

A journal of world insect systematics

INSECTA MUNDI

0884

First record of *Orsilochides scurrilis* (Stål)
(Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Scutelleridae: Pachycorinae)
in the United States, with notes on the biology and
distribution of U.S. species of *Orsilochides* Kirkaldy

A. W. Meeds

Arizona State University - West Campus
4701 Thunderbird Road
Glendale, AZ 85306

J. E. Eger, Jr.

Florida State Collection of Arthropods
P.O. Box 147100
Gainesville, FL 32614

S. D. Carnahan

University of Arizona Herbarium
1130 East South Campus Drive
Tucson, AZ 85721

Date of issue: September 24, 2021

Center for Systematic Entomology, Inc., Gainesville, FL

Meeds AW, Eger JE Jr., Carnahan SD. 2021. First record of *Orsilochides scurrilis* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Scutelleridae: Pachycorinae) in the United States, with notes on the biology and distribution of U.S. species of *Orsilochides* Kirkaldy. *Insecta Mundi* 0884: 1–11.

Published on September 24, 2021 by
Center for Systematic Entomology, Inc.
P.O. Box 141874
Gainesville, FL 32614-1874 USA
<http://centerforsystematicentomology.org/>

INSECTA MUNDI is a journal primarily devoted to insect systematics, but articles can be published on any non-marine arthropod. Topics considered for publication include systematics, taxonomy, nomenclature, checklists, faunal works, and natural history. *Insecta Mundi* will not consider works in the applied sciences (i.e. medical entomology, pest control research, etc.), and no longer publishes book reviews or editorials. *Insecta Mundi* publishes original research or discoveries in an inexpensive and timely manner, distributing them free via open access on the internet on the date of publication.

Insecta Mundi is referenced or abstracted by several sources, including the Zoological Record and CAB Abstracts. *Insecta Mundi* is published irregularly throughout the year, with completed manuscripts assigned an individual number. Manuscripts must be peer reviewed prior to submission, after which they are reviewed by the editorial board to ensure quality. One author of each submitted manuscript must be a current member of the Center for Systematic Entomology.

Guidelines and requirements for the preparation of manuscripts are available on the *Insecta Mundi* website at <http://centerforsystematicentomology.org/insectamundi/>

Chief Editor: David Plotkin, insectamundi@gmail.com
Assistant Editor: Paul E. Skelley, insectamundi@gmail.com
Layout Editor: Robert G. Forsyth
Editorial Board: Davide Dal Pos, Oliver Keller, M. J. Paulsen
Founding Editors: Ross H. Arnett, Jr., J. H. Frank, Virendra Gupta, John B. Heppner, Lionel A. Stange, Michael C. Thomas, Robert E. Woodruff
Review Editors: Listed on the *Insecta Mundi* webpage

Printed copies (ISSN 0749-6737) annually deposited in libraries

Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services, Gainesville, FL, USA
The Natural History Museum, London, UK
National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution, Washington, DC, USA
Zoological Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences, Saint-Petersburg, Russia

Electronic copies (Online ISSN 1942-1354) in PDF format

Archived digitally by Portico
Florida Virtual Campus: <http://purl.fcla.edu/fcla/insectamundi>
University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Digital Commons: <http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/insectamundi/>
Goethe-Universität, Frankfurt am Main: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn/resolver.pl?urn:nbn:de:hebis:30:3-135240>

Copyright held by the author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons, Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited. <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>

First record of *Orsilochides scurrilis* (Stål)
(Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Scutelleridae: Pachycorinae)
in the United States, with notes on the biology and
distribution of U.S. species of *Orsilochides* Kirkaldy

A. W. Meeds

Arizona State University - West Campus
4701 Thunderbird Road
Glendale, AZ 85306
andrew.meeds@asu.edu

J. E. Eger, Jr.

Florida State Collection of Arthropods
P.O. Box 147100
Gainesville, FL 32614

S. D. Carnahan

University of Arizona Herbarium
1130 East South Campus Drive
Tucson, AZ 85721

Abstract. *Orsilochides scurrilis* (Stål) (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Scutelleridae) is reported from the United States for the first time based on a specimen collected in Santa Cruz County, Arizona. A key to separate the U.S. species of *Orsilochides* Kirkaldy is provided. In addition, host plant records and distribution of the other two species of *Orsilochides* that occur in the U.S., *Orsilochides guttata* (Herrich-Schäffer) and *Orsilochides stictica* (Dallas), are analyzed through a combination of digital photo records and museum specimens.

Key words. Adventive species, iNaturalist, BugGuide, Global Biodiversity Information Facility, host plants.

ZooBank registration. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:9FE85D51-D1E9-4723-8790-0714E5E4E35F

Introduction

The genus *Orsilochides* Kirkaldy contains six species that can be found in the neotropics and southern United States. Eger et al. (2015) listed distributions of neotropical species of Scutelleridae, including the following five species of *Orsilochides* found in that region and their distributions: *Orsilochides glirina* (Bergroth) (Brazil), *Orsilochides leucoptera* (Germar) (Argentina, Bolivia, Brazil, Paraguay, and Peru), *Orsilochides scurrilis* (Stål) (El Salvador, Guatemala, Mexico, and Nicaragua), *Orsilochides stictica* (Dallas) (Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, and USA (Texas) and *Orsilochides variabilis* (Herrich-Schäffer) (Belize, Colombia, Costa Rica, Guatemala, Mexico, and Nicaragua). Meanwhile, *Orsilochides guttata* (Herrich-Schäffer) is recorded only from the southern United States (Lattin 1964; Froeschner 1988).

Prior to this publication, two species of *Orsilochides* were recorded from the United States. Lattin (1964) reported *O. guttata* from Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, and South Carolina, and *O. stictica* from the United States for the first time, noting that its presence in the U.S. was restricted to a small area around Brownsville, Texas. However, Lattin's (1964) dissertation was not published and his work was not cataloged by Froeschner (1988). The only published records of *O. stictica* from the United States were those of Reid and Barton (1989), citing Lattin (1964), and Eger et al. (2015), who included Texas in the distribution of this species without further comment.

On 18 November 2020, S.D.C. found and collected a single specimen of *O. scurrilis* on *Ericameria laricifolia* (A. Gray) (Asteraceae) in Santa Cruz County, Arizona. Thereafter, she posted a photo of the specimen (Figure 1) on the SW U.S. Arthropods Facebook Page, which was viewed by A.W.M., who sent the photo to J.E.E. for



Figure 1. Live *Orsilochides scurrilis* specimen captured and photographed by Susan D. Carnahan.

verification of the specific identification. The authors suspected that this specimen represented the first record of the species in the U.S. and the first record of the genus in the state of Arizona. Because this genus has received limited attention in the U.S., this record also provided an opportunity to further investigate the distribution of *O. stictica* and *O. guttata* within the country. With all of this in mind, the authors began contacting museums and searching digital records in an effort to determine whether this was, in fact, the first U.S. record of *O. scurrilis* and to update the distributions of previously recorded U.S. species of *Orsilochides*.

Materials and Methods

Records for species of *Orsilochides* within the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF) were reviewed (GBIF 2020). GBIF is an international network and data infrastructure that contains a variety of open access records, including specimen records uploaded by participating museums and geotagged photo records from websites like iNaturalist (www.inaturalist.org) and BugGuide (www.bugguide.net). While BugGuide users may assign an identification to their own photo records, editors and experts on the site can override any user's identification. Intermittently, records from BugGuide are exported to GBIF where they may be retrieved by interested parties. iNaturalist takes a different approach and considers identifications by all users to be of equal importance. When users first upload a photo, a suggested identification based on a computer algorithm is provided to the user. Other users may refine, correct, or confirm initial identifications. Upon reaching a required level of community consensus, photo observations are deemed "research grade" and are available for export to GBIF. Given the wide diversity of arthropods, computer vision identifications are often unreliable for insects, and researchers interested in using the data should intensely scrutinize relevant records from any database to omit misidentifications or cases in which photos lack sufficient clarity to reliably elicit an identification. Photos that did not meet these criteria were omitted from this study.

In addition to these photo records, GBIF also compiles digitized vouchers of physical specimens from participating museums. Similar to examining specimens in person from collections, when analyzing either the photo

records or the digitized voucher records, there is a possibility that observational notes such as dates or locations could be entered incorrectly, either by the original observer or by subsequent parties transferring data. It should be noted that GBIF (2020) contained some records of physical specimens that raised suspicion as outliers. In one case, three specimens of *O. stictica* were reported from Tennessee. Follow-up with staff at the institution that provided those records revealed that these specimens were actually from Texas, so these records were omitted. In another instance, an institution from outside of the US included a record of *O. guttata* from New York in the database. In that case, when contact with the institution could not be made successfully, the record was omitted, as a labeling error seemed likely.

After analyzing the photo records, inquiries were made to several arthropod museums in an attempt to corroborate the indicated distributional changes with physical voucher specimens. Museum staff at the following museums searched their collections for *Orsilochides* specimens: Hasbrouck Insect Collection at Arizona State University (ASUHIC), the Florida State Collection of Arthropods (FSCA), the Louisiana State Arthropod Museum (LSAM), the Mississippi Entomological Museum (MEM), the New Mexico State University Arthropod Collection (NMSU), Texas A&M University Insect Collection (TAMU), University of Arizona Insect Collection (UAIC), and the University of Texas at Austin Biodiversity Center Insect Collection (UTIC). Additionally, online databases of arthropod collections were searched. The Symbiota Collections of Arthropods Network (SCAN) returned U.S. *Orsilochides* spp. specimen records from the following collections: Monte L. Bean Life Science Museum at Brigham Young University (BYUC), TAMU, Archbold Biological Station Arthropod Collection (ABS), and the Stuart M. Fullerton Collection of Arthropods at University of Central Florida (UCFC). These records also were included in the GBIF data set and can be retrieved from GBIF (2020). Specimen records from the personal collection of J.E.E. (JEEC) also were included in this study. Label data for material studied are given as they appear on the label and are given only for those specimens we examined that represent new distribution records.

Images used in Figures 2–4 were captured by Sangmi Lee at ASUHIC using a mounted Canon EOS 6D Mark II with a 1.4× Tele converter and 65 mm macro lens, and stacked using Zerene Stacker software. Images used in Figures 5–10 were captured by J.E.E. using a Leica DMC6200 digital camera attached to a Leica Z16 APO Macroscope, and stacked using Leica software. All images were retouched in Adobe Lightroom and combined in Adobe Photoshop by A.W.M.

Material Studied

Orsilochides scurrilis: USA: ARIZONA, Santa Cruz Co., Salero Ranch, 31.60988, -110.90709, 4293 feet elevation, 18.XI.2020 Susan D. Carnahan, *Ericameria laricifolia*, ASUHIC0163996.

Orsilochides stictica: 1 male: USA: TEXAS, Travis Co., Zilker, 01.III.1996, J. Clement, UTIC. 2 females: USA: TEXAS, Bastrop Co., N30.300, W97.294, 21.III.2008–28.IV.2008, Malaise, J.C. Abbott, UTIC. 1 female: USA: TEXAS, San Patricio Co., Welder Wildlife Foundation, Sinton, 12 km NE @ TX 77, N28.11267, W97.41769, 23–26.V.2011, J.C. Abbott #2504 & Ent. Class, UTIC. 1 female: USA: TEXAS, Travis Co., Camp Mabry Nat. Guard, Austin, N30.623, W97.767, 16.VI–8.VII.2004, Malaise, J.C. Abbott, UTIC. 1 female: USA: TEXAS, Travis Co., Camp Mabry Nat. Guard, Austin, N30.623, W97.767, 08.III–25.III.2005, Malaise, J.C. Abbott, UTIC. 1 female: USA: TEXAS, Travis Co., 4D-2222 Ecolab, Colorado R., Austin, N30.349, W97.790, 26.III.2008–23.IV.2008, J.C. Abbott, UTIC.

Results and Discussion

The specimen of *O. scurrilis* collected by S.D.C. was deposited into the ASUHIC. This specimen is the first reported U.S. record for the species and the first reported record of the genus *Orsilochides* in Arizona.

Among Scutelleridae from the United States, species of *Orsilochides* can be recognized by having stridulatory areas ventrally on at least abdominal segments 5–6, a short metathoracic ostiolar peritreme, and the abdominal sternites rounded laterally, not compressed. *Orsilochides scurrilis* is a distinctly and uniquely colored bug (Fig. 1–4), so it should be recognizable; however, separating *O. guttata* and *O. stictica* is more subtle. Here we present a key to species of *Orsilochides* spp. now known to occur in the United States, which should facilitate recognition of the three relevant species.



Figures 2–4. *Orsilochides scurrilis*. 2) Lateral view. 3) Ventral view. 4) Dorsal view. Dimensional lines = 1.0 mm.

Key to species of *Orsilochides* occurring in the United States

1. Abdominal venter black, lateral margins with pale impunctate callus (Fig. 5–6); length 9.2–11.9 mm ***O. guttata* (Herrich-Schäffer)**
- Abdominal venter light brown to yellow with contrasting punctures, often in patches, lateral margins lacking callus, at least partially punctate **2**
2. Head light brown to yellow, concolorously punctate, sutures between clypeus and mandibular plates bordered in black or reddish brown; dorsal coloration reddish brown, usually with distinct pattern of transverse yellow markings (Fig. 2–4); length 7.7–9.6 mm ***O. scurrilis* (Stål)**
- Head light brown with black punctation, sutures between clypeus and mandibular plates not bordered in by darker coloration; dorsal coloration light brown to orange-brown, usually with vague transverse markings (Fig. 8–10); length 6.4–8.8 mm ***O. stictica* (Dallas)**

Updated information on distributions for US species of *Orsilochides* can be seen in Table 1, along with earliest reported records for each species in each state. It should be noted that while our search only returned records from the listed counties, more intensive collecting efforts and exhaustive examination of arthropod collections would likely reveal that *O. guttata* is widely distributed across much of its previously reported range in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, and Mississippi. The digital photo records from GBIF (2020) suggest that *O. guttata* has recently expanded its range northward into southern and central Arkansas, and westward into eastern Texas. However, no physical specimens could be located to corroborate the presence of *O. guttata* in Texas or Arkansas. Meanwhile, both photo records and specimen data from UTIC and TAMU indicate that *O. stictica* has a much greater range within Texas than previously indicated (Lattin 1964). Photo records from GBIF (2020) also indicate that the species may now be found in Louisiana and Mississippi, although voucher specimens could not be located to further corroborate distribution in those states.

While it is possible that the three species covered here underwent distributional changes within the U.S., our study did not reveal the presence of a fourth species, *O. variabilis*, in the United States. Lattin (1964) commented on the presence of this species in Mexico near the U.S. border and noted that expansion into the U.S. was likely, but its absence in our study suggests that the species may already have reached the northern limits of its potential distribution.

Reid and Barton (1989) reared *O. guttata* on cuttings of *Croton capitatus* Michaux in the laboratory. They provided developmental times for each life stage and described and figured the immature stages. There is little information on the biology of the other species of *Orsilochides* outside of plant associations. Available literature suggests that *Orsilochides* spp. are associated with a wide variety of plants but are not considered to be among the scutellerid genera that are economically significant (Eger et al. 2015). Table 2 provides a summary of plant association records from the literature for the three *Orsilochides* species recorded in the U.S. and also includes several new records for these species, based on information provided by the authors and users of iNaturalist and BugGuide whose observations were included in GBIF (2020). There are limited records in the literature of plant associations for *O. scurrilis* and *O. stictica* and none indicate that nymphs were present on these plants. *Orsilochides stictica* has only had a single plant association previously reported, but GBIF (2020) records suggest that the species has a preference for Malvaceae, as *Sida rhombifolia* Linnaeus and *Pavonia lasiopetala* Scheele both had multiple records of association with both adults and nymphs. A number of associated plants have been reported for *O. guttata*, but plants in the families Euphorbiaceae and Malvaceae are the only ones that have been associated with immatures. In Florida, all life stages of this species are commonly found on *Croton glandulosus* Linnaeus and *Cnidioscolus stimulosus* (Michaux) Engelm. & A. Gray, suggesting that Euphorbiaceae are preferred hosts.

Further study is required to determine whether *O. scurrilis* is established in Arizona and to determine its feeding habits within the U.S., but neotropical plant associations for this species published by Dominguez and Carrillo (1976) and Maes (1998), as well as the host plant information reported for the other species, may help to focus that search.

In this digital age, citizen science outlets such as BugGuide and iNaturalist are valuable resources for improving understanding of arthropod distributional patterns. The utility of these databases is readily apparent in aiding in the early detection of invasive pest species (Maistrello et al. 2016; Eger et al. 2020), but these data also can be useful to those seeking to improve understanding of arthropods that have received limited attention based

Table 1. Distributional information for species of *Orsilochides* occurring in the United States. New records are given in bold type.


| State | Oldest state record (source) | Counties/parishes | Source |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|---|
| <i>Orsilochides guttata</i> | | | |
| Alabama | 1926 (Blatchley 1926) | Lee, Mobile, Monroe Choctaw Baldwin, Jefferson, Marion, Perry, Pickens | (Lattin 1964) (Reid and Barton 1989) Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Arkansas | 2013 (GBIF 2020) | Drew, Pulaski, Saline | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Florida | 1904 (Van Duzee 1904) | Widespread | (Lattin 1964), (Blatchley 1926), (GBIF 2020) |
| Georgia | 1837 (Herrich-Schäffer 1837) | Brooks, Chatham, Tift Decatur Walton, Ware | (Lattin 1964) Specimen record from NMSU Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Louisiana | 1925 (Lattin 1964) | Livingston Bossier, Caddo, Grant, Natchitoches, Ouachita, St. Tammany, Union, Vernon | (Lattin 1964) Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Mississippi | 1927 (Lattin 1964) | Covington, Forrest, Lauderdale, Leake, Harrison Covington, Rankin, Simpson Choctaw, Forrest, Grenada, Jackson, Lamar, Lincoln, Marshall, Tallahatchee, Yalobusha | (Lattin 1964) (Reid and Barton 1989) Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| North Carolina | 1867 (Walker, 1867) | Moore, New Hanover | (Lattin 1964) |
| South Carolina | 1904 (Van Duzee 1904) | Beaufort | (Lattin 1964) |
| Texas | 2014 (GBIF 2020) | Angelina, Bowie, Cass, Dallas, Harris, Harrison, Houston, Jasper, Liberty, Montgomery, Nacogdoches, Polk, Rusk, San Jacinto, Smith, Tyler | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| <i>Orsilochides scurrilis</i> | | | |
| Arizona | 2020 (This paper) | Santa Cruz | Specimen in ASUHC |
| <i>Orsilochides stictica</i> | | | |
| Texas | 1935 (Lattin 1964) | Cameron, Hidalgo Angelina, Bastrop, Brazoria, Brazos, Burleson, Harris, Kleberg, Montgomery, San Patricio, Travis, Waller Austin, Bexar, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Hays, Jasper, Leon, Liberty, Neuces, Polk, Williamson | (Lattin, 1964) Specimen records from JEEC, TAMU, & UTIC Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Louisiana | 2015 (GBIF 2020) | East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, Lafayette, New Orleans, Orleans, St. Helena, St. Martin, St. Tammany, Tangipahoa, West Feliciana | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Mississippi | 2020 (GBIF 2020) | Jones, Pearl | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |

Table 2. Plant species associated with species of *Orsilochides* occurring in the United States. New records are given in bold type.


| Plant family | Plant species | Associated life stage (if noted) | Source |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Orsilochides guttata</i> | | | |
| Anacardiaceae | <i>Schinus terebinthifolius</i> Raddi | Adult | (Cassani 1986) |
| Asteraceae | <i>Berlandiera subacaulis</i> Nutt. | Adult | (Olson 1989) |
| Convolvulaceae | <i>Ipomoea pes-caprae</i> (L.) R. Br. | | (Blatchley 1926) |
| | <i>Silphium integrifolium</i> Michx. | Adult | (GBIF 2020) |
| Euphorbiaceae | <i>Cnidoscolus stimulosus</i> (Michx.) Englem. & A. Gray | Adults, nymphs | (Personal observation, J.E.E.) |
| | <i>Croton capitatus</i> Michaux | Adults, nymphs | (Reid and Barton 1983) |
| | <i>Croton glandulosus</i> L. | Adults, nymphs | (Reid and Barton 1983) |
| | <i>Croton punctatus</i> Jacq. | Adult | (GBIF 2020) |
| Malvaceae | <i>Alcea rosea</i> L. | | (Lattin 1964) |
| | <i>Hibiscus aculeatus</i> Walter | Adults, nymphs | (GBIF 2020) |
| | <i>Kosteletzkya virginica</i> (L.) C. Presl. ex A. Gray | | (Lattin 1964) |
| Rosaceae | <i>Crataegus</i> sp. | | (Dozier 1920), as ‘red haw’ |
| <i>Orsilochides scurrilis</i> | | | |
| Arecaceae | ‘palmas enfermes’ | | (Dominguez and Carillo 1976) |
| Asteraceae | <i>Ericameria laricifolia</i> A. Gray | Adult | (Personal observation, S.D.C.) |
| Malvaceae | <i>Gossypium</i> sp. | | (Maes 1998) |
| Nyctaginaceae | <i>Bougainvillea</i> sp. | | (Maes 1998) |
| Poaceae | <i>Saccharum</i> sp. | | (Maes 1998) |
| <i>Orsilochides stictica</i> | | | |
| Apocynaceae | <i>Asclepias viridis</i> (Walter) A. Gray | Adult | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Asteraceae | <i>Parthenium hysterophorus</i> L. | Adult | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Euphorbiaceae | <i>Croton capitatus</i> Michaux | Adult | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| | <i>Hibiscus laevis</i> All. | Adult | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Lamiaceae | <i>Salvia azurea</i> Michx. Ex Lam. | Adult | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Malvaceae | <i>Sida rhombifolia</i> L. | Adults, Nymphs | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| | <i>Pavonia lasiopetala</i> Scheele | Adults, Nymphs | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| | <i>Urena lobata</i> L. | Adult | Photo records (GBIF 2020) |
| Verbenaceae | <i>Lantana</i> sp. | | (Palmer and Pullen 1995) |

on their negligible economic impacts. The costs of materials and labor associated with organized, funded sampling efforts are barriers that are difficult to overcome when there is little to no tangible economic benefit from improving understanding of the target organism, but open-access records such as those compiled by the users of BugGuide or iNaturalist afford researchers a wider range of data than a small team could ever collect on their own. However, when using these data sets, the limitations of this material also should be considered.

With photographic records, identifiers are not always able to examine key features that would be examined readily on a physical specimen, so identification must be based on general habitus of the insect and cannot be



Figures 5–7. *Orsilochides guttata*. 5) Lateral view. 6) Ventral view. 7) Dorsal view. Dimensional lines = 1.0 mm.



Figures 8–10. *Orsilochides stictica*. 8) Lateral view, 9) Ventral view, 10) Dorsal view. Dimensional lines = 1.0 mm.

made with the same degree of certainty that is possible with physical specimens. Additionally, identifiers on these sites are often volunteers and their level of expertise can be inconsistent or unavailable to those retrieving the data. Conversely, photographs can contain reviewable behavioral evidence such as plant associations, aggregation behavior, or predation that only can be provided by the original observer through label data when examining physical specimens. Experts and identifiers can address some of these limitations and maximize the benefits of photo records through improved communication with the naturalists recording these observations. By providing feedback on additional photo angles that may facilitate more accurate identification, detailing gaps in biological understanding that naturalists should record, and pointing out records of uncommon species in an area, identifiers can encourage observers to collect records and specimens that could improve understanding of the biology of a wide range of insect species.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the following museum staff for their help in locating relevant records: Alexander Wild, UTIC; Karen Wright, TAMU; Wesley Hall and Wendy Moore, UAIC; Terence Schiefer, MEM; Christopher Carlton, LSAM; Susan Halbert, FSCA; and Scott Bundy, NMSU. The authors would also like to thank Sangmi Lee of the ASUHC for arranging shipment of the *O. scurrilis* specimen and for taking photos of the specimen, Roland Lupoli and Vassili Belov for their efforts in curating and identifying many of the digital photo records that were used in this study, as well as the many naturalists that have submitted photo records and field notes to iNaturalist and BugGuide, aiding us in this study. Additionally, the authors thank Scott Bundy and Susan Halbert for their valuable review efforts, helping to improve this manuscript.

Literature Cited

- Blatchley WS. 1926.** Heteroptera or true bugs of eastern North America with special reference to the faunas of Indiana and Florida. The Nature Publishing Company; Indianapolis. 1116 p.
- Cassani JR. 1986.** Arthropods on Brazilian peppertree, *Schinus terebinthifolius* (Anacardiaceae) in south Florida. Florida Entomologist 69(1): 184–196.
- Dominguez Y, Carrillo JL. 1976.** Lista de insectos en la coleccion entomologica del Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agricolas. Folleto Misceláneo 29: 1.
- Dozier HL. 1920.** An ecological study of hammock and piney woods insects in Florida. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 13(4): 325–380.
- Eger JE Jr., Barcellos A, Weiler L. 2015.** Shield bugs (Scutelleridae). p. 757–788. In: Panizzi, AR, Grazia J (eds.). True bugs (Heteroptera) of the Neotropics. Springer Science and Business Media; Dordrecht, Netherlands. xxii + 901 p.
- Eger JE Jr., Pitcher AJ, Halbert SE, Penca C, Hodges AC. 2020.** First report of *Brachyplatys subaeneus* (Westwood) (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Plataspidae) in the United States. Insecta Mundi 0814: 1–6.
- Froeschner RC. 1988.** Family Scutelleridae Leach, 1815. The shield bugs. p. 684–693. In: Henry TJ, Froeschner RC (eds.). Catalog of the Heteroptera, or true bugs, of Canada and the continental United States. Brill; New York, NY. xix + 958 p.
- GBIF. 2020.** GBIF Occurrence Download <https://doi.org/10.15468/dl.672r3t>. Available at <https://www.gbif.org/occurrence/download/0145639-200613084148143> (Last accessed 28 December 2020.)
- Herrich-Schäffer GAW. 1837.** Die Wanzenartigen Insecten. Getreu nach der Natur abgebildet und beschrieben (Fortsetzung des Hahn'schen Werkes). Band 4. Zeh'sche Buchhandlung; Nürnberg, Germany. 1–32 p.
- Lattin JD. 1964.** The Scutellerinae of America north of Mexico (Hemiptera: Heteroptera: Pentatomidae). Ph.D. Dissertation. University of California, Berkeley. 350 p.
- Maes JM. 1998.** Insectos de Nicaragua, volumen I. Setab; Managua, Nicaragua. 486 p.
- Maistrello L, Dioli P, Bariselli M, Mazzoli GL, Giacalone-Forini I. 2016.** Citizen science and early detection of invasive species: phenology of first occurrences of *Halyomorpha halys* in Southern Europe. Biological Invasions 18(11): 3109–3116.
- Olson SW. 1989.** Insect detection continued- a shieldbacked bug, *Chelysoma guttatum* (H.-S.). Tri-ology 28(4): 3.
- Palmer WA, Pullen KR. 1995.** The phytophagous arthropods associated with *Lantana camara*, *L. hirsuta*, *L. urticifolia*, and *L. urticoides* (Verbenaceae) in North America. Biological Control 5(1): 54–72.
- Reid JL, Barton HE. 1983.** First host plant records for *Chelysomidea guttata* (Herrich-Schaeffer) (Hemiptera: Scutelleridae), with notes on the biology and distribution. Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science 37(39): 98.

- Reid JL, Barton HE. 1989.** Laboratory rearing of *Chelysomidea guttata* (Hemiptera: Scutelleridae) with descriptions of immature stages. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 82(6): 737–740.
- Van Duzee EP. 1904.** Annotated list of the Pentatomidae recorded from America north of Mexico, with descriptions of some new species. *Transactions of the American Entomological Society* 30: 1–80.
- Walker F. 1867.** Catalogue of the specimens of Hemiptera Heteroptera in the collection of the British Museum. Part I. E. Newman; London, UK. 240 p.

Received July 28, 2021; accepted August 29, 2021.

Review editor Aline Barcellos.

