- 1 The endothelial-specific *LINC00607* mediates endothelial angiogenic function
- 2
- 3 Frederike Boos^{1,9}, James A. Oo^{1,9}, Timothy Warwick^{1,9}, Stefan Günther², Judit Izquierdo Ponce¹, Giulia
- 4 Buchmann^{1,9}, Tianfu Li^{1,9}, Sandra Seredinski^{1,9}, Shaza Haydar^{1,9}, Sepide Kashefiolasl³, Andrew H.
- 5 Baker^{4,5}, Reinier A. Boon^{6,7,9}, Marcel H. Schulz^{6,9}, Francis J. Miller⁸, Ralf P. Brandes^{1,9*} and Matthias S.
- 6 Leisegang^{1,9}*
- 7
- 8 ¹Institute for Cardiovascular Physiology, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
- 9 ²Max-Planck-Institute for Heart and Lung Research, Bad Nauheim, Germany
- ³Department of Neurosurgery, University Hospital Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- ⁴ The Queen's Medical Research Institute, Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh,
- 12 Edinburgh, Scotland
- 13 ⁵CARIM Institute, University of Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands
- 14 ⁶Institute for Cardiovascular Regeneration, Goethe University, Frankfurt, Germany
- ¹⁵ ⁷Department of Physiology, Amsterdam Cardiovascular Sciences, VU Medical Center, Amsterdam
- 16 UMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 17 ⁸Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Salisbury, NC, USA
- 18 ⁹German Center of Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner site RheinMain, Frankfurt, Germany
- 19
- 20 * shared senior authors
- 21 Correspondence to:
- 22 Matthias S. Leisegang, PhD or Ralf P. Brandes, MD
- 23 Institut für Kardiovaskuläre Physiologie
- 24 Fachbereich Medizin der Goethe-Universität
- 25 Theodor-Stern-Kai 7
- 26 60590 Frankfurt am Main, Germany
- 27 Tel.: +49-69-6301-6996
- 28 Fax.: +49-69-6301-7668
- 29 Email: Leisegang@vrc.uni-frankfurt.de
- 30 Email: <u>Brandes@vrc.uni-frankfurt.de</u>
- 31
- 32 The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.
- 33 Running Head: *LINC00607*, BRG1 and ERG
- 34 Keywords: Long non-coding RNA, BRG1, endothelial cell, gene regulation, ERG, hypoxia

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

35 Abstract

36 Long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) can act as regulatory RNAs which, by altering the expression of target 37 genes, impact on the cellular phenotype and cardiovascular disease development. Endothelial IncRNAs 38 and their vascular functions are largely undefined. Deep RNA-Seq and FANTOM5 CAGE analysis 39 revealed the IncRNA LINCO0607 to be highly enriched in human endothelial cells. LINCO0607 was 40 induced in response to hypoxia, arteriosclerosis regression in non-human primates and also in 41 response to propranolol used to induce regression of human arteriovenous malformations. siRNA 42 knockdown or CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of LINC00607 attenuated VEGF-A-induced angiogenic sprouting. 43 LINC00607 knockout in endothelial cells also integrated less into newly formed vascular networks in an in vivo assay in SCID mice. Overexpression of LINC00607 in CRISPR knockout cells restored normal 44 endothelial function. RNA- and ATAC-Seq after LINC00607 knockout revealed changes in the 45 46 transcription of endothelial gene sets linked to the endothelial phenotype and in chromatin accessibility around ERG-binding sites. Mechanistically, LINC00607 interacted with the SWI/SNF 47 48 chromatin remodeling protein BRG1. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of BRG1 in HUVEC followed by 49 CUT&RUN revealed that BRG1 is required to secure a stable chromatin state, mainly on ERG-binding sites. In conclusion, LINCO0607 is an endothelial-enriched IncRNA that maintains ERG target gene 50 51 transcription by interacting with the chromatin remodeler BRG1.

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

52 Introduction

Endothelial cells form the selectively permeable monolayer between the vessel and the blood. Resting endothelium provides an anti-coagulant and anti-inflammatory surface and contributes to the control of local vascular tone. It also facilitates the vascular response to inflammation, shear stress and hypoxia [12]. In response to growth factors and hypoxia, endothelial cells sprout from pre-existing vessels in the process of angiogenesis [52]. This process is physiologically important and required for wound healing [27]. However, uncontrolled angiogenesis also contributes to pathological conditions like macular degeneration and cancer [17].

60 Recent studies suggest that long non-coding RNAs (IncRNAs) are essential in the regulation of 61 cardiovascular gene programs [51, 59]. LncRNAs are RNA molecules longer than 200 nucleotides in 62 length, which may lack apparent protein-coding potential. They have independent functions as RNAs, 63 separate from potential peptide coding abilities [51, 59]. Through different mechanisms IncRNAs 64 impact on gene expression and therefore the cellular phenotype [59]. LncRNAs influence many aspects 65 of cellular function among them nuclear architecture, transcription, translation and mRNA stability 66 [64].

Transcriptional control can be exerted through interaction with or recruitment of chromatin 67 remodeling complexes, which subsequently alter the epigenetic landscape [59]. Chromatin remodeling 68 69 proteins regulate DNA accessibility by restructuring, mobilizing, and ejecting nucleosomes [9] and 70 thereby altering the binding of transcription factors to their DNA targets [39]. One well-known multi-71 protein chromatin remodeling complex, the Switch/Sucrose Non-Fermentable (SWI/SNF) complex, has 72 Brahma related gene-1 (BRG1) as one of its core catalytic subunits, whose knockout is embryonic lethal 73 in mice [8, 28]. Several lncRNAs are known to contribute to the function of BRG1, e.g. EVF2 directly 74 inhibits the ATPase and chromatin remodeling activity [10], MANTIS stabilizes the interaction between 75 BRG1 and BAF155 and recruits BRG1 to angiogenesis related genes [38] and Mhrt interacts with the 76 helicase domain of BRG1 leading to the inhibition of chromatin target recognition by BRG1 [21]. Xist 77 binding inhibits BRG1 activity and functionally antagonizes the recruitment of associated SWI/SNF 78 complexes to the inactivated X chromosome [26]. MALAT1 forms a complex with BRG1 and HDAC9, 79 which inhibits the expression of contractile proteins in aortic aneurysm [43]. These examples highlight 80 the fundamental importance of IncRNA-BRG1 interactions.

In this study, we set out to identify endothelial-enriched IncRNAs that impact on angiogenic function and may therefore have disease or therapeutic relevance. This led to the identification of the IncRNA *LINCO0607*, which is highly enriched in the endothelium. *LINCO0607* has been previously described as a super enhancer-derived IncRNA induced by high glucose and TNFα levels [11]. Our study revealed that *LINCO0607* is induced by hypoxia and sustains endothelial gene transcription through interaction

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

- 86 with the chromatin remodeling protein BRG1. Ultimately, *LINC00607* facilitates proper endothelial
- 87 ERG-responsive gene transcription and the maintenance of the angiogenic response.

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

88 Material and Methods

89 Materials

The following chemicals and concentrations were used for stimulation: Human recombinant VEGF-A 165 (R&D, 293-VE, 30 ng/mL), DMOG (400091, Merck, 1 mM), acriflavine (A8126, Sigma-Aldrich, 10 μ M), Low Density Lipoprotein from Human Plasma, oxidized (oxLDL, L34357, Thermo Fisher, 10 μ g/mL), DMSO (D2650, Sigma-Aldrich), Propranolol hydrochloride (P0884, Sigma-Aldrich, 100 μ M), TGF- β 2 (100-35B, Peprotech, 10 ng/mL), Interleukin 1 β (IL-1 β , 200-01B, Peprotech, 1 ng/mL) and RNase A (EN0531, Thermo Fisher).

96 The following antibodies were used: β-Actin (A1978, Sigma-Aldrich) and BRG1 (ab110641, Abcam).

97 Cell culture and stimulation experiments

Pooled human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from PromoCell (C-12203, Lot 98 99 No. 405Z013, 408Z014, 416Z042, Heidelberg, Germany) and cultured at 37 °C with 5 % CO₂ in a 100 humidified incubator. Gelatin-coated dishes (356009, Corning Incorporated, USA) were used to culture 101 the cells. Endothelial growth medium (EGM), consisting of endothelial basal medium (EBM) 102 supplemented with human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF), EndoCGS-Heparin 103 (PeloBiotech, Germany), 8 % fetal calf serum (FCS) (S0113, Biochrom, Germany), penicillin (50 U/mL) 104 and streptomycin (50 μ g/mL) (15140-122, Gibco/Lifetechnologies, USA) was used. For each 105 experiment, at least three different batches of HUVEC from passage 3 were used.

- In hypoxia experiments, cells were incubated for 24 h in a SciTive Workstation (Baker Ruskinn) at 1%
 O₂ and 5 % CO₂.
- 108 For EndMT, HUVEC were stimulated for 5 d in differentiation medium (DM) consisting of endothelial
- 109 basal medium (EBM) supplemented with 8 % FCS, penicillin (50 U/mL), streptomycin (50 μg/mL), L-
- 110 glutamine, TGF- β 2 (10 ng/mL) and IL-1 β (1 ng/mL).

111 Experiments with Macaca fascicularis

Experiments on adult male Cynomolgus monkeys (*Macaca fascicularis*) were approved by the Institutional Care and Use Committee of the University of Iowa [22] and vessels were kindly provided by one of the co-authors (FJM). The vessels originated from a previous study [22], in which *Macacae fasciculari* were fed with three different diets, a normal diet, an atherosclerotic diet for 47±10 (mean ± SE) months, or an atherosclerotic diet with an additional recovery phase for 8 months. After isolation of RNA, RT-qPCR was perfomed for the orthologues of human GAPDH and *LINC00607* with *Macacae fascicularis* (*Mf*) specific primers. The following oligonucleotide sequences were used: *Mf_LINC00607*,

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

forward 5'-CTG CAT GTC ACC GCA TAC CC-3' and reverse 5'-TGG CTC TGC TGC TGG AGT AG-3';
 Mf_GAPDH, forward 5'-TGC ACC ACC AAC TGC TTA GC-3' and reverse 5'-GGC GTG GAC TGT GGT CAT
 GAG-3'.

122 Human brain arteriovenous malformation under propranolol treatment

123 Patients with arteriovenous malformation (AVM) evaluated at University Hospital Frankfurt were 124 entered into an ongoing prospective registry. The study protocol was approved by the ethical 125 committee of the Goethe University (approval number UCT-63-2020, Frankfurt am Main, Germany). 126 All patients with proved unruptured AVMs were included after written informed consent. Patients with 127 arteriovenous malformation (AVM) who underwent microsurgery and had tissue available were further analyzed. We selected from our tissue bank cases of unruptured brain AVMs in patients who 128 129 did not undergo pre-surgical embolization. The patients did not undergo endovascular embolization before surgical resection, and medical records did not show previous history of rupture. AVM tissue 130 131 (pieces with a diameter of 0.5 cm) was cultured immediately after surgical resection in the presence 132 of 100 µM propranolol or solvent DMSO for 72 h. Afterwards, RNA was isolated and RT-qPCR was 133 performed.

134 RNA isolation, Reverse transcription and RT-qPCR

135 Total RNA isolation was performed with the RNA Mini Kit (Bio&Sell) according to the manufacturers 136 protocol and reverse transcription was performed with the SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Thermo Fisher) using a combination of oligo(dT)23 and random hexamer primers (Sigma). The 137 138 resulting cDNA was amplified in an AriaMX cycler (Agilent) with the ITaq Universal SYBR Green 139 Supermix and ROX as reference dye (Bio-Rad, 1725125). Relative expression of human target genes 140 was normalized to β-Actin, whereas for *Macaca fasciluraris* genes GAPDH was used. Expression levels 141 were analyzed by the delta-delta Ct method with the AriaMX qPCR software (Agilent). The following oligonucleotide sequences were used: human LINC00607, forward 5'-CCA CCA CCA CCA TTA CTT TC-3' 142 and reverse 5'-AGG CTC TGT ATT CCC AAC TG-3'; human β-Actin, forward 5'-AAA GAC CTG TAC GCC 143 AAC AC-3' and reverse 5'-GTC ATA CTC CTG CTT GCT GAT-3'. 144

145 Knockdown with siRNAs

For small interfering RNA (siRNA) treatments, HUVEC (80–90 % confluent) were transfected with
GeneTrans II according to the instructions provided by MoBiTec (Göttingen, Germany). A Silencer[®]
Select siRNA was used for siRNA-mediated knockdown of *LINC00607* (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
s56342). As negative control, scrambled Stealth RNAi[™] Med GC (Life technologies) was used. All siRNA
experiments were performed for 48 h.

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

151 Protein Isolation xand Western Analyses

152 For whole cell lysis, HUVEC were washed in Hanks solution (Applichem) and lysed with RIPA buffer (1x 153 TBS, 1 % Desoxycholat, 1 % Triton, 0.1 % SDS, 2 mM Orthovanadat (OV), 10 nM Okadaic Acid (OA), 154 protein-inhibitor mix (PIM), 40 µg/mL Phenylmethylsulfonylfluorid (PMSF)). After centrifugation (10 155 min, 16,000 xg), protein concentrations of the supernatant were determined with the Bradford assay 156 and the extract boiled in Laemmli buffer. Equal amounts of protein were separated with SDS-PAGE. 157 Gels were blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane, which was blocked afterwards in Rotiblock (Carl 158 Roth). After application of the first antibody, an infrared-fluorescent-dye-conjugated secondary 159 antibody (Licor) was used. Signals were detected with an infrared-based laser scanning detection 160 system (Odyssey Classic, Licor).

161 LentiCRISPRv2

162 Guide RNAs (gRNA) targeting LINC00607 were selected using the publicly available CRISPOR algorithm 163 (http://crispor.tefor.net/) [20]. A dual gRNA approach consisting of gRNA-A and gRNA-B was used to facilitate the knockout of LINCOO607. gRNA-A targeted a region downstream of the TSS and gRNA-B 164 165 targeted a region upstream of the TSS. *BRG1* knockout was performed using a single gRNA approach. 166 The gRNAs were cloned into lentiCRISPRv2 vector backbone with Esp3I (Thermo Fisher, FD0454) 167 according to the standard protocol [55]. lentiCRISPRv2 was a gift from Feng Zhang (Addgene plasmid 168 #52961; http://n2t.net/addgene:52961; RRID:Addgene 52961) [55]). The modification of the lentiviral 169 CRISPR/Cas9v2 plasmid with hygromycin resistance was provided by Frank Schnütgen (Dept. of 170 Medicine, Hematology/Oncology, University Hospital Frankfurt, Goethe University, Frankfurt, 171 Germany).

172 For annealing, the following oligonucleotides were used: LINC00607: gRNA-A, 5'- CAC CGC ATG TGC 173 CCC CTT TGT TGA A-3' and 5'- AAA CTT CAA CAA AGG GGG CAC ATG C-3', gRNA-B, 5'- CAC CGC AGT 174 GTG TCA TGT TAT CTT G-3' and 5'- AAA CCA AGA TAA CAT GAC ACA CTG C-3'; BRG1: gRNA, 5'-CAC CGC 175 ATG CTC AGA CCA CCC AG-3' and 5'-AAA CCT GGG TGG CTC TGA GCA TGC-3'. For LINCO0607, gRNA-A 176 was cloned into lentiCRISPRv2 with hygromycin resistance, gRNA-B was cloned into lentiCRISPRv2 with 177 puromycin resistance. For BRG1, lentiCRISPRv2 with puromycin resistance was used. After cloning, the gRNA-containing LentiCRISPRv2 vectors were sequenced and purified. Lentivirus was produced in 178 179 Lenti-X 293T cells (Takara, 632180) using Polyethylenamine (Sigma-Aldrich, 408727), psPAX2 and 180 pVSVG (pMD2.G). pMD2.G was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene plasmid #12259; http://n2t.net/addgene:12259; RRID:Addgene_12259). psPAX2 was a gift from Didier Trono (Addgene 181 182 plasmid #12260; http://n2t.net/addgene:12260; RRID:Addgene_12260). LentiCRISPRv2-produced 183 virus was transduced in HUVEC (p1) with polybrene transfection reagent (MerckMillipore, TR-1003-G)

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

and for *LINC00607* knockout selection was performed with puromycin (1 μ g/mL) and hygromycin (100

185 μ g/mL) for 6 d and for *BRG1* only with puromycin (1 μ g/mL) for 6 d.

186 Validation of the CRISPR/Cas9 knockout of LINC00607 was performed from genomic DNA. Genomic 187 DNA was isolated after selection. Cells were washed, collected and incubated with 500 µL lysis buffer 188 (30 min, 56 °C, 800 rpm, 0.1 M Tris/HCl pH 8.5, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.2 % SDS, 0.05 M EDTA, 22.2 mg/mL 189 Proteinase K). After removing cell fragments (1 min, 13.000 rpm, 4 °C), DNA was precipitated by adding 190 the equal volume 100 % isopropanol followed by centrifugation (10 min, 13.000 rpm, 4 °C). The DNA 191 was washed with 70 % EtOH (10 min, 13.000 rpm, 4 °C), air-dried and dissolved in TE-Buffer (10 mM Tris/HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0). CRISPR/Cas9 target sites were amplified by PCR with PCR 192 Mastermix (ThermoFisher, K0171), containing forward and reverse primers (10 μ M) and 100-500 ng 193 194 DNA followed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidiumbromide staining. The following primers 195 were used: LINCOO607 CRISPR target site, 5'-CTT CAG CCC ACT GAG TCT TG-3' and 5'-GAG GAA CCA GCC AGA ATA GC-3'; GAPDH, 5'-TGG TGT CAG GTT ATG CTG GGC CAG-3' and 5'- GTG GGA TGG GAG 196 197 GGT GCT GAA CAC-3'.

198 Scratch-wound migration assay

30,000 HUVEC were seeded on ImageLock 96-well plates (Essen Bioscience). Once a monolayer had formed, this was scratched the following day with a 96-pin WoundMaker tool (Essen Bioscience). EGM was then refreshed to remove dead and scraped cells. Afterwards, the cells were imaged in an Incucyte imaging system for 11 h (one image every one hour, with the "phase" image channel and 10X magnification). The Scratch Wound Cell Migration Module of the Incucyte S3 Live Cell Analysis System (Essen Bioscience) was used to monitor and analyze the cells.

205 Spheroid outgrowth assay

Spheroid outgrowth assays in HUVEC were performed as described in [31]. Stimulation of spheroid outgrowth was performed with VEGF-A 165 (R&D, 293-VE, 30 ng/mL) for 16 h. Spheroids were imaged with an Axiovert135 microscope (Zeiss). The cumulative sprout length and spheroid diameter were quantified by analysis with the AxioVision software (Zeiss).

210 Plasmid overexpression and Spheroid outgrowth assay

- 211 Plasmid overexpression was performed using 700,000 HUVEC and the Neon electroporation system
- 212 (Invitrogen, 1,400 V, 1x 30 ms pulse) in E2 buffer for the following plasmids (7 μg per transfection):
- 213 pcDNA3.1+*LINC00607* and pcDNA3.1+. Overexpression was performed for 24 h.

214

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

215 RNA immunoprecipitation

216 To identify RNAs bound to a protein of interest, specific antibodies and protein G-coated beads were 217 used to immunoprecipitate RNAs bound to the target protein. Cells were grown to 80 % confluence on a 10 cm plate (roughly 3 million cells) and washed once with Hanks buffer. 6 mL Hanks buffer was 218 219 added to the cells on ice and irradiated with 0.150 J/cm² 254 nm UV light. Cells were scraped twice in 220 500 µL Hanks buffer and centrifuged at 1,000 xg at 4 °C for 4 min. For nuclear protein isolation, cells 221 were resuspended in hypotonic buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 0.1 222 mM EGTA pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, 40 µg/mL PMSF) and incubated on ice for 15 min. Nonidet was added to 223 a final concentration of 0.75 % and cells were centrifuged (1 min, 4 °C, 16000 xg). The nuclear-pellet 224 was washed twice in hypotonic buffer, lysed in high salt buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 400 mM NaCl, 1 225 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 mM EGTA pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, 40 µg/mL PMSF) and centrifuged (5 min, 4 °C, 16000 226 xg). 10 % of the nuclear lysate was taken as input. 4 μ g of antibody was pre-coupled to 30 μ L protein 227 G magnetic beads in bead wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 200 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 1 mM 228 EGTA pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, 40 µg/mL PMSF) for 1 h at RT, then washed once with high salt buffer and 229 twice with bead wash buffer. The antibody-coupled beads were added to the nuclear lysate and 230 rotated for 1 h at 4 °C. Samples were placed on a magnetic bar and the lysate discarded. The beads 231 were washed three times in high salt buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 % SDS, 0.5 % 232 Na-Deoxycholate, 1 % NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 40 µg/mL PMSF) at 4 °C for 10 min per wash. Beads were 233 then washed twice in bead wash buffer 2 (20 mM TrisHCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 % Tween, 1 mM DTT, 40 234 µg/mL PMSF). For RNase A treatment, beads were placed in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris-HCl, EDTA 235 pH 8.0 and 2 µL of RNase A (10 mg/mL) for 30 min at 37°C and then washed again in bead wash buffer. 236 For elution of RNA, the remaining wash buffer was removed and 1 mL QIAzol (Qiagen) was added to 237 the beads and incubated at RT for 10 min. 400 µL chloroform was added to the samples and vortexed 238 for 10 sec followed by incubation for a further 10 min at RT. Samples were then centrifuged at 12,000 239 xg for 15 min at 4 °C. 500 μ L of the upper aqueous phase was transferred to a new tube and 2 μ L 240 glycogen (GlycoBlue Coprecipitant, ThermoFisher, AM9515) and 500 µL isopropanol added. Samples 241 were inverted multiple times and incubated at RT for 10 min before being centrifuged again at 12,000 xg for 10 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet washed with 1 mL 75 % ethanol by 242 243 vortexing. The pellet was centrifuged at 7,500 xg for 5 min at 4 °C, dried and resuspended in 30 µL 244 nuclease-free water. RNA samples were reverse transcribed for qPCR as described above.

245 In vivo Matrigel Plug Assay

150,000 HUVEC per plug were stained with Vybrant Dil (1:200 in 1 mL Basal Medium (EBM); Thermo
Fisher, V-22885). After incubation (45 min at 37 °C, 5 min at 4 °C), cells were washed with EBM (Lonza),
resuspended in EGM containing 20 % methocel (Sigma-Aldrich) and cultured in hanging drops (25

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

249 µL/drop). Harvesting of spheroids and injection of matrigel containing spheroids into SCID mice 250 (Charles River Laboratories) was performed as described previously [34]. 21 d after injection, Isolectin 251 GS-IB4 from Griffonia simplicifolia, Alexa Fluor® 647 Conjugate (I32450, Thermo Fisher) was 252 administered intravenously and was allowed to circulate for 20 min. After transcardial perfusion of the 253 animals, the plugs were dissected, cleaned, fixed in 4 % Paraformaldehyde (PFA) (over night) and 254 subsequently cleared following the 3DISCO procedure [14]. Imaging was carried out with the 255 Ultramicroscope II (UM-II, LaVision Biotec, Bielefeld) at 16x magnification (10 Zoom body + 2x 256 Objective). Pictures were taken with a Neo 5.5 (3-tap) sCOMs Camera (Andor, Mod.No.: DC-152q-C00-257 FI). The ImSpectorPro Version 3.1.8 was used. Quantification of 3D Images was performed with Imaris 258 (Bitplane Version 9.6). The surface function was used to manually delete auto fluorescence signals and 259 artefacts. Signal background was removed using baseline subtraction. Cells were detected and counted 260 with the Spots-Algorithm (estimated diameter = 10.0 µm; background subtraction = true; "intensity 261 center Ch=3" above 395; Region Growing Type = Local Contrast). Lower threshold was chosen 262 depending to the background signal. Cells were considered incorporated in the vascular network with 263 the threshold of the "intensity Max. channel=2" above 575.

264 RNA-Seq

265 900 ng of total RNA was used as input for SMARTer Stranded Total RNA Sample Prep Kit - HI 266 Mammalian (Takara Bio). Sequencing was performed on the NextSeq500 instrument (Illumina) using 267 v2 chemistry, resulting in average of 38M reads per library with 1x75bp single end setup. The resulting 268 raw reads were assessed for quality, adapter content and duplication rates with FastQC [3]. 269 Trimmomatic version 0.39 was employed to trim reads after a quality drop below a mean of Q20 in a 270 window of 10 nucleotides [5]. Only reads between 30 and 150 nucleotides were cleared for further 271 analyses. Trimmed and filtered reads were aligned versus the Ensembl human genome version hg38 272 (release 99) using STAR 2.7.3a with the parameter "--outFilterMismatchNoverLmax 0.1" to increase 273 the maximum ratio of mismatches to mapped length to 10 % [13]. The number of reads aligning to 274 genes was counted with featureCounts 1.6.5 tool from the Subread package [42]. Only reads mapping 275 at least partially inside exons were admitted and aggregated per gene. Reads overlapping multiple 276 genes or aligning to multiple regions were excluded. Differentially expressed genes were identified 277 using DESeq2 version 1.26.0 [45]. Only genes with a minimum fold change of +- 1.5 (log2 +-0.59), a 278 maximum Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-value of 0.05, and a minimum combined mean of 5 reads 279 were deemed to be significantly differentially expressed. The Ensemble annotation was enriched with 280 UniProt data (release 06.06.2014) based on Ensembl gene identifiers (Activities at the Universal Protein 281 Resource (UniProt) [1]).

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

283 Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin using sequencing (ATAC-Seq)

284 50,000 HUVEC were used for ATAC library preparation using Illumina Tagment DNA Enzyme and Buffer 285 Kit (Illumina). The cell pellet was resuspended in 50 μ L of the lysis/transposition reaction mix (25 μ L 286 TD-Buffer, 2.5 μL Nextera Tn5 Transposase, 0.5 μL 10 % NP-40 and 32 μL H2O) and incubated at 37 °C 287 for 30 min followed by immediate purification of DNA fragments with the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). Amplification of Library and Indexing was performed as described elsewhere [7]. Libraries 288 289 were mixed in equimolar ratios and sequenced on NextSeq500 platform using V2 chemistry. 290 Trimmomatic version 0.39 was employed to trim raw reads after a quality drop below a mean of Q20 291 in a window of 5 nt [5]. Only reads above 15 nt were cleared for further analyses. These were mapped 292 versus the hg38 version (emsambl release 101) of the human genome with STAR 2.7.7a [13] using only 293 unique alignments to exclude reads with uncertain arrangement. Reads were further deduplicated 294 using Picard 2.21.7 [6] to avoid PCR artefacts leading to multiple copies of the same original fragment. 295 The Macs2 peak caller version 2.1.1 was employed to accommodate for the range of peak widths 296 typically expected for ATAC-Seq [66]. Minimum qvalue was set to -4 and FDR was changed to 0.0001. 297 Peaks overlapping ENCODE blacklisted regions (known misassemblies, satellite repeats) were 298 excluded. In order to be able to compare peaks in different samples, the resulting lists of significant 299 peaks were overlapped and unified to represent identical regions. The counts per unified peak per 300 sample were computed with BigWigAverageOverBed [30]. Raw counts for unified peaks were 301 submitted to DESeq2 (version 1.20.0) for normalization [45]. Peaks were annotated with the promoter 302 of the nearest gene in range (TSS +- 5000 nt) based on reference data of GENCODE vM15. Peaks were 303 deemed to have significantly different counts between conditions at an average score of 20, and a log2 304 transformed fold change of <-0.59 or >0.59.

305 RNA Fluorescence in-situ hybridization

306 Cells grown on gelatin-coated 8-well µ-Slides (ibidi) were fixed in 4 % PFA (in PBS, 10 min, at RT) and 307 washed 3 times with PBS. Cells were permeabilized in 0.5 % Triton X-100 (in PBS, 5 mM vanadyl 308 complex (VRC, NEB)) on ice for 10 min and washed 3 times with PBS. Prior to hybridization, cells were 309 rinsed once in 2xSSC. Hybridization was performed over night at 37 °C in hybridization buffer (10 % dextran sulfate, 50 % formamide, 2xSSC, 400 µg E.coli tRNA, 0.02 % RNase-free bovine serum albumin, 310 2 mmol/L VRC) and 10 nmol/L 5'TYE-665 labelled locked nucleic acid (LNA) detection probe (Qiagen). 311 Custom LNA detection probes targeting LINC00607 were designed with the Qiagen GeneGlobe Custom 312 313 LNA design tool and had the following sequences: 5'-AGG AGC TGA GAT GCA CAT ACT-3'. The cells 314 were washed 4 times for 15 min in buffer containing 2xSSC and 50 % formamide and were counterstained with DAPI (in PBS). Images were captured with a laser confocal microscope LSM800 315 316 (Zeiss, Germany) and analyzed with ZEN lite software (Zeiss, Germany).

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

317 BRG1 CUT&RUN

318 BRG1 Cleavage Under Targets & Release Using Nuclease (CUT&RUN), a method established by Skene 319 and Henikoff in 2017 [58], was performed similarly as described in the EpiCypher CUT&RUN Protocol 320 v2.0, but with minor modifications for the cell type and antibody used. Briefly, 500,000 NTC or BRG1 321 knockout HUVEC were washed with wash buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 150 mM NaCl, 500 nM spermidine, 1X Roche Protein Inhibitor Cocktail) at RT. Cells were resuspended in wash buffer and 10 322 323 µL BioMag[®]Plus Concanavalin A (ConA) beads (Polysciences, 86057-3) were added for 10 min at RT. 324 Beads were separated on a magnetic rack and washed once before being resuspended in 100 µL 325 antibody buffer (wash buffer, 0.25 % Digitonin and 2 mM EDTA) and 1 µL BRG1 antibody (Abcam, 326 ab110641). Beads were incubated with the antibody over night with gentle shaking at 4 °C. The next 327 day, beads were washed twice with 200 µL 0.25 % Digitonin wash buffer and resuspended in Digitonin 328 wash buffer containing 2 µL CUTANA[™] pAG-MNase (15-1016, EpiCypher, 15-1016) and incubated on 329 ice for 30 min. Samples were washed twice and then resuspended in 100 µL Digitonin wash buffer 330 containing 2 µL CaCl₂ at a final concentration of 100 mM and incubated for 2 h at 4 °C with gentle 331 shaking. 33 μL of 2X "stop solution" (340 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 4 mM EGTA, 0.25 % Digitonin, 100 332 μ g/mL RNase A, 50 μ g/mL Glycoblue) was added to the beads and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. 333 Samples were placed on a magnetic rack and the supernatant removed and kept for DNA purification. 334 Briefly, 5X volume of binding buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 20 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MnCl₂) was 335 added to the samples and the pH adjusted with sodium acetate before being transferred to a 336 purification column (ActiveMotif, 58002) and centrifuged at 11,000 xg for 30 sec. The column was then washed with 750 μL wash buffer and dried by centrifugation for 2 min. DNA was eluted with 25 μL 337 338 elution buffer and the DNA concentration measured with a Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies).

339 Library preparation and sequencing of CUT&RUN samples

340 DNA libraries were prepared according to the manufacturer's protocol (NEBNext® Ultra II, NEB) with 341 some minor adjustments for CUT&RUN samples. Briefly, samples were brought to 50 µL with 0.1X TE 342 buffer and DNA end preparation performed as instructed but with incubation at 20 °C for 20 min and 343 then 58 °C for 45 min. Adaptor ligation was performed with a 1:10 dilution of adaptor (NEB, E6440S). 344 For DNA purification, 0.9X Volume AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, A63881) was added to the samples and incubated for 5 min at RT. Beads were washed twice with 200 µL 80 % ethanol and DNA 345 346 eluted with 17 µL 0.1X TE buffer for 2 min at RT. PCR amplification of the eluted DNA was performed 347 as described in the manufacturer's protocol but with the addition of 2.5 μ L Evagreen (20X) for 348 visualization of the amplification curves on an AriaMx Real-time PCR system (Agilent). The denaturation and annealing/extension steps of the PCR amplification were performed for around 12 349 350 cycles and stopped before the curves plateaued. A cleanup of the PCR reaction was performed twice

Boos et al.

with 1.1X Ampure beads and eluted each time in 33 μL 0.1X TE buffer. DNA concentrations were
 measured with a Qubit (Thermo Fisher) and size distributions measured on a Bioanalyzer (Agilent).

353 Sequencing was performed on the NextSeq1000/2000 (Illumina). The resulting raw reads were 354 assessed for quality, adapter content and duplication rates with FastQC [3]. Trim Galore! [15] was used 355 to trim reads before alignment to the Ensembl human genome version hg38 (ensembl release 104) using Bowtie2 [35, 36]. Duplicate reads were removed with rmdup [40] and coverage tracks generated 356 357 with bamCoverage (deepTools Version 3.5.1) [53]. ComputeMatrix [53] and plotHeatmap (deepTools 358 Version 3.5.1) were used on the coverage tracks to generate heatmaps of BRG1 binding across the 359 genome. Peaks were called on the aligned data using MACS2 [16] and annotatePeaks (HOMER) [23] 360 was used to identify the nearest genes to called peaks.

361 Publicly available datasets

The following RNA-Seq datasets used in this study originated from NCBI GEO: HUVEC treated with normoxia or hypoxia (GSE70330)[18]; ACF treatments of HUVEC under normoxia (GSE176555) or

hypoxia (GSE186297)[57]; EndMT treatments of HUVEC and PAEC (GSE118446)[48].

FANTOM5 CAGE and ENCODE expression data was obtained from the FANTOM5 website and waspublished elsewhere[19, 44, 50].

Publically available HUVEC ERG ChIP-sequencing data (GSE124891) was downloaded from the Gene
Expression Omnibus (GEO) [29].

369 ERG ChIP-Seq data analysis

FASTQ files were trimmed with Trim Galore! [15] and aligned to the Ensembl human genome version
hg38 (ensembl release 104) using Bowtie2 [35, 36]. Duplicate reads were removed with rmdup [40].
Peaks were called on the aligned data using MACS2 [16] and annotatePeaks (HOMER) [23] used to
identify the nearest genes to called peaks.

374 Use of FANTOM5 CAGE ENCODE data for promoter and expression analysis of LINC00607

375 The promoter of LINC00607 was defined as nucleotide sequence with a length of 1000 nt, starting from 376 a prominent FANTOM5 CAGE region having multiple peaks in close vicinity (approx. 30 nt) going in 377 upstream direction for 970 nt (hg38 chr2:215,848,858-215,849,857). Promoter analysis was performed 378 with filters for the indicated transcription factors with the MoLoTool 379 (https://molotool.autosome.org/), an interactive web application suitable to identify DNA sequences 380 for transcription factor binding sites (TFBS) with position weight matrices from the HOCOMOCO 381 database [33].

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

To compare the individual lncRNA expression towards all other cell types or tissues, each cell typespecific signal obtained with FANTOM5 CAGE (or ENCODE) [19, 44, 50] was divided through the mean signal observed in all cell types or tissues and plotted.

385 Gene-Set Enrichment Analysis

GSEA (Gene-Set Enrichment Analysis, http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/index.jsp)[49, 60] was performed based on the RNA-seq data in order to identify gene sets that were significantly enriched from genes differently expressed between the NTC control and *LINC00607* knockout. 1000 permutations were performed and gene sets were considered statistically enriched with a nominal P <0.05.

391 Differential ATAC-sequencing analysis and intersection with gene-linked regulatory elements

392 Alignment files arising from ATAC-sequencing data analysis detailed above were subjected to replicate-393 based differential peak calling using THOR (v0.13.1) [2], which employs a hidden Markov model-based 394 approach to identify differentially accessible regions of chromatin between conditions. Differential 395 peaks were those with reported adjusted p-values less than 0.01. Differential peaks were subsequently intersected with regulatory elements from EpiRegio (v1.0.0) [4], a collection of regulatory elements 396 397 and their associated genes. Genes whose expression is dependent on differentially accessible 398 regulatory elements were subjected to pathway enrichment analysis using the ReactomePA (v1.36.0) 399 [65] package for R. Subsequently, differential accessibility of regulatory elements linked to genes 400 differentially expressed in RNA-seq could be quantified for different gene sets, and displayed 401 graphically with *qqplot2* (v3.3.5) [63]. Motif enrichment analysis of differential ATAC-sequencing peaks 402 was performed using HOMER (v4.11.1) [23] by providing sequences underlying the peaks, and 403 otherwise the default parameters.

404 Data availability

The RNA-Seq and ATAC-Seq datasets have been deposited in private status at NCBI GEO with the accession number GSE199878.

407 BRG1 CUT&RUN datasets have been deposited in private status at NCBI GEO with the accession 408 number GSE201824.

409 Statistics

Unless otherwise indicated, data are given as means ± standard error of mean (SEM). Calculations were
 performed with Prism 8.0 or BiAS.10.12. The latter was also used to test for normal distribution and
 similarity of variance. In case of multiple testing, Bonferroni correction was applied. For multiple group

<u>Boos et al.</u>

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

- 413 comparisons ANOVA followed by post hoc testing was performed. Individual statistics of dependent
- samples were performed by paired t-test, of unpaired samples by unpaired t-test and if not normally
- distributed by Mann-Whitney test. P values of <0.05 was considered as significant. Unless otherwise
- 416 indicated, n indicates the number of individual experiments.

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

417 Results

418 LINC00607 is a highly endothelial-enriched lncRNA induced by hypoxia

419 A screen for the top-expressed endothelial IncRNAs in the FANTOM5 CAGE (Cap Analysis of Gene 420 Expression)-ENCODE database revealed that LINC00607 is one of the most endothelial-enriched 421 IncRNAs (Fig. 1A). Particularly high levels of LINC00607 were observed in aortic, venous, lymphatic, 422 thoracic and arterial ECs (Fig. 1B). Additionally, FANTOM5 CAGE-ENCODE cell-type expression data 423 showed *LINC00607* to be predominantly localized in the nucleus (Fig. 1A), which was confirmed by 424 RNA-fluorescence in situ hybridization (RNA-FISH) in HUVEC (Fig. 1C). RT-qPCR after reverse 425 transcription with random or oligodT oligonucleotides revealed that LINC00607 has a poly-A tail (Fig. 426 S1A).

427 Importantly, *LINC00607* expression was altered in various cardiovascular diseases. The corresponding 428 orthologue of *LINC00607* (Fig. S1B) was strongly induced in *Macaca fascicularis* samples undergoing 429 atherosclerosis regression after a high fat diet (Fig. 1D). Furthermore, *LINC00607* expression was 430 increased in response to propanolol treatment of human arteriovenous malformation explants (Fig. 431 1E).

432 We next searched for potential gene regulatory mechanisms responsible for controlling LINC00607 433 expression. An analysis of the promoter region, defined here as the FANTOM5 CAGE transcription start 434 site signal to 1000 nucleotides (nt) upstream, revealed binding motifs for multiple transcription factors. 435 In particular, ARNT (also known as Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1 Beta) and HIF1A (Hypoxia Inducible 436 Factor 1 Alpha) were identified multiple times and in close proximity to the transcription start site, 437 indicative of transcriptional regulation by hypoxia (Fig. 1F). Indeed, LINCOO607 expression was 438 significantly increased when HUVEC were cultured under hypoxic conditions (1% oxygen) (Fig. 1G). A 439 publicly available RNA-Seq dataset containing hypoxia-stimulated HUVEC [18] confirmed this finding 440 (Fig. 1H); in fact, LINCO0607 was among the top upregulated lncRNAs in this dataset (Fig. 1I). Interestingly, stimulation of HUVEC with oxLDL and DMOG, the latter of which is known to stabilize 441 442 HIF1α under both hypoxic and normoxic conditions [25], increased *LINC00607* expression (Fig. 1J, S1C). Conversely, the DNA topoisomerase and HIF-inhibitor acriflavine (ACF) [57] led to a decrease in 443 LINCO0607 expression, which was exacerbated under hypoxia (Fig. 1K-M). 444

In addition to HIF binding sites, the promoter analysis of *LINCO0607* yielded SMAD binding motifs. To
test their relevance for *LINCO0607* expression, HUVEC were stimulated with TGF-ß2 and IL-1ß to induce
endothelial to mesenchymal transition (EndMT), a process in which SMADs play a central role [32].
Indeed, EndMT strongly increased the expression of *LINCO0607* (Fig. 1N) and similar findings could be

Boos et al.

retrieved from publicly available RNA-Seq datasets [47] of HUVEC (Fig. 10) and pulmonary arterial
endothelial cells (PAEC) (Fig. 1P).

These data indicate that *LINC00607* is an endothelial-enriched lncRNA induced by transcription factors
that are central in hypoxic and EndMT signalling.

453 LINC00607 promotes sprouting, proliferation and vascularization

454 In order to study the functional relevance of LINC00607 in endothelial cells, spheroid outgrowth assays 455 were performed. In this assay, knockdown of LINC00607 with siRNA (Fig. 2A) suppressed sprouting in 456 response to VEGF-A (Fig. 2B-D). Next, a LINCO0607 knockout in HUVEC was achieved by CRISPR/Cas9-457 mediated removal of the transcriptional start site of LINCO0607 (Fig. S1D). Successful knockout was 458 confirmed on the levels of both the DNA (Fig. 2E) and RNA (Fig. 2F&G). As with siRNA-mediated 459 knockdown, knockout of LINC00607 inhibited VEGF-A-induced sprouting (Fig. 2H-J). As a second 460 functional assay, scratch wound experiments were performed to determine migratory capacity but 461 also proliferation. Also in this assay, the loss of LINCO0607 negatively affected endothelial function 462 (Fig. 2K&L). In order to study the mechanistic function of LINC00607, the RNA was overexpressed in 463 knockout cells. In the case of cis-action, i.e. local action of the RNA at the transcription site or a general 464 transcriptional importance of the gene locus, such a rescue experiment should not restore function. 465 However, transfection of LINC00607 into LINC00607 knockout cells restored a normal angiogenic 466 response to VEGF-A (Fig. 2M&N). This suggests that the RNA itself mediates the observed functional 467 effects by acting in *trans*.

468 Collectively, these data demonstrate that loss of *LINC00607* limits endothelial angiogenic capacity. As
469 *LINC00607* is not conserved to mice, its physiological importance was studied by assessing the capacity
470 of HUVEC to integrate into the vascular network of matrigels when injected in SCID-mice. Importantly,
471 in this *in vivo* assay, knockout of *LINC00607* significantly decreased the capacity of HUVEC to be
472 integrated into the murine vascular network (**Fig. 20&P**). These data demonstrate that *LINC00607* acts
473 *in trans* as a pro-angiogenic lncRNA.

474 LINC00607 maintains transcription of genes involved in VEGF-signalling

To identify how *LINCO0607* impacts on angiogenic function, gene expression was determined by RNASeq with and without LentiCRISPR-mediated knockout of *LINCO0607* in HUVEC. Deletion of *LINCO0607*markedly impacted endothelial gene expression (Fig. 3A-C, S2A-C, Table S1), with a greater tendency
to decrease rather than increase the expression of protein-coding and non-coding RNAs (Fig. S2D&E).
Due to the observed angiogenic defects, a Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) was performed for the
VEGF-signaling pathway. GSEA revealed a strong association of differentially expressed genes within

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

the VEGF-signaling pathway after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of the IncRNA (Fig. 3D). This GSEA
 result was associated with numerous VEGF-signaling genes that were mainly downregulated upon
 knockout of *LINC00607* (Fig. 3E-G).

484 LINC00607 depletion reduces the accessibility of ETS transcription factor binding sites

485 In order to determine whether the effects of LINC00607 loss of function and differential gene 486 expression were a consequence of altered chromatin accessibility, an assay for transposase-accessible 487 chromatin with sequencing (ATAC-Seq) was performed (Fig. S2F, Table S2). Comparison of ATAC-Seq 488 and RNA-Seq for the multiple VEGF-signaling genes revealed a similar effect of LINC00607 knockout on 489 chromatin accessibility of gene-linked enhancers (as annotated by EpiRegio [4]) and gene expression (Fig. 4A). This suggested that the lncRNA may directly influence the transcription of these genes by 490 491 modulating the accessibility of transcription factor binding sites. To investigate the underlying 492 mechanism of the profound changes in chromatin state and transcription, a transcription factor 493 binding analysis was performed using HOMER [23]. DNA-motif enrichment analysis showed the basic 494 region/leucine zipper motif (bZIP) to be more accessible under LINC00607 knockout (Fig. 4B). 495 Interestingly, ERG (ETS Transcription Factor ERG) and ETV2 (ETS Variant Transcription Factor 2) motifs 496 were identified as being less accessible after LINC00607 knockout (Fig. 4C). ERG and ETV2 are both 497 members of the ETS transcription factor family, recognizing the core consensus motif GGA(A/T) [62], 498 and are highly important for endothelial gene expression in particular [46]. Expression changes of a 499 transcription factor might impact on the gene expression of its target gene. To exclude that the 500 differential gene expression in response to LINC00607 loss of function was not caused through 501 differential expression of the transcription factors themselves, the expression of ETS family 502 transcription factors was determined. As determined from RNA-Seq, ERG was highly expressed in 503 normal HUVEC, whereas ETV2 expression was low. We therefore selected ERG as a candidate 504 transcription factor mediating LINC00607-dependent transcription (Fig. 4D). Even though some of the 505 ETS family members were differentially expressed in response to LINC00607 knockout, the expression 506 of ERG remained unchanged (Fig. 4E). These data indicate that LINC00607-dependent gene expression 507 is likely mediated through changes in ERG-induced gene expression, resulting from LINC00607-directed 508 changes in transcription factor binding site accessibility.

509 LINC00607 maintains endothelial-specific chromatin states through interaction with BRG1

510 The changes in chromatin accessibility and to ERG-binding sites would naturally be caused by 511 chromatin remodeling. We have previously shown that an important chromatin remodeling protein 512 interacting with IncRNAs in endothelial cells is the SWI/SNF member BRG1 [38]. Importantly, RNA 513 immunoprecipitation with antibodies against BRG1 yielded *LINC00607* as an interaction partner of

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

BRG1 (Fig. 5A). The interaction of the lncRNA with BRG1 was specific: in contrast to β -Actin mRNA, 514 515 LINC00607 was not pulled down by the non-primary antibody control IgG; RNase A treatment was able 516 to abolish the signal (Fig. 5A). LINC00607 knockout did not affect BRG1 expression (Table S1), which 517 indicates LINC00607 might influence BRG1 DNA binding activity. To test this, a lentiviral CRISPR/Cas9 518 knockout of BRG1 in HUVEC was generated (Fig. 5B&C). Cleavage Under Targets & Release Using Nuclease (CUT&RUN) sequencing, a method to determine high-resolution mapping of DNA binding 519 520 sites [58], was performed using anti-BRG1 antibodies after both non-targeting control and BRG1 521 knockout in HUVEC. BRG1 binding sites were located near the transcription start sites of many genes 522 and, upon BRG1 knockout, these sites were lost confirming the specificity of BRG1 binding (Fig. 5D). 523 To reveal the role of *LINC00607* for BRG1 binding, differentially expressed genes identified by RNA-Seq 524 were overlapped with differential ATAC-Seq peaks having proximity to the transcriptional start site and 525 with genes BRG1 binding sites were identified by CUT&RUN. Surprisingly, there was a strong overlap 526 between LINCO0607 differentially regulated genes and BRG1 target genes (Fig. 5E). BRG1-associated 527 genes exhibited a stronger and more significant decrease in expression after LINCO0607 knockout 528 compared to non-BRG1-associated genes (Fig. 5F&G). Since the motif for the ERG transcription factor 529 was strongly enriched in genes downregulated after LINC00607 knockout, the described gene sets 530 were further overlapped with a publicly available ERG Chromatin immunoprecipitation-Seq (ChIP-Seq) 531 [29] from HUVEC. Importantly, almost all (1372 out of 1445) of the differentially accessible genes after 532 LINC00607 knockout overlapping with BRG1 CUT&RUN binding sites were shared with genes ERG binds 533 close to (Fig. 5E).

534 To inspect these global associations in more detail, we checked a handful of genes highly important in 535 endothelial cells manually: VWF (von Willebrand factor), SGK1 (Serum/Glucocorticoid Regulated 536 Kinase 1), TSPAN12 (Tetraspanin 12) and KDR (Kinase Insert Domain Receptor) (Fig 5H). SGK1, TSPAN12 537 and VWF were among the strongest differentially expressed genes after LINC00607 knockout (Fig. 3A), 538 and KDR represents a gene involved in the VEGF signaling pathway, which we described in this study 539 to be strongly affected by LINC00607 perturbation. Importantly, all these genes contained a BRG1 and 540 ERG signature at their transcriptional start site (Fig 5H) which indicates that many LINC00607-541 dependent genes are also BRG1 and ERG target genes. LINC00607 is required for the stable expression 542 of these genes.

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

543 Discussion

544 In the present study, we identified LINC00607 to be specifically expressed in EC and to be important 545 for vascular sprouting and regeneration. Although already constitutively highly expressed in EC, LINC00607 itself was upregulated by hypoxia and EndMT. Through RNA- and ATAC-Seq we identified 546 547 LINC00607 as a IncRNA important for central pathways of endothelial cells, in particular for VEGF 548 signaling. After LentiCRISPR-mediated knockout of LINC00607, endothelial cells exhibited an impaired 549 response to VEGF-A in respect to vascular sprouting and a reduced ability to integrate into the vascular 550 network of SCID mice. Mechanistically, the trans-acting IncRNA interacts with the chromatin 551 remodeling protein BRG1 in order to maintain chromatin states for ERG-dependent transcription. Thereby LINCOD607 preserves endothelial gene expression patterns, which are essential for 552 553 angiogenesis.

In terms of transcriptional control, IncRNAs can either act in *cis* at nearby genes, or in *trans* genome wide [54]. Overexpression of *LINC00607* restored endothelial function after *LINC00607* knockout, demonstrating that *LINC00607* acts *in trans* rather than *in cis*, because the effect of locus-disruption by CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing was overruled by the plasmid-based overexpression of *LINC00607*.

558 Importantly, LINC00607 interacts with the chromatin remodeling protein BRG1. Several IncRNAs have 559 been linked to BRG1, influencing its activity in the cardiovascular system and other tissues. For 560 example, EVF2 has been shown to inhibit the ATPase activity of BRG1 [10]. Additionally, IncRNAs can 561 stabilize or destabilize BRG1 interaction with other proteins, as in the case of MALAT1 promoting BRG1 562 interaction with HDAC9 [43]. IncRNAs can also affect BRG1 gene targeting. For example, we have 563 previously shown that the IncRNA MANTIS guides BRG1 to specific genes related to endothelial lineage 564 specification [38]. Our present results suggest that numerous target genes of LINCOO607, BRG1 and 565 ERG overlap arguing that the LINC00607 could potentially facilitate BRG1 binding to genes linked to 566 the endothelial phenotype. Recent studies highlight the importance of constant SWI/SNF remodeling 567 to maintain a stable open chromatin state [24, 56]. Our present observations suggest that LINC00607 568 provides a specific link for ERG securing BRG1 binding to genes maintaining the endothelial phenotype. 569 This specific context would explain why *LINC00607* is so highly expressed in endothelial cells.

570 Indeed, we uncovered a large overlap between genes with altered chromatin state and differential 571 expression after *LINCO0607* knockout, and genes with binding sites for the ERG transcription factor. 572 ERG belongs to the ETS transcription factor family, which act as key regulators of the majority of 573 endothelial genes, as the ETS recognition motif can be found in promotors of many endothelial genes 574 [61]. This shows the importance of *LINCO0607* for the expression control of ERG-regulated genes 575 through its interaction with BRG1. In this context, it is interesting to note that SWI/SNF is required to

Boos et al.

576 maintain open chromatin [24, 56]. BRG1, being the core member of SWI/SNF, could have a central role 577 in the proposed mechanism of transcriptional control. Our findings advocate for *LINC00607* as one link 578 between BRG1-mediated stabilization of chromatin states and ERG target gene expression in healthy 579 endothelium.

The endothelial expression of LINC00607 was increased by hypoxia, EndMT, and endothelial 580 581 dysfunction as induced by TNF α and high glucose [11]. Under these stimuli, the upregulation of 582 LINC00607 matches the transcription factor binding motifs identified in the promotor analysis. Hypoxia 583 signalling through VEGF is an important trigger for angiogenic specification of endothelial cells [52] as 584 well as a key mechanism contributing to chronic and acute cardiovascular diseases [37]. Through the 585 upregulation of LINC00607 under hypoxic conditions, the pro-angiogenic endothelial phenotype could 586 potentially be secured by tightening the interaction of LINCOO607 with BRG1. Specifically to HIF1controlled LINC00607 expression, we found that DMOG-dependent upregulation and acriflavine-587 588 mediated HIF inhibition altered the expression of the IncRNA. This in particular illustrates the close 589 interaction of hypoxia-signaling, angiogenesis and LINC00607.

590 The fact that EndMT induction by TGF- β 2 and IL-1 β also increased the expression of *LINC00607* points 591 towards a role for *LINC00607* in expression control beyond the endothelial phenotype. Potentially 592 LINC00607 guides BRG1 to genes involved in EndMT. In line with this, LINC00607 is also expressed in 593 certain malignant cells. In this context, LINC00607 was upregulated in doxorubicin-resistant thyroid 594 cancer cells [41]. Furthermore, LINC00607 was described to be required for tumor proliferation of 595 osteosarcoma cells [68] and was downregulated in lung adenocarcinoma [67]. Linking these findings 596 to the present study, it could be speculated that under basal conditions, LINCO0607 guides BRG1 to 597 ERG target genes and during endothelial dysfunction to pro-angiogenic genes to maintain an open and 598 accessible chromatin state for ERG.

Taken together, these findings suggest that *LINC00607* is involved in securing endothelial BRG1 and ERG-dependent target gene expression, as well as appropriate responses to stress and cardiovascular diseases. The function of *LINC00607* in cardiovascular diseases with hypoxia signaling needs more investigation as *LINC00607* might be a potential therapeutic target or clinical marker.

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

603 Funding

This work was supported by the Goethe University Frankfurt am Main, the DFG excellence cluster Cardiopulmonary Institute (CPI) EXS2026 and the DFG Transregio TRR267 (TP A04, TP A06, TP B04, TP Z03). The project was also supported by the BHF/DHF/DZHK grant "Exploiting endothelial long noncoding RNAs to promote regenerative angiogenesis in the damaged myocardium" (ReGenLnc) as well as the Dr. Rolf Schwiete-Stiftung. FJM is supported in part by Merit Review Award #BX001729 from the United States Department of Veterans Affairs, Biomedical Laboratory Research and Development Service.

611 Acknowledgments

- 612 We thank Katalin Pálfi for excellent technical assistance and Dominik Fuhrmann for help with the
- 613 hypoxia chamber.

614 Author contributions

- 615 FB, JAO, TW, SK, FJM, RPB and MSL designed the experiments. FB, JAO, TW, SG, JIP, GB, TL, SS, SH, SK,
- 616 FJM and MSL performed the experiments. FB, JAO, TW, SG, GB and MSL analyzed the data. FB, TW, SG
- and MHS performed bioinformatics. AHB and RAB helped with research design and advice. FB, RBP and
- 618 MSL wrote the manuscript. All authors interpreted the data and approved the manuscript.

619 Competing interests statement

620 The authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

Boos et al.

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

621 References (2019) UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. Nucleic Acids Res 47:D506-D515. 622 1. 623 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1049 624 Allhoff M, Seré K, F Pires J, Zenke M, G Costa I (2016) Differential peak calling of ChIP-seq signals 2. 625 with replicates with THOR. Nucleic Acids Res 44:e153. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw680 Andrews S. (2010) FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data 626 3. 627 Baumgarten N, Hecker D, Karunanithi S, Schmidt F, List M, Schulz MH (2020) EpiRegio: analysis 4. 628 and retrieval of regulatory elements linked to genes. Nucleic Acids Res 48:W193-W199. 629 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa382 630 5. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170 631 632 6. Broad Institute (2019) Picard Toolkit Buenrostro JD, Wu B, Chang HY, Greenleaf WJ (2015) ATAC-seq: A Method for Assaying 633 7. 634 Chromatin Accessibility Genome-Wide. Curr Protoc Mol Biol 109:21.29.1-21.29.9. 635 https://doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.mb2129s109 636 8. Bultman S, Gebuhr T, Della Yee, La Mantia C, Nicholson J, Gilliam A, Randazzo F, Metzger D, Chambon P, Crabtree G, Magnuson T (2000) A Brg1 Null Mutation in the Mouse Reveals 637 638 Functional Differences among Mammalian SWI/SNF Complexes. Mol Cell 6:1287–1295. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(00)00127-1 639 640 9. Cairns BR (2007) Chromatin remodeling: insights and intrigue from single-molecule studies. Nat Struct Mol Biol 14:989–996. https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb1333 641 642 10. Cajigas I, Leib DE, Cochrane J, Luo H, Swyter KR, Chen S, Clark BS, Thompson J, Yates JR, Kingston 643 RE, Kohtz JD (2015) Evf2 IncRNA/BRG1/DLX1 interactions reveal RNA-dependent inhibition of chromatin remodeling. Development 142:2641–2652. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.126318 644 645 11. Calandrelli R, Xu L, Luo Y, Wu W, Fan X, Nguyen T, Chen C-J, Sriram K, Tang X, Burns AB, Natarajan R, Chen ZB, Zhong S (2020) Stress-induced RNA-chromatin interactions promote 646 endothelial dysfunction. Nat Commun 11:5211. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18957-w 647 12. Deanfield JE, Halcox JP, Rabelink TJ (2007) Endothelial function and dysfunction: testing and 648 649 clinical relevance. Circulation 115:1285-1295. 650 https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.652859 651 13. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29:15–21. 652 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635 653

<u>Boos et al.</u>

654	14.	Ertürk A, Becker K, Jährling N, Mauch CP, Hojer CD, Egen JG, Hellal F, Bradke F, Sheng M, Dodt H-
655		U (2012) Three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs using 3DISCO. Nat Protoc
656		7:1983–1995. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.119
657	15.	Felix Krueger, Frankie James, Phil Ewels, Ebrahim Afyounian, Benjamin Schuster-Boeckler (2021)
658		FelixKrueger/TrimGalore: v0.6.7 - DOI via Zenodo. Zenodo
659	16.	Feng J, Liu T, Qin B, Zhang Y, Liu XS (2012) Identifying ChIP-seq enrichment using MACS. Nat
660		Protoc 7:1728–1740. https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2012.101
661	17.	Ferrara N, Mass RD, Campa C, Kim R (2007) Targeting VEGF-A to treat cancer and age-related
662		macular degeneration. Annu Rev Med 58:491–504.
663		https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.med.58.061705.145635
664	18.	Fiedler J, Breckwoldt K, Remmele CW, Hartmann D, Dittrich M, Pfanne A, Just A, Xiao K, Kunz M,
665		Müller T, Hansen A, Geffers R, Dandekar T, Eschenhagen T, Thum T (2015) Development of Long
666		Noncoding RNA-Based Strategies to Modulate Tissue Vascularization. J Am Coll Cardiol 66:2005-
667		2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2015.07.081
668	19.	Forrest ARR, Kawaji H, Rehli M, Baillie JK, Hoon MJL de, Haberle V, Lassmann T, Kulakovskiy IV,
669		Lizio M, Itoh M, Andersson R, Mungall CJ, Meehan TF, Schmeier S, Bertin N, Jørgensen M,
670		Dimont E, Arner E, Schmidl C, Schaefer U, Medvedeva YA, Plessy C, Vitezic M, Severin J, Semple
671		CA, Ishizu Y, Young RS, Francescatto M, Alam I, Albanese D, Altschuler GM, Arakawa T, Archer
672		JAC, Arner P, Babina M, Rennie S, Balwierz PJ, Beckhouse AG, Pradhan-Bhatt S, Blake JA,
673		Blumenthal A, Bodega B, Bonetti A, Briggs J, Brombacher F, Burroughs AM, Califano A,
674		Cannistraci CV, Carbajo D, Chen Y, Chierici M, Ciani Y, Clevers HC, Dalla E, Davis CA, Detmar M,
675		Diehl AD, Dohi T, Drabløs F, Edge ASB, Edinger M, Ekwall K, Endoh M, Enomoto H, Fagiolini M,
676		Fairbairn L, Fang H, Farach-Carson MC, Faulkner GJ, Favorov AV, Fisher ME, Frith MC, Fujita R,
677		Fukuda S, Furlanello C, Furino M, Furusawa J-I, Geijtenbeek TB, Gibson AP, Gingeras T,
678		Goldowitz D, Gough J, Guhl S, Guler R, Gustincich S, Ha TJ, Hamaguchi M, Hara M, Harbers M,
679		Harshbarger J, Hasegawa A, Hasegawa Y, Hashimoto T, Herlyn M, Hitchens KJ, Ho Sui SJ,
680		Hofmann OM, Hoof I, Hori F, Huminiecki L, Iida K, Ikawa T, Jankovic BR, Jia H, Joshi A, Jurman G,
681		Kaczkowski B, Kai C, Kaida K, Kaiho A, Kajiyama K, Kanamori-Katayama M, Kasianov AS,
682		Kasukawa T, Katayama S, Kato S, Kawaguchi S, Kawamoto H, Kawamura YI, Kawashima T,
683		Kempfle JS, Kenna TJ, Kere J, Khachigian LM, Kitamura T, Klinken SP, Knox AJ, Kojima M, Kojima
684		S, Kondo N, Koseki H, Koyasu S, Krampitz S, Kubosaki A, Kwon AT, Laros JFJ, Lee W, Lennartsson
685		A, Li K, Lilje B, Lipovich L, Mackay-Sim A, Manabe R-I, Mar JC, Marchand B, Mathelier A, Mejhert
686		N, Meynert A, Mizuno Y, Lima Morais DA de, Morikawa H, Morimoto M, Moro K, Motakis E,
687		Motohashi H, Mummery CL, Murata M, Nagao-Sato S, Nakachi Y, Nakahara F, Nakamura T,
688		Nakamura Y, Nakazato K, van Nimwegen E, Ninomiya N, Nishiyori H, Noma S, Noazaki T,

<u>Boos et al.</u>

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

689		Ogishima S, Ohkura N, Ohimiya H, Ohno H, Ohshima M, Okada-Hatakeyama M, Okazaki Y,
690		Orlando V, Ovchinnikov DA, Pain A, Passier R, Patrikakis M, Persson H, Piazza S, Prendergast
691		JGD, Rackham OJL, Ramilowski JA, Rashid M, Ravasi T, Rizzu P, Roncador M, Roy S, Rye MB,
692		Saijyo E, Sajantila A, Saka A, Sakaguchi S, Sakai M, Sato H, Savvi S, Saxena A, Schneider C,
693		Schultes EA, Schulze-Tanzil GG, Schwegmann A, Sengstag T, Sheng G, Shimoji H, Shimoni Y, Shin
694		JW, Simon C, Sugiyama D, Sugiyama T, Suzuki M, Suzuki N, Swoboda RK, Hoen PAC 't, Tagami M,
695		Takahashi N, Takai J, Tanaka H, Tatsukawa H, Tatum Z, Thompson M, Toyodo H, Toyoda T, Valen
696		E, van de Wetering M, van den Berg LM, Verado R, Vijayan D, Vorontsov IE, Wasserman WW,
697		Watanabe S, Wells CA, Winteringham LN, Wolvetang E, Wood EJ, Yamaguchi Y, Yamamoto M,
698		Yoneda M, Yonekura Y, Yoshida S, Zabierowski SE, Zhang PG, Zhao X, Zucchelli S, Summers KM,
699		Suzuki H, Daub CO, Kawai J, Heutink P, Hide W, Freeman TC, Lenhard B, Bajic VB, Taylor MS,
700		Makeev VJ, Sandelin A, Hume DA, Carninci P, Hayashizaki Y (2014) A promoter-level mammalian
701		expression atlas. Nature 507:462–470. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13182
702	20.	Haeussler M, Schönig K, Eckert H, Eschstruth A, Mianné J, Renaud J-B, Schneider-Maunoury S,
703		Shkumatava A, Teboul L, Kent J, Joly J-S, Concordet J-P (2016) Evaluation of off-target and on-
704		target scoring algorithms and integration into the guide RNA selection tool CRISPOR. Genome
705		Biol 17:148. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-016-1012-2
706	21.	Han P, Li W, Lin C-H, Yang J, Shang C, Nuernberg ST, Jin KK, Xu W, Lin C-Y, Lin C-J, Xiong Y, Chien
707		H, Zhou B, Ashley E, Bernstein D, Chen P-S, Chen H-SV, Quertermous T, Chang C-P (2014) A long
708		noncoding RNA protects the heart from pathological hypertrophy. Nature 514:102–106.
709		https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13596
710	22.	Hathaway CA, Heistad DD, Piegors DJ, Miller FJ (2002) Regression of atherosclerosis in monkeys
711		reduces vascular superoxide levels. Circ Res 90:277–283.
712		https://doi.org/10.1161/hh0302.104724
713	23.	Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, Cheng JX, Murre C, Singh H, Glass CK
714		(2010) Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-regulatory
715		elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell 38:576–589.
716		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2010.05.004
717	24.	lurlaro M, Stadler MB, Masoni F, Jagani Z, Galli GG, Schübeler D (2021) Mammalian SWI/SNF
718		continuously restores local accessibility to chromatin. Nat Genet 53:279–287.
719		https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-020-00768-w
720	25.	Jaakkola P, Mole DR, Tian YM, Wilson MI, Gielbert J, Gaskell SJ, Kriegsheim A von, Hebestreit HF,
721		Mukherji M, Schofield CJ, Maxwell PH, Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ (2001) Targeting of HIF-alpha to the
722		von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O2-regulated prolyl hydroxylation. Science
723		292:468–472. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059796

<u>Boos et al.</u>

724	26.	Jégu T, Blum R, Cochrane JC, Yang L, Wang C-Y, Gilles M-E, Colognori D, Szanto A, Marr SK,
725		Kingston RE, Lee JT (2019) Xist RNA antagonizes the SWI/SNF chromatin remodeler BRG1 on the
726		inactive X chromosome. Nat Struct Mol Biol 26:96–109. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41594-018-
727		0176-8
728	27.	Johnson KE, Wilgus TA (2014) Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor and Angiogenesis in the
729		Regulation of Cutaneous Wound Repair. Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle) 3:647–661.
730		https://doi.org/10.1089/wound.2013.0517
731	28.	Kadam S, Emerson BM (2003) Transcriptional Specificity of Human SWI/SNF BRG1 and BRM
732		Chromatin Remodeling Complexes. Mol Cell 11:377–389. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-
733		2765(03)00034-0
734	29.	Kalna V, Yang Y, Peghaire CR, Frudd K, Hannah R, Shah AV, Osuna Almagro L, Boyle JJ, Göttgens
735		B, Ferrer J, Randi AM, Birdsey GM (2019) The Transcription Factor ERG Regulates Super-
736		Enhancers Associated With an Endothelial-Specific Gene Expression Program. Circ Res
737		124:1337–1349. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.118.313788
738	30.	Kent WJ, Zweig AS, Barber G, Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D (2010) BigWig and BigBed: enabling
739		browsing of large distributed datasets. Bioinformatics 26:2204–2207.
740		https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq351
741	31.	Korff T, Augustin HG (1998) Integration of endothelial cells in multicellular spheroids prevents
742		apoptosis and induces differentiation. J Cell Biol 143:1341–1352.
743		https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.143.5.1341
744	32.	Kovacic JC, Dimmeler S, Harvey RP, Finkel T, Aikawa E, Krenning G, Baker AH (2019) Endothelial
745		to Mesenchymal Transition in Cardiovascular Disease: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J Am Coll
746		Cardiol 73:190–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.09.089
747	33.	Kulakovskiy IV, Vorontsov IE, Yevshin IS, Sharipov RN, Fedorova AD, Rumynskiy EI, Medvedeva
748		YA, Magana-Mora A, Bajic VB, Papatsenko DA, Kolpakov FA, Makeev VJ (2018) HOCOMOCO:
749		towards a complete collection of transcription factor binding models for human and mouse via
750		large-scale ChIP-Seq analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 46:D252-D259.
751		https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx1106
752	34.	Laib AM, Bartol A, Alajati A, Korff T, Weber H, Augustin HG (2009) Spheroid-based human
753		endothelial cell microvessel formation in vivo. Nat Protoc 4:1202–1215.
754		https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.96
755	35.	Langmead B, Salzberg SL (2012) Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods 9:357–
756		359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

Boos et al.

757	36.	Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL (2009) Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of
758		short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol 10:R25. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-
759		2009-10-3-r25
760	37.	Lee JW, Ko J, Ju C, Eltzschig HK (2019) Hypoxia signaling in human diseases and therapeutic
761		targets. Exp Mol Med 51:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-019-0235-1
762	38.	Leisegang MS, Fork C, Josipovic I, Richter FM, Preussner J, Hu J, Miller MJ, Epah J, Hofmann P,
763		Günther S, Moll F, Valasarajan C, Heidler J, Ponomareva Y, Freiman TM, Maegdefessel L, Plate
764		KH, Mittelbronn M, Uchida S, Künne C, Stellos K, Schermuly RT, Weissmann N, Devraj K, Wittig I,
765		Boon RA, Dimmeler S, Pullamsetti SS, Looso M, Miller FJ, Brandes RP (2017) Long Noncoding
766		RNA MANTIS Facilitates Endothelial Angiogenic Function. Circulation 136:65–79.
767		https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026991
768	39.	Li B, Carey M, Workman JL (2007) The role of chromatin during transcription. Cell 128:707–719.
769		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.01.015
770	40.	Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R (2009)
771		The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.
772		https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
773	41.	Li L, Gao Z, Zhao L, Ren P, Shen H (2021) Long non-coding RNA LINC00607 silencing exerts
774		antioncogenic effects on thyroid cancer through the CASP9 Promoter methylation. J Cell Mol
775		Med 25:7608–7620. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcmm.16265
776	42.	Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W (2014) featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for
777		assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics 30:923–930.
778		https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656
779	43.	Lino Cardenas CL, Kessinger CW, Cheng Y, MacDonald C, MacGillivray T, Ghoshhajra B, Huleihel
780		L, Nuri S, Yeri AS, Jaffer FA, Kaminski N, Ellinor P, Weintraub NL, Malhotra R, Isselbacher EM,
781		Lindsay ME (2018) An HDAC9-MALAT1-BRG1 complex mediates smooth muscle dysfunction in
782		thoracic aortic aneurysm. Nat Commun 9:1009. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03394-7
783	44.	Lizio M, Harshbarger J, Shimoji H, Severin J, Kasukawa T, Sahin S, Abugessaisa I, Fukuda S, Hori F,
784		Ishikawa-Kato S, Mungall CJ, Arner E, Baillie JK, Bertin N, Bono H, Hoon M de, Diehl AD, Dimont
785		E, Freeman TC, Fujieda K, Hide W, Kaliyaperumal R, Katayama T, Lassmann T, Meehan TF,
786		Nishikata K, Ono H, Rehli M, Sandelin A, Schultes EA, Hoen PAC 't, Tatum Z, Thompson M,
787		Toyoda T, Wright DW, Daub CO, Itoh M, Carninci P, Hayashizaki Y, Forrest ARR, Kawaji H (2015)
788		Gateways to the FANTOM5 promoter level mammalian expression atlas. Genome Biol 16:22.
789		https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0560-6
790	45.	Love MI, Huber W, Anders S (2014) Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for

791 RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

<u>Boos et al.</u>

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

792	46.	Meadows SM, Myers CT, Krieg PA (2011) Regulation of endothelial cell development by ETS
793		transcription factors. Semin Cell Dev Biol 22:976–984.
794		https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2011.09.009
795	47.	Monteiro JP, Bennett M, Rodor J, Caudrillier A, Ulitsky I, Baker AH (2019) Endothelial function
796		and dysfunction in the cardiovascular system: the long non-coding road. Cardiovasc Res
797		115:1692–1704. https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvz154
798	48.	Monteiro JP, Rodor J, Caudrillier A, Scanlon JP, Spiroski A-M, Dudnakova T, Pflüger-Müller B,
799		Shmakova A, Kriegsheim A von, Deng L, Taylor RS, Wilson-Kanamori JR, Chen S-H, Stewart K,
800		Thomson A, Mitić T, McClure JD, Iynikkel J, Hadoke PWF, Denby L, Bradshaw AC, Caruso P,
801		Morrell NW, Kovacic JC, Ulitsky I, Henderson NC, Caporali A, Leisegang MS, Brandes RP, Baker
802		AH (2021) MIR503HG Loss Promotes Endothelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in Vascular
803		Disease. Circ Res 128:1173–1190. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.120.318124
804	49.	Mootha VK, Lindgren CM, Eriksson K-F, Subramanian A, Sihag S, Lehar J, Puigserver P, Carlsson E,
805		Ridderstråle M, Laurila E, Houstis N, Daly MJ, Patterson N, Mesirov JP, Golub TR, Tamayo P,
806		Spiegelman B, Lander ES, Hirschhorn JN, Altshuler D, Groop LC (2003) PGC-1alpha-responsive
807		genes involved in oxidative phosphorylation are coordinately downregulated in human
808		diabetes. Nat Genet 34:267–273. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1180
809	50.	Noguchi S, Arakawa T, Fukuda S, Furuno M, Hasegawa A, Hori F, Ishikawa-Kato S, Kaida K, Kaiho
810		A, Kanamori-Katayama M, Kawashima T, Kojima M, Kubosaki A, Manabe R-I, Murata M, Nagao-
811		Sato S, Nakazato K, Ninomiya N, Nishiyori-Sueki H, Noma S, Saijyo E, Saka A, Sakai M, Simon C,
812		Suzuki N, Tagami M, Watanabe S, Yoshida S, Arner P, Axton RA, Babina M, Baillie JK, Barnett TC,
813		Beckhouse AG, Blumenthal A, Bodega B, Bonetti A, Briggs J, Brombacher F, Carlisle AJ, Clevers
814		HC, Davis CA, Detmar M, Dohi T, Edge ASB, Edinger M, Ehrlund A, Ekwall K, Endoh M, Enomoto
815		H, Eslami A, Fagiolini M, Fairbairn L, Farach-Carson MC, Faulkner GJ, Ferrai C, Fisher ME,
816		Forrester LM, Fujita R, Furusawa J-I, Geijtenbeek TB, Gingeras T, Goldowitz D, Guhl S, Guler R,
817		Gustincich S, Ha TJ, Hamaguchi M, Hara M, Hasegawa Y, Herlyn M, Heutink P, Hitchens KJ, Hume
818		DA, Ikawa T, Ishizu Y, Kai C, Kawamoto H, Kawamura YI, Kempfle JS, Kenna TJ, Kere J, Khachigian
819		LM, Kitamura T, Klein S, Klinken SP, Knox AJ, Kojima S, Koseki H, Koyasu S, Lee W, Lennartsson A,
820		Mackay-Sim A, Mejhert N, Mizuno Y, Morikawa H, Morimoto M, Moro K, Morris KJ, Motohashi
821		H, Mummery CL, Nakachi Y, Nakahara F, Nakamura T, Nakamura Y, Nozaki T, Ogishima S, Ohkura
822		N, Ohno H, Ohshima M, Okada-Hatakeyama M, Okazaki Y, Orlando V, Ovchinnikov DA, Passier R,
823		Patrikakis M, Pombo A, Pradhan-Bhatt S, Qin X-Y, Rehli M, Rizzu P, Roy S, Sajantila A, Sakaguchi
824		S, Sato H, Satoh H, Savvi S, Saxena A, Schmidl C, Schneider C, Schulze-Tanzil GG, Schwegmann A,
825		Sheng G, Shin JW, Sugiyama D, Sugiyama T, Summers KM, Takahashi N, Takai J, Tanaka H,
826		Tatsukawa H, Tomoiu A, Toyoda H, van de Wetering M, van den Berg LM, Verardo R, Vijayan D,

Boos et al. LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG 827 Wells CA, Winteringham LN, Wolvetang E, Yamaguchi Y, Yamamoto M, Yanagi-Mizuochi C, 828 Yoneda M, Yonekura Y, Zhang PG, Zucchelli S, Abugessaisa I, Arner E, Harshbarger J, Kondo A, 829 Lassmann T, Lizio M, Sahin S, Sengstag T, Severin J, Shimoji H, Suzuki M, Suzuki H, Kawai J, Kondo N, Itoh M, Daub CO, Kasukawa T, Kawaji H, Carninci P, Forrest ARR, Hayashizaki Y (2017) 830 FANTOM5 CAGE profiles of human and mouse samples. Sci Data 4:170112. 831 https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.112 832 833 51. Oo JA, Brandes RP, Leisegang MS (2022) Long non-coding RNAs: novel regulators of cellular physiology and function. Pflugers Arch 474:191–204. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00424-021-834 835 02641-z 836 52. Potente M, Mäkinen T (2017) Vascular heterogeneity and specialization in development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 18:477-494. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.36 837 838 53. Ramírez F, Ryan DP, Grüning B, Bhardwaj V, Kilpert F, Richter AS, Heyne S, Dündar F, Manke T (2016) deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data analysis. Nucleic 839 840 Acids Res 44:W160-5. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw257 841 54. Rinn JL, Chang HY (2012) Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu Rev Biochem 842 81:145–166. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-051410-092902 843 55. Sanjana NE, Shalem O, Zhang F (2014) Improved vectors and genome-wide libraries for CRISPR 844 screening. Nat Methods 11:783–784. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3047 845 56. Schick S, Grosche S, Kohl KE, Drpic D, Jaeger MG, Marella NC, Imrichova H, Lin J-MG, Hofstätter 846 G, Schuster M, Rendeiro AF, Koren A, Petronczki M, Bock C, Müller AC, Winter GE, Kubicek S 847 (2021) Acute BAF perturbation causes immediate changes in chromatin accessibility. Nat Genet 848 53:269–278. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-021-00777-3 849 57. Seredinski S, Boos F, Günther S, Oo JA, Warwick T, Izquierdo Ponce J, Lillich FF, Proschak E, 850 Knapp S, Gilsbach R, Pflüger-Müller B, Brandes RP, Leisegang MS (2022) DNA topoisomerase 851 inhibition with the HIF inhibitor acriflavine promotes transcription of lncRNAs in endothelial cells. Mol Ther Nucleic Acids 27:1023-1035. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omtn.2022.01.016 852 853 58. Skene PJ, Henikoff S (2017) An efficient targeted nuclease strategy for high-resolution mapping 854 of DNA binding sites. Elife 6. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.21856 855 59. Statello L, Guo C-J, Chen L-L, Huarte M (2021) Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs and its biological functions. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 22:96-118. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-020-856 857 00315-9 60. Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, Paulovich A, 858 Pomeroy SL, Golub TR, Lander ES, Mesirov JP (2005) Gene set enrichment analysis: a 859

- 860 knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad
- 861 Sci U S A 102:15545–15550. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0506580102

<u>Boos et al.</u>

LINCOO607, BRG1 and ERG

862	61.	Val S de, Black BL (2009) Transcriptional control of endothelial cell development. Dev Cell
863		16:180–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.01.014
864	62.	Wei G-H, Badis G, Berger MF, Kivioja T, Palin K, Enge M, Bonke M, Jolma A, Varjosalo M, Gehrke
865		AR, Yan J, Talukder S, Turunen M, Taipale M, Stunnenberg HG, Ukkonen E, Hughes TR, Bulyk ML,
866		Taipale J (2010) Genome-wide analysis of ETS-family DNA-binding in vitro and in vivo. EMBO J
867		29:2147–2160. https://doi.org/10.1038/emboj.2010.106
868	63.	Wickham H (2009) Ggplot2. Elegant graphics for data analysis. Use R! Springer, Dordrecht, New
869		York
870	64.	Yao R-W, Wang Y, Chen L-L (2019) Cellular functions of long noncoding RNAs. Nat Cell Biol
871		21:542–551. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41556-019-0311-8
872	65.	Yu G, He Q-Y (2016) ReactomePA: an R/Bioconductor package for reactome pathway analysis
873		and visualization. Mol Biosyst 12:477–479. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5MB00663E
874	66.	Zhang Y, Liu T, Meyer CA, Eeckhoute J, Johnson DS, Bernstein BE, Nusbaum C, Myers RM, Brown
875		M, Li W, Liu XS (2008) Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). Genome Biol 9:R137.
876		https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-9-r137
877	67.	Zhao B, Xu H, Ai X, Adalat Y, Tong Y, Zhang J, Yang S (2018) Expression profiles of long noncoding
878		RNAs in lung adenocarcinoma. Onco Targets Ther 11:5383–5390.
879		https://doi.org/10.2147/OTT.S167633
880	68.	Zheng Y, Chen Z, Zhou Z, Xu X, Yang H (2020) Silencing of Long Non-Coding RNA LINC00607
881		Prevents Tumor Proliferation of Osteosarcoma by Acting as a Sponge of miR-607 to
882		Downregulate E2F6. Front Oncol 10:584452. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.584452

883

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

884 Figure legends

Figure 1: *LINC00607* is an EC-enriched IncRNA upregulated during hypoxia and EndMT.

886 A, FANTOM5 CAGE-ENCODE expression of the 10 highest endothelial expressed lncRNAs across 887 different cell lines. To compare the individual IncRNA expression towards all other cell types, each cell 888 type-specific signal was divided through the mean signal observed in all cell types. **B**, FANTOM5 CAGE 889 expression of the 10 highest expressed endothelial lncRNAs across different endothelial tissues. 890 Calculation was performed as in A, but here the signals for cell tissues were used. C, RNA-FISH of 891 LINCO0607 in HUVEC. LINCO0607 is labelled with a 5'TYE-665 probe, DAPI is used to stain the nuclei. 892 Scale bar indicates 20 µm. **D**, RT-qPCR of the *LINC00607* homologue in monkey vessels originating from 893 Macaca fascicularis (Mf) treated either with a normal diet (CTL), a high fat diet (Ath) or with a high-fat 894 diet and a subsequent recovery phase (Reg). n=3. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. E, 895 RT-qPCR of LINC00607 in human arteriovenous malformations (AVM) treated with and without the β -896 blocker propanolol for 72 h. n=5, Mann-Whitney U test. F, Promoter analysis of LINC00607. A region 897 starting from the LINC00607 transcriptional start site (TSS) and 1000 base pairs (bp) upstream was 898 analyzed with MoLoTool and plotted according to p-value. G, Relative LINC00607 expression in HUVEC 899 treated with normoxia (NOX; 20% O₂) or hypoxia (HOX; 1% O₂), n=7. Paired t-test. **H**, *LINC00607* gene 900 read counts in HUVEC cultured under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, n=3. Unpaired t-test. I, Volcano 901 plot of log2 fold changes of IncRNAs expressed in hypoxia versus normoxia. J, Relative expression of 902 LINC00607 in HUVEC after stimulation with DMOG. DMSO served as control (CTL), n=9, Mann-Whitney 903 U Test. K, Relative expression of LINCOO607 in HUVEC after stimulation with acriflavine (ACF), n=7, 904 Mann-Whitney U Test. L-M, Volcano plot of log2 fold changes of IncRNAs in HUVEC treated with 905 acriflavine (ACF) cultured under normoxia (k) or hypoxia (l). N, Relative expression of LINC00607 in 906 HUVEC under basal (CTL) or Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT) conditions. n=3, Unpaired 907 t-test. O-P, Volcano plot of log2 fold changes of IncRNAs after EndoMT versus unstimulated control in 908 HUVEC (O) or pulmonary arterial endothelial cells (PAEC) (P). Error bars are defined as mean +/- SEM. 909 *p<0.05. p-adj, p-adjusted value.

Figure 2: CRISPR/Cas9 KO and siRNA-knockdown reveal that *LINC00607* is important for normal EC function.

A, RT-qPCR of *LINC00607* after siRNA-based knockdown for 48 h of *LINC00607* (607). Scrambled siRNA
 served as negative control (CTL). n=6. Paired t-test. B, Spheroid outgrowth assay after siRNA-based
 knockdown of *LINC00607* (607). Scrambled siRNA served as negative control (CTL). Cells treated with
 or without VEGF-A (16 h) are shown. C, Quantification of cumulative sprout length from spheroid
 outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2B, n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. D,
 Quantification of the ratio of cumulative outgrowth length and respective spheroid diameter from the

Boos et al.

LINCO0607, BRG1 and ERG

918 spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2B; n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. 919 E, PCR of Genomic DNA after lentiviral CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout (KO) of LINC00607. Three 920 different batches (1-3) of HUVEC are shown. Non-targeting control gRNAs (NTC) served as negative 921 control. GAPDH served as housekeeping gene. F, RT-qPCR of LINC00607 after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated 922 knockout (KO) and control (NTC), n=3. Paired t-test. G, IGV genome tracks of RNA-Seq of the LINC00607 locus in HUVEC with or without CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of LINC00607 (KO) and control (NTC). 923 924 H, Spheroid outgrowth assay with LINC00607 knockout (KO) and control (CTL) in HUVEC. NTC served 925 as negative control. Cells treated with or without VEGF-A are shown. I, Quantification of cumulative 926 sprout length from spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2H, n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with 927 Bonferroni post hoc test. J. Quantification of the ratio of cumulative outgrowth length and respective 928 spheroid diameter from spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2H; n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with 929 Bonferroni post hoc test. K, Scratch wound assay of LINCO0607 KO and NTC control cells (CTL). 930 Representative images after 0 h and 4 h after scratch (blue line) are shown. L, Quantification of relative 931 wound closure in LINC00607 KO and control (NTC) in HUVEC. n=3, area under the curve (AUC) p<0.0001 932 (two-tailed t-test). M, Spheroid outgrowth assay of HUVEC after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated LINC00607 KO 933 or non target control (NTC). Cells treated under VEGF-A conditions for 16 h with/ without LINC00607 934 overexpression (OE) are shown. Empty vector transfection served as control (CTL). N, Quantification 935 of the ratio of cumulative outgrowth length and respective spheroid diameter from spheroid 936 outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2M. n=26-29, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. O, 937 LINC00607 KO and control cells (NTC) after in vivo matrigel plug assay in SCID mice. HUVEC were 938 embedded in matrigel, stained with Vybrant dil (red) and injected. Isolectin GS-b4 Alexa 647 939 conjugated stained vessels (green). Images were taken by light sheet microscopy 21 d after injection. 940 Scale bar indicates 100 µm. Representative pictures are shown. P, Quantification of cells per plug 941 integrated into the newly formed vascular network shown in 2M. n=5-6. Mann Whitney U test. Error 942 bars are defined as mean +/- SEM. *p<0.05.

943 Figure 3: RNA- and ATAC-Seq reveal that *LINC00607* maintains endothelial gene expression.

944 A, Heatmap of the top 50 differentially expressed genes as determined by RNA-Seq with (KO) or without (NTC) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of LINC00607. Three different batches of HUVEC are 945 946 shown. Genes shown have a padj<0.05, and a log2 Fold Change greater than ±0.585. Z-score represents 947 up- (red, positive value) or down-regulated (blue, negative values) genes. **B**, Volcano plot of RNA-Seq showing the log2 fold changes (KO vs. NTC) of all genes expressed against their p-adjusted value (p-948 949 adj). C, Numbers of genes from different gene classes significantly altered by LINC00607 KO vs. NTC 950 HUVEC determined by RNA-Seq. D, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of significantly altered genes showing an enrichment score and signal to noise ratio for the Gene Ontology biological process (GOBP) 951 952 Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Signaling Pathway. E, Heat map of VEGF-signaling pathway genes

Boos et al.

and their expression differences after RNA-Seq. Z-score represents up- (red, positive value) or downregulated (blue, negative values) genes. F, Examples of significantly downregulated genes after *LINC00607* knockout. IGV genome tracks of the *FLT1* and *FLT4* locus. Shown are RNA-Seq reads in *LINC00607* knockout (KO, red) and control (NTC, blue). Tracks of three replicates are overlaid. G,
Examples of significantly upregulated genes after *LINC00607* knockout. IGV genome tracks of the *VEGFC* and *SPRY2* locus. Shown are RNA-Seq reads in *LINC00607* knockout (KO, red) and control (NTC,
blue). Tracks of three replicates are overlaid. Genomic coordinates correspond to hg38.

960 Figure 4: ERG drives *LINC00607*-associated gene expression.

A, Overlap of ATAC-Seq (enhancer accessibility) and RNA-Seq (gene expression) signals after knockout
 of *LINC00607* in HUVEC. Indicated are genes involved in the VEGF-signaling pathway. B-C, HOMER DNA motif enrichment analysis of differential accessible peaks (*LINC00607* KO vs. NTC). Five most highly
 increased-enriched (B) or decreased-enriched (C) transcription factor motifs are shown. D, Gene read
 counts of different transcription factors in NTC-treated HUVEC (from RNA-Seq), n=3. E, Mean log2 fold
 change (FC) of different transcription factors in the RNA-Seq comparing *LINC00607* KO and NTC
 control.

968 Figure 5: *LINC00607* functions through interaction with the chromatin remodeler BRG1.

969 A, RNA-immunoprecipitation with antibodies against BRG1 with and without RNase A digestion, 970 followed by RT-qPCR of *LINCO0607* and β -Actin. IgG served as a non-primary antibody control. n=5. 971 One-Way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. B, Western analysis with antibodies against BRG1 and 972 β-Actin of control (NTC) or BRG1 knockout HUVEC. C, RT-qPCR of BRG1 after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated 973 knockout, n=3. Paired t-test. D, Chromatin accessibility heat map of differential peaks from BRG1 974 CUT&RUN of control (NTC) and BRG1 knockout (KO) HUVEC. Binding regions center-aligned to the 975 transcription start sites (TSS) +/- 0.5 kb are shown. E, Venn diagram showing the overlap of genes 976 located near a differential ATAC-Seq peak of LINC00607 knockout, genes near a BRG1 CUT&RUN peak 977 and genes near ERG ChIP-Seq peak. F, Median log2 fold change (FC) of differentially expressed genes from LINC00607 knockout that are located near a differential ATAC-Seq peak of LINC00607 knockout 978 979 and also found near a BRG1 CUT&RUN peak (BRG1) or not (Non). G, Median p-adjusted value of 980 differentially expressed genes from LINC00607 knockout that are located near a differential ATAC-Seq 981 peak of LINCOO607 knockout and also found near a BRG1 CUT&RUN peak (BRG1) or not (Non). H, 982 Genome tracks of ATAC-Seq, RNA-Seq, BRG1 CUT&RUN and ERG ChIP-Seq. Loci of VWF, SGK1, 983 TSPAN12 and KDR are shown. ATAC-Seq and RNA-Seq of LINC00607 KO (red) and NTC (blue) are shown. 984 Tracks of replicates were overlaid. CUT&RUN with anti-BRG1 antibodies of NTC or BRG1 KO HUVEC are 985 shown in black. ChIP-Seq of ERG is shown in green.





A, FANTOM5 CAGE-ENCODE expression of the 10 highest endothelial expressed IncRNAs across different cell lines. To compare the individual IncRNA expression towards all other cell types, each cell type-specific signal was divided through the mean signal observed in all cell types. B, FANTOM5 CAGE expression of the 10 highest expressed endothelial IncRNAs across different endothelial tissues. Calculation was performed as in A, but here the signals for cell tissues were used. C, RNA-FISH of LINC00607 in HUVEC. LINC00607 is labelled with a 5'TYE-665 probe, DAPI is used to stain the nuclei. Scale bar indicates 20 µm. D, RT-qPCR of the LINC00607 homologue in monkey vessels originating from Macaca fascicularis (Mf) treated either with a normal diet (CTL), a high fat diet (Ath) or with a high-fat diet and a subsequent recovery phase (Reg). n=3. One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. E, RT-qPCR of LINC00607 in human arteriovenous malformations (AVM) treated with and without the β-blocker propanolol for 72 h. n=5, Mann-Whitney U test. F, Promoter analysis of LINC00607. A region starting from the LINC00607 transcriptional start site (TSS) and 1000 base pairs (bp) upstream was analyzed with MoLoTool and plotted according to p-value. G, Relative LINC00607 expression in HUVEC treated with normoxia (NOX; 20% O₂) or hypoxia (HOX; 1% O₂), n=7. Paired t-test. H, LINC00607 gene read counts in HUVEC cultured under normoxic and hypoxic conditions, n=3. Unpaired t-test. I, Volcano plot of log2 fold changes of IncRNAs expressed in hypoxia versus normoxia. J, Relative expression of LINC00607 in HUVEC after stimulation with DMOG. DMSO served as control (CTL), n=9, Mann-Whitney U Test. K, Relative expression of LINC00607 in HUVEC after stimulation with acriflavine (ACF), n=7, Mann-Whitney U Test. L-M, Volcano plot of log2 fold changes of IncRNAs in HUVEC treated with acriflavine (ACF) cultured under normoxia (k) or hypoxia (l). N, Relative expression of LINC00607 in HUVEC under basal (CTL) or Endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EndMT) conditions. n=3, Unpaired t-test. O-P. Volcano plot of log2 fold changes of IncRNAs after EndoMT versus unstimulated control in HUVEC (O) or pulmonary arterial endothelial cells (PAEC) (P). Error bars are defined as mean +/- SEM. *p<0.05. p-adj, p-adjusted value.



Figure 2: CRISPR/Cas9 KO and siRNA-knockdown reveal that LINC00607 is important for normal EC function.

A, RT-qPCR of LINC00607 after siRNA-based knockdown for 48 h of LINC00607 (607). Scrambled siRNA served as negative control (CTL). n=6. Paired t-test. B, Spheroid outgrowth assay after siRNA-based knockdown of LINC00607 (607). Scrambled siRNA served as negative control (CTL). Cells treated with or without VEGF-A (16 h) are shown. C, Quantification of cumulative sprout length from spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2B, n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. D, Quantification of the ratio of cumulative outgrowth length and respective spheroid diameter from the spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2B; n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. E, PCR of Genomic DNA after lentiviral CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout (KO) of LINC00607. Three different batches (1-3) of HUVEC are shown. Non-targeting control gRNAs (NTC) served as negative control. GAPDH served as housekeeping gene. F, RT-qPCR of LINC00607 after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout (KO) and control (NTC), n=3. Paired t-test. G, IGV genome tracks of RNA-Seq of the LINC00607 locus in HUVEC with or without CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of LINC00607 (KO) and control (NTC). H, Spheroid outgrowth assay with LINC00607 knockout (KO) and control (CTL) in HUVEC. NTC served as negative control. Cells treated with or without VEGF-A are shown. I, Quantification of cumulative sprout length from spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2H, n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. J, Quantification of the ratio of cumulative outgrowth length and respective spheroid diameter from spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2H; n=28-30, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. K, Scratch wound assay of LINC00607 KO and NTC control cells (CTL). Representative images after 0 h and 4 h after scratch (blue line) are shown. L, Quantification of relative wound closure in LINC00607 KO and control (NTC) in HUVEC. n=3, area under the curve (AUC) p<0.0001 (two-tailed t-test). M, Spheroid outgrowth assay of HUVEC after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated LINC00607 KO or non target control (NTC). Cells treated under VEGF-A conditions for 16 h with/ without LINC00607 overexpression (OE) are shown. Empty vector transfection served as control (CTL). N, Quantification of the ratio of cumulative outgrowth length and respective spheroid diameter from spheroid outgrowth assay shown in Fig. 2M. n=26-29, One-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. O, LINC00607 KO and control cells (NTC) after in vivo matrigel plug assay in SCID mice. HUVEC were embedded in matrigel, stained with Vybrant dil (red) and injected. Isolectin GS-b4 Alexa 647 conjugated stained vessels (green). Images were taken by light sheet microscopy 21 d after injection. Scale bar indicates 100 µm. Representative pictures are shown. P, Quantification of cells per plug integrated into the newly formed vascular network shown in 2M. n=4-6. Mann Whitney U test. Error bars are defined as mean +/- SEM. *p<0.05.



Figure 3: RNA- and ATAC-Seq reveal that LINC00607 maintains endothelial gene expression.

A, Heatmap of the top 50 differentially expressed genes as determined by RNA-Seq with (KO) or without (NTC) CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout of *LINC00607*. Three different batches of HUVEC are shown. Genes shown have a padj<0.05, and a log2 Fold Change greater than ±0.585. Z-score represents up- (red, positive value) or down-regulated (blue, negative values) genes. **B**, Volcano plot of RNA-Seq showing the log2 fold changes (KO vs. NTC) of all genes expressed against their p-adjusted value (p-adj). **C**, Numbers of genes from different gene classes significantly altered by *LINC00607* KO vs. NTC HUVEC determined by RNA-Seq. **D**, Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of significantly altered genes showing an enrichment score and signal to noise ratio for the Gene Ontology biological process (GOBP) Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Signaling Pathway. **E**, Heat map of VEGF-signaling pathway genes and their expression differences after RNA-Seq. Z-score represents up- (red, positive value) or down-regulated (blue, negative values) genes. **F**, Examples of significantly downregulated genes after *LINC00607* knockout. IGV genome tracks of the *FLT1* and *FLT4* locus. Shown are RNA-Seq reads in *LINC00607* knockout. (KO, red) and control (NTC, blue). Tracks of three replicates are overlaid. **G**, Examples of significantly upregulated genes after *LINC00607* knockout. IGV genome tracks of the *VEGFC* and *SPRY2* locus. Shown are RNA-Seq reads in *LINC00607* knockout. IGV genome tracks of there replicates are overlaid. Genomic coordinates correspond to hg38.



Figure 4: ERG drives LINC00607-associated gene expression.

A, Overlap of ATAC-Seq (enhancer accessibility) and RNA-Seq (gene expression) signals after knockout of *LINC00607* in HUVEC. Indicated are genes involved in the VEGF-signaling pathway. **B-C**, HOMER DNA-motif enrichment analysis of differential accessible peaks (*LINC00607* KO vs. NTC). Five most highly increased-enriched (B) or decreased-enriched (C) transcription factor motifs are shown. **D**, Gene read counts of different transcription factors in NTC-treated HUVEC (from RNA-Seq), n=3. **E**, Mean log2 fold change (FC) of different transcription factors in the RNA-Seq comparing *LINC00607* KO and NTC control.



Figure 5: LINC00607 functions through interaction with the chromatin remodeler BRG1.

A, RNA-immunoprecipitation with antibodies against BRG1 with and without RNase A digestion, followed by RT-qPCR of *LINC00607* and β-Actin. IgG served as a non-primary antibody control. n=5. One-Way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. **B**, Western analysis with antibodies against BRG1 and β-Actin of control (NTC) or *BRG1* knockout HUVEC. **C**, RT-qPCR of *BRG1* after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout, n=3. Paired t-test. **D**, Chromatin accessibility heat map of differential peaks from BRG1 CUT&RUN of control (NTC) and *BRG1* knockout (KO) HUVEC. Binding regions center-aligned to the transcription start sites (TSS) +/- 0.5 kb are shown. **E**, Venn diagram showing the overlap of genes located near a differential ATAC-Seq peak of *LINC00607* knockout, genes near a BRG1 CUT&RUN peak and genes near ERG ChIP-Seq peak. **F**, Median log2 fold change (FC) of differentially expressed genes from *LINC00607* knockout that are located near a differential ATAC-Seq peak of *LINC00607* knockout and also found near a BRG1 CUT&RUN peak (BRG1) or not (Non). **G**, Median p-adjusted value of differentially expressed genes from *LINC00607* knockout and also found near a BRG1 CUT&RUN peak (BRG1) or not (Non). **H**, Genome tracks of ATAC-Seq, RNA-Seq, BRG1 CUT&RUN and ERG ChIP-Seq. Loci of *VWF*, *SGK1*, *TSPAN12* and *KDR* are shown. ATAC-Seq and RNA-Seq of *LINC00607* KO (red) and NTC (blue) are shown. Tracks of replicates were overlaid. CUT&RUN with anti-BRG1 antibodies of NTC or *BRG1* KO HUVEC are shown in black. ChIP-Seq of ERG is shown in green.



Sup. Fig. 1:

A, Relative RNA expression of *LINC00607* in HUVEC after RT-qPCR with either only random hexamer primers (R), only Oligo(dT) primers (OdT) or the combination of both (both). n=3, Paired t-test. **B**, UCSC Genome browser view of the *LINC00607* homologue in *Macaca fascicularis*. BLAT nucleotide sequence alignments of cDNA of human versus *Macaca fascicularis* are shown in red. **C**, Relative *LINC00607* expression in HUVEC treated with oxLDL (16h, 10 µg/mL). n=3, Paired t-test. **D**, Scheme of gRNAs used for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated KO of *LINC00607*. Error bars are defined as mean +/- SEM. *p<0.05.



Sup. Fig. 2:

A-C, Examples of significantly down- and up-regulated genes after *LINC00607* KO. IGV genome tracks of the *HEY1*, *ANGPTL1* and *SULT1B1* locus. Shown are genomic tracks of *LINC00607* KO (red) and NTC (blue); tracks of three replicates are overlaid. **D-E**, Percentage of genes belonging to IncRNAs, pseudogenes or protein-coding genes (D) or chromosomal distribution and percentage of genes (E) up- or downregulated (DEGs) in the RNA-Seq after *LINC00607* KO in HUVEC. Only genes with a log2 fold change of +/-0.585, a basemean expression of 5 and a p-adjusted value <0.05 are shown. Genomic coordinates correspond to hg38. **F**, Volcano plot of ATAC-Seq showing the log2 fold change (KO vs. NTC) of all peaks against their p-value.