathogen reduction from in-vitro studies, the inclusion of three patients would provide 80% power to confirm the efficacy hypothesis of >40% pathogen reduction (increase in TTP >20 min). Categorical variables were summarized using frequencies and percentages of patients in each category. Quantitative variables were expressed as median [Q1–Q3]. Continuous data was compared with the use of Wilcoxon paired signed rank test. For all test used a significance level of p < 0.05 was considered significant.

GraphPad Prism 9 (San Diego, CA, USA) and R Statistical Software (version 4.0.4; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used for statistical analysis.

Results

We enrolled fifteen chronic hemodialysis patients (three female and twelve male) with a median age of 74.0 [68.0–78.5] years and a dialysis vintage of 28.0 [11.0–45.0] months. The vascular access comprised of tunneled dialysis catheter (n=9), acute dialysis catheters (n=4) and native AV-fistulas (n=3) as some patients had more than one access. Heparin was used as an anticoagulant in all patients (unfractionated heparin, n=14; low molecular weight heparin, n=1). Patients were treated 66.4 [45.7–80.6] hours after initial positive blood culture. Detailed patient characteristics are given in Table 1.

Primary outcome

All patients tolerated the treatment well. None of the procedures had to be terminated prematurely. There were no visible clots or blood remnants reported in the

Table 1 Baseline and treatment characteristics of the enrolled patients (data shown as median IQR)

Sex (F/M)	Age (years)	BMI (kg)	Dialysis vintage (months)	Blood flow (ml/min)	Dialysate flow (ml/ min)	Ultrafiltration volume (ml)	Time enrolment to treatment (h)	Pathogen
F	72	42.5	86	250	250	0	89.25	Staph. aureus
Μ	74	24.0	39	285	500	1000	138.75	E. coli
Μ	69	24.3	15	81	81	0	45.0	Staph. aureus
Μ	57	33.3	28	300	500	2500	70.47	Staph. aureus
Μ	82	26.3	3	300	500	1600	66.4	Staph. aureus
Μ	67	27.2	29	300	500	3500	86.12	Staph. aureus
Μ	81	13.7	7	300	500	400	46.45	Staph. epidermidis
Μ	78	23.9	3	300	500	600	65.39	Staph. aureus
Μ	51	37.9	0.75	300	500	600	44.23	E. faecalis
F	75	36.0	75	250	500	3200	75.14	Staph. epidermidis
Μ	79	20.7	202	150	500	-1500	65.35	Staph. aureus
F	89	25.6	28	300	500	1300	99.25	Staph. aureus
Μ	70	33.1	51	250	250	320	23.5	E. faecalis
Μ	66	16.2	38	150	500	-1500	40.5	Klebsiella pneumoniae
Μ	78	28.0	20	200	500	1000	72.25	Staph. aureus
3/12	74.0 [68.0–78.5]	26.3 [24.0–33.2]	28.0 [11.0–45.0]	285 [225–300]	500 [500–500]	600 [160–1450]	66.4 [45.7–80.6]	

Seraph[®] 100 after the rinse back with normal saline at the end of treatment. All patients survived the follow up period. A pre- and post- treatment overview of all vital signs and routine serum tests is given in Figs. 1 and 2.

During the treatment with the Seraph[®] 100 we did not observe any adverse effect on vital signs. Blood pressure and heart rate remained stable, peripheral oxygen saturation did increase from a median of 98.0 [92.5–98.0] before the treatment to 99.0 [98.0–99.5] %; p = 0.0184 (Fig. 1D). Antithrombin activity declined from 90.0 [84.5–103.0] to 85.0 [74.25–91.25] %; p = 0.0076 during the combined

dialysis and Seraph[®] 100 treatment (Fig. 2E). As expected in a hemodialysis session, we detected a decrease for serum creatinine from 6.31 [4.54–6.94] mg/dl to 2.82 [1.83–3.46] mg/dl; p < 0.0017 and for urea from 100.0 [74.5–127.5] mg/dl to 46.5 [29.4–57.6] mg/dl; p = 0.0023(Fig. 2Q and R), Serum total bilirubin levels increased during the procedure from 0.35 [0.20–0.40] mg/dl to 0.4 [0.28–0.52] mg/dl; p = 0.0168) (Fig. 2M). A single adverse event was reported during the procedures, but was not related to the device or the procedure according to the reporting clinician. Reported adverse events (AE) during









the 14 day observation period are listed in Additional file 1: Table S2. No adverse events AE was supposedly related to the procedure.

Secondary outcome

The secondary outcome measure was the reduction of bacteria in blood passed through the Seraph® 100 over the four-hour treatment. We show inflow and outflow TTP values in Fig. 3. A total of four out of fifteen patients (26.7%) still showed bacteremia at the beginning of the treatment, in one patient a positive inflow and outflow blood culture pair could be measured during the procedure after initially showing negative blood cultures. Over the Seraph[®] 100 treatment, a total of 52 (54.2%) blood cultures showed bacterial growth with a measurable TTP, resulting in 20 inflow and outflow pairs for a paired analysis. Overall, the blood cultures showed a median TTP of 18.96 [14.04-22.38] hours before the device and 19.44 [14.36–23.78] hours after the Seraph[®] 100 (p = 0.2) (Fig. 3B). The median TTP increase between positive inflow and outflow blood cultures was 36 [-7.2 to 96.3]minutes.

One patient with *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection who still had positive blood cultures 30 min into the Seraph[®] 100 treatment turned to be blood culture negative in the subsequent sampling points. A patient by patient overview of the inflow and outflow TTP in the blood culture positive patients is given in Additional file 1: Figure S1.

Discussion

Our first in human study could show that an extracorporeal biomimetic device can safely and easily be used in conjunction with standard dialysis equipment. Even in acutely infected patients the treatment does not lead to cardiovascular instability. Just a few patients showed positive blood cultures at the beginning of the treatment, so significant pathogen reduction based on changes in TTP could not be proven in this study. However, the secondary efficacy endpoint (median TTP increase of > 22 min) was met.

Currently, the only FDA approved therapy for targeting pathogens in sepsis are anti-infective drugs, but slow pathogen identification and therefore improper empirical use of antibiotics can lead to poor outcome and antibiotic resistance. Extracorporeal treatment approaches in bacteremia or sepsis have failed thus far to improve clinically relevant patient centered outcomes. However, those treatment strategies have so far concentrated at later stages of sepsis and were mainly aimed to modify mediators that were increased in response to a fulminant infection, where treatment success is difficult to achieve [19, 20]. Seraph[®] 100 is not designed for the late stage of sepsis but for early usage in serious infections that can trigger sepsis. However, strategies for an early implementation of the Seraph[®] 100 therapy, without the delay of waiting for a positive blood culture, have to be established.

Safety-vital signs

Even during routine outpatient dialysis procedures, hypotension occurs in almost 50% of the treatments [21]. On this background it is remarkable that the additional placement of the Seraph[®] 100 in series, upstream of the dialyzer, did not result in drop in blood pressure. An additional finding from the study was a significant increase in peripheral oxygen saturation during the treatment with Seraph[®] 100 treatment. This data point was not included in the clinical research file but was recorded during the normal hemodialysis procedure. Although we do not provide arterial blood gas analysis, we consider this finding as significant, as the peripheral O₂ saturation typically decreases during hemodialysis [22, 23].

Safety-laboratory data

With regard to the clinical laboratory changes over the four hour treatment we did not see any negative safety signal. Creatinine and urea showed the typical drop of small molecules during hemodialysis [24]. The significant increase in bilirubin levels within the normal range is not clear, we did not see significant signs of hemolysis during the treatment. We do not consider this as a point of concern, as higher bilirubin levels are associated with beneficial effects in hemodialysis patients, [25]. Direct bilirubin and liver function were not affected by the Seraph[®] 100 treatment. None of the three blood cell lines were significantly altered by the Seraph[®] 100 treatment. The same holds true for fibrinogen, albumin and immunoglobulins, not to mention the electrolytes. The finding that the antithrombin activity is slightly but significantly decreasing during the treatment seems not of clinical significance. All patients showed high D-Dimer levels, nonsignificantly increasing during Seraph® 100 treatment. To evaluate the possible activation of disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), we calculated the DIC-score [26] before and after the treatment. There was no significant increase in the DIC-score during the Seraph[®] 100 treatment (before: 3 [2–4], after: 3 [3, 4], p = 0.17). Although we did not measure drug levels of anti-infectives during this study, neither extensive in vitro studies using human plasma and a Seraph[®] 100 in a hemoperfusion setting [27,28] nor anecdotal clinical reports [29] suggest clinical significant removal of anti-infective drugs. Interestingly, this holds true for tacrolimus and mycofenolatemofetil [30]. However, future clinical studies should evaluate a possible drug adsorption by the device in the clinical use.





Reduction of bacterial load

The Seraph[®] 100 is aimed to rapidly remove heparinbinding pathogens from circulating blood. Indeed, invitro studies have demonstrated that many bacteria, including all of those present in our patients are bound to the Seraph[®] 100 adsorption media as blood passes over it, allowing the concentration of bacteria to be reduced by up to 85 percent in a single pass of contaminated blood [17]. As the design of this study required a confirmation of a bloodstream infection by a positive blood culture before patient enrolment, up to 138 h passed until the Seraph[®] 100 treatment was started. However, four out of 15 patients (26.7%) continued to have positive blood cultures despite appropriate antibiotic therapy. In one patient, blood cultures identified a pathogen at the beginning of the treatment and were negative after the Seraph[®] 100 treatment. The secondary efficacy endpoint (TTP increase of > 22 min) was met in our study, but the TTP increase of pre to post adsorber blood cultures did not reach statistical significance. Although, in-vitro studies showed a 66% pathogen reduction per pass for Staphylococcus aureus, a more conservative endpoint (>40% reduction with an increase in TTP by at least 22 min) was chosen as in-vitro results with a defined spiked pathogen load may not be achievable in the clinical setting. Additionally, a four hour dialysis session with a 40% pathogen removal would result in a > 99% pathogen elimination, in case no further bacteria are released into the blood during the treatment, which seems meaningful. However, TTP reduction of the Seraph[®] 100 was ultimately not significant with the limited number of positive blood culture pairs in this study.

Study limitations

We want to point out several limitations of this study. This study was designed to investigate primarily the safety and performance of the Seraph® 100. Due to the delay between initial diagnosis of bacteremia and the start of the adsorber treatment less than half of the measured blood cultures showed positive results and only five of the treated patients could be included into our efficacy analysis. Therefore, our results regarding the pathogen reduction of the device are limited. All patients in this study were treated by intermittent hemodialysis in conjuction with the Seraph® 100 treatment and all patients received heparin for anticoagulation. Therefore, other renal replacement therapy modalities could not be investigated in this study. However, outside of this investigation the device has been used in the setting of prolonged intermittent renal replacement therapy [29], continues kidney replacement therapy [31] and standalone hemoperfusion [32].

Outlook

Future studies are needed to assess the efficacy of the device. Such studies should aim to reduce the time between clinical diagnosis of a blood stream infection and the Seraph[®] 100 treatment. Shortening the time between diagnosis and start of treatment seems to be crucial, as it is for any therapy against bacteria. Another interesting use could be the treatment of prolonged bacteremia as in endocarditis or MDR pathogens, which was no perquisite for this study. Larger clinical trials assessing clinical relevant end-points like incidence of endocarditis, sepsis, hospital length of stay and mortality are currently underway.

Conclusions

This is the first in human study of hemodialysis patients with proven bacteremia treated with the Seraph[®] 100. Serial use of the device on a non-modified dialysis machine was technically easy to implement and well tolerated. Although the efficacy endpoint for pathogen reduction was met, further studies are needed to evaluate the clinical efficacy of the device.

Abbreviations

AE: Adverse event; CFU: Colonizing blood units; DIC: Disseminated intravascular coagulation; FDA: Federal drug agency; MDR: Multi drug resistance; SAE: Severe adverse event; TTP: Time to positivity.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13054-022-04044-7.

Additional file 1: Table S1 provides detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria of the study. Table S2 provides detailed information on every reported adverse event in the study. Figure S1 shows the inflow and outflow TTP of the Seraph[®] 100 in every patient who started with positive blood cultures.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

All authors participated in patient screening and enrolment for the study as well as documentation of clinical data. GE, JJS and JTK analyzed the data and wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study was funded by ExThera Medical, Martinez, CA, USA.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the findings of this study are available from ExThera Medical but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study, and so are not publicly available. Data are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of ExThera Medical.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, registered under www.clinicaltrials.gov (NCT02914132, registered 26 September 2016) and approved by the German competent authority (*Bundesinstitut für Arzneimittel und Medizinprodukte* [BfArM]) under the reference number 94.1.01-5660-9496. Ethical approval was obtained from all responsible internal review boards of the four participating institutions.

Consent for publication

All patients or their legal guardian provided written informed consent.

Competing interests

JJS, SB and JTK received research support from ExThera Medical.

Author details

¹Medical Clinic V Nephrology, Rheumatology, Blood Purification, Academic Teaching Hospital, Braunschweig, Germany. ²Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Hannover Medical School, Carl-Neuberg Str. 1, 30625 Hannover, Germany. ³Medizinische Klinik I - Klinikum Aschaffenburg-Alzenau, Aschaffenburg, Germany. ⁴Medical Clinic III, Department of Nephrology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Germany. ⁵Department of Medicine D, Division of General Internal and Emergency Medicine, Nephrology, and Rheumatology, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany.

Received: 27 March 2022 Accepted: 2 June 2022 Published online: 17 June 2022

References

- Kline BS, Winternitz MC. Studies upon experimental pneumonia in rabbits: V. The role of the leucocyte in experimental pneumonia. The relation of the number of organisms injected to the mortality. J Exp Med. 1913;18(1):50–60.
- Kirkbright S, Fatovich D, Kee C, Kay I, Flexman J, Pryce TM, Waterer GW. Quantitative rt-PCR holds promise as a screening tool for patients with severe sepsis. Emerg Med Australas. 2011;23(4):502–6.
- Fowler VG Jr, Olsen MK, Corey GR, Woods CW, Cabell CH, Reller LB, Cheng AC, Dudley T, Oddone EZ. Clinical identifiers of complicated Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163(17):2066–72.
- Li Y, Li Q, Zhang G, Ma H, Wu Y, Yi Q, Jiang L, Wan J, Suo F, Luo Z. Time to positivity of blood culture is a risk factor for clinical outcomes in Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia children: a retrospective study. BMC Infect Dis. 2019;19(1):437.
- Darton T, Guiver M, Naylor S, Jack DL, Kaczmarski EB, Borrow R, Read RC. Severity of meningococcal disease associated with genomic bacterial load. Clin Infect Dis. 2009;48(5):587–94.
- Rello J, Lisboa T, Lujan M, Gallego M, Kee C, Kay I, Lopez D, Waterer GW. Group DN-NS: severity of pneumococcal pneumonia associated with genomic bacterial load. Chest. 2009;136(3):832–40.
- Li Q, Li Y, Yi Q, Suo F, Tang Y, Luo S, Tian X, Zhang G, Chen D, Luo Z. Prognostic roles of time to positivity of blood culture in children with Streptococcus pneumoniae bacteremia. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019;38(3):457–65.
- 8. Kumar A, Roberts D, Wood KE, Light B, Parrillo JE, Sharma S, Suppes R, Feinstein D, Zanotti S, Taiberg L, et al. Duration of hypotension before initiation of effective antimicrobial therapy is the critical determinant of survival in human septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2006;34(6):1589–96.
- Quan J, Zhao D, Liu L, Chen Y, Zhou J, Jiang Y, Du X, Zhou Z, Akova M, Yu Y. High prevalence of ESBL-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae in community-onset bloodstream infections in China. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2017;72(1):273–80.
- Gogry FA, Siddiqui MT, Haq QMR. Emergence of mcr-1 conferred colistin resistance among bacterial isolates from urban sewage water in India. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int. 2019;26(32):33715–7.
- Marks DH, Medina F, Lee S, Blackmon A, Schuschereba ST. Removal of bacteria from blood by charcoal hemoperfusion. Biomater Artif Cells Artif Organs. 1988;16(1–3):135–40.

- Kroll S, Brandes C, Wehling J, Treccani L, Grathwohl G, Rezwan K. Highly efficient enzyme-functionalized porous zirconia microtubes for bacteria filtration. Environ Sci Technol. 2012;46(16):8739–47.
- Didar TF, Cartwright MJ, Rottman M, Graveline AR, Gamini N, Watters AL, Leslie DC, Mammoto T, Rodas MJ, Kang JH, et al. Improved treatment of systemic blood infections using antibiotics with extracorporeal opsonin hemoadsorption. Biomaterials. 2015;67:382–92.
- Lee JJ, Jeong KJ, Hashimoto M, Kwon AH, Rwei A, Shankarappa SA, Tsui JH, Kohane DS. Synthetic ligand-coated magnetic nanoparticles for microfluidic bacterial separation from blood. Nano Lett. 2014;14(1):1–5.
- Liu L, Chen S, Xue Z, Zhang Z, Qiao X, Nie Z, Han D, Wang J, Wang T. Bacterial capture efficiency in fluid bloodstream improved by bendable nanowires. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):444.
- McCrea K, Ward R, LaRosa SP. Removal of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) from blood by heparin-functional hemoperfusion media. PLoS ONE. 2014;9(12): e114242.
- 17. Seffer MT, Cottam D, Forni LG, Kielstein JT. Heparin 2.0: a new approach to the infection crisis. Blood Purif. 2020;50:1–7.
- Idelevich EA, Grunastel B, Peters G, Becker K. Direct blood culturing on solid medium outperforms an automated continuously monitored broth-based blood culture system in terms of time to identification and susceptibility testing. New Microbes New Infect. 2016;10:19–24.
- Scharf C, Schroeder I, Paal M, Winkels M, Irlbeck M, Zoller M, Liebchen U. Can the cytokine adsorber CytoSorb((R)) help to mitigate cytokine storm and reduce mortality in critically ill patients? A propensity score matching analysis. Ann Intensive Care. 2021;11(1):115.
- Supady A, Weber E, Rieder M, Lother A, Niklaus T, Zahn T, Frech F, Muller S, Kuhl M, Benk C et al. Cytokine adsorption in patients with severe COVID-19 pneumonia requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (CYCOV): a single centre, open-label, randomised, controlled trial. 2021, 9(7):755–62.
- Mc Causland FR, Tumlin JA, Roy-Chaudhury P, Koplan BA, Costea AI, Kher V, Williamson D, Pokhariyal S, Charytan DM, Mi DI, et al. Intradialytic hypotension and cardiac arrhythmias in patients undergoing maintenance hemodialysis: results from the monitoring in dialysis study. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2020;15(6):805–12.
- Jones JG, Bembridge JL, Sapsford DJ, Turney JH. Continuous measurements of oxygen saturation during haemodialysis. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 1992;7(2):110–6.
- Chan L, Zhang H, Meyring-Wosten A, Campos I, Fuertinger D, Thijssen S, Kotanko P. Intradialytic central venous oxygen saturation is associated with clinical outcomes in hemodialysis patients. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):8581.
- Perl J, Dember LM, Bargman JM, Browne T, Charytan DM, Flythe JE, Hickson LJ, Hung AM, Jadoul M, Lee TC, et al. The use of a multidimensional measure of dialysis adequacy-moving beyond small solute kinetics. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017;12(5):839–47.
- do Sameiro-Faria M, Kohlova M, Ribeiro S, Rocha-Pereira P, Teixeira L, Nascimento H, Reis F, Miranda V, Bronze-da-Rocha E, Quintanilha A et al: Potential cardiovascular risk protection of bilirubin in end-stage renal disease patients under hemodialysis. Biomed Res Int 2014, 2014:175286.
- 26. Levi M, Scully M. How I treat disseminated intravascular coagulation. Blood. 2018;131(8):845–54.
- Schmidt JJ, Eden G, Seffer MT, Winkler M, Kielstein JT. In vitro elimination of anti-infective drugs by the Seraph((R)) 100 Microbind((R)) affinity blood filter. Clin Kidney J. 2020;13(3):421–4.
- Seffer MT, Martens-Lobenhoffer J, Schmidt JJ, Eden G, Bode-Boger SM, Kielstein JT. Clearance of chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine by the Seraph(R) 100 Microbinda Affinity Blood Filter -a device approved for the treatment of COVID-19 patients. Ther Apher Dial. 2021;25(2):237–41.
- Schmidt JJ, Bode-Boger SM, Martens-Lobenhoffer J, Hoeper MM, Kielstein JT. Pharmacokinetics of Remdesivir and GS-441524 during PIRRT and Seraph 100 Therapy. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;16(8):1256–7.
- de Geus HRH, Smeets T, Hoek RAS, Endeman H, Hunfeld N. The Seraph(R)-100 microbind affinity blood filter does not affect vancomycin, tacrolimus, and mycophenolic acid plasma concentrations. Blood Purif. 2021;50(6):971–5.
- Schmidt JJ, Borchina DN, Van't Klooster M, Bulhan-Soki K, Okioma R, Herbst L, Rodriguez DS, Premuzic V, Buttner S, Bader B, et al. Interim analysis of the COSA (COVID-19 patients treated with the sSeraph(R) 100 Microbind(R) Affinity filter) registry. Nephrol Dial Transplant. 2022;37(4):673–80.

 Seffer MT, Eden G, Engelmann S, Kielstein JT. Elimination of Staphylococcus aureus from the bloodstream using a novel biomimetic sorbent haemoperfusion device. BMJ Case Rep. 2020;13(8):e235262.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

