

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0).

Research article

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:D908C915-630D-4819-B1B2-7B1283C784FA

First records of Miracinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from Borneo with description of two new species

Zhen LIU^D_{1,*} & Andrew POLASZEK^D_{2,*}

¹College of Life and Environmental Sciences, Hunan University of Arts and Science, Changde 415000, China. ^{1,2}Science: Research, Natural History Museum, London, UK.

*Corresponding authors: zhen.liu@nhm.ac.uk; a.polaszek@nhm.ac.uk

¹urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:25834852-8824-4B2C-89BE-07E78F5DEC96 ²urn:lsid:zoobank.org:author:AFDEB328-39B5-4902-A907-26603BCC99D6

Abstract. The braconid subfamily Miracinae is recorded for the first time from Borneo. Two new species are described: *Rugosimirax obsolescens* sp. nov. and *Rugosimirax bimacula* sp. nov. based on specimens in the Natural History Museum, UK. The relationships of Miracinae genera are discussed.

Keywords. Rugosimirax, Oriental region, new taxon.

Liu Z. & Polaszek A. 2024. First records of Miracinae (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from Borneo with description of two new species. *European Journal of Taxonomy* 935: 283–292. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2024.935.2563

Introduction

Miracinae Viereck, 1918 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) is a small subfamily including only 70 species worldwide (Ranjith *et al.* 2023), though reported from all geographical regions. They are known to be endoparasitoids of leaf-mining lepidopteran larvae (Maetô 1995; Memmott *et al.* 1994; Shaw & Huddleston 1991; Whitfield & Wagner 1991; Yu *et al.* 2016). They can be distinguished easily from other braconids by the presence of an inverted Y-shaped structure formed by the first three metasomal terga, distinctive reduced fore wing venation, and antennae with 14 segments (Beyarslan 2009; Farahani *et al.* 2014). Miracinae were originally included in the subfamily Microgastrinae Förster, 1862, before Mason (1981) recognised a new subfamily for this small but unique group. More species (24) are distributed in the Oriental region compared to other regions, which is mainly due to the recent works by Ranjith *et al.* (2019, 2023) and Ghramh *et al.* (2019), and the species are mainly from India. Seven species are from South China (Papp & Zhou 1996; Chen *et al.* 1997; Wu *et al.* 2000; Chen *et al.* 2001), two from Vietnam (Belokobylskij 1993) and one from Indonesia (Maetô & Thornton 1993). Before this study, no species of Miracinae had been reported from Borneo, the largest island in the Australo-Oriental region.

Currently, three miracine genera are considered valid: *Mirax* Haliday, 1833, *Centistidea* Rohwer, 1914 and *Rugosimirax* Ranjith & van Achterberg, 2023 (Ranjith *et al.* 2023). *Centistidea* in the Oriental region has often been treated as a valid genus, differing from *Mirax* by having the propodeum with a

medio-longitudinal carina, and the notauli usually shallowly impressed anteriorly (Chen *et al.* 1997; Ranjith *et al.* 2019; Ghramh *et al.* 2019); however, *Centistidea* has sometimes been treated as a junior synonym (e.g., Muesebeck 1922; Whitfield 1997) or subgenus (e.g., Papp 2013) of *Mirax*. Barcoding data of miracines from Australia weakly support the paraphyly of the traditional two genera (Slater-Baker *et al.* 2022), which makes this group even more complicated.

We found two species from Borneo that correspond morphologically to *Rugosimirax* in terms of the irregular propodeum appearance having a roughly U-shaped areola, and a tuft of setae at the ovipositor tip, though they show some differences when compared with the generic diagnosis in Ranjith *et al.* (2023). Here, we therefore propose a new concept for *Rugosimirax*, while providing a discussion on all genera of Miracinae.

Material and methods

Specimens studied are deposited in the Natural History Museum, UK (NHMUK). Descriptions and measurements were made using a stereo microscope (Zeiss® Stemi SV6). Photographs of the wasps were taken and processed using a digital camera Zeiss AxioZoom combined with Helicon software or Hirox HRX-01. The images were further processed using Adobe Photoshop® CS6. Morphological terms for body structures and measurements follow Ranjith *et al.* (2023) and Slater-Baker *et al.* (2022). The wing vein terminology follows the modified Comstock-Needham system (van Achterberg 1993). The terminology of the cuticular sculpture follows Harris (1979).

Abbreviations for morphological terms

- OOL = ocular-ocellar line
- OD = ocellar diameter
- POL = postocellar line
- $T1 = 1^{st}$ tergite of metasoma
- T2 = 2^{nd} tergite of metasoma
- T3 = 3^{rd} tergite of metasoma

Results

Taxonomy

Class Insecta Linnaeus, 1758 Order Hymenoptera Linnaeus, 1758 Family Braconidae Burmeister, 1829 Subfamily Miracinae Viereck, 1918

Genus Rugosimirax Ranjith & van Achterberg, 2023

Key to species of the genus Rugosimirax Ranjith & van Achterberg, 2023

- *R. expectata* Ranjith & van Achterberg, 2023

Rugosimirax obsolescens sp. nov.

urn: lsid: zoobank.org: act: ACCB02F7-CCCA-4BE8-9002-DC8D50AC0715

Fig. 1

Diagnosis

Body length 1.0 mm, yellow; eyes $2.1 \times as$ long as temple dorsally; POL:OD:OOL = 3.3:1.0:1.8; frons clearly concave in front of anterior ocellus, with minute punctures at sides; clypeus $2.2 \times as$ wide as medial length; 1st flagellomere nearly $1.2 \times as$ long as 2nd, ultimate flagellomeres $3.2 \times as$ long as wide; notaular courses distinct in anterior half; hind depressions on scutellum obselete; propodeum highly polished with distinct oblique carinae laterally and transverse carina forming open U-shaped areola; vein 1-R1 short; T2 particularly long, at least $1.2 \times as$ long as wide; ovipositor sheath with long and dense setae apically.

Etymology

The specific epithet '*obsolescens*' derives from Latin, referring to the obsolete posterior depressions on scutellum.

Material examined

Holotype

BRUNEI • ♀; Bukit Sulang nr. Lamunin; N.E.Stork leg.; NHMUK010639261.

Paratypes

BRUNEI • 1 \bigcirc ; same data as for holotype; NHMUK010639273 • 4 \bigcirc \bigcirc ; same data as for holotype; NHMUK010639264, NHMUK010639277, NHMUK010639279, NHMUK010639287.

INDONESIA • 1 \bigcirc ; Sulawesi, Utara, Dumoga Bone N.P.; Jul. 1985; NHMUK010639284 • 1 \Diamond ; same data as for preceding; NHMUK010639285.

Description

Female

MEASUREMENT. Body length 1.0 mm, fore wing length 1.1 mm (Fig. 1a).

HEAD. $1.7 \times as$ wide as long, $1.2 \times as$ wide as mesoscutum. Eyes $2.1 \times as$ long as temple dorsally. Temple smooth, impunctate and shiny, constricted behind eyes in dorsal view (Fig. 1b). Ocelli small, distance between fore and hind ocellus $1.7 \times as$ long as transverse diameter of hind ocellus, POL:OD:OOL = 3.3:1.0:1.8, frons clearly concave in front of anterior ocellus, with minuate punctures at sides. Face (Fig. 1c) smooth, setose and shiny nearly impunctate, convex medially, transverse, $1.3 \times as$ wide as high. Clypeus $2.2 \times as$ wide as medial length. Antenna (Fig. 1d) slightly shorter than body length ($0.9 \times$), with scape, pedicel and 1^{st} , 2^{nd} , penultimate and ultimate flagellomeres 1.9, 1.7, 4.3, 3.7, 2.9 and $3.2 \times as$ long as wide, 1^{st} nearly $1.2 \times as$ long as 2^{nd} , other flagellomeres subsquent to the 2^{nd} nearly the same size as 2^{nd} .

MESOSOMA. Length: width: height = 7.0:5.0:5.0. Mesonotum (Fig. 1e) shiny with superficial indistinct punctures, notaular courses distinct in anterior half, absent in posterior half, polished along posterior

margin. Scutellar sulcus slightly curved, narrow without distinct carina. Scutellum shiny, smooth with pubescence but narrowly glabrous medio-posteriorly, hind depressions indistinct. Metanotum with two distinct pits posterior to scutellum. Propodeum shiny, smooth with distinct oblique carinae at sides, and transverse carina forming open U-shaped areola. Mesopleuron highly polished, impunctate.

LEGS. Hind femur (Fig. 1h) $3.0 \times$ as long as its widest part. Length of hind femur:tibia:basitarsus = 1.6:2.7:1.0. Basitarsus of hind leg $0.7 \times$ as long as tarsomeres 2-5.

WINGS. Fore wing (Fig. 1g): pterostigma narrow, $3.6 \times as \log as$ its widest part, vein 1-R1 present, nearly 0.3 length of pterostigma; vein r:2-SR:2-M = 1.0:3.9:1.4, 1-SR:1-M = 1.0:2.5, 1-CU1:2-CU1 = 1.0:1.7; first discal cell of fore wing $1\frac{1}{3} \times as$ wide as high. Hind wing: vein M+CU:1-M:r-m = 2.2:3.2:1.0.

METASOMA. Nearly $1.1 \times$ as long as mesosoma. T1 (Fig. 1i) smooth, spatulate-shape, $2.6 \times$ as long as its maximum width, distinctly narrowed medially. T2 particularly long, at least $1.2 \times$ as long as wide. T3 $0.6 \times$ as long as median T2. Hypopygium distinctly shorter than apex of metasoma. Ovipositor (Fig. 1h) sheath indistinctly ($1.1 \times$) as long as hind basitarsus, with long and dense setae apically.

COLOUR. Yellow (Fig. 1a), except notaular courses and metasomal terga brown. Palpi and spurs pale yellow. 2nd to penultimate flagellomeres and apex of ovipositor sheath brown. Wing membrane hyaline, vein r, pterostigma, 1-SR, 1-M and 1-CU1 brown, other veins light brown.



Fig. 1. *Rugosimirax obsolescens* sp. nov., female, holotype (NHMUK010639261). **a**. Habitus, lateral view. **b**. Head dorsal view. **c**. Head, frontal view. **d**. Antenna. **e**. Mesosoma, dorsal view. **f**. Scutellum and propodeum, dorsal view. **g**. Wings. **h**. Ovipositor and hind leg, lateral view. **i**. T1–T3, dorsal view.

Male

Similar to female, except body length 1.1 mm, fore wing 1.2 mm, antenna slightly longer, body colour lighter, and scutellar hind depressions more obvious.

Host

Unknown.

Distribution

Borneo (Brunei); Indonesia (Sulawesi).

Remarks

This species is very small (1 mm) which is known only in a North American miracine species *Mirax minuta* Ashmead, 1893, but it differs distinctly from the latter in the following: propodeum with distinct oblique carinae and transverse carina (propodeum without striae or rugae in *M. minuta*); head yellow (head black in *M. minuta*); and 7 terminal flagellomeres about $3 \times as$ long as wide (shorter, scarcely $2 \times in M. minuta$).

Rugosimirax bimacula sp. nov. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:58EFC8C7-A0F5-4DD0-9DE2-1B6656DA94A3 Fig. 2

Diagnosis

Body length 1.5 mm, light yellow-brown; head transverse, eyes $2.4 \times as$ long as temple dorsally; POL:OD:OOL = 3.4:1.0:1.8; frons flat in front of anterior ocellus, and impunctate at sides; clypeus $2.0 \times as$ wide as medial length; notaular courses obvious only in anterior ¹/₄; scutellar hind depressions distinct, oblong elliptical and close to each other with carina separating them; propodeum with oblique rugae at sides, and transverse rugae which form open and ill-defined U-shaped areola; vein 1-R1 very short, indistinct as a extension of pterostigma; T2 1.8 × as wide as median length; ovipositor sheath 1.2 × as long as hind basitarsus, with long and dense setae apically.

Etymology

The specific epithet 'bimacula' derives from Latin, referring to two pale maculae on basal and apical pterostigma.

Material examined

Holotype BRUNEI • ♀; Pagon Ridge; 8 Feb.1982; B.C.St.G. Allen leg.; NHMUK010639289.

Description

Female

MEASUREMENT. Body length 1.5 mm, fore wing length 1.9 mm (Fig. 2a).

HEAD. Transverse in dorsal view, $1.9 \times as$ wide as long, $1.2 \times as$ wide as mesoscutum. Eyes $2.4 \times as$ long as temple dorsally. Temple smooth, nearly impunctate, except minute hairy punctures and shiny, constricted behind eyes in dorsal view (Fig. 2b). Ocelli small, distance between fore and hind ocellus $1.4 \times as$ long as transverse diameter of a hind ocellus, POL:OD:OOL = 3.4:1.0:1.8, anterior ocellus clearly flat anteriorly and impunctate at sides. Face (Fig. 2c) polished, except superficial small punctures close to the eyes, setose and shiny, convex medially, transverse, $1.4 \times as$ wide as high. Clypeus $2.0 \times as$

as wide as medial length. Antenna (Fig. 2d) as long as body length, with scape, pedicel and 1^{st} , 2^{nd} , penultimate and ultimate flagellomeres 1.8, 2.0, 5.1, 4.2, 2.9 and 3.6 × as long as wide, 1^{st} 1.2 × as long as 2^{nd} , other flagellomeres subsequent to 2^{nd} nearly the same size as 2^{nd} .

MESOSOMA. Length:width:height = 11.5:7.5:8.0. Mesonotum (Fig. 2e) shiny with distinct punctures anteriorly, becoming shallower towards posterior half and polished along posterior margin, notaular courses only obvious in anterior ¹/₃. Scutellar sulcus slightly curved, narrow, not crenulated. Scutellum shiny with minute hairy punctures, largely glabrous medio-posteriorly, scutellar hind depressions distinct, oblong-elliptical and close to each other, separated by a carina. Propodeum shiny, with oblique rugae at sides and transverse rugae forming open and ill-defined U-shaped areola, elsewhere nearly polished. Mesopleuron highly polished, impunctate.

LEGS. Hind femur (Fig. 2h) $3.5 \times$ as long as its widest part. Length of hind femur:tibia:basitarsus = 2.0:2.3:1.0. Basitarsus of hind leg $0.6 \times$ as long as tarsomeres 2-5.

WINGS. Fore wing (Fig. 2g): pterostigma narrow, $3.2 \times as$ long as its widest part; vein 1-R1 present, indistinct as a extension of pterostigma; vein r:2-SR:2-M = 1.0:3.8:2.2, 1-SR:1-M = 1.0:3.0, 1-CU1:2-CU1 = 1:1.4; first discal cell of fore wing $1\frac{1}{4} \times as$ wide as high. Hind wing: vein M+CU:1-M:r-m = 1.9:2.4:1.0.



Fig. 2. *Rugosimirax bimacula* sp. nov., female, holotype (NHMUK010639289). **a**. Habitus, dorsal view. **b**. Head dorsal view. **c**. Head, frontal view. **d**. Antenna. **e**. Mesosoma, dorsal view. **f**. Propodeum, dorsal view. **g**. Fore wing. **h**. Ovipositor and hind leg, lateral view. **i**. T1–T3, dorsal view.

METASOMA. Nearly as long as mesosoma. T1 (Fig. 2i) smooth, spoon-shaped (attenuated apically), $1.8 \times$ as long as its maximum width, indistinctly narrowed medially. T2 $1.8 \times$ as wide as median length. T3 about as long as T2, longitudinally striate. Hypopygium not as long as apex of metasoma. Ovipositor sheath $1.2 \times$ as long as hind basitarsus, with long and dense setae apically.

COLOUR. Light yellow-brown (Fig. 2a). Palpi and spurs pale yellow. Flagellomeres and apex of ovipositor sheath dark brown. Legs more yellow, except apical tarsomeres. Wing membrane hyaline, pterostigma (except basal and apical paler maculae), vein r, 2-SR, 1-SR, 1-M and 1-CU1 brown, other veins light brown.

Male

Unknown.

Host

Unknown.

Distribution

Borneo (Brunei).

Remarks

This species is peculiar in *Rugosimirax* for having the hind depressions close to each other, with a carina separating them. *R. bimacuila* is close to *Rugosimirax obsolescens* sp. nov. but can be easily differentiated from it by the characters in the key.

Discussion

Species of Miracinae have never been well-classified into genera, despite having only 70 species worldwide. The problem is largely due to the use of different diagnostic criteria for the included species listed in each review (Papp 2013; Ranjith *et al.* 2019; Ghramh *et al.* 2019; Slater-Baker *et al.* 2022). To better distinguish *Centistidea* from *Mirax*, we have checked all the descriptions of species and identified specimens including primary types in the NHM collection. We have found that the sculpture on the propodeum, and the degree of development of the notauli could be a practical way to separate these three genera, though they often overlap in other characters (see the genus key below).

Key to the genus of Miracinae Viereck, 1918

 Using the above criteria, we concluded that there are only nine species which actually belong to *Mirax sensu stricto*, i.e., *M. minuta* Ashmead, 1893 from the Nearctic region; *M. cowellensis* Slater-Baker & Fagan-Jeffries, 2022 and *M. trianguliceps* Slater-Baker & Fagan-Jeffries, 2022 from the Australian region; *M. caspiana* Farahani, Talebi, van Achterberg & Rakhshani, 2014, *M. reperta* Papp, 1984, *M. rufilabris* Haliday, 1833, *M. sinopticulae* He & Chen, 1997 and *M. striaca* Beyarslan, 2009 from the Palaearctic region; and *M. longicaudis* Belokobylskij, 1993 from the Oriental region. *Mirax longicaudis* is peculiar among all species of Miracinae for its exceptionally large size (3.4 mm, others vary from 1 mm to 2.8 mm), aciculate T2, and longest ovipositor sheath ($1.2 \times$ hind tibia, others are more or less the length of the basitarsus). Here, we provisionally keep it in *Mirax* because of the lack of more detailed information on this species. Except for the smooth appearance of the propodeum, and reduced notauli, specimens of *M. longicaudis* mostly shared the characters with other *Mirax* of an indistinct and not crenulated scutellar sulcus and two relatively small, oblong shaped and distinctly apart hind depressions.

Centistidea exhibits more overlaps with the two other genera, as well as having remarkably high species richness (59 species), especially in the Oriental and Neotropical regions. Many of them were misplaced as *Mirax* in the past. Except for the propodeum with a longitudinal carina or rugosity medially and connected to more or less developed costulae and relatively well developed notauli, this group varies in features of vein 1-R1, scutellar sulcus, and scutellar hind depressions which are conservative in the genera *Mirax* and *Rugosimirax* (Here, we pay more attention on the feature of propodeum for it is the key and widely used character for higher taxa of the closely related Microgastrinae).

For the Oriental genus *Rugosimirax*, Ranjith *et al.* (2023) included three species, namely, *R. expectata* Ranjith & Priyadarsanan, 2023, *R. glabrator* Ranjith & van Achterberg, 2018 from India and *R. fereta* (Papp & Chou), 1996 from China. Ranjith *et al.* (2023) paid much attention to ovipositor sheath with a tuft of setae apically for *Rugosimirax*, but it is actually shared by many other species of *Centistidea* (see ovipositor sheath images (Figs. 19, 26) for *C. mogrus* and *C. taiwanicus* in Papp & Chou 1996) which will be explained in our subsequent paper reviewing this group. We consider a better genus concept for this group by transferring *fereta* back to *Centistidea* which it resembles more (median longitudinal rugosity, and scutellar hind depressions close to each other), and by adding the two new species from Borneo. The species of genus *Rugosimirax* can now be characterized as follows: propodeum with distinct oblique carinae or rugae at sides and a transverse carina or rugae forming an open U-shaped areola, never with a median complete longitudinal carina or rugosity; ovipositor sheath with a tuft of setae apically; comparatively deep and long notauli on disc of mesosoma; and scutellar sulcus narrow and not crenulated.

Miracine exhibit much variation though they are rare in all collections worldwide, showing that there are likely to be many more species undescribed, which corresponds with the DNA-sequencing results of Slater-Bakeret *et al.* (2022). Clearly, more morphological examination and DNA data collection on a larger scale are necessary to give better insight into the systematics of Miracinae.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the collectors for their efforts in the field. We also thank Dr Gavin Broad for his help during the course of this study. Funding for this study was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32100351), the China Scholarship Council (202208430072), the Scientific Research Fund of Hunan Provincial Education Department (23B0654) and the Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (2023JJ30434).

References

Belokobylskij S.A. 1993. New taxonomic data on the braconid fauna (Hymenoptera Braconidae) of Vietnam. *Russian Entomological Journal* 2 (2): 37–67.

Beyarslan A. 2009. A survey of the Turkish Miracinae, with the description of a new species, *Mirax striacus* (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). *Entomological News* 120: 291–296. https://doi.org/10.3157/021.120.0306

Chen J.H., Wu Z.S. & Chen Q.J. 2001. One new species of the genus *Mirax* Haliday from China. *Acta zootaxonomica sinica* 26 (4): 548–550.

Chen X.X., He J.H. & Ma Y. 1997. Two new species of the subfamily Miracinae (Hym.: Braconidae) from China. *Wuyi Science Journal* 13: 63–69.

Farahani S., Talebi A.A., van Achterberg C. & Rakhshani E. 2014. New species of the genus *Mirax* Haliday, 1833 (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Miracinae) from Iran. *Annales Zoologici* 64: 677–682. https://doi.org/10.3161/000345414X685956

Ghramh H.A., Ahmad Z. & Pandey K. 2019. Three new species of the genus *Centistidea* Rohwer, 1914 (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Miracinae) from India and Saudi Arabia. *ZooKeys* 889: 37–47. https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.889.34942

Harris R.A. 1979. Glossary of surface sculpturing. *Occasional Papers in Entomology of the California Department of Food and Agriculture* 28: 1–31.

Maetô K. 1995. The genus *Mirax* (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Miracinae) from Japan. *Japanese Journal* of Entomology 63: 649–656.

Maetô K. & Thornton I.W.B. 1993. A preliminary appraisal of the braconid (Hymenoptera) fauna of the Krakatau Islands, Indonesia, in 1984–1986, with comments on the colonizing abilities of the parasitoid modes. *Journal of Entomology* 61 (4): 787–801.

Mason W.R.M. 1981. The polyphyletic nature of *Apanteles* Foerster (Hymenoptera: Braconidae): a phylogeny and reclassification of Microgastrinae. *Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada* 115: 1–147. https://doi.org/10.4039/entm113115fv

Memmott J., Godfray H.C.J. & Gauld I.D. 1994. The structure of a tropical host-parasitoid community. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 63: 521–40. https://doi.org/10.2307/5219

Muesebeck C.F.W. 1922. A revision of the North American Ichneumon-flies belonging to the subfamilies Neoneurinae and Microgasterinae. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 61 (15): 1–76. https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00963801.61-2436.1

Papp J. 2013. Eleven new *Mirax* Haliday, 1833 species from Colombia and Honduras and key to the sixteen Neotropical *Mirax* species (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Miracinae). *Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae* 59 (2): 97–129.

Papp J. & Chou L. 1996. The genus *Mirax* from Taiwan (Hymenoptera: Braconidae: Miracinae). *Chinese Journal of Entomology* 16: 107–115.

Quicke D.L.J. & van Achterberg C. 1990. Phylogeny of the subfamilies of the family Braconidae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea). *Zoologische Verhandelingen (Leiden)* 258: 1–95.

Ranjith A.P., van Achterberg C., Priyadarsanan D.R., Kim I.K., Keloth R., Mukundan S. & Nasser M. 2019. First Indian record of *Centistidea* Rohwer (Hymenoptera: Braconidae, Miracinae) with description of eight new species. *Insect Systematics and Evolution* 50 (3): 407–444. https://doi.org/10.1163/1876312X-00002194 Ranjith A.P., van Achterberg C. & Priyadarsanan D.R. 2023. A new genus in the braconid subfamily Miracinae from the Oriental region, with descriptions of seven new species from India and Sri Lanka. *Zootaxa* 5318 (4): 451–473. https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5318.4.1

Shaw M.R. & Huddleston T. 1991. Classification and Biology of Braconid Wasps (Hymenoptera: Braconinae). *Handbooks for the Identification of British Insects* 7: 1–126.

Slater-Baker M.R., Austin A.D., Whitfield J.B. & Fagan-Jeffries E.P. 2022. First record of miracine parasitoid wasps (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from Australia: molecular phylogenetics and morphology reveal multiple new species. *Austral Entomology* 61: 49–67. https://doi.org/10.1111/aen.12582

van Achterberg C. 1993. Illustrated key to the subfamilies of the Braconidae (Hymenoptera: Ichneumonoidea). *Zoologische Verhandelingen, Leiden* 283: 1–189.

van Achterberg C. & Mehrnejad M.R. 2002. The braconid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) of *Kermania pistaciella* Amsel (Lepidoptera: Tineidae: Hieroxestinae) in Iran. *Zoologische Mededelingen, Leiden* 76 (2): 27–39.

Whitfield J.B. 1997. Subfamily Miracinae. *In:* Wharton R.A., Marsh P.M. & Sharkey MJ (eds) *Manual of the New World Genera of the Family Braconidae (Hymenoptera), Special Publication of the International Society of Hymenopterists 1*): 370–373. The International Society of Hymenopterists, Washington, DC.

Whitfield J.B. & Wagner D.L. 1991. Annotated key to the genera of Braconidae (Hymenoptera) attacking leafmining Lepidoptera in the Holarctic Region. *Journal of Natural History* 25: 733–754. https://doi.org/10.1080/00222939100770481

Wu Z.S., Chen J.H. & Huang J.C. 2000. Notes on a new species and a new record of the genus *Centistidea* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Braconidae) from China. *Insect Science* 7: 113–116. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2000.tb00347.x

Yu D.S., van Achterberg C. & Horstmann K. 2016. World Ichneumonoidea 2015. *Taxonomy, Biology, Morphology and Distribution*. Nepean, Ottawa, Canada. [database on flash-drive.]

Manuscript received: 30 January 2024 Manuscript accepted: 3 April 2024 Published on: 11 June 2024 Topic editor: Tony Robillard Section editor: Gavin Broad Desk editor: Eva-Maria Levermann

Printed versions of all papers are also deposited in the libraries of the institutes that are members of the *EJT* consortium: Muséum national d'histoire naturelle, Paris, France; Meise Botanic Garden, Belgium; Royal Museum for Central Africa, Tervuren, Belgium; Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Brussels, Belgium; Natural History Museum of Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark; Naturalis Biodiversity Center, Leiden, the Netherlands; Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales-CSIC, Madrid, Spain; Leibniz Institute for the Analysis of Biodiversity Change, Bonn – Hamburg, Germany; National Museum of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic.