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#### Abstract

The Western Ghats of India is considered one of the richest biodiversity hotspots in the world. Documenting scorpion diversity has always been of paramount importance due to their species richness, ecological role and endemism, which calls for conservation priority. Scorpion diversity of the Western Ghats is probably underestimated given the ancestry of the group, and more field work in the region is very likely to uncover numerous undescribed taxa. Several new Indian species have recently been discovered in the scorpion genus Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828 (Scorpiones: Buthidae). In this communication, we resurrect I. sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983 and describe two new species from the Western Ghats of India, I. nakshatra sp. nov. and I. wayanadensis sp. nov., using an integrative taxonomic approach. In order to replace the lost holotype of I. sankeriensis, we designate a neotype and reassess the identity of this species. This work elevates the number of species of Isometrus found in India to eight and we expect many more scorpion discoveries from India with continued research.
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## Introduction

The Western Ghats (WG) is a chain of high mountains along the western coast of India, running from the Tapti River in the north to the southern tip of India near Kanyakumari, with an average elevation of 800 m a.s.l. (Tawde \& Singh 2015). Prioritization for conservation has led to the demarcation of 34 biodiversity hotspots in the world, including the WG (Myers et al. 2000; Mittermeier et al. 2004). Limited biotic exchanges with other regions have resulted in a remarkable local endemism in the WG (Bossuyt et al. 2004). Scorpions are an important component of numerous ecosystems (Polis et al. 1981; Rafinejad et al. 2020). Recent arachnological surveys in India have resulted in the descriptions of many new species of scorpions (Sulakhe et al. 2020a, 2020b, 2020c, 2020d, 2020e, 2021; Mirza 2020). Cryptic species are considered problematic in taxonomic studies (Mirza et al. 2018), as it is difficult to distinguish them based on morphology alone, leading to taxonomic confusion (Lajmi et al. 2016). Prior to the implementation of molecular tools, many species were thought to have wide distributional ranges. However, advanced molecular and statistical techniques are now being combined with traditional taxonomy and are playing a major role in the discovery of new species and also in helping establish appropriate conservation priorities (Chaitanya et al. 2019; Mallik et al. 2020; Sulakhe et al. 2020a; Gowande et al. 2021).

The family Buthidae C.L. Koch, 1837, is the largest scorpion family with ca 95 genera and ca 1259 species distributed across the globe (Rein 2021). The genus Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828 previously contained two subgenera, Isometrus (Isometrus) Ehrenberg, 1829 and Isometrus (Reddyanus) Vachon, 1972. Tikader \& Bastawade (1983) described another subgenus, Isometrus (Closotrichus), based on the position of trichobothria $d b$ on the fixed finger of the chela, and with I. (Closotrichus) sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983 from Sankeri, Karwar, Karnataka, India as the type species. Tikader \& Bastawade (1983) incorrectly placed I. thurstoni Pocock, 1983 and I. maculatus (DeGeer, 1778) in the subgenus Reddyanus, and these were later transferred by Kovařík (1994) to Isometrus (Isometrus). Kovařík (1994) also synonymized I. (Closotrichus) with I. (Isometrus). In his review of the genus Isometrus, Kovařík (2003) synonymised I. sankeriensis with I. thurstoni based on the samples available in his collection, which he considered to be I. sankeriensis. Kovařík et al. (2016) elevated the subgenus I. (Reddyanus) to genus level.

The genus Isometrus comprises five species found in India, including the type species, I. maculatus. Although I. maculatus has a vague type locality (ambiguously described as "Suriname and Pennsylvania"), it is assumed that the species originated in South Asia (Fet \& Lowe 2000; Lourenço \& Huber 2002; Veronika et al. 2013; Kovařík et al. 2016). The remaining Indian species of this genus include I. thurstoni described from Shevaroy Hills (Tamil Nadu), I. tamhini Sulakhe et al., 2020 from Tamhini, Pune (Maharashtra), I. amboli Sulakhe et al., 2020 from Amboli, Sindhudurg (Maharashtra) and $I$. kovariki Sulakhe et al., 2020 from Chikkadunnasandra, Bengaluru (Karnataka).

Sulakhe et al. (2020a) described two new species, I. tamhini and I. amboli, from the northern Western Ghats (NWG) of India. Sulakhe et al. (2020a) were unable to test the conspecificity of these two taxa with I. sankeriensis using morphological and molecular data due to the unavailability of material that could objectively be attributed to I. sankeriensis. However, based on the analyses of specimens newly collected from the type locality of I. sankeriensis, they appear to be morphologically and genetically

Table 1. Morphometric data for Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{L}=$ length; $\mathrm{W}=$ width (in carapace corresponding to median width); $\mathrm{D}=$ depth.

| Dimensions (mm) |  | oneotype <br> BNHS SC 194 | ôtopotype <br> INHER 288 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $3.37 / 2.74$ | $3.67 / 3.24$ |
| Mesosoma | L | 7.99 | 9.06 |
| Tergite VII | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $2.20 / 2.87$ | $2.20 / 2.81$ |
| Metasoma and telson | L | 19.97 | 22.41 |
| Segment I | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $2.52 / 1.58$ | $2.45 / 1.57$ |
| Segment II | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $1.17 / 1.42$ | $3.03 / 1.41$ |
| Segment III | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $3.43 / 1.38$ | $3.40 / 1.42$ |
| Segment IV | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $3.84 / 1.21$ | $3.66 / 1.43$ |
| Segment V | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $4.70 / 1.17$ | $5.15 / 1.23$ |
| Telson | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W} / \mathrm{D}$ | $4.31 / 1.00 / 1.13$ | $4.72 / 1.09 / 1.21$ |
| Pedipalp | L | 15.14 | 16.23 |
| Femur | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $4.07 / 0.89$ | $4.39 / 0.92$ |
| Patella | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $4.43 / 1.11$ | $4.74 / 1.17$ |
| Chela | L | 6.64 | 7.10 |
| Manus | $\mathrm{W} / \mathrm{D}$ | $1.16 / 0.96$ | $1.22 / 1.10$ |
| Movable finger | L | 4.20 | 4.48 |
| Pectine | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $2.48 / 0.59$ | $2.90 / 0.68$ |
| Genital Operculum | $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{W}$ | $0.51 / 0.97$ | $0.58 / 1.05$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 3 3}$ | $16 / 18$ |
| Pectinal teeth count | PTC | $15 / 16$ |  |

distinct from I. thurstoni, which warrants the removal of this species from synonymy. However, the resurrection of I. sankeriensis also directly raises doubts about the validity of the two species described by Sulakhe et al. (2020a), given the morphological similarity and geographic proximity in the distribution of these three species.

In this communication, we test the validity of I. amboli and I. tamhini, as well as that of the putative species occurring throughout the Western Ghats using a hierarchical species delimitation approach across geographical, molecular and morphological axes. We here resurrect I. sankeriensis as a valid species and provide a detailed description and diagnosis of it using an integrative taxonomic approach. As the holotype of I. sankeriensis is lost, we herein designate a neotype of I. sankeriensis, in accordance with the recommendations of Article 75 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN 2000). As a part of our extensive surveys to study populations of Isometrus from the WG of India, we describe two new species using an integrated taxonomic approach.

## Material and methods

## Sampling (Tables 1-3)

Sampling was carried out in the Western Ghats, India. Specimens were located with the help of ultraviolet light (AmiciVision 18W 100 LED UV Torch), and a few specimens from each locality surveyed were collected. Photographs of the specimens were taken using a Nikon D500 with a 105 mm F2.8 micro lens and R1C1 flash kit. Specimens were euthanized and preserved in absolute ethanol and

Table 2. Morphometric data for Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{L}=$ length; $\mathrm{W}=$ width (in carapace corresponding to median width); $\mathrm{D}=$ depth.

| Dimensions (mm) |  | $\delta^{7}$ holotype BNHS SC 195 | $\widehat{0}$ paratype INHER 275 | \& paratype INHER 276 | q paratype BNHS SC 196 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carapace | L/W | 5.35/4.58 | 4.58/4.08 | 4.44/3.90 | 5.31/4.48 |
| Mesosoma | L | 10.78 | 9.96 | 10.34 | 15.16 |
| Tergite VII | L/W | 3.18/4.51 | 2.75/3.61 | 2.55/3.85 | 3.47/5.47 |
| Metasoma and telson | L | 30.79 | 24.41 | 21.82 | 28.22 |
| Segment I | L/W | 3.50/2.36 | 0.74/1.98 | 2.53/2.05 | 2.81/2.60 |
| Segment II | L/W | 4.41/2.11 | 3.73/1.78 | 3.13/1.87 | 3.89/2.35 |
| Segment III | L/W | 4.93/2.08 | 4.00/1.70 | 3.37/1.72 | 4.39/2.11 |
| Segment IV | L/W | 5.15/2.02 | 4.45/1.67 | 3.27/1.61 | 4.85/1.99 |
| Segment V | L/W | 6.73/1.82 | 5.97/1.63 | 4.46/1.44 | 6.06/1.94 |
| Telson | L/W/D | 6.07/1.74/1.88 | 5.52/1.35/1.53 | 5.06/1.25/1.34 | 6.22/1.62/1.73 |
| Pedipalp | L | 35.72 | 27.92 | 18.06 | 23.5 |
| Femur | L/W | 10.80/1.22 | 8.25/1.03 | 4.97/1.22 | 6.50/1.49 |
| Patella | L/W | 11.30/1.36 | 8.74/1.21 | 5.31/1.53 | 6.86/1.96 |
| Chela | L | 13.62 | 10.93 | 7.78 | 10.14 |
| Manus | W/D | 1.29/1.15 | 1.03/1.03 | 1.28/1.19 | 1.63/1.51 |
| Movable finger | L | 8.32 | 6.71 | 5.50 | 7.03 |
| Pectine | L/W | 3.78/0.89 | 3.33/0.75 | 2.77/0.70 | 3.39/0.89 |
| Genital Operculum | L/W | 0.75/1.21 | 0.62/1.05 | 0.62/0.94 | 0.82/1.23 |
| Total | L | 46.92 | 38.95 | 36.60 | 48.69 |
| Pectinal teeth count | PTC | 15/15 | 16/15 | 15/15 | 15/15 |

later transferred to $70 \%$ ethyl alcohol in collection jars for long term preservation. Examination and morphological measurements were done using a Leica EZ4HD microscope with the Leica application suite. Morphometry was performed following Stahnke (1970); trichobothrial terminology follows Vachon (1974); metasoma carination follows Francke (1977); pedipalp carination follows Prendini (2016); leg terminology follows Tikader \& Bastawade (1983); morphological terminology follows Hjelle (1990); pedipalp chela dentition follows González-Santillán \& Prendini (2013); and lateral ocelli terminology follows Loria \& Prendini (2014). Measurements were taken (in mm) for 32 morphological characters (Tables 1-3). Specimens collected and studied are deposited in the museum collection of the Bombay Natural History Society (BNHS), Mumbai and the Institute of Natural History Education and Research (INHER), Research Laboratory, Pune, Maharashtra, India. Material from the Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta (ZSI) was also studied.

## Comparative material examined

Data used for the comparison, diagnosis and statistical analysis of I. maculatus, I. thurstoni, I. tamhini, I. amboli and I. kovariki was sourced from Sulakhe et al. (2020a, 2020b).

## Molecular analysis

## DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

The protocol according to Sulakhe etal. (2020a) was followed. Genomic DNA was isolated from preserved (ethanol $99.9 \%$ ) muscle tissue (leg fragment) of species of Isometrus with the help of Macherey-Nagel
Table 3. Morphometric data for Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. Abbreviations: $\mathrm{L}=$ length; $\mathrm{W}=$ width (in carapace corresponding to median width);

| Dimensions (mm) |  | holotype BNHS SC 190 | paratype <br> INHER 279 | paratype BNHS SC 193 | paratype <br> INHER 279 | paratype <br> INHER 280 | paratype <br> INHER 281 | paratype BNHS SC 191 | paratype BNHS SC 192 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Carapace | L/W | 4.55/3.98 | 4.49/4.14 | 4.32/4.00 | 4.76/3.99 | 4.91/4.43 | 4.76/4.32 | 4.77/4.26 | 4.69/4.24 |
| Mesosoma | L | 13.71 | 12.71 | 10.86 | 12.16 | 10.77 | 13.39 | 14.09 | 15.14 |
| Tergite VII | L/W | 3.41/4.06 | 3.35/3.70 | 3.00/3.32 | 2.91/4.33 | 2.72/4.63 | 3.03/4.86 | 3.04/4.72 | 2.97/4.89 |
| Metasoma and telson | L | 32.73 | 30.42 | 31.20 | 24.37 | 27.44 | 24.57 | 24.85 | 25.88 |
| Segment I | L/W | 3.49/2.03 | 3.38/1.96 | 3.52/1.96 | 2.32/2.25 | 3.05/2.23 | 2.53/2.03 | 2.48/2.20 | 2.40/2.27 |
| Segment II | L/W | 4.51/1.74 | 4.33/1.77 | 4.42/1.80 | 3.36/2.03 | 3.76/1.12 | 3.44/1.84 | 3.46/2.04 | 3.67/1.97 |
| Segment III | L/W | 4.96/1.70 | 4.77/1.68 | 4.87/1.66 | 3.69/1.96 | 4.09/1.91 | 3.69/1.85 | 3.70/1.89 | 3.94/1.85 |
| Segment IV | L/W | 5.73/1.78 | 5.45/1.66 | 5.59/1.55 | 4.19/1.79 | 4.60/1.91 | 4.13/1.82 | 4.08/1.86 | 4.56/1.79 |
| Segment V | L/W | 7.48/1.67 | 6.63/1.63 | 6.71/1.62 | 5.35/1.73 | 5.80/1.83 | 5.28/1.65 | 5.61/1.72 | 5.66/1.69 |
| Telson | L/W/D | 6.56/1.58/1.79 | 5.86/1.46/1.63 | 6.1/1.6/1.7 | 5.46/1.41/1.57 | 6.14/1.50/1.60 | 5.50/1.44/1.56 | 5.52/1.44/1.48 | 5.65/1.52/1.57 |
| Pedipalp | L | 22.38 | 21.69 | 21.97 | 17.97 | 19.33 | 18.47 | 18.27 | 18.51 |
| Femur | L/W | 6.28/1.20 | 6.13/1.21 | 5.80/1.14 | 4.70/1.29 | 5.01/1.33 | 4.71/1.33 | 4.75/1.33 | 4.87/1.35 |
| Patella | L/W | 6.59/1.57 | 6.39/1.60 | 6.66/1.49 | 5.23/1.72 | 5.57/1.93 | 5.23/1.82 | 5.26/1.75 | 5.36/1.84 |
| Chela | L | 9.51 | 9.17 | 9.51 | 8.04 | 8.75 | 8.53 | 8.26 | 8.28 |
| Manus | W/D | 1.85/1.42 | 1.83/1.44 | 1.79/1.46 | 1.60/1.38 | 1.83/1.48 | 1.72/1.44 | 1.70/1.48 | 1.78/1.42 |
| Movable finger | L | 5.77 | 5.47 | 5.58 | 5.39 | 5.88 | 5.77 | 5.64 | 5.51 |
| Pectine | L/W | 3.47/0.76 | 3.31/0.72 | 3.40/0.70 | 3.05/0.74 | 3.41/0.78 | 3.13/0.76 | 3.12/0.77 | 3.05/0.77 |
| Genital operculum | L/W | 0.88/1.32 | 0.74/1.13 | 0.64/1.12 | 0.67/1.25 | 0.75/1.31 | 0.86/1.27 | 0.75/1.27 | 0.84/1.31 |
| Total | L | 50.99 | 47.62 | 46.38 | 41.29 | 43.12 | 42.72 | 43.71 | 45.71 |
| Pectial teeth count | PTC | 16/16 | 17/17 | 17/17 | 16/16 | 16/18 | 15/15 | 15/15 | 15/16 |

Table 4. Voucher numbers and GenBank accession numbers for the sequence data used in the phylogenetic analysis.

|  | Species | Voucher | GeneBank <br> Accesion <br> Number (COI) | References (COI) | GeneBank <br> Accesion <br> Number (16S) | References (16S) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 5. Primers used for PCR amplification and sequencing of COI and 16 S mitochondrial genes.

| Primer: cytochrome c oxidase I | 5'-3' primer sequence | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCO2198 | TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA | Folmer et al. 1994 |
| HCOoutout | GTAAATATATGRTGDGCTC | Prendini et al. 2003 |
| LCO1490 | GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG | Folmer et al. 1994 |
| Nancy | CCCGGTAAAATTAAAATATAAACTTC | Simon et al. 1994 |
| Chelicerate F1 | TACTCTACTAATCATAAAGACATTGG | Barrett \& Hebert 2005 |
| Chelicerate R1 | CCTCCTCCTGAAGGGTCAAAAAATGA | Barrett \& Hebert 2005 |
| Chelicerate R2 | GGATGGCCAAAAAATCAAAATAAATG | Barrett \& Hebert 2005 |
| Primer: 16S rRNA | 5'-3' primer sequence | Source |
| 16Sar | CGCCTGTTTATCAAAAACAT | Simon et al. 1994 |
| 16Sbr | CTCCGGTTTGAACTCAGATCA | Giribet et al. 1996 |

NucleoSpin ${ }^{\circledR}$ DNA Insect kit following the manufacturer's protocols. Voucher numbers and GenBank accession numbers of specimens generated in this study and used for DNA analysis are provided (Table 4, Figs 1-2) and other sequences were sourced from Sulakhe et al. (2020a, 2020b). A 550-600 base pair (bp) fragment of the cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) and a 450-500 base pair (bp) fragment of the 16 S rRNA (16S) mitochondrial gene were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the primers listed in Table 5. A $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reaction (TaKaRa Taq ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ DNA Polymerase) was prepared containing 1 unit of Taq DNA polymerase ( $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), $2.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $10 \times$ buffer, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of dNTPs ( 2.5 mM each), $2 \mu \mathrm{l}(5 \mathrm{mM})$ of each primer, $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ template DNA and $14.3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of water, and reactions were carried out with an Miniamp Thermal Cycler. The thermal cycler profile used for amplification of the COI gene was as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min (initial denaturation temperature $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , denaturation temperature $95^{\circ} \mathrm{mC}$ for 30 s , annealing temperature $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , elongation temperature $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s and $3 \mathrm{~min} \times 35$ cycles.

The thermal cycler profile used for amplification of the 16 S gene was as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min (initial denaturation temperature $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , denaturation temperature $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , annealing temperature $52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 s , elongation temperature $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s and $3 \mathrm{~min} \times 35$ cycles. PPCR products were cleaned through column purification with the Qiagen PCR Cleanup Kit and sequenced with a 3730 DNA Analyzer. The sequencing primers were the same as those used in PCRs. All sequences were deposited in the GenBank ${ }^{\circledR}$ nucleotide sequence database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) under the accession numbers given in Table 4.

The sequences were also checked with the BLAST (Altschul et al. 1990) tool to find the closest available sequences in GenBank ${ }^{\circledR}$ and related ones were downloaded for analysis.

## Sequence alignment

Generated sequences were cleaned manually in MEGA ver. 7 (Kumar et al. 2016) using chromatograms visualised in Chromas ver. 2.6.5 (Technelysium PTY. Ltd). Cleaned and downloaded sequences were aligned using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) implemented in MEGA (Kumar et al. 2016) with default parameters. The final COI alignment contained 31 sequences, each 525 bp in length, whereas the 16 S alignment contained 12 sequences, each of 500 bp length. Each alignment included one available sequence of I. maculatus from Sri Lanka as a part of the ingroup, and one sequence of Lychas mucronatus (Fabricus, 1798) was used as the outgroup to root the phylogenetic tree. The COI and $16 S$ datasets were concatenated and the resultant 1025 bp long alignment was used for molecular phylogenetic analyses.

## Molecular phylogenetic analyses

Maximum Likelihood (ML) analysis was performed in the web implementation of IQ-tree (Nguyen et al. 2015) under the $(\mathrm{TN}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{G} 4$ : COI position $1, \mathrm{TN}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{I}: C O I$ position $2, \mathrm{HKY}+\mathrm{F}: C O I$ position 3, TPM3 $+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{G} 4: 16 S$ ) models of sequence evolution, determined using ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) on the IQ-tree web platform, and branch support was tested using 1000 non-parametric rapid ultrafast bootstrap pseudo-replicates (Minh et al. 2020). The COI region was partitioned per codon position, whereas the non-coding $16 S$ region was not partitioned.

Time-calibrated phylogenies were built in BEAST ver. 1.10.4 (Suchard et al. 2018). Since no fossil data exist for the genus Isometrus or other closely related buthid genera, a relaxed log-normal molecular clock was used following Loria \& Prendini (2020). The concatenated dataset was partitioned as COI and $16 S$ loci, and the molecular clocks and substitution rates were unlinked across the partitions, whereas the trees were linked. A Speciation:Yule prior was implemented for construction of the trees. A review of the literature dealing with divergence time estimations for scorpions revealed that clock rates for the mitochondrial COI and $16 S$ regions have been established for the family Buthidae. Accordingly, a normal clock rate prior was applied to the $C O I$ and $16 S$ regions, with the following settings: $\mu=0.007, \sigma=0.00146$ for $C O I$ and $\mu=0.005, \sigma=0.00270$ for $16 S$. The models of sequence evolution for each partition were tested using PartitionFinder ver.1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012), using a greedy search algorithm. The models suggested were as follows: $\mathrm{HKY}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ for COI and $\mathrm{HKY}+\mathrm{G}$ for $16 S$. Bayesian inference (BI) analysis was run for 150000000 generations, sampling every 3000 generations. The Effective Sample Size (ESS) values for the analysis were sufficiently higher than 200 for all parameters, and the convergence was tested using Tracer ver. 1.7 (Rambaut et al. 2018). A 50\% majority rule consensus tree was compiled using TreeAnnotator ver. 1.10 .4 with a burn-in of $10 \%$. The tree was visualised and edited in FigTree ver. 1.4.4 (Rambaut 2009).

## Species delimitation analysis

We followed a modified version of the hierarchical approach by Shanker et al. (2017) towards the delimitation of species within Isometrus. Initially, a BI tree with ultrametric output, based on the concatenated dataset, was reconstructed using the method mentioned above to visualize the clustering pattern of the sequences. The tree revealed the presence of a few putative novel lineages within the Western Ghats. Whether these putative lineages qualified as distinct species was tested using PTP, bPTP, GMYC, ABGD, p-distance and molecular divergence dating.

A coalescence based species delimiting approach was used to estimate the number of putative species. To this end, we used the Bayesian Poisson Tree Processes (bPTP) model, which considers an evolutionary placement algorithm to estimate the number of Operational Taxonomic Units (OTU) from a phylogenetic BI tree with the ultrametric tree as input (Zhang et al. 2013). This model delimits species in terms of number of substitutions based on input from a rooted phylogenetic tree. As bPTP is an updated version of the original maximum likelihood PTP, the maximum likelihood PTP search result is part of the bPTP results. The online server for bPTP was used to run 500000 MCMC iterations with a thinning parameter of 100 and burn-in of 0.1 to obtain convergence (http://species.h-its.org/ptp/).

Apart from bPTP, we also used another molecular species delimitation approach, the generalized mixed Yule-coalescent (GMYC) method (Fujisawa \& Barraclough 2013). GMYC models speciation (amongspecies branching events) via a pure birth process and within-species branching events as neutral coalescent processes. GMYC identifies the transition points between inter- and intra-species branching rates on a time-calibrated ultrametric tree by maximizing the likelihood score of the model. It assumes that all lineages leading from the root to the transition points are different species (Esselstyn et al. 2012).

An online implementation of GMYC (https://species.h-its.org/gmyc/) was used in single threshold mode with the ultrametric tree as input.

Numerous tests show that bPTP outperforms GMYC on simulation data, and bPTP results are comparable to GMYC on real datasets (Zhang et al. 2013).

Genetic delimitation of species was also performed using barcode gap analysis in the Automatic Barcode Gap Discovery (ABGD) software (Puillandre et al. 2012) using simple distances and a transition of 2.0.

We also calculated the genetic $p$-distance for the mitochondrial loci $C O I$ and $16 S$ in MEGA ver. 7. Within Buthidae, recently described species belonging to the genus Olivierus Farzanpay, 1987, namely O. mikhailovi Fet et al., 2021, O. tarabaevi Fet et al., 2021 and O. voldemari Fet et al., 2021, are $3.25 \%$ to $3.9 \%$ divergent from $O$. gorelovi Fet et al., 2018 in the COI gene. Hence, with p-distance, we considered a threshold of $3.25 \%$ in COI to delimit the species included in our analyses. Based on empirical data we have considered a threshold of $2 \%$ in $16 S$ to delimit the species in our analyses.

We considered putative species that showed strong separation on a minimum of four axes identified by PTP, bPTP, GMYC, ABGD, $p$-distance and time divergence.

In addition to this molecular species delimitation approach, this study is supplemented by morphology to characterise the newly described and resurrected species.

The purpose of estimating the time divergence was to serve as an additional line of evidence in the delimitation of species. A threshold of 3 Mya from The Most Recent Common Ancestor (TMRCA) for sister lineages was considered sufficient to diagnose the species, based on a review of the literature (Loria \& Prendini 2020; Fet et al. 2021).

## Morphological statistical analysis

Since males show stronger morphological differences than females and since we have a better representation of male specimens in our collection, we have chosen to use only males in morphometric analyses. Statistical analysis of the morphometric data was performed on size-adjusted measurements by taking all measurements as a percentage of carapace median length (CML) to remove bias due to body size variation. Multivariate normality of the data was checked using the Doornik \& Hansen (2008) omnibus. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and Discriminant Function Analysis (DFA) were performed to specifically assess the degree of morphological differentiation among the members of Isometrus found in the Western Ghats. PCA was performed using 20 morphometric parameters taken from adult males. Factor scores of the first two Principal Components (PC) were observed on a scatter plot. Furthermore, sets of 20 predictor variables were generated from the PCA and all the factor scores were used as input variables for performing a DFA, in order to also determine the classification success of the studied samples. PCA and DFA were performed using the statistical software PAST ver. 4.03 (Hammer et al. 2001).

The following characters were used for statistical analysis ( $\mathrm{L}=$ length; $\mathrm{W}=$ width; $\mathrm{D}=$ depth ): Carapace (W), Mesosoma (L), Mesosoma Tergite VII (L/W), Metasoma (L), Metasoma Segment I (W), Femur and Patella (L/W), Pedipalp Chela (L), Pedipalp Manus (W), Movable Finger (L), Telson Vesicle (L/W/D), Pectine and Genital Operculum (L/W).

## Results

## Molecular Phylogenetics (Figs 1-2)

All known species of the genus Isometrus found in India were included in our phylogenetic analysis of a 525 bp fragment of the COI and a 500 bp fragment of the 16 S mitochondrial genes. ML and BI analyses generated trees with different topologies, but both new species and $I$. sankeriensis were each recovered as monophyletic with high ultrafast bootstrap support in the ML analysis (>99) and high posterior probability values in the BI analysis $(p p=1)$. A cluster containing two sequences from specimens from the type locality of I. sankeriensis were recovered as sister to I. amboli and an undescribed species from Dandeli, Karnataka, in both analyses. All specimens of the species from Wayanad (Kerala) were recovered as monophyletic in both analyses and were recovered as sister to a clade comprising I. thurstoni and I. kovariki in the ML and BI analyses. All specimens of the species from Kadmane Tea Estate, Karnataka, which is described here, were recovered as monophyletic in both analyses; however, this species was recovered as sister to a clade containing all the remaining Indian species of Isometrus, excluding I. maculatus in the ML analysis, while it is sister to I. maculatus in the BI analysis.

## Genetic divergence (p-distance) (Tables 6-7)

All species of Isometrus showed moderate to high genetic divergence based on the 525 bp fragment of the COI mitochondrial gene. I. sankeriensis separated from I. amboli with a minimum genetic divergence of $4.7 \%$, but showed $5.1-14.2 \%$ divergence from all other congeners. The species from Wayanad (Kerala) separated from I. sankeriensis with a minimum genetic divergence of $9.1 \%$, but showed $9.1-14.0 \%$ divergence from other congeners. The species from Kadmane Tea Estate, Karnataka separated from


Fig. 1. Ultrametric tree showing phylogenetic relationships. Values along the nodes indicate Bayesian posterior probabilities and divergence dates in MY (PP/DD). Bars at the nodes indicate 95\% HPD. Vertical bars represent delimitation analysis results.
I. sankeriensis with a minimum genetic divergence of $12.6 \%$ and showed $11.9-14.8 \%$ divergence from other Indian congeners.

All species of Isometrus showed moderate to high genetic divergence based on the 500 bp fragment of the $16 S$ mitochondrial gene. I. sankeriensis separated from I. amboli with a minimum genetic divergence of $2.6 \%$, but showed $4.2-13.8 \%$ divergence from all other congeners. The species from Wayanad (Kerala) was closest to $I$. thurstoni and separated with a minimum genetic divergence of $7.0 \%$, but showed $8.1-13.2 \%$ divergence from all other congeners. The species from Kadmane Tea Estate, Karnataka was closest to I. thurstoni and separated with a minimum genetic divergence of $12.5 \%$ and showed $12.7-$ $14.5 \%$ divergence from other Indian congeners.

## Species delimitation (Fig. 1)

PTP, bPTP and GMYC species delimitation analyses each identified ten distinct species groups within Isometrus with high support values. ABGD species delimitation analysis identified eight species clusters within Isometrus.

Based on the threshold of 3 Mya, nine species of Isometrus were delimited.
All these analyses support the presence of multiple new species from the Western Ghats of India and also support the revalidation of I. sankeriensis.

## Geographical separation (Fig. 26A)

There is a clear geographical separation among all species of Isometrus found in India. Based on the topologies of the ML and BI analyses, species from northern WG form an independent lineage distinct


Fig. 2. Maximum Likehood phylogenetic tree (ML) for Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828. Values along the nodes are bootstraps for 1000 iterations.
Table 6. Pairwise uncorrected raw distances (\%) expressed as minimum-maximum based on COI gene sequences for Indian species of Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828. Values in brackets are intra-clade distances.

| Species | IM | IT | IA | IK | IS | ISP | ITH | IW | IN | LM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isometrus maculatus (IM) | [0.0] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus tamhini (IT) | 14.2-14.4 | [0.0-0.6] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus amboli (IA) | 13.8-14.2 | 6.6-7.2 | [0.0-0.8] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus kovariki (IK) | 16.5 | 9.7-9.9 | 10.1 | [0.0] |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus sankeriensis (IS) | 14.0-14.2 | 7.2-7.4 | 4.7-5.4 | 10.1 | [0.0-0.4] |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus sp. (ISP) | 13.0-14.0 | 6.8-7.4 | 3.8-5.4 | 10.6-12.2 | 5.1-6.2 | [0.2-1.7] |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus thurstoni (ITH) | 13.8-14.2 | 11.1-12.0 | 12.6-13.2 | 10.1-10.5 | 13.6-14.2 | 12.3-13.4 | [0.2-0.4] |  |  |  |
| Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. (IW) | 13.8-14.0 | 10.9-11.3 | 11.1-11.8 | 11.8-12.0 | 10.5-10.9 | 9.1-10.3 | 12.0-12.4 | [0.0-0.2] |  |  |
| Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. (IN) | 13.2-14.4 | 12.8-13.2 | 12.8-13.6 | 14.6-14.8 | 12.6-12.8 | 11.9-13.6 | 14.2-14.8 | 13.2-14.2 | [0.0-3.3] |  |
| Lychas mucronatus (LM) | 14.4 | 16.7-17.1 | 16.3-17.1 | 18.4 | 16.9-17.1 | 16.0-16.5 | 17.5-17.9 | 14.6-14.8 | 15.7-16.5 | [0.0] |

Table 7. Pairwise uncorrected raw distances (\%) expressed as minimum-maximum based on 16 S gene sequences for Indian species of Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828. Values in brackets are intra-clade distances.

| Species | IM | IT | IA | IK | IS | ISP | ITH | IW | IN | LM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isometrus maculatus (IM) | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus tamhini (IT) | 13.4 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus amboli (IA) | 13.8 | 3.7 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus kovariki (IK) | 13.2 | 10.3 | 10.5 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus sankeriensis (IS) | 13.8 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 9.6 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus sp. (ISP) | 14.3 | $4.2-5.5$ | 3.1 | 10.5 | 4.2 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |  |
| Isometrus thurstoni (ITH) | 12.3 | 9.0 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 10.1 | 10.5 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |  |
| Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. (IW) | 13.2 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 10.5 | 10.1 | 7.0 | $[0.0]$ |  |  |
| Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. (IN) | $12.7-12.9$ | 14.0 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.6 | $14.0-14.5$ | 12.5 | 13.2 | $[1.5]$ |  |
| Lychas mucronatus (LM) | 21.7 | 21.9 | 21.7 | 20.0 | 20.8 | $21.3-21.5$ | 20.8 | 21.7 | 19.3 |  |

from species found in the southern WG. Based on this study, all species in India seem to have small ranges and be allopatric in distribution, except for I. maculatus, but the wide ranging distribution of this species needs to be confirmed with more sampling and genetic data. Populations of Isometrus are known to exist from low elevation coastal scrub forest to high elevation evergreen forest in the WG, with a wide range of temperatures and precipitation (Fig. 26).

## Morphological separation based on statistical analysis (Fig. 3)

Size-corrected morphometric data was not significantly different from the multivariate normal (Doornik and Hansen omnibus, within group $\mathrm{Ep}=131.4, \mathrm{P}<0.0001$ ). Three species, I. tamhini, I. sankeriensis and I. nakshatra sp. nov., formed relatively distinct clusters, whereas I. wayanadensis sp. nov., I. kovariki, I. amboli and I. thurstoni failed to separate out on the first two PCA factor planes that had eigenvalues $>1.0$ and explained $91.06 \%$ of variation among the species (Fig. 3). Two morphometric parameters, mesosoma tergite length (MTL) and metasoma segment length (MSL) account for most of the variance measured in PCA factor 1, while three morphometric parameters, pedipalp femur length (PFL), pedipalp patella length (PPL) and chela length (CL), represent most of the variance for PCA factor 2. Furthermore, our DFA resulted in $100 \%$ classification success, with all the individual samples being classified into their respective species (Table 8), except for I. kovariki and I. wayanadensis, and I thurstoni and I. amboli, which have overlapping distributions on the morphological landscape. First, four discriminant function roots showed eigenvalues >1.0 and explained $97.89 \%$ of the variations among these species. Overall, the PCA and DFA results showed morphological differentiation among most of the analysed species and were considered reliable for the recognition of new species based on the morphometric data.

## Total number of species

On the basis of phylogeny, genetic divergence, species delimitation methods, geographical separation and morphological separation based on statistical analysis, three novel monophyletic groups were discovered from the Western Ghats of India. However, due to low sample size for one of the novel lineages delineated in this study (Isometrus sp. INHER 154, INHER 41, INHER 156 and INHER 157


PCA Factorl (81.94\%)
Fig. 3. Projection of first two Principle Component factors explaining $91.06 \%$ of the variation among the seven species of the genus Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828.

Table 8. Classification matrices for discriminant function analysis.

| Species | IT | IA | ITH | IK | IN | IW | IS | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I. tamhini (IT) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| I. amboli (IA) | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| I. thurstoni (ITH) | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| I. kovariki (IK) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| I. naksatra sp.nov (IN) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| I. wayanadensis sp.nov (IW) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| I. sankeriensis (IS) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 3 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 28 |

from Dandeli and Anshi in Karnataka), we choose to describe only two of these novel lineages, from Kadmane Tea Estate, Karnataka, and Wayanad, Kerala, as distinct species. Below, we provide full descriptions of the two new species and also provide a diagnosis and re-description of I. sankeriensis, which is here revalidated. This study thus elevates the total number of species of Isometrus found in India to eight.

## Systematics

Phylum Arthropoda Von Siebold, 1848
Class Arachnida Lamarck, 1801
Order Scorpiones C.L. Koch, 1837
Family Buthidae C.L. Koch, 1837
Genus Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828
Figs 1-26; Tables 1-8

## Type species

Scorpio maculatus (DeGeer, 1778).

## Diagnosis (ơ)

Carapace without carinae. Lateral ocular tubercle with type 5 ocelli and median ocular tubercle with one pair of ocelli. Sternum type 1, triangular in shape. Ventral aspect of tarsomere II of leg IV with two dense rows of setae. Pedipalps orthobothriotaxic, type A $\beta$. Trichobothrium $d b$ of fixed finger of chela located between $d t$ and et. Legs without tibial spurs on tibiae III and IV. Movable finger and fixed finger of chela with six rows of prolateral and retrolateral denticles in pairs and one additional single retrolateral denticle on proximal portion. Mesosoma I-VI tergites each with single median carina. All metasomal segments longer than wide. Telson vesicle always longer than wide, with subaculear nodule.

## Sexual dimorphism

All species of Isometrus in India exhibit similar sexual dimorphism. Males have longer and more slender pedipalps as opposed to females. Males have a slightly more elongated telson vesicle than females. The aculeus of the telson in females is more curved and elongated than in males. The male genital operculum bears a pair of small genital papillae posteriorly. In females the genital operculum is divided by a median suture covering the female genital orifice.

Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983
Figs 4-7, 18A, 19A, E, 26A, D, 21A, 23A, 25A; Table 1
Isometrus (Closotrichus) sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983: 311.
Isometrus (Isometrus) sankeriensis - Kovařík 1994: 201; 1997: 8; 2003: 4. — Fet \& Lowe 2000: 150.

## Diagnosis ( ${ }^{\top}$ )

Total length 31.33-35.14 mm. Base colouration yellowish-brown and variegated with black-brown stripes and spots. Basal segments of chelicerae dorsally yellowish with blackish reticulation. Pectinal tooth number 15-18. Median supra ocular region with some coarse and some fine granules. Median ocelli anteriorly situated, with ratio $1: 2.2$ (ratio of median ocelli to anterior margin/median ocelli to posterior margin). Tergites I-VI finely granular with strong median carina. All segments of metasoma longer than wide. Isometrus sankeriensis differs from all other Indian species of Isometrus based on the following set of morphological characters:

1. Surface of carapace coarsely and sparsely granular with some areas without granules (Figs 5C, 18A) as opposed to: coarsely and densely granular in I. tamhini; finely and densely granular in I. amboli; granular throughout with mixed granules, more closely granular in inter-ocular area and median posterior ocular area in I. kovariki; and granular throughout but obsolete in I. maculatus.
2. Chela length to width ratio in males $5.7-5.8$ as opposed to $6.1-6.5$ in I. tamhini and $5.0-5.2$ in I. thurstoni (Tables 1-3).
3. Lateral patches on mesosomal tergites V and VI with fine granulation along margins (Fig. 21A) as opposed to coarse granulation along margins in I. tamhini.
4. Metasomal length to carapace length ratio in males $5.9-6.1$ as opposed to 8.8-9.1 in I. tamhini, 7.2-8.8 in I. amboli, 7.6-8.2 in I. thurstoni, 6.5-7.3 in I. kovariki and 9.6 in I. maculatus (Tables 1-3).
5. Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on metasomal segments II-IV strongly granular (Fig. 23A), as opposed to moderately to weakly granular in I. amboli, I. thurstoni and I. kovariki.
6. Telson length to width ratio in males 4.3 opposed to $3.7-4.0$ in I. thurstoni (Tables 1-3).
7. Ventral median carina on telson vesicle weakly granular (Fig. 19D) as opposed to strongly granular in I. tamhini and moderately granular in I. amboli.
8. Spiniform granules of promedian carina of pedipalp patella moderately developed as opposed to strongly developed in I. thurstoni (Figs 24-25).

For comparisons of I. sankeriensis with the proposed new species described below in this study, refer to the diagnosis section of those respective new species.

## Material examined

## Holotype

 1975; U.A. Gajbe leg.; ZSI 5088/18.

## Comments

The authors believe that the holotype of I. sankeriensis (adult male) (ZSI 5088/18) is lost as it was not traceable in any ZSI centres. To stabilize the taxonomy of the genus, we found it necessary to designate a neotype using the specimen under the voucher number BNHS SC 194. The neotype meets all the requirements of Article 75 of ICZN as it was collected from the exact type locality Sunkeri (erroneously given as Sankeri in Tikader \& Bastawade 1983), Karwar, Karnataka, India. Our description of the neotype matches the description of the holotype in Tikader \& Bastawade (1983). The allotype of I. sankeriensis (immature female) (ZSI 5089/18), collected in the Silent Valley Forest, Kerala, India,
which is presumably also lost as it was not traceable in any ZSI centres, could be a different species considering the limited distributional ranges of species of Isometrus in India; however, this needs to be confirmed.

## Neotype (designated here)

INDIA • ${ }^{\wedge}$, adult; Karnataka State, Uttar Kannada, Karwar, Sunkeri; $14.80^{\circ}$ N, $74.18^{\circ}$ E; 30 m a.s.l.; 30 Aug. 2019; Makarand Ketkar, Shauri Sulakhe, Shubhankar Deshpande and Mayuresh Kulkarni leg.; BNHS SC 194.

## Other material

INDIA • 1 § , adult; same locality as for neotype; 4 Nov. 2020; Makarand Ketkar, Shauri Sulakhe, Shubhankar Deshpande and Swayam Thakkar leg.; INHER 288.

Description (neotype, $\widehat{\delta}$, measurements in Table 1)
Colouration (Fig. 4A-B). Body and appendages yellowish brown and variegated with blackish brown stripes and spots; metasomal segment V yellowish to dark brownish, darker on posterior portion; pedipalp fingers dark brownish at base. Ventral surfaces uniformly yellow and sternite VII with very few dark spots. Basal segments of chelicerae yellowish dorsally with blackish reticulation ending anteriorly in a blackish transverse patch; ventral portion of chelicerae yellowish brown; fingers of chelicerae yellowish brown with tip of fingers blackish brown. Telson yellowish to dark brownish.

Carapace (Figs 5C, 18A). Surface coarsely and sparsely granular with some areas without granules. Carapace without carinae, median supra-ocular area with some coarse and some fine granules. Pair of median ocelli situated anteriorly, with median ocelli to anterior margin/median ocelli to posterior margin ratio of 1:2.2. Antero-lateral ocular tubercle granular with type 5 lateral ocelli. Three pairs of large major ocelli and two small minor ocelli situated behind major ocelli. Median longitudinal furrow throughout carapace. Lateral margins finely crenulated below lateral ocelli. Posterior margin almost entirely smooth.

Chelicerae (Fig. 4D). Characteristic of Buthidae. Basal segments and movable fingers with short and firm setae on basal and ventral surfaces.

Pedipalps (Figs 6, 25A). Femur with five carinae (prodorsal, retrodorsal, promedian, retromedian and proventral). All carinae crenulated. Intercarinal surfaces smooth except ventral surface with a few dense granules on proximal portions. Patella with seven distinct carinae (dorsomedian, prodorsal, retrodorsal, retromedian, retroventral, promedian and proventral). Intercarinal surfaces almost entirely smooth on ventral surface and weakly granular on dorsal surface. Chela acarinate. Fixed finger with one smooth and obsolete dorsal median and retrodorsal carina. Movable and fixed fingers with six rows of prolateral and retrolateral denticles in pairs and one additional single row of retrolateral denticles on proximal portion. Trichobothrial pattern typical for genus (chela dorsal 12, chela ventral 2, patella dorsal 6, patella retrolateral 7 , femur dorsal 7 and femur prolateral 4).

Legs (Fig. 4A-B). Femur and patella carinated. All carinae granular. Tibiae III and IV carinated, without tibial spurs. All legs with a pair of pedal spurs. Tarsomere covered with long delicate setae arranged in parallel rows on ventral side. Tarsomere I (basitarsus) carinated dorsally with tuft of short, stout blackish setae on ventral side. Tarsomere II (telotarsus) compressed laterally and ventrally with paired row of short, pointed, anteriorly directed, closely placed setae.

Genital operculum (Fig. 4C). Wider than long, elliptical, separated, with a pair of short male genital papillae.


Fig. 4. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult $\widehat{~(B N H S ~ S C ~ 194) . ~ A . ~ D o r s a l ~}$ view. B. Ventral view. C. Sternopectinal area, dorsal view. D. Chelicerae, dorsal view.


Fig. 5. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult đ (BNHS SC 194). A. Dorsal view, UV light. B. Ventral view, UV light. C. Carapace, white light.

Pectines (Fig. 4C). Basal piece rectangular, deeply notched on anterior median margin. Posterior margin of basal piece curved and smooth. Marginal lamellae of $3 / 3$ digits and median lamellae of $6 / 7$ digits, outer margin armed with a row of stout, short red setae and few setae on surface. Fulcra $14 / 15$, roughly triangular, each armed with a few short red setae, placed in between adjacent pectinal teeth. Teeth 15/16, strong and stout.

Mesosoma (Figs 4A-B, 5A-B, 21A). Tergites I-VI finely granular with short median carina. Lateral patches on mesosomal tergites V and VI with fine granulation along posterior margins. Posterior and lateral margins granular. Tergite VII narrowed posteriorly, granular with two pairs of lateral granular carinae, diverging laterally. Broad median carina limited to posterior half. Sternites III-VI almost entirely smooth with a pair of spiracles. Sternite V exceptionally smooth and emarginated on median part. Sternite VII smooth on posterior margin, while finely crenulated to serrated on lateral margins; two pairs of granular carinae with median and lateral carinae present on posterior two-thirds.

Metasoma (Figs 4A-B, 5A-B, 23A). All segments longer than wide. Segment I with five pairs of granular carinae (dorsal lateral, lateral supramedian, lateral inframedian, ventral lateral and ventral submedian). Intercarinal surfaces weakly granular, anterior margin smooth. Segments II-IV with five pairs of carinae (dorsal lateral, lateral supramedian, ventral lateral, ventral submedian and lateral inframedian). Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae strongly granular. Lateral inframedian carina granular, present only on posterior one-third. Intercarinal surfaces weakly granular, lateral supramedian and dorsal lateral carinae posteriorly ending in very weak subtriangular tubercles. Segment $V$ with seven carinae (dorsal lateral, lateral supramedian and ventral lateral pairs and a single ventral median). Intercarinal surfaces more granular than on segments I-IV. Anal rim very weakly granular.


Fig. 6. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { (BNHS SC 194). }\end{gathered}$ A-B. Pedipalp chela. A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral view. C-D. Patella. C. Dorsal view. D. External view. E-F. Femur. E. Dorsal view. F. Internal view. Trichobothrial pattern indicated by yellow dots.


Fig. 7. Type locality of Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983. A. View of Sunkeri Road. B. View of semi-evergreen disturbed forest at the type locality.

Telson (Fig. 19A, D). With stout vesicle, bulbous on distal portion and smooth on dorsal surface. Lateral surface demarcated with weakly granular ridge. Ventral median carina weakly granular, ending in triangular, subaculear, pointed nodule, armed with two pairs of minute denticles on inner margin. Ventral portion with two pairs of sparsely and finely granular carinae. Intercarinal surfaces weakly granular. Aculeus elongated, sharp and moderately curved.

## Distribution, habitat and ecology (Figs 7, 26)

Isometrus sankeriensis is currently known only from the type locality near Karwar, India. It is found in the degraded forests and scrub around Sunkeri village, Karwar. We also observed some individuals on the way to Jamba Falls near Sunkeri village. This forest habitat is under tremendous stress due to mass tourism and all factors commonly responsible for land degradation (Buckingham \& Weber 2016). Our surveys recorded a small population of this species. The population also reaches the forest close to the buffer zone of Anshi National Park, which gives some hope for survival of this species. Currently the species appears to be distributed only in the lowlands in the coastal scrub forest around Karwar, Karnataka. However, more sampling from the protected area needs to be done to confirm the population density of this species. The ecology of this species is congruent with that of bark scorpions.

## Remarks

Our diagnosis of I. sankeriensis, based on specimens we collected at the type locality, shows strong morphological divergence from that of I. thurstoni. The two species differ from each other based on the following morphometric ratios (Table 1, meristic and morphometric data sourced from Sulakhe et al. 2020a): chela length to width ratio in males of I. sankeriensis $5.7-5.8$ as opposed to $5.0-5.2$ in I. thurstoni; metasomal length to carapace length ratio in males of I. sankeriensis 5.9-6.1 as opposed to 7.6-8.2 in I. thurstoni; telson length to width ratio in males of I. sankeriensis is 4.3 opposed to 3.7-4.0 in I. thurstoni. The two species also differ in qualitative characters: lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on metasomal segments II-IV strongly granular in I. sankeriensis as opposed to weakly granular in I. thurstoni (Figs 22A, 23A); spiniform granules of promedian carina of pedipalp patella moderately developed as opposed to strongly developed in I. thurstoni. The two species differ from each other by a raw genetic divergence of $13.6-14.2 \%$ based on $C O I$ and $10.1 \%$ on $16 S$ (Tables 6-7). In consideration of all the above evidence, we resurrect I. sankeriensis.

> Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. urn:1sid:zoobank.org:act:E3BBB5E4-8A30-40DD-9BBD-731046A22232

Figs $8-12,18 B, 19 B, E, 21 B, 23 B, 25 B ;$ Table 2

## Diagnosis ( $\widehat{\circ}$ )

Total length $38.60-48.69 \mathrm{~mm}$. Base colouration blackish-brown and variegated with brown-yellow stripes and spots; appendages yellowish with blackish-brown stripes and spots. Basal segments of chelicerae dorsally dark brown with blackish reticulation. Pectinal tooth number 15-16 in both sexes. Median supra-ocular region with fine and dense granulation. Median ocelli anteriorly situated in ratio of $1: 1.9$. Tergites I-VI sparsely and coarsely granular, with median carina stronger on posterior region. Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. differs from all other Indian species of Isometrus based on the following set of morphological characters:

1. Surface of carapace with mixed granulation with fine and dense granulation in median supra-ocular region (Figs 9C, 18B) as opposed to: coarsely and sparsely granular with some areas without granules in I. sankeriensis and I. thurstoni; finely and densely granular in I. amboli; coarsely and densely granular in I. tamhini; granular throughout with mixed granules, more densely granular in inter-
ocular area and median posterior ocular area in I. kovariki; and granular throughout but obsolete in I. maculatus.
2. Chela length to width ratio in males 10.6 as opposed to $6.1-6.5$ in I. tamhini, 5.3-5.9 in I. amboli, 5.7-5.8 in I. sankeriensis, 5.1-6.4 in I. kovariki and 5.0-5.2 in I. thurstoni; in females 6.1-6.2 as opposed to 5.2-5.9 in I. tamhini, 5.7 in I. amboli and 4.8 in I. kovariki (Tables 1-3).
3. Lateral patches on mesosomal tergites V and VI with fine granulation along margins (Fig. 21B) as opposed to coarse granulation along margins in I. tamhini.
4. Metasomal length to carapace length ratio in males 5.3-5.8 as opposed to 8.8-9.1 in I. tamhini, 7.2-8.8 in I. amboli, 5.9-6.1 in I. sankeriensis, 7.6-8.2 in I. thurstoni, 6.5-7.3 in I. kovariki and 9.6 in I. maculatus (Tables $1-3$ ).
5. Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on metasomal segments II-IV moderately granular (Fig. 23B) as opposed to strongly granular in I. tamhini and I. sankeriensis.
6. Telson length to width ratio in males $3.5-4.1$ as opposed to $4.6-4.8$ in $I$. tamhini and 4.3 in I. sankeriensis (Tables 1-3).
7. Ventral median carina of telson vesicle weakly granular (Fig. 19E) as opposed to moderately granular in I. amboli and strongly granular in I. tamhini.
8. Spiniform granules of promedian carina of pedipalp patella weakly developed as opposed to moderately developed in I. tamhini, I. amboli, I. sankeriensis and I. kovariki, and strongly developed in I. thurstoni (Figs 24-25).

For comparisons of I. nakshatra sp. nov. with I. wayanadensis sp. nov., described below, refer to the diagnosis section of the latter.

## Etymology

The species epithet is a noun in apposition, derived from the Kannad word 'nakshatra' ( = 'star'). It refers to the star-shaped fort named 'Manjarabad', very close to the type locality. The fort was built in 1792 by Tipu Sultan, the then ruler of Mysore, using French military architects. The sultan wanted to build a highway between Mangalore and Coorg for his expansion programs. As he was allied with the French at that time against the British, he sought the help of French engineers to build this fort in European style.

## Material examined

## Holotype

INDIA • 入, adult; Karnataka State, Hassan District, Sakleshpur, Kadmane Tea Estate; $12.89^{\circ}$ N, $75.68^{\circ}$ E; 911 m a.s.1.; 2 Nov. 2020; Makarand Ketkar, Shubhankar Deshpande, Shauri Sulakhe and Swayam Thakkar leg.; BNHS SC 195.

## Paratypes

INDIA •1 §, adult; same collection data as for holotype; INHER $275 \cdot 1$, adult; same collection data as for holotype; INHER $276 \cdot 1$ q, adult; same collection data as for holotype; BNHS SC 196.

Description (holotype, $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text {, measurements in Table 2) }\end{gathered}$
Colouration (Fig. 8A-B). Body blackish brown and variegated with brownish yellow stripes and spots; appendages yellowish with blackish brown stripes and spots; metasomal segment V dark brownish to blackish, darker on posterior portion; pedipalp fingers dark brownish. Ventral portion uniformly brown and sternite VII with a few dark spots. Basal segments of chelicerae dorsally dark brown with blackish reticulation, ending anteriorly in a blackish transverse patch. Fingers of chelicerae dark brown with tip of fingers black. Telson yellowish-brown.

CARAPACE (Figs 9C, 18C). Surface of carapace with mixed granulation. Carapace without carinae. Median supra-ocular area finely granular. Inter-ocular area with coarse and dense granules. Pair of median ocelli


Fig. 8. Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult § (BNHS SC 195). A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral view. C. Sternopectinal area. D. Chelicera, dorsal view.


C
Fig. 9. Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { (BNHS SC 195). A. Dorsal view, UV light. }\end{gathered}$ B. Ventral view, UV light. C. Carapace, white light.
situated anteriorly, with median ocelli to anterior margin/median ocelli to posterior margin ratio of 1:1.9. Antero-lateral ocular tubercle granular with type 5 lateral ocelli. Three pairs of large major ocelli and two small minor ocelli situated behind major ocelli. Longitudinal furrow shallow. Anterior margins finely granular. Lateral margins weakly crenulated below lateral ocelli. Posterior margin almost entirely smooth.

Chelicerae (Fig. 8C). Characteristic of Buthidae. Basal segments and movable fingers with short and firm setae on basal and ventral surfaces.

Pedipalp (Figs 10, 25B). Femur with five carinae (prodorsal, retrodorsal, promedian, retromedian and proventral). All carinae crenulated. Intercarinal surfaces weakly granular except ventral surface smooth with a few fine granules on proximal portions. Patella with seven distinct carinae (dorsomedian, prodorsal, retrodorsal, retromedian, retroventral, promedian and proventral). Intercarinal surfaces weakly granular on dorsal surface and smooth on ventral surface. Chela with four carinae (dorsomedian, dorsoretrosubmedian accessory, retromedian and retroventral). Fixed fingers with two smooth dorsal median and retrodorsal carinae. Movable and fixed fingers with six rows of prolateral and retrolateral denticles in pairs and one additional single row of retrolateral denticles on proximal portion. Trichobothrial


Fig. 10. Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult $\overbrace{}^{\lambda}$ (BNHS SC 195). A-B. Pedipalp chela. A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral view. C-D. Patella. C. Dorsal view. D. External view. E-F. Femur. E. Dorsal view. F. Internal view. Trichobothrial pattern indicated by yellow dots.


Fig. 11. Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov., paratype, adult $q$ (BNHS SC 196). A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral view. C. Carapace, dorsal view. D. Sternopectinal area. E. Telson, lateral view.
pattern typical for genus (chela dorsal 12, chela ventral 2, patella dorsal 6, patella retrolateral 7, femur dorsal 7 and femur prolateral 4).

Legs (Figs 8A-B, 9A-B). Femur and patellae carinated. All carinae granular. Tibia 3 and 4 without tibial spurs. All legs with pair of pedal spurs. Tarsomere covered with long delicate setae arranged in parallel rows on ventral side. Tarsomere I (basitarsus) with a tuft of short, stout blackish setae on ventral side. Tarsomere II (telotarsus) compressed laterally and ventrally, with paired row of short, pointed, anteriorly directed, closely placed setae.

Genital operculum (Fig. 8C). Wider than long, elliptical, separated with a pair of short male genital papillae.

Pectines (Fig. 8C). Basal piece rectangular, notched on anterior median margin. Posterior margin of basal piece curved. Marginal lamella of $3 / 3$ digits and median lamella of $7 / 7$ digits, outer margin armed with a row of stout, short red setae and a few setae on surface. Fulcra 14/14, very small, roughly triangular, each armed with a few short red setae, placed in between adjacent pectinal teeth. Teeth 15/15, strong and stout.

Mesosoma (Figs 8A-B, 9A-B, 21B). Tergites I-VI sparsely and coarsely granular with median carina more strongly developed on posterior side. Posterior and lateral margins granular. Lateral patches on mesosomal tergites V and VI with fine granulation along margins. Tergite VII granular, narrowed posteriorly, with two pairs of lateral granular carinae. Broad median carina present, more strongly developed on anterior portion. Sternites III-V almost entirely smooth, with a pair of spiracles. Sternite VI finely granular on lateral portion. Sternite VII entirely granular, more closely granular on lateral portion; two pairs of granular carinae, with median carina present on posterior portion and lateral carina present only on anterior half.

Metasoma (Figs 8A-B, 9A-B, 23B). All segments longer than wide. Segments I-IV with four pairs of carinae (dorsal lateral, lateral supramedian, ventral lateral, ventral submedian). Intercarinal surfaces almost smooth. Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on segments II-IV moderately granular. Lateral inframedian carina weakly developed on distal portion of segments III and IV. Dorsal lateral carina on segments I-IV ending in very weak tubercles. Segment V with five carinae (lateral supramedian pair, ventral lateral pair and single ventral median). Intercarinal surfaces granular. Anal rim granular.

Telson (Fig. 19B, E). With stout vesicle, smooth on dorsal surface. Ventral median carina weakly granular, ending in triangular, subaculear, pointed nodule, armed with a pair of minute denticles on inner basal margin. Ventral portion with two weak carinae. Lateral and ventral intercarinal surfaces weakly granular. Aculeus strongly elongated.

## Distribution, habitat and ecology (Figs 12, 26)

Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. is only known from its type locality, Kadmane Tea Estate, Sakleshpur, Hassan District, Karnataka State, India. Specimens were collected from undergrowth and tree bark in a small patch of disturbed evergreen forest on a hill slope adjacent to the crest line of the WG. Unlike other species of Isometrus from India, this new species was also observed in shrubby undergrowth along with tree bark. The forest patch here is disturbed and fragmented due to the infrastructure of the Kadmane tea factory and large scale agriculture (tea, coffee and pepper plantations). The ecology of the new species is congruent with that of bark scorpions.


Fig. 12. Type locality of Isometrus nakshatra sp. nov. A. View of dense evergreen forest along road at the type locality. B. View of Kadmane Tea Estate with mountain range in southern Western Ghats, India.

# Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. <br> urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:2FC053B2-9202-4A22-8149-CBBE556C27AF 

Figs 13-17, 18C, 19C, F, 21D, 23C, 25C; Table 3

## Diagnosis ( $\widehat{\text { ® }}$ )

Total length 41.29-50.99 mm. Base colouration yellowish-brown and variegated with black-brown stripes and spots. Basal segments of chelicerae dorsally yellowish with blackish reticulation. Pectinal tooth number 15-18 in both sexes. Median supra-ocular region smooth. Median ocelli anteriorly situated in ratio of $1: 2$. Tergites I-VI sparsely and coarsely granular, with median carina stronger on posterior region. Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. differs from all other Indian species of Isometrus based on the following set of morphological characters:

1. Surface of carapace coarsely and densely granular (Figs 14C, 18C) as opposed to: coarsely and sparsely granular with some areas without granules in I. sankeriensis and I. thurstoni; finely and densely granular in I. amboli; granular throughout with mixed granules, more densely granular in inter-ocular area and median posterior ocular area in I. kovariki; and granular throughout but obsolete in I. maculatus.
2. Chela length to width ratio in males $5.0-5.3$ as opposed to $6.1-6.5$ in $I$. tamhini, $5.7-5.8$ in I. sankeriensis and 10.6 in I. nakshatra sp. nov.; in females 4.7-5.0 as opposed to 5.2-5.9 in I. tamhini, 5.7 in I. amboli, and 6.1-6.2 in I. nakshatra sp. nov. (Tables 1-3).
3. Lateral patches on mesosomal tergites V and VI with fine granulation along margins (Fig. 21C) as opposed to coarse granulation along margins in I. tamhini.
4. Metasomal length to carapace length ratio in males $6.8-7.2$ as opposed to $8.8-9.1$ in . tamhini, 5.9-6.1 in I. sankeriensis, 5.3-5.8 in I. nakshatra sp. nov., 7.6-8.2 in I. thurstoni and 9.6 in I. maculatus (Tables 1-3).
5. Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on metasomal segments II-IV moderately granular (Fig. 23C) as opposed to strongly granular in I. tamhini and I. sankeriensis.
6. Telson length to width ratio in males $3.9-4.2$ as opposed to $4.6-4.8$ in $I$. tamhini and 4.3 in I. sankeriensis (Tables 1-3).
7. Ventral median carina of telson vesicle moderately granular (Fig. 19F) as opposed to weakly granular in I. sankeriensis, I. thurstoni, I. kovariki and I. nakshatra sp. nov., and strongly granular in I. tamhini.
8. Spiniform granules of promedian carina of pedipalp patella strongly developed as opposed to moderately developed in I. tamhini, I. amboli, I. sankeriensis and I. kovariki, and weakly developed in I. nakshatra sp. nov. (Figs 24-25).

## Etymology

The species epithet indicates the type locality of the new species, Wayanad National Park, in Kerala, India.

## Material examined

## Holotype

INDIA • ${ }^{\lambda}$, adult; Kerala State, Wayanad District, Kidanganad; $11.70^{\circ}$ N, $76.30^{\circ}$ E; 929 m a.s.1.; 26 Dec. 2019; Shauri Sulakhe and Aditya Grover leg.; BNHS SC 190.

## Paratypes

INDIA•1 〕, adult; same locality as for holotype; 1 Nov. 2020; Makarand Ketkar, Shubhankar Deshpande, Shauri Sulakhe and Swayam Thakkar leg.; INHER 279•3 $q$ Q , adults; same locality as for holotype; 1 Nov. 2020; Makarand Ketkar, Shubhankar Deshpande, Shauri Sulakhe and Swayam Thakkar leg.; INHER 278, INHER 280, INHER 281•2 $q$, adults; same collection data as for preceding; BNHS

SC 191, BNHS SC $192 \cdot 1$ § ${ }^{\text {T, }}$, adult; Karnataka State, Kodagu District, K.S. Colony; $11.96^{\circ}$ N, $76.05^{\circ}$ E; 866 m a.s.l.; 1 Nov. 2020; Makarand Ketkar, Shubhankar Deshpande, Shauri Sulakhe and Swayam Thakkar leg.; BNHS SC 193.

Description (holotype, $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text {, measurements in Table 3) }\end{gathered}$
Colouration (Fig. 13A-B). Body and appendages yellowish and variegated with blackish brown stripes and spots; metasomal segment V dark brownish to blackish, darker on posterior portion; pedipalp fingers dark brownish. Ventral portion uniformly yellow and sternite VII with a few dark spots. Basal segments of chelicerae dorsally yellowish, with blackish reticulation ending anteriorly in a blackish transverse patch. Fingers of chelicerae dark brown with tip of fingers black. Telson reddish brown.

Carapace (Figs 14C, 18C). Surface coarsely and densely granular. Without carinae. Median supraocular area almost smooth on middle and posterior portion, distinctly granular on anterior portion. Inter-ocular area with coarse granules. Pair of median ocelli situated anteriorly, with median ocelli to anterior margin/median ocelli to posterior margin ratio of $1: 2$. Antero-lateral ocular tubercle granular, with type 5 lateral ocelli. Three pairs of large major ocelli and two small minor ocelli situated behind major ocelli. Longitudinal furrow moderately deep all along. Anterior margins smooth. Lateral margins weakly crenulated below lateral ocelli. Posterior margin almost entirely smooth.

Chelicerae (Fig. 13D). Characteristic of Buthidae. Basal segments and movable fingers with short, firm setae on basal and ventral surfaces.

Pedipalp (Figs 15, 25C). Femur with five carinae (prodorsal, retrodorsal, promedian, retromedian and proventral). All carinae crenulated. Intercarinal surfaces weakly granular except ventral surface smooth with a few fine granules on proximal portion. Patella with seven distinct carinae (dorsomedian, prodorsal, retrodorsal, retromedian, retroventral, promedian and proventral). Dorsal intercarinal surface weakly granular and ventral intercarinal surface smooth. Chela acarinate. Fixed fingers almost smooth. Movable and fixed fingers with six rows of prolateral and retrolateral denticles in pairs and single additional row of retrolateral denticles on proximal portion. Trichobothrial pattern typical for genus (chela dorsal 12, chela ventral 2, patella dorsal 6, patella retrolateral 7, femur dorsal 7 and femur prolateral 4).

Legs (Figs 13A-B, 14A-B). Femur and patellae carinated. All carinae granular. Tibiae 3 and 4 without tibial spurs. All legs with a pair of pedal spurs. Tarsomere covered with long delicate setae arranged in parallel rows on ventral side. Tarsomere I (basitarsus) with tuft of short, stout blackish setae on ventral side. Tarsomere II (telotarsus) compressed laterally and ventrally with paired row of short, pointed, anteriorly directed, closely placed setae.

Genital operculum (Fig. 13C). Wider than long, elliptical, separated, with a pair of short male genital papillae.

Pectines (Fig. 13C). Basal piece rectangular, deeply notched on anterior median margin. Posterior margin of basal piece curved. Marginal lamella of $3 / 3$ digits and median lamella of $6 / 7$ digits, outer margin armed with a row of stout, short red setae and a few setae on surface. Fulcra $15 / 15$, roughly triangular, each armed with a few short red setae, placed in between adjacent pectinal teeth. Teeth 16/16, strong and stout.

Mesosoma (Figs 13A-B, 14A-B, 21C). Tergites I-VI sparsely and coarsely granular, with median carina more strongly developed on posterior side. Posterior and lateral margins granular. Lateral patches on mesosomal tergites V and VI with fine granulation along posterior margins. Tergite VII granular, narrowed posteriorly, with two pairs of lateral granular carinae. Broad median carina present, more strongly developed on anterior portion. Sternites III-VI almost entirely smooth, with a pair of spiracles. Sternite VII smooth on posterior margin, finely crenulated to serrated on lateral margins; two pairs of


Fig. 13. Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { (BNHS SC 190). A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral }\end{gathered}$ view. C. Sternopectinal area. D. Chelicera, dorsal view.


Fig. 14. Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult $\begin{gathered}\lambda \\ \text { (BNHS SC 190). A. Dorsal view, UV light. }\end{gathered}$ B. Ventral view, UV light. C. Carapace, white light.
granular carinae with median carina present on posterior portion and lateral carina present along entrire length.

Metasoma (Figs 13A-B, 14A-B, 23C). All segments longer than wide. Segment I with five pairs of granular carinae (dorsal lateral, lateral supramedian, lateral inframedian, ventral lateral and ventral submedian). Intercarinal surfaces almost smooth. Segments II-IV with four pairs of carinae (dorsal lateral, lateral supramedian, ventral lateral and ventral submedian). Dorso-lateral and ventro-lateral carinae moderately granular. Lateral carina present on segment I only. Lateral supramedian and dorsal lateral carinae posteriorly ending in pointed, sub-triangular tubercles, more pointed on segments II and III. Intercarinal surfaces more granular on ventral portion. Segment V with five carinae (lateral supramedian pair, ventral lateral pair and single ventral median). Intercarinal surfaces finely granular. Anal rim almost smooth.

Telson (Fig. 19C, F). With elongated vesicle, smooth on dorsal surface. Ventral median carina moderately granular on distal portion, ending in triangular, subaculear, pointed nodule, armed with two pairs of minute denticles on inner margin. Ventral portion with two weak carinae. Lateral and ventral intercarinal surfaces weakly granular on distal portion only. Aculeus moderately elongated and sharp.

## Distribution, habitat and ecology (Figs 17, 26)

Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. is known from the type locality, Kidanganad, Wayanad District, Kerala State, India, and one more locality, K.S. Colony, Kodagu District, Karnataka State, India, which is ca 60 km from the type locality. The new species is found under tree bark on tall trees in the moist deciduous and evergreen forest of Wayanad National Park (NP). A dense population of the new species was


Fig. 15. Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult $\overparen{\AA}$ (BNHS SC 190). A-B. Pedipalp chela. A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral view. C-D. Patella. C. Dorsal view. D. External view. E-F. Femur. E. Dorsal view. F. Internal view. Trichobothrial pattern indicated by yellow dots.


Fig. 16. Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov., paratype, adult $q$ (BNHS SC 191). A. Dorsal view. B. Ventral view. C. Carapace, dorsal view. D. Sternopectinal area. E. Telson, lateral view.


Fig. 17. Type locality of Isometrus wayanadensis sp. nov. Views of tall evergreen forest along road at the type locality.


Fig. 18. Carapace under UV light. A. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult $\jmath^{\Uparrow}$ (BNHS SC 194). B. I. nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult $\overparen{\jmath}$ (BNHS SC 195). C. I. wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult đ (BNHS SC 190).


Fig. 19. A-C. Telson in lateral view. D-F. Telson in ventral view. A, D. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult đ (BNHS SC 194). B, E. I. nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult đ (BNHS SC 195). C, F. I. wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult $\begin{gathered}\text { ® (BNHS SC 190). }\end{gathered}$


Fig. 20. Mesosomal tergites V and VI in dorsal view under UV light. A. Isometrus thurstoni Pocock,
 155). C. I. amboli Sulakhe et al., 2020, holotype, adult © (BNHS SC 157). D. I. kovariki Sulakhe et al., 2020, holotype, adult $\widehat{ }$ (BNHS SC 161).


Fig. 21. Mesosomal tergites V and VI in dorsal view under UV light. A. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult |  |
| :---: | (BNHS SC 194). B. I. nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult \(\begin{gathered} <br>

<br>
\end{gathered}\) (BNHS SC 195). C. I. wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult $\lesssim$ (BNHS SC 190).


Fig. 22. Metasoma in lateral view under UV light. A. Isometrus thurstoni Pocock, 1983, topotype, adult đ (INHER 139). B. I. tamhini Sulakhe et al., 2020, holotype, adult ð (BNHS SC 155). C. I. amboli Sulakhe et al., 2020, holotype, adult $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { (BNHS SC 157). D. I. kovariki Sulakhe et al., 2020, holotype, }\end{gathered}$ adult $\overparen{\delta}$ (BNHS SC 161).


Fig. 23. Metasoma in lateral view under UV light. A. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult ${ }^{\top}$ (BNHS SC 194). B. I. nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult ${ }^{\top}$ (BNHS SC 195). C. I. wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult $\overbrace{}^{\lambda}$ (BNHS SC 190).


Fig. 24. Comparison of left pedipalps. A. Isometrus thurstoni Pocock, 1983, topotype, adult $\widehat{\jmath}$ (INHER 139). B. I. tamhini Sulakhe et al., 2020, holotype, adult $\begin{gathered}\text { (BNHS SC 155). C. I. amboli Sulakhe et al., }\end{gathered}$
 SC 161).


Fig. 25. Comparison of left pedipalps. A. Isometrus sankeriensis Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983, neotype, adult $\widehat{\jmath}^{\lambda}$ (BNHS SC 194). B. I. nakshatra sp. nov., holotype, adult $\widehat{ }$ (BNHS SC 195). C. I. wayanadensis sp. nov., holotype, adult ${ }^{\lambda}$ (BNHS SC 190).
observed in the forest along the roads near the type locality. Wayanad NP is contiguous with Nagarhole NP, Bramhagiri Wildlife Sanctuary, and Bandipura NP and Tiger Reserve (TR) in Karnataka, and with Mudumalai NP and TR in Tamil Nadu, where the new species may occur in these protected areas; however, this needs to be confirmed with more sampling. The ecology of the new species is congruent with bark scorpions.

## Key to Isometrus species from India

1. Ventral median carina on vesicle strongly granular $\qquad$ .I. tamhini (Sulakhe et al., 2020)

- Ventral median carina on vesicle moderately granular .. 2
- Ventral median carina on vesicle weakly granular .3

2. Surface of carapace coarsely and densely granular $\qquad$ I. wayanadensis sp . nov.

- Surface of carapace finely and densely granular $\qquad$ I. amboli (Sulakhe et al., 2020)

3. Chela length to width ratio in males 10.6 $\qquad$ I. nakshatra sp. nov.

- Chela length to width ratio in males 5.0-6.4 .. 4

4. Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on metasomal segments II-IV strongly granular; metasomal length to carapace length ratio in males 5.9-6.1
.I. sankeriensis (Tikader \& Bastawade, 1983)

- Lateral supramedian and ventral lateral carinae on metasomal segments II-IV moderately to weakly granular; metasomal length to carapace length ratio in males 6.5-8.2


Fig. 26. Distribution of Indian species of Isometrus Ehrenberg, 1828 with elevation data. Stars represent type localities and circles represent additional sampled localities.
5. Surface of carapace coarsely and sparsely granular, with some areas without granules; anterior margin of carapace sharply curved near lateral ocelli $\qquad$ ..I. thurstoni (Pocock, 1983)

- Surface of carapace more closely granular in inter-ocular area and median posterior ocular area; anterior margin of carapace curved near lateral ocelli
I. kovariki (Sulakhe et al., 2020)


## Discussion

Our results confirm the high species diversity reported in recent studies on scorpions from India (Sulakhe et al. 2020a, 2020b, 2020c, 2020d, 2020e, 2021; Mirza 2020), highlighting the fact that scorpion diversity in India still remains underestimated. Discovering new species is not only important for understanding patterns of evolution, but also an important step towards prioritizing conservation needs and avoiding the risk of losing biodiversity even before it is taxonomically described.

The use of molecular phylogenetics has drastically improved our ability to understand speciation in scorpions. Reconstructing phylogenies using molecular data has become important, as some species may not show strong morphological differences, and thus integrative taxonomic approaches have gained rapid acceptance (Sulakhe et al. 2020a).

Isometrus sankeriensis and I. amboli look very similar, although the morphometric analysis show a clear difference between the two species and from all other Indian congeners. Sequences of $I$. amboli are closest to the sequences of $I$. sankeriensis and show a genetic divergence of $4.7-5.4 \%$ in $C O I$ and $2.6 \%$ in $16 S$. However, these two species show a divergence from each other based on PTP and bPTP, GMYC, ABGD and share a TMRCA of 5 Mya. Low genetic distances among scorpion species have previously been reported in some buthid taxa (Fet et al. 2021). This low genetic divergence between some species of Isomterus from India may suggest recent speciation events between these species. Isomterus sankeriensis is also closely related to I. tamhini and sequences show a genetic divergence of $7.2-7.4 \%$ in $C O I$ and $4.2 \%$ in $16 S$. There are also strong morphological characters distinguishing these two species. Isometrus tamhini can be clearly distinguished from all Indian congeners based on morphology. All sequences of I. tamhini are monophyletic in the CO1 and $16 S$ concatenated gene tree and show closeness to $I$. amboli with a minimum genetic divergence of $6.6-7.2 \%$ in $C O I$ and $3.7 \%$ in 16S. Sequences of I. tamhini (MT250512) from Saltar Khind (pass), near Telbaila Fort, Pune, ca 50 km from the type locality of I. tamhini, show a genetic divergence of $0.4 \%$ in the COI gene. Sequences of I. tamhini with the voucher numbers INHER 342 and INHER 343 from Bhimashanker, Pune, ca 100 km from the type locality of I. tamhini, show a genetic divergence of $0.6 \%$ in the COI gene. Locations from northern Western Ghats, such as Madheghat, Varandha Ghat (Pune), Harishchandragad (Ahmednagar), Mahabaleshwar and Jor-Jambhali (Satara), were surveyed extensively for populations of Isometrus, but no specimens were found.

Our integrated taxonomic approach with the time divergence data generated in this study has helped in understanding the cryptic diversity of Isometrus within the northern Western Ghats clade. Interestingly the River 'Kali' separates the populations of I. sankeriensis and I. amboli. Considering such a strong geographical barrier, it may not be possible to have a regular biotic interchange between these populations which may have led to a speciation event. Many such geographical barriers in Western Ghats would be the reason for genetic variation and speciation in Isometrus. Thus, more sampling from intervening locations across the Western Ghats-Sri Lanka biodiversity hotspot (Myers et al. 2000), with multi-gene phylogeny including nuclear genes, would not only help in attaining a better understanding of Isometrus diversity, but also throw light on the biogeography and evolutionary history of this group.

The use of internal morphological characters such as the hemispermatophore to identify species still remains understudied in Indian Isometrus. The ultimate goal of biodiversity conservation can be achieved by setting priorities, and the role of systematics is crucial in providing information for focused
conservation efforts (Bickford et al. 2007; McLeod 2010; Savage 1995). An accurate identification of species is essential to identifying regions with high levels of species richness and endemism. Isometrus has for many years been a genus with only two species known in India, having wide distributional ranges. It is now known to be a much more diverse genus with eight species found in India, all exhibiting restricted ranges and thus in urgent need of conservation.
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