Effects of microplastics mixed with natural particles on *Daphnia magna* populations

Christoph Schür[a, b], Joana Beck[b], Scott Lambert[b], Christian Scherer[b, c], Jörg Oehlmann[b], Martin Wagner[d]*

[a] Department of Environmental Toxicology, Eawag, Überlandstrasse 133, 8600 Dübendorf, Switzerland

[b] Department Aquatic Ecotoxicology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Goethe University, Frankfurt am Main, Max-von-Laue-Str. 13, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

[c] Federal Institute of Hydrology, Department Biochemistry and Ecotoxicology, Am Mainzer Tor 1, 56002, Koblenz, Germany

[d] Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Høgskoleringen 5, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

* Corresponding author: Martin Wagner, martin.wagner@ntnu.no, +47 73 41 30 47

Abstract

The toxicity of microplastics on *Daphnia magna* as a key model for freshwater zooplankton is well described. While several studies predict population-level effects based on short-term, individual-level responses, only very few have validated these predictions experimentally. Thus, we exposed *D. magna* populations to irregular polystyrene microplastics and diatomite as natural particle (both ≤ 63 µm) over 50 days. We used mixtures of both particle types at fixed particle concentrations (50,000 mL^{-1}) and recorded the effects on overall population size and structure, the size of the individual animals, and resting egg production. Particle exposure adversely affected the population density and structure, and induced resting egg production. The terminal population size was 28–42% lower in exposed compared to control populations. Interestingly, mixtures containing diatomite induced stronger effects than microplastics alone, highlighting that natural particles are not *per se* less toxic than microplastics. Our results demonstrate that an exposure to synthetic and natural particles has negative population-level effects on zooplankton. Understanding the mixture toxicity of microplastics and natural particles is important given that aquatic organisms will experience exposure to both. Just as for chemical pollutants, better knowledge of such joint effects is essential to fully understand the environmental impacts of complex particle mixtures.

Environmental Implications

While microplastics are commonly considered hazardous based on individual-level effects, there is a dearth of information on how they affect populations. Since the latter is key for understanding the environmental impacts of microplastics, we investigated how particle exposures affect the population size and structure of *Daphnia magna*. In addition, we used mixtures of microplastics and natural particles because neither occurs alone in nature and joint effects can be expected in an environmentally realistic scenario. We show that such mixtures adversely affect daphnid populations and highlight that population-level and mixture-toxicity designs are one important step towards more environmental realism in microplastics research.

Graphical Abstract



Highlights

- *Daphnia* populations exposed to mixtures of microplastics and diatomite
- Effects on population density, structure, and resting egg production
- Diatomite as natural particle more toxic than microplastics
- Particle mixtures induce negative population-level effects
- Particle mixtures represent more realistic exposure scenario

Keywords

particulate matter, population dynamics, suspended matter

Introduction

Microplastics (MP) are a ubiquitous pollutant in the aquatic environment. They can interact with and affect a broad range of species across all levels of biological organization, including zooplankton such as the Cladoceran *Daphnia magna*. In the environment, MP are only one type of non-food particles organisms interact with and MP as well as naturally occurring particles have been shown to negatively affect daphnids, sometimes across generations (Kirk 1991b; 1991a; Robinson, Capper, and Klaine 2010; Ogonowski et al. 2016; Rist, Baun, and Hartmann 2017; Martins and Guilhermino 2018; Schür et al. 2020). Nonetheless, as non-selectively filter-feeding organisms, daphnids are well-adapted to non-food particles. This is achieved through a number of behavioral and physiological mechanisms, including a reduction in feeding rate, regurgitation of boluses, and the ability to remove adhering particles from the filtering setae via the post-abdominal claw (Burns 1968a; 1968b; Kirk 1991a; Ogonowski et al. 2016). Since exposure in the environment is never to a single type of particle (synthetic or natural) and their effects in comparison to MP are often overlooked, exposing animals to particle mixtures can be considered more environmentally relevant (Gerdes et al. 2018; 2019). Additionally, the currently available literature is strongly biased towards acute exposure scenarios, even though, due to their short generation time and the environmental persistence of MP, daphnids are exposed continuously over generations and not just intermittently (Rozman and Kalčikova 2021; Yin et al. 2023). Thus, a long-term, continuous exposure throughout an individual's lifetime, as well as following generations, is a more realistic scenario (Schür et al. 2020; 2021). Daphnids as r-strategists form large, often short-lived, populations. Population growth rates are high, but quickly reach a carrying capacity limited by space and/or food. Such stressors are then often met with the formation of resting eggs that can resurrect the population once conditions have returned to a more favorable state (Smirnov 2017). In accordance with these considerations, we designed an experiment in which *D. magna* populations with a defined age structure and size were continuously exposed to mixtures of MP and the natural particle diatomite at constant particle numbers and constant food levels. The aim of this study was to compare the effects of MP to natural particles and their mixtures on the population level in a more realistic scenario.

Materials and methods

Daphnia culture

Ten *D. magna* individuals were cultured in 1 L of Elendt M4 medium (OECD 2012) at 20 °C with a 16:8 h light:dark cycle. The daphnids were fed with the green algae *Desmodesmus subspicatus* thrice a week at 0.2 mg carbon per individual per day (mgC daphnid^{-1} d^{-1}). The medium was fully renewed once a week.

Particle preparation

The irregularly shaped MP were produced from polystyrene coffee-to-go-cup lids obtained from a local bakery. They were rinsed, cut into pieces using scissors, frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground up in a ballmill (Retsch MM400, Retsch GmbH, Germany) at 30 Hz for 30 s. Diatomite was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (CAS: 91053-39-3). Both particle types were sieved to ≤ 63 µm using a sediment shaker (Retsch AS 200 basic, Retsch GmbH, Germany) to achieve particles in a size range that is available for daphnids for ingestion (Scherer et al. 2018). Particle size distributions within the measuring margins of the Coulter counter (Multisizer 3, Beckman Coulter, Germany; orifice tube with 100 mm aperture diameter for a particle size range of 2.0–60 mm; measurements in filtrated (< 0.2 µm) 0.98% NaCl solution) are given in the supplementary material (Figure S1). Size distributions for the diatomite size fraction < 2 µm for a suspension prepared with the same method are given in the supplementary materials of Scherer et al. (2019) but were not measured for this study. Furthermore, Scanning electron microscope images of both particle types were taken using a Hitachi S-4500 scanning electron microscope (supplementary material, Figure S2). For that, 20 µL of each suspension was transferred to the sample holder, dried under a heat lamp, and sputtered with gold before imaging. Additional characterization of similar materials and particle types can be found in Schür et al. (2021) and Scherer et al. (2019). Exposure suspensions were prepared by dilution in Elendt M4 medium based on measured particle concentrations of the stock solutions (Multisizer 3, Beckman Coulter) and used throughout the experiment. Previous experiments, described in Schür et al. (2020), showed a good correlation between nominal and measured particle concentrations. A new MP stock suspension was prepared after day 37. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) spectra (FTIR Spectrum Two, PerkinElmer; LiTa03 detector, range: 4000−450 cm^{-1}) of the raw plastic material before and after grinding and sieving are given in Figure S3 of the supplementary material.

Experimental design

The initial daphnid populations consisted of 3 adults (2 weeks old), 5 juveniles (1 week old), and 8 neonates (< 72 h old) held in 1 L glass vessels containing 900 mL Elendt M4 medium (OECD 2012). Each population was kept for 50 d and fed a constant ration of 0.5 mgC d^{-1} of *D. subspicatus*. All treatment groups were exposed to a total of 50,000 particles mL^{-1} of varying ratios of MP and diatomite (n = 3, Table 1).

Populations were fed thrice per week, and the medium was exchanged on days 7, 14, 21, 28, 37, 42, and 50. During each feeding, vessels were covered with a lid and gently inverted to re-suspend the particles. With each medium exchange, populations were sieved, transferred to an hourglass, and photographed. ImageJ (Schindelin et al. 2012) was used to then quantify living animals (total population size, Figure 1, Figure S4), the number of resting eggs (Figure 2, Figure S5), body lengths (Figure 3, Figure S6). Resting eggs are seen as indicators of population stress like insufficient food or high population density (Smirnov 2017). Individual body lengths were measured from the center of the eye to the base of the apical spinus (Ogonowski et al. 2016). Body lengths were categorized into three size/age classes in accordance with Agatz et al. (2015), including neonates (≤ 1400 µm), juveniles (1400–2600 µm), and adults (> 2600 µm).

Table 1: Ratios and absolute nominal particle concentrations of microplastics and diatomite in the treatment groups of the population experiment.

Treatment group	Microplastics		Diatomite	
	%	Particles mL^{-1}	%	Particles mL^{-1}
Control	0	0	0	0
MP100	100	50,000	0	0
MP80	80	40,000	20	10,000
MP60	60	30,000	40	20,000
MP50	50	25,000	50	25,000
MP40	40	20,000	60	30,000
MP20	20	10,000	80	40,000
MP0	0	0	100	50,000

Statistical analysis

The data was visualized using R (R Core Team 2021) with RStudio 2021.09.2+382 and the tidyverse package (Wickham et al. 2019). The impact of exposure time and treatment on population sizes (i.e., total number of animals at a given time) and structure was analyzed using a one-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák's multiple comparison test against the corresponding control group for each time point in GraphPad Prism for Mac 9.3.1. The number of resting eggs on day 50 of the experiment was compared against the control group using a one-way ANOVA with Holm-Šídák's multiple comparisons test in GraphPad Prism for Mac 9.3.1. The body length of individuals in each population was compared using Kruskal-Wallis tests followed by Dunn's multiple comparison tests. Boxplots are created with the geom_boxplot() function of the ggplot2 package (Wickham 2016) in accordance with Mcgill et al. (1978). Significance levels are indicated by asterisks as follows: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Results

Following the goal to investigate the population-level effects of mixtures of MP and natural particles, the experiment included three main endpoints: population size (i.e., total number of individuals per population at each time point), population structure based on the body lengths of the individuals comprising each population, and the number of resting eggs (*ephippiae*) per population.

All populations, both exposed to particles and of the control group, grew rapidly with regards to the number of individuals during the first two weeks, with little variability between the three replicates per treatment group (Figure 1). This is because the available food was sufficient for such small populations coupled with low initial population densities acting as triggers for rapid population growth. All population sizes peaked at day 14, declined from day 21 onwards, and reached their lowest size on day 50.

We observed a concentration-dependent effect in the populations exposed to particles in such that in the phase of rapid decline (days 21–37), daphnid populations exposed to particle mixtures that contained more diatomite had a lower population size (Figure 1, Figure S4). For instance, populations exposed to 100% diatomite (MP0) consisted of significantly fewer animals than the control populations on day 14 and 28 ($p < 0.05$, one-way ANOVA). At the end of the experiment, on day 42 and 50, the size of all populations exposed to MP, diatomite or mixtures thereof was significantly smaller than the control populations ($p < 0.05$). Notably, the terminal population size in all treatments was 28–42% lower compared to control.

Resting egg formation occurred in all populations, including controls, after day 14 but to varying degrees (Figure S5). Since the production of resting eggs is a stress response (Smirnov 2017), this indicates a rapid onset of stress caused by increasing population densities and/or decreasing food levels. The particle exposure had a significant effect on the total number of resting eggs produced, with the populations in the MP60 ($p = 0.023$), MP40 ($p = 0.003$), MP20 ($p = 0.011$), and MP0 ($p = 0.008$) groups producing circa 100 ephippiae compared to 70 in the control populations (Figure 2). Similar to the effect on intermediate population sizes, this points towards a stronger effect of diatomite compared to MP.



Figure 1: Boxplots of the population size of *Daphnia magna* exposed to polystyrene microplastics (MP100), diatomite (MP0), or their mixtures over 50 d (A). B–H represent

the population sizes after 7, 14, 21, 28, 37, 42 and 50 d, respectively. Significant differences compared to control populations are indicated by asterisks: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.



Figure 2: Total number of resting eggs produced by *Daphnia magna* populations exposed to polystyrene microplastics (MP100), diatomite (MP0), or their mixtures over 50 d (n = 3). Significant differences compared to control populations are indicated by asterisks: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$.

We measured the body length of each individual in a population weekly and used this to describe the population structure by categorizing the daphnids into neonates, juveniles, and adults. The initial population growth is largely driven by the production of neonates (Figure 3). As a result of the lower reproduction from day 14 onwards, the population structure shifts towards juveniles and adults. Particle exposure affected the number of neonates and juveniles in the populations (Tables S2 and S4): At day 28, populations exposed to diatomite or diatomite mixed with 20, 40, 60 and 80% MP consisted of significantly fewer neonates. This effect translated into significantly fewer juveniles in all particle-exposed populations on day 50. The number of adults was low compared to the other size classes and increased over the course of the experiment without significant impact of the particle exposure. In accordance with the loss of neonates and juveniles, individuals in particle-exposed populations were in many cases significantly larger than in control populations (Kruskal-Wallis tests, Table S6).



Figure 3: Population structure of *Daphnia magna* exposed to polystyrene microplastics (MP100), diatomite (MP0), or their mixtures over 50 d. Data presented as mean relative

ratios of neonates (green), juveniles (blue), and adults (red) compared to the overall population density (n = 3).

Discussion

We exposed *D. magna* populations to 50,000 particles mL^{-1} of either polystyrene MP, diatomite, or mixtures of both over the course of 50 d. Notwithstanding the ratio of MP to diatomite, particle exposure significantly reduced the population size from day 43 onwards and resulted in populations consisting of 28–42% less individuals than control populations at the end of the experiment. This effect on population size is most likely due to particle exposures having a negative impact on reproduction as previously shown by Ogonowski et al. (2016) and Schür et al. (2020), especially after the population size has peaked. The reproductive toxicity of particles is also reflected in the population structure with particle-exposed populations consisting of larger and, thus, older individuals than control populations. Taken together, this demonstrates that mixtures of synthetic and natural particles have negative effects at the population level in *D. magna*.

The fact that MP as well as their mixtures with natural particles affected the population size and structure highlights that the well-documented individual-level toxicity of MP and other particles in daphnids translates into impacts at the population level. While multiple studies predict effects of MP exposures on population growth rates based on individual level responses (*e.g.*, Martins and Guilhermino (2018); Guilhermino et al. (2021)), population and multigeneration effects were recently identified as severe data gaps in a review on the ecotoxicology of MP in Daphnia (Yin et al. 2023). Bosker et al. (2019) reported that exposure to polystyrene beads caused a significant decline in population size and biomass but did not affect the size of individuals or *ephippiae* production. Besides using another type of MP, their general approach was different from ours as they grew populations to holding capacity before starting particle exposure at day 30. This probably reduced the overall stress level induced by continuous particle exposures.

Zebrowski et al. (2022) investigated how the exposure to PS, high-density polyethylene (PE), and the assumed biodegradable polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) affected the growth (measured by population density, *i.e.* individuals per L) of competing populations of *D. magna*, *Daphnia pulex*, and *Daphnia galeata* under constant food levels (two species were paired in competition). While the outcome of the competition experiments was not affected (the same species outperformed their competitors as in the particle-free control treatments), two main findings are worth mentioning: (I) The larger *D. magna* did not always outcompete the smaller cladoceran species, but only the smallest *D. galeata*, while *D. pulex* consistently persisted against both other species, and (II) *D. magna* and *D. pulex* populations were affected by PS particle exposure, compared to the respective control groups, while *D. galeata* populations grew very similarly to their control populations. Some of their results hint towards a positive effect of the PHB exposure, about which the authors hypothesize that the material is biodegraded and serves as nutrients for bacteria in the exposure vessel, which subsequently improve the nutritional status of the cladcoerans.

Al-Jaibachi et al. (2019) observed the initial decline but subsequent recovery of daphnid populations in MP-exposed mesocosms, while no effect on other species was observed. Here, high variability and unknown influencing factors from the mesocosm setup impede the comparison between the two studies. Nonetheless, all three studies demonstrate that MP effects also manifest on the population level, which is considered highly relevant for assessing the environmental risks of these particles.

We used multiple mixtures of MP and diatomite at a fixed numerical concentration to explore a more realistic exposure scenario (i.e., MP as part of a more diverse set of suspended solids) and to investigate whether the mixtures' toxicity is driven by plastic or natural particles. Indeed, our results show that diatomite is more toxic to daphnid populations than MP. With regards to the intermediate population size, resting egg production, and population structure, exposure to pure diatomite induced stronger effects than to pure MP (Figures 1–3). In the treatments with particle mixtures, we often observed a concentration-dependent response with mixtures containing more diatomite being more toxic. This is particularly obvious for the population sizes at days 14–28 and the resting egg production. Accordingly, particle mixtures consisting of more diatomite are more toxic.

The reason for the higher toxicity of diatomite compared to MP may be its porous and spiky structure. Diatomite has biocidal properties (European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) et al. 2020) and its absorptive and abrasive capacities will damage insect cuticles (Korunic 1998) and may injure the digestive system (Scherer et al. 2019). Diatomite has been used as a natural reference material in previous MP studies. In the freshwater mollusks *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Lymnea stagnalis*, diatomite was in general not more toxic than polystyrene MP (Weber, von Randow, et al. 2021; Weber, Jeckel, et al. 2021) but induced a stronger effect on the antioxidant capacity in the former species (Weber, Jeckel, and Wagner 2020) at identical numerical concentrations. In *Chironomus riparius* larvae, diatomite was toxic but less so than polyvinyl chloride MP at identical mass-based concentrations (Scherer et al. 2019). Since one of the main mechanisms of its toxicity appears to be the desorption of waxes from the cuticle, arthropods, such as chironomids and daphnids, may be particularly sensitive to diatomite exposures.

Our study shows that some natural particles can be more toxic than a mixture of natural particles and MP or MP by themselves. Earlier work compared the effects of the natural particle kaolin with polystyrene MP similar to those used in this study in a multigenerational study with daphnids (Schür et al. 2020). There, we found that kaolin had no effect, while MP affected all recorded endpoints in a concentration-dependent manner with effects increasing over generations. This shows that transferring findings on one particle type to another is not straightforward and MP may be more toxic than some but not all natural particles. Particle shape may play an important role as diatomite is spiky and sharp compared to kaolin which is rather round. Thus, the toxicity of natural particles will depend on their individual set of physicochemical properties and cannot be easily generalized without a better mechanistic understanding (see Scherer et al. (2019) for an in-depth discussion).

Finally, our study was not designed to mimic environmental concentrations of MP or natural particles. Instead, our aim was to investigate the toxicity of mixtures of both, because this exposure scenario is more realistic compared to the use of only MP in toxicity studies. Given that in nature, aquatic organisms will most likely be exposed to natural and synthetic particulate matter concurrently, a better understanding of the joint toxicity is needed to develop realistic predictions of environmental impacts.

Conclusions

Our study demonstrates that an exposure to MP and diatomite alone as well as in mixture has negative population-level effects in *D. magna*. This corroborates previous predictions based on individual-level responses. Our findings are relevant because adverse impacts on populations of a keystone zooplankton species will have ecological consequences. However, the fact that we used one very high particle concentration only calls for follow-up studies to generate concentration-response relationships. We used mixtures of plastic and the natural particle diatomite because we deem this exposure scenario more realistic and found that diatomite is more toxic than MP. This contradicts the common assumption that natural particles are benign and highlights that – just as with MP – the toxicity of a particle type depends on its individual set of physicochemical properties. This calls into question whether general comparisons, such as MP are more or less toxic than something else, are meaningful. It also highlights the challenge of finding an adequate reference particle when attempting to perform such comparisons. Finally, we believe that investigating the mixture toxicity of synthetic and natural particles is valuable given that aquatic organisms will experience exposure to both. Similar to chemical pollutants, better knowledge of such joint effects is essential to fully understand the environmental risks complex particle mixtures pose to aquatic species.

Author contributions

Christoph Schür: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Project administration, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing

Joana Beck: Data curation, Investigation, Writing - review & editing

Scott Lambert: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - review & editing

Christian Scherer: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - review & editing

Jörg Oehlmann: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing

Martin Wagner: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Resources, Project administration, Visualization, Resources, Writing - review & editing

Declaration of interest

Martin Wagner is an unremunerated member of the Scientific Advisory Board of the Food Packaging Forum (FPF). He has received travel funding from FPF to attend its annual board meetings and from Hold Norge Rent (Keep Norway Beautiful) to speak at one of their conferences. The other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research to CS, JO, and MW (02WRS1378I, 03F0789D). The graphical abstract was created with BioRender.

Supplementary Material

The supplemental data are available ###.

References

Agatz, Annika et al. 2015. “Family-Portraits for Daphnids: Scanning Living Individuals and Populations to Measure Body Length.” *Ecotoxicology* 24 (6): 1385–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10646-015-1490-0.

Al-Jaibachi, Rana et al. 2019. “Impacts of Polystyrene Microplastics on *Daphnia Magna*: A Laboratory and a Mesocosm Study.” *Science of The Total Environment*, 135800. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135800.

Bosker, Thijs et al. 2019. “Significant Decline of *Daphnia Magna* Population Biomass Due to Microplastic Exposure.” *Environmental Pollution*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.04.067.

Burns, Carolyn W. 1968a. “Direct Observations of Mechanisms Regulating Feeding Behavior of *Daphnia*, in Lakewater.” *Internationale Revue Der Gesamten Hydrobiologie Und Hydrographie* 53 (1): 83–100. https://doi.org/10.1002/iroh.19680530104.

———. 1968b. “The Relationship between Body Size of Filter-Feeding Cladocera and the Maximum Size of Particle Ingested.” *Limnology and Oceanography* 13 (4): 675–78. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1968.13.4.0675.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) et al. 2020. “Peer Review of the Pesticide Risk Assessment of the Active Substance Kieselgur (Diatomaceous Earth).” *EFSA Journal* 18 (3). https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2020.6054.

Gerdes, Zandra et al. 2018. “A Serial Dilution Method for Assessment of Microplastic Toxicity in Suspension.”

———. 2019. “A Novel Method for Assessing Microplastic Effect in Suspension through Mixing Test and Reference Materials.” *Scientific Reports* 9 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-47160-1.

Guilhermino, Lúcia et al. 2021. “Long-Term Adverse Effects of Microplastics on *Daphnia Magna* Reproduction and Population Growth Rate at Increased Water Temperature and Light Intensity: Combined Effects of Stressors and Interactions.” *Science of The Total Environment* 784 (August): 147082. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147082.

Kirk, Kevin L. 1991. “Suspended Clay Reduces *Daphnia* Feeding Rate: Behavioural Mechanisms.” *Freshwater Biology* 25 (2): 357–65. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.1991.tb00498.x.

Korunic, Zlatko. 1998. “Diatomaceous Earths, a Group of Natural Insecticides.” *Journal of Stored Products Research* 34 (2–3): 87–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(97)00039-8.

Martins, Alexandra, and Lúcia Guilhermino. 2018. “Transgenerational Effects and Recovery of Microplastics Exposure in Model Populations of the Freshwater Cladoceran *Daphnia Magna* Straus.” *Science of The Total Environment* 631–632: 421–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.03.054.

Mcgill, Robert, John W. Tukey, and Wayne A. Larsen. 1978. “Variations of Box Plots.” *The American Statistician* 32 (1): 12–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.1978.10479236.

OECD. 2012. *Test No. 211:* Daphnia Magna *Reproduction Test*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/content/book/9789264185203-en.

Ogonowski, Martin et al. 2016. “The Effects of Natural and Anthropogenic Microparticles on Individual Fitness in *Daphnia Magna*.” *PLOS ONE* 11 (5): e0155063. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155063.

R Core Team. 2021. *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.

Rist, Sinja, Anders Baun, and Nanna B. Hartmann. 2017. "Ingestion of Micro- and Nanoplastics in *Daphnia Magna* – Quantification of Body Burdens and Assessment of Feeding Rates and Reproduction." *Environmental Pollution* 228 (September): 398–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.05.048.

Robinson, Sarah E., Neil A. Capper, and Stephen J. Klaine. 2010. "The Effects of Continuous and Pulsed Exposures of Suspended Clay on the Survival, Growth, and Reproduction of *Daphnia Magna*." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 29 (1): 168–75. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.4.

Rozman, Ula, and Gabriela Kalčikova. 2021. "Seeking for a Perfect (Non-Spherical) Microplastic Particle – The Most Comprehensive Review on Microplastic Laboratory Research." *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 127529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127529.

Scherer, Christian et al. 2018. "Interactions of Microplastics with Freshwater Biota." In *Freshwater Microplastics*, 153–80. The Handbook of Environmental Chemistry. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-61615-5_8.

———. 2019. "Toxicity of Microplastics and Natural Particles in the Freshwater Dipteran *Chironomus Riparius*: Same Same but Different?" *Science of The Total Environment* 711: 134604. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134604.

Schneider, Caroline A., Wayne S. Rasband, and Kevin W. Eliceiri. 2012. "NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 Years of Image Analysis." *Nature Methods* 9 (7): 671–75. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089.

Schür, Christoph et al. 2020. "Microplastics but Not Natural Particles Induce Multigenerational Effects in *Daphnia Magna*." *Environmental Pollution* 260: 113904. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113904.

———. 2021. "Incubation in Wastewater Reduces the Multigenerational Effects of Microplastics in *Daphnia Magna*." *Environmental Science & Technology*, acs.est.0c07911. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c07911.

Smirnov, N. N. 2017. *Physiology of the Cladocera*. Second edition. London, United Kingdom□; San Diego, CA, United States: Elsevier/AP, Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier.

Weber, Annkatrin, Nina Jeckel, and Martin Wagner. 2020. "Combined Effects of Polystyrene Microplastics and Thermal Stress on the Freshwater Mussel *Dreissena Polymorpha*." *Science of The Total Environment* 718: 137253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137253.

Weber, Annkatrin, Marvin von Randow, et al. 2021. "Ingestion and Toxicity of Microplastics in the Freshwater Gastropod *Lymnaea Stagnalis*: No Microplastic-Induced Effects Alone or in Combination with Copper." *Chemosphere* 263: 128040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2020.128040.

Weber, Annkatrin, Nina Jeckel, et al. 2021. "Ingestion and Toxicity of Polystyrene Microplastics in Freshwater Bivalves." *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, etc.5076. https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5076.

Wickham, Hadley. 2016. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org.

———. 2019. "Welcome to the Tidyverse." *Journal of Open Source Software* 4 (43): 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.