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## Zusammenfassung

In den letzten zehn Jahren wurde der optogenetische Werkzeugkasten für die Manipulation von lonenströmen und cNMP-Spiegeln in Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) erweitert. Bei den eingesetzten Werkzeugen für die cAMP-Erzeugung handelte es sich jedoch um lösliche Enzyme (euPAC, bPAC, IlaC22 k27 und PaaC), so dass sie die physiologische cAMP-Signalgebung, die in Mikrodomänen in unmittelbarer Nähe der Plasmamembran stattfindet, nicht genau nachahmen. Hier wird cAMP vorwiegend von membrangebundenen Adenylylzyklasen erzeugt, die sich in Mikrodomänen zusammen mit G-Protein-gekoppelten Rezeptoren (GPCRs), Proteinkinase A (PKA) und ihren Substraten befinden, was eine räumliche und zeitliche Regulierung der cAMP-Signalgebung ermöglicht. Ein Ziel dieser Studie war es daher, membrangebundene photoaktivierbare Adenylylzyklasen für die Manipulation der cAMP-vermittelten Signalübertragung in unmittelbarer Nähe der Plasmamembran zu entwickeln und zu implementieren. Zu diesem Zweck wurden die Guanylylzyklase Domänen der Blastocladiella- und Catenaria- Cyclase Opsine (CyclOps) entweder durch die Einführung der Mutationen E497K und C566D (abgekürzt als (A-2x)) oder durch die Mutationen E497K, H564D und C566T (abgekürzt als (A-3x)) zu Adenylylzyklasen mutiert.

Um den Nukleotid-Spezifitätswechsel von GTP zu ATP und das Ausmaß der lichtabhängigen cAMP-Erzeugung zu bestimmen, wurden die generierten Enzyme in Körperwandmuskelzellen von C. elegans exprimiert und in vitro cNMP-Messungen mit C. elegans Extrakten durchgeführt. Dabei wurden die höchsten Werte der lichtinduzierten cAMP-Erzeugung während konstanter Stimulation $\left(0,5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470\right.$ $\mathrm{nm}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ) für die Varianten $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) und YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) festgestellt (39, 57 bzw. 40 nM ), obwohl sie nicht das Ausmaß erreichten, welche durch das lösliche bPAC (142 nM) erzeugt wurde. Im Gegensatz dazu wurden für die Versionen $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$ und $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ geringe Mengen an erzeugtem cAMP gemessen ( 8 bzw .7 nM ). Darüber hinaus wurde für keines der generierten Enzyme eine übriggebliebene cGMP-Erzeugung und keine basale cAMP-Erzeugung festgestellt.

Um ihr Potenzial zur Auslösung und Modulation von cAMP-vermittelter cholinerger Neurotransmission zu beurteilen und den Einfluss der zytosolischen und membrannahen optogenetischen cAMP-Erzeugung zu bewerten, wurden die Enzyme in cholinergen Motoneuronen exprimiert und mit dem implementierten löslichen bPAC durch Analyse des Fortbewegungsverhaltens in festen und flüssigen Medien verglichen. Die Photoaktivierung von $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) und YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) führte zu ähnlich verstärkten oder sogar stärkeren Verhaltensänderungen (Schwimmen und Kriechen) wie bPAC, während bei den durch bPAC hervorgerufenen Effekten eine schnellere abklingende Reaktion beobachtet wurde. Darüber hinaus wurde bei der zytosolischen cAMP-Produktion durch bPAC eine größere Vielfalt der Verhaltensänderungen festgestellt, d. h. erhöhte Biegewinkel und eine verringerte Körperlänge.

Mit Hilfe der konfokalen Fluoreszenzmikroskopie wurde das Expressionsniveau der YFP-markierten Enzyme in cholinergen Neuronen untersucht, wobei beide YFP-CyclOp(A-2x)s in ähnlichem Ausmaß exprimiert wurden, jedoch $\sim 1,4$-fach geringer als das lösliche bPAC-YFP. Um die Menge der lichtabhängigen cAMP-Produktion von bPAC und BeCycIOp(A-2x) unter Lichtbedingungen zu vergleichen, die den Bedingungen der Verhaltensexperimente (30 s) entsprechen, wurden cAMPMessungen mit C. elegans Extrakten durchgeführt, wobei BeCyclOp(A-2x) eine viermal geringere Menge an optogenetischer cAMP-Produktion zeigte als das lösliche bPAC.

Zusammenfassend lässt sich sagen, dass die lokale (membranproximale) cAMPErzeugung durch die membrangebundenen photoaktivierbaren Adenylylzyklasen die cAMP-abhängige Neurotransmission cholinerger Motoneuronen möglicherweise spezifischer aktiviert als die zytosolische cAMP-Erzeugung, d.h. eine verstärkte Mobilisierung und Priming/Docking von synaptischen Vesikeln und eine verstärkte Füllung der synaptischen Vesikel mit dem Neurotransmitter Acetylcholin und damit eine Steigerung des Fortbewegungsverhaltens.

Der optogenetische Werkzeugkasten für die Manipulation der cGMP-vermittelten Signalübertragung in $C$. elegans bestand aus dem natürlichen membrangebundenen BeCyclOp und dem synthetischen löslichen bPGC. Letzterer erzeugt cGMP mit geringer Effizienz und langsamer Kinetik ( $\sim 0,2 \mathrm{cGMP} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), während BeCyclOp die Produktion viel größerer Mengen von cGMP (L/D $=5000$ ) bei hoher Umsatzrate ( $\sim 17$
cGMP s ${ }^{-1}$ ) ermöglicht. Ein Ziel dieser Arbeit war es daher, ein Werkzeug zu entwickeln, dessen Eigenschaften zwischen denen von BeCyclOp und bPGC liegen. Mehrere orthologe CyclOps wurden von Gao et al. (2015) im Hinblick auf die lichtregulierte cGMP-Produktion durch in vitro Assays bewertet, die auf der Messung des cNMP-Gehalts von CyclOp-haltigen Oozyten Membranen basieren. Hier zeigte CaCyclOp nach BeCyclOp das höchste Verhältnis von Licht- zu Dunkelaktivität (L/D = 230) und wurde daher für die Charakterisierung in C. elegans ausgewählt. Die Photoaktivierung von CaCyclOp; TAX-2/-4 in Körperwandmuskelzellen verursachte im Vergleich zu BeCyclOp; TAX-2/-4 langsamere und weniger ausgeprägte Körperkontraktionen (~3,5 \% Maximalkontraktion mit einer Kontraktionsrate von $\sim 0,88$ s für CaCyclOp gegenüber $\sim 9 \%$ Maximalkontraktion und einer Kontraktionsrate von $\sim 0,23$ s für BeCyclOp ), während die Photoaktivierung von bPGC; TAX-2/-4 die geringste Körperkontraktion verursachte, d. h. ~2,5 \% Maximalkontraktion mit einer Kontraktionsrate von $\sim 1,78 \mathrm{~s}$. Um die Nukleotidspezifität und das Ausmaß der lichtabhängigen cGMP-Erzeugung zu bestimmen, wurden in vitro cNMP-Messungen mit C. elegans Extrakten durchgeführt, wobei bPGC und CaCyclOp vergleichbare cGMP-Konzentrationen (18 bzw. 13 nM ) erzeugten, während die höchste cGMP-Konzentration für BeCyclOp (74nM) ermittelt wurde. Für alle untersuchten photoaktivierbaren Guanylylzyklasen wurden die Lichtsättigungsbedingungen in $C$. elegans bei Intensitäten von $\geq 0,2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ erreicht, was mit den für die Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) Aktivierung verwendeten Intensitäten vergleichbar ist. Zusammenfassend lässt sich sagen, dass BeCyclOp das leistungsfähigste Werkzeug zur Erzeugung von cGMP ist und CaCyclOp eine nützliche, membrangebundene Alternative zum löslichen bPGC darstellt.

Neben ihrer primären Anwendung als optogenetische Werkzeuge für die cNMPErzeugung können die photoaktivierbaren Nukleotidylzyklasen mit zyklischen Nukleotid-gesteuerten Kanälen (CNGCs) gekoppelt werden, um das Membranpotenzial erregbarer Zellen zu manipulieren. Der Vorteil dieser Systeme besteht darin, dass sie weniger Licht benötigen, da die Erzeugung des Second Messenger mit einer Verstärkung des Primärsignals einhergeht. Der TAX-2/-4-Kanal wird hauptsächlich durch cGMP, bei hohen Konzentrationen aber auch durch cAMP aktiviert $\left(E C_{50}{ }^{\text {cGMP }}=8,4 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$; $\left.E C_{50}{ }^{\text {CAMP }}=300 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Der TAX-2/-4 CNGC wurde in Körperwandmuskelzellen entweder mit dem Wildtyp CaCyclOp oder den generierten membrangebundenen photoaktivierbaren Adenylylzyklasen kombiniert und mittels

Körperlängenanalyse mit den etablierten Systemen TAX-2/-4; BeCycIOp, TAX-2/-4; bPAC und TAX-2/-4; bPGC verglichen. Keines der untersuchten Systeme war in der Lage, vergleichbar starke und schnelle Depolarisationseffekte hervorzurufen wie das TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp-System. Allerdings waren die induzierten
Muskeldepolarisationen durch unterschiedliche Größenordnungen und kurz- und langanhaltende Effekte gekennzeichnet. Darüber hinaus wurde bei TAX-2/-4, welches an CaCyclOp , bPGC oder $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ gekoppelt ist, keine Desensibilisierung festgestellt, wie dies bei dem System TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp der Fall war. Insgesamt wurde ein umfassender optogenetischer MehrkomponentenWerkzeugkasten für die Zelldepolarisation etabliert, wobei sich die Systeme in ihrer Effizienz, ihren enzymatischen Eigenschaften, ihrer Kinetik sowie ihren kurz- und lang anhaltenden Wirkungen unterscheiden.

Bisher wurden die Protonenpumpe Archaerhodopsin-3 (Arch), die Chloridpumpe Halorhodopsin (NpHR) und das Anionenrhodopsin (GtACR) als hyperpolarisierende optogenetische Werkzeuge in C. elegans etabliert. Ihre Anwendung geht jedoch mit unerwünschten Veränderungen der lonenverteilung in der Membran einher und erfordert eine kontinuierliche Lichtstimulation. Aus diesem Grund war ein Ziel dieser Arbeit, optogenetische Zweikomponenten-Silencing-Systeme zur Manipulation von $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-Strömen zu entwickeln und zu implementieren. Der cGMP-gesteuerte $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-Kanal BeCNG1 stammt aus dem aquatischen Pilz Blastocladiella emersonii, bei dem der Kanal und BeCycIOp für die phototaktische Reaktion der Zoospore verantwortlich sind. Dieser Mechanismus wurde adaptiert und nach seiner Funktionalität in Körperwandmuskelzellen von $C$. elegans durch Körperlängenmessungen bewertet, wobei das System in der Lage war, die Zellen leicht zu hyperpolarisieren (~1 \% Körperverlängerung innerhalb von $\sim 3 \mathrm{~s}$ ), ein Effekt, der auch nach Ausschalten des Lichts bestehen blieb. Ein zweiter Ansatz koppelt den cAMP-gesteuerten $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-Kanal SthK aus Spirochaeta thermophila entweder mit einer der generierten membrangebundenen photoaktivierbaren Adenylylzyklasen oder dem löslichen bPAC. Unter den untersuchten Systemen stechen die Kombinationen SthK; bPAC und SthK; $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ durch ihre starken und langanhaltenden Hyperpolarisationseffekte hervor. In den Körperwandmuskelzellen bewirkten sie eine Verlängerung der Körperlänge von ~4 bzw. ~3 \%. Bemerkenswert ist, dass bei SthK; bPAC die induzierte Muskelhyperpolarisation bis zu etwa 10 Minuten nach der Lichtapplikation anhielt. In cholinergen Neuronen verringerten sie die

Schwimmfrequenzen um etwa 84,4 bzw. 72,3 \%. Darüber hinaus wurde der Kanal mit $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$ kombiniert, einem Enzym, das sich durch die Erzeugung geringer Mengen von cAMP auszeichnet. In den Muskelzellen der Körperwand löste das System die stärkste Muskelhyperpolarisation unter den untersuchten Systemen aus ( $\sim 5$ \%), wobei die Wirkung einige Sekunden nach dem Ausschalten des Lichts nachließ. Die Expression von SthK reduzierte jedoch die basale Schwimmfrequenz der Tiere, unabhängig vom Zelltyp (Körperwandmuskelzellen oder cholinerge Neuronen). Die basale Schwimmfrequenz wurde durch die Koexpression des Kanals mit den photoaktivierbaren Adenylylzyklasen weiter reduziert (mit Ausnahme von BeCyclOp(A-3x) in Körperwandmuskelzellen). Für das System SthK; bPAC war die Voraktivierung bereits im Dunkeln beträchtlich, weshalb diese Kombination in $C$. elegans nur von begrenztem Nutzen zu sein scheint, es sei denn, eine dauerhafte $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-basierte Hemmung des gewünschten Zelltyps wird angestrebt. Außerdem zeigten die Systeme SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) und SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) eine erhebliche Voraktivierung im Dunkeln in cholinergen Motoneuronen. Die Expression von YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) und geringen Mengen von SthK in cholinergen Motoneuronen stellte die basale Schwimmfrequenz wieder her, es wurde jedoch keine lichtabhängige Hemmung beobachtet. Nichtsdestotrotz würde das System ein leistungsfähiges Silencing-System für cholinerge Motoneuronen darstellen, da YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) durch die Erzeugung hoher Amplituden von cAMP gekennzeichnet ist und im Vergleich zu BeCyclOp(A-2x) weniger oder keine Dunkelaktivität zeigt. Somit kann die relative Expression weiter titriert werden, um ein Optimum für dieses System zu erreichen.

Ein weiterer Teil dieser Arbeit zielte auf die Identifizierung möglicher cAMPEffektoren ab, die an der cAMP-induzierten Hyperpolarisation der Körperwandmuskelzellen von C. elegans beteiligt sind. J. Nagpal, ein ehemaliger Doktorand im Gottschalk-Labor, fand heraus, dass die optogenetische cAMPErzeugung durch bPAC in C. elegans Körperwandmuskelzellen eine Muskelrelaxation bewirkt, d. h. eine Zunahme der Körperlänge um etwa 2 \%. Um einen möglichen Mechanismus für die cAMP-induzierte Muskelrelaxation zu untersuchen, wurde ein cAMP-modulierter lonenkanal in der Plasmamembran vermutet. Um lonenkanäle mit einer cAMP-Bindungsdomäne zu identifizieren, wurde ein Sequenz-Alignment der regulatorischen Untereinheit Ria der PKA gegen das $C$. elegans Genom durchgeführt, wobei vier zyklische Nukleotid-gesteuerte Ionenkanäle
(CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3 und CHE-6) und zwei spannungsgesteuerte Kaliumkanäle (EGL-2, UNC-103) identifiziert wurden. Mit Hilfe von S. Zhou und A. Pieragnolo wurden Stämme erzeugt, die bPAC in Muskelzellen mit doppeltem Mutationshintergrund (Funktionsverlust des Kanals und lite-1(ce314)) und mit Funktionsverlust des Kanals exprimieren. Die Untersuchung der optogenetisch ausgelösten Muskelrelaxation in doppeltem Mutanten Hintergrund wurde von S. Zhou in ihrer BSc Thesis durchgeführt. Die optogenetische cAMP-Erzeugung führte bei allen doppelten Mutationshintergründen zu keinen oder sehr schwachen Körperdehnungen (+1 \%). Bei der Analyse des Schwimmverhaltens stellte sie fest, dass die cAMP-Erzeugung durch bPAC bei den meisten Mutanten Stämmen die Schwimmgeschwindigkeit der Tiere stark verringerte (~52-72 \% nach 60 s Lichtapplikation; $470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 0,2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), wenn auch nicht in dem Maße wie bei der lite-1(ce314) Kontrolle und der Mutante egl-2(rg4); lite-1(ce314) (~77 \%). Bemerkenswert ist, dass die bPAC-Aktivierung im unc-103(n1213); lite-1(ce314) Hintergrund eine verzögerte und geringere Abnahme der Schwimmfrequenz (21 \%) bewirkte. Bei den Kanalverlustmutanten reduzierte die optogenetische cAMPGenerierung die Schwimmzyklen bei allen Stämmen stark (~64-89 \% nach 60 s Lichtapplikation; $470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 0,2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), wobei bei den Mutanten che-6(e1126)IV (60 \%), unc-103(n1213) (64 \%), egl-2(rg4) (64 \%) und cng-3(jh113) (67 \%) die Reduktionen nicht das Ausmaß der Wildtypkontrolle (75 \%) erreichten. Im Gegensatz dazu wurden bei den Mutanten cng-2(tm4267) (82 \%) und cng-1(jh111) (89 \%) stärkere Reduzierungen des Schwimmzyklus festgestellt. Zusammenfassend lässt sich sagen, dass die bPAC-Aktivierung hinsichtlich der Kanäle CNG-3, CHE-6 und UNC-103 eine geringfügigere Verringerung der Schwimmgeschwindigkeit in den doppelten Mutationshintergründen und Kanalverlustmutanten im Vergleich zu den Kontrollen lite-1(ce314) und Wildtyp verursachte, was auf eine mögliche Rolle der Kanäle bei der cAMP-induzierten Muskelhyperpolarisation hindeutet.


#### Abstract

In the past decade, the optogenetic toolbox for the manipulation of ion currents and cNMP levels in Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) expanded. However, the implemented tools for cAMP generation were soluble enzymes (euPAC, bPAC, llaC22 k27 and PaaC) and thus they do not precisely mimic physiological cAMP signalling occurring in microdomains in close proximity to the plasma membrane. Here, cAMP is predominantly generated by membrane-bound adenylyl cyclases, that are located in microdomains together with G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), protein kinase A (PKA) and their targets, enabling spatially and temporal regulation of cAMP signalling. For this reason, one aim of this study was to develop and implement membrane bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases for the manipulation of cAMP mediated signalling in close proximity to the plasma membrane. For this purpose, the guanylyl cyclase domains of the Blastocladiella and Catenaria Cyclase Opsins (CyclOps) were mutated to adenylyl cyclases either by introducing the mutations E497K and C566D (abbreviated as (A-2x)) or by the mutations E497K, H564D, and C566T (abbreviated as (A-3x)).

To determine the nucleotide specificity switch from GTP to ATP and the extent of light-dependent cAMP generation, the engineered enzymes were expressed in body wall muscle cells of $C$. elegans and in vitro cNMP measurements using C. elegans extracts were performed. Here, the highest levels of light induced cAMP generation during sustained stimulation ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}, 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ) were detected for the variants $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$, YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x), and YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) (39, 57, 40 nM , respectively), though they did not reach the extent produced by the soluble bPAC ( 142 nM ). In contrast, low magnitudes of generated cAMP were measured for the versions $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 x$ ) and $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x}$ ) (8 and 7 nM , respectively). Importantly, no obvious residual cGMP and basal activity was ascertained for any of the engineered enzymes.

To assess their potential to trigger and modulate cAMP mediated cholinergic neurotransmission, and to evaluate the influence of cytosolic and membrane proximal optogenetic cAMP generation, the enzymes were expressed in cholinergic motor neurons and compared to the implemented soluble bPAC via locomotion behaviour


analysis on solid and in liquid media. Photoactivation of $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x), and YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) caused similarly enhanced or even more potent behavioural changes (swimming and crawling) as bPAC, whereas a more rapidly decaying response was observed for the bPAC evoked effects. Moreover, an increased diversity of the behavioural output was detected for cytosolic cAMP production by bPAC, i.e. increased bending angles and a decreased body length.

Confocal fluorescence microscopy was performed to examine the expression levels of YFP-tagged enzymes in cholinergic neurons, whereas both YFP-CyclOp(A-2x)s were expressed at similar levels, but $\sim 1.4$-fold lower relative to the soluble bPACYFP. To compare the amount of light-dependent cAMP generation bPAC and $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ at light conditions that match the conditions of the behavioural experiments ( 30 s ), cAMP measurements using C. elegans extracts were performed, whereas $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ depicted a 4 -fold lower amount of optogenetic cAMP production than the soluble bPAC.

In sum, local (membrane proximal) cAMP generation by the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases may more specifically activate cAMP dependent neurotransmission of cholinergic motor neurons than cytosolic cAMP generation, i.e. an increased mobilization and priming/docking of synaptic vesicles and an increased filling of the synaptic vesicles with the neurotransmitter acetylcholine and thus an increase in locomotion behaviour.

The optogenetic toolbox for the manipulation of cGMP mediated signalling in $C$. elegans consisted of the natural membrane-bound BeCyclOp and the artificial soluble bPGC. The latter generates cGMP with low efficiency and slow kinetics ( $\sim 0.2$ cGMP $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ), whereas BeCyclOp enables the production of much larger amounts of cGMP $(L / D=5000)$ at a high turnover rate $\left(\sim 17 \mathrm{cGMP} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$. Thus, one aim of this thesis was to implement a tool with features in between those of BeCyclOp and bPGC. Several orthologous CyclOps were assessed by Gao et al., 2015 for light-regulated cGMP production by in vitro assays based on the measurement of the cNMP content from CyclOp containing oocyte membranes. Here, CaCycIOp showed the highest ratio of light versus dark activity ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}=230$ ) after BeCyclOp, and thus was selected for characterization in C. elegans. Photoactivation of $\mathbf{C a C y c l O p} ;$ TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells caused slower and less pronounced body contractions compared to BeCyclOp; TAX-2/-4 ( $\sim 3.5 \%$ peak contraction with a contraction on rate of $\sim 0.88 \mathrm{~s}$
for CaCyclOp vs $\sim 9 \%$ peak contraction and a contraction on rate of $\sim 0.23$ s for BeCyclOp), whereas photoactivation of bPGC; TAX-2/-4 caused the slightest body contraction, i.e. $\sim 2.5 \%$ peak contraction with a contraction on rate of $\sim 1.78 \mathrm{~s}$. To determine the nucleotide specificity and the extent of light-dependent cGMP generation, in vitro cNMP measurements using $C$. elegans extracts were performed, whereas bPGC and CaCyclOp produced comparable cGMP levels (18, 13 nM , respectively), and highest cGMP level was determined for BeCyclOp (74nM). For all analysed photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, light saturation conditions in C. elegans were reached at intensities of $\geq 0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, that is comparable to applied intensities for channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) activation. In sum, BeCyclOp is the most potent tool for cGMP generation, and CaCyclOp is a useful, membrane-bound alternative to the soluble bPGC.

Beyond their primary application as optogenetic tools for cNMP generation, the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases can be coupled to cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (CNGCs) to manipulate the membrane potential of excitable cells. The benefit of these systems is their reduce need for light, since second messenger generation is accompanied by amplification of the primary signal. The TAX-2/-4 channel is mainly gated by cGMP, but also by cAMP at high concentrations $\left(E C_{50}{ }^{\text {cGMP }}=8.4 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{CAMP}}=300 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. The TAX-2/-4 CNGC was combined in body wall muscle cells with either wild type CaCyclOp or the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and evaluated and compared via body length analysis to the established systems TAX-2/-4; BeCycIOp, TAX-2/-4; bPAC and TAX$2 /-4$; bPGC. None of the investigated systems were able to evoke comparably strong and fast depolarization effects as the TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp system. However, the provoked muscle depolarizations are characterized by different orders of magnitudes and short- and long-lasting effects. Moreover, no desensitization was detected for TAX-2/-4 coupled to $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}, \mathrm{bPGC}$ or $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, as it was observed for the system TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp. In sum, a comprehensive multicomponent optogenetic toolbox for cell depolarization was established, whereas the systems differ in their efficiency, enzymatic properties, kinetics and short- and long-lasting effects.

So far, the proton pump archaerhodopsin-3 (Arch), the chloride pump halorhodopsin ( NpHR ), and the anion rhodopsin (GtACR) have been established as hyperpolarizing optogenetic tools in C. elegans. However, their application is accompanied by
undesired changes in ion distribution across the membrane and requires continuous light stimulation. For this reason, one aim of this study was to develop and implement two-component optogenetic silencing systems for the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents. The cGMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channel BeCNG1 channel originates from the aquatic fungus Blastocladiella emersonii, in which the channel and BeCyclOp are responsible for the phototactic response of the zoospore. This mechanism was adapted and assessed after its functionality in C. elegans body wall muscle cells via body length measurements, where the system was able to slightly hyperpolarize the cells ( $\sim 1$ \% body elongation within $\sim 3 s$ ), an effect that remained even after turning off light. A second approach couples the cAMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channel SthK from Spirochaeta thermophila with either one of the generated membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases or the soluble bPAC. Amongst the analysed systems, the combinations SthK; bPAC and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) protruded due to their strong and long-lasting hyperpolarization effects. In body wall muscle cells, they evoked body length elongations of $\sim 4$ and $\sim 3 \%$, respectively. Noteworthy, for SthK; bPAC the induced muscle hyperpolarization lasted up to about 10 min after light application. In cholinergic neurons, they decreased the swimming frequencies by about 84.4 and 72.3 \%, respectively. In addition, the channel was combined with BeCyclOp(A-3x), an enzyme that is characterized by the generation of low levels of cAMP. In body wall muscle cells, the system provoked the strongest muscle hyperpolarization amongst the analysed systems ( $\sim 5$ \%), whereas the effect decayed a few seconds after turning off light. However, expression of SthK alone reduced the basal swimming frequency of the animals, independent of the cell type (body wall muscle cells or cholinergic neurons). The basal swimming rate was further reduced by co-expression of the channel with the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases (with exception of BeCyclOp(A-3x) in body wall muscle cells). The pre-activation was already substantial in dark for the system SthK; bPAC, wherefor this combination appears of limited use in C. elegans unless researchers aimed on permanent $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-based inhibition of the desired cell type. Also, the systems SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) exhibited substantial pre-activation in dark in cholinergic motor neurons. Expression of YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) and low levels of SthK in cholinergic motor neurons restored the basal swimming frequency, however, no light dependent inhibition was observed. Nevertheless, the system would represent a powerful silencing system for cholinergic motor neurons, as YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) is
characterized by the generation of high amplitudes of cAMP, and in relation to $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ depicts less or no dark activity. Thus, the relative expression can be further titrated to achieve an optimum for this system.

Another part of this work aimed on the identification of possible cAMP effectors involved in cAMP-induced hyperpolarization of C. elegans body wall muscle cells. J. Nagpal, a former PhD student in the Gottschalk lab, found that optogenetic cAMP generation by bPAC in C. elegans muscle cells caused muscle relaxation, i.e. evoked an increase in the body length of about $2 \%$. To investigate a possible mechanism for cAMP induced muscle relaxation, a cAMP modulated ion channel in the plasma membrane was assumed. To identify ion channels with a cAMP binding domain, a sequence alignment of PKA's regulatory subunit Ria against the C. elegans genome was executed, identifying four cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels (CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3 and CHE-6) and two voltage-gated potassium channels (EGL-2, UNC-103). With the help of S. Zhou and A. Pieragnolo, strains expressing bPAC in muscle cells of double mutant background (channel loss of function and lite-1(ce314)), and in channel loss of function background were generated. Investigation of optogenetically triggered muscle relaxation in double mutant background was performed by S. Zhou in her BSc thesis. Optogenetic cAMP generation in all channel loss of function lite1(ce314) mutants evoked no or very weak body elongations (+1 \%). For swimming behaviour analysis, she found that cAMP generation by bPAC in most mutant strains strongly decreased the swimming rates of the animals ( $\sim 52-72 \%$ after 60 s light application; $470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), though they did not reach the extent as detected for the lite-1(ce314) control and the mutant egl-2(rg4); lite-1(ce314) (~77 \%). Noteworthy, bPAC activation in unc-103(n1213); lite-1(ce314) background caused a delayed and smaller decrease of the swimming frequency (21 \%). In channel loss of function mutants, optogenetic cAMP generation strongly reduced the swimming cycles for all strains ( $\sim 64-89 \%$ after 60 s light application; $470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), whereby for the mutants che-6(e1126)IV (60 \%), unc-103(n1213) (64 \%), egl-2(rg4) (64 \%) and cng-3(jh113) (67 \%) the reductions reached not the extend as the wild type control (75\%). In contrast, stronger swimming cycle reductions were detected for the mutants cng-2(tm4267) (82 \%) and cng-1(jh111) (89 \%). In sum, for CNG-3, CHE-6, and UNC-103, bPAC activation caused minor swimming rate reductions in channel loss of function; lite-1(ce314) and channel loss of function backgrounds
compared to the controls lite-1(ce314) and wild type, suggesting a potential role for the channels in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Optogenetics

Optogenetics combines genetic and optical techniques to control and monitor cellular activities in a spatiotemporally highly defined manner within biological systems as complex as freely moving mammals (wormDeisseroth, 2015; Knöpfel et al., 2010). For this purpose, photosensitive proteins are genetically targeted to particular cell types, whereas fluorescent reporters are used to monitor and optogenetic actuators to modulate cellular activities (Rost et al., 2017). In neuroscience, optogenetics is a well-established technique because optogenetic modulation matches the speed of electrical neuronal activity, allows experimental intervention limited to specific time periods, and it enables genetically targeted and cell-type specific expression of photosensitive proteins (Rost et al., 2017). Application of optogenetics facilitates new insights into a broad range of scientific questions e.g. in behaviour, physiology and pathology (Deisseroth, 2015). In the past decades, several optogenetic tools were developed and implemented for distinct applications in various model organisms, including tools for e.g. neuronal activation (e.g. channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)) and silencing (e.g. Natronomonas pharaonis halorhodopsin (NpHR)), G-protein activation (e.g. OptoXR), second messenger manipulation (e.g. Blastocladiella emersonii guanylyl cyclase rhodopsin (BeCyclOp)), monitoring of ion concentrations (e.g. GCaMP), and membrane voltage reporters (e.g. QuasAr) (Azimi Hashemi et al., 2019; Boyden et al., 2005; Gao et al., 2015; Husson et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2005; Nagel et al., 2005; Rost et al., 2017; Scheib et al., 2015).

### 1.2. Sensory photoreceptors

Sensory photoreceptors are light-sensitive proteins that are responsible for the adjustment of the cellular activity to ambient light conditions and are involved in processes such as photosynthesis, bioluminescence, vision, and biological rhythms of organisms (Rost et al., 2017). They could be found in all kingdoms of life and include seven main protein classes: rhodopsins, blue light receptors using flavine adenine dinucleotide (BLUF), light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) sensors, photoactive yellow
proteins (or xanthopsins), cryptochromes, phytochromes, and UV-B receptors (UVR8) (Ahmad et al., 1993; Gomelsky et al., 2002; Hoff et al., 1997; Huala et al., 1997; Kort et al., 1996; Quail, 1998; Rost et al., 2017; Spudich et al., 2000; van der Horst et al., 2004). In contrast to UVR8 receptors that utilizes intrinsic tryptophan clusters, the photoreceptors bind exogenous cofactors, termed chromophores, that absorb light and transfer energy to the protein scaffolds. The chromophores comprise conjugated $\pi$ - systems that allows the delocalization of electrons and, depending on the size of the system, the absorption of light in a range between $300-800 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Rost et al., 2017). Photon absorption evokes photochemical transformations (i.e. cis-trans isomerization, photoelectron transfer, rearrangement of hydrogen bonds, or flavin mononucleotide (FMN)-cysteinyl adduct formation) in the chromophores that usually cause conformational changes in the protein backbone, which are finally transmitted to the respective effector domains, leading to receptor activation and signal propagation (Shcherbakova et al., 2015). Depending on the light-sensitive protein, three types of signal transmission are distinguished: Transduction within a photoreceptor that combine sensory and receptor functions (e.g. ChR2), transmission from the sensory to the effector domain (e.g. BLUF proteins), and propagation to distinct interacting protein partners (e.g. visual rhodopsins). Complementary to the natural sensory photoreceptors, synthetic photoreceptors were engineered via modification and recombination of existing photoreceptors (Figure 1) (Rost et al., 2017). The families of the rhodopsins and BLUF and their application as optogenetic tools are described in more detail in the following subsections.


Figure 1: Overview of particular sensory photoreceptor classes and their associated chromophores and primary photochemical reactions. Left) Illustrated are the chemical structures of flavin mononucleotide (FMN), flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), retinal, phycocyanobilin ( $P C B$ ), phytochromobilin ( $P \Phi B$ ), and biliverdin ( $B V$ ), and their primary photochemistry. The colour-scale bar depicts their absorption maximum wavelength in their natural photoreceptor. Right) Schematic domain architecture of following natural photore ceptor classes: flavoproteins with light-oxygen-voltage (LOV) and flavine adenine dinucleotide (BLUF) domains, cryptochromes, opsins, plant and cyanobacterial phytochromes, and bacterial phytochromes. Shown are the domain architectures for an example of each receptor class. Abbreviations: Asphot1, Avena sativa phototropin 1; AtCRY2, Arabidopsis thaliana cryptochrome 2; AtPhyB, A. thaliana phytochrome B; CrChR2, Chlamydomonas reinhardtii channelrhodopsin-2; DrBphP, Deinococcus radiodurans bacterial phytochrome; LOV, light-oxygen voltage-sensing. Image adapted from (Shcherbakova et al., 2015).

### 1.2.1. Rhodopsins

Rhodopsins are integral membrane proteins which can be found in all domains of live - Archea, Bacteria and Eukaryotes, where they are responsible for light sensation and responses. Rhodopsins consist out of an opsin apoprotein moiety and a linked retinal as chromophore (aldehyde of vitamin A). The retinal chromophore is responsible for photon absorption, which causes an isomerization of the polyene
backbone of retinal at different positions (depending on the class of rhodopsin) and which is further transmitted to the opsin moiety and triggers the initiation of intra- or intercellular signalling. Rhodopsins are classified into two subfamilies - type I rhodopsins (microbial rhodopsins) and type II rhodopsins (animal rhodopsins) (Spudich et al., 2000). Microbial rhodopsins are utilized by lower organisms (prokaryotes, algae, fungi), whereas animal rhodopsins are used by higher eukaryotes (Palczewski, 2006; Spudich, 2006). Though the sequence homology between both types is extremely low, they share a common structural architecture the opsin domain consists of seven transmembrane (TM) $\alpha$-helices with an extracellular N - and cytosolic C-terminus (Figure 2) (Man et al., 2003; Soppa, 1994; Spudich et al., 2000). The retinal chromophore is attached to the opsin protein via a Schiff base (RSB) linkage to the $\varepsilon$-amino group of a conserved lysine residue in TM7. In most cases, the retinal Schiff base is protonated ( $\mathrm{RSBH}^{+}$) and stabilized by conserved counterion residues containing carboxylate side chains, and changes in the protonation state are crucial for its function (Ernst et al., 2014). Microbial rhodopsins bind retinal in the all-trans configuration in dark state, which isomerizes to the 13-cis configuration upon photon absorption. In contrast to this, animal rhodopsins bind 11-cis retinal in dark state, that photo-isomerizes to all-trans retinal (ATR) (Nakanishi, 1991; Spudich et al., 2000). In microbial rhodopsins, the activated retinal chromophore remains covalently attached to the opsin partner and thermally reverts to the all-trans configuration, whereas in animal rhodopsins retinal dissociates after isomerization and a novel 11-cis retinal has to be recruited (Zhang et al., 2011). While animal rhodopsins are specialized G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), microbial rhodopsins act as light-driven ion pumps, light-gated ion channels or light sensors which couple to transducer proteins (Klare et al., 2008).


Figure 2: Schematic representation of the architecture of rhodopsins. A) The opsin protein consists of seven transmembrane (TM) $\alpha$-helices with an extracellular $N$ - and cytosolic C -terminus. The retinal chromophore is bound to the $\varepsilon$-amino group of a conserved lysine residue in helix $G$ (TM7) via a Schiff base bond (RSB). The TM helices in microbial hodopsins are referred to as helix A-G and TM1-7 in animal rhodopsins. B) Representation of the bacteriorhodopsin structure (PDB ID: 1C3W). Image adapted from (Ernst et al., 2014).

In microbial rhodopsins, photon absorption by the retinal chromophore and the accompanying isomerization from the all-trans to the 13-cis configuration triggers a cyclic reaction of the retinal/opsin ensemble, termed as `photocycle`. A typical photocycle for microbial rhodopsins, exemplified for bacteriorhodopsin (BR) is illustrated in figure 3 (Balashov et al., 2001; Haupts et al., 1999; Herzfeld et al., 2002; Lanyi, 2004; Mathies et al., 1991). Here, spectrally distinct intermediates sequentially
 recovered. The spectroscopic features of the individual intermediates are dependent on the isomeric configuration, planarity, and the protonation state of the retinal, ion changes, water molecules, and the position of the surrounding protein. The redshifted K intermediate is followed by the blue-shifted L intermediate, which serves as precursor of the proton transfer reaction from the $\mathrm{RSBH}^{+}$to its primary carboxyl proton acceptor. This transfer leads to the formation of the blue-shifted $M$ intermediate (Braiman et al., 1980; Lozier et al., 1975). Regarding microbial chloride pumps, no deprotonation of the $\mathrm{RSBH}^{+}$occurs, and the L intermediate is directly converted into the $N$ intermediate (Varo et al., 1995). In contrast, the $N$ intermediate in microbial proton-pumps is formed due to reprotonation of the RSB. The N
intermediate is characterized by the largest influence on the conformation of the protein moiety (Klare et al., 2004). The photocycle ends with the formation of the redshifted O intermediate and the recovery of the ground state.

In general, the de- and reprotonation of the RSB evoke conformational changes of the protein moiety, leading to functionally relevant events such as opening or closing of a pore, changes of the surface accessibility or the activation of transducers (Govorunova et al., 2017). Further, the molecular dynamics of the photocycle are linked to the protein function, i.e. for ion-pumping rhodopsins, one ion is actively transported per photocycle, and fast kinetics determine the pump efficacy at high light intensities, whereas sensory rhodopsins depict slow kinetics for proper signalpropagation from the signalling state of the sensor to the effector (Mukherjee et al., 2019).


Figure 3: Overview of the photocycle of microbial rhodopsins. The photocycle is initiated by photon absorption and accompanying isomerization from the all-trans to the 13-cis configuration of the retinal. A proton transfer reaction from the RSBH+ of the L intermediate to its primary carboxyl proton acceptor leads to the formation of the M state, followed by reprotonation of the RSB and formation of the $N$ intermediate. Thermal reisomerization of retinal leads to formation of the $O$ intermediate and return to the ground state. The photocycle intermediates and their properties are derived from bacteriorhodopsin, where $K$ and $O$ are red-shifted states, whereas $L, M$, and $N$ are blue-shifted intermediates. Image adapted from (Ernst et al., 2014).

### 1.2.1.1. Cyclase Opsins

The Cyclase Opsins (CyclOps), also termed as rhodopsin guanylyl cyclases (RhGCs), belong to the recently identified class of enzymerhodopsins. This family is characterized by the combination of an N-terminal type-1 rhodopsin domain and a Cterminal enzyme domain, and besides to the CyclOps, it encompasses the histidine kinase rhodopsins (HKRs) and rhodopsin phosphodiesterases (RhoPDEs) (Mukherjee et al., 2019). Several orthologous CyclOps were found in the genomes of related fungi and assessed in Xenopus oocytes with respect to light-regulated $3^{`}, 5^{`}-$ cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) production. Whereas the Blastocladiella emersonii (Be) and Catenaria anguillulae (Ca) CyclOps produced cGMP upon activation, the other CyclOps had no or little light-dependent enzymatic activity (Gao et al., 2015). BeCyclOp and CaCyclOp depict $77 \%$ sequence similarity, with the largest differences occurring within the $N$-terminal domain and the TM helices four and five, including the helix 4/5-loop (Scheib et al., 2018). Both CyclOps were characterized and implemented as membrane-bound optogenetic tools for cGMP generation in various cells and organisms. Both proteins depict a high substrate specificity towards GTP, no detectable dark activity and light versus dark activities of L/D = 230 (CaCyclOp) and L/D = 5000 (BeCyclOp) (Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2015). Furthermore, both proteins were converted into adenylyl cyclases by mutating two to three key amino acids in the active site, generating membrane-bound optogenetic tools for $3^{\prime}, 5^{`}$-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) production. They are characterized by the production of cAMP with high specificity and by light versus dark activities of L/D $=220$ (YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x)) and L/D = 280 (YFP-CaCyclOp(A$2 x)$ ) in Xenopus oocyte membranes, and of L/D ratios of 12 ( $\operatorname{BeCycIOp(A2x)),30}$ (YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x)), and 13 (YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x)) in Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans) extracts (Henss et al., 2021; Scheib et al., 2018).

CyclOps are particular proteins because they combine a microbial type-I rhodopsin domain and a C-terminal guanylyl cyclase domain. In contrast to microbial rhodopsins, they have an additional TM helix (helix 0) and an intracellularly located $N$-terminus that includes an extra helix (helix -1) (Figure 4) (Gao et al., 2015; Mukherjee et al., 2019; Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015). The guanylyl cyclase domain is connected to the rhodopsin moiety via 50 amino acids with pronounced heptad repeats which is typical for coiled-coil structures. Interestingly, mutations
affecting the coiled-coil structure cause the loss of photoregulation (Mukherjee et al., 2019). Further, the linker includes a conserved stretch of 19 amino acids, the cyclase transducing element, which is also present in class III nucleotidyl cyclases (Govorunova et al., 2017; Mukherjee et al., 2019). Truncation of the N-terminal domain affects the enzymatic activity of the guanylyl cyclase moiety, thus indicating its functional relevance for the regulation and activation of the activity of the guanylyl cyclase domain (Fischer et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2015).


Figure 4: Schematic illustration of the CyclOp domain architecture and proposed model for light-induced activation of the guanylyl cyclase domain of CyclOps. CyclOps are composed out of a microbial type-1 rhodopsin domain, an additional transmembrane helix (helix 0), an intracellularly located $N$-terminus including a further helix (helix -1), and a cytosolic guanylyl cyclase domain (GC), which is connected to the rhodopsin domain via a linker domain. Upon green light activation, the proteins generate the formation of cGMP out of GTP. The substrate GTP is bound by the guanylyl cyclase domain in dark state. The formation of cGMP is initiated by the absorption of a photon by the retinal chromophore and the consequent isomerization leading to the transition of the rhodopsin moiety into the $M$ intermediate, where the $N$-terminus either interacts with the linker or is pulled off the $\beta 4 / 5$-loop at the guanylyl cyclase moiety, causing the conversion of the guanylyl cyclase domain to an active state. The interaction between the positively charged residue R577 and the $\alpha$-phosphate enables the nucleophilic attack of the ribose $-3^{\prime}-\mathrm{OH}$ group and the cleavage of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond. Image adapted from (Fischer et al., 2021).

The rhodopsin moiety of the CyclOps contains the hydrophobic retinal binding pocket and shares conserved photochemically active residues with other microbial rhodopsins, i.e. lysine for retinal binding and an aspartate-cysteine pair, disruption of which decelerates the photocycle kinetics (Figure 5) (Fischer et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2015). Recently, photocycle kinetics for the wild type BeCycIOp and CaCyclOp rhodopsin domain were determined via UV/Vis spectroscopy and
laser flash photolysis (Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015). The CaCyclOp rhodopsin moiety maximally absorbs light at 540 nm and converts to an early red shifted K-intermediate after photon absorption. In contrast to BeCyclOp rhodopsin, two blue-shifted L1 and L2 intermediates are formed in CaCyclOp rhodopsin before the RSB deprotonates to build the M-intermediate (active state), which recovers back to the initial ground state. While in CaCyclOp rhodopsin the M -state evolves within 31.4 ms and decays within 571 ms , in BeCyclOp rhodopsin the formation and decay are significantly faster ( 8 ms and 93 ms , respectively) (Fischer et al., 2021; Penzkofer et al., 2017; Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015).


Figure 5: Schematic overview of the conserved photochemically active residues of microbial rhodopsins and the CaCycIOp rhodopsin domain photocycle. A) Sequence alignment of rhodopsin sequences from RhoPDE (S. rosetta), RhoGC (C. anguillulae), ChR-2 and HKR1 (C. reinhardtii), sensory rhodopsin II (SRII, N. pharaonis) and BR (H. salinarum). Conserved photochemically active residues are highlighted in black (retinal-binding lysine), red (counterion/proton acceptor of RSB), orange (proton-release complex), and green (aspartatecysteine gate). B) Photocycle model of CaCyclOp rhodopsin domain. Photocycle intermediates (K, L1, L2, and M) and life times were determined via spectroscopic analysis (evolutionary-associated difference spectra) of purified CaCyclOp rhodopsin domain. Images adapted and modified from (Mukherjee et al., 2019; Scheib et al., 2018).

The CyclOps guanylyl cyclase domain belongs to the class III cyclic nucleoside-3`,5`monophosphate (cNMP) cyclases which can be found in prokaryotes to mammalians (Figure 6). Recently, the crystal structure of the adenylyl cyclase domain (cocrystallized in the presence of the inhibitor adenosine triphosphate (ATPaS) of the mutated CaCycIOp was solved (Scheib et al., 2018). The adenylyl cyclase domain showed the classical class III cyclase fold characterized by a central 7 stranded $\beta$ sheet which is shielded by 3 helices and depicts the functional homodimeric head-to-
tail arrangement (Scheib et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 1997). The inhibitor is bound by two symmetric active sites at the dimer interface, and residues anchoring the adenine and phosphate moiety belong to distinct monomers, thus supporting a cyclization mechanism which involves the movement of the monomers to enable substrate alignment, formation of the transition state, and catalytic turnover (Scheib et al., 2018). The cyclization of nucleoside triphosphate (NTP) is executed via an intramolecular nucleophilic substitution (SN2), which is initiated by the attack of the ribose-3`-OH oxygen at Pa (Gerlt et al., 1980; Sinha et al., 2006; Steegborn, 2014). Two metal ions ( $A$ and $B$ ) participate in catalysis - one binds the NTP substrate (via triphosphate) and one facilitates the deprotonation of the ribose-3'-OH (Steegborn et al., 2005; Tesmer et al., 1999).

Interestingly, the guanylyl cyclase domain depicts a constitutive activity in Escherichia coli (E. coli) cells, thus implicating a strong suppression of its activity in darkness to enable light-regulation of the full-length protein. Besides to this, the fulllength CyclOps are $\sim 3-5$-fold more active during light application compared to the isolated guanylyl cyclase domains. Thus, the activated rhodopsin domain stabilizes an enzyme conformation which promotes guanylyl cyclase activity (Fischer et al., 2021; Scheib et al., 2018).


Figure 6: Schematic overview of the nucleotide binding pocket of class III cyclases and the structure of the CaCycIOp adenylyl cyclase domain. A) Representation of nucleotide binding by the nucleotide binding pocket and conserved residues for substrate binding. Illustrated are the secondary structure elements of the cyclases as cylinder ( $\alpha$-helix) or arrow ( $\beta$-sheet), and conserved residues participating in sugar binding (cyan), phosphate binding (blue), metal ion binding (green), and base-selectivity (red and blue) are emphasized. Sequence alignment of RhGC (C. anguillulae), GC (C. reinhardtii), RetGC (Retinal guanylyl cyclase, human), tmAC C2 (transmembrane adenylyl cyclase, rat), AC (Nostoc sp.), and from bPAC. B) Structure of the homodimeric cyclase domain of CaCycIOp with converted nucleotide specificity (PDB entry 5OYH). One monomer is coloured in red/yellow and one in blue/green. In grey the eukaryotic GC from C. reinhardtii (PDB entry 3ET6) is superimposed to monomer 2. The applied inhibitor ATP ${ }_{\alpha} S$ is shown in red (adenine), cyan (ribose) and blue (phosphate), and the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions as spheres (green). Image adapted and modified from (Mukherjee et al., 2019).

### 1.2.1.2. Microbial rhodopsins as optogenetic actuators

Depending on the organism they originate from, microbial rhodopsins are responsible for the generation of membrane ion gradients for energy production or for photosensory purposes i.e. phototactic and photophobic responses (Rost et al., 2017). Due to their simple structure (combining sensory and receptor functions within a single gene) and fast kinetics, microbial rhodopsins are well suited for optogenetic applications (Zhang et al., 2011). As mentioned, microbial rhodopsins can act as light-driven ion pumps, light-gated ion channels or as light sensors (Figure 7).
microbial rhodopsins


Figure 7: Overview of microbial rhodopsins acting as optogenetic actuators. Microbial rhodopsins can act as proton, sodium, or chloride pumps, or as cation (ChR) or chloride (ACR) conducting ion channels. Light sensors like RhGC catalyse the generation of the second messenger cGMP. Abbreviations: ChR, Channelrhodopsin; ACR, anion channel rhodopsin; RhGC, rhodopsin guanylyl cyclases. Image adapted and modified from (Rost et al., 2017).

In optogenetics, microbial pumps and channels are used to modulate the membrane potential of target cells, whereas microbial sensors are applied for the generation of the second messengers cAMP and cGMP. Upon photon absorption, microbial pumps transport $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$from the cytosol into the extracellular space or transport $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in the opposite direction, and thus are applied to hyperpolarize the plasma membrane of neuronal cells. This can be applied to increase the electrical threshold necessary to evoke action potentials, and to supress the release of neurotransmitters (Chow et al., 2010; El-Gaby et al., 2016; Han et al., 2007; Inoue et al., 2013; Kato et al., 2015; Mahn et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2007b). However, only one ion per absorbed photon is transported within the photocycle, and thus high light intensities together with high protein expression levels are necessary for an effective hyperpolarization of the target cell. For optogenetic silencing of neuronal cells, the microbial proton pumps
archaerhodopsin-3 (Arch) from Halorubrum sodomense or the related archaerhodopsin from the Halorubrum strain TP009 (ArchT) are most commonly used, however, their application is accompanied by changes of the intra- or extracellular pH (Chow et al., 2010; Han et al., 2011; Ihara et al., 1999). A similar efficiency in evoked neuronal hyperpolarization is achieved by chloride pumping halorhodopsins, whereas NpHR has been applied in vitro and in vivo (C. elegans, zebrafish, rodents, and non-human primates) and is a favourite tool for optogenetic silencing due to its superior protein expression level in neurons (Arrenberg et al., 2009; Diester et al., 2011; Gradinaru et al., 2008; Han et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007b). Further, the light driven $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$pump from Krokinobacter eikastus rhodopsin 2 (KR2) was utilized for the hyperpolarization of cultured rat cortical neurons as well as for the inhibition of $C$. elegans locomotion during pan-neuronal activation (Inoue et al., 2013; Kato et al., 2015). Since KR2 induces hyperpolarization without altering proton and chloride concentrations, it would be an interesting inhibitory tool, but its efficacy remains to be tested in various model organisms.

In contrast to microbial pumps, Channelrhodopsins (ChRs) are light-gated cation channels which passively conduct ions along the electrochemical gradients, and thus could be applied to depolarize the plasma membrane of excitable cells (Nagel et al., 2002; Nagel et al., 2003). The ChR prototype is the ChR2 from the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, which was applied for the investigation of numerous neuroscientific questions in different model organisms (Arenkiel et al., 2007; Li et al., 2005; Nagel et al., 2005; Schroll et al., 2006). In recent years, a number of ChR variants were established by engineering approaches and genome mining, establishing an optogenetic toolbox for a broad range of optogenetic applications (Bergs et al., 2018; Schneider et al., 2015). Particularly ChR2 serves as template for protein engineering, whereas the protein was modified by mutations affecting the photocycle kinetics, spectral properties, ion selectivity or conductivity. For example, the introduction of the mutations H134R and T159C leads to an increase of the photocurrents by an improved folding and retinal binding of ChR2, whereas the mutations C128 and/or D156 (termed as step-function opsins (SFOs)) decelerate the photocycle kinetics and generate variants with long-lived open states (Bamann et al., 2010; Berndt et al., 2011; Berndt et al., 2009; Dawydow et al., 2014; Nagel et al., 2005; Schultheis et al., 2011; Yizhar et al., 2011). An advantage of SFOs is that they could be activated by a short light pulse of one wavelength (e.g. blue light) and
closed by the application of light of another wavelength (e.g. red light). In contrast to this, the fast cycling ChRs ChR2-E123T (ChETA), ChR2-E123T/T159C (ChETATc) or the ChR from Stigeoclonium helveticum (Chronos) enable the generation of action potentials at frequencies of up to 200 Hz due to their fast photocycle kinetics (Berndt et al., 2011; Gunaydin et al., 2010; Klapoetke et al., 2014). In addition, ChRs with discrete spectral properties were designed which enable the independent control of two neural populations by light application of different wavelengths, e.g. the blueshifted ChR from Platymonias subcordiformus (PsChR) and Tetraselmis striata (TsChR), the green to orange activated C1V1, ReaChR, and Chrimson (Erbguth et al., 2012; Govorunova et al., 2013; Klapoetke et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2013; Prigge et al., 2012; Rajasethupathy et al., 2015; Yizhar et al., 2011). Besides, ChRs with variations in the ion selectivity exist, encompassing the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$selective ChR from Dunaliella salina (DChR1) or the ChR2 L132C (CatCh) variant with an increased $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ conductance and weak inactivation (Kleinlogel et al., 2011; Schneider et al., 2013). In contrast, the engineered ChRs ChloC and iC1C2 are Cl--selective variants which are applied to inhibit action potentials by clamping the membrane potential to the reversal potential of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(Berndt et al., 2014; Wietek et al., 2014). Further, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$conducting ChRs with increased protein expression levels and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ conductance such as improved ChloC (iChloC) and the chimeric channels iC++ and SwiChR+++ were engineered (Berndt et al., 2016; Wietek et al., 2015). The $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ conducting ChRs are complemented by the natural anion-selective rhodopsins (ACRs) from Guillardia theta and Proteomonas sulcata, which are characterized by a large conductance upon light stimulation (Govorunova et al., 2015; Wietek et al., 2016).

In conclusion, in recent years a large optogenetic toolbox of microbial rhodopsin pumps and channels was established to modulate the neuronal membrane potential in diverse ways. Due to the small size of their protein-encoding genes, their activation and inactivation kinetics in the millisecond timescale, microbial rhodopsins are the most commonly used optogenetic tools.

### 1.2.2. Blue light receptors using FAD as optogenetic actuators

The BLUF photoreceptor family is found in prokaryotes and eukaryotes, in which they are responsible for photoprotective reactions (e.g. phototaxis) or the regulation of lifestyle decisions (e.g. biofilm formation) (Gomelsky et al., 2011; Iseki et al., 2002). BLUF photoreceptors have a variety of architectures and oligomeric forms, but the BLUF receptor module has a well-preserved structure and mechanism. They have a modular architecture composed from an about 100 amino acid long receptor module, C-terminally connected to either effector domains ('group l') or secondary structural elements ('group II') (Park et al., 2017). The receptor domain forms a ferredoxin-like $\beta a \beta \beta \alpha \beta$ fold and binds flavins -FAD/FMN/RF non-covalently as chromophores (Kennis et al., 2013). The effector domain of `group l` receptors has mainly enzymatic activity (e.g. second messenger synthesis and breakdown) or other properties (e.g. transcriptional effector for gene expression), and its activity is modulated by the BLUF module in response to light (Barends et al., 2009; Masuda et al., 2002; Ryu et al., 2010; Stierl et al., 2011).

The BLUF modules contain conserved tyrosine, glutamine and methionine residues, which are essential for their function (Park et al., 2017). After photon absorption, a rearrangement of hydrogen bonds around the flavin chromophore takes place, whereas a red-shifted intermediate is formed and the signal is transmitted to the respective effector domain (Figure 8) (Kennis et al., 2013).


Figure 8: Schematic overview of BLUF proteins and light induced signal transduction. A) Structure of the OaPAC homodimer, wherein the subunits comprise a BLUF domain fused to a Cterminal adenylyl cyclase domain via a helical region. Photon absorption by the flavin mononucleotide (FMN) chromophore is transmitted via the helical linker to the adenylyl cyclase domain. B) Representation of the BLUF domain of OaPAC. The FMN chromophore is bound via hydrogen bonds with the conserved residues Asn-30 and Gln-48 in the BLUF domain. C) Photocycle of BLUF domains. Photon absorption by the chromophore (FAD) causes a tautomerisation of a conserved glutamine side chain. The adjacent conserved tyrosine residue modulates the $\beta$-sheet structure of the BLUF domain, transmitting the energy to the effector domain of the protein. The photocycle includes a sub nanosecond proton transfer and a photoreceptor dependent relaxation constant (s to h). Images adapted and modified from (Kennis et al., 2013; Park et al., 2017).

For optogenetic purposes, BLUF photoreceptors are applied to control the level of the cNMP second messengers. Here, the euPAC from Euglena gracilis, bPAC from Beggiatoa, and OaPAC from Oscillatora acuminate, which are composed out of a BLUF domain which is fused to an adenylyl cyclase domain, are established for the generation of the second messenger cAMP. The first applied photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase was the PACa subunit from euPAC that was used to modulate the cAMP concentrations in sensory neurons of Aplysia, neuronal populations of Drosophila melanogaster and in cholinergic neurons of C. elegans (Bellmann et al., 2010; Nagahama et al., 2007; Schröder-Lang et al., 2007; Weissenberger et al., 2011). In contrast to PACa, bPAC is about $60 \%$ smaller, more soluble and has a lower dark activity (Ryu et al., 2010; Stierl et al., 2011). Further, BLUF based tools for the production of the second messenger cGMP were engineered by mutating key
substrate-specific residues, e.g. bPAC was converted into bPGC (also termed BlgC) (Ryu et al., 2010; Tanwar et al., 2018). However, photoreceptors using flavin as cofactor showed significant dark activity which could influence the cell physiology and the animals' behaviour prior to the experiment.

### 1.3. Cyclic nucleotide-gated channels

"Cyclic nucleotide-gated channels (CNGCs) are cation-permeable ion channels activated by direct binding of the second messengers cAMP or cGMP. Thus, they translate changes in intracellular second messenger concentrations into changes in membrane potential and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ concentration" (Biel et al., 2009). CNGCs play a crucial role in sensory signalling (vision and olfaction), but also have several other physiological functions. For example, they have been shown to be expressed in multiple tissues, where they contribute to e.g. the alveolar liquid reabsorption in the lung or inhibition of inflammatory pain hypersensitivity in the spinal cord (Fesenko et al., 1985; Heine et al., 2011; Lancet, 1986; Wilkinson et al., 2011). CNGCs are members of the voltage-gated ion channel superfamily which also comprises hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN), voltage-gated potassium $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$, sodium ( Nav ), calcium ( Cav ), and the transient receptor potential channels (Dhallan et al., 1990; Kaupp et al., 1989; Kaupp et al., 2002; Varnum et al., 2015; Zagotta, 1996). In mammals, two CNGC subfamilies were identified, comprising four distinct A subunits (CNGA1-4) and two B subunits (CNGB1 and CNGB3), which form homo- or heteromeric assemblies (Bradley et al., 2001). Here, the subunits CNGA1-3 are able to form functional channels when expressed as homomultimers, which was not observed for the other subtypes and thus they are described as modulatory subunits. The diversity of CNGCs in mammalians is further increased via alternative splicing of precursor mRNAs and/or alternative transcriptional start sites (Varnum et al., 2015). CNGC subunit homologous genes have also been identified in lower organisms, e.g. Caenorhabditis elegans (TAX-2 and TAX-4), Drosophila melanogaster (Or22a and Or83b), or bacteria Mesorhizobium loti (MloK), Spirochaeta thermophila (SthK) and Leptospira licerasiae (LliK) (James et al., 2017; Komatsu et al., 1999; Nimigean et al., 2004; Rheinberger et al., 2018; Wicher et al., 2008). The CNGC subunits are composed out of six transmembrane segments (S1-S6), a pore-
loop between S5 and S6 and intracellularly located N - and C-termini (Figure 9). The cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (CNBD) is in the C-terminus and connected to the S6 fragment in the pore region via a C-linker domain. CNGCs are tetramers, whereas the subunits are circularly symmetric and the ion conducting pore is formed by the segments S5, the pore-loop and S6 (Craven et al., 2006; Flynn et al., 2001; Rehmann et al., 2007; Zagotta, 1996). Though including a voltage-sensor-like domain consisting of S1-S4 and the S4-S5 linker, their activity is only slightly modulated by depolarizing voltages (Benndorf et al., 1999; Clayton et al., 2004; Kaupp et al., 1989; Nache et al., 2006). In contrast to other voltage-gated ion channels, the voltage-sensor-like domain interacts with the pore domain of the same subunit in a non-domain-swapped configuration via hydrophobic and hydrogenbonding interactions (James et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017).


Figure 9: Schematic overview of CNGC domain structure. A) Representation of the Spirochaeta thermophila cAMP-gated $K^{+}$channel (SthK) in the resting state. B) Illustration of a SthK subunit, consisting of voltage sensor domain (red), pore domain (green), C-linker (blue), and the CNBD (yellow). Abbreviations: TMD, transmembrane domain; CNBD, cyclic nucleotide binding domain. Image adapted and modified from (Rheinberger et al., 2018).

Each subunit of the CNGCs contain a cytoplasmatic CNBD, and the ligand affinity and efficacy for each channel vary depending on the subunit composition. In general, CNGCs are more sensitive to cGMP than for cAMP (Varnum et al., 2015). The CNBD consists of three $\alpha$-helices ( $A-B$-, and C-helix) and a $\beta$-roll domain with eight $\beta$ strands, and is homologous to the CNBD of other proteins such as protein kinase $A$ (PKA) regulatory subunit or protein kinase G (PKG) (Flynn et al., 2007; McKay et al., 1981; Su et al., 1995; Zagotta et al., 2003). Initial ligand docking is mediated between interactions of the ribose phosphate of the cyclic nucleotide and a short $\alpha$-helical structure between the $\beta-6$ and $\beta-7$ strands of the $\beta$-roll, termed the phosphate-binding cassette (PBC), which contains a conserved GE sequence, as well as an interaction between a conserved arginine in the $\beta-7$ strand and the cyclic phosphate of the cyclic nucleotide (Tibbs et al., 1998; Varnum et al., 2015). Further, a threonine residue adjacent to arginine within the $\beta-7$ strand participates in ligand binding, and has been shown to contribute to selectivity for cGMP over cAMP (Altenhofen et al., 1991; Flynn et al., 2007; Varnum et al., 1995; Zagotta et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2007).

After initial ligand-docking, the C-helix moves and interact with the purine ring of the ligand, which triggers further conformational changes in the CNGC and ultimately channel opening (Flynn et al., 2007; Varnum et al., 1995). Due to the orientation of the purine moiety to the ribose of the cyclic nucleotide, cAMP and cGMP are bound by the CNBD in two possible conformations - syn (cGMP) and anti (cAMP) (Flynn et al., 2007; Zagotta et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2007). Though the conserved threonine residue in the $\beta-7$ strand is proposed to interact with the purine ring of cGMP in the syn configuration, it is not sufficient for the high selectivity for cGMP over cAMP. The high cGMP selectivity is mainly dependent on the residues aspartic acid (CNGA1 and CNGA3) or a glutamic acid (CNGA2) near the C-terminal end of helix C. Here, the negatively charged residues form advantageous electrostatic interactions with cGMP, i.e. two hydrogen bonds with N1 and N2 of the guanine ring (Flynn et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2007). Mutating the aspartic acid to methionine reversed the ligand selectivity (Varnum et al., 1995). In CNGB1 subunits, the equivalent residue is an asparagine which leads to an enhanced cAMP efficiency for heteromeric rod CNGCs (He et al., 2001; Pages et al., 2000). Regarding CNGA4, the residue is a methionine which leads to a high selectivity for cAMP for heteromeric olfactory CNGC (Bradley et al., 1994; Shapiro et al., 2000). Nevertheless, also other regions of the CNBD domain contribute to ligand selectivity, e.g. the $\beta$-roll (Young et al., 2004).

The C-linker is composed out of six $\alpha$-helices ( $A^{\prime}-F^{\prime}$ ) and has been shown to be critical for the coupling of ligand binding to the opening of cone, olfactory and rod CNGCs (Brown et al., 1998; Gordon et al., 1995; Zhou et al., 2004; Zong et al., 1998). The C-linker is thought to support intersubunit interactions in the tetrameric channel which are crucial for channel gating and assembly. These interactions include an elbow on the shoulder contact ( $A^{`}-B^{`}$ helices (elbow) of one subunit and $C^{\prime}-D^{\prime}$ helices (shoulder) of the adjacent subunit), $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ coordination sites in the $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}-$ helix and interacting histidines in adjacent subunits, disulfide-bond formations, a conserved tripeptide in helix $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$, and salt bridges. Further, the C-linker could interact with the N-terminal domain between and within subunits in e.g. CNGA1 to adjust the gating properties of CNGCs (Rosenbaum et al., 2002).

Recently, full-length structures of SthK (closed state), TAX-4 (open state) and LliK (closed state) were solved using cryoEM (James et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017; Rheinberger et al., 2018), allowing the construction of a model for cyclic nucleotideinduced channel opening. Here, cyclic nucleotide mediated gating is exemplified on the model for SthK channel gating (Figure 10). Upon cNMP binding, the B and C helices of the CNBD are pushed as a single unit, bringing the $C$ helix close to the ligand (Rheinberger et al., 2018). In addition, siphon loop (loop that connects the Clinker with the CNBD is shifted vertically towards the membrane (Kowal et al., 2018; Rheinberger et al., 2018). The C-linker of the neighbouring subunit performs a translational and rotational movement, generating space for the displaced siphon loop. Because the C-linker is directly linked to the S 6 segment, the entryway is enlarged. This movement is transferred to the selectivity filter and leads to the opening of the channel. Besides to this, movements of the C-linker can also be propagated to the S4-S5 linker of the adjacent subunit, where displacement of the S5 helix is perceived by the pore helix and the selectivity filter and promotes opening of the channel (Rheinberger et al., 2018).


Figure 10: Proposed gating mechanism of CNGCs. The model is based on the gating mechanism for SthK channels established by Rheinberger et al. (2018). A) Two neighbouring subunits and the ligand induced intra- and intermolecular motions are illustrated. B) Molecular interactions between the C-linker of one subunit and the S4-S5 linker of the adjacent subunit. C) Superposition of the structures of SthK (green) and TAX-4 (red). The SthK structure is in the closed state, while the TAX-4 structure is in the open state, highlighting the movement of the C-linker and CNBD. D) Extracellular side view of structures in C, showing additional counter clockwise rotation of the C-linker pulling on the ends of S6 and causing enlargement of the entrance channel. E) Schematic model of CNGC gating. Illustrated are the domain movements between closed (resting) and open (activated) state. Image adapted and modified from (Rheinberger et al., 2018).

## 1.4. cAMP signalling pathways

cAMP is a ubiquitous second messenger that relays the information of an extracellular signal to the intracellular environment, triggering a cascade of biochemical events that finally results in the appropriate cellular response to the respective extracellular stimulus (Sutherland et al., 1958). In general, cAMP is involved in a myriad of signalling pathways and thus the regulation of a multitude of biological processes (Musheshe et al., 2018). cAMP signalling is initiated by the
binding of an extracellular ligand, i.e. catecholamine hormones such as adrenaline or noradrenaline, neurotransmitters or other extracellular cues to a GPCR at the plasma membrane (Figure 11) (Levitzki, 1988; Selbie et al., 1998). Ligand binding triggers conformational changes within the receptor and allows the receptor to act as guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF), thus causing the exchange of GDP for GTP within the $G \alpha$ subunit (C. elegans ortholog GSA-1) of the trimeric $\alpha \beta \gamma$ G protein which further results in the dissociation of Ga-GTP from the G $\beta \gamma$ dimer and the receptor (Willoughby et al., 2007). The Ga-GTP subunit can either stimulate ( $\mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) or inhibit ( $\mathrm{Ga}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) plasma membrane bound adenylyl cyclases (C. elegans ortholog ACY-1), that catalyse the conversion of ATP to cAMP and pyrophosphate, and thus increase or decrease the intracellular cAMP level (Rodbell et al., 1971; Ross et al., 1978). Depending on the extracellular ligand and the respective cell type, cAMP can bind to several effector proteins, that are PKA, exchange protein directly activated by cAMP (EPAC), multiple families of channel proteins (CNGCs, HCNCs or voltage gated potassium channels) or Popeye domain-containing (POPDC) proteins, whereas each of these effectors is responsible for a distinct cellular response (Musheshe et al., 2018). PKA is the most-extensively investigated cAMP effector, a tetrameric enzyme consisting of two regulatory subunits ( $R$ ) and two catalytic subunits ( $C$ ), whereas cAMP binding to the $R$ subunits releases their inhibitory effect on the $C$ subunits and thus could phosphorylate a multitude of different targets within the same cell, e.g. metabolic enzymes, receptors, channels or transcription factors (Taylor et al., 2013). EPAC proteins function as GEFs that directly activate Ras-like small GTPases (Rap1 and Rap2) upon cAMP binding and thus participate in the control of Rap-mediated biological functions - e.g. inflammation or pain sensing (de Rooji et al., 1998;
Kawasaki et al., 1998). POPDC proteins are a novel class of membrane-bound cAMP effector proteins that are abundantly expressed in cardiac and skeletal muscle and have so far been linked to the regulation of cell-cell adhesion, vesicular transport and interaction with ion channels such as TREK-1 (Froese et al., 2012; Hager et al., 2010; Osler et al., 2005). Finally, the signal is terminated by receptor desensitization and the action of phosphodiesterases (PDEs), which are a large superfamily of metallohydrolases and are responsible for the degradation of cAMP to adenosine monophosphate (Conti et al., 2007). To achieve a high signal fidelity and an accurate coordination of the different functional outcomes, cAMP signalling is compartmentalized in subcellular nanodomains, whereas the participating proteins
are organized in multiprotein signalosomes and cAMP diffusion affecting other pathways is hampered through PDE-mediated degradation (Anton et al., 2022;

Brescia et al., 2016).


Figure 11: Schematic illustration of the cAMP signalling pathways. The cAMP effectors PKA, EPAC, POPDC and CNGC are highlighted in red. For simplicity, only a minor fraction of PKA targets and possible crosstalk interactions are depicted, and multiple names of PKA targets are omitted. Arrows indicate activation, blunted lines inhibition and blue lines depict crosstalk between the cAMP signalling pathway and other signalling pathways. Abbreviations: AC, adenylyl cyclase; EPAC, exchange protein directly activated by cAMP; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; GsPCR, Gs-protein-coupled receptor; PDE, phosphodiesterase; PM, plasma membrane; POPDC, Popeye domain-containing protein. Image adapted from (Musheshe et al., 2018).

### 1.5. The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans as a model organism

The nematode C. elegans is a popular animal model because it combines many advantages, including its small size, transparency, simple cultivation, fast and efficient transgenesis, extensive collection of gene expression data and a small and well-defined nervous system.
C. elegans as was implemented as a model system around 1974 by Sidney Brenner to answer developmental biological questions, and until now, the nematode has been
extensively applied as model organism to many diverse areas such as neuroscience, cell biology, aging or genomics (Corsi et al., 2015). Using C. elegans, many seminal discoveries could be achieved: the first complete metazoan cell lineage (Kimble et al., 1979; Sulston et al., 1975; Sulston et al., 1983), the first complete wiring diagram of a nervous system (Jarrell et al., 2012; White, 2013; White et al., 1986), the first description of microRNA and its mRNA target (Lee et al., 1993; Vella et al., 2005; Wightman et al., 1993), the implementation of green fluorescent protein (GFP) as fluorescence reporter (Boulin et al., 2006; Chalfie et al., 1994), the first metazoan genome sequenced (Consortium, 1998; Schwarz, 2005), the discovery of RNA interference (Fire et al., 1998), the first full genome-wide profiling of gene function (Fraser et al., 2000; Kamath et al., 2001), and the first application of microbial rhodopsin based optogenetics in an intact animal (Nagel et al., 2005). Consequently, Sidney Brenner, Robert Horvitz, and John Sulston were awarded 2002 with the Nobel prize in physiology and medicine for their work on the deciphering of the cell lineage.

### 1.5.1. C. elegans anatomy and life cycle

C. elegans is a free-living roundworm that grows to about 1 mm in length and can be found within naturally decaying vegetable matter which supplies the necessary bacterial food source (Barrière et al., 2005). In the laboratory, the animals are cultivated on agar dishes supplemented with the bacterium E. coli, whereas the strain OP-50 (auxotrophic for uracil) is mainly used (Brenner, 1974). The nematode occurs in two sexes - predominantly as self-fertilizing hermaphrodite, or as male at a frequency of $<0.2$ \% by spontaneous non-disjunction in the hermaphrodite germ line (Zarkower, 2006). Through mating, males arise at higher frequencies ( $\leq 50 \%$ ). Selffertilization of homozygous hermaphrodites enables the generation of genetically identical progenies, whereas mating between hermaphrodites and males allows the isolation or transfer of mutations between strains.

The nematode consists of an invariant number of somatic cells, i.e. 959 in hermaphrodites and 1031 in males, and both sexes have the same general anatomy (Figure 12). They have an unsegmented, cylindrical body shape which is tapered at the ends (hermaphrodites), or blunt-ended at the tail (males). Similar to other nematodes, the body consists of an outer (body wall) and inner tube (alimentary
system, gonads), separated by the pseudocoelomic space. The outer tube includes the cuticle, hypodermis, excretory system, neurons, and muscle, while the inner tube contains the pharynx, intestine and the gonads. The excretory system is composed out of four distinct cell types (pore, conduct, excretory and a fused pair of gland cells), and participates in osmoregulation and waste disposal (Altun et al., 2009a). The coelomocyte system is located in the pseudocoelomic space and is composed of three pairs of coelomocytes, that act as scavenger cells by endocytosing fluid from the pseudocoelomic cavity. Thus, they are suggested to serve as primitive immune system in C. elegans (Grant et al., 2006). The alimentary system is segmented into the foregut (buccal cavity, pharynx), the midgut (intestine) and the hindgut (rectum, anus in hermaphrodites; cloaca in males). After ingestion of bacteria by the buccal cavity, the cells are crushed and transported to the intestine via the pharynx, which acts like a tube-like muscular pump and is composed out of muscle, nervous, gland and structural cells. The intestine consists of 20 cells, forming a tube with a central lumen, whereas the apical surface of the cells carries numerous microvilli for nutrient absorption. The remaining intestinal content is finally excreted to the outside via the rectal valve, rectum and anus (Altun et al., 2009a). The reproductive system is built of the somatic gonad, the germ line and the egg-laying apparatus. Somatic gonad and germ line build two symmetrical U-shaped tubes, connected through a common uterus and egg-laying apparatus via the two spermathecae. Here, the germ cells develop in an assembly-line fashion from mitotic divisions at the distal part to ovulation and fertilization at the proximal end, and finally their release by the vulva. Hermaphrodites are able to self-fertilize up to 300 embryos, whereas mating with males could produce about 1000 progeny (Altun et al., 2009a).


Figure 12: C. elegans anatomy. A) Differential interference contrast (DIC) image of an adult hermaphrodite. Scale bar is $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. B) Schematic illustration of the adult hermaphrodite morphology. C) Cross section of the posterior body region showing the body wall (outer tube), which is separated from the alimentary system and the gonads (inner tube) via a pseudocoelom. Cross sections of D) the anterior head, E) the middle of the head, F) the posterior head, G) the posterior body, and F) the tail. Abbreviations: DNC, dorsal nerve cord; VNC, ventral nerve cord. Image adapted and modified from (Altun et al., 2009a).
C. elegans has a rapid life cycle, i.e. a fertilized oocyte develops to a young adult animal capable to lay eggs within 2.5 to 3 days at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During its life cycle the
nematode passes through four larval stages, termed as L1, L2, L3, and L4, each separated by a period of sleep-like inactivity (called lethargus) followed by molting of the old cuticle (Figure 13) (Raizen et al., 2008). Beyond that, unfavourable life conditions such as insufficient food or crowding, that are sensed by the chemosensory systems, initiate an alternative life cycle, the `dauer` larval stage which is formed at the L2 to L3 molt. These larvae are unable to feed and arrest their development, allowing them to survive for several months until the life conditions become favourable again ( $\mathrm{Hu}, 2007$ ).


Figure 13: C. elegans life cycle at $22^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. The animal develops from fertilized egg through the larvae stages L1, L2, L3, and L4 into an adult hermaphrodite that is capable to lay eggs. Under unfavourable conditions, an alternative life cycle is adapted, whereas a resistant `dauer` larvae stage is formed. Numbers in blue depict the time period the animal spends at the respective larvae stage, and numbers in black indicate the length of the animals. Image adapted from (Altun et al., 2009a).
1.5.2. C. elegans muscle and neuromuscular junction

The nematode contains two muscle types - the striated (multiple sarcomere) and the nonstriated (single sarcomere) muscles. The striated muscle is the most abundant
type and encompasses 95 body wall muscles. In hermaphrodites, the nonstriated muscle type consists of 20 pharyngeal, 2 stomato-intestinal, 1 anal sphincter and anal depressor, 8 vulval and uterine muscle, and a contractile gonadal sheath. Instead of the vulval and uterine muscles and the gonadal sheath, males contain 41 specialized mating muscles. The muscle cells are mostly mononucleated, excluding the pharyngeal muscle cells pm1-5 that are multinucleated syncytia. Body wall muscles are organized in four quadrants that run along the ventral and dorsal side of the animal, and each quadrant contains 2 muscle rows that are innervated with the closest nerve cord (Figure 14). Thus, each nerve cord innervates the muscles of two quadrants, which causes dorsal and ventral body bending of the animal. In contrast, head muscles are regulated by motor neurons of only the nerve ring, or the nerve ring and the closest nerve cord which results in lateral and dorsal ventral movements. Unlike other organisms, neuromuscular junctions are created by extending arms from the muscle cells towards the motor neurons (Dixon et al., 2006; Dixon et al., 2005; Stretton, 1976; Sulston et al., 1977; Sulston et al., 1983; White et al., 1986).


Figure 14: Schematic overview of C. elegans body wall muscle organization. A) Body wall muscles are organized in four quadrants, each containing two muscle rows that are innervated by the nearest nerve cord via muscle arms. B) Schematic illustration of the connectivity between muscle cells and the nearest nerve cord via muscle arms. In adult animals, each muscle cell comprises three to six muscle arms. Image adapted and modified from (Altun et al., 2009b).

Muscle contraction is mostly triggered by neurotransmission using the transmitter acetylcholine, with the exception of defecation cycles, which are stimulated by the transmitter $\gamma$-aminobutyric acid (GABA) released by AVL and DVB, and contractions
of the gonadal sheath, which are stimulated by calcium signals and RHO-1/Rho (Jorgensen, 2005; Kelley et al., 2019). Acetylcholine induced muscle contraction is initiated by the release of the transmitter from cholinergic motor neurons at the neuromuscular junction and the activation of acetylcholine receptors on the postsynaptic muscle membrane. The acetylcholine receptors evoke bursts of action potentials which converge in graded potentials and propagate to the contractile machinery of the muscle cell (Jospin et al., 2002; Richmond et al., 1999; Schafer, 2002). C. elegans does not express $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$channels, and thus the potential is thought to be propagated via voltage-gated calcium channels (L-type channels; C. elegans ortholog EGL-19), causing the influx of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions from the extracellular space and muscle contraction. Synchronisation of action potentials through the muscle takes place via gap junctions (Lee et al., 1997; Maryon et al., 1996).

Muscle relaxation is evoked by the release of the transmitter GABA into the neuromuscular junction and the activation of GABA $_{A}$ receptors at the presynaptic muscle membrane, triggering the influx of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions that causes muscle hyperpolarization and relaxation (Schuske et al., 2004).

### 1.5.3. Overview of the $C$. elegans nervous system

The nervous system consists of 302 neurons in adult hermaphrodites and 385 neurons in adult males, whereas the majority of the male-specific neurons are located in the tail and participate in mating behaviour (Jarrell et al., 2012; White et al., 1986). It is divided into two distinct and independent systems - a somatic nervous system (282 neurons) and a pharyngeal nervous system ( 20 neurons) that differ in their topologies. The somatic neurons and their processes share a common basal lamina with the hypodermis and are separated from the body wall muscle cells. In contrast to this, the pharyngeal neurons are not separated from the pharyngeal muscles by a basal lamina. The hermaphrodite neurons are grouped into 118 neuronal classes depending on their topology and synaptic connectivity, and are clustered in several ganglia in the head, tail and along the ventral cord (Figure 15) (White et al., 1986). Complementary to neurons, C. elegans has 56 glia-like supporting cells which are primarily associated with the somatic nervous system (Oikonomou et al., 2011).


Figure 15: Illustration of the C. elegans nervous system. Most of the neurons are clustered in the head, along the ventral nerve cord, and in the tail. Image adapted from (Fang-Yen et al., 2015).

Communication between the neurons is performed via 6400 chemical synapses, 900 gap junctions and 1500 neuromuscular junctions (Altun et al., 2011). Most of the neurons have one or two neurites proceeding from the cell body. Only a few cells such as the mechanosensory neurons FLP and PVD have complex branched neurites (Dong et al., 2013). The neurites form synapses with each other in four main areas: the nerve ring, the ventral and dorsal nerve cord, and the neuropil in the tail. Most synapses are made en passant, i.e. side by side as neurites pass each other. Instead of sending cellular projections from motor neurons to muscles, the muscles send specialized cellular projections to motor neurons to receive synapses (Corsi et al., 2015).

The neuron names consist of two to three letters, indicating the neuronal class and in certain cases a number indicating the neuron number within one class. If the neurons are radially symmetrical, the letter name is followed by $L$ (left), $R$ (right), $D$ (dorsal), or V (ventral) to specify the anatomical position. Depending on their circuit function, the neurons are classified into sensory neurons, interneurons, motor neurons and polymodal neurons. Sensory neurons sense a variety of environmental cues such as temperature, touch, chemicals, oxygen and UV-light. Interneurons integrate the receiving sensory inputs and transmit the signal to motor neurons that trigger the respective behavioural output via the musculature. Polymodal neurons exert more than one circuit function (motor and sensory functions; interneuron- motor neuron; interneuron and sensory function) (Altun et al., 2011). Despite the small number of neurons, $C$. elegans is able to regulate a variety of behaviours such as locomotion,
feeding, chemo- and mechanosensation, thermotaxis, male mating, learning and memory (Ardiel et al., 2010; Bargmann, 2006; de Bono et al., 2005).

### 1.5.4. Cholinergic neurotransmission

Acetylcholine was the first substance identified to act as a neurotransmitter (Loewi, 1921). It is released by more than a third of the C. elegans neurons and represents the major excitatory neurotransmitter at the neuromuscular junction and is involved directly or indirectly in many $C$. elegans behaviours such as locomotion, feeding or male mating.

Acetylcholine is synthesized in cholinergic neurons by the transfer of an acetyl group from acetyl-CoA to choline which is catalysed by the choline acetyltransferase ( $C$. elegans ortholog CHA-1). Subsequently, it is transferred from the cytoplasm into synaptic vesicles via the vesicular acetylcholine transporter (vAChT; C. elegans ortholog UNC-17), an antiporter that exchanges one molecule acetylcholine for two protons. The driving force for this exchange is a proton gradient between the cytoplasm and the lumen of the synaptic vesicles, which is acidified by the action of the vacuolar-type $\mathrm{H}^{+}$-adenosine triphosphatase. After docking, priming and calciumstimulated fusion of the synaptic vesicles with the plasma membrane, acetylcholine is released into the synaptic cleft and activates acetylcholine receptors located at the postsynaptic neurons or at muscle arms in case of neuromuscular junctions. Synaptic transmission is terminated by the hydrolysis of acetylcholine by the acetylcholinesterase (in C. elegans encoded by the ace genes) into acetate and choline. The latter is transported back into the presynaptic neuron via a high affinity vesicular transporter (C. elegans ortholog CHO-1) and is used for new synthesis of acetylcholine (Rand, 2007).
C. elegans muscles contain two types of pentameric acetylcholine receptors -levamisole-sensitive receptors (L-AChR) and nicotine-sensitive receptors (N-AChR) (Richmond et al., 1999). L-AChRs are pentameric channels composed out of three essential $\alpha$ subunits UNC-63, UNC-38 and LEV-8 and two non-essential $\beta$ subunits UNC-29 and LEV-1 (Boulin et al., 2008; Culetto et al., 2004; Fleming et al., 1997; Gottschalk et al., 2005; Towers et al., 2005). In contrast to this, N-AChRs are
homomeric channels that are composed out of the essential $\alpha$ subunit ACR-16 (Francis et al., 2005; Touroutine et al., 2005).

### 1.5.5. Motor neurons and motor circuit

The nematode can move in two main behavioural patterns - crawling on solid media and swimming (thrashing) in liquid media. Here, the animal propagates sinusoidal waves along the entire body in the direction opposing that of locomotion, driven by reciprocal dorsoventral body contractions (Gray et al., 1964). On solid media, the animal crawls in a S-wave fashion, and in liquid media it swims through C-shaped bends. Besides the control of the swimming and crawling behaviours, motor neurons are also responsible for the motility of the alimentary and reproductive systems (Altun et al., 2011). The locomotion of the animal during sensory and exploratory behaviours includes forward and backward (reversal) movements, omega turns ( $180^{\circ}$ change in the direction) and shallow turns (Altun et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2011).
C. elegans contains 113 motor neurons that are mainly distributed along the ventral nerve cord and that form excitatory or inhibitory neuromuscular junctions with one or more muscle cells (Aamodt et al., 2005). The excitatory and inhibitory signals are transmitted via acetylcholine and GABA, respectively. The motor neurons of the ventral nerve cord are categorized in the classes A (21 neurons), B (18), D (19), VC (6), and AS (11), while the classes A, B, and D are further subdivided into DA (9), VA (12), $\mathrm{DB}(7)$, $\mathrm{VB}(11), \mathrm{DD}(6)$ and $\mathrm{VD}(13)$, depending on the innervation of ventral or dorsal muscle cells (Von Stetina et al., 2005). A and B-type neurons are cholinergic and responsible for backward and forward movement, respectively. D-type neurons are GABAergic and are postsynaptic to A and B-type neurons that innervate the contralateral muscle group. Although C. elegans is anatomically not segmented, the motor circuit could be separated into six repeating units consisting of $\sim 12$ motor neurons and $\sim 12$ muscle cells (Figure 16).

B-type motor neurons are postsynaptic to the premotor interneurons AVB and PVC, whereas AVB signals via gap junctions and PVC via chemical synapses. The interneurons AVA and AVD are presynaptic to A-type motor neurons and signal chemically and electrically. Further, in the anterior half of the animal the A-type neurons receive their input from AVE (Altun et al., 2011). Motor neurons of the same
neuronal class are connected to their neighbouring cell by gap junctions. Communication between motor neurons and muscle cells is accomplished via acetylcholine and GABA and the ionotropic receptors GABA $A$ and acetylcholine receptors. Motor neurons also contain a third class of acetylcholine receptors, the neuronal ionotropic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) that are similar to L-AChRs in their composition, but that are insensitive to levamisole and contain neuron specific subunits. These receptors are postsynaptic in GABAergic neurons, and dendritic in the remaining motor neuron classes, and they are required for the regulation of excitatory and inhibitory inputs at the neuromuscular system (Barbagallo et al., 2010; Jospin et al., 2009). Besides to this, C. elegans contains three muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (mAChRs) and two $G A B A B$ receptor subunits participating in feedback inhibition (Bargmann, 1998; Dittman et al., 2008; Schultheis et al., 2011). The mAChR GAR2 is expressed in cholinergic and GABAergic neurons and regulates the activity of cholinergic neurons at elevated acetylcholine levels. The heterodimeric GBB-1/GBB-2 GABAB receptors are expressed in cholinergic neurons and regulate their activity after activation of GABAergic neurons and the release of (spillover) GABA (Dittman et al., 2008; Schultheis et al., 2011). In addition to neurotransmitters, the excitation-inhibition balance in the neuromuscular system is regulated by neuropeptides such as the FMRFamides FLP-1 and FLP-18 that are released from AVK and cholinergic neurons, respectively, and the receptors NPR-1 (in neurons), NPR-5 (in muscle), and the FLP-1 receptors NPR-6 and FRPR-7 (Oranth et al., 2018; Stawicki et al., 2013). Several monoamines and neuropeptides have been shown to modulate specific behavioural outputs, e.g. the release of NLP12 by the mechanosensory/proprioceptive neuron DVA that potentiates cholinergic neuron activity to regulate the bending amplitude during locomotion (Hu et al., 2011; Li et al., 2006; Oranth et al., 2018).


Figure 16: Schematic overview of the C. elegans motor circuit. A) A structural unit includes Aand B-type cholinergic neurons, D-type GABAergic neurons, ventral and dorsal muscles, and intemeurons (AVA, AVD, AVB, and PVC). Receptors and gap junctions involved in intercellular communication are also shown. B) Mediation of forward and backward movement of an adult animal. The forward movement is triggered by $B$-and D-type neurons, the backward movement by A- and D-type neurons. Image adapted from (Zhen et al., 2015).

### 1.5.6. cAMP modulation of neurotransmission

Neurotransmission describes the transmission of a signal from one neuron to an adjacent neuron or cell by the release of a neurotransmitter via synaptic vesicles into the synaptic cleft. Synaptic vesicles are stored in the readily releasable pool, the reserve pool and the recycling pool. In the reserve pool, they are immobilized by
tethering to the cytoskeleton and to each other via synapsin, and are mobilized via PKA- and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-calmodulin kinase II dependent synapsin phosphorylation to promote high rates of transmitter release (Cesca et al., 2010; Menegon et al., 2006). Afterwards, the synaptic vesicles translocate to the plasma membrane and are docked and primed at the active zone membrane scaffold, termed dense projection, and form the readily releasable pool (Siksou et al., 2007; Stigloher et al., 2011). After depolarization and the accompanying $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ entry into the neuronal terminal via voltage-dependent calcium channels, the primed synaptic vesicles fuse with the plasma membrane and release their content into the synaptic cleft. The added synaptic vesicle membrane and proteins are endocytosed and synaptic vesicles are recovered from endosomal compartments and filled with neurotransmitters (Jahne et al., 2015; Kittelmann et al., 2013; Kononenko et al., 2015; Rizzoli, 2014; Watanabe et al., 2014).

In addition to the communication via classical neurotransmission, neurons signal via the release of neuropeptides from dense core vesicles. In contrast to the fast synaptic transmission via neurotransmitters that act at short distances (nm), neuropeptides diffuse from their point of release and act at long distances ( nm to mm ) and at low concentrations, which is referred to as volume transmission (Russo, 2017). They bind mainly to GPCRs and evoke second messenger cascades to modulate neural activity on long-time scales (second to minutes), and thus are important for the regulation of various neuronal functions and behaviours such as learning and memory or social behaviours (Dominguez et al., 2018; Russo, 2017). Neuropeptides are synthesised as precursor proteins on ribosomes of the endoplasmic reticulum and are packaged in dense core vesicle precursors which bud from the trans Golgi apparatus. The dense core vesicle is further compacted by membrane budding, and during axonal transport, the neuropeptide precursors are proteolytically cleaved and post-translationally modified to obtain the active peptides (Russo, 2017). They traffic antero- and retrogradely between synapses, and are captured for their release from synaptic and extrasynaptic regions on axons and dendrites (van de Bospoort et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2012). The release of dense core vesicles is triggered by elevated cytosolic $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ levels via protein kinase C (PKC), but also via increased cAMP levels and PKA phosphorylation of synaptic targets, e.g. synapsin (SNN-1) (Park et al., 2006; Sieburth et al., 2006; Yu et al., 2021). Further, priming and docking of dense core vesicles require the calcium-
activated protein for secretion (CAPS/UNC-31) (Charlie et al., 2006; Rupnik et al., 2000). Neuropeptide signalling is terminated by peptide inactivation via extracellular proteases.

Recently, Steuer Costa et al. 2017 showed that optogenetic cAMP production in cholinergic motor neurons via bPAC induced an increase in neurotransmission and motor neuron-evoked behaviours. Here, elevated cAMP levels caused an enhanced mobilization of synaptic vesicles from the reserve pool and increased docking and priming events. Further, cAMP triggered the release of neuropeptides from dense core vesicles, which activate auto-receptors leading to an increased filling of synaptic vesicles with acetylcholine via the vAChT (Figure 17) (Steuer Costa et al., 2017).


Figure 17: Schematic overview of cAMP modulation of cholinergic neurotransmission via neuropeptide signalling and synaptic vesicle loading. In C. elegans cholinergic neurons, optogenetic increase of cAMP levels (triggered by bPAC) caused an enhanced neurotransmission and behaviours by the release of dense core vesicles and acetylcholine release. cAMP promotes the mobilization of synaptic vesicles from the reserve pool and the release of neuropeptides from dense core vesicles, that act in an autocrine manner to trigger filling of synaptic vesicles with acetylcholine via the vAChT and to a synaptic vesicle size increase. Image adapted and modified from (Steuer Costa et al., 2017).

The cAMP dependent increase in neurotransmission caused profound changes in the behaviour of the animal. On solid media, photoactivation of bPAC enhanced the crawling speed and the body bending of the nematode, and in liquid media it increased the swimming frequency (Figure 18).


Figure 18: Optogenetic activation of cholinergic motor neurons via bPAC has profound effects on locomotion behaviour. Photoactivation of bPAC caused an increased A) crawling speed and B) body bending on solid substrate, and an enhanced C) swimming rate in liquid media. Image adapted and modified from (Steuer Costa et al., 2017).

### 1.5.7. Optogenetic tools in C. elegans

Due to its transparency, amenability for genetic manipulation and small and welldefined nervous system that controls a multitude of quantifiable behavioural outputs, the nematode C. elegans is an ideal model organism for the development and application of optogenetic tools and methods. In the past decade, a wide range of optogenetic tools were implemented in C. elegans, including optogenetic actuators for de- and hyperpolarization (e.g. ChR2, Guillardia theta ACR2, respectively) of excitable cells, for the generation of the second messengers cAMP (e.g. bPAC) and cGMP (e.g. BeCyclOp), as well as for protein-protein interaction (e.g. photoactivatable botulinum neurotoxin (PA-BoNT)) (Bergs et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019; Nagel et al., 2005; Steuer Costa et al., 2017). Beyond that, fluorescent sensors to monitor the cellular activity of a specific cell type are established, encompassing sensors to visualize changes in the concentration of the second messengers $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ (GCaMP) or cGMP (e.g. WincG2), of the energy carrier

ATP (ATeam), and also changes in membrane voltage (QuasAr) (Azimi Hashemi et al., 2019; Nakai et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2019; Woldemariam et al., 2019).

Predominantly, microbial rhodopsins such as ChR2, NpHR, Mac or Arch are applied to specifically activate or inhibit a desired neuronal cell type, causing quantifiable behavioural changes in e.g. the crawling speed, swimming frequency or the body length (Husson et al., 2012; Liewald et al., 2008). Further, genetically encoded calcium indicators (GECIs) such as GCaMP or RCaMP are employed to monitor neuronal activity in immobilized or even moving animals (Oranth et al., 2018; Steuer Costa et al., 2019; Tolstenkov et al., 2018). The resulting behavioural and fluorescence changes are measured using established tracking systems (Akerboom et al., 2013; Stirman et al., 2011; Swierczek et al., 2011). Moreover, optogenetic actuators and reporters are combined for simultaneous neuronal activation or inhibition and monitoring of the neuronal activity (Husson et al., 2012). Last, multi component optogenetic systems for excitable cell activation and silencing are established, consisting of a photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase coupled to a CNGC (Gao et al., 2015; Henss et al., 2021).

For the development and implementation of novel optogenetic tools, the proteins are commonly expressed in body wall muscle cells (myo-3 promoter), because depolarization or hyperpolarization of the muscle causes macroscopic behavioural changes (body reduction or elongation, respectively) (Erbguth et al., 2012; Husson et al., 2012; Nagel et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007a). Further, the performance of the new proteins could be assessed by their expression in cholinergic motor neurons (unc-17 promoter) or in GABAergic motor neurons (unc-47 promoter), whereas neuronal activation or silencing caused quantifiable changes in the locomotion behaviour and in the body length (Erbguth et al., 2012; Husson et al., 2012; Liewald et al., 2008). Since microbial rhodopsins require retinal as chromophore, and the nematode does not synthesize retinal, it has to be supplied exogenously with the bacterial food to obtain functional microbial rhodopsins. This provides an ideal internal control for optogenetic experiments in C. elegans using microbial rhodopsins.

Recently, the optogenetic toolbox for excitable cell activation and inhibition in $C$. elegans was expanded by protein engineering and implementation of novel microbial rhodopsins (Figure 19). Here, the GtACR2 was established as new hyperpolarizer that offers high effectiveness and fast kinetics. Further, ChR2 variants were
established enabling long-term depolarization (Bergs et al., 2018). Beyond that, lightactivatable cyclases like the microbial photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases from Euglena (euPAC) and Beggiatoa (bPAC), as well as the synthetic phytochromelinked cyclases PaaC and llaC22 k27 were implemented as optogenetic tools for cAMP production (Etzl et al., 2018; Ryu et al., 2014; Steuer Costa et al., 2017;

Weissenberger et al., 2011)


Figure 19: Evaluation of novel and engineered de- and hyperpolarizers in C. elegans. A) Increase or decrease in body length evoked by novel and engineered de- and hyperpolarizers, which were expressed either in body wall muscle cells or in cholinergic motor neurons, respectively. Illustrated is the mean normalized body length change ( $\pm$ SEM) relative to the initial body length of the animal before light application. Statistically significant differences were calculated in comparison to ChR2(H134R) (depolarizer) and NpHR (hyperpolarizer). B) Schematic representation of de-and hyperpolarizers expressed in body wall muscle cells of C. elegans. The tools are categorized according to their closure kinetics and efficiency, which was estimated by comparing the body length reduction (depolarizer) or increase (hyperpolarizer) induced by the respective protein relative to the maximum body contraction or elongation. Image adopted from (Bergs et al., 2018).

### 1.6. Objectives of the thesis

Three major objectives were followed during the course of this thesis. First, novel optogenetic tools should be engineered and implemented in C. elegans that mimic more the physiological conditions of cAMP signalling and hyperpolarization of excitable cells. Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases should be generated by mutating two to three key amino acids in the active site of the guanylyl cyclase domains from the Blastocladiella and Catenaria CyclOps. To characterize the mutated versions after optogenetic cAMP production, they should be expressed in cholinergic motor neurons and light evoked changes in C. elegans locomotion behaviour should be compared to bPAC induced effects. Further, the membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases should be expressed in body wall muscle cells and in vitro cNMP measurements should be performed to assess the amount of generated cAMP and to evaluate the nucleotide specificity switch. To implement hyperpolarizers on the basis of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents, two component optogenetic systems should be established and evaluated via behavioural experiments. Here, the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases or the soluble bPAC were co-expressed with the cAMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channel SthK in body wall muscle cells and cholinergic motor neurons. Another approach should be to combine BeCyclOp and the cGMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channel BeCNG1.

Second, BeCyclOp should be purified for molecular characterization and structural analysis. For this purpose, BeCyclOp monomers as well as a dimer-concatamer should be overexpressed with a C-terminal TAP-tag in C. elegans muscle cells and purified via tandem affinity purification. To enable purification of both proteins, stable expression strains with genome integrated transgene arrays should be generated and detergent screening for high solubilization of BeCyclOp should be executed. To assess the functionality of the BeCyclOp concatamer, the protein should be coexpressed with the cationic TAX-2/-4 CNGC in body wall muscle cells and analysed via body length measurements.

Third, cAMP effectors in C. elegans body wall muscle cells should be identified that participate in cAMP dependent muscle hyperpolarization. To this end, a sequence alignment of PKA's regulatory subunit Ria against the $C$. elegans genome should be performed to identify ion channels that contain a cAMP binding domain. To evaluate
the identified channels with respect to a possible participation in cAMP-induced muscle relaxation, bPAC expressing strains (myo-3 promoter) in the respective channel loss of function background and in double mutant background (channel loss of function; lite-1(ce314)) should be generated by crossing and assessed by behavioural analyses.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Chemical substances

Table 1: Used chemical substances.

| Substance | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2-Mercaptoethanol | Carl Roth |
| 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX) | Carl Roth |
| Acetic acid | Carl Roth |
| Acetone | Carl Roth |
| Agar | AppliChem |
| Agarose | Invitrogen |
| All-trans retinal | Merck |
| Ammonium persulfate (APS) | Carl Roth |
| Ampicillin sodium salt | AppliChem |
| Bromophenol blue | Sigma Aldrich |
| Bovine serum albumin (BSA) | Carl Roth |
| Calcium chloride | Carl Roth |
| Cholesterol | Merck |
| cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablets | Roche |


| Deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTP) | Invitrogen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Detergent Screening Set Classic | Biozym |
| Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) | Carl Roth |
| Dipotassium phosphate | Carl Roth |
| Disodium phosphate | Carl Roth |
| Dithiotreitol (DTT) | Carl Roth |
| Ethanol 94 \% | Carl Roth |
| Ethanol 99 \% (AR grade) | Carl Roth |
| Ethidium bromide 5 \% | Carl Roth |


| Substance | Manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ethylene-diamine-tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) | Carl Roth |
| Ethylenglycol-bis(aminoethylether)-N, N, N', N'tetraessigsäure (EGTA) | Carl Roth |
| Formaldehyde (37\%) | Sigma Aldrich |
| GeneRuler 100bp DNA Ladder | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| GeneRuler 1 kb Plus DNA Ladder | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| GE Healthcare Amersham ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ECL Prime Western-Blot-Detection Reagent | Cytivia |
| Glass Beads (0.25- to 0.5-mm) | Carl Roth |
| Glycerin | Carl Roth |
| Halocarbon oil | Halocarbon |
| Hydrochloric acid (37\%) | AppliChem |
| Imidazole | Carl Roth |
| Isopropanol | Carl Roth |
| Kobe Agar | Carl Roth |
| Magnesium acetate | Carl Roth |
| Magnesium chloride | Carl Roth |
| Magnesium sulfate | Carl Roth |
| Milk powder | Carl Roth |
| Monopotassium phosphate | Carl Roth |
| Na-p-Tosyl-L-arginine methyl ester hydrochloride (TAME) | Carl Roth |
| Nitrogen | Linde |
| Nystatin | Merck |
| Rotiphorese Gel 30 | Carl Roth |
| Oligonucleotides | Eurofins MWG/Microsynth AG |
| PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Prestained Protein Ladder, 10 to 180 kDa | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| PageRuler ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Plus Prestained Protein Ladder, 10 to $\mathbf{2 5 0} \mathbf{k D a}$ | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| Phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) | Carl Roth |


| Substance | Manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pierce Coomassie Plus (Bradford) Assay | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| Reagent |  |
| Potassium acetate | Carl Roth |
| Potassium chloride | Carl Roth |
| Potassium citrate | Potassium citrate |
| Polyethylen glycol (PEG) 6000 | Carl Roth |
| Polyethylene glycol sorbitan monolaurate (Tween-20) | Carl Roth |
| Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane | Carl Roth |
| Roti®-NC transfer membrane | Carl Roth |
| Silver nitrate | Carl Roth |
| Sodium acetate | Carl Roth |
| Sodium azide | Carl Roth |
| Sodium carbonate | Carl Roth |
| Sodium chloride | Carl Roth |
| Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) | Carl Roth |
| Sodium hydroxide | Carl Roth |
| Sodium hypochlorite 12 \% | Carl Roth |
| Sodium thiosulfate | Carl Roth |
| Streptomycin sulfate | AppliChem |
| Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED) | Carl Roth |
| Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) (6.1 N) | Sigma Aldrich |
| Tripotassium phosphate | Carl Roth |
| Tris-(hydroxymethyl)-aminomethane (TRIS) | Carl Roth |
| Triton X-100 | Carl Roth/Sigma Aldrich |
| Tryptone/Peptone from Casein | Carl Roth |
| Yeast extract | Carl Roth |
| Zellutrans Roth dialysis tube | Carl Roth |

### 2.1.2. Devices and equipment

Table 2: Used devices and equipment.

| Description | Type | Manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Autoclave | 5075 ELVC | Tuttnauser |
|  | Serie FVS | Fedgari |
| Bunsen burner | Labogaz 470 | Campingaz |
| Cameras | AVT Guppy F-033 | Edmund Optics |
|  | Falcon 4M30 | DALSA |
|  | EM-C2 | Rolera |
|  | ORCA-Flash 2.8 | Hamamatsu |
|  | ORCA-Flash 4.0 | Hamamatsu |
|  | Powershot G9 | Canon |
| Centrifuges | Centrifuge 5810R | Eppendorf |
|  | Microcentrifuge | Carl Roth |
|  | Mikro 200R | Hettich |
|  | OptimaTM L-90 Ultracentrifuge | Beckman Coulter |
|  | Pico 17 |  |
|  |  | Heraeus |
| Chemilumineszenz und Fluoreszenz/ECL | ChemoCam | Intas |
| Westernblotting |  |  |
| Compressor | BT-AC 200/24 OF | Einhell |
| ddH2O equipment | Milli-Q Plus | Millipore |
| Electrophoresis chamber, horizontal | Varia 1 | Carl Roth |
| Electrophoresis chamber, vertical | SDS-PAGE apparatus | Phase |
| Filter sets | F37-525 (eGFP) | AHF Analysentechnik |
|  | F37-580 ( NpHR ) | AHF Analysentechnik |
|  | F41-007 (mCherry) | AHF Analysentechnik |
|  | $530 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandpass | AHF Analysentechnik |
|  | $675 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandpass | AHF Analysentechnik |
|  | HC BS 605 beam splitter | AHF Analysentechnik |


| Description | Type | Manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gel documentation system | Dark Hood DH-40 | Biostep |
|  | EOS 500D | Canon |
| Head-over tail rotor |  | VWR |
| Heat block | AccuBlockTM Digital Dry Bath | Labnet |
|  | Rotilabo- Block Heater H 250 | Carl Roth |
| Incubator | DF8528GL Slimvip (-80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Skadi |
|  | Ectron ( $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | INFORS HT |
|  | FKS 3600 Index 20B ( $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Liebherr |
|  | INB 400 basic convection 53L $\left(37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Memmert |
|  | Vinothek ( $16{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Liebherr |
| Lamps | HBO 50 | Osram |
|  | UVT-20 M/W | Herolab |
| LED | Blue $3 \mathrm{~W} 30^{\circ}$ | Ledxon |
|  | infrared (850 nm) 3 W 120 ${ }^{\circ}$ | Winger Electronics |
| Magnetic stirrer | Stuart CB162 | Bibby Scientific |
| Micromanipulator | MMJ | Märzhäuser |
| Micropipette puller | Model P-97 | Sutter Instrument |
| Microscopes | Axiovert 35 | Carl Zeiss |
|  | Axiovert 40 CFL | Carl Zeiss |
|  | Axiovert Observer Z1 | Carl Zeiss |
|  | Axio Scope.A1 | Carl Zeiss |
|  | Leica MZ 16F | Leica |
|  | SMZ 645 | Nikon |
|  | Zeiss Cell Observer SD | Carl Zeiss |
|  | Spinning Disc Confocal microscope |  |
| Microwave oven | MM 41580 | Micromaxx |
| Multi-Plate Reader | CLARIOstar PLUS | BMG Labtech |
| Optical power meter | PM 100 | Thorlabs |
|  | S120UV | Thorlabs |
| Pipets | Gilson PIPETMAN | Gilson |


| Description | Type | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | P1000, P100N, P10N, P2 |  |
| pH meter | Cyberscan pH 510 | Eutech |
| Photometer | Genova | Jenway |
| Pipet controller | Pipetus | Hirschmann |
| Rotor | 70 Ti | Beckman Coulter |
| Shutter | Shutter | Sutter Instrument |
|  |  | Company |
| Thermal cycler | MyCycler Personal Thermal | Bio-Rad |
|  | Cycler |  |
| UV crosslinker | UV Stratalinker® 2400 | Stratagene |
| Vortexer | Vortex Genie 2 | Scientific Industries |
| Weighing machines | EMB 600-2 | Kern |
|  | Analysewaage 770 | Kern |
| Western blot | Semi-Dry Blotter `Pegasus` | Phase |

### 2.1.3. Buffers and Media

Buffer and media were prepared using sterile $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as solvent (if not noted otherwise).

Table 3: Used buffer and media.

| Buffer/medium | Ingredients/manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Acetone stock (Pretreat 1) | $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Acetone |
| Antarctic phosphatase (AP) Reaction | New England Biolabs (NEB) |
| Buffer (10x) |  |
| Bleach solution | 0.5 M Sodium hydroxide |
|  | $2.5 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ Sodium hypochlorite (12\%) |
| Blocking buffer | $1 \times \mathrm{TBS}-\mathrm{T}$ |
|  | $5 \%$ Milk powder |
| Buffer D | $20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Tris-HCl}(\mathrm{pH} 8)$ |
|  | $10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ Glycerol |


| Buffer/medium | Ingredients/manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 150 mM NaCl |
|  | +"goodies": |
|  | 0.5 mM DTT |
|  | 0.5 mM PMSF (in ethanol) |
|  | 1 mM EDTA |
|  | $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{TAME} \mathrm{(in} \mathrm{DMSO)}$ |
|  | cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablets |
| Develop | 0.02 \% (w/v) Sodium carbonate |
|  | 0.05 \% (v/v) Formaldehyde (37\%) |
|  | 0.05 \% (v/v) Sodium thiosulfate stock |
| DNA loading dye (6x), blue | 10 \% (v/v) Glycerol |
|  | 0.25 \% (w/v) Bromophenol blue |
|  | in 6x TAE-buffer |
| DNA loading dye, purple (6x) | NEB |
| dNTP Mix | 10 mM dATP |
|  | 10 mM dCTP |
|  | 10 mM dGTP |
|  | 10 mM dTTP |
| ESB | 2 \% (w/v) SDS |
|  | 80 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8) |
|  | 10 \% (v/v) Glycerol |
|  | 1.5 \% (w/v) DTT |
|  | 0.1 mg/ml Bromophenol blue |
| Fixation | 97.5 \% (v/v) Acetone stock |
|  | 2.4 \% (v/v) TCA stock |
|  | 0.04 \% (v/v) Formaldehyde (37\%) |
| Impregnate | 1.3 \% (v/v) Silver nitrate stock |
|  | 1 \% (v/v) Formaldehyde (37\%) |
| Injection buffer (10x) | 20 \% (w/v) PEG |
|  | 200 mM Potassium phosphate |
|  | 0.33 mM Calcium chloride |


| Buffer/medium | Ingredients/manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.33 mM Magnesium sulfate |
| IPP150 | 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8 150 mM Sodium chloride 0.05\% Triton X-100 |
| IPP150 Calmodulin binding buffer | 10 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol <br> 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8 <br> 150 mM Sodium chloride <br> 1 mM Magnesium acetate <br> 1 mM Imidazole <br> 2 mM Calcium chloride <br> 0.05\% Triton X-100 |
| IPP150 Calmodulin elution buffer | 10 mM 2-Mercaptoethanol <br> 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8 <br> 150 mM Sodium chloride <br> 1 mM Magnesium acetate <br> 1 mM Imidazole <br> 2 mM EGTA <br> 0.05\% Triton X-100 |
| Laemmli B (pH 8.8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1.5 M Tris } \\ & 0.4 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \text { SDS } \end{aligned}$ |
| Laemmli C (pH 6.8) | 0.5 M Tris <br> 0.4 \% (w/v) SDS <br> 1 mg Bromophenol blue |
| Lysogeny broth (LB) medium | 0.5 \% (w/v) Yeast extract <br> 1 \% (w/v) Tryptone/Peptone <br> 1 \% Sodium chloride <br> Optional 1.5 \% (w/v) Agar <br> Optional after autoclaved: <br> $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Ampicillin <br> $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ Streptomycin |
| M9 buffer | 1 mM Magnesium sulfate <br> 20 mM Monopotassium phosphate |


| Buffer/medium | Ingredients/manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 mM Disodium phosphate |
|  | 85 mM Sodium chloride |
| Nematode growth medium (NGM) | 0.25 \% (w/v) Tryptone/Peptone |
|  | 0.3 \% (w/v) Sodium chloride |
|  | 1.7 \% (w/v) Agar |
|  | 1 mM Calcium chloride |
|  | 1 mM Magnesium sulfate |
|  | 25 mM Potassium phosphate buffer |
|  | 0.0005 \% (w/v) Cholesterol (in ethanol) |
|  | 0.001 \% (w/v) Nystatin (in ethanol) |
| PBS (10x, pH 7.4) | Carl Roth |
| Phusion HF buffer (5x) | NEB |
| Potassium phosphate buffer (1 M, pH | 1 M Monopotassium phosphate |
| 7.5) | 1 M Dipotassium phosphate |
| Pretreat 2 | 0.2 \% (v/v) Sodium thiosulfate stock |
| Restriction Enzyme Buffers (10x) | NEB |
| SDS Running buffer (10x, pH 8.3) | 0.25 M Tris |
|  | 2 M Glycine |
|  | $1 \%$ (w/v) SDS |
| SDS Sample buffer (4x) | 12.5 ml Laemmli C |
|  | 5 ml Glycerol |
|  | $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{20} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{SDS}$ |
|  | 2.5 ml 2-Mercaptoethanol |
|  | 0.5 g Bromophenol blue |
| Silver nitrate stock | 20 \% (w/v) Silver nitrate |
| Single egg/worm lysis buffer (SEWLB) | 2.5 mM Magnesium chloride |
|  | 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3) |
|  | 50 mM Potassium chloride |
|  | 0.05 \% (w/v) Gelatine |
|  | 0.45 \% (v/v) Tween-20 |
| Sodium thiosulfate stock | 20 \% (w/v) Sodium thiosulfate |
| Stop | 1 \% (v/v) Acetic acid (99\%) |


| Buffer/medium | Ingredients/manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Super Optimal broth with Catabolite | Clontech |
| repression (SOC) |  |
| S buffer (pH 6) | 6.5 mM Monopotassium phosphate |
|  | 43.5 mM Dipotassium phosphate |
|  | 100 mM Sodium chloride |
| T4 DNA ligase buffer (10x) | NEB |
| TCA stock | $50 \%$ (v/v) TCA (6.1 N) |
| Tobacco etch virus (TEV) cleavage | 10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8 |
| buffer | 150 mM Sodium chloride |
|  | 0.5 mM EDTA |
|  | 1 mM DTT |
| ThermoPol Reaction Buffer (10x) | $0.05 \%$ Triton X-100 |
| Transfer buffer (10x) | 1.9 M Glycine |
| TRIS acetate EDTA (TAE) buffer (50x, | 40 mM TRIS/Acetic acid |
| pH 8.5) | 2 mM EDTA |
| Tris-buffered saline (TBS) (10x, pH | 200 mM Tris |
| 7.6) | 1.5 M Sodium chloride |
| Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 | 1 X TBS |
| (TBS-T) | $0.05 \%$ Tween-20 |

### 2.1.4. Kits and beads

Table 4: Used kits and beads.

| Kit/Beads | Application | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AlphaScreen cAMP | In vitro cAMP | PerkinElmer |
| Detection Kit | measurement |  |
| Calmodulin-Agarose | Protein purification | Sigma Aldrich |
| cGMP Direct | In vitro cGMP | Arbor Assays |
| Chemiluminescent | measurement |  |
| ELISA Kit |  |  |


| Kit/Beads | Application | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gibson | Gibson assembly | NEB |
| Assembly Cloning Kit |  |  |
| Human IgG-Agarose | Protein purification | Sigma Aldrich |
| NucleoBond PC 100 | Plasmid DNA purification | Macherey-Nagel |
|  | (Midi) |  |
| QIAquick Gel Extraction <br> Kit | Gel extraction | Qiagen |
| QIAquick PCR <br> Purification Kit | PCR purification | Qiagen |
| Roti-Prep Plasmid Mini | Plasmid DNA purification | Carl Roth |

### 2.1.5. Enzymes

Table 5: Used enzymes.

| Enzyme | Manufacturer | Buffer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Antarctic Phosphatase | NEB | Antarctic Phosphatase <br> Reaction Buffer |
| Phusion High- | NEB | Phusion HF-Buffer |
| Fidelity DNA |  |  |
| polymerase |  | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| Proteinase K | - |  |
| Restriction Enzymes | NEB | T4 DNA Ligase Buffer |
| T4 DNA ligase | NEB | ThermoPol Reaction |
| Taq DNA Polymerase | NEB | Buffer |
| TEV Protease |  | TEV cleavage buffer |

### 2.1.6. Antibodies

Table 6: Used antibodies

| Name | Epitope | Working concentration | Host | Manufacturer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| anti- $\alpha$ - <br> tubulin | 15S complex dynein subunits from axonemes | 1:50 | Mouse | Piperno and Fuller <br> Cat\# 4A1, <br> RRID:AB 2732839 |
| antimCherry | mCherry | 1:10000 | Rabbit | OriGene |
| anti-mouseHRP | Mouse IgG | 1:100 | Goat | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| anti-rabbitHRP | rabbit | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1: 1000- \\ & 1: 3000 \end{aligned}$ | Goat | Carl Roth |
| anti-rabbitHRP | rabbit | 1:50000 | Goat | Bethyl Laboratories |
| Anti-Strep Tag II | NWSHPQFEK | 1:40 | Rabbit | Antibodies-online |
| anti-TAP | C-terminus of the TAP construct after TEV cleavage | 1:1000 | Rabbit | Thermo Fisher Scientific |
| Peroxidase- <br> Anti- <br> Peroxidase <br> (PAP) | horseradish peroxidase | 1:1000 | Rabbit | Sigma Aldrich |

### 2.1.7. Oligonucleotides

Table 7: Used oligonucleotides.

| Name | Sequence (5`-> 3`) | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oCS131 |  | lite-1(ce314) genotyping; |
|  | CACGGGAGACGAAGAGC | reverse |
| oCS131 |  | lite-1(ce314) genotyping; |
|  | GCCTTAGAACATTGACGC | forward |


| Name | Sequence ( $5^{{fa382830c-105a-4e22-b012-8bd8b3bb0ec8}}->3$ ) | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oTH47 | TCCTCAGCCTACTTTATTTGTGGTT | $2 x \text { Lyn11-Eex-Y-biPAC }$ <br> amplification; reverse BbvCl |
| oTH49 | TCCTCAGCTTACTTGTCGTTTTC | $2 x L y n 11-E e x-Y-b P A C$ <br> amplification; reverse BbvCl |
| oTH50 | CCATCTAGAATGAAAAGCTCCGCC | SthK amplification; forward Xbal |
| oTH52 | ATGGTACCTTATCCCCGCCGTGATG | SthK amplification; reverse Kpnl |
| oTH56 | ATGAAGGACAAGGACAACAAC | BeCyc/Op amplification; forward |
| oTH69 | ACGACCACTAGATCCATCTAGAATGGTG AGCAAGGGCGAGGAG | YFP amplification; forward with pmyo-3 overlap |
| oTH70 | GTTGTCCTTGTCCTTCATTGATCCCTTGT ACAGCTCGTCCATG | YFP amplification; reverse with BeCyclOp overlap |
| oTH71 | CTTTATCTTTCATAGACATTGATCCCTTG TACAGCTCGTCCATGCC | YFP amplification; reverse with CaCyc/Op overlap |
| oTH72 | GGACGAGCTGTACAAGGGATCAATGTCT ATGAAAGATAAAG | CaCyc/Op amplification; forward with YFP overlap |
| oTH81 | CGGCTAGCATGGTGAGCAAGGG | YFP amplification; forward Nhel |
| oTH94 | CTACTACTTCTACGTAACCG | cng-2(tm4267) <br> genotyping; forward |
| oTH95 | TCCAGCCGCTTGACAAGGTC | cng-2(tm4267) <br> genotyping; reverse |
| oTH96 | CTGCAAACCTCCACATGG | che-6(e1126) genotyping; forward |
| oTH97 | GCCCGTCAAAGTCCTATAATATAC | che-6(e1126) genotyping; reverse |
| oTH98 | GCAAATCTTGCAATTCGCTTC | cng-1(jh111) genotyping; forward |


| Name | Sequence (5'-> 3') | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| oTH99 | CTGCACTGACTCTGGTGACAC | cng-1(jh111) genotyping; <br> reverse |
| oTH100 | GATCACCAGCTTTTCAGAAACTTGG | cng-3(jh113) genotyping; <br> forward |
| oTH101 | GTCTCTTCTGCCATTTCATATTCAC | cng-3(jh113) genotyping; |
| reverse |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Name \& Sequence ( $5^{`}->3$ ) \& Description <br>

\hline oTH124 \& ATCCATCTAGAATGGCCACCGAGGCCAA G \& | BeCyc/Op(aa423) |
| :--- |
| amplification; forward Xbal | <br>


\hline oTH125 \& ATGCGGCCGCTCCACGGTTGTAGGC \& | BeCyc/Op(aa178) |
| :--- |
| amplification; reverse |
| Notl | <br>


\hline oTH126 \& CAGCGGTACCTTATCCACGGTTGTAGGC \& | BeCyc/Op(aa1-178) |
| :--- |
| amplification; reverse KpnI | <br>


\hline oTH127 \& TCCATCTAGAATGGGAGAGACCCAGTGC AACG \& | BeCyc/Op(aa230) |
| :--- |
| amplification; forward Xbal | <br>


\hline oTH128 \& GCGGTACCTTAGGCTTGTCCGTTCTTG \& | BeCyc/Op(aa139-442) |
| :--- |
| amplification; reverse KpnI | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

### 2.1.8. Plasmids

Table 8: Used plasmids.

| Name | Description | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | $2 x$ Lyn11-Eex-Y-biPAC | Prof. G. Nagel |
| - | $2 x$ Lyn11-Eex-Y-bPAC | Prof. G. Nagel |
| - | $2 x$ Lyn11-Eex-Y-bPAC(F198Y) | Prof. G. Nagel |
| - | L1_CMV_SthK-mCherry | Dr. F. Schneider- |
|  | Warme |  |
| - | pMA-T_PaaC+7 | Ass.Prof. A. |
| - | pmyo-3::BKT0::SthK(T378V) ::YFP::bPAC(K29E) | Prof. G. Nagel |
| - | $p G E M \_C a C y c / O p$ | Prof. P. Hegemann |
| - | $p G E M \_C a C y c / O p(A-2 x)$ | Prof. P. Hegemann |
| pASH3 | pmyo-3::BeCNG1-YFP | A. Hirschhäuser |


| Name | Description | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pCS175 | pflp-1(trc 332bp)::FLP-1::bicisGFP | Dr. C. Schultheis |
| pMS04 | pmyo-3::bPGC::SL2::mCherry | M. Schneider |
| pMS05 | pmyo-3::bPAC::SL2::mCherry | M. Schneider |
| pNHO1 | pmyo-3::PaaC+7::SL2::mCherry | N. Ho |
| pJN55 | pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| pJN58 | pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| pJN63 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| pJN67 | punc-17::BeCyclOp::SL2::mCherry | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| pJN68 | punc- $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17::BeCycIOp(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mCher } \\ & \text { ry } \end{aligned}$ | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| pJN69 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pmyo- } \\ & \text { 3::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mCherry } \end{aligned}$ | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| pTH01 | pmyo-3::CaCyclOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH02 | pmyo-3::CaCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH03 | punc-17::CaCyclOp::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH04 | punc-17::CaCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH05 | pmyo-3::ВеCyc/Op::TAP::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH06 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-146)::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH07 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa397-626)::SL2::mCherry | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH08 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa397-626)::SL2::GFP | My MSc Thesis |
| pTH09 | pmyo- 3::BeCyc/Op::(GGGS)4::BeCyc/Op::TAP::SL2::mC <br> herry | This work |
| pTH10 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-146)::SL2::GFP | This work |
| pTH11 | punc-17::BeCyclOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry | This work |
| pTH12 | pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry | This work |
| pTH15 | pmyo-3::2xLyn::YFP::biPAC | This work |
| pTH16 | pmyo-3::2xLyn::YFP:: bPAC | This work |
| pTH17 | pmyo-3::2xLyn::YFP::bPAC(F198Y) | This work |
| pTH18 | pmyo-3::SthK::mCherry | This work |
| pTH19 | pmyo-3::SthK::SL2::mCherry | This work |


| Name | Description | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pTH20 | pmyo-3::SthK::SL2::GFP | This work |
| pTH21 | punc-17::SthK::mCherry | This work |
| pTH23 | punc-17::SthK::SL2::GFP | This work |
|  | pmyo- | This work |
| pTH32 | 3::YFP::CaCycIOp(E497K, C566D)::SL2::mCherry |  |
|  | pmyo- | This work |
| pTH33 | 3::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry |  |
|  | punc- | This work |
| pTH41 | 17::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry |  |
|  | punc- | This work |
| pTH42 | 17::YFP::CaCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry |  |
| pTH44 | pET-29::BeCyclOp::Strep | This work |
| pTH45 | pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa1-178)::SL2::mCherry | This work |
| pTH46 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa171-399)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH47 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-399)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH48 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-626)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH49 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-399)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH50 | pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa171-399)::SL2::GFP | This work |
| pTH52 | pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa139-626)::SL2::GFP | This work |
| pTH55 | pmyo-3::ВеCyc/Op(aa397-626)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH57 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-442)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH58 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-442)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH59 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa442-626)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH60 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-442)::SL2::GFP | This work |
| pTH61 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-442)::S/2::GFP | This work |
| pTH62 | pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa442-626)::SL2::GFP | This work |
| pTH63 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-178)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH65 | pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa230-626)::mCherry | This work |
| pTH66 | pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa230-626)::SL2::GFP | This work |

### 2.1.9. Organisms

Table 9: Used organisms.

| Species | Strain | Source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Caenorhabditis elegans | Bristol N2 (wild type) | Caenorhabditis Genetics |
|  |  | Center (CGC) |
| Escherichia coli | DH5a | Invitrogen |
| Escherichia coli | HB101 | Bio-Rad |
| Escherichia coli | OP50-1 | CGC |

### 2.1.10. Transgenic C.elegans strains

Table 10: Used C. elegans strains.

| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CB1126 |  | - | CGC |
|  | 6(e1126)IV |  |  |
| CG197 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { egl-2(rg4); } \\ & \text { him-5(e1490) } \end{aligned}$ |  | CGC |
| KG1180 | lite-1(ce314) | - | CGC |
| KJ461 | cng-1(jh111) | - | CGC |
| KJ462 | cng-3(jh113) | - | CGC |
| ZX1569 | lite-1(ce314) | zxIs53[punc-17::bPAC::YFP; pmyo-2::mCherry] | Dr. W. Steuer Costa |
| ZX1741 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| ZX1742 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx851[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry, pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP] | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| ZX1940 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx960[punc-17::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry, pelt-2::GFP] | Dr. J. Nagpal |
| ZX1941 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx961[punc- 17::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mC herry, pelt-2::GFP]``` | Dr. J. Nagpal |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2154 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1043[punc- <br> 17::CaCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry] | My MSc Thesis |
| ZX2165 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1054[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(397626)::SL2::GFP] | My MSc <br> Thesis |
| ZX2166 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1055[pmyo-3::ВеСус/Op(397- <br> 626)::SL2::mCherry]; ZxEx889[pmyo-3::tax- <br> 2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP,pmyo-2::mCherry] | My MSc Thesis |
| ZX2168 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1057[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(1- <br> 146)::SL2::mCherry; pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(397- <br> 626)::SL2::GFP] | My MSc <br> Thesis |
| ZX2169 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1058[pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(1- <br> 146)::SL2::mCherry; pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(397- <br> 626)::SL2::GFP]; ZxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, <br> pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP,pmyo-2::mCherry] | My MSc Thesis |
| ZX2316 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx889; zxEx1088[pmyo- 3::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mCh erry]``` | This work |
| ZX2317 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1089[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op::BeCyc/Op::TAP::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2318 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1089 | This work |
| ZX2326 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1091[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry; pmyo-3::BeCNG1::YFP] | This work |
| ZX2327 | lite-1(ce314) | zxIs117[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op::TAP::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2391 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1117[punc- <br> 17::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SI2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2393 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119[pmyo-3::SthK::SL2::GFP; pmyo2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2394 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119; zxEx1120[pmyo- <br> 3::bPAC::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2395 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1121 [punc-17::SthK::SL2::GFP; pmyo3::mCherry] | This work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2396 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1121; zxIs53 | This work |
| ZX2397 | wild type | zxEx1121 | This work |
| ZX2398 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1122[pmyo-3::SthK::mCherry; pmyo2::CFP] | This work |
| ZX2399 |  | zxEx1123[punc-17::SthK::mCherry; pmyo2::CFP] | This work |
| ZX2400 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1124[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2401 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1125[pmyo- <br> 3::bPGC::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2402 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1126[pmyo- <br> 3::CaCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2403 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1127[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyclOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2404 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx889; zxEx1128[pmyo- 3::YFP::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCher ry]``` | This work |
| ZX2405 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1129[pmyo- <br> 3::CaCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2406 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx889; zxEx1130[pmyo- 3::YFP::CCaCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCher ry]``` | This work |
| ZX2408 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889; zxEx1132[pmyo- <br> 3::bPAC::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2504 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119; zxEx1219[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2505 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119; zxEx1220[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2506 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1119; zxEx1221[pmyo- 3::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mCh erry]``` | This work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2507 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119; zxEx1222[pmyo- <br> 3::CaCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2508 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119; zxEX1176[pmyo- <br> 3::2xLyn11::Eex::YFP::biPAC; pmyo- <br> 3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2509 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1119; zxEX1177[pmyo- <br> 3::2xLyn11::Eex::YFP::bPAC(F198Y); pmyo- <br> 3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2510 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1121; zxIs112[punc-17::mPAC::YFP; pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2511 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1121; zxEX1178[punc-17::mPAC::YFP; pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2512 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1179[pmyo- } \\ & \text { 3::BKTO::SthK(T378V)::YFP::bPAC; pmyo- } \\ & \text { 2::mCherry] } \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2514 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1181[pmyo-3::2xLyn11::Eex::YFP::bPAC; pmyo-2::CFP] | This work |
| ZX2516 | lite-1(ce314) | ZxEx889; zxEX1177[pmyo- <br> 3::2xLyn11::Eex::YFP::bPAC(F198Y); pmyo3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2523 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1198[pmyo- <br> 3::BKTO::SthK(T378V)::YFP::bPAC; pmyo- <br> 2::mCherry] | N. Ho |
| ZX2525 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1210 [pmyo-3::PaaC+7::SL2::mCherry; pmyo-3::SthK::SI2::mCherry] | N. Ho |
| ZX2526 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1211 [pmyo-3::PaaC+7::SL2::mCherry] | N. Ho |
| ZX2530 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1119; zxEx1230[pmyo- 3::BeCycIOp(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mCh erry]``` | This work |
| ZX2606 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1231[punc-17::SthK::SL2::GFP; punc- 17::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mC herry; pmyo-2::mCherry]``` | This work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2607 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1232[punc-17::SthK::SL2::GFP; punc- 17::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mC herry; pmyo-2::mCherry]``` | This work |
| ZX2608 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1233[punc-17::SthK::SL2::GFP; punc17::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2609 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1124[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2610 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1125[pmyo-3::bPGC::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2611 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1126[pmyo-3::CaCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2612 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1088[pmyo- 3::BeCyc/Op(E497K,H564D,C566T)::SL2::mCh erry]``` | This work |
| ZX2613 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1127[pmyo- } \\ & 3:: B e C y c / O p(E 497 K, C 566 D):: S L 2:: m C h e r r y] \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2614 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1128[pmyo- 3::YFP::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCher ry]``` | This work |
| ZX2615 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1129[pmyo- } \\ & 3:: B e C y c / O p(E 497 K, C 566 D):: S L 2:: m C h e r r y] \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2616 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1130[pmyo- 3::YFP::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCher ry]``` | This work |
| ZX2617 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1132[pmyo-3::bPAC::SL2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2657 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxIs128[pmyo- } \\ & \text { 3::BeCyc/Op::BeCyc/Op::TAP::SL2::mCherry] } \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2659 | lite-1(ce314) | ```zxEx1255[punc- 17::YFP::BeCyc/Op(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCh erry; pmyo-2::mCherry]``` | This work |
| ZX2660 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1256[punc- } \\ & \text { 17::YFP::CaCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCh } \\ & \text { erry; pmyo-2::mCherry] } \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2662 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { egl-2(rg4); } \\ & \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{aligned}$ | - | A. Pieragnolo and this work |


| Strain | Genotype Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2663 | unc- 103(n1213) | A. Pieragnolo and this work |
| ZX2664 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { egl-2(rg4); } \quad \text { zxEx1132 } \\ \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{array}$ | A. Pieragnolo and this work |
| ZX2665 | che- zxEx1132 <br> 6(e1126)IV;  <br> lite-1(ce314)  | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2666 | che- $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6(e1126)IV; } \\ & \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{aligned}$ | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2667 | unc- 103(n1213); lite-1(ce314); pha- 1(e2123)III; him-5(e1490) | A. Pieragnolo and this work |
| ZX2730 | cng-2(tm426; - | Dennis H. <br> Kim (HMS, <br> Boston) |
| ZX2782 | unc- $\quad$ zxEx1132 103(n1213); lite-1(ce314); pha- 1(e2123)III; him-5(e1490) | A. Pieragnolo and this work |
| ZX2783 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cng-3(jh113); zxEx1132 } \\ & \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{aligned}$ | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2784 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cng-3(jh113); - } \\ & \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{aligned}$ | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2785 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cng-1(jh111); zxEx1132 } \\ & \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{aligned}$ | S. Zhou and this work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2786 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cng-1(jh111); } \\ & \text { lite-1(ce314) } \end{aligned}$ |  | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2787 | cng- 2(tm4267); <br> lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1132 | S. Zhou |
| ZX2788 | cng- 2(tm4267); <br> lite-1(ce314) | - | S. Zhou |
| ZX2789 | cng-1(jh111) | zxEx1132 | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2790 | cng-2(tm426i | zxEx1132 | S. Zhou |
| ZX2791 | cng-3(jh113) | zxEx1132 | S. Zhou and this work |
| ZX2792 | unc- 103(n1213) | zxEx1132 | A. Pieragnolo and this work |
| ZX2793 | egl-2(rg4) | zxEx1132 | A. Pieragnolo and this work |
| ZX2794 | che- $6(e 1126) I V$ | zxEx1132 | This work |
| ZX2795 | Wild type | zxEx1132 | This work |
| ZX2796 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1297[punc-17::SthK::SI2::GFP; punc- <br> 17::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SI2::mChe <br> rry; pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2797 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1298[punc-17::SthK::SI2::GFP; punc- <br> 17::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SI2::mChe <br> rry; pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2798 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1317[punc-17::SthK::SI2::GFP; punc- <br> 17::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SI2::mChe <br> rry; pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2799 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1324[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa171- <br> 399)::mCherry] | This work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2970 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1326[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139- } \\ & \text { 399)::mCherry] } \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2971 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1327[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139442)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2972 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1328[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139- <br> 626)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2973 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1329[pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1442)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2974 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1330[pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa1399)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2975 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1331[pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa397626)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2976 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1332[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa442626)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2977 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1333[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1178)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2978 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1334[pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa230626)::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2979 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEX1335[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-626)::SI2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2984 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEx1336[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa397-626)::S/2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2985 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx851[pmyo-3::BeCycIOp::SL2::mCherry, pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP]; zxEx1338[pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa139- <br> 442)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2986 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1338[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139- <br> 442)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX2987 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1335[pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa139626)::SI2::GFP] | This work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX2988 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1336[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa397- } \\ & \text { 626)::SI2::GFP] } \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2989 | lite-1(ce314) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { zxEx1339[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa442- } \\ & \text { 626)::SI2::GFP] } \end{aligned}$ | This work |
| ZX2990 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1340[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa230- <br> 626)::SI2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2991 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEx1341[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyclOp(aa1-146)::SI2::mCherry; pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa397-626)::S/2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2992 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEx1342[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyclOp(aa1-146)::S/2::mCherry; pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyclOp(aa442-626)::S/2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2993 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEX1343[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-178)::S/2:::mCherry; pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyclOp(aa230-626)::S/2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2994 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1342[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1- <br> 146)::SI2::mCherry; pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa442- <br> 626)::SI2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2995 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1343[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1- <br> 178)::SI2::mCherry; pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa230- <br> 626)::SI2::GFP] | This work |
| ZX2996 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1344[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1- <br> 178)::S/2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3022 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx851 [pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op::SL2::mCherry, pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP]; zxEx1337[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1- <br> 146)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3023 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1337[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa1- <br> 146)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |


| Strain | Genotype | Transgene | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZX3024 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx851[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op:::SL2::mCherry, pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP]; zxEx1350[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa230626)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3025 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1350[pmyo-3::BeCyclOp(aa230- <br> 626)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3026 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP,pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEx1351[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa171-399)::SI2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa230-626)::SI2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3027 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEX1352[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-442)::SI2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-626)::SI2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3028 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx1352[pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139- <br> 442)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-3::BeCyc/Op(aa139- <br> 626)::SI2::GFP, pmyo-3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3029 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEx1353[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-442)::S/2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa139-626)::S/2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::mCherry] | This work |
| ZX3030 | lite-1(ce314) | zxEx889[pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax- <br> 4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry]; zxEx1354[pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa1-442)::S/2::GFP, pmyo- <br> 3::BeCyc/Op(aa397-626)::S/2::GFP, pmyo3::mCherry] | This work |

### 2.1.11. Consumables

Table 11: Used consumables.

| Description | Type | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Centrifuge tube | 15 and 50 mL | Greiner Bio-One |
| Columns | Mobicols | Mobitec |
| Cover slip | Squared cover slip 22x22 | Carl Roth |
|  | $0.5-10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10-200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, | Greiner |
| Disposable tips | $100-1000 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ |  |
| Glass capillary | $1 \mathrm{~B} 100 \mathrm{~F}-4$ | World Precision |
| Glass pipettes | 5,10, and 25 mL | Brand |
| Microcentrifuge tube | $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | Sarstedt |
|  | Row of $8 \times 200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | neoLab |
| Microcentrifuge plate | $96 \times 200 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ | Carl Roth |
| Microplate | $96-\mathrm{well}$ | Carl Roth |
| Microscope slide | - | Greiner |
| Objective immersion oil | Immersol 518 F | Carl Roth |
| OptiPlate-384 | White Opaque $384-\mathrm{well}$ | Perkin Elmer |
| Parafilm | Microplate |  |
| Petri dish | Parafilm M | VWR |
| Protective gloves | $60 / 15 \mathrm{~mm}, 100 / 20 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Greiner Bio One |
|  | Rotiprotect latex and | Carl Roth |
|  | nitrile |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2.1.12. Software

Table 12: Used software.

| Name | Version | Manufacturer |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Arduino | 1.8 | Arduino Team |
| Argus X1 | 3 | Biostep |
| Axio Vision | 4.5 | Carl Zeiss |
| Clone Manager | 9 | Sci Ed Central |
| EndNote X5 | 15.0 .1 .5774 | Thomson Reuters |
| FIJI | 1.52 i | Fiji contributors |
| GraphPad Prism | 8.2 .263 | GraphPad |
|  |  |  |
| ImageJ | 1.52 i | Wayne Rasband |
| KNIME Desktop | 2.12 | KNIME.com |
| Micro-Manager | 1.4 | Open Imaging |
| Microsoft Office | 16.0 .14026 .20270 | Microsoft |
| Origin | 9.75 | OriginLab |
| SnapGene | 1.3 .3. | GSL Biotech LLC |
| VirtualDub | 1.10 .4 | Avery Lee |
| Windows Media Player | 12 | Microsoft |
| ZEN lite 2012 | 1.1 .1 .0 blue edition | Carl Zeiss |

### 2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Molecular biological methods

Molecular biological methods described in this study were used for molecular cloning, preparation, and purification of recombinant plasmid DNA. Molecular cloning strategies were planned in silicio using the programs SnapGene and Clone Manager 9. In general, restriction enzyme-based cloning was applied. In case of failure, or no
availability of suitable restriction sites, Gibson assembly was executed to generate the recombinant plasmid.

### 2.2.1.1. Polymerase Chain Reaction

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a technique for the amplification of a specific DNA-Sequence in vitro. In this study, PCR was performed for molecular cloning of recombinant plasmids and C. elegans genotyping. In PCR, the desired fragment in the DNA template (plasmid or genomic C. elegans DNA) is flanked by two complementary oligonucleotide primers, termed forward primer ( 5 -end on antisense strand) and reverse primer ( 3 --end on sense strand). The PCR consists of the DNA template, both oligonucleotide primers, a thermostable polymerase, a buffer for the polymerase and deoxyribonucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) in a water solution. The reaction is classified into three main steps - denaturation in which the doublestranded DNA template is separated into two singe-stranded DNA templates annealing whereas the complementary oligonucleotides hybridize to the singestranded DNA templates - elongation in which the DNA polymerase catalyses the synthesis of double-stranded DNA with complementary DNA nucleotides starting at the oligonucleotide's primers. The steps are repeated for a distinct number of cycles, leading to the exponentially amplification of the target DNA fragment, whereas each newly synthesized fragment serves as a new template. As polymerase, the Phusion DNA polymerase was used for molecular cloning, since the polymerase has a higher fidelity and a proof reading 3'-5'exonuclease activity, achieving a reduced error rate during polymerization. For PCR-based C. elegans genotyping, the Taq DNA polymerase was used or, in case of failure, the Phusion DNA polymerase. The general PCR set ups are listened in table 13. For analytical PCRs (e.g. Gradient PCR or Colony PCR), the volume was scaled to $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$, whereas for preparative PCRs, the volume was upscaled to $250 \mu \mathrm{l}$. The reaction conditions for the Phusion and Taq DNA polymerases are specified in table 14 and 15, respectively. In this context, the primer annealing temperature is dependent on the binding ability of the oligonucleotides (GC content, length), and the elongation time varies based on the length of the amplified PCR product. Primer design was performed in silico using the programs Clone Manager 9 and SnapGene. After PCR, the products were separated,
extracted, purified, and concentrated using agarose gel electrophoresis, followed by gel extraction, or via purification and concentration of DNA fragments. Finally, the DNA concentration of the sample was determined using Genova photometer.

Table 13: Basic PCR setup for molecular cloning (Phusion DNA polymerase) and C. elegans genotyping (Taq DNA polymerase).

| Substance | Volume [ $\mu \mathrm{l}]$ | Final concentration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DNA template | Variable (Phusion) | $1-100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
|  | $2.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Proteinkinase K |  |
|  | digest (Taq) |  |
| Forward primer (10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 2.5 (Phusion) | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Phusion) |
|  | 0.6 (Taq) | $0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Taq) |
| Reverse primer (10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 2.5 (Phusion) | $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M} \mathrm{(Phusion)}$ |
|  | 0.6 (Taq) | $0.24 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Taq) |
| dNTPs (10 mM) | 1 | $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Phusion) |
|  | 1 | $400 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Taq) |
| Polymerase buffer (10x) | 10 (Phusion) | 1 x |
|  | 2.5 (Taq) | 1 x |
| DNA polymerase | 0.7 (Phusion) | 1.4 U (Phusion) |
|  | 0.25 (Taq) | 1.25 U (Taq) |
| ddH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | add to 50 (Phusion) | - |
|  | add to 25 (Taq) |  |

Table 14: Thermocycling conditions for Phusion DNA polymerase-based PCR.

| Step | Temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right]$ | Time $[\mathbf{s}]$ | Cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Initial denaturation | 98 | 30 | 1 |
| Denaturation | 98 | 10 |  |
| Primer annealing | Primer specific | 30 | $30-35$ |
| Primer elongation | 72 | $30($ per kb) |  |
| Final Extension | 72 | 600 | 1 |

Table 15: Thermocycling conditions for Taq DNA polymerase-based PCR.

| Step | Temperature $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}\right.$ ] | Time $[\mathbf{s}]$ | Cycles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Initial denaturation | 95 | 30 | 1 |
| Denaturation | 95 | 15 |  |
| Primer annealing | Primer specific | 30 | $30-35$ |
| Primer elongation | 68 | 60 (per kb) |  |
| Final Extension | 68 | 600 | 1 |

### 2.2.1.2. Site-directed mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis is a method to introduce specific and intentional changes in the DNA sequence of the gene of interest and gene products. In this study, site directed mutagenesis was applied to convert the guanylyl cyclase domains of the CyclOps BeCyclOp and CaCyclOp into adenylyl cyclase domains. Primers for sitedirected mutagenesis were designed in silico using the programs SnapGene and Clone Manager 9, and PCR was exerted using the Phusion DNA polymerase. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight with the enzyme Dpnl to digest the parental methylated DNA, followed by the purification and concentration of the PCR product and heat shock transformation into E. coli cells. Screening for the desired recombinant plasmid was executed via plasmid DNA purification, analytical restriction digest and DNA sequencing and alignment.

### 2.2.1.3. Preparative and analytical restriction digest

In molecular biology, restriction endonucleases are used to cleave DNA into linear fragments at or near specific recognition sites, termed restriction sites, which are palindromic sequences consisting of $4-8 \mathrm{bp}$. In general, type II endonucleases are utilized due to their feature to cut the DNA within or at short distances from the recognition site, generating fragments with blunt or sticky ends (3`or 5` overhang), allowing their ligation with DNA fragments, cleaved by the same enzyme, and thus containing complementary ends. In this study, restriction digest was applied for molecular cloning, i.e. a preparative restriction digest (plasmid DNA or purified PCR product) was conducted, followed by agarose gel electrophoresis, gel extraction and

DNA fragment ligation or Gibson assembly to generate a new recombinant plasmid. Further, analytical restriction digest was performed to screen for and verify the correct recombinant plasmid, and its analysis of the respective DNA fragment pattern via agarose gel electrophoresis.

Restriction digests were performed according to the manufacturer`s instructions, using $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ plasmid DNA or $1-10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ purified PCR product for preparative purposes and 100 - 1000 ng plasmid DNA for analytical purposes, in a 50 or $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ rection volume, respectively. Concentration of the applied restriction enzyme depends on its activity in $U$ (one $U$ digests $1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ in 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

### 2.2.1.4. Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose gel electrophoresis is a technique to separate and identify DNA fragments after PCR or preparative and analytical restriction digest after their size. Here, negatively charged DNA molecules migrate to the positively charged pole in an electric field, and the running speed of the molecules depends on their size, i.e. larger or circular molecules exhibit a decreased running speed in the polymerized gel in comparison to smaller linear molecules.

For agarose gel electrophoresis, 1-2\% agarose was dissolved in 1x TAE-buffer, heated in a microwave oven, and poured into a chamber. The size of the pockets was adjusted in dependence of the sample volume. Samples and DNA ladder were mixed with DNA loading dye for visualization of the DNA during electrophoresis, to bind divalent metal ions and to inhibit metal dependent nucleases, and to increase the density of the sample so that the sample layers the bottom of the pocket. As reference, GeneRuler DNA ladder was applied. Electrophoresis was conducted for 45-60 min using a voltage of 130-145 V. For DNA visualization, the gel was incubated in a $1 \%$ ethidium bromide (EtBr) staining solution ( $0.1 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}) \mathrm{EtBr}$ in $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) for 15 min , which intercalates between the base pairs of the DNA double helix. Gel analysis was performed using the program Argus X1 V. 3 and the gel documentation system.

### 2.2.1.5. DNA gel extraction

In molecular biology, DNA gel extraction is applied to extract and purify PCR products or cleaved linear DNA fragments after their separation via agarose gel electrophoresis, aiming on their separation from components of preceding reactions (e.g. primers, nucleotides, EtBr, enzymes/proteins). Here, DNA gel extraction was conducted after preparative restriction digest of plasmid DNA, or after the amplification of specific DNA sequences using PCR. For DNA gel extraction, the desired DNA fragment was excised from the agarose gel using a scalpel and the gel documentation system, using a minimum of UV illumination to prevent possible DNA damages of the DNA molecules. DNA extraction was performed using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit according to the manufacturer`s instructions. The Kit is based on the silica membrane technology, separating DNA molecules (70 bp - 10 kb ) by a reversible binding of the molecules to the silica membrane. To increase the yield of the extracted DNA, following deviation to the manual was performed: In the elution step, the incubation with $20 \mu \mathrm{ldH} \mathrm{dd}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was increased to 10 min .

### 2.2.1.6. Purification and concentration of DNA fragments

Purification and concentration of DNA fragments is a method to concentrate and purify DNA fragments from impurities of preceding reactions (e.g. enzymes, salts). In this study, it was performed after preparative restriction digest of PCR products or site-directed mutagenesis. The QIAquick PCR Purification Kit was utilized according to the manufacturer's instructions. The Kit is based on the silica membrane technology to purify DNA fragments (100 bp - 10 kb ). For an increased yield of DNA sample, a deviation is present in the elution step: Incubation of the DNA sample with $20 \mu \mathrm{ldH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was increased to 10 min .

### 2.2.1.7. Determination of DNA concentration

To determine the DNA concentration of a sample, the optical density at a wavelength of 260 nm is measured, at which the purines and pyrimidines of the DNA depict the maximum absorption. Here, determination of DNA concentration was performed
using Genova photometer after DNA gel extraction, purification and concentration of DNA fragments and plasmid DNA preparation.

### 2.2.1.8. DNA dephosphorylation

DNA dephosphorylation was applied to prevent re-ligations of linearized plasmid DNA fragments by the T4 DNA ligase and thus to reduce the occurrence of false positive clones after transformation of a newly created recombinant plasmid. After preparative restriction digest of DNA molecules, a phosphate residue remains at the 5 -end. Dephosphorylation was performed using the Antarctic phosphatase, that nonspecifically catalyses the dephosphorylation of 3 - and 5 --ends of DNA and RNA phosphomonoesters, as well as NTP or dNTPs. The reaction mixture was incubated for 1 h at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a heat inactivation of the enzyme for 5 min at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.2.1.9. DNA fragment ligation

In molecular biology, DNA fragment ligation is utilized to covalently link linear DNA fragments obtained by preparative restriction digest and PCR. In this study, the T4 DNA ligase was applied, catalysing the formation of a phosphodiester bound of adjacent $3^{\prime}$-hydroxyl and 5 --phosphate termini's, thus enabling the linkage of cohesive and blunt ends. To increase the yield of successful DNA ligation, the molar ratio between vector and insert was 1:6, and different amounts of vector DNA (20 and 50 fmol ) were used. Ligation reaction was carried out for 1 h at room temperature (RT) or $16{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. Subsequently, $5 \mu$ of the reaction mixture were used for heat shock transformation into $E$. coli cells.

### 2.2.1.10. Gibson Assembly of multiple DNA fragments

Gibson Assembly is a molecular biological method for the assembly of multiple DNA fragments in a single, isothermal reaction. The technique combines three enzymatic activities: 5` exonuclease activity to generate long overhangs - polymerase activity to fill the gaps of the annealed singe-stranded regions - ligase activity to seal the nick and covalently link the DNA fragments. Gibson Assembly was performed according to the manufacturer`s instructions. To increase the yield of successful assembled DNA fragments, 100 ng vector DNA and a 3-fold molar excess of insert DNA was utilized. Finally, $5 \mu$ l of the reaction mixture were used for heat shock transformation into $E$. coli cells.

### 2.2.1.11. Heat shock transformation

Heat shock transformation technique is used to insert a foreign plasmid or ligation product into bacterial cells (e.g. E. coli), aiming on the amplification and screening of recombinant plasmids in molecular cloning. In this study, artificial competence of the bacteria cells was induced using calcium chloride, and the competent cells were stored in $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ aliquots at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For ligation reaction, $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ cell aliquots were thawed on ice and the appropriate amount of plasmid DNA (Ligation and Gibson mixture $5 \mu$, re-transformation 1-10 ng) were mixed with the bacteria cells and incubated for 30 min on ice. Subsequently, a heat shock for 45 s at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was performed, leading to the absorption of the plasmid DNA by the bacteria cells. The mixture was incubated for 3 min on ice, followed by the addition of $500 \mu \mathrm{l}$ SOC or LB medium to the mixture. The aliquot was incubated for 1 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a shaker. Bacteria cells were centrifuged for 5 min at 2500 g , and $400 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant were removed and the cells were resuspended in the remaining supernatant. Finally, the mixture was plated on LB agar plates (containing $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ampicillin) and the plates were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h and stored at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For screening of the desired recombinant plasmid in molecular cloning and plasmid amplification, single E. coli colonies were used to inoculate small ( 3 ml ) or large ( 150 ml ) cultures. For this purpose, bacteria colonies were picked using a sterile pipette tip and added to the LB medium (containing $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ampicillin) and grown at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight.

### 2.2.1.12. Plasmid DNA preparation (Miniprep/Midiprep)

Plasmid DNA preparation was performed to extract and purify plasmid DNA from E. coli cells for analytical restriction digest (Miniprep) or preparative (Midiprep) purposes
such as molecular cloning or transformation of $C$. elegans using microinjection. Miniprep was performed using a 3 ml bacteria culture and the Roti-Prep Plasmid Mini kit, whereas Midiprep was performed using a 150 ml bacteria culture and the NucleoBond PC 100 kit. Both Kits based on alkaline lysis of the bacteria cells followed by the separation of plasmid and genomic DNA using centrifugation or filtration, respectively. The kits were conducted according to the manufacturer`s instructions.

### 2.2.1.13. Plasmid DNA sequencing and alignment

The DNA sequencing technique is used to determine the order of nucleotides in DNA molecules. In this work, DNA sequencing was performed to verify the sequence of specific sections of newly synthesized plasmid DNAs. DNA sequencing was conducted by the companies Eurofins Genomic and Microsynth AG utilizing the Sanger sequencing method, and preparation of sequencing samples were performed according to the company's protocols. 50-100 or $40-100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid DNA were premixed with 2 or $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sequencing primer $(10 \mu \mathrm{M})$ to end volumes of 17 or $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$, respectively. Sequencing results were aligned to the in silico plasmid DNA sequence to verify the recombinant plasmid using the program Clone Manager 9.

### 2.2.1.14. Cloning strategies

pTH09 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp::BeCycIOp::TAP::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pTH05 using the primers oTH27 and oTH28. PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH25 and oTH26. Restriction digest of pJN63 with Kpnl und Xbal and Gibson Assembly to pTH09.
pTH10 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1-146)::SL2::GFP]: Restriction digest of pTH06 and pCS175 using Agel and EcoRI. Ligation to pTH10.
pTH11 [punc-17::BeCycIOp[E497K,C566D]::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH38 and oTH39. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pJN72 using Kpnl and Bcll. Ligation to pTH11.
pTH12 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp[E497K,C566D]::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pTH11 using the primers oTH01 and oTH04. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH01 with Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH12.
pTH15 [pmyo-3::2xLyn::YFP::biPAC]: PCR of pGEM::2xLyn::YFP::biPAC using the primers oTH46 and oTH47. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH02 using Xbal und BbvCl . Ligation to pTH 15 .
pTH16 [pmyo-3::2xLyn::YFP::bPAC]: PCR of pGEM::2xLyn::YFP::bPAC using the primers oTH46 and oTH49. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH02 with Xbal and BbvCl . Ligation to pTH 16 .
pTH17 [pmyo-3::2xLyn::YFP::bPAC(F198Y)]: PCR of pGEM::2xLyn::YFP::bPAC(F198Y) using the primers oTH46 and oTH49. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH02 using Xbal und BbvCI. Ligation to pTH17.
pTH18 [pmyo-3::SthK::mCherry]: PCR of L1_CMV_SthK_mCherry using the primers oTH50 and oTH51. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH02 using Xbal and Sfbl. Ligation to pTH18.
pTH19 [pmyo-3::SthK::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of L1_CMV_SthK_mCherry using the primers oTH50 and oTH52. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH02 using Xbal und Kpnl. Ligation to pTH19.
pTH20 [pmyo-3::SthK::SL2::GFP]: Restriction digest of pTH19 and pTH10 using Xbal and Kpnl. Ligation to pTH2O.
pTH21 [punc-17::SthK::mCherry]: Restriction digest of L1_CMV_SthK_mCherry and pTH03 using Nhel and Sbfl. Ligation to pTH21.
pTH23 [punc-17::SthK::SL2::GFP]: Restriction digest of pTH22 and pTH10 using Apal and Kpnl. Ligation to pTH23.
pTH32 [pmyo-3::YFP::CaCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pTH16 using the primers oTH69 and oTH71. PCR of pTH01 using the primers oTH72 and oTH12. Restriction digest of pTH02 using Xbal and Kpnl. Gibson Assembly to pTH32.
pTH33 [pmyo-3::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pTH16 using the primers oTH69 and oTH70. PCR of pTH12 using the primers oTH56 and
oTH01. Restriction digest of pTH02 using Xbal and Kpnl. Gibson Assembly to pTH33.
pTH41 [punc-17::YFP::BeCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pTH33 using the primers oTH81 and oTH12. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH04 using Nhel and Kpnl. Ligation to pTH41.
pTH42 [punc-17::YFP::CaCycIOp(E497K,C566D)::-SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pTH32 using the primers oTH81 and oTH12. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH04 using Nhel and Kpnl. Ligation to pTH42.
pTH44 [pET-29::BeCyc/Op::Strep]: cDNA encoding BeCyclOp, codon optimized for E. coli expression fused to a C-terminal Strep tag, was synthesized by Twist Bioscience (South San Francisco, USA) in a pET-29 vector.
pTH45 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1-178)::SL2::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH1 and oTH126. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pJN63 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH45.
pTH46 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa171-399)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH116 and oTH117. PCR of pTH19 using the primers oTH114 and oTH115. Gibson Assembly to pTH46.
pTH47 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa139-399)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH118 and oTH119. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH 46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH47.
pTH48 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa139-626)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH118 and oTH120. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH48.
pTH49 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1-399)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH01 and oTH117. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH49.
pTH50 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa171-399)::SL2::GFP]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH116 and oTH121. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH10 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH50.
pTH52 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa139-626)::SL2::GFP]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH118 and oTH4. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH10 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH52.
pTH55 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa397-626)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH03 and oTH120. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH55.
pTH57 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa139-442)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH118 and oTH123. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH57.
pTH58 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1-442)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH03 and oTH123. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH58.
pTH59 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa442-626)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH124 and oTH120. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH59.
pTH60 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa139-442)::SL2::GFP]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH118 and oTH128. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH10 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH60.
pTH61 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1-442)::SI2::GFP]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH1 and oTH128. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH10 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH61.
pTH62 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa442-626)::SL2::GFP]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH124 and oTH4. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH10 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH62.
pTH63 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa1-178)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH01 and oTH125. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH63.
pTH65 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa230-626)::mCherry]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH127 and oTH120. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH46 using Notl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH65.
pTH66 [pmyo-3::BeCycIOp(aa230-626)::SL2::GFP]: PCR of pJN63 using the primers oTH127 and oTH4. Restriction digest of the PCR product and pTH10 using Kpnl and Xbal. Ligation to pTH66.
2.2.2. Caenorhabditis elegans methods

### 2.2.2.1. Maintenance of C. elegans

C. elegans strains were cultivated on NGM plates (containing 0.2 \% streptomycin $(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}))$ seeded with the bacterial strain OP50-1 as food source. For this purpose, 60and 100-mm petri dishes were filled with 8 or 20 ml NGM, respectively. The dishes dried overnight at RT and were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NGM plates were supplemented with 200 or $1000 \mu$ OP50-1 liquid culture, dried overnight at RT or for 2 h at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The OP50-1 strain is resistant to streptomycin, and is uracil auxotroph to prevent overgrowth of the bacterial lawn (Brenner, 1974). Depending on the desired growth period, the C. elegans strains were cultivated at $16,20,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at RT. Transfer of single animals was conducted using a heat-sterilized platin wire covered with a small layer of bacteria, or in case of behavioural experiments via a hair pick made of human eye lashes to prevent the transmission of bacteria. Transfer of a higher number of animals was performed via the upside-down transfer of a small NGM piece of a populated plate, excised using a sterilized spatula. A stereo microscope provided with a transmitter light source was utilized for the visualization of the animals.

### 2.2.2.1.1. Cultivation on egg-plates

Large scale cultivation of C. elegans was performed on egg-plates according to Hochbaum et al. 2010. To this end, a 145 mm petri dish was filled with 40 ml NGM and dried overnight at RT. The yolk of 10 eggs were transferred into a 500 ml sterile bottle and LB medium was added up to 400 ml . The suspension was incubated at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h in a water bath to inactivate the lysozyme of the yolks. 150 ml of the bacteria culture HB 101 were centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the bacteria pellet was resuspended in 40 ml fresh LB medium and added to the RT
tempered yolk-LB mixture. The bacteria strain is not uracil auxotroph, enabling a better growth on NGM plates compared to the OP50-1 strain, and thus provides more food options to obtain a high yield of animals. 10 ml of the yolk-LB-HB101 mixture were transferred on a NGM plate and incubated overnight at RT. Next, the remaining liquid was discarded, and the plates dried overnight at RT. The plates were stored at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or directly used for worm cultivation. C. elegans strains were cultivated on eggplates till a high population density was reached.

Worm harvesting was performed by washing the animals off the egg-plates using 50 $\mathrm{ml} 4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cold $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The animal suspension was centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the supernatant was removed. The animal pellet was washed three times with $50 \mathrm{ml} 4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cold $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to remove components of the yolk-LB-HB101 plates. After the last centrifugation step, the animals were resuspended 1:1 in buffer $\mathrm{D}+$ goodies and the suspension was dropped into liquid nitrogen. For cell disruption, the drops were grounded in a mortar to a fine powder, and the powder was stored at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In this study, the worm powder was used for detergent screening and TAP purification of BeCyclOp::TAP and BeCyclOp::BeCyclOp::TAP, and for TEV cleavage analysis.

### 2.2.2.1.2. Decontamination

Decontamination of $C$. elegans strains was performed to remove the animals from foreign bacteria, yeast, or mold. For this purpose, 10 adult animals were placed in a drop ( $\sim 50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of bleach solution beyond the bacterial lawn of a fresh NGM plate and incubated for 10-30 min until the animals have dissolved. The solution causes the decomposition of the contaminants and of the animals, leaving the $C$. elegans eggs which are robust and not affected by this treatment. The eggs were transferred to the bacterial lawn to ensure the survival of the freshly hatched larvae. At the next day, the larvae were transferred on a fresh NGM plate.

### 2.2.2.1.3. Male generation

In C. elegans populations, males occur only at low frequency ( $\sim 0.2-0.5 \%$ ) by spontaneous non-disjunction in the hermaphrodite germ line (Hodgkin et al., 1979). The frequency could be increased by crossing with hermaphrodites ( $\sim 50 \%$ ) or by heat shock (2-5\%) (Walsh et al., 2020; Wood, 1988).

In this study, males were used for the generation of new transgenic C. elegans strains. Male frequency was increased by heat-shock treatment, whereas five L4 stage hermaphrodites were incubated for $4-6 \mathrm{~h}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After $3-5$ days, plates were screened after males, which were used for a cross with their own genotype to obtain a higher yield of males for subsequent crosses.

### 2.2.2.2. Generation of transgenic C. elegans strains

### 2.2.2.2.1. Transformation of $C$. elegans using microinjection

Microinjection is a technique to insert foreign DNA into C. elegans, generating new transgenic C. elegans strains (Mello et al., 1991). In this study, the method was applied to implement new optogenetic tools in C. elegans, to analyse the expression pattern and level of a protein of interest, and to overexpress TAP-tagged BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer in C. elegans muscle cells.

For this purpose, a microinjection mix containing the exogenous plasmid DNA is injected into the distal arms of the gonads of young adult hermaphrodites. This region is an ideal target because the oocytes create a syncytium during maturation, and thus the exogenous DNA could be engulfed by the nuclear envelope forming immature oocytes which subsequently got fertilized and finally were sequestered through the vulva of the animals. Extrachromosomal arrays contain multiple copies of the injected plasmid DNA, are generated by homologous recombination, and could be transmitted to the first-generation progeny (F1). In general, only a fraction of the F1 progeny contain the arrays and transmit the transgene through many subsequent generations, often accompanied without changes in the expression or heritability. Though, these arrays have a varying degree of mitotic instability and incomplete inheritance (Mello et al., 1991). To identify transgenic lines carrying the
extrachromosomal arrays, markers are co-injected, especially reporter gene constructs coding for a fluorescence protein.

For transformation of $C$. elegans using microinjection, the subsequent injection mix in table 16 was applied. To facilitate extrachromosomal array formation, the final DNA concentration was set to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. As marker plasmids, pmyo-2::mCherry; pmyo2::CFP or pmyo-3::mCherry were used. To prevent clogging of the injection needle, the injection mix was centrifuged for 10 min at 13300 rpm , and $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant was used for microinjection.

Table 16: Injection mix composition.

| Substance | Volume of final concentration |
| :--- | :--- |
| Injection buffer | $3 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Plasmid(s) of interest | 0.01 to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Marker plasmid | 1.5 to $3 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| Fill DNA | add to $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | add to $30 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |

Custom made injection needles were produced using glass capillaries pulled with a P-97 microelectrode puller. For needle filling, $0.5 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the injection mix was pipetted onto the opening end of the needle which gets filled due to capillary forces. Subsequently, the filled needle was mounted to the stereo microscope Axiovert 40 CFL (Zeiss) using an air pressure outlet connected to a micromanipulator. A coverslip with dried agarose ( $2 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ in $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) was fixed on a microscope slide, carved on one edge, covered at this place with halocarbon oil and placed on the microscope stage. The needle tip was broken by gently touching it to one of the carvings in the injection pad, in combination with induced air pressure. Young adult hermaphrodites (5-20) were immobilized in halocarbon oil on the agar pad using a hair pick to prevent drying and moving of the animals. The needle tip was inserted into the gonad of the animal and the DNA mix was injected using air pressure. 5 injected animals were transferred in M9 buffer on OP50-1 seeded NGM plates to recover them from the oil and cultivated at RT for 3-5 days. Selection was performed on a Leica MZ 16 F fluorescence microscope based on the used selection marker. Single F1 transgenic animals were separated on OP50-1 NGM plates and screened for transgenic F2 progenies with a high transmission rate.

For optogenetic experiments, the generated strains contain the loss-of-function mutation lite-1(ce314). Reason for this was that the nematode expresses LITE-1, which is an ultraviolet/blue-light sensor, whose activation provokes an escape response in the animal (Edwards et al., 2008). Thus, during the experiments the triggering of the escape behaviour should be prevented.

### 2.2.2.2.2. Crossing of $C$. elegans

Crossing of $C$. elegans strains was applied to transfer extrachromosomal arrays between strains, to exchange mutations between genotypes, or to outcross background mutations after UV irradiation, by cross-fertilization of hermaphrodites and the sperm contributed by a male after mating. Crossing of $C$. elegans strains was performed by placing L4 hermaphrodites with adult males in a ratio of $1: 3$ on a NGM plate. After 12-16 h, the hermaphrodites were separated on OP50-1 NGM plates and incubated at RT. After 1-2 days, crossing was evaluated by the occurrence of males. Depending on the genotype, F1 heterozygous males were used in a second cross (outcrossing after UV irradiation; outcrossing of lite-1(ce314)) or F1 heterozygous hermaphrodites were singled on OP50-1 NGM plates. F2 animals were separated on OP50-1 NGM plates and F3 animals were screened for fluorescent markers and homozygosity using PCR-based genotyping.

### 2.2.2.2.3. Genomic integration of extrachromosomal DNA in C. elegans

Extrachromosomal arrays are not transmitted to all progenies of a transgenic strain and exhibits mosaicism in their expression. To solve this problem, transgenes could be integrated into the genome of $C$. elegans. In this work, transgenes containing TAP-tagged BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer used for tandem affinity purification were integrated into the genome. Genomic integration was performed via UV irradiation, which causes chromosomal breaks followed by ligation of extrachromosomal arrays during DNA repair. 100 transgenic L4 hermaphrodites were transferred on a NGM plate and irradiated with two pulses of 33.3 mJ interspaced by a 30 s break using a Stratagene UV crosslinker (Stratalinker). Animals rested for 1 h at RT, and batches of 10 worms were transferred on OP50-1 NGM plates and
cultivated at RT until starvation (F3 generation). Plates were chunked to OP50-1 NGM plates and incubated for 1 day at RT. 800 transgenic animals were singled to OP50-1 NGM plates and allowed to grow until starvation at RT. Plates were screened for the $100 \%$ presence of the transgene via fluorescence marker. Successfully integrated strains were outcrossed 3-5 times with the same genotype to eliminate background mutations in the genome. Further, PCR-based genotyped was executed to verify the presence of the transgene in the genome, and expression of the protein of interest was analysed by fast protein extraction from C. elegans.

### 2.2.2.3. Isolation of genomic DNA from C. elegans

Genomic DNA from C. elegans was isolated via worm lysis and served as template for subsequent PCR-based genotyping. 1-10 animals were transferred into a reaction tube containing $2.5 \mu$ SEWLB with proteinase $\mathrm{K}(0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$, and incubated for 30 min at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for cell break, followed by an incubation at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min for worm lysis and 15 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to inactivate the proteinase K .

### 2.2.2.3.1. PCR-based genotyping of C. elegans

PCR-based genotyping was performed after crossing of C. elegans to identify and verify a homozygous genotype of the F3 progeny, and to verify genomic integrated transgenes. For this purpose, wild type and mutant genotypes were compared after differences in their genome, i.e. a deletion or a point mutation in the DNA sequence, causing a knockout of a gene of interest. Therefore, PCR-based amplification was applied using two oligonucleotide primers flanking the region of interest, resulting in a PCR product of different length compared to the wild type allele, or alternatively a different length after restriction digest. To identify homozygous strains, wild type or mutant strains served as positive control, whereas a mixture of both genomic DNAs was utilized to identify heterozygous strains. Mutant strains and PCR specifications for genotyping used in this work are summarized in table 17.

Table 17: Mutant C. elegans strains and their PCR specifications for genotyping.

| Genotype | Mutation | Oligonucleotides |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| che-6(e1126) | Substitution (G/A) | oTH96, oTH97 |
| cng-1(jh111) | Deletion (1222 bp) | oTH98, oTH99 |
| cng-2(tm4267) | Insertion (G) | oTH94, oTH95 |
|  | Deletion (337 bp) |  |
| cng-3(jh113) | Deletion $(882 \mathrm{bp})$ | oTH100, oTH101 |
| egl-2(rg4) | Deletion $(4023 \mathrm{bp})$ | oTH102, oTH103 |
| lite-1(ce314) | Substitution (C/T) | oCS131, oCS132 |
| unc-103(n1213) | Deletion $($ unc-103) | oTH108, oTH109 |

### 2.2.2.4. Microscopy techniques

### 2.2.2.4.1. Stereo microscopy

A stereo microscope (dissecting microscope) is an optical device which allows a three-dimensional view of a specimen at low magnification. In this study, the SMZ 645 stereo microscope (Nikon) was utilized for C. elegans handling.

### 2.2.2.4.2. Fluorescence microscopy

A fluorescence microscope is an optical device that uses fluorescence for image generation. In this work, fluorescence microscopy was applied for maintenance and analysis of transgenic $C$. elegans strains expressing fluorescent proteins, and for determination of the expression and distribution of fluorescent tagged proteins.

For handling of transgenic C. elegans strains, a Leica MZ 16 F microscope (Zeiss) equipped with filter sets for cyan fluorescent protein (CFP), green fluorescent protein (GFP) and red fluorescent protein (RFP) was used.

For analysis of the expression pattern of fluorescent tagged proteins, transgenic animals were immobilized with 50 mM sodium azide $\left(\mathrm{NaN}_{3}\right)$ in $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on agarose pads (2\% (w/v) in M9 buffer) and fixed under a cover slip. Images were acquired using an Axio Scope.A1 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a 10x objective and 100x
immersion objective, a 50 W HBO mercury lamp, the filter sets F37-525 (for GFP) and F37-580 (for NpHR) (AHF Analysentechnik), and an ORCA Flash 2.8 (Hamamatsu) digital camera. The program micro manager was utilized for adjustment of exposure time, EM Gain, and image acquisition. Images were analysed and processed using the program FiJi.

The expression pattern of BeCyclOp fragments in body wall muscle cells was analysed using an inverted Axiovert Observer Z1 microscope, equipped with a 40x immersion objective (Zeiss 40x/1.3 oil), a 100 W HBO mercury lamp as light source, and the filter F41-007 (for mCherry) (AHF Analysentechnik). Images were acquired using an ORCA Flash 4.0 (Hamamatsu) digital camera and the software micro manager. Images were edited using the program FiJi.

For determination of protein expression level, Zeiss Cell Observer SD Spinning Disc Confocal microscope (Zeiss) was used, equipped with a 10x objective, 514 nm excitation laser and an EM-C2 (Rolera) camera. The software ZEN was used to acquire z-stacks ( $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ intervals), using a $40 \%$ laser power, an EM Gain of 150 , full resolution and 100 ms exposure time. Images were saved as 16 -bit czi files and analysis was performed using the program ImageJ by drawing regions of interest along the whole body of the animal to measure the mean fluorescence intensity.

### 2.2.2.5. C. elegans behaviour analysis

One day prior to the behaviour experiments, transgenic L4 hermaphrodites were transferred to OP50-1 NGM plates and cultivated at RT in dark. For optogenetic experiments comprising microbial rhodopsins, animals were transferred on OP50-1 NGM plates containing $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ATR (diluted in 100 \% ethanol) or without ATR as negative control.

For investigation of spectral tuning of BeCycIOp using artificial retinal analogue, animals were transferred on OP50-1 NGM plates containing $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ analogue (II, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX (AzimiHashemi et al., 2014)). In case of optogenetic experiments involving the synthetic phytochrome-linked cyclase PaaC+7, animals were
transferred on OP50-1 NGM plates supplemented with 1 mM biliverdin (diluted in 100\% DMSO).

For all optogenetic experiments, light intensity was measured using a S120UV Sensor with PM 100 D power meter.

### 2.2.2.5.1. Swimming behaviour analysis

Swimming behaviour analysis was performed either in a 96-well microtiter plate (small scale) and manually scoring of the swimming cycles, or in 30 mm NGM petri dishes (containing 2.5 ml NGM; large scale) and automatically scoring of the swimming frequency.

Small scale swimming analysis was performed using an Axio Scope.A1 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a $4 x$ objective, a Powershot G9 camera (Canon), a 50 W HBO mercury lamp and red filtered transmission light ( $675 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandpass filter; dark condition). $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ NGM and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of M9 buffer were filled in a well of a 96 -well microtiter plate, respectively. 6-12 young adult hermaphrodites were transferred to the well under red light ( $650 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and incubated for at least 10 min in the dark. Animals were recorded for 30 in dark, followed by 30 s blue ( $1,0.2,0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \pm 40 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) or green light ( 1 or $1.35 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 530 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and if necessary $30 \mathrm{~s}, 60 \mathrm{~s}$ or 270 s in dark. Swimming cycles were manually counted for defined time periods of 15 s or 30 s (defined as a complete sinusoid movement in a swimming cycle) using the program Windows Media Player.

Large scale swimming analysis was conducted using a tracker based on the `Multi Worm Tracker`, equipped with a petri dish holder, a Falcon 4M30 camera (DALSA), a $\mathrm{f} / 4.0$ Rodagon camera lens (Rodenstock), a custom-made aluminium ring containing six Blue HighPower-LEDs (Ledxon) focusing the light onto the center of the petri dish holder and infrared Power LEDs ( 850 nm ) (Winger Electronics) as background light source. $40-70$ young adult hermaphrodites were washed off from a 60 mm OP50-1 NGM plate under red light ( $650 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) using $800 \mu \mathrm{I}$ M buffer and a glass Pasteur pipette and were transferred into a reaction tube. Animals settled for 5 min, followed by replacement of about $700 \mu \mathrm{l} 9$ buffer by fresh buffer to remove bacteria, and their transfer on 30 mm NGM plates. Animals were incubated for at least 10 min in

M9 buffer and in darkness before measurement. Video acquisition was performed using the video acquisition software MS-Acqu. Animals were recorded for 60 s in dark, 60 s during light application ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and 60 s in dark. The `wrMTrck` plugin in ImageJ was utilized for automated tracking and quantification of the swimming cycles of each video. Tracks were validated and combined for each animal using a custom written Java Script (swimming_tracks_processing_v1.2) by Dennis Vettkötter.

### 2.2.2.5.2. Crawling behaviour analysis

Crawling behaviour analysis on solid media was conducted using a worm tracker as previously described (Stirman et al., 2011). A mechanical shutter (Sutter Instruments) between the projector and the Axiovert 35 microscope (Zeiss) was used to synchronize the light application. Further, the transmission light was filtered through a red $675 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandpass filter. Single young adult hermaphrodites were placed on NGM plates under red light (>600 nm) in a dark room and kept for 15 min in darkness before measurement. Animals were tracked for 15 s in dark, 25 s during light ( 0.9 $\left.\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$, and 15 s after light. Bending angles, body length and crawling speed values were determined using a custom-made workflow in KNIME as previously described (Steuer Costa et al., 2017).

Body length values > $25 \%$ and crawling speed values which depicted deviations > $1.25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ with respect to the mean first five seconds of the video were excluded, and videos containing $>15 \%$ of discarded data points were excluded. Calculated bending angle, body length and crawling speed values of each animal were normalized to the averaged values before light application ( $0-15 \mathrm{~s}$ ).

### 2.2.2.5.3. Body length measurement

Body length measurements were executed as previously described (Liewald et al., 2008). Young adult hermaphrodites were individually placed under red light (>600 nm ) on plain NGM plates and assayed on an Axio Scope.A1 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a 10x objective, a Powershot G9 camera (Canon), a 50 W HBO
mercury lamp and transmission light filtered through a red $675 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandpass filter (dark condition). Animals were stimulated with blue ( $0.1,0.2,0.4,0.9$, or 2.1 $\left.\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \pm 40 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ or green $\left(0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 530 \pm 50\right)$ light. The duration of the light pulse was defined by a computer-controlled shutter (Sutter Instruments). Animals were recorded for 5 s in dark, $2-15 \mathrm{~s}$ during light, and $5-600 \mathrm{~s}$ in dark. Body length values were calculated using a custom-made workflow in KNIME, in which the length of each animal was normalized to the averaged values measured before light ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ). Values below $80 \%$ or above $120 \%$ were excluded, and the length profiles were averaged for each strain.

### 2.2.2.6. In vitro determination of cNMP content using C. elegans extract

For C. elegans extract preparation, 60 young adult hermaphrodites were transferred under red light (>600 nm) into a reaction tube containing $50 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$ buffer and 1 mM 3 IBMX. Control animals (dark condition) were placed for 30 s or 15 min on an Axio Scope.A1 microscope (Zeiss) equipped with a $4 x$ objective, a 50 W HBO mercury lamp and transmission light filtered through a red $675 \pm 50 \mathrm{~nm}$ bandpass filter. For light condition, animals were illuminated with blue light ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \pm 40$ ) for 30 s or 15 min . For cell disruption, animals were subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen, and vortexed with 0.25 - to $0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ glass beads for 5 min . The sample was centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 1 min , and the supernatant was utilized for measurement of the cNMP content. For determination of the cAMP content, the AlphaScreen cAMP Detection Kit (PerkinElmer) was applied, whereas for cGMP measurement the cGMP Direct Chemiluminescent ELISA Kit (Arbor Assays) was used. Both assays were performed using the CLARIOstar PLUS (BMG Labtech) Microplate Reader.

### 2.2.3. Biochemical methods

### 2.2.3.1. Fast protein extraction from C. elegans

Fast protein extraction from C. elegans was performed for rapid validation of the gene expression of a gene of interest of transgenic strains. In this study, fast protein extraction was utilized after microinjection and genomic integration to evaluate the accurate expression of TAP-tagged BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer. For this purpose, transgenic strains were grown on 60 mm NGM plates till a high population density was reached. Animals were washed off using $2 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{ddH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 2 min . The supernatant was removed and replaced by $1 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{ddH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the aliquot was centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 2 min again. The supernatant was removed and $30 \mu \mathrm{I}$ ESB were added to the worm pellet, followed by boiling at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min . About $30 \mu \mathrm{l} 0.25-$ to $0.5-\mathrm{mm}$ glass beads were added, and the aliquot was vortexed for 2 min , followed by the addition of $70 \mu \mathrm{I}$ ESB and a second boiling at 100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min . The sample was centrifuged at 13300 rpm for 1 min , and $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of the supernatant were used for analysis using sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE).

### 2.2.3.2. Detergent screen for BeCyclOp solubilization and tandem affinity precipitation of TAP-tagged BeCyclOp

To purify overexpressed BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer out of C. elegans muscle cells, both proteins were C-terminally fused to a TAP-tag. This affinity tag is composed out of two IgG binding domains of Staphylococcus aureus protein A (ProtA) and a calmodulin binding peptide (CBP) separated by a TEV cleavage site. The tandem affinity purification strategy consists of two sequential affinity purification steps. In the first affinity purification, the ProtA portion binds to the IgG constant ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) region, followed by the release of the TAP-tagged protein by TEV cleavage. In the second affinity purification, the CBP portion binds to Calmodulin and the protein is eluted using EGTA, a $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ chelator (Puig et al., 2001).

For purification and subsequent characterization of membrane proteins, they must be extracted from biological membranes. For this purpose, detergents are utilized, which are amphipathic molecules with a polar head and a long hydrophobic carbon chain. Above the critical micelle concentration (CMC), they form micelles in which membrane proteins are embedded and thus remain in aqueous solution, allowing their isolation and purification.

In this study, the Detergent Screening Set Classic (Biozym) was used to screen detergents after their ability to solubilize $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}:: \mathrm{TAP}$ out of $C$. elegans membranes. For this purpose, 1 g worm powder of a non-integrated BeCyclOp::TAP expressing strain was resuspended and homogenized using a tissue homogenizer in 3 ml buffer D ( 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ TAME, one cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet, 1 \% detergent). As detergents, Triton X100, Tween-20, Decylmaltoside (DM), DodecyImaltoside (DDM), N,N-

Dimethyldodecylamine N -oxide (LDAO), OctyIglucoside (OG), and Octylthioglucoside (OTG)) were applied. The aliquots were incubated for 6 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor and subsequently centrifuged at 13300 rpm for 30 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting supernatants were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

After evaluation of BeCyclOp::TAP solubilization, the solubilizates of DDM, LDAO, OG and Triton X-100 were used for subsequent precipitation of BeCyclOp. Three aliquots were applied, comprising only the first or second affinity purification step, or the sequential execution of both tandem affinity precipitation steps. Tandem affinity purification buffers (IPP150, IPP150 Calmodulin binding buffer, IPP150 Calmodulin elution buffer, TEV cleavage buffer) were prepared containing the following detergent percentage: DDM (cmc: 0.008 \%), LDAO (cmc: 0.023 \%), Triton X-100 (cmc: 0.02 \%) $=0.05 \% ; O G(c m c: 0.53 \%)=0,7 \%$.

For ProtA affinity precipitation, $850 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solubilized $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}:$ :TAP were incubated with $50 \mu \mathrm{lgG}$-Agarose beads overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor. The aliquot was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml IPP150 buffer and 1 ml TEV cleavage buffer and incubated with 10 U TEV protease in 1 ml TEV cleavage buffer for 3 h at for $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor. The sample was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the beads and the eluates were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

For CBP affinity precipitation, $850 \mu \mathrm{l}$ solubilized $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}:: T \mathrm{TAP}$ were incubated with $50 \mu \mathrm{C}$ Calmodulin-Agarose beads over night at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor. The aliquot was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The beads were washed three times with 1 ml IPP150 Calmodulin binding buffer ( 4 mM CaCl 2 ), and elution was performed by addition of $250 \mu \mathrm{IPP} 150$ Calmodulin elution buffer. The sample was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the beads and the eluates were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blotting.

For the sequential execution of both tandem affinity precipitation steps, after conducting the ProtA affinity precipitation, 1 ml IPP150 Calmodulin binding buffer ( 4 mM CaCl 2 ) and $50 \mu \mathrm{l}$ Calmodulin-Agarose were added to the supernatant and incubated over night at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor. The sample was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the beads were washed three times with 1 ml IPP150 Calmodulin binding buffer ( 4 mM CaCl 2 ), and elution was executed by addition of $250 \mu$ IPP150 Calmodulin elution buffer. The sample was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the beads and the eluates were analysed via SDSPAGE and western blotting.

For analysis, the beads were resuspended in $150 \mu$ I SDS sample buffer ( $4 x$ ), and the supernatants were diluted in SDS sample buffer (4x), and $20 \mu$ l were applied for analysis via SDS-PAGE and western blotting analysis.

### 2.2.3.3. TEV protease cleavage analysis

TEV protease cleavage analysis was performed to validate successful cleavage of the TEV cleavage site within the TAP-tag of BeCyclOp::TAP and thus its elution from the IgG-Agarose beads.

For TEV cleavage analysis, three aliquots were applied, one without TEV protease, one with TEV protease, and one with TEV protease and subsequent loading onto a chromatography column and the collection of the TEV eluate.

1 g worm powder of a non-integrated BeCyclOp::TAP expressing strain was resuspended in 3 ml buffer D ( 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ TAME, one cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet, 1 \% Triton X-100) and homogenized using a tissue homogenizer, respectively. The aliquots were incubated
for 2 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor and centrifuged at 13300 rpm for 30 min at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .10 \mu \mathrm{lgG}$-Agarose beads were added to the supernatants and incubated for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor. The samples were centrifuged at 2500 rpm for 5 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the beads were washed with 1 ml buffer $\mathrm{D}(0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ TAME, one cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet, 1 \% Triton X-100). The beads were incubated without TEV protease, or with 25 U TEV protease in $250 \mu$ I TEV cleavage buffer for 2 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a head over tail rotor. One TEV protease containing aliquot was loaded onto a chromatography column, the eluate was collected and diluted in SDS sample buffer (4x), and the beads were resuspended in $150 \mu$ I SDS sample buffer ( 4 x ).

For analysis, the supernatants of the remaining two aliquots were diluted in SDS sample buffer (4x), and $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ were applied for analysis via SDS-PAGE and western blotting analysis.

### 2.2.3.4. Tandem affinity purification of Tap-tagged BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer

Purification of TAP-tagged BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer were performed two times with slight deviations in the protocol (respective steps for the individual purification trials are indicated as follow: first purification trial = 1.P.; second purification trial $=2 . \mathrm{P}$.)

For BeCyclOp monomer and concatamer purification, 50 g worm powder were slowly thawed on ice and diluted $1: 1$ with buffer D ( 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA, $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ TAME, one cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet), followed by homogenization using a tissue homogenizer. For membrane preparation, the homogenate was centrifuged (1.P.: 37000 rpm for 1 h at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 70 Ti rotor), 2.P.: 4000 rpm for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a centrifugation of the supernatant at 37000 rpm for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(70 \mathrm{Ti}$ rotor)). The supernatant was removed, and the membrane pellet was resuspended $1: 1$ in buffer $\mathrm{D}(+0.5 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 0.5 mM PMSF, 1 mM EDTA, 1 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ TAME, one cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablet, 1 \% Triton X-100). For 2.P., both membrane pellets were combined. The membranes were homogenized using a tissue homogenizer and stirred for 4.5 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to solubilize TAP-tagged BeCyclOp monomer or concatamer. The mixture was centrifuged (1.P.: 37000 rpm
for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 70 Ti rotor), 2.P.: 4000 rpm for 15 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a centrifugation of the supernatant at 37000 rpm for 1 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(70 \mathrm{Ti}$ rotor $)$ ), and the clear interphase ( 10 ml extract) was either directly utilized for tandem affinity purification (1.P.), or dialyzed twice against buffer D $+0.05 \%$ Triton X-100 overnight and for 4 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under stirring (2.P.). For dialysis, the Zellutrans Roth dialysis tube (Carl Roth) with a molecular weight cut off of $12-14 \mathrm{kDa}$ was used. The extract was incubated in a head over tail rotor with $300 \mu \mathrm{lg}$-Agarose beads overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The aliquot was added to a chromatography column, and the beads were washed with 30 ml IPP150 buffer and with 10 ml TEV cleavage buffer. Next, the beads were incubated with TEV protease (Sigma; 1.P.: 100 U; 2.P.: 50 U ) in TEV cleavage buffer (1.P.: 10 ml ; 2.P.: 1 ml ) for 5 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The eluate was collected in a 15 ml falcon tube, and 3 ml calmodulin binding buffer were added. For Calmodulin and CBP binding, $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}\left(1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ stock solution in $\left.\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was added to a final concentration of 2 mM . Calmodulin-beads (1.P.: $300 \mu \mathrm{l}$; 2.P.: $100 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were added to the solution, and the aliquot was incubated in a head over tail rotor overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was added to a chromatography column, and the beads were washed with 30 ml IPP150 calmodulin binding buffer. Elution was performed using 10 bed volumes of IPP150 calmodulin elution buffer, and the eluate was collected in about 10 single fractions. Protein concentration of the samples were determined via Bradford assay, and the samples were analysed via SDS-PAGE, followed by western blotting or silver staining.

### 2.2.3.5. Determination of protein expression level using C. elegans extract

Determination of protein expression level of proteins of interest using C. elegans extract was performed to compare the relative expression level of photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase in muscle cells of $C$. elegans indirectly via mCherry expression, as each photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase transgene expressed mCherry from a bicistronic mRNA. For this purpose, 20 transgenic adult hermaphrodites were transferred into a reaction tube containing $20 \mu \mathrm{M} 9$ buffer. For cell disruption, three freeze-thaw cycles using liquid nitrogen were executed. Next, $4 \mu$ I SDS sample buffer (4x) were added, followed by boiling at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and an incubation on ice for

5 min . The sample was loaded on a 12 \% SDS polyacrylamide gel, followed by western blot analysis.

### 2.2.3.6. Bradford assay for quantitative protein determination

The Bradford assay is a spectroscopic analytical technique to measure the concentration of proteins in a solution based on the absorbance shift of the dye Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250. The dye exists in three forms, an anionic (blue), neutral (green), and cationic (red) form. Under acidic conditions, the cationic form is converted into the anionic form which binds to the protein by noncovalent (van der Waals force) and electrostatic interaction (binds primarily to basic, especially arginine, and aromatic residues). The cationic, unbound form (green/red) has an absorption maximum at 465 nm , which is shifted to 595 nm in the anionic bound form, and the increase of absorbance is proportional to the amount of bound dye, allowing the determination of the amount of protein in the sample (Compton et al., 1985).

Bradford measurement was performed using the Pierce Coomassie Plus Bradford Assay Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the Genova photometer. $5 \mu$ l sample were diluted in $995 \mu$ I Bradford solution in a disposable cuvette and incubated at RT for 5 min . Protein concentration of the sample was measured at 595 nm . For standard curve generation, BSA samples (0.125; 0.25; 0.5; 1; 2; 5; 10 [ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}]$ ) were measured in triplicates, and calculated mean values were plotted against the BSA concentration, followed by linear regression analysis. Single measurements of the samples were conducted, and the protein concentration of the sample was determined using the BSA standard curve.

### 2.2.3.7. $\quad$ SDS-PAGE Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

SDS-PAGE is a technique to separate proteins in an electric field based on their size under denaturizing conditions. The discontinuously SDS-PAGE was described by Laemmli and allows the separation of proteins between $5-250 \mathrm{kDa}$ (Laemmli, 1970). The SDS gel is divided into a stacking gel with a pH of 6.8 and a separating
gel with a pH 8.8. The SDS present in the sample buffer binds to and causes the denaturation of the proteins, leading to constant negatively charged SDS-proteincomplexes of different sizes, which migrates to the anode in an electric field. Due to the low pH of the stacking gel, the glycine (leading ion) in the sample buffer is negatively charged and depicts the highest migration speed through the gel. Against this, the negatively charged chloride ions (follow ions) depict a slow migration speed, resulting to a focusing of the proteins in between both ions. The higher pH in the separating gel causes the deprotonation of the glycine molecules, thus converting them to following ions and the proteins can separate according to their size. The compositions of the separating gel (12 or $7.5 \%$ ) and stacking gel (5 \%) are depicted in table 18.

For SDS-PAGE, samples were diluted with SDS-Sample-buffer (4x) and $20 \mu$ l were applied onto a gel. Samples obtained from detergent screen and subsequent tandem affinity precipitation of BeCyclOp and TEV protease cleavage analysis were boiled for 5 min at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

For TAP-tagged BeCycIOp monomer and concatamer purification samples, protein concentration was measured via Bradford assay and if possible, $60 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ protein per sample were loaded onto the SDS gel. In cases of low protein concentration of the sample, $15 \mu \mathrm{l}$ sample were loaded onto the gel. As size marker, PageRuler Prestained Protein Ladder or PageRuler Prestained Plus Protein Ladder (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were utilized ( $6 \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). Electrophoresis was conducted at 90 V until the sample entered the separating gel, and at 120 V for about 120 min . Two gels were performed, one for western blot analysis and one for silver staining.

Table 18: SDS gel preparation, consisting of a $5 \%$ stacking gel, and a 12 or $7.5 \%$ separating gel.

| Solution/reagent | Separating gel $\text { (12 \%) [ } \mu \mathrm{l}]$ | Separating gel (7.5 \%) [ $\mu \mathrm{l}$ ] | Stacking gel (5 \%) [ $\mu \mathrm{l}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5250 | 7500 | 5800 |
| Rotiphorese Gel 30 | 6000 | 3750 | 1660 |
| Laemmli B | 3750 | 3750 | - |
| Laemmli C | - | - | 2500 |
| APS (10 \%) | 150 | 150 | 80 |


| Solution/reagent | Separating gel <br> $(\mathbf{1 2} \%)[\mu \mathrm{l}]$ | Separating gel <br> $\mathbf{( 7 . 5} \%)[\mu \mathrm{l}]$ | Stacking gel <br> $\mathbf{( 5 \% )}[\mu \mathrm{l}]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| TEMED | 8 | 8 | 6 |

### 2.2.3.8. Western blotting and immunological detection

Western blotting is an immunological technique for the detection of proteins of interest after their electrophoretic separation. Here, proteins are transferred after their electrophoretic separation via SDS-PAGE from the gel onto a positively charged membrane (e.g. nitrocellulose or PVDF), a process which is termed `Blotting`. This protein transfer is performed by a vertical connected electric field, whereby the proteins migrate towards the anode. Due to ionic and polar interactions, proteins adhere to the membrane, and the proteins of interest could be immunologically detected via antibody binding (Towbin et al., 1979).

In this study, the Semi-Dry Blotter `Pegasus` (Phase) was utilized. For western blotting, two pieces of filter paper were soaked in transfer buffer (1x). As membrane, a PVDF (Carl Roth; drenched in MeOH)) or Roti-NC transfer membrane (Carl Roth; moistened in transfer buffer (1x)) were used. The Western blotting composition is depicted in table 19.

Table 19: Western blotting composition.

## Anode

Filter paper
PVDF/Roti-NC membrane
SDS gel
Filter paper

## Cathode

Western blotting was performed at 25 V for 10 min .
For immunological detection, different primary and secondary antibodies were used, depending on the protein of interest. The target proteins, the used primary and secondary antibodies and their working concentrations are summarized in table 20.

Table 20: Target proteins and applied primary and secondary antibodies for their immunological detection.

| Target protein | Primary antibody | Working concentration | Secondary antibody | Working concentration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a-tubulin | anti-a-tubulin <br> (Piperno and <br> Fuller Cat\# <br> 4A1, <br> RRID:AB_273 <br> 2839) | 1:50 | anti-mouse- <br> HRP <br> (Thermo <br> Fisher <br> Scientific) | 1:100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BeCyclOp::Str } \\ & \text { ep } \end{aligned}$ | Anti-Strep Tag <br> II (Antibodiesonline) | 1:40 | anti-rabbit- <br> HRP (Carl <br> Roth) | 1:1000 |
| BeCycIOp::TA <br> P/Concatamer: <br> :TAP | anti-TAP <br> (Thermo <br> Fisher <br> Scientific) | 1:1000 | anti-rabbit- <br> HRP (Carl <br> Roth) | 1:1000 |
| BeCyclOp::TA <br> P/Concatamer: <br> :TAP | anti-TAP <br> (Thermo <br> Fisher <br> Scientific) | 1:1000 | anti-rabbitHRP (Bethyl Laboratories ) | 1:50000 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BeCyclOp::TA } \\ & \text { P } \end{aligned}$ | PAP (Sigma <br> Aldrich) | 1:1000 | - | - |
| mCherry | anti-mCherry (OriGene) | 1:10000 | anti-rabbit- <br> HRP (Carl <br> Roth) | 1:3000 |

After blotting, the membrane was blocked for 1 h at RT in blocking buffer. Next, the blot was incubated with the respective primary antibody in blocking buffer for 1 h at RT or overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After three washing steps with TBS-T, the blot was incubated with the respective secondary antibody in blocking buffer for 1 h at RT or overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The blot was washed three times with TBS-T, and detection was performed using the GE Healthcare Amersham ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ ECL Prime Western-Blot-Detection Reagent (Cytivia) according to the manual and via ChemoCam (Intas). Imaging was
done with a sequential integration of 20 pictures and an exposure time of 10 s for each picture.

Western blot analysis was performed using the Gel Analyzer plugin in ImageJ. For determination of the relative expression level of photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase in muscle cells, the percent values of each line were normalized to the percent value of BeCyclOp(A-2x) strain (ZX2613), exhibiting the lowest $\alpha$-tubulin content, and the mCherry/ $\alpha$-tubulin ratio was calculated.

### 2.2.3.9. Silver staining

Silver staining is a technique to detect proteins after their electrophoretic separation on SDS polyacrylamide gels, enabling the detection of proteins in the low nanogram range. The method is composed out of the fixation of proteins, followed by sensitization, silver impregnation and the image development (Merril et al., 1981). Silver ions interact and bind with certain functional groups within the proteins (e.g. Asp, Glu, His, Cys, Lys), and under appropriate conditions it is reduced to metallic silver to visualize the proteins within the gel. The used solutions and their composition for silver staining of one polyacrylamide gel is visible in table 21.

Table 21: Solutions and their composition for silver staining of one polyacrylamide gel.

| Solution | Compound | Volume or mass for 1 polyacrylamide gel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acetone stock | Acetone | 60 ml |
|  | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 60 ml |
| TCA stock | TCA [6.1 N] | $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $750 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |
| $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ stock | $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ | 200 mg |
|  | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1 ml |
| Sodium thiosulfate stock | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 200 mg |
|  | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $1 \mathrm{ml}$ |
| Fixation | Acetone stock | 60 ml |
|  | TCA stock | 1.5 ml |
|  | $37 \%$ formaldehyde | $25 \mu \mathrm{l}$ |


| Solution | Compound | Volume or mass for 1 polyacrylamide gel |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pretreat 1 | Acetone stock | 60 ml |
| Pretreat 2 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { stock } \\ \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100 \mu \mathrm{l} \\ & 60 \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Impregnate | $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ stock 37\% formaldehyde $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 800 \mu \mathrm{l} \\ & 600 \mu \mathrm{l} \\ & 60 \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Develop | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ <br> 37\% formaldehyde <br> $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ stock $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \mathrm{~g} \\ & 25 \mu \mathrm{l} \\ & 25 \mu \mathrm{l} \\ & 60 \mathrm{ml} \end{aligned}$ |
| Stop | Acetic acid (99\%) $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\begin{gathered} 600 \mu \mathrm{l} \\ 59.4 \mathrm{ml} \end{gathered}$ |

The silver staining protocol used in this study is depicted in table 22.
Table 22: Silver staining protocol.

| Step | Solution | Time |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fixation | Fixation | 5 min |
| Rinse | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Wash | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 5 min |
| Rinse | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Pretreat | Pretreat 1 | 5 min |
| Pretreat | Pretreat 2 | 1 min |
| Rinse | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Impregnate | Impregnate | $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Rinse | Develop | $\mathrm{Stop}_{\mathrm{min}}$ |
| Develop | ddH2O | $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~s}$ |
| Stop |  | $(10-20 \mathrm{~s})$ |
| Rinse | 30 s |  |

### 2.2.4. Data and statistical analysis

In C. elegans behavioural analysis, data were obtained and summarized from at least three independent measurements, involving animals from different stages (L4 adult) of the same genotype, which were grown and measured on different days. The number of measured animals is indicated as $n$. Data are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM or mean, median, interquartile range (IQR), whiskers (1.5 * IQR) and outliers. Statistical analyses were executed using the programs GraphPad Prism 8 or Microsoft Excel 2019. Student's t test, one-way or two-way ANOVA and subsequent Bonferroni correction as post hoc test were executed. Significant differences are depicted in * $p<0.05$, ** $p<0.01$ and *** $p<0.001$.

## 3. RESULTS

3.1. Generation and implementation of optogenetic tools for cNMP generation, functionally coupled to CNGCs for activation and silencing of excitable cells in C. elegans

The optogenetic toolbox in C. elegans for cNMP generation and hyperpolarization is restricted to soluble adenylyl cyclases, the membrane-bound BeCyclOp, the engineered soluble bPGC, and hyperpolarizing rhodopsins. Missing are membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and hyperpolarizers based on the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents. In this study, novel membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases were engineered and characterized by behavioural experiments, in vitro cNMP measurements and in vivo expression levels. Furthermore, the Catenaria anguillulae CyclOp was assessed with respect to its applicability in C. elegans. Besides to this, photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase were functionally coupled to CNGCs for cell de- or hyperpolarization, and evaluated by swimming and body length analysis.

### 3.1.1. Optogenetic tools for cGMP generation coupled to the TAX-2/-4 CNGC for cell activation

Characterization of new optogenetic tools for cGMP generation required their coexpression with the TAX-2/-4 CNGC (EC50 ${ }^{\text {cGMP }}=8.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$; $\mathrm{EC}_{50}{ }^{\text {cAMP }}=300 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in HEK293 cells (Komatsu et al., 1999)) in body wall muscle cells of C. elegans. Expression of CaCyclOp in muscle cells was achieved by subcloning the coding sequence into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter. Since a C-terminal fusion of BeCyclOp with YFP increased its guanylyl cyclase activity in the dark (measured in membrane preparations from Xenopus oocytes (Gao et al., 2015)), CaCyclOp and the mCherry fluorescence reporter were expressed from bicistronic mRNAs, including the trans-splicing sequence SL2. To compare light triggered cGMP production between CaCyclOp and the implemented BeCycIOp and bPGC, equal amounts of plasmid DNA were injected into the strain ZX1741 (pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry) to ensure similar expression levels of TAX- $2 /-4$ between
the strains. To analyse light evoked cGMP generation by the photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, body length measurements with blue light stimulation were performed. Since the nematode expresses the ultraviolet/blue-light sensor LITE-1, whose activation via short-wavelength light triggers a phototactic escape response in the animal, the strains used for optogenetic experiments contain the loss-of-function mutation lite-1(ce314) as background. Thus, triggering of the LITE-1 induced behaviour response during the experiments should be prevented (Edwards et al., 2008). Illumination of animals, co-expressing TAX-2/-4 and CaCycIOp, caused light dependent muscle contractions of about $3.5 \%$ within $\sim 3.1 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{t} \sim 0.88 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~s})$, whereas for animals expressing TAX-2/-4 and BeCyclOp, the strongest effects, i.e. a decrease in body length of about $9 \%$ within $\sim 1.3 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{~T} \sim 0.23 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~s})$, was observed. For the soluble bPGC, light application reduced the body length of about $2.5 \%$ within $\sim 5 \mathrm{~s}(\mathrm{t} \sim 1.78 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~s})$. To verify that the light evoked effects were not caused by unspecific light responses independent by photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, animals only expressing TAX-2 and TAX-4 (raised with ATR) or coexpressing TAX-2/-4 and BeCyclOp or CaCyclOp raised without ATR (rendering the CyclOps nonfunctional) were performed. Using the same light conditions, no changes in the body lengths were detected (Figure 20 A, B). Thus, the body length measurements indicate that BeCyclOp might has the highest light-inducible cGMP production and the fastest cGMP generation rate, whereas CaCyclOp and bPGC might produce comparable amounts of cGMP at slightly different turnover rates. However, the differences in the behavioural changes could be due to differences in the expression levels of the enzymes.


Figure 20: Photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases vary in cGMP production rate and magnitude, enabling different amplitudes and ON-kinetics for cell depolarization by coupling to TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells. A) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing either BeCycIOp, CaCycIOp, or bPGC and the TAX-2/-4 channel, or only expressing TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Animals were raised with (+) or without (-) ATR. Mono-exponential decay fit (dotted lines) was used to determine onset-time constants (ז). B) Mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time period (6.5-15 s). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (*p 0.05 and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To more rigorously analyse light triggered cGMP generation by the photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, body length measurements at different light levels were performed, depicting light saturating conditions for each enzyme at $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, which is comparable to applied intensities for ChR2 activation (Figure 21) (AzimiHashemi et al., 2014; Nagel et al., 2005). Nevertheless, $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ was used as the minimum light intensity for the light saturation experiments, so light saturation for the enzymes is likely to be achieved at lower light conditions.


Figure 21: Photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases are saturated at light conditions of $\geq 0.2$ $\mathbf{m W} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ in body wall muscle cells. Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing TAX-2/-4 and either BeCyclOp, CaCyclOp, or bPGC in body wall muscle cells, during light stimulation at different light intensities (0.2, 0.9, $2.1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (0), and whiskers (1.5* $I Q R$ ) are shown. $N=$ number of animals. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To compare the in vivo photoactivatable guanylyl cyclase expression levels, western blot analysis was conducted, in which the protein amount was indirectly determined via mCherry, as each transgene expressed mCherry from a bicistronic mRNA. The highest expression level was detected for BeCyclOp, and the lowest for bPGC (Figure 22). However, the reason for this could be the usage of a codon-optimized BeCyclOp cDNA for expression in C. elegans. In summary, BeCyclOp could have the highest light-inducible cGMP production and the fastest cGMP generation rate and thus could be the most potent guanylyl cyclase. Its orthologue CaCyclOp may be characterized by a lower light-inducible cGMP generation and a lower cGMP production rate, and thus could provide a useful membrane-bound alternative to the soluble bPGC to allow fine-control of cGMP levels.


Figure 22: BeCycIOp shows the highest, and bPGC the lowest mRNA levels in body wall muscle cells. Western blot quantification of C. elegans extracts from animals expressing BeCyclOp, CaCyclOp, or bPGC and mCherry from bicistronic mRNAs (comprising the SL2 transsplicing sequence). Depicted is the mCherry intensity relative to the $\alpha$-tubulin signal, normalized to the intensity of the strain $Z X 2613$ ( $\operatorname{BeCyc} \operatorname{IOp}(A-2 x)$; figure $38 D) . N=1$ replicate of $n=20$ animals each. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).
3.1.2. Generation and implementation of membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in cholinergic motor neurons of $C$. elegans

To generate membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, the guanylyl cyclase domains of BeCyclOp and CaCyclOp were mutated by site-directed mutagenesis of two to three key amino acids in the active site (Ryu et al., 2010; Scheib et al., 2018). In guanylyl cyclases, these key residues are occupied by glutamate E497 and cysteine C566, which interact with the nitrogen N1 and the carbonyl group C6 of guanine (Linder, 2005). In contrast, in adenylyl cyclases these positions are occupied by lysine K497 and aspartate or threonine D/T566, which interact with the nitrogen N1 and the amino group N6 of adenine (Steegborn, 2014). Moreover, Ryu et al., 2010 found that an aspartate or glutamate residue at position D/E265 can also be required for cAMP selectivity, whereas in eukaryotic guanylyl cyclases this residue is occupied by an arginine. Thus, the guanylyl cyclases were mutated to adenylyl cyclases either by introducing the mutations E497K and C566D (abbreviated as (A-2x)) or by the mutations E497K, H564D, and C566T (abbreviated as (A-3x)). To characterize the novel engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases with respect to their optogenetic cAMP generation, they were
expressed in cholinergic neurons of $C$. elegans, which was achieved by subcloning of the coding sequences into a vector containing the unc-17 promoter.

### 3.1.2.1. Swimming behaviour modulation by membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase stimulation

To analyse the functionality of the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in C. elegans and to evaluate the extent of optogenetic cAMP production, swimming behaviour analyses were performed. Swimming behaviour of C. elegans is increased by optogenetic cAMP generation in cholinergic neurons via bPAC (Steuer Costa et al., 2017). Thus, this bPAC evoked change in behaviour was used as positive control and to investigate the effectiveness of the novel membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. Illumination of animals expressing bPAC or BeCyclOp(A-2x) increased the swimming frequency by about $31 \%$ within 15 s , however, the effect induced by bPAC returned to base line faster ( 15 s ) than the $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ triggered effect (30 s). For $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$ expressing animals, no light dependent change in their behaviour was observed. The reason for this could be a low expression level of the enzymes or the generation of little or no cAMP, which cannot be detected by this behavioural experiment. To verify that the light evoked behavioural changes were caused by membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase activation, the influence of the light pulse on the swimming behaviours of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of animals expressing the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases raised without ATR was investigated. Here, no light triggered change in their swimming frequency was detected, confirming that the chromophore retinal is required for membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase functionality. However, the control strain expressing $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ supplemented without ATR depicted a reduced swimming frequency after 15 s light exposure (12 \%), which increased back to baseline during subsequent 15 s light application. Because the enzyme is non-functional without ATR supplementation the significant reduction (*p = 0.046 ) after 15 s could be due to outliers. As control for cGMP production in cholinergic neurons, animals expressing wild type BeCyclOp were analysed, depicting no light evoked influence on their swimming frequency, indicating no apparent influence of cGMP on cAMP dependent neurotransmission in C. elegans
cholinergic neurons (Figure 23 A, B). Thus, the swimming behaviour analysis indicates that $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ is a functional photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase and that it may generate high levels of light-inducible cAMP.

$$
\begin{aligned}
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\end{aligned}
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Figure 23: BeCycIOp(A-2x) and bPAC evoke comparably increased swimming cycles, however the effect induced by bPAC decayed faster in cholinergic neurons. A) Swimming frequencies $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC, BeCyclOp(A-2x), BeCyclOp(A-3x), wild type BeCycIOp, or $\mathrm{CaCyc} / \mathrm{Op}(A-2 x)$ in cholinergic motor neurons of C . elegans, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Swimming frequencies are normalized to the mean swimming cycles 15 s before illumination ( $n=$ $40-50)$. B) Quantification of the mean swimming cycles 30 s before and 30 s during light of the animals in A. Swimming cycles are normalized to the mean swimming frequency 30 s before light application. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. $n=40-50$ animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA and Student's test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$, ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

In order to possibly improve the expression and/or membrane targeting of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ and CaCyclOp(A-2x) were N-terminally fused with YFP (Scheib et al., 2018). Stimulation of YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) expressing animals increased swimming frequency by about $22 \%$ within 30 s, whereas for YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) an increase of about $33 \%$ within 30 s was detected (Figure 24). Accordingly, N-terminal fusion of YFP to $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-$ $2 x$ ) could increase the expression and/or membrane targeting and/or stabilize the
conformation of the enzyme, as observed in Xenopus oocytes and hippocampal neurons (Scheib et al., 2018).


Figure 24: YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) triggers the highest increase in swimming frequency during 30 s light application in cholinergic neurons. Mean swimming rate of animals, expressing YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) or YFP-CaCycIOp in cholinergic neurons, 30 s before and 30 s during illumination ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Animals were supplemented with (+) or without (-) ATR. Swimming frequencies are normalized to the mean swimming frequency 30 s before light application. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (0), and whiskers (1.5 * $I Q R$ ) are depicted. $n=39-52$ animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA and Student's test (**p $\leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To investigate light dependent cAMP generation by the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in more detail, swimming behaviour analyses at different light levels were executed. For bPAC, light evoked effects exhibited a maximum at $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ( 31 \%), which dropped at $0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ( 9 \%) (Figure $25 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ), while for YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x), they saturated already at $0.1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ (Figure $26 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). The reason for the decreased frequency at $0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ observed for bPAC expressing animals may be an increased amount of cAMP produced, which amplifies the increase in bending angles and thus causes a decrease in swimming frequency.


Figure 25: bPAC enhanced swimming rate maximizes at $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, and drops at 0.4 $\mathbf{m W} / \boldsymbol{m m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ in cholinergic neurons. A) Swimming cycles $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in cholinergic neurons during 30 s light exposure ( 470 nm ) at light intensities of $0.1,0.2$ and 0.4 $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Swimming rates are normalized to the mean swimming frequency 15 s before light stimulation. B) Quantification of the mean swimming cycles 15 s before and 15 s during illumination of the animals in $A$. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are illustrated. Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA and Student's test $\left({ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01\right.$ and $\left.{ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001\right)$. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).


Figure 26: YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) increased swimming behaviour saturates at light conditions of $0.1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ in cholinergic neurons. A) Swimming rates $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing YFP-BeCyc/Op(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons during 30 s light exposure ( 470 nm ) at light intensities of $0.1,0.2$ and $0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$. Swimming cycles are normalized to the mean swimming frequency 15 s before light stimulation. B) Quantification of the mean swimming rates 15 s before and 15 s during illumination of the animals in A. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers ( 1.5 * IQR) are shown. Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA and Student's test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To gain insights into possible impacts on neuronal physiology due to protein expression and/or dark nucleotidyl cyclase activity, basal swimming rates of
membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, wild type BeCyclOp and bPAC expressing animals were calculated and compared to the genetic background lite1(ce314). Apart from $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$, all analysed photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases caused a reduction of the swimming frequency which could be due to a common "toxicity" of the enzymes or basal cNMP formation (Figure 27 A, B). The result may also indicate weak expression of $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ in C. elegans cholinergic neurons. In sum, YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x), YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x), and BeCyclOp(A-2x) enhanced swimming behaviour as efficiently as bPAC which could indicate that the engineered enzymes generate high levels of cAMP with high efficiency.


Figure 27: Photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases expression in cholinergic neurons reduces basal swimming rate (except $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(A-2 x)$ ). Basal swimming rates of animals, expressing A) $\mathrm{BeCyc} / \mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$, BeCyc/Op(A-3x), wild type BeCyc/Op, CaCyclOp(A-2x), bPAC and B) YFP-$\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ or YFP-CaCyc/Op(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite1(ce314). Animals were raised with (+) or without (-) ATR. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements ( 0 ), and whiskers ( $1.5 * I Q$ ) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA and Student's $t$ test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p$ $\leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).
3.1.2.2. Crawling behaviour modulation by membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase activation on solid substrate

### 3.1.2.2.1. Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase illumination increases crawling speed

Since membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase photoactivation increased C. elegans swimming behaviour, they were characterized in more detail by analysis of their behaviour modulation on solid substrate, i.e. crawling speed, body posture and body length. In this context, optogenetic cAMP production by bPAC increased the crawling speed and body posture, and decreased the body length (Steuer Costa et al., 2017). Thus, the experiment should provide further insight into the enzymatic properties and kinetics of the engineered enzymes, as well as the influence of cAMP produced in the cytosol or in close proximity to the plasma membrane on cAMPdependent neurotransmission. Stimulation of bPAC and BeCyclOp(A-2x) expressing animals showed comparable enhanced crawling speeds of about $40 \%$ within $\sim 12 \mathrm{~s}$ (BeCyclOp(A-2x) appeared even more efficient), whereas the bPAC induced behavioural change decayed faster compared to $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$. The faster decaying responses observed in bPAC-evoked effects (swimming and crawling) may indicate that PDEs do not reach and degrade cAMP produced near the membrane as rapidly as in the cytosol. As controls, the effect of light application on the crawling speeds of animals, expressing $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ raised without ATR, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314) were examined, depicting no change in their behaviour (Figure 28 A, B). In regard of YFP-CycIOp(A-2x)s, illumination increased the crawling speeds of about $35 \%$ within $\sim 12 \mathrm{~s}$ (Figure $29 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). Thus, photoactivation of BeCyclOp(A-2x), YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x), and BeCyclOp(A-2x) caused similar enhanced or even more potent crawling speed changes as bPAC, which could indicate that the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases produce cAMP at high levels with high efficiency.


Figure 28: BeCycIOp(A-2x) and bPAC evoke comparably enhanced crawling speeds, however the effect induced by bPAC decayed faster in cholinergic neurons. A) Crawling speeds $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC or $\operatorname{BeCyc} / \mathrm{Op}(A-2 x)$ in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470$ $n m$ ). Onset-time constants ( $\tau$ ) were calculated using a mono-exponential growth fit (dotted lines). $n$ $=47-72$ animals. B) Quantification of the mean normalized speed of the time periods before ( $0-$ 15 s ), during ( $15-40 \mathrm{~s}$, blue bar), and after ( $40-55 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light application. $n=66-72$ animals. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. Statistically significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$, ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).


Figure 29: YFP-CycIOp(A-2x)s raise crawling speeds nearly comparably strong as bPAC and BeCycIOp(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons. A) Crawling speeds $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) or YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons. Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Mono-exponential growth fit (dotted lines) was used to calculate onset-time constants ( $\tau$ ). $n=47-64$ animals. B) Quantification of the mean normalized speed of the time periods before ( $0-15 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during ( $15-40 \mathrm{~s}$, blue bar), and after ( $40-55 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light exposure. $n=58-61$ animals. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are shown. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$, ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To get more insights into possible negative impacts on neuronal physiology due to protein expression and/or dark nucleotidyl cyclase activity, the basal crawling speeds of membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, wild type BeCyclOp and bPAC animals were examined and compared to the genetic background lite1(ce314). Here, only BeCyclOp(A-2x) expressing animals decreased the basal crawling speed, independent of ATR addition (Figure $30 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). The reason for this may be constitutive cAMP production by the adenylyl cyclase domain, which is independent of light regulation by the rhodopsin moiety.


Figure 30: Only BeCycIOp(A-2x) expression in cholinergic neurons reduces basal crawling speed, independent of ATR addition. Basal crawling speeds of animals, expressing A) BeCyc/Op(A-2x), BeCyc/Op(A-3x), wild type BeCyclOp, bPAC and B) YFP-BeCyc/Op(A-2x) or YFP$\mathrm{CaCyc} / \mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Animals were raised with ( + ) or without (-) ATR. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. $n=55-73$ animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq$ 0.001). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).
3.1.2.2.2. Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase stimulation elicits no obvious changes on body posture

To further analyse the influence of cAMP, produced by membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, on locomotion behaviour on solid substrate, the impact of these tools on body posture was examined. The body bending on solid substrate is enhanced by bPAC activation in cholinergic neurons, providing an additional behavioural readout to compare cAMP-dependent neurotransmission in cholinergic neurons induced by soluble bPAC and the engineered membrane-bound enzymes. Here, stimulation of bPAC expressing animals increased the mean body bending of about $15 \%$ within 17 s . In contrast to this, illumination of $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ expressing animals depicted no change in their body bending, and behaved as control animals raised without ATR, or the genetic background lite-1(ce314) (Figure 31 A, B).


Figure 31: In contrast to bPAC, BeCycIOp(A-2x) photoactivation in cholinergic neurons evokes no change in the mean bending angles. A) Bending angles $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing $b$ PAC or $\mathrm{BeCyc} / \mathrm{Op}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $n=47-72$ animals. B) Quantification of the mean normalized bending angles of the time periods before ( $0-15 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during ( $15-40 \mathrm{~s}$, blue bar), and after ( $40-55 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light application. $n=66-72$ animals. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are illustrated. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (* $p \leq$ 0.05 and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021)

Also, photoactivation of YFP-CyclOp(A-2x)s expressing animals showed no obvious change in body bending. However, YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) expressing animals depicted a light triggered increase of the mean body bending of about $6 \%$ after light application, an effect which was also detected for control animals supplemented without ATR (Figure 32 A, B). Reason for this might be a behavioural change triggered by turning of the light. In sum, membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases activation had no impact on C. elegans body bending, as observed for bPAC. The reason may be that cytosolic cAMP production by bPAC in cholinergic neurons triggers activation of undesired cAMP signalling pathways in addition to cAMP dependent neurotransmission, causing the increase in body bending on solid substrate.


Figure 32: YFP-CycIOp(a-2x)s light stimulation in cholinergic neurons produce no obvious change in the mean bending angles. A) Bending angles $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) or YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite1(ce314). Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $n=47-72$ animals. B) Quantification of the mean normalized bending angles of the time periods before ( $0-15$ s), during ( $15-40 \mathrm{~s}$, blue bar), and after ( $40-55 \mathrm{~s}$ ) stimulation. $n=58-61$ animals. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are illustrated. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (non-significant (n.s.), ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021)

### 3.1.2.2.3. Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase activation triggers minor changes in body length

In addition to analyses of the crawling speed and body bending, the influence of membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases activation on C. elegans body length on solid substrate was studied. The body length on solid substrate is reduced by bPAC activation in cholinergic neurons, providing an additional behavioural readout to compare cAMP-dependent neurotransmission in cholinergic neurons induced by soluble bPAC and the engineered membrane-bound enzymes. In this context, illumination of bPAC expressing animals reduced the body length of about 4 \% within 20 s, whereas $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ expressing animals depicted a reduction of the body length of about $2 \%$ during light application, which is nearly comparable to the effect observed for control animals raised without ATR, but slightly stronger than the observed effect for the genetic background lite-1(ce314) (~1 \%) (Figure 33 A, B). The reason for the slight reduction in body length observed for the genetic
background lite-1(ce314) might be explained by an additional photoreceptor in $C$. elegans that senses blue light ( 470 nm ) and slightly affects the behaviour of the animals.


Figure 33: Photo stimulation of $\operatorname{BeCycIOp(A-2x)}$ in cholinergic neurons slightly decreases the body length, though not reaching the extend triggered by bPAC. A) Body lengths $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC or BeCyc/Op(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $n=47-72$ animals. B) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths of the time periods before (0-15 s), during ( $15-40 \mathrm{~s}$, blue bar), and after ( $40-55 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light exposure. $n=55-73$ animals. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are illustrated. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

In case of YFP-CyclOp(A-2x)s expressing animals, light stimulation triggered a decrease of the body length of about $2 \%$ during light application, similar to control animals without addition of ATR, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314) (Figure 34 A-C). In conclusion, body length reductions by YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) and YFP-$\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ are comparable to that observed in control animals, whereas stimulation of $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ reduced animal body length more to that observed in the genetic background lite-1(ce314), which may be due to higher expression of the enzyme in cholinergic neurons and higher levels of light-generated cAMP.
Nevertheless, the reduction evoked by $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ activation did not reach the same extent as induced by bPAC activation. The reason may be that cytosolic cAMP production by bPAC in cholinergic neurons triggers activation of undesired cAMP
signalling pathways in addition to cAMP dependent neurotransmission, causing the reduction in body length on solid substrate.


Figure 34: YFP-CycIOp(A-2x)s photoactivation in cholinergic neurons produce no obvious reduction in body length. Body lengths $\pm$ SEM of A) the genetic background lite-1(ce314), and of animals expressing YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x), or B) YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons. Blue bar indicates the period of light exposure ( $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $n=$ number of animals. C) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths of the time periods before ( $0-15 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during (15 -40 s , blue bar), and after ( $40-55 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light exposure. $n=58-68$ animals. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are shown. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (nonsignificant (n.s.), ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.1.2.3. Determination of photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase expression levels in cholinergic neurons

To more rigorously classify the evoked behavioural changes, the expression levels of bPAC-YFP and YFP-CyclOp(A-2x)s were compared via confocal fluorescence microscopy, and the mean fluorescence intensities were determined. Both YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) and YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) depicted similar expression levels, which were $\sim 1.4$-fold lower than the level of the soluble bPAC (Figure 35 A-D). Thus, the increased diversity of the behavioural output detected for cytosolic cAMP production by bPAC, i.e. increased bending angles and a decreased body length, could be due to the higher expression level of bPAC. Interestingly, despite lower expression, the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases evoked similar enhanced locomotion behaviours, i.e. crawling and swimming, which may indicate a more specific activation of cAMP-dependent neurotransmission.


Figure 35: Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases are expressed at much lower levels than bPAC in cholinergic neurons. Confocal fluorescence images of animals, expressing A) bPAC, B) YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) or C) YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons using the unc-17 promoter. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. D) Mean fluorescence intensities. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (***p 0.001). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.1.3. Photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases coupled to the TAX-2/-4 CNGC for cell activation

Locomotion behaviour analysis in liquid and on solid media provided a strong indication for optogenetic cAMP production by the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. However, the actual extent of the specificity switch and potentially remaining cGMP generation could not be answered this way. To this end, the coding sequences of membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases were subcloned into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter, and equal amounts of plasmid DNA were injected into the strain ZX1741, generating strains which co-express TAX-2/-4 and the respective membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in body wall muscle cells. This channel is mainly specific for cGMP, but at high concentrations it is also activated by cAMP (200-fold lower sensitivity). To gain more insights into the specificity switch of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, body length measurements were performed. In this context, cGMP production would efficiently activate the channel and cause body
contraction, whereas cAMP would evoke contraction if considerable amounts would be generated, or a mixture of cAMP and cGMP. Except for $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$, illumination of all membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in TAX-2/-4 expressing animals triggered similar body contractions of about $2-3.5 \%$ within ~ 2.5 s , which slightly differ in their time course. Here, the effect evoked by TAX-2/-4; $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ decayed much more slowly. Importantly, none of the engineered enzymes evoked a similar reduction in body length as BeCyclOp, which could indicate a high specificity for cAMP generation and no or less remaining cGMP production. Moreover, the non-detectable effect in TAX-2/-4; CaCycIOp(A-2x) animals could indicate that the enzyme is weakly expressed in C. elegans and/or generates no or only marginal amounts of cAMP. Interestingly, the decay rate of YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) mediated muscle contraction was faster when compared to BeCyclOp(A-2x). Reason for this could be a higher expression level of BeCyclOp(A$2 x)$ than of YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x). While CaCyclOp(A-2x) activation induced no obvious effect, YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) was able to induce light dependent body length reductions (Figure $36 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). This could be due to an increased expression level and/or membrane targeting of the enzyme by addition of the N -terminal YFP.


Figure 36: Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and TAX-2/-4 CNGC depict different efficiencies and kinetics for cell depolarization in body wall muscle cells. A) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals co-expressing BeCyclOp(A-2x), YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x), BeCycIOp(A-3x), wild type BeCycIOp, CaCycIOp(A-2x), or YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) and the TAX-2/-4 channel in body wall muscle cells. Green bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; 535 $n m$ ). Animals were raised with (+) or without (-) ATR. Mono-exponential decay fit (dotted lines) was used to determine onset-time constants (ז). B) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time period (7-9s). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * $I Q R$ ) are shown. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (***p $\leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To classify cNMP production by $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ in more detail, light triggered body length changes ( 2 s light pulse; $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were compared between TAX-2/-4; bPAC and TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp(A-2x) expressing animals. In this context, bPAC evoked stronger body contractions than BeCycIOp(A-2x) (9 and 4.5 \%, respectively), which may be due to a higher light-inducible cAMP production or higher expression level of bPAC (Figure $37 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). Noteworthy, $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ expressing animals showed an altered morphology, independent of ATR supplementation, i.e. shortened and wider body, while none of the other strains appeared to be affected by transgene expression. This morphology change could indicate that the adenylyl cyclase domain constitutively generates cAMP independently of light regulation by the rhodopsin domain.


Figure 37: bPAC stimulation evokes stronger body contraction than BeCycIOp(A-2x), indicating higher overall cAMP generation in body wall muscle cells. A) Body lengths $\pm$ SEM of animals co-expressing TAX-2/-4 and bPAC or BeCyclOp(A-2x) in body wall muscle cells. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Onset-time constants ( $\tau$ ) were calculated using a mono-exponential decay fit (dotted lines). $n=16-27$ animals. B) Mean normalized body lengths for the time periods before ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during ( $8-10 \mathrm{~s}$ ), and after ( $22.5-24.5 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light exposure. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. $n=19-28$ animals. Statistically significant differences: oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To determine the expression level of the respective photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, confocal fluorescence microscopy and western blot analysis were performed. Here, the highest expression levels were examined for BeCyclOp(A-2x), $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$ and bPAC, whereas YFP-CycIOp(A-2x)s and CaCyclOp(A-2x) exhibited half or intermediate expression levels (Figure 38 A-D). Thus, the similar expression levels of $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$, and bPAC could indicate that bPAC has a higher light-inducible cAMP production than BeCyclOp(A-2x), and that BeCyclOp(A-3x) produce small amounts of cAMP that were not detectable in the swimming behaviour analysis. Moreover, the addition of the N-terminal YFP to $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ might increase the expression and/or stabilize the conformation of the enzyme in C. elegans.


Figure 38: BeCycIOp(A-2x), BeCycIOp(A-3x) and bPAC depict the highest mRNA levels, whereas YFP-CycIOp(A-2x)s shows about half or intermediate expression levels in body wall muscle cells. Confocal fluorescence micrographs of animals, expressing A) YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) or B) YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) in body wall muscle cells using the myo-3 promoter. Scale bar = $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. C) Mean fluorescence intensities. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * $I Q R$ ) are illustrated. $n=$ number of animals. D) Westerm blot quantification of C. elegans extracts from animals, expressing bPAC, BeCyclOp(A-2x), BeCyclOp(A-3x) or CaCycIOp(A-2x), and mCherry from bicistronic pre-mRNAs (comprising the SL2 trans-splicing sequence). Shown is the mCherry intensity relative to the $\alpha$-tubulin signal, normalized to the intensity of the strain ZX 2613 (BeCycIOp(A-2x). $N=1$ replicate of $n=20$ animals each. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).
3.1.4. Determination of nucleotidyl specificity and cNMP levels of photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases using C. elegans extracts

To determine the nucleotidyl specificity and the amount of generated cNMP of the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, in vitro assays for cAMP and cGMP quantification were performed. Furthermore, this should allow a better classification of the results from the behavioural experiments. For this purpose, the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases were expressed in C. elegans muscle cells, and the cNMP content of a fixed number of animals (i.e., their entire body) after 15 min blue light application was investigated. For $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, YFP-BeCycIOp(A$2 x$ ) and YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x), a high cAMP level produced in transgenic C. elegans tissue was determined ( $39,57,40 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively), though they did not reach the same extent as produced by bPAC ( 142 nM ). Importantly, no basal cyclase activity was measured, as the cAMP levels in dark were as in non-transgenic control animals ( $\sim 3 \mathrm{nM}$ ), and no residual cGMP production was observed for all engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. In conjunction with the expression analysis, the results may indicate higher light-inducible cAMP production by bPAC compared with $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(A-2 x)$, that YFP-CyclOp(A-2x)s may be the most
potent enzymes for cAMP production, and that $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 x)$ may be a useful tool for generating lower amounts of cAMP. Furthermore, the result could emphasize an increased expression and/or an increased stabilization of the protein conformation of $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ by adding the N -terminal YFP. In case of photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, bPGC and CaCyclOp produced comparable cGMP levels (18, 13 nM , respectively), whereas the highest cGMP level was determined for BeCyclOp ( 74 nM ) (Figure 39 A, B). Thus, the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases generate cAMP in high magnitudes and with high specificity.


Figure 39: Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases generate (and accumulate) cAMP at high levels in vivo, with high specificity. A-B) Quantification of A) cAMP and B) cGMP levels using C. elegans extracts. Animals expressing bPAC, bPGC, BeCyclOp, BeCyclOp(A-2x), YFP-BeCyclOp, BeCyclOp(A-3x), CaCycIOp, CaCycIOp(A-2x), or YFP-CaCycIOp(A-2x) in body wall muscle cells were stimulated with blue light $\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}, 15 \mathrm{~min}\right)$ or as dark condition, incubated with red filtered transmission light ( $675 \mathrm{~nm} ; 15 \mathrm{~min}$ ). Depicted are the mean values $\pm$ SEM comprising the individual measured values (•). $n=3$ samples of 60 animals each. Statistically significant differences were calculated using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To examine optogenetic cAMP generation at conditions that match the behavioural experiments, cAMP levels were quantified for bPAC and YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) expressing animals, stimulated for 30 s with blue light. In this context, a significantly higher cAMP level was measured for bPAC (86 nM) compared to YFP-BeCyclOp(A$2 x)(22 \mathrm{nM}$ ) containing lysates (Figure 40). In summary, bPAC generated a higher amount of optogenetic cAMP levels under the applied experimental conditions, possibly due to a higher expression level or light-inducible cAMP generation rate compared with the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, which could lead to a greater diversity of the behavioural output observed in the
behavioural experiments (i.e., increased bending angles and a decreased body length). In addition, the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases elicited similarly enhanced locomotion behaviours (i.e., crawling and swimming), which may indicate more specific activation of cAMP-dependent neurotransmission.


Figure 40: Under conditions matching the light conditions of behavioural experiments, bPAC produced ca. 4x more cAMP than YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x). Quantification of cAMP levels using C. elegans extracts. Animals expressing bPAC or YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) in body wall muscle cells were illuminated for 30 s with blue light (light $=L)\left(0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ or with red filtered transmission light (675 nm) (dark = D). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * $I Q R$ ) are shown. $N=3$ replicates of $n=60$ animals each. Statistically significant differences: two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).
3.1.5. BeCyclOp and the cGMP-gated BeCNG1 channel for $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-based hyperpolarization

So far, the proton pump Arch, the chloride pump NpHR, and the anion channel GtACR have been established as hyperpolarizing optogenetic tools in C. elegans (Bergs et al., 2018; Chow et al., 2010; Husson et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2007a). However, their application is accompanied by undesired changes in ion distribution across the membrane and requires continuous light stimulation (Mahn et al., 2016; Wiegert et al., 2016). To overcome these limitations, photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases were combined with cyclic nucleotide-gated potassium channels for cell hyperpolarization based on $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents. For this purpose, a mechanism found in the aquatic fungus Blastocladiella emersonii was adapted to C. elegans. In this context,
the cGMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channel BeCNG1 acts as an effector protein downstream of BeCyclOp and participates in the phototactic response of the zoospore (Avelar et al., 2015). To assess this system for its potential to function in C. elegans, the proteins were co-expressed in C. elegans muscle cells. For this purpose, A. Hirschhäuser subcloned the coding sequence of BeCNG1 into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter. To investigate the expression of BeCNG1 in C. elegans muscle cells, he Cterminally fused the protein to YFP and analysed its expression via fluorescence microscopy, where intracellular protein aggregates were visible, but no correct membrane localization could be detected (ZX2279; $5 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ BeCNG1-YFP). Further, he evaluated the functionality of the BeCNG1; BeCyclOp system (ZX2231; $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ BeCyclOp plasmid DNA and $10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ BeCNG1 plasmid DNA) via body length measurements and observed a slight light provoked muscle hyperpolarization, that was not significant (Master Thesis A. Hirschhäuser). Therefore, I have generated a new BeCNG1-YFP; BeCyclOp expressing strain by microinjection (ZX2326; $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ BeCyclOp plasmid DNA and $40 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ BeCNG1 plasmid DNA) with an increased expression level of BeCNG1-YFP. To examine protein expression of both proteins, I performed fluorescence microscopy. BeCNG1-YFP depicted a clustered appearance along the muscle membrane, whereas expression of BeCyclOp was indirectly observed via mCherry expression (from a bicistronic transcript) in the cytosol of the muscle cells (Figure 41 A, B).


Figure 41: Expression pattern of BeCNG1::YFP BeCycIOp::SL2::mCherry using the myo-3 promoter. Fluorescence images (right) and DIC brightfield images (left) of animals, co-expressing A) BeCNG1::YFP and B) BeCyclOp::SL2::mCherry in body wall muscle cells using the myo-3 promoter. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To analyse the functionality of the two component optogenetic system, body length measurements were conducted. Illumination of animals, co-expressing BeCNG1-YFP and BeCyclOp and raised with ATR, triggered a slightly increased body length of about $1 \%$ within $\sim 3 \mathrm{~s}$, which remained at this level even after turning the light off. The reason for the moderately evoked effect could be a pre-activation of the BeCNG1 channel or a general toxicity of the channel due to its tendency to form protein aggregates that trigger endoplasmic reticulum stress. The sustained effect could be explained by the large amount of cGMP produced by BeCyclOp and the low PDE activity in body wall muscle cells. No body elongation was detected for control animals, supplemented without ATR (Figure 42 A, B). In sum, BeCNG1 and BeCyclOp caused moderate but long-lasting optogenetic hyperpolarization of $C$. elegans muscle.


Figure 42: BeCycIOp and BeCNG1 trigger moderate, but long-lasting hyperpolarization of body wall muscle cells. A) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals co-expressing BeCNG1 and BeCycIOp in body wall muscle cells. Green bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; 535 nm ). Mono-exponential growth fit (dotted lines) was used to determine onset-time constants ( $\tau$ ). Animals were raised with (+) or without (-) ATR. B) Mean normalized body lengths for the time periods before $(0-5 s)$, during ( $5-20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), and after ( $20-30 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light application. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are shown. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using oneway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.1.6. bPAC and the cAMP-gated potassium channel SthK for cell inhibition

Previously, a two component optogenetic system for cell inhibition, comprising bPAC and the cAMP-gated SthK channel from Spirochaeta thermophila was utilized to manipulate $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents in various model organisms (Beck et al., 2018; Bernal Sierra et al., 2018). I adapted this system to use in C. elegans and evaluated its properties in muscle cells and cholinergic motor neurons by performing body length measurements and swimming behaviour analysis.

### 3.1.6.1. Characterization of the bPAC / SthK two-component optogenetic system in body wall muscle cells

To analyse the functionality of the system in C. elegans muscle cells, the coding sequence of SthK was subcloned into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter. For investigation of SthK expression in C. elegans muscle, the protein was C-terminally fused to mCherry, whereas for functionality analysis, SthK and mCherry were expressed from a bicistronic mRNA. Fluorescence microscopy was performed to
examine SthK expression in body wall muscle cells, depicting a clustered appearance along the muscle membrane (Figure 43).

SthK::mCherry


Figure 43: Expression pattern of SthK::mCherry using the myo-3 promoter. Fluorescence (right) and DIC brightfield image (left) of an animal expressing SthK::mCherry in body wall muscle cells using the myo-3 promoter. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To assess the functionality of the SthK and bPAC system in C. elegans, a strain coexpressing SthK and bPAC was generated, and analysed via body length measurements. Light stimulation of animals co-expressing bPAC and SthK evoked body elongation of about $4 \%$ within 1.1 s , which is comparable to other strong hyperpolarizers like GtACR2 or Arch (Bergs et al., 2018; Husson et al., 2012). No light evoked body length increase was observed for control animals, only expressing SthK (Figure 44 A, B).


Figure 44: bPAC and SthK light stimulation in body wall muscle cells provoke fast and robust muscle hyperpolarization. A) Body lengths $\pm$ SEM of animals co-expressing SthK and bPAC in body wall muscle cells. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470$ $n m$ ). Onset-time constants ( $\tau$ ) were calculated using a mono-exponential growth fit (dotted lines). B) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the time periods before ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during ( 5 $7 s$ ), and after ( $7-9 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light exposure. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers ( 1.5 * $I Q R$ ) are illustrated. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To investigate the duration of the light induced muscle hyperpolarization, body length measurements were extended up to 10 min after light application (1 s). Here, bPAC; SthK triggered hyperpolarization constantly increased the body length by up to $\sim 6.5$ \% within ca. 5.5 minutes and decayed to 3.7 \% in the remaining 4.5 minutes (Figure 45). This demonstrated a long-lasting persistence of cAMP generated by bPAC, probably due to low PDE activity in body wall muscle cells, as well as extensive nondesensitizing activity and conductance of the SthK channel.


Figure 45: bPAC and SthK evoked muscle elongation lasted up to 10 min. Body length measurement $\pm$ SEM of animals co-expressing SthK and bPAC in body wall muscle cells. Animals were stimulated for 1 s with blue light ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) after $5 \mathrm{~s} . n=$ number of animals. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To characterize the system in more detail, i.e. a possible pre-activation of the SthK channel due to intrinsic cAMP or bPACs dark activity, swimming behaviour analyses were performed. Here, expression of SthK reduced the basal swimming rate compared to the genetic background lite-1(ce314) ( 50 vs 90 cycles per min, respectively), which was further decreased by co-expression of bPAC to 20 cycles per min. Simulation of animals co-expressing bPAC and SthK evoked a complete arrest of their swimming behaviour ( 0.5 cycles per min). This effect was not observed in animals only expressing SthK, or in the genetic background lite-1(ce314) (Figure 46). In sum, SthK and bPAC triggered light dependent, robust and long-term muscle hyperpolarization, but intrinsic cAMP is already sufficient to activate SthK, whereas the additional cAMP produced by bPAC in the dark was too high to allow meaningful use of SthK. For this reason, a less potent and completely dark-inactive photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase would be required. In addition, a tissue with increased PDE activity of endogenous PDEs would be helpful, e.g., cholinergic motor neurons that use intrinsic cAMP signalling and therefore presumably express PDEs.


Figure 46: SthK pre-activation by intrinsic cAMP and increased cAMP level due to bPACs dark activity reduce basal swimming rate, and illumination of SthK; bPAC evoked a complete arrest of the swimming behaviour. Swimming frequencies $\pm$ SEM of animals expressing only SthK, or co-expressing SthK and bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background lite1(ce314). Swimming rates were calculated 30 s before, and 30 s during light exposure ( 0.2 $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA and Student's t test (***p $\mathbf{x}^{0.001 \text { ). }}$ Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.1.6.2. Application of the bPAC / SthK system in cholinergic motor neurons

After implementation of the SthK / bPAC system in C. elegans muscle cells, the system was assessed for its ability to hyperpolarize C. elegans cholinergic neurons. For this purpose, the coding sequence was subcloned into a vector containing the unc-17 promoter. For detection of SthK expression, the protein was C-terminally fused to mCherry, and for functionality analysis, SthK and mCherry were expressed from bicistronic mRNAs. Fluorescence microscopy was performed to investigate the expression of SthK in cholinergic neurons, confirming the expression of SthKmCherry (Figure 47). However, correct membrane localization could not be confirmed at the magnification used.


Figure 47: Expression pattern of SthK::mCherry using the unc-17 promoter. Fluorescence (right) and DIC brightfield image (left) of an animal expressing SthK::mCherry in cholinergic neurons using the unc-17 promoter. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To evaluate the ability of the system to silence cholinergic neurons of $C$. elegans, swimming behaviour analyses were executed. Expression of SthK alone reduced the basal swimming rate in wild type animals and in the genetic background lite-1(ce314) ( 93 vs 81 and 68 vs 59 cycles per min, respectively), and was further decreased by co-expression of bPAC (11 cycles per min, in lite-1(ce314) background). Light stimulation of animals, co-expressing bPAC and SthK caused nearly a complete arrest of the swimming frequency ( 2 cycles per min), which was not detected in control animals, only expressing SthK or bPAC, the genetic background lite-1(ce314) or wild type animals (Figure 48). This again indicates pre-activation of SthK due to intrinsic cAMP and additional cAMP produced by bPAC in the dark, presumably due to a high affinity of SthK for cAMP. Because the expected PDE activity in cholinergic neurons was unable to antagonize the pre-activation of the channel triggered by bPACs dark activity, this combination appears to be of limited utility in C. elegans unless investigators aim for a sustained $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-based inhibition of the desired cell type.


Figure 48: Pre-activation of SthK reduces basal swimming frequencies, and photo activation of SthK and bPAC provoke a nearly complete arrest of the swimming behaviour. Swimming rates $\pm$ SEM of animals expressing only SthK or bPAC, or co-expressing SthK and bPAC in cholinergic neurons, the genetic background lite-1 (ce314) and wild type animals. Swimming cycles were calculated 30 s before and 30 s after a 30 s light pulse ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (0), and whiskers ( $1.5^{*} I Q R$ ) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA and Student's $t$ test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To examine the duration of SthK and bPAC induced neuronal hyperpolarization, swimming behaviour analysis was extended up to 240 s after light application. This demonstrated a long-lasting effect, and the swimming frequency began to increase again only after $90-150$ s following the end of the light pulse (Figure 49). In sum, SthK and bPAC are able to hyperpolarize C. elegans cholinergic neurons, however, the reduction of the swimming cycles of the SthK and bPAC system was substantial in the dark, and thus this two-component optogenetic system appears to be of limited use in C. elegans.


Figure 49: bPAC and SthK evoked arrest of swimming behaviour last up to 90-150 s.
Swimming frequencies $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing SthK and bPAC in cholinergic neurons 30 s before and 270 s after 30 s light exposure ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: paired Student's test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$, ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.1.7. Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and the SthK CNGC for cell silencing

Due to the high sensitivity of the SthK channel and its activation at low cAMP levels, and bPACs dark activity and high magnitude of generated cAMP, SthK was combined with the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. The hyperpolarizing potential and light control of these tool combinations were analysed in C. elegans muscle cells and cholinergic neurons.
3.1.7.1. Characterization of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase and SthK systems in body wall muscle cells

Because additional cAMP produced by bPAC in dark was too high to enable meaningful application of the SthK / bPAC system in C. elegans, the channel was combined with the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases to combine it with a less potent and completely dark-inactive photoactivatable
adenylyl cyclase. To assess and compare the SthK and membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase systems for their ability to hyperpolarize C. elegans muscle cells, equal amounts of plasmid DNA of the respective membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases were injected into the strain ZX2393 (pmyo3::SthK::SL2::GFP; pmyo-2::mCherry) to ensure similar expression levels of SthK, and the strains were analysed via body length measurements. Light stimulation of SthK; BeCycIOp(A-2x) and SthK; BeCycIOp(A-3x) expressing animals increased the body length of about 3 and $5 \%$ within $\sim 1.5 \mathrm{~s}$, respectively. The effects remained constant for SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) and decayed a few seconds after turning off light for SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x). As control, the influence of light application on SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) expressing animals raised without ATR was examined, depicting no light dependent increase of the body length. No light triggered increase of the body length was detected for SthK; $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ expressing animals which could be explained by a weak expression, instable conformation and/or poor membrane targeting of $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ in C. elegans. SthK was also co-expressed with wild type BeCycIOp. Interestingly, illumination of these animals reduced the body length of about $2.5 \%$ within 2.8 s , which was not observed in control animals, not supplemented with ATR (Figure 50 A, B). In sum, the systems SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) were able to hyperpolarize C. elegans muscle cells whereas the systems differ in the magnitude and the duration of the evoked effect. The reason could be a higher optogenetic cAMP production by $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ and a pre-activation of SthK due to additional cAMP produced by BeCycIOp(A-2x) in dark.


Figure 50: BeCycIOp(A-3x) and SthK induce a strong muscle hyperpolarization. A) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing SthK and wild type BeCyclOp, BeCycIOp(A-2x), BeCycIOp(A-3x), or $\mathrm{CaCyc} / \mathrm{Op}(A-2 x)$ in body wall muscle cells. Green bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; 535 nm ). Mono-exponential decay fit (dotted lines) was used to determine onset-time constants (ז). B) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time period (7-9s). The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

To further characterize the systems in more detail and to investigate if co-expression of the tools impaired muscle physiology already in dark, an effect that is not measurable with body length measurements, analyses of swimming behaviour were performed. Here, animals co-expressing SthK and $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ depicted a high variability in their swimming rate, independent of the supplementation of ATR, which could be explained by a constitutive cAMP generation by the adenylyl cyclase domain, that is independent of light-regulation by the rhodopsin moiety. This effect was not detected for SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) expressing animals. In contrast to this, expression of SthK; CaCyclOp(A-2x) reduced the basal swimming rate in comparison to only SthK expressing animals (52 and 26 cycles per min, respectively). Light stimulation of SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) expressing animals decreased the swimming rates from 58 and 47 to 22 and 13 cycles per min, respectively. In case of the combination of SthK with wild type BeCyclOp, illumination caused an increase of the swimming frequency from 43 to 54 cycles per min (Figure 51). The reason could be that the SthK channel is preactivated by intracellular cAMP and that the cGMP generated upon activation of BeCyclOp could act either as an antagonist or as an agonist with low efficacy. In conclusion, the combination SthK
and $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$ appears to be the most favourable tool for $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-based silencing. However, expression of SthK alone reduced the basal swimming rates compared to the genetic background lite-1(ce314) (from 82 to 52 swimming cycles), suggesting that even in muscle cells intrinsic cAMP levels are sufficient to pre-activate the channel or that the channel is generally toxic, e.g., because of its tendency to form protein aggregates that induce endoplasmic reticulum stress.


Figure 51: Co-expression of BeCycIOp(A-3x) causes no further pre-activation of SthK, and light stimulation strongly reduce the swimming rate. Swimming frequencies $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing the SthK channel alone or with wild type BeCyclOp, BeCycIOp(A-2x), BeCyclOp(A-3x), or CaCyclOp(A-2x) in body wall muscle cells, respectively, in the genetic background lite-1(ce314). As non-transgenic control the genetic background lite-1(ce314) was measured. Swimming rates were calculated 30 s before and 30 s during light application ( $1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are illustrated. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA and Student's $t$ test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.1.7.2. Application of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase and SthK systems in cholinergic motor neurons

Since the systems SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) successfully hyperpolarized $C$. elegans muscle cells, and the reduction of the swimming frequency was negligible in the dark, both systems were further investigated for their effects on cell physiology and their potential to hyperpolarize cholinergic motor neurons of $C$. elegans via swimming behavioural analysis. In this context, it was not possible to obtain membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase and SthK co-expressing transgenes using the same SthK expression level as for SthK; bPAC. Consequently, the expression level of SthK was decreased and varied for both membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. However, all transgenes caused a reduction of the basal swimming frequency in comparison to the genetic background lite-1(ce314) ( $25-38$ vs 70 cycles per min) (Figure 52 A). Illumination of these animals decreased the swimming cycles to about $8-23$ cycles per min. After the end of the light application, swimming frequency raised again to initial levels (Figure 52 B).


Figure 52: Membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase and SthK co-expression in cholinergic neurons reduce basal swimming rate, and illumination triggered a robust decrease of the swimming cycles, increasing again after turning off light. A) Swimming cycles $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing the SthK channel and BeCyclOp(A-3x) or BeCyc/Op(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite-1 (ce314). Swimming rates were calculated 30 $s$ before and 30 s during light exposure ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Transgenic strains were created using different amounts of plasmid DNA (indicated by $n g / \mu l$ l). The interquartile range (IQR), median $(-)$, mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are shown. B) Swimming frequencies $\pm$ SEM of animals in $A, 30$ s before and 60 s after a 30 s light application ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; 535 nm ). $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA and Student's test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

In an attempt to further optimize the SthK and membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase system, YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) was co-expressed with low levels of SthK. Here, it was possible to restore the basal swimming cycles of the transgenic animals to that of the genetic background (lite-1(ce314)), however, no light evoked reduction of the swimming rate was observed (Figure $53 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). In conclusion, SthK and membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases are able to hyperpolarize cholinergic neurons, however, they also effect the neuronal physiology, most likely due to basal generated cAMP already activating a highly conductive SthK channel even at very low expression levels.


Figure 53: Titration of YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x) and SthK expression levels did not optimize the system. A-B) Swimming rates $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing the SthK channel and YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Swimming cycles were calculated 30 s before and 30 s during light exposure ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Transgenic strains were created using different amounts of plasmid DNA (indicated by $n g / \mu l)$. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using oneway ANOVA and Student's t test ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Image adapted from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 3.2. BeCyclOp purification

At the beginning of this study, full length BeCyclOp was poorly characterized by in vitro experiments and spectroscopy analyses. Until now, no full-length protein structure of BeCyclOp has been determined, although very recent work based on AlphaFold2 provides a potentially useful model of CaCyclOp (Fischer et al., 2021). To this end, BeCyclOp and a stabilized BeCyclOp dimer (concatamer; two covalently linked monomers) should be purified to enable molecular characterization and structural
analysis of BeCyclOp. The strategy of choice was to express C-terminally TAP-tagged BeCyclOp monomer as well as a concatamer of BeCyclOp-BeCyclOp-TAP in C. elegans muscle cells and to purify the proteins using tandem affinity purification.

### 3.2.1. Purification of BeCycIOp monomer

In my MSc thesis, I confirmed the expression of BeCyclOp::TAP and could isolate the protein out of solubilizate (Triton X -100 was used as detergent). Further, functionality analysis showed no major impact of the C-terminal TAP-tag on light-activated guanylyl cyclase activity.

### 3.2.1.1. Detergent screening for BeCyclOp solubilization

To evaluate and improve BeCyclOp solubilization, a detergent screen was executed. For this purpose, worm homogenate of a non-integrated BeCyclOp::TAP expressing strain was utilized, using the following detergents: Dodecylmaltoside (DDM), Decylmaltoside (DM), Octylglucoside (OG), Dimethyldodecylamine N-oxide (LDAO), Octylthioglucoside (OTG), Triton X-100 and Tween-20. To assess BeCyclOp::TAP solubility, the protein was precipitated out of the solubilizates using IgG-agarose and analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis. Here, the highest amount of solubilized BeCycIOp was obtained with Triton X-100 (22 a.u.), whereas comparable amounts were achieved with the detergents DDM, DM, OG, LDAO and Tween-20 (2.2-6.4 a.u.). In contrast to this, no solubilized BeCycIOp::TAP was detected for OTG (Figure $54 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). Thus, Triton X-100 achieved the highest solubility of BeCyclOp::TAP.


Figure 54: Triton X-100 achieves highest amount of solubilized BeCycIOp::TAP. A) Western blot analysis after detergent screening for BeCyclOp solubilization (PAP 1:1000). As detergents, DDM, DM, OG, LDAO, OTG, Triton X-100 and Tween-20 were utilized. Lysate of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) was used as negative control, and lysate of BeCyclOp::TAP expressing animals as positive control. The red arrow at around 88 kDa reflects BeCyc/Op::TAP. B) Quantification of the BeCyclOp::TAP intensities in A. For determination of the BeCyclOp::TAP intensities, the protein bands at around 88 kDa were analysed.

### 3.2.1.2. Tandem affinity precipitation analysis using BeCyclOp solubilizates

After successful solubilization of $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}:: T \mathrm{TAP}$ with various detergents, new BeCyclOp::TAP solubilizates using worm powder of a non-integrated strain (DDM, LDAO, OG, Triton X-100 as detergents) were prepared and used for tandem affinity precipitation analysis. In this context, the individual steps or both precipitation schemes were executed and assessed regarding successful binding of BeCyclOp::TAP to, and elution from, the affinity columns. Regarding the first precipitation step, the binding of IgG-Agarose to the ProtA portion of the TAP-tag, BeCyclOp::TAP was detected in the IgG-Agarose containing fraction (P) of LDAO and OG solubilizates, but not in the elution fractions (E). Elution was performed for 3 $h$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using 10 U TEV protease. No isolated BeCyclOp::TAP was detected in solubilizates using the detergents DDM and Triton X-100 (Figure 55).


Figure 55: IgG-Agarose precipitates BeCycIOp::TAP out of LDAO and OG solubilizates. ProtA affinity precipitation of BeCyclOp::TAP out of BeCyclOp solubilizates (anti-TAP 1:1000). BeCyc/Op::TAP was solubilized with either DDM, OG, LDAO or Triton X-100. E = Eluate after TEVcleavage and centrifugation, $P=I g G$-Agarose beads. As controls, proteins of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp:: TAP expressing animals were applied. The red arrow at around 88 kDa reflects BeCycIOp::TAP.

To test the prospective second precipitation step, Calmodulin-Agarose should bind to the CBP of the TAP-tag. Here, BeCyclOp::TAP was detected in the CalmodulinAgarose precipitate ( P ) of Triton X-100, LDAO, OG and DDM solubilizates, whereas the strongest signal was determined for Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$. Further, eluted BeCycIOp::TAP was verified in the elution fractions (E) of Triton X-100 and LDAO samples, but not in the DDM and OG samples (Figure 56). Elution was performed using 2 mM of the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ chelator EGTA.


Figure 56: Calmodulin-agarose precipitates BeCycIOp::TAP out of Triton X-100, LDAO, OG and DDM solubilizates. Calmodulin binding peptide (CBP) affinity precipitation of BeCyclOp.::TAP out of BeCycIOp solubilizates (PAP 1:1000). BeCycIOp::TAP was solubilized with either DDM, OG, LDAO or Triton $X-100$. $E=$ Eluate after CBP elution and centrifugation, $P=$ Calmodulin-Agarose beads. Lysates of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyc/Op::TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 88 kDa reflects BeCyclOp::TAP.

In order to precipitate BeCyclOp::TAP by sequential execution of both tandem affinity precipitation steps, no signal for BeCyclOp::TAP was detected in any sample (Figure 57). In conclusion, IgG-Agarose precipitates BeCyclOp out of LDAO and OG solubilizates, but elution via TEV protease cleavage failed. Further, CalmodulinAgarose precipitates BeCyclOp::TAP out of all analysed samples, and successful elution of BeCyclOp::TAP was verified for Triton X-100 and LDAO samples.


Figure 57: Tandem affinity precipitation test depicts no signal for BeCycIOp::TAP. Tandem affinity precipitation of BeCyclOp::TAP out of BeCyclOp solubilizates (anti-TAP 1:1000). BeCyclOp::TAP was solubilized with either DDM, OG, LDAO or Triton X-100. E = Eluate after CBP elution and centrifugation, $P=$ Calmodulin-Agarose beads. As controls, proteins of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp::TAP expressing animals were applied. The red arrow at around 88 kDa indicates BeCycIOp::TAP.

### 3.2.1.3. TEV protease cleavage analysis

To assess cleavage of the TAP-tag at the TEV-cleavage site via TEV protease, a TEV protease cleavage test was performed. For this purpose, BeCyclOp::TAP solubilizates (Triton X-100 as detergent) using worm powder of a non-integrated strain were prepared, and the protein was precipitated via IgG-Agarose beads. Next, 3 aliquots were prepared and incubated with (2 aliquots) and without (1 aliquot) TEV protease ( 25 U TEV protease for 2 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). One TEV protease containing sample was applied on a chromatography column and the eluate was collected. From this aliquot, the IgG-Agarose-beads and the eluate were used for subsequent analysis. Samples were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blotting, then the PAP soluble antibody complex was utilized for detection of uncleaved BeCyclOp::TAP, and the
anti-TAP antibody for cleaved BeCyclOp::TAP. Successfully cleaved BeCycIOp::TAP was verified in both TEV protease containing samples (Figure $58 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$ ). Thus, TEV protease cleaves the TAP-tag at the TEV cleavage site.


Figure 58: TEV cleavage test shows successful cleavage of the TAP-tag through the TEV protease. Western Blot analysis to verify TEV cleavage. A) Uncleaved BeCycIOp::TAP was detected using peroxidase-anti-peroxidase (PAP), and B) cleaved BeCycIOp::TAP using anti-TAP antibody. The red arrow at around 60 kDa indicates cleaved BeCyclOp::TAP.

### 3.2.1.4. Tandem affinity purification of BeCyclOp

To enable purification of BeCyclOp::TAP out of $C$. elegans muscle cells, the transgene was integrated into the C. elegans genome via UV irradiation and backcrossed 5 times. Gene expression of BeCyclOp::TAP was verified via SDSPAGE and western blot analysis after fast protein extraction from C. elegans (Figure 59).


Figure 59: Confirmation of BeCycIOp::TAP expression after integration in C. elegans genome. Westem blot analysis after fast protein extraction from C. elegans (PAP 1:1000). BeCyclOp:: TAP transgene was integrated into the C. elegans genome via UV irradiation. Lysates of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp::TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 88 kDa indicates BeCyclOp::TAP.

Next, the integrated strain was cultivated on egg plates to obtain sufficient amounts of worms for subsequent tandem affinity purification of BeCyclOp::TAP. For protein solubilization, Triton X -100 was chosen as detergent since it depicted the highest solubility for BeCyclOp::TAP. Purification samples were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis. Here, a high amount of BeCyclOp::TAP was detected in the worm lysate, however, it was not possible to isolate BeCyclOp::TAP in high amounts out of the solubilizate via IgG-Agarose, indicated by a high signal of BeCyclOp::TAP in the IgG-Agarose flow-through (Figure 60).


Figure 60: Tandem affinity purification exhibits strong signal of BeCycIOp::TAP in IgGagarose flow through. Western blot analysis and silver staining of $A, B$ ) tandem affinity purification samples and C,D) elution fractions of BeCycIOp::TAP purification (anti-TAP 1:1000). * indicates application of $60 \mu g$ protein per sample. As controls, proteins of the genetic background lite1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp::TAP expressing animals were applied. The red arrow at around 88 kDa indicates BeCyclOp::TAP.

Since binding of solubilized BeCyclOp::TAP to IgG-Agarose was inefficient, tandem affinity purification of BeCyclOp was repeated with following change: a dialysis step of the solubilizate was executed to reduce the Triton X-100 concentration in the sample, which may interfere on IgG-Agarose ProtA binding. Western blot analysis of the purification samples revealed no obvious presence of BeCycIOp::TAP in the IgGAgarose flow through, however, no BeCyclOp::TAP could be detected in the TEV eluate and subsequent elution fractions (Figure 61).


Figure 61: Addition of dialysis step strongly reduced BeCycIOp::TAP content in IgG-Agarose flow through. Western blot analysis and silver staining of $A, B$ ) tandem affinity purification samples and C, D) elution fractions of BeCycIOp::TAP purification (anti-TAP 1:1000). * indicates application of $60 \mu g$ protein per sample. Lysates of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp::TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 88 kDa indicates BeCyc/Op::TAP.

In order to investigate a possible BeCyclOp::TAP precipitation due to dialysis accompanied by a reduction of the Triton X-100 concentration from 1 to $0.05 \%$, the dialyzed solubilized BeCyclOp::TAP interphase was centrifuged, and the resulting pellet and the supernatant were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis. Here, BeCyclOp::TAP was detected in both fractions, indicating a partially precipitation of $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}:$ :TAP due to reduction of the Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ concentration (Figure 62). Thus, binding of IgG-Agarose to ProtA is impaired by Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$, and a reduction of the Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ concentration in BeCyclOp::TAP solubilizates caused partially the precipitation of the protein.


Figure 62: BeCycIOp::TAP partially precipitates after dialysis. Western blot analysis of solubilized BeCycIOp::TAP after dialysis, centrifuged for 17000 g for 10 min , thus separated into pellet $(P)$ and supernatant (SN) fraction (anti-TAP 1:1000). Lysates of the genetic background lite1(ce314) and of BeCycIOp::TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 70 kDa indicates BeCyclOp::TAP.

### 3.2.2. BeCyclOp concatamer purification

To enable structural analysis of BeCycIOp , a stabilized dimer (concatamer) should be expressed in C. elegans muscle cells and purified using tandem affinity purification.

### 3.2.2.1. Gene expression analysis

For generation of a BeCyclOp concatamer and expression in muscle cells via the myo-3 promoter, Gibson assembly was performed to covalently link two BeCyclOp monomers, separated by an (GGGGS)4-linker, and C-terminally fused to a TAP-tag. Strains were generated by injection of various plasmid concentrations (15, 50, 100 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) into lite-1(ce314), or for functional analysis into ZX1741 (expresses TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells). To analyse expression of the BeCyclOp concatamer in the generated strains, gene expression was analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis after fast protein extraction from C. elegans. Here, strains expressing either BeCyclOp concatamer, BeCyclOp monomer or a mixture of both were identified, and three strains were selected for subsequent functionality analysis (Figure 63). These
strains were selected for subsequent functionality analysis as they exhibited no or minor expression of by-products that may influence the behavioural output of the body length measurement, albeit the strains contained a low expression level of the concatamer.


Figure 63: BeCycIOp Concatamer is expressed in C. elegans muscle cells. Western blot analysis after fast protein extraction from C. elegans (PAP 1:1000). Strains were generated using different amounts (15, 50 and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) of $p$ TH09, injected into the genetic background lite1(ce314) (- TAX-2/-4) or into ZX7141 (+ TAX-2/-4). Coloured strains were selected for subsequent functionality analysis and integration of the transgene into the genome. As controls, proteins of the genetic background lite-1 (ce314) and of BeCycIOp::TAP expressing animals were applied. The red arrow at around 156 kDa indicates BeCyclOp Concatamer.

### 3.2.2.2. Concatamer functionality analysis

To evaluate the functionality of the engineered BeCycIOp concatamer, body length measurements were performed and compared to TAX-2/-4; BeCycIOp expressing animals. Two TAX-2/-4; Concatamer expressing strains were selected for functional analysis to investigate light evoked cGMP production by BeCyclOp concatamer in more detail. Here, both strains depicted light evoked body contractions of about 4-6 $\%$ within 1 s , which relaxed to about $2 \%$ within 7.5 s after turning off light. However, they did not reach the extent evoked by wild type BeCycIOp and TAX-2/-4, which could be due to a lower expression level of the concatamer or a negative influence of the linker on light-induced conformational changes of the N - and C-terminal domains.

No body reductions were observed in control animals, expressing only BeCyclOp concatamer or TAX-2/-4, the genetic background lite-1(ce314), or TAX-2/-4; concatamer expressing animals raised without ATR (Figure 64 A-D). Although statistical analysis was not performed because of the small number of animals measured, the BeCyclOp concatamer appears to be functional, and covalent linkage of both monomers had no major negative impact on light dependent guanylyl cyclase activity.

```
- TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp::BeCyclOp::TAP strain 1 - ATR
_ TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp::BeCyclOp::TAP strain 1 + ATR
_ TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp::BeCyclOp::TAP strain 3-ATR
- TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp::BeCyclOp::TAP strain \(3+\) ATR
- TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp + ATR
```




- lite-1 (ce314) + ATR
- TAX-2/-4 + ATR
_BeCyclOp::BeCyclOp::TAP + ATR



Figure 64: BeCycIOp concatamer is functional, though not reaching the same level of activity as the BeCycIOp monomer. Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of animals, A) co-expressing TAX-2/-4 and BeCyc/Op concatamer or BeCycIOp::TAP, or B) only expressing TAX-2/-4 or BeCyclOp concatamer in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Animals were raised with (+) or without (-) ATR. Green bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 535$ $n m$ ). Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time periods C) (7-9 s) and D) (20-23 s). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are shown. $n=$ number of animals.

To enable tandem affinity purification of the BeCyclOp concatamer, the transgene was integrated into the $C$. elegans genome via UV irradiation and backcrossed $5 x$
times. Gene expression of BeCyclOp concatamer was verified via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis after fast protein extraction from C. elegans (Figure 65).


Figure 65: Confirmation of BeCycIOp concatamer expression after integration in C. elegans genome. Westem blot analysis after fast protein extraction from C. elegans (PAP 1:1000). BeCyclOp concatamer transgene was integrated into the C. elegans genome via UV irradiation. Lysates of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp.: TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 156 kDa indicates BeCycIOp Concatamer.

### 3.2.2.3. Tandem affinity purification of BeCyclOp Concatamer

Next, the large-scale breeding of the integrated strain on egg plates was performed, followed by tandem affinity purification of BeCyclOp concatamer. For protein solubilization, Triton X-100 was chosen as detergent since it depicts the highest solubility for BeCyclOp monomer. Purification samples were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis. Unfortunately, BeCyclOp concatamer was cleaved into monomers during homogenization of the worm lysate, indicated by the BeCyclOp::TAP signal in the homogenate sample (Figure 66). Thus, BeCycIOp concatamer is cleaved by proteases during homogenization of $C$. elegans membranes.


Figure 66: Tandem affinity purification depicts cleavage of BeCycIOp concatamer into BeCycIOp monomers. Western blot analysis and silver staining of $A, B$ ) tandem affinity purification samples and C, D) elution fractions of BeCyc/Op::TAP purification (anti-TAP 1:1000). * indicates application of $60 \mu g$ protein per sample. Lysates of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCycIOp::TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 156 kDa indicates BeCyclOp Concatamer.

### 3.2.2.4. Increase of protease inhibitor concentration

Since BeCyclOp concatamer is cleaved by C. elegans proteases during homogenization of worm membranes, an experiment with an increased protease inhibitor concentration was executed. For this purpose, worm powder of the integrated strain was incubated for 1 h at RT (to force protease activity) with various protein inhibitor concentrations (0.5, 2, 4 and 8 -fold; calculated regarding the volume of worm powder) and samples were analysed via SDS-PAGE and western blot analysis. Here, an increasing signal for BeCyclOp concatamer was detected with raised protease inhibitor concentration, whereas the signal for BeCyclOp monomer decays with elevated inhibitor concentrations (Figure 67).


Figure 67: Increase of protease inhibitor concentration successfully inhibit BeCycIOp concatamer cleavage into monomers. Western blot analysis of BeCyclOp concatamer containing worm lysates, incubated with different protease inhibitor concentrations (0.5, 2, 4 or 8 -fold; calculated regarding the volume of worm powder) (anti-TAP 1:1000). Lysates of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and of BeCyclOp::TAP expressing animals were used as controls. The red arrow at around 156 kDa indicates BeCycIOp Concatamer.

### 3.3. Investigation of cAMP effectors in $C$. elegans muscle cells

Recently, a former PhD student in the Gottschalk lab, J. Nagpal, found that optogenetic cAMP generation by bPAC in C. elegans muscle cells caused muscle relaxation, i.e. evoked an increase in the body length of about $2 \%$. Thus, elevated cAMP levels in C. elegans muscle cells induced muscle hyperpolarization. In order to investigate a possible mechanism for cAMP induced muscle relaxation, a cAMP modulated ion channel in the plasma membrane was assumed. To identify ion channels with a cAMP binding domain, a sequence alignment of PKA's regulatory subunit Ria (PDB entry 1NE6) against the C. elegans genome was executed (Appendix figure 88), identifying four cyclic nucleotide-gated (CNG) ion channels (CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3 and CHE-6) and two voltage-gated potassium channels (EGL-2, UNC-103), which were selected for further investigation. An overview of the six channels is summarized in table 23.

Table 23: Overview of the six channels which could act as possible cAMP effectors in C. elegans muscle cells for muscle relaxation. The table is adopted from S. Zhou's BSc Thesis. This information was extracted from the respective WormBase and CeNGEN (Hammarlund et al., 2018) entries, and from the REFs (Cho et al., 2005; Cho et al., 2004; Garcia et al., 2003; Park et al., 2020; Reiner et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2013)

| Channel | Channel type | Expressed in body wall muscle cells (according to CeNGEN) | Experimentally <br> confirmed expression in | Known to be involved in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNG-1 | CNGC | yes | Amphid and head neurons | Sensory perception of chemical stimuli |
| CNG-2 | CNGC | no | Amphid neurons | Sensory perception of chemical stimuli |
| CNG-3 | CNGC | no | Amphid neurons | Transduction, growth regulation, thermosensation |
| CHE-6 | CNGC | no | ASEL, ASER, <br> AWCL, AWCR | Gustatory sensory transduction |
| EGL-2 | VGKC | no | Intestinal cells, lumbar and sensory neurons, vulval muscle | Chemosensory behaviour, muscle contraction, oviposition |
| UNC-103 | VGKC | yes | Body wall muscle, neurons, nonstriated muscle | Mating, Muscle contraction |

3.3.1. Cytosolic and local optogenetic cAMP generation caused muscle relaxation in lite-1(ce314) background

Since cytosolic and local (membrane proximal) optogenetic cAMP generation in $C$. elegans cholinergic neurons caused differences in the evoked behavioural outputs (crawling speed, swimming rate, body contraction evoked by stimulation of body wall muscles), the effect of local optogenetic cAMP generation in body wall muscle cells on the body length of $C$. elegans was assessed. For this purpose, body length measurements of animals, expressing $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ were performed and compared to animals expressing bPAC. In both cases, illumination triggered an elongation of the body length of about $2 \%$ within 1.5 s , which nearly remained at this level even after turning off light (Figure 68 A, B). Thus, optogenetic cAMP generation caused muscle relaxation, independent of the cellular localization of its generation.


Figure 68: bPAC and BeCycIOp(A-2x) activation increase the body length by 1 - $2 \%$. A) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC or BeCyclOp(A-2x) in body wall muscle cells. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). B) Mean normalized body lengths for the time periods before ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during ( $5-20 \mathrm{~s}$ ), and after ( $20-25 \mathrm{~s}$ ) light application. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (0), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

### 3.3.2. Swimming behaviour modulation by bPAC

Because only slight changes of the body length were evoked by bPAC activation, which would make it more difficult to distinguish differences between wild type and mutant, another assay was chosen. Swimming behaviour analysis was performed as a more sensitive assay to facilitate screening for a possible cAMP effector. To this end, A. Pieragnolo studied the impact of optogenetic cAMP generation by bPAC in $C$.
elegans muscle cells on the swimming behaviour of these animals. Here, illumination strongly reduced the swimming rate from 70 to 23 thrashes per min. As control, she investigated the influence of light application on the swimming frequency of the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and found no light dependent change in their swimming rate (Figure 69). In conclusion, optogenetic cAMP generation reduced the swimming frequency of the animals, so swimming behaviour analysis was used as complementary assay for screening after cAMP effectors.


Figure 69: bPAC stimulation strongly reduces the swimming frequency. Swimming frequencies of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Swimming rates were calculated 60 s before, and 60 s during light exposure (0.2 $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are shown. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

### 3.3.3. Investigation of cAMP effectors in lite-1(ce314) channel loss of function genetic background

To evaluate the six identified channels for a possible participation in cAMP induced muscle relaxation, the strain ZX2617 (expressing bPAC in muscle cells, lite-1(ce314) background) was crossed with the respective channel loss of function mutant, generating bPAC expressing strains in double mutant background (channel loss of function and lite-1(ce314)), in channel loss of function background, and in wild type background. Generation of the strains was performed with the help of A. Pieragnolo and S. Zhou. Investigation of optogenetically triggered muscle relaxation in double mutant background was performed by S. Zhou in her BSc thesis. The summarized
results of the body length measurements and swimming behaviour analysis are summarized in figures 70 and 71 . In regard of body length analysis, she found that optogenetic cAMP generation in all channel loss of function lite-1(ce314) mutants evoked no or very weak body elongations (+1 \%). For swimming behaviour analysis, she found that cAMP generation by bPAC in most mutant strains strongly decreased the swimming rates of the animals ( $\sim 52-72 \%$ after 60 s light application; 470 nm ; $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), though they did not reach the extent as detected for the lite-1(ce314) control and the mutant egl-2(rg4); lite-1(ce314). In addition, she found that bPAC activation in unc-103(n1213); lite-1(ce314) background caused a delayed and smaller decrease of the swimming frequency ( $21 \%$ ). In summary, these results could be a hint for a possible modulatory role of the channels in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization, especially of UNC-103.


Figure 70: Influence of optogenetic cAMP generation through bPAC on the body length in channel loss of function lite-1(ce314) background. Normalized body lengths $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells of channel loss of function lite-1(ce314) or only in lite1(ce314) background, and the genetic backgrounds as controls. Animals were illuminated with blue light (470 nm; $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), which is highlighted in blue. $n=$ number of animals, $N=$ independent measurements. Image adapted from S. Zhou's BSc Thesis.


Figure 71: Influence of optogenetic cAMP generation through bPAC on the swimming rate in channel loss of function lite-1(ce314) background. Normalized swimming rates $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells of channel loss of function lite-1(ce314) or only in lite-1(ce314) background, and the genetic backgrounds as controls. Animals were illuminated with blue light ( $470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), which is highlighted in blue. $n=$ number of animals, $N=$ independent measurements. Image adapted from S. Zhou's BSc Thesis.

Since body length measurements and swimming behaviour analysis performed by S . Zhou in her BSc thesis highlight UNC-103 as potential cAMP effector in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization, I have repeated the body length analysis to obtain a more detailed picture of cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization and its influence on the body length of the unc-103 lite-1(ce314) background. For this purpose, the
following changes were made: increase of the light intensity $\left(0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right)$, reduction of the light pulse duration (5s), and prolongation of the body length measurement after light application (113 s). Also, experiments with extended light pulse duration were executed (113 s) to increase the optogenetically generated cAMP level. In case of the body length measurement using a 5 s light pulse, bPAC activation induced constantly increasing body elongations in lite-1(ce314) and lite-1(ce314); unc103(n1213) animals, reaching a plateau of about $4 \%, 70 \mathrm{~s}$ after turning off light (Figure 72 A, B). Interestingly, extension of the light pulse elongated the body length in lite-1(ce314) background more quickly, i.e. about $4 \%$ within 15 s after turning on light, which further increased to about $6 \%$ to the end of light application. In lite1(ce314) unc-103(n1213) background, bPAC evoked body elongation raised more slowly, reaching a plateau of about $3 \%$ after 55 s light exposure (Figure 72 C, D). In both experiments, no body length increase was observed for the lite-1 (ce314) control animals. Although statistical analysis could not be performed because of the small number of animals measured, the result gives an indication of the possible involvement of UNC-103 in the muscle hyperpolarization provoked by cAMP.


Figure 72: Optogenetic cAMP generation by bPAC evokes muscle elongation in lite-1(ce314) unc-103(n1213) background. A, C) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells of lite-1 (ce314) or lite-1 (ce314) unc-103(n1213) background, and of the genetic background lite-1(ce314), using a A) 5 s or C) 115 s light pulse. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). B, D) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time periods before ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ), B) during light ( $5-10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and after light ( $20-$ 40 and 100-120 s), and C) during light ( $5-10,20-40$ and $100-120$ s). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers ( 1.5 *IQR) are shown. $n=$ number of animals.

### 3.3.4. bPAC induced muscle relaxation in wild type animals

In addition, bPAC evoked muscle relaxation was investigated in wild type background to exclude impacts of the lite-1 mutation on the behavioural output. Interestingly, bPAC stimulation caused no body length increase under the same experimental conditions as observed for the lite-1(ce314) background. Reason for this could be the intrinsic photophobic response of the animals, triggered by blue light sensation by the ultraviolet/blue-light sensor LITE-1, that caused an escape behaviour of the animals that counteracts the cAMP induced muscle elongation (Edwards et al., 2008). In
contrast to this, optogenetic cAMP production by bPAC strongly decreased the swimming frequency to about 22 \% during 60 s light exposure, which slightly increased to $28 \% 60$ s after turning off light. This strong light-induced reduction is comparable to the results in lite-1(ce314) background obtained by S. Zhou in her BSc Thesis (Figure 71). No light dependent reduction of the swimming rate was observed in non-transgenic animals (Figure $73 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C}$ ).


Figure 73: bPAC activation in body wall muscle cells causes no obvious increase of the body length under these experimental conditions, but strongly decreased the swimming cycles in wild type animals. A) Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells of wild type background. Blue bar depicts the period of illumination (0.9 $\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). B) Mean normalized body lengths of animals in A, before ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ), during (520 s), and after ( $20-25$ s) light exposure. C) Swimming frequencies of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and of wild type animals. Swimming rates were determined 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light application ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (***p $\leq 0.001$ ).

Since bPAC stimulation did not evoke an obvious body length increase, further body length measurements were performed with following changes: reduced light pulse duration (5 s) and an extended measurement after light application (113 s), and experiments with extended light pulse duration (113s) to elevate the optogenetic generated cAMP level. Activation of bPAC using a 5 s light pulse triggered body elongation, reaching a plateau of about $3 \% 23 \mathrm{~s}$ after light exposure (Figure 74 A , B). In contrast to this, continuous light application caused a constantly increasing body length, achieving an elongation of about $6 \%$ at the end of light application (Figure 74 C, D). In summary, optogenetic cAMP production in muscle cells of wild type animals caused muscle relaxation, as evidenced by an increase in body length and a decrease in swimming speed.


Figure 74: Optogenetic cAMP generation through bPAC evokes muscle relaxation in wild
type animals. A, C) Body length analysis $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells of wild type background, and of wild type animals, using a A) 5 s or C) 115 s light pulse. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). B, D) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time periods before ( $0-5 \mathrm{~s}$ ), B) during light ( $5-10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and after light ( $20-40$ and 100-120 s), and C) during light (5-10, 20-40 and 100-120 s). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers $\left(1.5^{*} I Q R\right)$ are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ ).
3.3.5. Investigation of cAMP evoked muscle relaxation in channel loss of function background

To further assess the six channels after their possible participation as cAMP effector in optogenetically induced muscle relaxation, cAMP evoked muscle hyperpolarization was studied in loss of function mutants of the channels to be tested, in a background expressing wild type LITE-1. For this purpose, swimming behavioural analysis was performed.

Stimulation of bPAC in cng-1(jh111) background strongly reduced the swimming rate to 11 \% during 60 s light exposure, which slowly recovered, but only to $16 \%$ at 60 s
after the end of the light exposure (Figure 75). The decrease in swimming speed (89 $\%$ ) is greater than in wild-type animals (75 \%), contrasting with the results made for the cng-1(jh111); lite-1(ce314) background compared with the control lite-1(ce314) ( $\sim 72$ vs. $77 \%$ ). Therefore, it seems unlikely that CNG-1 plays a crucial role in the muscle hyperpolarization induced by cAMP, although it cannot be excluded that it contributes to muscle relaxation.


Figure 75: Optogenetic cAMP generation triggers muscle relaxation in cng-1(jh111) mutant background. Swimming frequencies of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background cng-1(jh111). Swimming cycles were calculated 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light exposure ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values (•), individual measurements (0), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are shown. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using twoway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

In regard of cng-2(tm4267) background, illumination of bPAC strongly decreased the swimming frequency to $18 \%$ (Figure 76). The induced behavioural change remained at the respective level during the further measurement. The reduction in swimming frequency ( $82 \%$ ) is greater than in wild-type animals ( $75 \%$ ) and contrasts with the results obtained for cng-2(tm4267); lite-1(ce314) background compared with the control lite-1(ce314) (~65 vs. $77 \%$ ). Therefore, it seems unlikely that CNG-2 plays a vital role in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization, although it cannot be excluded that it contributes to muscle relaxation.
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Figure 76: bPAC stimulation in cng-2(tm4267) background reduces the swimming rate. Swimming rates of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background cng-2(tm4267). Swimming frequencies were determined 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light exposure ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

In case of cng-3(jh113) animals, optogenetic cAMP production triggered a reduction of the swimming cycles to $33 \%$, which increased to $43 \% 60 \mathrm{~s}$ after turning off light (Figure 77). The reduction in swimming speed ( $67 \%$ ) is less than in wild-type animals ( $75 \%$ ), an observation also made for the cng-3(jh113); lite-1(ce314) background compared with the control lite-1(ce314) (~52 vs. 77 \%), which could be explained by a possible participation of CNG-3 in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization.


Figure 77: Optogenetic cAMP production evokes muscle hyperpolarization in cng-3(jh113) background. Swimming frequencies of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background cng-3(jh113). Swimming rates were calculated 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light application ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are shown. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were calculated using twoway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

Behavioural analysis of optogenetically evoked muscle relaxation in che-6(e1123) background depicted a light triggered decrease of the swimming rate of $60 \% 60 \mathrm{~s}$ after light exposure, a decrease that is smaller than in wild-type animals (75 \%) (Figure 78). Interestingly, this observation was also made for the che-6(e1123); lite1(ce314) background compared with the control lite-1(ce314) (~65 vs. 77 \%). Reason for this could be that CHE-6 act as cAMP effector and participates in cAMP evoked muscle relaxation.


Figure 78: bPAC activation in che-6(e1126) background reduces the swimming frequency. Swimming cycles of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background che-6(e1126). Swimming frequencies were determined 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 $s$ after light exposure ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are depicted. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

For egl-2(rg4) animals, bPAC activation induced a reduction of the swimming frequency to 36 \%. After light application, the swimming cycles raised to 48 \% (Figure 79). Thus, optogenetic cAMP generation resulted in a smaller reduction of the swimming rate in the channel loss of function mutant ( $64 \%$ ) than in the wild type control ( $75 \%$ ), whereas similar swimming speed reductions were observed for the lite-1(ce314) control and the mutant egl-2(rg4); lite-1(ce314) (~77 \%) (Figure 71). Therefore, it seems unlikely that EGL-2 plays a crucial role in cAMP-induced muscle hyperpolarization, although it cannot be excluded that it contributes to muscle hyperpolarization.


Figure 79: cAMP production by bPAC evokes muscle relaxation in egl-2(rg4) background. Swimming rates of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background egl-2(rg4). Swimming rates were calculated 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light application ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are shown. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

Behavioural analysis of optogenetic cAMP triggered muscle relaxation in unc103(n1213) background showed a reduction of the swimming rate to 36 \% (Figure 80). The decrease in swimming speed ( $64 \%$ ) is lower than in wild-type animals (75 \%), consistent with the result made for the unc-103(n1213); lite-1(ce314) background compared with the control lite-1(ce314) (~21 vs. $77 \%$ ). The reason for this could be that UNC-103 act as cAMP effector in C. elegans muscle cells.


Figure 80: bPAC stimulation in unc-103(n1213) decreases the swimming rate. Swimming frequencies of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background unc-103(n1213). Swimming cycles were calculated 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light exposure ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are depicted. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using twoway ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

Furthermore, basal swimming rates of the channel loss of function mutants with or without expression of bPAC in muscle cells were compared to wild type animals to assess possible impacts on C. elegans swimming behaviour. Here, unc-103(n1213) showed the strongest reduction of the basal swimming cycles (60 thrashes per min) compared to wild type animals (113 cycles per min). For egl-2(rg4), cng-1(jh111) and cng-2(tm4267), comparably decreased basal swimming frequencies were observed (71-82 cycles per min), whereas cng-3(jh113) and che-6(e1126) animals depicted no reduced basal swimming rates. The reason for the reduced basal swimming frequencies could be an involvement of the channels in the regulation of the swimming behaviour of the animals. Also, the strains were not backcrossed during strain generation by crossing, so mutations could arise that could affect the swimming behaviour of the animals. Expression of bPAC in muscle cells reduced basal swimming frequencies only in cng-2(tm4267), cng-3(jh113) and che-6(e1126) background (Figure 81). The reason could be the compensatory overexpression of a
channel involved in cAMP-induced muscle hyperpolarization and activated by the dark activity of bPAC.


Figure 81: Most channel loss of function strains exhibit reduced basal swimming rates. Basal swimming frequencies of wild type animals and the mutant strains cng-1(jh111), cng-2(tm4267), cng-3(jh113), che-6(e1126), egl-2(rg4), unc-103(n1213). Strains express (+) or do not express (-) bPAC in body wall muscle cells. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences: one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction (**p $\leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p$ $\leq 0.001$ ).

The results of swimming behavioural analysis of optogenetic cAMP evoked muscle relaxation in channel loss of function mutants is summarized in figure 82 and appendix figure 91 . bPAC stimulation reduced swimming rates for all mutants, which is delayed for unc-103(n1213), egl-2(rg4), cng-3(jh113) and che-6(e1126), and accelerated for cng-1(jh111) and cng-2(tm4267) compared to wild type animals. In sum, ontogenetically caused muscle relaxation was observed in all channel loss of function backgrounds.


Figure 82: Optogenetic cAMP production reduced swimming frequency in all mutant strains. Swimming frequencies $\pm$ SEM of animals, expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells of cng1(jh111), cng-2(tm4267), cng-3(jh113), che-6(e1126), egl-2(rg4), unc-103(n1213) or wild type background, and of wild type animals. Swimming cycles were calculated 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light exposure ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences to bPAC in wild type background were calculated using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Optogenetic manipulation of cNMP levels, coupled to CNGCs for cell de- and hyperpolarization

In the past decade, the optogenetic toolbox for the manipulation of ion currents and cAMP levels in C. elegans expanded. The latter includes the microbial photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases euPAC and bPAC and the synthetic phytochrome-linked photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases llaC22 k27 and PaaC (Etzl et al., 2018; Ryu et al., 2014; Steuer Costa et al., 2017; Weissenberger et al., 2011). However, they are only soluble proteins and thus they do not precisely mimic physiological cAMP signalling occurring in microdomains in close proximity to the plasma membrane. Here, cAMP is predominantly generated by membrane-bound adenylyl cyclases, that are located in microdomains together with GPCRs, $\operatorname{PK}(\mathrm{A})$ and their targets, enabling spatially and temporal regulation of cAMP signalling (Bock et al., 2020; Cooper et al., 2014). In regard to optogenetic tools for cell
hyperpolarization, the proton pump Arch, the chloride pump NpHR, and the anion channel GtACR2 were implemented (Bergs et al., 2018; Chow et al., 2010; Husson et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2007a). However, application of these tools is limited due to the requirement of continuous light stimuli or undesired changes in the ion distributions (Mahn et al., 2016; Wiegert et al., 2016).

For this reason, the attempt of this work was to address these problems by developing and implementing novel optogenetic tools in C. elegans - membrane bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases for the manipulation of cAMP mediated signalling in close proximity to the plasma membrane as well as two-component optogenetic silencing systems based on the coupling of photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases and either the cAMP-gated SthK or the cGMP-gated BeCNG1 channel for the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents. Because second messenger production is accompanied by signal amplification of the primary signal, coupling of photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases and CNGCs provides useful optogenetic tools due to their reduced need for light. Thus, another attempt of this work was to establish multicomponent optogenetic systems for cell depolarization based on the coupling of photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases and the TAX-2/-4 excitatory CNGC.

### 4.1.1. Implementation of CaCyclOp in $C$. elegans muscle cells

The optogenetic toolbox for the manipulation of cGMP mediated signalling in $C$. elegans consisted of the natural membrane-bound BeCyclOp and the artificial soluble bPGC (also termed BlgC or EROS) (Gao et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Woldemariam et al., 2019). The latter was engineered by mutating three amino acids
(K197E/D265K/T267G) in the ATP binding region of the adenylyl cyclase domain of bPAC and generates cGMP with low efficiency and slow kinetics (Gao et al., 2015; Ryu et al., 2010; Woldemariam et al., 2019). In contrast to this, BeCyclOp enables the production of much larger amounts of cGMP (L/D = 5000) at a high turnover rate (turnover $\left.\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \sim 17 \mathrm{cGMP} \mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ (Gao et al., 2015). Thus, one attempt of this thesis was to implement a tool with features in between those of BeCyclOp and bPGC.

Several orthologous CyclOps were assessed for light-regulated cGMP production by in vitro assays based on the measurement of the cNMP content from CyclOp containing oocyte membranes. Here, CaCyclOp showed the highest ratio of light versus dark activity ( $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{D}=230$ ) after BeCyclOp (L/D = 5000), and thus was selected for characterization in C. elegans (Gao et al., 2015). To assess the performance of the novel CyclOp in C. elegans, an in vivo test system established by Gao et al., 2015 was applied. This system consists of the TAX-2/-4 excitatory CNGC which is co-expressed with a photoactivatable guanylyl cyclase in C. elegans muscle cells. Photoactivation of the PGC triggers cGMP production and subsequent activation of the TAX-2/-4 CNGC, that caused muscle depolarization and further body contraction, a quantifiable behavioural readout (Gao et al., 2015). To enable a more accurate comparison between the enzymatic features of the novel CaCyclOp and the established BeCycIOp and bPGC, the proteins were co-expressed using equal expression levels of TAX-2/-4.

Photoactivation of CaCyclOp co-expressed with TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells caused slower and less pronounced body contractions compared to its orthologue BeCyclOp ( $\sim 3.5$ \% peak contraction with a contraction on rate of $\sim 0.88 \mathrm{~s}$ for CaCyclOp vs $\sim 9 \%$ peak contraction and a contraction on rate of $\sim 0.23$ s for BeCyclOp) (Figure 20). The difference in the evoked magnitudes can be explained by the lower light-inducible cGMP production by CaCycIOp , confirming the in vitro cGMP measurements of different fungal CyclOps in Xenopus oocytes membranes published
by Gao et al., 2015. The higher cGMP generation rate by BeCyclOp can be explained by its higher substrate affinity for GTP ( $\mathrm{K}_{м}=0.92 \mathrm{mM}$ for solubilized BeCyclOp vs $\mathrm{K}_{м}$ $=6.1 \mathrm{mM}$ for solubilized CaCyclOp ) and by the faster evolution of the M signalling state ( 8 ms for BeCyclOp rhodopsin domain vs 31.4 ms for CaCyclOp rhodopsin moiety) (Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015). A reason for this could also be a lower expression level of CaCycIOp in body wall muscles. In contrast to CaCyclOp ; TAX-2/-4 mediated muscle depolarization that reached a constant plateau during sustained illumination, BeCyclOp; TAX-2/-4 evoked body contraction decayed after its maximal turning point, which can be explained by the desensitization of the activated TAX-2/-4 CNGC (Figure 20). Further, it was shown that the rhodopsin moiety of BeCyclOp is only moderately resistant to high light intensities, bleaches during sustained light application, and thus caused a loss of available BeCyclOp molecules in the photocycle over time. In contrast to this, the rhodopsin domain of CaCyclOp depicted a higher photostability and thus no or only marginal numbers of molecules of CaCyclOp are depleted during constant illumination (Penzkofer et al., 2017; Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015). Photoactivation of bPGC coexpressed with TAX-2/-4 caused the slightest body contraction of the analysed photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, i.e. $\sim 2.5 \%$ peak contraction with a contraction on rate of $\sim 1.78 \mathrm{~s}$ (Figure 20). This observation is consistent with previously reported findings in which BeCyclOp generated 50 times higher cGMP levels than bPGC, despite illumination conditions favouring bPGC (blue light). Moreover, the authors' found that bPGC generates cGMP with slower kinetics relative to BeCyclOp and determined for both the turnover number, that were $\sim 0.2 \mathrm{cGMP} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1}$ and $\sim 17 \mathrm{cGMP} \mathrm{s}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$, respectively (Gao et al., 2015; Woldemariam et al., 2019). Further, it was shown that bPGC has substantial dark activity and $\sim 10 \%$ residual cAMP activity in vitro, whereas BeCyclOp and CaCyclOp have no detectable dark activity and are highly specific guanylyl cyclases (Gao et al., 2015; Ryu et al., 2010; Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015). Characterization of the nucleotidyl specificity and cNMP levels of the photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases using $C$. elegans extracts confirmed the high GTP specificity of both CyclOps (Figure 39). However, no residual cAMP activity and no basal cGMP production was determined for bPGC (Figure 39). Reason for this could be that the applied in vitro cNMP measurement involves the detection of the cNMP levels of a fixed number of animals (i.e. their entire body), and thus do not reflect the exact nucleotide content within the muscle cells. Also, compensatory
effects in C. elegans body wall muscle cells due to an increased expression level of PDEs could counteract the basal activity of the enzyme. For all analysed photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases, light saturation conditions in C. elegans were reached at intensities of $\geq 0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, that is comparable to applied intensities for ChR2 activation (Figure 21) (AzimiHashemi et al., 2014; Nagel et al., 2005). Nevertheless, $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ was applied as minimal light intensity for the light saturation experiments, thus light saturation for the enzymes is presumably reached at lower light conditions. Moreover, half maximal activation intensities were determined for BeCyclOp ( $\mathrm{K}_{0.5}=0.055 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; in Xenopus oocytes membranes), for CaCyclOp ( $\mathrm{K}_{0.5}=0.027 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; in Xenopus oocytes membranes), and for bPAC ( $\mathrm{K}_{0.5}=0.004 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; of purified protein in vitro), implying the fastest photocycle for BeCyclOp and the slowest for bPAC/bPGC (Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2018; Stierl et al., 2011). In sum, BeCyclOp is the most potent tool for cGMP generation, and CaCyclOp is a useful, membrane-bound alternative to the soluble bPGC (Figure 83). However, photoactivation of BeCyclOp caused the generation of high cGMP levels within a few seconds, and depending on the cell type of interest, its application could be accompanied by overactivation of cGMP mediated signalling pathways, or by cross-talk to cAMP or NTP utilizing pathways (e.g. by macroscopic depletion of GTP), and thus could interfere with the metabolism of the cell or the cellular output. To prevent these undesired side effects, application of CaCyclOp would represent a useful alternative, since it is characterized by a lower lightinducible cGMP generation, lower cGMP production rate, but a similarly high substrate specificity relative to BeCyclOp . Hence, CaCyclOp enables fine-tuning of cGMP levels and is a beneficial optogenetic tool for cGMP mediated signalling, encompassing membrane-bound guanylyl cyclases for signal transduction.


Figure 83: Evaluation of photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases characterized in this thesis. A) Summary of body length reductions provoked by the depolarizing multicomponent optogenetic systems consisting of a photoactivatable guanylyl cyclase (BeCyclOp, CaCyc/Op, or bPGC) and the TAX-2/-4 cyclic nucleotide gated channel. Illustrated is the mean normalized body length decrease $( \pm$ SEM) relative to the initial body length of the animal before light exposure. B) Schematic overview of the photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases co-expressed with the excitatory TAX-2/-4 channel in body wall muscle cells of $C$. elegans, categorized by the time course of induced muscle contractions (proxy for cGMP production rate (T)) and efficiency. For efficiency calculations, the most effective tool (BeCyclOp) was arbitrarily set as $100 \%$ efficient, and the body length reductions for each tool (at $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were determined relative to the maximum body length reduction induced by the most effective tool. Classification of the tools could be altered by adjusting the expression levels and the applied light intensity. Image adapted and modified from (Henss et al., 2021).
4.1.2. Optogenetic manipulation of cAMP mediated signalling in vicinity to the plasma membrane

CyclOps are particular in combining a rhodopsin and a guanylyl cyclase domain, yielding efficient membrane-bound photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases for cGMP mediated signalling (Avelar et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2018; Scheib et al., 2015). GTP specificity is determined by two to three amino acids in the active site of the cyclase domain, and can be interconverted by mutagenesis into cyclases of high ATP specificity (Linder, 2005; Ryu et al., 2010; Sunahara et al., 1998). Hence, highly efficient light-regulatable membrane-bound photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases
can be converted into membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. To characterize the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases regarding their optogenetic cAMP production and cAMP mediated signalling, the proteins were expressed in cholinergic motor neurons and evaluated in comparison to the implemented soluble bPAC. Here, optogenetic cAMP generation by bPAC caused increased neurotransmission and behaviours. The evoked behavioural changes can be evaluated on the one hand by determination of the swimming rate in liquid and on the other hand by calculation of the crawling speed and body bending on solid substrate (Steuer Costa et al., 2017). Beyond that, the artificial membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases were further characterized in C. elegans muscle cells using the in vivo test system established by Gao et al., 2015, and their nucleotide specificity switch from GTP to ATP as well as the extent of light-dependent cAMP generation were determined using $C$. elegans extracts.

Determination of the nucleotide specificity and the cNMP content using C. elegans extracts showed the highest levels of light-induced cAMP generation for the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, YFP-BeCycIOp(A-2x), and YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) (39, 57, 40 nM , respectively) over a long illumination period ( 15 min ), however they did not reach the extent produced by the soluble bPAC ( 142 nM ) (Figure 39 A ). In contrast, low magnitudes of generated cAMP were measured for the versions $\mathrm{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ (8 and 7 nM, respectively). Moreover, no obvious residual cGMP and basal activity was detected for any of the analysed membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases (Figure 39 B). Thus, introducing the point mutations E497K/C566D into both CyclOps successfully converted the membrane-bound photoactivatable guanylyl cyclases into membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. Additionally, the guanylyl cyclase domain of BeCyclOp was changed by the point mutations E497K/H564D/C566T into an adenylyl cyclase domain. The results are in agreement with earlier studies, in which the double mutations converted both CyclOps into highly specific membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, and complementary triple mutations transformed bPAC into a guanylyl cyclase (Ryu et al., 2010; Scheib et al., 2018). The difference in the magnitude of light-induced cAMP generation between the soluble bPAC and the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases can be explained by their enzymatic properties. In this context, for bPAC a higher light versus dark activity (L/D = ~350 in E. coli) in comparison to YFP-

BeCyclOp(A-2x) (L/D = 220 in Xenopus oocyte membranes) and YFP-CaCyclOp(A$2 x)$ (L/D = 280 in Xenopus oocyte membranes) was reported (Ryu et al., 2010; Scheib et al., 2018). Also, the magnitude of optogenetic cAMP production is influenced by the expression level of the proteins, that is expected to be higher for the soluble bPAC relative to the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases. However, determination of the expression levels of the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in body wall muscle cells by determination of the mCherry content (photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and mCherry are expressed from bicistronic premRNAs) in C. elegans extracts depicted similar expression levels for bPAC, $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2)$ and $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 x)$, that is 1.4-fold higher than for YFP-$\mathrm{CaCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ and 2.4 -fold higher than for $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ and YFP-BeCycIOp(A$2 x)$ (Figure 38). Though, the expression levels were estimated indirectly by measuring the mCherry content, and thus not necessarily resemble the exact protein level within the muscle cells since the proteins can differ in their half-lives and their stability. Also, accumulation of the soluble mCherry can distort the experimental outcome. Using a more accurate approach, i.e. calculation of the mean fluorescence intensities of YFP-tagged proteins, exhibited similar expression levels of both YFPCyclOps in body wall muscle cells and cholinergic motor neurons, that is $\sim 1.4$-fold lower relative to the soluble bPAC in cholinergic motor neurons (Figure 35 and 38). As mentioned above, no basal cyclase activity was detected for the analysed membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases using C. elegans extracts. However, for the variants $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ ( 38 -fold higher relative to an inactive variant in HEK293 cell membranes), YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) (5-fold higher relative to the non-injected oocyte), and YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x) (2.6-fold higher relative to the non-injected oocyte) constitutively active cyclase activity was reported recently (Scheib et al., 2018; Trieu et al., 2017). In case of BeCyclOp(A-2x), basal cAMP production in body wall muscle cells altered the morphology of some animals, i.e. shortened and wider body, that was observed in animals raised with and without ATR. Also, animals co-expressing BeCyclOp(A-2x) and SthK in body wall muscle cells supplemented with or without ATR depicted a high variability in their swimming frequencies. This could be explained by a constitutive cAMP generation by the adenylyl cyclase domain, that is independent of light-regulation by the rhodopsin moiety.

To further characterize the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases regarding their optogenetic cAMP generation and to extend their application beyond their primary application as tool for the modulation of cAMP levels, the enzymes were co-expressed with the TAX-2/-4 CNGC in body wall muscle cells and evaluated in comparison to wild type BeCyclOp via body length measurements. The channel is mostly sensitive to cGMP, however it can also be activated by cAMP at high concentrations ( $\mathrm{EC} 5_{50}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\mathrm{GMP}}=8.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$; $\mathrm{EC} 5_{5}{ }^{\text {cAMP }}=300 \mu \mathrm{M}$, in HEK293 cells) (Komatsu et al., 1999). Photoactivation of all analysed membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases caused similarly pronounced body contractions (~ $2-3.5$ \% peak contraction with contraction on rates of $\sim 0.42 \mathrm{~s}$ for $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x}), \sim 0.57 \mathrm{~s}$ for YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x), $\sim 0.64$ s for YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x), and $\sim 0.80$ s for $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 x)$ ), and were thus not as efficient as wild type BeCyclOp ( $\sim 8 \%$ peak contraction with a contraction on rate of $\sim 0.21 \mathrm{~s}$; Figure 36 ). The difference in the magnitude and contraction on rates of light-induced muscle contraction between wild type BeCyclOp and the engineered CyclOp versions could be explained mainly by the largely reduced activation of the TAX-2/-4 channel due to cAMP. Further, it can be explained by differences in their enzymatic activities, whereby the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases are characterized by a lower cNMP turnover and a lower L/D ratio relative to their wild type counterparts. Here, both YFP-CycIOps depicted a cAMP turnover of $\sim 40 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ (determined in oocyte membranes) in contrast to cGMP turnovers of $\sim 64 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ (of purified BeCycIOp) and $\sim 411 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ (of purified CaCyclOp) (Scheib et al., 2018). Whilst the wild type CyclOps exhibited high L/D ratios ( 5000 for BeCyclOp and $>1000$ for CaCyclOp), this is strongly reduced for both YFP-CycIOps (230 for YFP-BeCyclOp and 280 for YFP-CaCycIOp) (Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2018). The application of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and the TAX-2/-4 CNGC as multicomponent optogenetic systems for cell depolarization are discussed in more detail in section 4.1.3.

Complementary to the characterization of the enzymatic activities of the membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, the proteins were assessed regarding their potential to trigger and modulate cAMP mediated neurotransmission. Beyond that, the influence of cytosolic and membrane proximal optogenetic cAMP generation should be investigated. For this purpose, the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases were expressed in cholinergic motor neurons and evaluated and compared to the implemented soluble bPAC via locomotion behaviour analysis on
solid and in liquid media. As mentioned above, amongst the analysed membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ and both YFP-CyclOps exhibited the highest levels of light induced cAMP generation during sustained stimulation ( 15 min ), though they do not reach the extent produced by bPAC (Figure 39). Further, they were expressed at lower levels compared to bPAC in cholinergic motor neurons (Figure 35). Photoactivation of these membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases caused similarly enhanced or even more potent behavioural changes (swimming and crawling) as bPAC, whereas a more rapidly decaying response was observed for the bPAC evoked effects (Figure 23, 24, 28, and 29). The latter one could be a hint that PDEs do not access and degrade cAMP produced in close proximity to the membrane as quickly as in the cytosol. Moreover, an increased diversity of the behavioural output was detected for cytosolic cAMP production by bPAC, i.e. increased bending angles and a decreased body length (Figure 31 and 33 ). This could be a hint that cytosolic cAMP production in cholinergic motor neurons may trigger the activation of undesired cAMP signalling pathways. To compare the levels of light dependent cAMP generation by $\operatorname{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ and bPAC at light conditions that match the conditions of the behavioural experiments (30 s), cAMP measurements using $C$. elegans extracts were performed. Here, the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase depicted a 4-fold lower amount of optogenetic cAMP production than the soluble bPAC (Figure 40). In sum, local (membrane proximal) cAMP generation by the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases may more specifically activate cAMP dependent neurotransmission of cholinergic motor neurons, i.e. an increased mobilization and priming/docking of synaptic vesicles and an increased filling of the synaptic vesicles with the neurotransmitter acetylcholine and thus an increase in locomotion behaviour (Steuer Costa et al., 2017).

For $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, no obvious light dependent behavioural changes were observed, neither by its activation in cholinergic motor neurons nor by its stimulation in body wall muscle cells and co-expression with CNGCs. Interestingly, in hippocampal neurons only a third of transfected cells depicted light triggered cAMP production by the enzyme (Scheib et al., 2018). Reason for this could be a weak expression, instable conformation and/or poor membrane targeting of the protein in the applied expression systems. Interestingly, addition of an N-terminal YFP strongly improved the performance of the protein in C. elegans, Xenopus oocytes and in
hippocampal neurons, indicating an improved expression and/ or membrane targeting of the enzyme (Scheib et al., 2018). Apart from CaCyclOp(A-2x), the expression of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases, bPAC and wild type BeCyclOp in cholinergic motor neurons caused the reduction of the basal swimming frequency, which could be due to basal cNMP production or a general `toxicity` of these proteins. Nevertheless, the YFP-CycIOp variants depicted the highest tolerability in cholinergic motor neurons and thus they emerge as the preferred optogenetic tools and would further facilitate studies in e.g.
neuropeptidergic signalling, memory function, or cell growth. Concluding, with this study I expand the optogenetic toolbox for cAMP modulation in C. elegans, differing in their expression levels, light saturation conditions and their enzymatic properties (Figure 84).


Figure 84: Evaluation of photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases characterized in this thesis. A) Summary of crawling speed increases evoked by the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases (bPAC, BeCyclOp(A-2x), YFP-BeCyc/Op(A-2x) or YFP-CaCyclOp(A-2x)). Illustrated is the mean normalized crawling speed increase ( $\pm$ SEM) relative to the initial crawling speed of the animal before light exposure. B) Schematic overview of the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases expressed in cholinergic neurons of C. elegans, categorized by the time course of induced crawling speed increase (proxy for cAMP production rate (T)) and efficiency. For efficiency calculations, the most effective tool (BeCyc/Op(A-2x)) was arbitrarily set as $100 \%$ efficient, and the crawling speed increases for each tool (at $0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were determined relative to the maximum crawling speed increase induced by the most effective tool. Classification of the tools could be altered by adjusting the expression levels and the applied light intensity. Image adapted and modified from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 4.1.3. Multicomponent optogenetic systems for muscle depolarization

Beyond their primary application as optogenetic tools for cNMP generation, the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases can be coupled to CNGCs to manipulate the membrane potential of excitable cells. Depending on the utilized channel, multicomponent optogenetic systems for cell de- or hyperpolarization can be assembled. The benefit of these systems is their reduce need for light, since second messenger generation is accompanied by amplification of the primary signal. Recently, Gao et al., 2015 implemented the first multicomponent optogenetic system for cell depolarization in C. elegans, consisting of the excitatory TAX-2/-4 channel and BeCycIOp , that is characterized by a rapid muscle depolarization at very low light intensities. The TAX-2/-4 channel is an intrinsic CNGC that can be found in many $C$. elegans neurons and is composed out of the subunits TAX-2 and TAX-4. Moreover, the channel is mainly gated by cGMP, but also by cAMP at high concentrations $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{cGMP}}=8.4 \mu \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{EC}_{50}{ }^{\mathrm{CAMP}}=300 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ ), and thus coupling of the channel to the implemented photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases should allow cell depolarization of different magnitudes (Komatsu et al., 1999). A further benefit of this system is the relative high conductivity of the TAX-2/-4 channel ( 56.3 pS ), in contrast to ChR2 that has a minor conductance of below 1 pS (Bamann et al., 2008; Feldbauer et al., 2009; Komatsu et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2009; Nagel et al., 2003). However, the multicomponent systems require the expression of three genes (photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase and both TAX-2/-4 subunits), which makes them less versatile than ChR2.

Aiming at the expansion of multicomponent optogenetic systems for cell depolarization in C. elegans, the TAX-2/-4 CNGC was combined with either wild type CaCyclOp or the engineered membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases and evaluated and compared via body length analysis to the established systems TAX-2/-4; BeCycIOp, TAX-2/-4; bPAC and TAX-2/-4; bPGC (Gao et al., 2015; Nagpal, 2016; Woldemariam et al., 2019). None of the investigated systems were able to evoke comparably strong and fast depolarization effects as the TAX-2/-4; BeCyclOp system (Figure 20 and 36). However, the provoked muscle depolarizations are characterized by different orders of magnitudes and short- and long-lasting effects. Moreover, no desensitization was detected for TAX-2/-4 coupled to CaCyclOp, bPGC or $\operatorname{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, as it was observed for the system TAX-2/-4;

BeCyclOp. In sum, with this study I established a comprehensive multicomponent optogenetic toolbox for cell depolarization, whereas the systems differ in their efficiency, enzymatic properties, kinetics and short- and long-lasting effects that allows researchers to choose the appropriate tool for their specific application (Figure 85).


Figure 85: Evaluation of depolarizing multicomponent optogenetic tools characterized in this thesis. Summary of body length reductions caused by the depolarizing multicomponent optogenetic systems consisting of a photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase and the TAX-2/-4 cyclic nucleotide gated channel. Illustrated is the mean normalized body length decrease ( $\pm$ SEM) relative to the initial body length of the animal before light exposure. B) Schematic overview of multicomponent optogenetic depolarizers and ChR2 as ‘benchmark', expressed in body wall muscle cells of C. elegans, categorized by the time course of induced muscle contractions (proxy for channel opening kinetics (T)) and efficiency. For efficiency determinations, the most effective tool (ChR2(L132C, H134R, T159C)) was arbitrarily set as $100 \%$ efficient, and the body length reductions for each tool (at $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were calculated relative to the maximum body length reduction induced by the most effective tool (Bergs et al., 2018). Classification of the tools could be altered by adjusting the expression levels and the applied light intensity. Image adapted and modified from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 4.1.4. Two-component optogenetics for the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents

Complementary to their coupling to the excitatory TAX-2/-4 channel, the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases can be combined with inhibitory CNGCs to hyperpolarize excitable cells based on $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents. For this purpose, the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases were combined with the cGMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-
channel BeCNG1 or the cAMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channel SthK. The BeCNG1 channel originates from the aquatic fungus Blastocladiella emersonii, in which the channel and BeCycIOp are responsible for the phototactic response of the zoospore (Avelar et al., 2015). I adapted this mechanism and assessed its functionality in C. elegans body wall muscle cells via body length measurements. Indeed, the system was able to slightly hyperpolarize the cells ( $\sim 1 \%$ body elongation within $\sim 3 \mathrm{~s}$ ), an effect that remained even after turning off light (Figure 42). As already mentioned, BeCyclOp is characterized by the generation of a huge amount of cGMP within just a few seconds, and PDE activity in body wall muscle cells is expected to be low, leading to sustained elevated cGMP levels under the applied experimental conditions ( 15 s light stimulation) (Gao et al., 2015). Hence, to explain the minor induced hyperpolarization of this system, BeCNG1 must be considered in more detail. Analysis of the expression pattern of BeCNG1 depicted an aggregated intracellular appearance in the plasma membrane (Figure 41). However, fluorescence images were acquired using an epifluorescence microscope, and thus an accurate plasma membrane localisation and targeting could not clearly be determined. To examine its distribution and cellular localization more precisely within body wall muscle cells, a confocal microscope would be the method of choice. Since the channel is heterologous expressed, its functionality can be affected on several ways. One the one hand, protein folding can be affected due to the absence of required chaperones, causing misfolding and aggregation of the channel instead of proper folding into its native state. On the other hand, essential post-translational modifications maybe lack in $C$. elegans. Also, the absence of a possibly necessary signal sequence can impair its plasma membrane trafficking and localization. So far, no protein structure of BeCNG1 exists, and thus no conclusion can be drawn on its quaternary protein structure and its occurrence in a homomeric or heteromeric arrangement. Thus, one or more subunits maybe lack that play critical roles for BeCNG1 functionality. Further, the structural mechanism of channel activation upon cGMP binding and subsequent signal propagation causing channel opening remains unclear. Beyond that, the channel was expressed as fusion protein C-terminally tagged with YFP as fluorescence marker, which can promote BeCNG1 aggregation, can impair BeCNG1 properties in its closed state and can influence conformational changes upon cGMP binding. Complementary to its characterization in C. elegans, the channel was evaluated by the Nagel group in Xenopus oocytes, whereby the channel was
described to be continuously opened and elevated cGMP levels evoked only a slight opening of the channel (Master Thesis A. Hirschhäuser; G. Nagel, personal communication). To investigate the influence of BeCNG1 on body wall muscle cell physiology, A. Hirschhäuser performed swimming behaviour analysis and compared BeCNG1 expressing animals (under the myo-3 promoter) to the genetic background lite-1(ce314). Here, the animals depicted a $35 \%$ reduced swimming activity compared to the control animals (Master Thesis A. Hirschhäuser). This could either be a hint for a pre-activation of the channel due to intrinsic cGMP and thus a possibly shift in the resting membrane potential of the body wall muscle cells, or a general toxicity of the channel due to its tendency to form protein aggregates that triggers endoplasmic reticulum stress.

A second approach to implement an optogenetic silencing system based on the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents couples the cAMP-gated SthK channel from Spirochaeta thermophila with either one of the generated membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases or the soluble bPAC. Recently, the two-component optogenetic silencing system consisting of SthK and bPAC was applied to manipulate $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents in various model organisms (Beck et al., 2018; Bernal Sierra et al., 2018). To assess the functionality of these systems in C. elegans, I co-express the SthK channel and either bPAC or one of the membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in body wall muscle cells and cholinergic neurons and evaluated the systems via swimming behaviour analysis and body length measurements. Amongst the analysed systems, the combinations SthK; bPAC and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) protrude due to their strong and long-lasting hyperpolarization effects. In body wall muscle cells, they evoked body length elongations of $\sim 4$ and $\sim 3 \%$, respectively (Figure 50). Although they did not achieved the maximal body increase as provoked by the `benchmark` hyperpolarizer ACR1 (6.1 \%), the initiated effects were long-lasting, i.e. it remained at this level even after turning off light, which was not observed for ACR1 or its `slow` variant ACR1(C102A) (Bergs et al., 2018). Noteworthy, for SthK; bPAC the induced muscle hyperpolarization lasted up to about 10 min after light application (Figure 45). Reason for this could be the lack or low expression level of PDEs in C. elegans muscle cells. For ACR1, introduction of the mutation C102A resulted in prolonged current decay (100-fold slower than wild type ACR1) accompanied by a decreased current amplitude (Sineshchekov et al., 2015; Sineshchekov et al., 2016). Application of this variant in body wall muscle cells indeed depicted a reduced maximal body
elongation of 3.4 \%, that is 56 \% reduced compared to ACR1, and a dramatic decrease in channel closing ( (relax $=26.04$ s) (Bergs et al., 2018). Nevertheless, ACR1(C102A) was not able to provoke comparable long-lasting effects as the twocomponent optogenetic systems SthK; bPAC or SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x). Summarizing, SthK; bPAC and SthK; BeCycIOp(A-2x) have the benefits to change the distribution of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-ions, they require only a short light pulse for activation and can evoke long-lasting effects. In contrary, ACR1 requires a continuous light application, can cause undesired changes in chloride ion distribution, and the `slow` variant ACR(C102A) is not able to provoke comparably long-lasting effects (Bergs et al., 2018; Mahn et al., 2016; Wiegert et al., 2016). In addition to the coupling of SthK to bPAC or $\operatorname{BeCycIOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, which optogenetically produce a huge amount of cAMP, the channel can be combined with photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases that are characterized by the generation of low levels of cAMP such as BeCyclOp(A-3x) (Figure 39). Interestingly, the SthK; BeCyclOp(A-3x) system provoked the strongest muscle hyperpolarization amongst the analysed systems ( $\sim 5 \%$ ), whereas the effect decays a few seconds after turning off light (Figure 50). Hence, this system represents a useful alternative to ACR1 regarding short-term hyperpolarization. However, termination of the provoked effect is not well controllable as for ACR1 where the animals reached its initial body length nearly after turning off light (Bergs et al., 2018). In general, fast termination of the induced effect is difficult to control for the multicomponent optogenetic systems, in contrast to the established single optogenetic tools where termination is achieved directly either by channel closing or the stop of ion pumping evoked by turning off light, whereas for the two-component optogenetic systems the cNMP must be depleted after light application. No obvious light-dependent behavioural changes were observed for the system SthK; $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$, emphasising $\mathrm{CaCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 x)$ s weak expression, instable conformation and/or poor membrane targeting in C. elegans (Figure 50).

Beyond their assessment to hyperpolarize body wall muscle cells, the twocomponent optogenetic systems were evaluated regarding their ability to silence cholinergic motor neurons of $C$. elegans. Again, the systems SthK; bPAC and SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) stick out due do a strong light induced hyperpolarization, i.e. they decreased the swimming frequencies by about 84.4 and 72.3 \%, respectively (Figure 48 and 52). However, co-expression of both systems decreased the basal swimming frequencies strongly in comparison to animals, only expressing SthK or the genetic
background lite-1(ce314) (Figure 48 and 52). The reduction of the basal swimming rate is discussed in more detail subsequently in this section. Lower light triggered hyperpolarization was observed for the system SthK; $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-3 \mathrm{x})$, that depicted a reduction of the swimming frequencies of 36 \% (SthK [1ng/ $\mu \mathrm{l}]$; BeCycIOp(A-3x) [30 $\mathrm{ng} / \mu]$ ) and $37.5 \%$ (SthK [2.5 ng/ $\mu \mathrm{l}]$; BeCyclOp(A-3x) [100 ng/ $\mu \mathrm{l}]$ (Figure 52). However, co-expression of this system reduced the basal swimming rates independently of the injected plasmid concentrations, too.

Examining the expression pattern of the SthK channel in both cell types using an epifluorescence microscope depicted a clustered intracellular appearance in the plasma membrane (Figure 43 and 47). Again, a confocal microscope would be the method of choice to examine the cellular localization of the channel more precisely in body wall muscle cells and cholinergic motor neurons. Expression of the SthK channel alone reduced the basal swimming frequency of the animals, independent of the cell type (body wall muscle cells or cholinergic neurons) (Figure 46 and 48). Reason for this could be a pre-activation of the channel due to intrinsic cAMP, causing a shift of the resting membrane potential of the cells and finally an altered swimming behaviour. Also, a general toxicity of the channel due to the formation of protein aggregates could contribute to the reduced swimming behaviour. The finding, that illumination of animals co-expressing wild type BeCyclOp and SthK caused an increased swimming behaviour and reduced body length argues for a pre-activation of the channel by binding of intracellularly cAMP (Figure 50 and 51). In this context, the generated cGMP upon BeCyclOp activation could act either as an antagonist, or an agonist with low efficacy (Kesters et al., 2015; Schmidpeter et al., 2018). The basal swimming rate is further reduced by co-expression of the channel with the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases (with exception of BeCyclOp(A-3x) in body wall muscle cells), that highlights the high affinity of SthK for cAMP and a low basal activity of the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases (Figure 50 and 51). In cholinergic motor neurons and body wall muscle cells, the pre-activation was already substantial in dark for the system SthK; bPAC, wherefor this combination appears of limited use in C. elegans, unless researchers aimed on permanent $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-based inhibition of the desired cell type. Also, the systems SthK; BeCyclOp(A-2x) and SthK; BeCyclOp(A$3 x$ ) exhibited substantial pre-activation in dark in cholinergic motor neurons. Expression of YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) and low levels of SthK in cholinergic motor neurons restored the basal swimming frequency, however, no light dependent
inhibition was observed (Figure 53). Nevertheless, the system would represent a powerful silencing system for cholinergic motor neurons, as YFP-BeCyclOp(A-2x) is characterized by the generation of high amplitudes of cAMP, and in relation to $\mathrm{BeCyclOp}(\mathrm{A}-2 \mathrm{x})$ depicts less or no dark activity. Thus, the relative expression can be further titrated to achieve an optimum for this system. Concluding, with this thesis I established new two-component optogenetic systems for cell silencing based on the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents, that differ in their efficiency, enzymatic properties, and kinetics (Figure 86).470 nm excitation 535 nm excitation
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Figure 86: Evaluation of hyperpolarizing two-component optogenetic tools characterized in this thesis. A) Summary of body length elongations evoked by the hyperpolarizing twocomponent optogenetic systems consisting of a photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclase and either the cAMP-gated SthK or the cGMP-gated BeCNG1 cyclic nucleotide gated channel. Illustrated is the mean normalized body length increase ( $\pm$ SEM) relative to the initial body length of the animal before light exposure. B) Schematic overview of two-component optogenetic hyperpolarizers and ACR1 as 'benchmark', expressed in body wall muscle cells of $C$. elegans, categorized by the time course of induced muscle elongation (proxy for channel opening kinetics (T)) and efficiency. For efficiency calculations, the most effective tool (ACR1)) was arbitrarily set as $100 \%$ efficient, and the body length reductions for each tool (at $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) were determined relative to the maximum body length increase induced by the most effective tool (Bergs et al., 2018). Classification of the tools could be altered by adjusting the expression levels and the applied light intensity. Image adapted and modified from (Henss et al., 2021).

### 4.2. Tandem affinity purification of BeCyclOp monomer and BeCyclOp concatamer

In 2014, BeCyclOp was discovered in the genome of the fungus Blastocladiella emersonii where it participates in combination with BeCNG1 in the phototactic response of the zoospore (Avelar et al., 2015; Avelar et al., 2014). BeCyclOp and its orthologue CaCycIOp are members of the Cyclase Opsins, a protein family that belongs together with HKR and RhoPDE to the enzymerhodopsins, a recently discovered class of natural rhodopsins combined to light-regulatable enzyme function (Mukherjee et al., 2019). CyclOps are particular as they combine a rhodopsin domain that is C-terminally fused to a guanylyl cyclase domain, and thus they are of special interest for optogenetic research (Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2015). Beyond that, CyclOps increasingly come into the focus of many scientists due to their unusual protein architecture, i.e. it's the first known rhodopsin with 8 TM helices and an cytosolic N-terminus (Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2015). Thus, several questions pertaining this novel protein class needed to be resolved - How are the light-induced changes in the rhodopsin domain transmitted to the guanylyl cyclase domain? What are the functions of the individual domains? How is the guanylyl cyclase domain inhibited in the dark state? What are the spectroscopic properties of the rhodopsin domain? To answer these questions, several research groups tried to purify CyclOps for spectroscopic, biochemical, and structural analysis. However, purification of fulllength CyclOps proved to be difficult and posed a problem for the scientists. It was tried to purify full-length BeCyclOp using different expression systems - E. coli or Pichia pastoris, however it could not be purified in sufficient amount for subsequent analysis (Scheib et al., 2015).

For that reason, the attempt of this thesis was to purify full-length BeCyclOp and a covalently linked BeCyclOp dimer (Concatamer) out of C. elegans body wall muscle cells to enable biochemical, spectroscopic, and structural analysis of this novel protein type. For this purpose, the tandem affinity purification method was applied, that is a high affinity strategy which facilitates the purification of low abundant proteins and has successfully applied to purify natively expressed proteins in yeast and in C. elegans (Gottschalk et al., 2005; Puig et al., 2001; Rigaut et al., 1999). In my Master Thesis, I successfully confirmed the expression of BeCycIOp::TAP in C. elegans muscle cells and could isolate the protein out of a complex mixture of proteins of $C$. elegans solubilizate. To assess the functionality of the fusion protein,
the in vivo test system established by Gao et al., 2015 was applied where no major impact on guanylyl cyclase activity was determined (My Master Thesis). To optimize BeCyclOp::TAP solubilization, a detergent screening was performed where highest solubilization was achieved with Triton X-100 (Figure 54). Also, BeCycIOp::TAP was successfully solubilized with the detergents DDM, DM, OG, LDAO and Tween-20, though about 3.4-fold less compared to Triton X-100 (Figure 54 B). No protein solubilization was achieved using OTG as detergent. However, as full-length BeCyclOp was not purified during this thesis and no subsequent functionality assay could be performed, no conclusion can be drawn on a possible negative impact of Triton X-100 on BeCyclOp functionality and an impairment on intermolecular interactions of BeCyclOp dimers. Recently, Trieu et al., 2017 purified full-length BeCyclOp and characterized the protein via spectroscopic and biochemical experiments. For this, they applied HEK293-GnT1 ${ }^{-}$cells as expression system, fused the enzyme to two affinity tags - N-terminally to a C8-tag (PRGPDRPEGIEE) and Cterminally to a 1D4-tag (TETSQVAPA), and solubilized the enzyme with either DM or DDM as detergents (Trieu et al., 2017). Also, BeCyclOps` rhodopsin domain was purified using Pichia pastoris as expression system and was solubilized using DDM for spectroscopic analysis (Scheib et al., 2015). Thus, DM and DDM would represent useful alternatives for Triton X-100 to solubilize BeCyclOp out of C. elegans membranes. In this work, it was not possible to purify BeCyclOp from crude $C$. elegans extracts via tandem affinity purification. The following issues occurred during purification of full-length BeCyclOp. In the first purification trial, the main amount of BeCyclOp::TAP was present in the IgG-Agarose flow through (Figure 60). This can be explained by an impaired binding of the ProtA portion of the TAP-Tag to the IgGAgarose beads by the detergent Triton X-100. As a result of this, in a second purification trial a dialysis of the detergent solubilized BeCyclOp::TAP was performed to reduce the concentration of Triton X-100 from 1 to $0.05 \%$. Indeed, the amount of BeCyclOp::TAP present in the IgG-Agarose flow through strongly decreased which hints at a successful binding of BeCyclOp::TAP to the IgG-Agarose beads (Figure 61). Though, no protein was purified via the second affinity purification step. This can be explained by the following reasons: One the one hand, reduction of the Triton X100 concentration in BeCyclOp::TAP solubilizates caused partially the precipitation of the protein (Figure 62). On the other hand, elution from the IgG-Agarose via TEV protease was exacerbated due to the applied conditions, i.e. the aliquots were
incubated for 5 h at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Therefore, it should be possible to purify BeCyclOp::TAP out of $C$. elegans by changing the conditions for TEV cleavage to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Although DM and DDM did not reach the same extend in BeCyclOp::TAP solubilization as Triton X-100, they provide useful alternatives as they were proven to support BeCyclOp functionality (Penzkofer et al., 2017; Scheib et al., 2015; Trieu et al., 2017).

To enable structural analysis of BeCyclOp via cryogenic electron microscopy, a covalently linked BeCyclOp dimer was designed and expressed in C. elegans body wall muscle cells and should be purified using the tandem affinity purification method. As fusion protein linker, a (GGGGS)4 was selected to ensure the flexibility of the linked BeCyclOp domains, i.e. of the guanylyl cyclase-terminus of BeCyclOp molecule one and the N -terminus of BeCyclOp molecule two (Chen et al., 2013). Varying plasmid concentrations ( 15,50 , and $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ) were injected for C. elegans strain generation and assessed regarding the proper expression of the concatamer via western blot analysis. The full-length protein was identified in 7 of 12 strains that differ in the expression level of the concatamer and the occurrence of by-products (Figure 63). Hence, for subsequent integration of the extrachromosomal array into the genome and following purification of the protein a strain was selected that exhibited no expression of by-products, albeit the strain contained a low expression level of the concatamer. Thereby additional purification steps such as size exclusion chromatography should be prevented that would further cause a loss of protein. To assess the functionality of the concatamer the in vivo test system established by Gao et al., 2015 was applied. Here, for the concatamer a lower light-induced muscle contraction was detected compared to the wild type protein (Figure 64). Reason for this could be a possible negative influence of the linker on light-induced conformational changes of the N - and C-terminal domains. In this context, photon absorption and conversion of the rhodopsin moiety into the M signalling state caused conformational changes of the N -terminus i.e. it is proposed to interact with the linker domain or to retract from the $\beta 4 / 5$-loop at the guanylyl cyclase moiety, which could be hampered due to the introduction of the (GGGS)4 linker between the $N$-terminus of one BeCycIOp molecule and the guanylyl cyclase-terminus of the second BeCyclOp molecule (Fischer et al., 2021). However, the reason for this could also be a lower expression level of the concatamer in body wall muscle cells. In this study, it was not possible to purify the BeCyclOp concatamer from crude C. elegans extracts
via tandem affinity purification. One main issue emerged for the purification of the concatamer: The protein is cleaved by proteases during homogenization of $C$. elegans membranes into monomers due to a low concentration of applied protease inhibitors. To address this issue the protease inhibitor concentration was increased which successfully prevent cleavage of the concatamer (Figure 65). In sum, by increasing the applied protease inhibitor concentration ( 8 -fold compared to the utilized worm powder) and by adapting the purification protocol changes for TEV protease cleavage, purification of the BeCyclOp concatamer out of C. elegans extracts should be possible. Also, detergent screening could be performed to possibly increase concatamer solubilization from C. elegans membranes.

### 4.3. Assessment of CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3, CHE-6, EGL-2, and UNC-103 as cAMP effectors in body wall muscle cells

J. Nagpal, a former PhD student in the Gottschalk lab, noticed that optogenetic cAMP production by bPAC in body wall muscle cells caused muscle hyperpolarization, obvious by an increasing body length of the animals. As a possible reason, a cAMP modulated ion channel in the plasma membrane was assumed. For that reason, one attempt of this thesis was to identify a cAMP modulated ion channel that participates in cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization. Sequence alignment of the PKA's regulatory subunit Ria against the C. elegans genome identified four CNG ion channels (CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3, and CHE-6) and two voltage-gated potassium channels (EGL-2 and UNC-103) as possible cAMP effectors. To investigate the impact of the cellular generation of cAMP on muscle hyperpolarization, the influence of membrane proximal (BeCyclOp(A-2x)) and cytosolic (bPAC) cAMP production on the body length of the animals were examined (Figure 68). Activation of both enzymes caused body elongations of $\sim 2 \%$, and thus optogenetic cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization is triggered independent of the cellular generation of cAMP. However, to more accurately determine possible differences between membrane proximal and cytosolic optogenetic cAMP generation and their influence on cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization, further behavioural readouts should be evaluated e.g. the crawling speed and mean body bending on solid media using the worm tracker as previously described (Stirman et al., 2011). Because only slight body length elongations are caused by optogenetic cAMP generation which would further
hampers to detect differences between wild type and mutant backgrounds, A. Pieragnolo assessed the impact of cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization on $C$. elegans swimming behaviour, as this behavioural readout is more sensitive compared to the body length measurement. Indeed, 30 s bPAC activation (0.2 $\left.\mathrm{mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ strongly decreased the swimming frequency of the animals by 66.7 \% wherefore this experiment was utilized as complementary assay for the screening of cAMP effectors (Figure 69). Complementary to the investigation of cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization in lite-1(ce314) background, the mechanism was assessed in wild type background to evaluate it more close to the natural genetic and physiological conditions. Under the use of the same experimental conditions ( 5 s before light, 15 s during light, 5 s after light; $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), no body elongation was detected (Figure 73 A ). This could be explained by the intrinsic photophobic response of the animals, triggered by blue light sensation by the ultraviolet/blue-light sensor LITE-1, that caused an escape behaviour of the animals that counteracts the cAMP induced muscle elongation (Edwards et al., 2008). Consequently, the experimental set-up was changed in two ways - For one experiment, the light pulse duration was decreased to 5 s to limit the activation of the phototactic response to a short time frame. Also, to enable the detection of the body elongation, the measurement after light application was extended to 113 s . For a second experiment, the duration of the light pulse was extended to 113 s to constantly increase the cAMP level and to force cAMP induced muscle elongation and thus to overwrite the counteracting escape response of the animals. Indeed, body elongations were observed using both experimental set-ups, whereas for the short light pulse accompanied with the generation of a low level of cAMP, a maximal body elongation of $3 \%$ and for the long light pulse associated with high optogenetic cAMP levels an elongation of $6 \%$ were measured (Figure 74). To complement the characterization of cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization in wild type animals, the influence of bPAC activation on the swimming behaviour of the animals was assessed where a 60 s light pulse caused a strong reduction of about $75 \%$, that sustained for further 60 s (Figure $73 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}$ ). This strong light-induced reduction is comparable to the results in lite-1(ce314) background obtained by S. Zhou in her BSc Thesis and that were also measured using the `Multi Worm Tracker` (Figure 71). To more accurately characterize the cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization and its impact on the $C$. elegans swimming behaviour, experiments with an extended
recording after light application (>60s) have to be performed to determine the recovering of the basal swimming rate and thus the temporal extent of cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization. In sum, optogenetically induced muscle hyperpolarization caused obvious behavioural changes of $C$. elegans, i.e. an elongation of the body length (3-6 \%) and a reduction of the swimming rate (75 \%). The provoked muscle elongation persists for at least 113 s after light application (5 s light pulse; $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and the swimming frequency reduction persists for at least $60 \mathrm{~s}\left(60 \mathrm{~s}\right.$ light pulse; $\left.0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$ after light application.

To evaluate the selected ion channels as potential cAMP effectors in cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization, with the help of A. Pieragnolo and S. Zhou, bPAC expressing strains (using the promotor pmyo-3) in the following genetic backgrounds were created - channel loss of function, and channel loss of function; lite-1(ce314). Investigation of optogenetically induced muscle hyperpolarization and its impact on the body length in channel loss of function; lite-1(ce314) background was performed by S. Zhou in her BSc thesis. Here, no or only minor body elongations ( $\sim 1 \%$ ) were caused in channel loss of function; lite-1 (ce314) backgrounds as a result of optogenetic cAMP generation in body wall muscle cells, that did not reach the extent provoked in the lite-1(ce314) control ( $\sim 2.5 \%$ ) (Figure 70). Thus, these results could be a hint for a possible modulatory role of the channels in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization.

The study of optogenetically induced muscle hyperpolarization on swimming behaviour of strains with loss of function channel; lite-1(ce314) background was performed by S . Zhou in her BSc thesis. Here, for most mutant strains strong swimming frequency reductions were observed ( $\sim 52-72 \%$ ), though they did not reach the extent as detected for the lite-1(ce314) control and the mutant egl-2(rg4); lite-1 (ce314) (~77 \%) (Figure 71). In contrast, only a small cAMP induced reduction in swimming frequency was detected for the unc-103(n1213); lite-1(ce314) background (21 \%) (Figure 71). As this result highlights UNC-103 as cAMP effector in cAMP-induced muscle hyperpolarization, the strain was selected for a more detailed investigation via body length analysis. For this purpose, I have adapted the experimental set-up in two ways. For one measurement, the light pulse was abbreviated to 5 s , whereas the low optogenetic cAMP level should mimic more the physiological conditions, and an extended recording after light to detect the slow increasing body length over the time.

For a second measurement the duration of the light pulse was prolonged to 113 s to force cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization due to constant cAMP production. Whereas in the first measurement bPAC activation caused similar body elongations in lite-1(ce314); unc-103(n1213) and in the lite-1-(ce314) control, in the second approach differences in the provoked body elongations were detectable, i.e. the body length increased stronger ( $\sim 6 \mathrm{vs} \sim 4 \%$ ) and faster (reaching of the plateau: 15 vs 55 seconds after turning off light) in the lite-1(ce314) control than in lite-1(ce314); unc-103(n1213) (Figure 72). Though no statistical analysis due to a low number of measured animals could be performed, the result gives a hint of a possibly participating role of UNC-103 in cAMP provoked muscle hyperpolarization. In channel loss of function mutants, optogenetic cAMP generation strongly reduced the swimming cycles for all strains (~64 - $89 \%$ after 60 s light application; $470 \mathrm{~nm} ; 0.2 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ ), whereby for the mutants che6(e1126)IV (60 \%), unc-103(n1213) (64 \%), egl-2(rg4) (64 \%) and cng-3(jh113) (67 \%) the reductions reached not the extend as the wild type control (75\%) (Figure 82). In contrast, stronger swimming cycle reductions were detected for the mutants cng2(tm4267) (82 \%) and cng-1(jh111) (89 \%). Summarizing the results of both swimming behaviour analyses, for CNG-3, CHE-6, and UNC-103, bPAC activation caused minor swimming rate reductions in channel loss of function and channel loss of function; lite1(ce314) backgrounds compared to the controls lite-1(ce314) and wild type. For EGL-2, optogenetic cAMP generation provoked a similar swimming frequency reduction in double mutant background as in the lite-1(ce314) control, but a smaller reduction in the channel loss of function mutant as in the wild type control. For CNG-1 and CNG-2, bPAC activation induced minor swimming rate reductions in double mutant backgrounds as in the lite-1(ce314) control, but stronger reductions in channel loss of function backgrounds as for the wild type control.

This enables the following classification of the ion channels as possible cAMP effectors in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization: Functional CNGCs consist of one to four $\alpha$ subunits and a variable number of $\beta$-subunits (Pifferi et al., 2006). Their properties depend on the subunit composition, whereas they can differ in their cNMP affinity, channel opening and closing, and ion permeability (Ferkey et al., 2021; Komatsu et al., 1999; O'Halloran et al., 2017). C. elegans expresses six CNGCs that are assigned as $\alpha$ subunits (CNG-1, CNG-3, and TAX-4) or $\beta$-subunits (CNG-2, CHE-6, and TAX-2) (Wojtyniak et al., 2013). As mentioned previously, optogenetic cAMP production in body
wall muscle cells of CNG-3 and CHE-6 mutants caused minor swimming frequency reductions compared to the lite-1(ce314) and wild type controls. Although CNGCs are primary associated with the depolarization of excitable cells, the results provide evidence for a possible contribution of CNG-3 and CHE-6 to cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization. Both subunits are predicted to be non-selective cation channels, with both having conserved residues of the selectivity filter motive TIGE in the pore helix domain (Appendix Figure 90). In C. elegans AFD neurons, CNG-3 and SLO K+channels were shown to contribute together to neuronal hyperpolarization. It is proposed that SLO-1/SLO-2 co-localises with a CNGC (including CNG-3) in microdomains where activation of the CNGC evokes a local influx of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$. This local increase of calcium ions triggers the activation of the SLO-1/SLO-2 $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-channels and causes hyperpolarization of AFD neurons (Aoki et al., 2018). Thus, it seems possible that CNG-3 and/or CHE-6 forms a functional heteromeric CNGC in C. elegans body wall muscle cells that co-localizes with SLO-1 and SLO-2 and that contributes to cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization. Interestingly, expression of bPAC in body wall muscle cells of the mutant backgrounds cng-3(jh113) and che-6(e1126) reduced the basal swimming frequencies in both cases (Figure 81). Reason for this could be the overexpression of the respective other channel subunit, or an additional subunit contributing to the CNGC, that is activated by the dark activity of bPAC. It is also possible that the respective homomeric CNGC has a high cAMP affinity that is reduced in the heteromeric channel. For CNG-1 and CNG-2, contradicting results were obtained in both swimming behaviour analyses wherefore it seems unlikely that they play a vital role in cAMP evoked muscle hyperpolarization. Though, it cannot be excluded that they contribute to the proposed CNG-3/CHE-6 CNGC in body wall muscle cells. However, expression of CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3, and CHE-6 has not been confirmed in body wall muscle cells, neither experimentally nor by CeNGEN (Table 23).

EGL-2 is highly homologous to Drosophilia ether-à-go-go (eag) proteins and therefore presumably functions as voltage-gated potassium channel. They exhibit 54 \% sequence similarity in the N-terminus, $75 \%$ in the transmembrane and pore domain, and $81 \%$ in the CNBD (Weinshenker et al., 1999). Egl-2(rg4) mutants exhibited a reduced basal swimming rate compared to wild type animals (Figure 81). Reason for this could be that the absence of the channel causes a change in ion concentration gradients across the membrane in the cell type in which it is expressed. Because EGL-2 is expressed in sensory neurons and lumbar neurons (e.g., PVC), it is likely that the shift in ion
concentrations alters neuronal communication and further affects the swimming behaviour of the animal. Another reason could be that EGL-2 contributes to the setting of the resting membrane potential of body wall muscle cells, as has been reported for ERG channels, and that its absence causes a shift in membrane potential that leads to an impaired locomotor behaviour (Akbarali et al., 1999; Shoeb et al., 2003). Optogenetic cAMP generation resulted in a smaller reduction of the swimming rate in the channel loss of function mutant ( $64 \%$ ) than in the wild type control ( $75 \%$ ), whereas similar swimming speed reductions were observed for the lite-1(ce314) control and the mutant egl-2(rg4); lite-1 (ce314) ( $\sim 77$ \%). Reason for this may be that EGL-2 could contribute to cAMP induced muscle relaxation, or that the lack of EGL-2 expression is compensated by the overexpression of potassium channels with overlapping functions and that are involved in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization. Nevertheless, expression of EGL-2 in body wall muscle cells has not been confirmed experimentally and by CeNGEN, thus its contribution to C. elegans body wall muscle physiology appears unlikely (Table 23).

To date, little is known about the channel characteristics, quaternary structure, and the physiological functions of UNC-103. The protein is highly homologous to hERG (human ether-a-go-go-related gene), which is the pore-forming subunit of a delayed rectifier voltage-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel, also known as Ikr or Kv11.1 (Garcia et al., 2003; Gutman et al., 2003). The channel consists of 6 transmembrane helices (S1-S6), whereas the helices (S1-S4) build the voltage-sensing domain and the helices S5-S6 together with the intervening pore loop contributes to the pore domain formation. hERG is functional as tetramer whereby the ion conduction pathway is formed by the pore domains of the four subunits. N-terminally it contains a Per-Arnt-Sim (PAS) domain, a unique feature of the ether-a-go-go-subfamily, and C-terminally it comprises a CNBD (Vandenberg et al., 2012; Warmke et al., 1994). hERG and UNC103 exhibit $\sim 70 \%$ sequence similarity in the transmembrane helices S1-S6, the pore forming domain and the CNBD (Collins et al., 2013; Reiner et al., 2006) (Appendix Figure 89). In contrast to other ERG and EAG channels, UNC-103 lacks the Nterminal PAS domain but contains a PDZ motif at its C-terminus (Reiner et al., 2006). The activity of hERG has been shown to be regulated by direct binding of cAMP and by phosphorylation by PKA, but whether it activates or inhibits hERG activity remains unclear, as research has presented conflicting results (Cui et al., 2001; Cui et al., 2000; Shu et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 1999). ERG channels mediate a variety of physiological functions, whereby the contribution of hERG to the repolarization of the
cardiac action potential via the delayed rectifier $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-current ( $\mathrm{Ikrr}^{\text {) is best studied }}$ (Appendix Figure 92) (Grant, 2009). Beyond that, ERG channels are involved in maintaining the resting membrane potential of a variety of excitable cells, e.g. in smooth muscle of the stomach, colon, and oesophageal, but also in neuroendocrine cells or neuroblastoma cells (Akbarali et al., 1999; Arcangeli et al., 1996; Bauer, 1998; Ohya et al., 2002; Shoeb et al., 2003). Based on its homology to hERG, UNC103 might exert the following physiological functions in body wall muscle cells of $C$. elegans: It might participate in the setting of the resting membrane potential and/or contribute to the repolarization of the body wall muscle cells (Figure 87). Interestingly, the gain-of-function mutation unc-103(e1597) causes a reduced excitability of vulval and protractor muscles, presumably due to the efflux of potassium ions and muscle hyperpolarization (Collins et al., 2013; Garcia et al., 2003; Petersen et al., 2004; Reiner et al., 1995). The gain-of-function mutant is based on the mutation A331T in transmembrane helix 6 (S6), which is thought to affect channel gating of UNC-103 (Reiner et al., 2006). A homologous gain-of-function mutation can be found in the hERG channel (A653), whereby the mutation causes an increased efflux of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ (Appendix Figure 89) (Stepanovic et al., 2009). Moreover, the loss of function mutation unc-103(sy673) and the null mutation unc-103(n1213) causes a mild hyperexcitability of vulva muscles and protractor muscles, respectively (Collins et al., 2013; Garcia et al., 2003). Regulation of C. elegans egg laying is achieved by alternating between two behavioural states, the inactive phase and the serotonin-triggered active phase. During the inactive phase, the vulval muscles are less excitable to prevent muscle contraction and egg laying. It is proposed that UNC-103 is responsible for the reduced excitability of the vulval muscle in the inactive phase, and that serotonin initiates the active phase via G-protein signalling and possible activation of EGL-19 and/or inhibition of UNC-103 (Collins et al., 2013). Moreover, UNC-103 contributes to the regulation of the contractile behaviour of protractor muscles in males before and during mating, whereby it supresses the protractor muscles to contract until mating signals are sensed (Garcia et al., 2003).

Hence, the physiological function of UNC-103 in body wall muscle might be to adjust the resting membrane potential and to regulate muscle excitability under certain circumstances (Figure 87). Under normal conditions, the channel exists in its closed state and thus does not affect the resting membrane potential. However, it cannot be excluded that the channel is in an open conformation and allows weak conduction of
potassium ions. Sensing of an external signal is the trigger for the change in muscle excitability: The signalling molecule binds to an GPCR and provokes the activation of the heteromeric G-proteins. The activated $\mathrm{G} \alpha$ subunit activates an adenylate cyclase which produces cAMP out of ATP. The second messenger cAMP in turn triggers the activation of UNC-103 and its transition into the open state. Here, different types of activation are possible: cAMP can directly bind to UNC-103 and induce channelopening and/or indirectly activate the channel via PKA phosphorylation. Also, activation of the channel might be triggered by voltage-sensing by the proposed voltage-sensing domain of UNC-103, and cAMP binding could stimulate channel conductance. If the channel is in an open state that allows weak conductance of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$, binding of cAMP could increase channel conductance. Since unc-103(n1213) bPAC and unc-103(n1213); lite-1(ce314) bPAC animals still exhibited a decreasing swimming frequency upon bPAC activation, at least one additional ion channel which is either directly and/or indirectly regulated by cAMP must be involved in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization. Possible potassium channel candidates that might contribute to the setting of the resting membrane potential could be the channels IRK-1 and/or IRK-3. These channels are homologous to the G-protein-gated inwardly rectifying potassium channel (Kir3/Girk) and are expressed at low levels in body wall muscle cells according to CeNGEN (Hammarlund et al., 2018). These channels are coupled to GPCRs and are activated by the G $\beta \gamma$ subunits that evokes the efflux of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions (Jeremic et al., 2021). An additional contribution might be made by the proposed heteromeric channel UNC-93/SUP-9/SUP-10, which depicts gene expression in body wall muscle according to CeNGEN (Hammarlund et al., 2018). The channel is proposed to form a native channel complex, analogous to the heterooctameric Katp channels that consist of the inwardly rectifying potassium channel subunit Kir 6.x and sulfonylurea receptor subunits (Hibino et al., 2010; Salkoff et al., 2005). These channels are activated via phosphorylation by protein kinase A and C, whereby this modulation plays an important role in smooth muscles (Béguin et al., 1999; Lin et al., 2000; Nelson et al., 1995; Quinn et al., 2004). In addition, KATP channels couple the cellular metabolism to the movement of potassium ions across the membrane, sensing the depletion of ATP that triggers channel activation (Proks et al., 2004). In conclusion, activation of the potassium channels could lower the resting membrane potential by the efflux of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and thus regulate muscle excitability.

To consider a possible contribution of UNC-103 to body wall muscle repolarization, the gating mechanism of hERG in cardiac action potential must be considered in more detail. In contrast to other voltage-gated potassium channels, the channel is characterized by a slow voltage-dependent transition between the closed and open state ( $\sim 60 \mathrm{~ms}$ at +60 mV ) and a fast voltage-dependent transition between the open and inactivated state ( $1-2 \mathrm{~ms}$ at +60 mV ) (Shi et al., 2020). This results in a slow transition from the closed to the open conformation and subsequently a rapid inactivation upon membrane depolarization, and conversely a rapid rescue from the inactivated state to the open state followed by a slow conversion into the closed state upon membrane repolarization. As a result, the channel closes slowly during terminal repolarization and remains open for a considerable period until the resting membrane potential has been restored (Shi et al., 2020; Vandenberg et al., 2012). Assumed that UNC-103 contributes to the repolarization of the body wall muscle cells, the channel would be in its closed conformation at the resting potential. Depolarization of the muscle cells and increase of membrane voltage is sensed by the proposed voltagesensing domain of UNC-103 and causes the slow transition into the open state followed by its rapid inactivation. Subsequent repolarization triggers its fast reactivation from the inactive state and the conduction of potassium ions until the initial resting membrane potential has been restored. Because the transition from the open to the closed state is slow, UNC-103 may be a preferred target for the cell to modulate resting membrane potential and membrane excitability according to the mechanisms described above. However, in C. elegans body wall muscle repolarization of the action potential is primary mediated by the potassium channels SHK-1 (also termed Kv1) and SLO-1/SLO-2, wherefore a minor contribution of UNC103 to the repolarization should be expected (Gao et al., 2022; Gao et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2011). Thus, the primary function of UNC-103 in body wall muscle cells may be to adjust the membrane potential to reduce muscle excitability.


Figure 87: Proposed model for the physiological function of UNC-103 in body wall muscle cells. $A, B$ ) Under normal conditions, UNC-103 exists in its closed state and the resting membrane potential is unaffected. C, D) Binding of an external ligand to a GPCR initiates G-protein coupled signalling that leads to the formation of cAMP by an adenylate cyclase. Elevated cAMP levels activate UNC-103 by direct binding and/ or indirectly via PKA, causing the efflux of $K^{+}$. Muscle hyperpolarization could be supported by the activation of IRK1 and/ or IRK-3 via G $\beta \gamma$, as well as by the activation of UNC-93/SUP-9/SUP-10 by PKA or ATP depletion. cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization could reduce the resting membrane potential to regulate muscle excitability.

### 4.4. Outlook

4.4.1. Optogenetic tools for cNMP generation functionally coupled to CNGCs for cell de- and hyperpolarization

Membrane-proximal cAMP generation by the implemented membrane-bound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases may activate cAMP-dependent signalling more specifically than cytosolic production by bPAC. This will enable to investigate cAMP signalling and the corresponding cellular and behavioural responses in C. elegans
closer to natural physiological conditions. Application of the implemented membranebound photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases will support to explore neuropeptidergic signalling, learning and memory formation, cell growth and differentiation, or cellular metabolism (Liu et al., 2017; Rahmani et al., 2021; Steuer Costa et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017). In addition, the application of CaCyclOp will allow fine-tuning of cGMP levels, which will support the study of cGMP-mediated processes such as sensory signalling and plasticity or the regulation of dauer arrest (Bargmann et al., 1991; Birnby et al., 2000; Fielenbach et al., 2008; Schultheis et al., 2011). Specific subcellular targeting of the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases may further promote the studies by enabling cNMP signalling closer to the natural occurring nanodomains.

Beyond that, the functional properties of CyclOps such as the light sensitivity or the absorption spectrum can be altered by using retinal analogues (Appendix Figure 98 and 99) or by introduction of the homologous ChR2 mutations C128S (BeCyclOp(C159)) and D156A (BeCyclOp(D283)) (AzimiHashemi et al., 2014; Bamann et al., 2010; Yizhar et al., 2011). Because the signal provoked by the photoactivatable nucleotidyl cyclases alone or in combination with CNGCs decays slowly in cell types lacking PDEs, they could be combined with the red-light activated phosphodiesterase to accelerate termination of the effect (Gasser et al., 2014).
Moreover, the multicomponent systems comprising TAX-2/-4 can further be modified by changing the subunit composition of the CNGC to adjust characteristics such as cNMP affinity or channel closing. Here, in vitro experiments showed that the homomeric TAX-4 channels exhibited a 10-fold higher cGMP affinity and stay open seven times as long as the heteromeric TAX-2/-4 channel (Komatsu et al., 1999; O'Halloran et al., 2017). The BeCyclOp; TAX-4 system would have the advantage of requiring lower light intensity for excitation, causing prolonged membrane depolarization, and sparing the expression of another gene (tax-2). Moreover, the two component optogenetic silencing systems comprising SthK can be improved by mutating specific residues in the cAMP binding pocket of SthK to generate SthK variants with decreased cAMP affinity. Another modification could be to change its nucleotide specificity to cGMP by mutating the $2-3$ key amino acids in the active site (Ryu et al., 2010; Scheib et al., 2018). Altering the cNMP properties of SthK will create a more controllable optogenetic silencing tool that can be used in cell types with intrinsic cAMP and thus could have broader application.

### 4.4.2. Purification of BeCyclOp for biochemical and structural analyses

Until now, no full-length protein structure of a member of the novel Cyclase Opsin protein family exists, although a recent work by the Hegemann group that based on AlphaFold2 provides a useful model of CaCyclOp (Fischer et al., 2021). Hence, several question pertaining this protein family remains unresolved, i.e. how is the light-absorption by the rhodopsin domain coupled to the generation of cGMP by the guanylyl cyclase domain? How is the guanylyl cyclase activity regulated in the dark state and what are the functions of the individual domains in CyclOp dimers? To this end, purification and subsequent structural analysis of BeCycIOp should further be pursued. Purification of BeCyclOp and the stabilized BeCyclOp concatamer out of $C$. elegans can be attempted by adjusting the TEV protease cleavage conditions (increasing the temperature to $16^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and by increasing the protease inhibitor concentration (for concatamer purification). Although the detergents DM and DDM did not achieved a comparably high solubility of BeCyclOp as Triton X-100, they can be used as alternatives since they are known to support its functionality (Penzkofer et al., 2017; Scheib et al., 2015; Trieu et al., 2017). Alternatively, the expression and purification methods implemented by Trieu et al., 2017 (for BeCyclOp ) or by Fischer et al., 2021 (for CaCyclOp ) can be applied.

### 4.4.3. Investigation of cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization in C. elegans

The finding that $C$. elegans uses cAMP as second messenger in body wall muscle cells to trigger muscle hyperpolarization opens another area of research in this nematode. Although swimming behaviour analysis identified UNC-103, CNG-3, and CHE-6 as possible cAMP effectors contributing to muscle hyperpolarization, many questions remained unresolved, i.e. crucial proteins involved in the pathway, the initiating signal and the neuron(s) responsible, or the fundamental behavioural response to the signal. Neuropeptides, particularly FMRFamide-related peptides, are promising candidates to act as the first messenger, as several of them have been shown to inhibit or increase the frequency of the pharyngeal action potential (flp-1, flp-3, flp-9, flp-13, flp-16), to affect locomotion and egg-laying behaviour (flp-1), or to inhibit locomotion and pharyngeal pumping (flp-11) (Li et al., 2008; Nelson et al.,

1998; Steuer Costa et al., 2019; Waggoner et al., 2000). As a corresponding GPCR in body wall muscle cells, the receptor NPR-5 might be a candidate (Li et al., 2014). C. elegans contains a multitude of heteromeric G-proteins, whereas the proteins GSA-1, EGL-30, GOA-1, GPA-7, GPA-4 come into consideration as the stimulating G $\alpha$ subunit in cAMP induced muscle hyperpolarization. While the proteins GPC-1 and GPC-2 are candidates for the $\mathrm{G} \gamma$ subunit, the proteins GPB-1 and GPB-2 may function as interacting $\mathrm{G} \beta$ subunit. Possible candidates for the membrane-bound adenylyl cyclase are the cyclases ACY-1 and ACY-3, whose activation results in the formation of the second messenger cAMP, which could activate KIN-1, the corresponding PKA. Complementary to the putative activation of UNC-103, CNG-3, and CHE-6, muscle hyperpolarization could be promoted by the potassium channels IRK-1, IRK-3 and UNC-93/SUP-9/SUP-10. However, contribution of further potassium channels cannot be excluded. For investigation of the stimulatory neuropeptide, the peptides can be externally applied to the animals and their effect on the locomotion behaviour and body length can be assessed. After identification of the signalling neuropeptide, this experiment can serve as starting point for the identification of the corresponding receptor, adenylyl cyclase, and heteromeric G proteins, whereby possible candidates can be knocked out via RNA interference. To identify the responsible protein kinase and other potassium channels, the swimming behaviour analysis experiment used in this work can be applied. In addition, UNC103 can be analysed electrophysiologically in Xenopus oocytes and the influence of cAMP on its functionality be evaluated. Also, the cAMP induced hyperpolarization can be studied electrophysiologically in dissected animals, while the process can be triggered optogenetically via bPAC or by external application of the identified neuropeptide.
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## 6. Appendix

### 6.1. Sequence Alignments

6.1.1. Sequence alignment of PKA regulatory subunit RI $\alpha$ and PKG $\beta$ and the $C$. elegans ion channels TAX-4, CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3, CHE-6, EGL-2 and UNC-103

To identify ion channels comprising a cAMP binding domain and to examine the nucleotide binding pockets of the channels, a sequence alignment of PKA's regulatory subunit Ria (PDB entry 1NE6) and PKG I $\beta$ (PDB ID 4KU7) was performed with the C. elegans genome (Figure 88). The regulatory subunit of PKA was selected for sequence alignment because its cAMP-binding domain is one of the best studied binding domain among cAMP effectors (Berman et al., 2005; Lorenz et al., 2017a; Lorenz et al., 2017b).
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Figure 88: Sequence alignment of PKA regulatory subunit RIa and PKG I $\beta$ and the C. elegans ion channels TAX-4, CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3, CHE-6, EGL-2 and UNC-103. The phosphatebinding cassette (PBC), which interacts with the sugar-phosphate part of cAMP, is highlighted by a black bar. Conserved residues near and within the PBC are illustrated in yellow (Berman et al., 2005; Lorenz et al., 2017a; Lorenz et al., 2017b). Key residues mediating cAMP or cGMP specificity are highlighted in red or cyan, respectively (Lorenz et al., 2017b; Weber et al., 1989). In general, conserved residues are represented as asterisks (*) and semiconserved residues as semicolons (:) and dots (.). Sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega (Goujon et al., 2010; Sievers et al., 2011). PKA Ria from Bos taurus (PDB ID 1RGS) and PKG I $\beta$ from Homo sapiens (PDB ID 4KU7) were used for alignment.

### 6.1.2. Sequence alignment of the potassium channels hERG and Eag and the $C$. elegans channels EGL-2 and UNC-103

To examine the potential domain architecture and ion selectivity of UNC-103 and EGL-2, the amino acid sequences were aligned against the potassium channels hERG from Homo sapiens (UniProtKB ID Q12809) and Eag from Drosophila melanogaster (UniProtKB ID M9PHT2) (Figure 89).
hERG MP-VRRGHVAPQNTFLDTIIRKFEG-QSRKFIIANARVENCAVIYCNDGECELCGYSRAE 58 Eag MPGGRRGLVAPQNTFLENIIRRSNSQPDSSFLLANAQIVDFPIVYCNESFCKISGYNRAE 60 EGL-2 UNC-103 MPVGKRGLVAPQNTFLENVIRRCNN-ADTSFILANAQVVDYPIVYCNDGFSKLVGYTRAE 59 -----------------------------------------------------------------1
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S2
S3
hERG VDIMFIVDILINFRTTYVNANEE---VVSHPGRIAVHYFKGWFLIDMVAAIPFDLLI-FG 514
Eag VDVIFFIDIVLNFHTTFVGPGGE---VVSDPKVIRMNYLKSWFIIDLLSCLPYDVFNAFD 323
EGL-2 VDVIFFADILLNFHTTFVGPGGE---VVIEPSVIRQNYFKSWFLIDLLSCLPYDIFYMFK 326
UNC-103 VDIMFIVDIIINFRTTYVNENDEACQVVSDPGKIATHYFKGWFIIDMVAAVPFDLLL-VS 294
**::*: **::**:**:*. . * ** .* * :*:*.**:**:::.:*:*: :
S4
S5
SGSE---ELIGLLKTARLLRLVRVARKLDRYSEYGAAVLFLLMCTEALIAHWLACIWYAI 571 RDEDGIGSLFSALKVVRLLRLGRVVRKLDRYLEYGAAMLILLLCFYMLVAHWLACIWYSI 383 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Eag } & \text { RDEDGIGSLFSALKVVRLLRLGRVVVKLDRYLEYGAAMLILLLCFYMLVAHWLACIWYSI } 383 \\ \text { EGL-2 } & \text { RDDERIGSLFSALKVVRLLRLGRVARKLDNYLEYGAATLLLLLCAYVIVAHWLACVWFWI } 386\end{array}$ TNSDETTTLIGLLKTARLLRLVRVARKLDRYSEYGAAVLLLLMATEALIAHWLACIWYAI 354 EGL-2 ..: *: **..***** **.****.****** *:**: : : : ******:*: *

| hERG | GNMEQPH----MDSRIGWLHNLGDQIGKPYNS----S----GLGGPSIKDKYVTALYFTF | 619 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Eag | GRSDAD-----NGIQYSWLWKLANVTQSPYSYIWSNDTGPELVNGPSRKSMYVTALYFTM | 438 |
| EGL-2 | GDSEVRLKMDNLALPDGWLWKLSNDLRQHYNIPLSN--KTTLVGGPSRTSAYISSLYYTM | 444 |
| UNC-103 | GSAELSH----K--EYTWLHQLSKQLAQPYTS----TNGTIPTGGPTLKSRYVTSLYFTL | 404 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { * }: \text { Pore } \quad * *: . .{ }^{*} \cdot \mathbf{S 6} \quad . * *: \ldots{ }^{*}:::^{* *}: *: ~ \end{aligned}$ |  |
| hERG | SSLTSVGEGNVSPNTNSEKIFSICVMLIGSLMYÄSIFGNVSAIIQRLYSGTARYHTQMLR | 679 |
| Eag | TCMTSVGEGNVAAETDNEKVFTICMMIIAALLYATIFGHVTTIIQQMTSATAKYHDMLNN | 498 |
| EGL-2 | SCMSTVGEGNIASNTDNEKIFGVCMMIISALLYAAIFGHMTTIIQQMTSSTVRYHEMISN | 504 |
| UNC-103 | STITSIGEGNVSATTDSEKIFTIIMMILGSLMYASVFGNVSAIIQRLYSGTARYHTEMSR | 464 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { ::::****:: *:.**:* : :*::.:*:**::**::::***:: *.*.:** } \quad \text {. } \\ & \text { Selectivity filter } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| hERG | VREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNAVLKGFPECLQADICLHLNRSLLQH | 739 |
| Eag | VREFMKLHEVPKALSERVMDYVVSTWAMTKGLDTEKVSS | 537 |
| EGL-2 | VREFIKLQEIPKELAERVMDYVVSTWAMTKGIDTAKVLGYCPKDMKADICVHLNRKVFNE | 564 |
| UNC-103 | LREFIRFHQIPNPLRQRLEEYFQHAWSYTNGIDMNLVLKGFPDCLQADICLHLNRNLLSG | 524 |
|  | CNBD |  |
| hERG | CKPFRGATKGCLRALAMKFKTTHAPPGDTLVHAGDLLTALYFISRGSIEILRGD-VVVAI | 798 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | HSCFRLASDGCLRSLAMFLELNHAAPGDLLYHTGESVDALWFVVSGSLEVIQDD-EVVAI | 623 |
| UNC-103 | CAAFAGSTPGCLRALSMRFRTTHSPPGDTLVHRGDILTGLYFIARGSVEILNDDNTVMGI | 584 |
| herg | LGKNDIFGEPLNL-YARPGKSNGDVRALTYCDLHKIHRDDLLEVLDMYPEFSDHFWSSLE | 857 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | LGKGDVFGDEFWKANGSTGQSAANVRALTYSDLHMIKKDKLMDVLDFYKAFANSFARNMT | 683 |
| UNC-103 | LGKDDIFGENPLL-YDEVGKSSCNVRALTYCDLHKILRDDLLDVLDMYPEFAETFCKNLT | 643 |
| hERG | ITFNLRDTNMIPGSPGSTELEGGFSRQRKRKLSFRRRTDKDTEQPGEVS---------AL | 908 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | LTYNLTHRMKFRKVAD---------VKREKELDAKRKNEKLTLPNDHPIRKLLFRMRERH | 734 |
| UNC-103 | ITYNLRDDAQS--------LRKKFDRHKLLRMSSSMNKDRYTTPPDGDHGNAAVRRS-AE | 694 |
| hERG | GPGRAGAGPSSRGRPGGPWGESPSSGPSSPESSEDEGPGRSSSPLRLVPFSSPRPPGEPP | 968 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | GP-RIFPSPMFADIEKGLKKSTEISRISSLHSMIDETGGGGS--------SYVKSPRSKP | 785 |
| UNC-103 | SVSRCDSNPIDRRQSAGSRSSSR----------------CSPPHAAL--TAT-----RS | 730 |
| hERG | GGEPLMEDCEKSSDTCNPLSGAFSGVSNIFSFWGDSRG-RQYQELPRC----PAPTPSLL | 1023 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | KRPPLMKRQTVDEDALS------------RTSWGMDKKDREWSSLSNIKTEMKS-KFDII | 832 |
| UNC-103 | EATPLLRRSTNHHEEDDA---LFDDI---RAF---ARG-NTVTMSPTVAGNSVSPTTAIH | 780 |
| herg | NIPL------S------S------------PGRRPRGDVESRLDALQRQLNRLETRLSAD | 1059 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | GERLTIIEQINSRLALLERVLIGNNGGANTPSTMPVGSFS----A----LNESGNRLTLD | 884 |
| UNC-103 | NDGI------H------SQQL---SDRSDDYEERRANMFGRRLESIESQMERMQNKFNSD | 825 |
| herg | MATVLQLLQ---------RQMTLVPP---AYSAVTTPGPGPTSTSPLLPVSPLPTLTLD | 1106 |
| Eag |  | 537 |
| EGL-2 | AAPVARSVSWSEQHQPHWQRTSTVPPLRELEAGEWEPPIREP-TPNPSTSSSRVPHIQID | 943 |
| UNC-103 | METLIKLVK----------EQSIIRNN---GSSN-EEPNARYRPNNYISSAIRLPN---G | 868 |

```
hERG SLSQVSQFMACEELPPGAPELPQEGPTRRLSLPGQLGALTSQPLHRHGSDPGS 1159
Eag ----------------------------------------------------------}53
EGL-2 EDGEA------------RPPTRTR-----I---------------------------}95
UNC-103 GGGGVVDEMRVSRLSSHEPPTPTQETDTIL--------------------------------
```

Figure 89: Sequence alignment of the potassium channels hERG and Eag and the C. elegans ion channels EGL-2 and UNC-103. The intracellular Per-Amt-Sim (PAS) domain, the transmembrane helices S1-S6, the pore helix (pore), and the intracellular cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (CNBD) are indicated via a black bar above the sequence (Barros et al., 2020). The potassium selectivity filter GFGN is highlighted in red (Toplak et al., 2022). The conserved alanine residue in segment 6 is highlighted in cyan. Mutating this residue to threonine caused an increased conductance (Gain-of-function) (Reiner et al., 2006). Conserved residues are represented as asterisks (*) and semiconserved residues as semicolons (:) and dots (.). Sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega (Goujon et al., 2010; Sievers et al., 2011). hERG (human eag-related gene) from Homo sapiens (UniProtKB ID Q12809) and Eag (ether-à-go-go) from Drosophila melanogaster (UniProtKB ID M9PHT2) were used for alignment.
6.1.3. Sequence alignment of the cation channel CNGA1 and the $C$. elegans ion channels TAX-4, CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3 and CHE-6

To examine the potential domain architecture and ion selectivity of CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3 and CHE-6, the amino acid sequences were aligned against the cation channels Cyclic nucleotide-gated channel alpha 1 (CNGA1) from Bos taurus (UniProtKB ID Q00194) and TAX-4 (Figure 90).

| CNGA1 | MKKVIINTWHSFVNIPNVVGPDVEKEITRME------------------------------31 |
| :---: | :---: |
| tax-4 | 0 |
| CNG-1 | 0 |
| CNG-2 | --MFSSF-NFEDPPP---SEVPRKVQRKDRSVRYLRALSAKNQERTANEHSSVDVAVT 52 |
| CNG-3 | 0 |
| CHE-6 | 0 |

CNGA1 ---------NGAC---SSESGDDDDSASMFEE-SETENPHA----------------------- 59
tax-4 ---------------------------MSTAEPAPDPTNP-S--------------------------14
CNG-1 ----------------------------MAEN------VST------------------------ 7
CNG-2 QASLSVPRPGILRLPSSDPGEEDPQPSTS---SIPHRPSKWATVREKSPQIIGGHAKEVT 109
CNG-3 ----------------------------------------------------------------------- 0


CNGA1 ----------------RDSFRSNTHGSGQPSQR-----EQYLPGAIALFNVNNSSNKEQEP 99
tax-4 ----------------TSGLAPTTNGIGSPPPT-----ASAATKFSILTKFLRRKNQVHTT 54
CNG-1 ----------------TSSARRETFG--GIV------------FRIYALRGWMQRAQK---- 35
CNG-2 FQERHHKLDLNKSRSTSKNRRKSAQNGGAEDPGQKSESDVVKTAMMLRTWISAMEHDERE 169
CNG-3 ------------------------------------------------------MSTHSAQKLP 10
CHE-6 -------------------------------------------------------MSSAEVSDSE 10

CNGA1 KEKKKKKKEKKSKPDDKNENKKDPEKKKKKEKDKDKKKKEE-----KGK----------- 144
tax-4 TA-QQNEFMQKYMPNG-NSNAVQPAATGGQPASSDGGSAIEVPPPKESY-------------A 102
CNG-1 --------RLHLFRKND-----VDIETN-------------FREALREEQE------------D 61
CNG-2 SE------------P------DRDATTTNAEITAADDRSILPSPPIFQQRQTGFTQIKDIL 212
CNG-3 NLHERKRRMSK-KPDD------------------------ 43
CHE-6 SL------------L------DIDISQY--EV-VEKYLEDEELESFDIYPSGWTICKDIL 49

## S1

CNGA1 KKEEEKKEVVVIDPSGNTYYNWLFCITLPVMYNWTMIIARACFDELQS--------------- 192
tax-4 VRIRKYLANYTQDPSTDNFYYWTCVVTVAYIYNLLFVIARQVFNDLIGPSSQSLCRFYNG 162
CNG-1 DEKSSGFMSFTVDEHSNTFYLWTGLVSLVALHPLVFT-ALSVFQDVH-------------- 107
CNG-2 TDKCRKLYYFYVTENSTFFYYWTAIISIGILYNMFAM-VIFIFDDVHF-------------- 259
CNG-3 AANPRIEYDFSVDESGYIYWIWTFFVVCGCLYNIIVL-SVLAFENIRY-------------- 90
CHE-6 HDSFHRLLYFYVPFNSKYYYIWSFFVSFGVMYNMFAM-VIFIFADIKT-------------- 96

## S2

CNGA1

tax-4 TLNSTTQVECTYNMLTNMKEMPTYSQYPDLGWSKYWHFRMLWVFFDLLMDCVYLIDTELN 222
CNG-1 ------------------------------------PFLRNTGPLNLLLDLVNILDLIAH 131
CNG-2 ------------------------------------GYFNQWLYVNLFFDFCFLLDCLVG 283
CNG-3 -------------------------------------AYIEKILPINIAFDTVFLFDIILR 114
CHE-6 ---------------------------------------QYFWNWIFLNVMFDMVFIVDIFVQ 120
S3
CNGA1
TRTGYLEQGLLVKEERKLIDKYK-STFQFKLDVLSVIPTDLLYIKFGWNY
E 267
tax-4 YRMGYMDQGLVVREAEKVTKAYW-QSKQYRIDGISLIPLDYI---LGWPIPYINWRGLPI 278
CNG-1 TRIEYVENGVAVKNLSKLMHHRL-KSTFFILDVIAVVPAESLHI-FGDSE---------FW 181
CNG-2 SRMTFVSEGNEVSQTDKMFKNYR-QSRRCKLDLLCLAPADFYLF-IDTKA--------SL 333
CNG-3 SMLSFYEDGVLITSFSETWRHYV-HSFYFAIDLLAIFPFDYLLI-RKTSA--------AF 164
CHE-6 SRLTYLHEGEEVKNTKKLRKNYFFQKLKVANDIFCLLPLDFLLF-FDDSM---------SL 171

CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE-6

CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE-6

CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE-6


## Pore

-------------------GRLARKYVYSLYWSTLTLTTIGETPPPVRDSEYFFVVADFL 381 ----------------DITDTLLRRYVYSFYWSTLILTTIGEVPSPVRNIEYAFVTLDLM 397 VTDYMTTWEN--KTTTIKFANFFRQYALSFYWSALTLVTLGEQPSPCTTFQNAFEIGDTL 349 MEDMYKFWDK--KFNILQIGNFSREYSMTIYWSSLTITKCGQQPWPSKSSQNSLEIFDTL 498 LVELYHFWRTDNRTHIYNFSQFTKEYTLSMYWSAMTMTTLGEQPAPNTSLQNAFEIVNTL 334 KKEMAEYWKD--KHYRWTTGNFSREYSMSIYWSALTITTCGQQPWPSTSSQNSLEVFDTL 337

## S6

Selectivity filter
CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE- 6
IGVLIFATIVGNIGSMISNMNAARAEFQARIDAIKQYMHFRNVSKDMEKRVIKWFDYLWT 441 CGVLIFATIVGNVGSMISNMSAARTEFQNKMDGIKQYMELRKVSKQLEIRVIKWFDYLWT 457 LGLVIFAVIVGDVGNMVVAINLRKSEFENVLDGCKRFMVYRKVPNLLRKKAVEYFAYVWA 409 IGVLVFATIIGGVGSVVTQMSQNVNDFREMMDGIKFYMKYRGVQSAIQDRVLNCFLYLNS 558 AGLLLFAVIMGSIGDLVANANAVKTFWQTLMDGLKQYMTYRNLNERLQTKVLKYCEYEMA 394 IGVLVFATIIGSVGSVVTQMSQTVNEFRQMMDGIKFYMKYREVNSAIQERALSCFMYLMA 397


CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE-6
NKK-TVDEREVLKYLPDKLRAEIAINVHLDTLKKVRIFADCEAGLLVELVLKLQPQVYSP 500 NKQ-SLSDQQVLKVLPDKLQAEIAMQVHFETLRKVRIFQDCEAGLLAELVLKLQLQVFSP 516 HGGAQVDEEEIAEFLPPRLFGEIAVEIHMDTLKKVKLFESCDPRLLYELILKLQLRVYSP 469 HNQ-LYDEEEILSLLPPFFQARIAANLHQDTLSKVSLFYKCDQRLLQEVVMLVKQQVYSP 617 EET-IMKEHEVRDELPAKLYGHVTTSIIGASLVRSPLFRASERSFLNDISQLLEPHYFCP 453 HNQ-LDDEEGILSLLPPRLQANIAANLHMETLQNIQIFALCESRFMHEVVLLVKQQVFSP 456


## CNBD

CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE-6

CNGA1
tax-4
CNG-1
CNG-2
CNG-3
CHE-6

GDYICKKGDIGREMYIIKEGKLAVVADDGITQFVVLSDGSYFGEISILNIKGSKAGNRRT 560 GDFICKKGDIGREMYIVKRGRLQVVDDDGKKVFVTLQEGSVFGELSILNIAGSKNGNRRT 576 MDYICKKGDVGTEMYIVKEGFVEVVSEDGQTIFVTLPAGFVFGELSILNIPGNKNKNLRT 529 NDYLCRKNEKAKEMFIVKKGLLAVIDDDTGVELDSLKEGHTFGELSIVQVKGNILGDRRS 677 GDVVIEKGQLCSSMFIIVCGQMVEITEDNEI---DHFEGEILGDVNLIWFNNHLNHNRHQ 510 NDYLCRKNEKAKELFIVKKGKLRVIDDDTGEEMGELTEGATFGELSIVYVKGNLLGTRRC 516

* : . . : . : : * : * : : : *

ANIKSIGYSDLFCLSKDDLMEALTEYPDAKGMLE-EKGKQILMKDGLLDINIANAGSDP- 618 ANVRSVGYTDLFVLSKTDLWNALREYPDARKLLL-AKGREILKKDNLLDENAPEE---Q- 631 ASVRSKGYSDLYVLDKEDLWEALHEYPQAKDSLI-QKGIQILEKDKMIDPNMVDDEDESF 588 VSLRSVGYSDVYVLHQDDVTRLLQEYPEERVRLM-ENARRMLHSRGLLETNELGEMCETD 736 HNVISSAFSQIHMLSRDDFFKVLSSYDPKLKRRLCDVAFYLQRQRGELDDKKR---CLSE 567 CSLQSVGFSDIYVLYRDDVSRLLQEFPQEYKTIV-MNARNLLHSRGLLETTELGEMCDPS 575 .: * .: : : . * : * . * .: . : .

| CNGA1 | -K----------DLEEKVTRMESSVDLLQTRFARILAEYESMQQKLKQRLTKVEKFLKP 666 |
| :---: | :---: |
| tax-4 | -K-----------TVEEIAEHLNNAVKVLQTRMARLIVEHSSTEGKLMKRIEMLEKHLSR 679 |
| CNG-1 | NG-----------PIEDYMEHLEHEILKITKMIDQAEDKIHKSNQKMKTRLFGMEMDLVH 637 |
| CNG-2 | DGL-DDEAMLEFLSVDEQLNRLENIIDSIDTDLANMITSFSYNSVAYKKRVTALENIFNS 795 |
| CNG-3 | N-----------EDIESNLKRLAIDTLELHDKMTEMEEEFWDFSATAKRKLFESEMVVCD 616 |
| CHE-6 |  |
| CNGA1 | -LIDTE--------FSAIEGSGTESGPTDS------------TQD---------------- 690 |
| tax-4 | -YKALA------RRQKTMHGVSIDGGDISTDGVDERVRPPRLRQTKTIDLPTGTESESLL 732 |
| CNG-1 | EIKELLKENSWKRIARFADGVTVL--------------------------------------661 |
| CNG-2 | NKKRIR--------GDLYNGILKTD-------YDDRMMF-------------------------819 |
| CNG-3 | LLNNSQ------RRSR------------------------------------------------1626 |
| CHE-6 | NREQIR--------SDFKNGLYIDF-------IDY-----------------------------6 655 |
| CNGA1 | - |
| tax-4 | K |
| CNG-1 | - |
| CNG-2 | - |
| CNG-3 | - |
| CHE-6 | - |

Figure 90: Sequence alignment of the cation channel CNGA1 and the C. elegans ion channels TAX-4, CNG-1, CNG-2, CNG-3 and CHE-6. The transmembrane helices S1-S6, the pore helix (pore), and the intracellular cyclic nucleotide-binding domain (CNBD) are indicated via a black bar above the sequence (Komatsu et al., 1996). The selectivity filter motif TIGE is highlighted in red (Toplak et al., 2022). Conserved residues within this motif are depicted in yellow. In general, conserved residues are represented as asterisks (*) and semiconserved residues as semicolons (:) and dots (.). Sequences were aligned using Clustal Omega (Goujon et al., 2010; Sievers et al., 2011). Cyclic nucleotide-gated channel alpha 1 (CNGA1) from Bos taurus (UniProtKB ID Q00194) was used for alignment.
6.2. Investigation of cAMP evoked muscle relaxation in channel loss of function background


Figure 91: Influence of light application on channel loss of function mutant backgrounds. A) Mean normalized swimming cycles $\pm$ SEM of cng-1 (jh111), cng-2(tm4267), cng-3(jh113), che6(e1126), egl-2(rg4), unc-103(n1213), wild type animals, and of wild type animals expressing bPAC in body wall muscle cells. Swimming cycles were calculated 60 s before, 60 s during, and 60 s after light exposure ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and normalized to the mean swimming frequencies 30 s before the light stimulus. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences to wild type animals were calculated using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq$ 0.001 ).

### 6.3. The cardiac action potential and its fundamental membrane currents



Figure 92: The cardiac action potential and its fundamental membrane currents. The action potential is divided into 5 phases: Resting phase (4), depolarization (0), early repolarization (1), plateau phase (2), and final repolarization (3). Illustrated are the responsible membrane currents of each phase. The duration of the cardiac action potential is approximately 200 ms . NCX, sodiumcalcium exchanger; ADP, Action potential duration. Image adapted from (Grant, 2009).
6.4. Characterization of membrane-anchored bacterial photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in body wall muscle cells

Aiming on the implementation of a membrane-anchored optogenetic tool for cAMP generation in C. elegans, the bacterial soluble photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases bPAC and biPAC were N-terminally fused with a $2 x$ Lyn11, endoplasmic reticulum export signal and YFP sequence. The Lyn sequence comprises the 11 N -terminal amino acids (aa) of Lyn kinase (MGCIKSKGKDS, Lyn11), which includes myristoylation and palmitoylation sites for targeting soluble proteins to the plasma membrane, and the sequence has been used for targeting proteins to the plasma membrane in mammalian cells and Xenopus oocytes (Inoue et al., 2005; Tsvetanova et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2021). The photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases were
expressed with an N-terminal YFP to reduce cAMP production under dark conditions. To further decrease the dark activity, bPAC was modified by the mutation F198Y. Recently, the construct 2xLyn-Venus-bPAC(F198Y), also termed as PACmn (plasma membrane-anchored PAC), was characterized in Xenopus oocytes and hippocampal neurons, whereas no detectable dark activity was observed, and whose activity increased $>4000$-fold in light. However, the measured light turnover was about 50fold lower compared to Venus-bPAC(wt) (Yang et al., 2021). biPAC is a new photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase and was used because it showed lower dark activity compared to bPAC (S. Gao, University of Würzburg, personal communication). For simplicity, the $2 x L y n 11$ - endoplasmic reticulum export signal sequence is abbreviated as lipid-anchor (la). The plasmids la-YFP-bPAC, la-YFPbPAC[F198Y] and la-YFP-biPAC were a gift from G. Nagel (University of Würzburg). To characterize the membrane-anchored photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases after membrane-targeting and optogenetic cAMP production in C. elegans, the coding sequences were subcloned into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter to achieve their expression in body wall muscle cells. For investigation of the subcellular localization, $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{ll}$ la-YFP-bPAC were injected into the genetic background lite1(ce314) and analysed via fluorescence microscopy. Here, la-YFP-bPAC depicted a clustered appearance along the muscle membrane (Figure 93 A ). To assess the membrane-anchored photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases after optogenetic cAMP production, $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid DNA of la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] or la-YFP-biPAC were injected into the strain ZX2393 (pmyo-3::SthK::SL2::GFP; pmyo-2::mCherry) and characterized via swimming behaviour analysis. Here, co-expression of SthK and either la-YFP-biPAC or la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] showed reduced basal swimming frequencies compared to animals, only expressing SthK (Figure 93 B). For both two component optogenetic systems, no obvious light evoked reduction of the swimming rates was detected. To further evaluate la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] after optogenetic cAMP generation, $15 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ of la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] were injected into the strain ZX1741 (pmyo-3::tax-2::GFP, pmyo-3::tax-4::GFP, pmyo-2::mCherry) and body length measurements were performed. In this context, no light triggered reduction of the body length of animals, co-expressing TAX-2/-4 and la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] was detected (Figure 93 D, E). In conclusion, the membrane-anchored photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases did not show detectable light-dependent cAMP production, which
could be due to membrane targeting failure or a low amount of light-induced cAMP production.


Figure 93: Characterization of membrane-anchored bacterial photoactivatable adenylyl cyclases in C. elegans muscle cells. A) Fluorescence (right) and DIC bright field image (left) of an animal, expressing la-YFP-bPAC in body wall muscle cells using the myo-3 promoter. B) Swimming behaviour analysis of animals, expressing only SthK, or co-expressing SthK and either la-YFPbiPAC or la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314), before and during 30 s light application ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}, 470 ; 1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}, 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). C) Colour code for the analysed strains in D and E. D) Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of animals, only expressing la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y], or co-expressing TAX-2/-4 and either la-YFP-bPAC[F198Y] or bPAC. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination $\left(0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470 \mathrm{~nm}\right)$. E) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time period during light ( $5-25$ s). In $B$ and $E$, the interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (0), and whiskers ( 1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using B) two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction or E) one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

### 6.5. Characterization of SthK and mPAC in cholinergic neurons

Since animals co-expressing SthK and bPAC in cholinergic neurons showed a strong reduction of the basal swimming frequency due to a pre-activation of SthK by bPAC's dark activity, an attempt to improve the system was to co-express SthK with the photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase from the cyanobacterium Microcoleus chthonoplastes (mPAC). To this end, two strains were generated that differ in the expression level of mPAC ( 3 and $30 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ ). To assess the two component optogenetic system after a possible pre-activation of SthK and its potential to hyperpolarize $C$. elegans cholinergic neurons, swimming behaviour analysis were executed. Here, no reduction of the basal swimming rate was measured for the strain expressing a low level of mPAC. However, increasing the expression level of mPAC caused a decrease of the basal swimming rate of the animals. No light evoked reduction of the swimming frequency was observed for animals, expressing SthK and mPAC, independently of the expression level of mPAC (Figure 94). In conclusion, the two component optogenetic system consisting of SthK and mPAC depicted no detectable light dependent hyperpolarization of $C$. elegans cholinergic neurons.


Figure 94: Application of mPAC and SthK in cholinergic neurons. A, B) Swimming behaviour of animals, co-expressing SthK and mPAC in cholinergic neurons, and the genetic background lite1(ce314), before and during 30 s light application ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}, 470 ; 1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}, 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Transgenic strains were generated using different amounts of TPAC plasmid DNA (indicated by $n g / \mu l)$. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements ( 0 ), and whiskers ( 1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ).

### 6.6. Investigation of the chimeric protein SthK-YFP-bPAC in body wall muscle cells

Another attempt to improve the SthK; bPAC system was to express both proteins as chimeric protein (SthK-YFP-bPAC). In this context, the dark activity of bPAC should be reduced due to steric hindrance. Further, SthK was modified by the mutation T378V and N-terminally shortened by 12 aa to 428 aa to reduce the affinity of the channel for cAMP (Georg Nagel, University of Würzburg, personal communication). All modifications aimed on generating an optogenetic tool for the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$-currents that is not activated under dark conditions. The modifications and the generation of the chimeric construct were performed by Shiquiang Gao and Georg Nagel (University of Würzburg), who provided the plasmid. To investigate the expression and subcellular localization of the chimeric protein in C. elegans muscle cells, N. Ho injected $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid DNA into the genetic background lite-1(ce314) and analysed the expression via fluorescence microscopy. Here, the chimeric protein depicted a clustered appearance along the muscle membrane (Figure 95 B). To characterize the ability of the chimeric protein to hyperpolarize the muscle cells, I have injected $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ plasmid DNA into lite1(ce314) animals and assessed by body length measurements its functionality. Illumination of animals, expressing SthK-YFP-bPAC depicted an increase of the body length of about 1 \% during light application, that was not significantly different compared to the genetic background lite-1 (ce314) (Figure 95 C-D). To further investigate the chimeric protein, I have executed swimming behaviour analysis. Animals, expressing SthK-YFP-bPAC showed comparable basal swimming rates as the genetic background lite-1(ce314). However, no light evoked reduction of the swimming frequency was measured (Figure 95 G). For that reason, N. Ho performed body length measurements and swimming behaviour analysis of the strain with an increased expression level of the chimeric protein. The body length measurement was further modified by extending the duration of light application to increase the amount of optogenetic cAMP production. Illumination of animals, expressing SthK-YFP-bPAC showed a body length increase of about $1 \%$ during and after light (Figure $95 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$ ). However, no light dependent reduction of the swimming frequency was detected for animals, expressing the chimeric protein (Figure 95 H ). In sum, the system was able to slightly hyperpolarize C. elegans muscle cells, at high expression levels.


Figure 95: Application of SthK-YFP-bPAC in C. elegans muscle cells. A) Colour code for the analysed strains in B-H). B) Fluorescence (bottom) and DIC bright field image (top) of an animal, expressing SthK-YFP-bPAC in body wall muscle cells using the myo-3 promoter. Scale bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C, E) Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of animals, only expressing SthK, or co-expressing SthK and SthK-YFP-bPAC in body wall muscle cells. Transgenic SthK-YFP-bPAC strains were generated using C) $1 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$ and E) $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. Blue bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 470$ $n m) . D, F)$ Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time periods $D$ ) during light $(6-7 s)$, and F) before $(0-5 s)$, during ( $5-20 \mathrm{~s}$ ) and after light $(20-30 \mathrm{~s})$. G, H) Swimming behaviour analysis of animals, only expressing SthK or SthK-YFP-bPAC, co-expressing SthK and bPAC, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314), before and during 30 s light application ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}, 470 ; 1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}, 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). In $D, F$, G, and $H$, the interquartile range (IQR), median ( ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5*IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using $D, F$ ) one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction or G, H) two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05$, ${ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Fluorescence and DIC brightfield images, body length measurements in $E$ and the quantification in F, and swimming behaviour analysis in $H$ were performed and analysed by Napyin Ho.

### 6.7. Characterization of PaaC+7 and SthK in body wall muscle cells

To implement a two component optogenetic system for the manipulation of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$currents, another approach was to combine the synthetic phytochrome-linked cyclase PaaC+7 with SthK. We reasoned that application of blue light sensing proteins is accompanied by several problems, i.e. a low penetration depth and high energy of blue light that could damage tissue upon extended light exposure. Thus, these aspects are representing challenges for their application in higher animals, thus a red-light activated system may be more tolerable and applicable. To achieve expression of PaaC+7 in body wall muscle cells, N. Ho subcloned the coding sequence into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter and analysed the expression of PaaC+7 via fluorescence microscopy. Here, PaaC+7 and the mCherry fluorescence reporter are expressed from bicistronic mRNAs, and fluorescence was observed at the outer wall of the animal (Figure 96 B). Next, N. Ho. generated strains co-expressing SthK and PaaC+7 in body wall muscle cells via microinjection, and he analysed the functionality of the system by performing swimming behaviour analysis and body length measurements. In regard of swimming behaviour analysis, animals expressing PaaC+7 showed comparable basal swimming rates as the genetic background lite-1(ce314), which was not influenced by additional biliverdin supplementation. However, animals co-expressing SthK and PaaC+7 depicted reduced basal swimming frequencies compared to animals expressing PaaC+7 only and the genetic background. Illumination of animals, co-expressing SthK and Paac+7 exhibited a slightly reduced swimming frequency, though no significant difference was determined (Figure 96 C). To further investigate the ability of the system to hyperpolarize $C$. elegans muscle cells, N. Ho performed body length measurements. However, no light evoked increase of the body length was observed for animals, coexpressing SthK and PaaC+7 (Figure 96 F, G). In conclusion, the two component optogenetic system SthK and PaaC+7 depicted no obvious light dependent muscle hyperpolarization.

PaaC+7 and SthK in body wall muscle

| - PaaC+7+Biliverdin | PaaC +7 ; ShK + Biliverdin |
| :--- | :--- |
| PaaC+7-Biliverdin | PaaC +7 ; SthK-Biliverdin |



Figure 96: Application of the synthetic phytochrome-linked cyclase PaaC+7 and SthK in C. elegans muscle cells. A) Colour code for the analysed strains in B-G). B) Fluorescence (right) and DIC bright field image (left) of an animal, expressing PaaC+7::SI2::mCherry in body wall muscle cells using the myo-3 promoter. Scale bar $=20 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. C) Swimming behaviour analysis of animals, expressing only SthK or PaaC+7, or co-expressing SthK and PaaC+7 in body wall muscle cells, and the genetic background lite-1(ce314) before and during 30 s light application ( $0.16-0.3 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, 675 nm ). Animals were raised with (+) or without (-) biliverdin. D-F) Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of D) the genetic background lite-1(ce314), and animals E) only expressing PaaC+7 or F) coexpressing SthK and PaaC+7. Red bars indicate the period of illumination ( $0.16-0.3 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$; 675 $n \mathrm{~m})$. Animals were supplemented with (+) or without (-) 1 mM biliverdin. G) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths during light application (5-20 s). In C and G, the interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values (•), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 * IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. Statistically significant differences were determined using two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni correction ( ${ }^{*} p \leq 0.05,{ }^{* *} p \leq 0.01$ and ${ }^{* * *} p \leq 0.001$ ). Fluorescence and DIC
brightfield images, body length measurements and swimming behaviour analysis were performed and analysed by Napyin Ho.

### 6.8. Synthetic retinal analogue support BeCyclOp function

To change the functional and spectral properties of BeCyclOp and to generate an optogenetic tool that could be activated in the red spectral range, the BeCyclOp apoprotein was combined with synthetic retinal analogues as chromophore (Figure 97). In this context, light activation of rhodopsins is based on the absorption spectrum of their attached chromophore, which depends on the molecular environment inside the protein. For these experiments, synthetic retinal analogues used in (AzimiHashemi et al., 2014) were applied.
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Figure 97: Chemical structures of the synthetic retinal analogues applied for spectral tuning of BeCycIOp. Image adapted from (AzimiHashemi et al., 2014).

To investigate the retinal analogues after their ability to support BeCyclOp function, body length measurements with green light ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) and the established BeCyclOp; TAX-2/-4 expressing strain (ZX1742; Gao et al., 2015) were conducted. Here, light evoked body length reductions were observed for animals,
raised with the analogues II, V, VII, VIII and IX. In contrast to this, no light dependent body length decrease was detected for the analogues IV and VI (Figure 98). In sum, the synthetic retinal analogues II, V, VII, VIII and IX support BeCycIOp function.


Figure 98: Investigation of BeCycIOp functionality using synthetic retinal analogues. Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing BeCyc/Op and TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells, supplemented with A) ATR, B) analogue II, C) analogue (V, D) analogue V, E) analogue VII, F) analogue VII, G) analogue VIII, and H) analogue IX. Animals were raised on $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ analogue, respectively. Green bar indicates the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 535 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). I) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time period (7-10 s). The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. $N$ (independent measurements per Graph) $=1$.

### 6.9. Spectral tuning of BeCyclOp using synthetic retinal analogue

Aiming on the spectral tuning of BeCyclOp, body length measurements of BeCyclOp TAX-2/-4 expressing animals at different wavelengths (434, 470, 535, 580 and 615 nm ) were performed. For this experiment, only the synthetic retinal analogues II, V, VII, VIII and IX were utilized, that support BeCycIOp functionality. As controls, body length measurements of animals, cultivated with and without ATR were executed. For ATR, illumination of animals co-expressing BeCyclOp and TAX-2/-4 evoked similar
body length reductions of about 8-9 \%, independently of the applied wavelength (Figure 99 B, C). However, the spectrum did not resemble the known absorption spectrum of BeCyclOp, which could be due to too high applied light intensity (Gao et al., 2015; Scheib et al., 2015). For analogue II, the maximal reduction of the body length was measured at $535 \mathrm{~nm}(\sim 3 \%)$, whereas comparable reductions of about 2 $\%$ were detected at 470, 580 and 615 nm . No light dependent effect was observed at 434 nm (Figure 99 D, E). In case of analogue V, strong light triggered body reductions were determined at $434 \mathrm{~nm}(\sim 5 \%)$ and $470 \mathrm{~nm}(\sim 10 \%)$. No obvious body length changes were detected for the wavelengths 535,580 and 615 nm (Figure 99 F, G). For analogue VII, maximal light induced body length decrease was measured at $470 \mathrm{~nm}(\sim 4 \%)$, and slight reductions at 434 and 535 nm (1-2\%), and no obvious effect at 580 and 615 nm (Figure $99 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{I}$ ). For analogue VIII, illumination of the animals reduced the body length maximal at 434 nm ( $\sim 8$ \%), which decayed to about 4 \% with increasing wavelength (Figure $99 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{~K}$ ). In case of analogue IX, minor body length changes were observed at the wavelengths 434 and $615 \mathrm{~nm}(\sim 1 \%)$, whereas the strongest body length reduction was measured at $470 \mathrm{~nm}(\sim 7 \%)$ (Figure 99 L , $\mathrm{M})$.


Figure 99: Spectral tuning of BeCyclOp using synthetic retinal analogues. A) Colour code for the applied wavelengths ( $434,470,535,580$ and 615 nm ) in $B-$ M. Body length measurements $\pm$ SEM of animals, co-expressing BeCyclOp and TAX-2/-4 in body wall muscle cells, supplemented with B) ATR, D) analogue (II, F) analogue $V, H$ ) analogue VII, J) analogue VIII, and $L$ ) analogue $(X$. Animals were raised on $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ analogue, respectively. Gray bars indicate the period of illumination ( $0.9 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} ; 434,470,535,580$ and 615 nm ). C, E, G, I, K, M) Quantification of the mean normalized body lengths for the indicated time period ( $7-10 \mathrm{~s}$ ). The interquartile range (IQR), median ( - ), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers ( 1.5 *IQR) are depicted. $n$ $=$ number of animals. N) Action spectra of photostimulated body length changes in animals, coexpressing BeCycIOp and TAX-2/-4, supplemented with the retinal analogue II, V, VII, VIII, IX and with ATR, and control animals cultivated without chromophore. Shown are the mean normalized body lengths for the time period (7-10 s). $N$ (independent measurements per Graph) $=1$.
6.10. Expression and subcellular localization of BeCyclOp fragments in C . elegans muscle cells

To investigate the subcellular targeting of the individual BeCyclOp domains in $C$. elegans muscle cells, the BeCycIOp fragments TM1-7, TM0-7, TM0-7-coiled-coil, TM0-7-coiled-coil-guanylyl cyclase, N -terminus-TM0-7-coiled-coil, N -terminus-TM0-7, coiled-coil-guanylyl cyclase, guanylyl cyclase, N-terminus-TM0 and TM6-7-coiled-coil-guanylyl cyclase were subcloned into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter (Figure 100). The fragments were C-terminally fused to the mCherry fluorescence reporter, and the strains were analysed using fluorescence microscopy. With exception of the guanylyl cyclase domain, all fragments depicted a clustered appearance along the muscle membrane, which differ in their extent of clustering (Figure 101).


Figure 100: Schematic overview of BeCycIOp fragments designed for this thesis. The following BeCyclOp fragments were created: TM1-7 (aa171-399), TM0-7 (aa139-399), TM0-7-CC (aa139-442), TM0-7-CC-GC (aa139-626), N-TM0-7-CC (aa1-442), N-TM0-7 (aa1-399), CC-GC (aa397-626), GC (aa442-626), N-TM0 (aa1-178) and TM6-7-CC-GC (aa230-626). Abbreviations: CC, Coiled-coil domain; GC, Guanylyl cyclase; N, N-Terminus; TM, transmembrane helix. Image adapted and modified from (Gao et al., 2015).


Figure 101: Expression and subcellular localization of BeCycIOp fragments in C. elegans body wall muscle cells. Fluorescence images of animals, expressing the BeCyclOp fragments A) TM1-7 (aa171-399), B) TM0-7 (aa139-399), C) TM0-7-CC (aa139-442), D) TM0-7-CC-GC (aa139626), E) N-TM0-7-CC (aa1-442), F) N-TM0-7 (aa1-399), G) CC-GC (aa397-626), H) GC (aa442626), I) N-TM0 (aa1-178) and TM6-7-CC-GC (aa230-626) in body wall muscle cells. BeCyclOp fragments were fused C-terminally to the mCherry fluorescence reporter. Scale bar $=50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. Abbreviations: aa, amino acid; TM, transmembrane helix; CC, Coiled-Coil domain; N, N-terminus; GC, guanylyl cyclase.

To examine the functionality of the individual BeCyclOp domains in body wall muscle cells, the fragments were subcloned into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter and the SL2 trans-splicing sequence to express the BeCyclOp fragments and the fluorescent reporter as separate proteins and thus to prevent an impact on the functionality of the domains. Strains expressing individual BeCyclOp fragments, coexpressing BeCyclOp fragments, or co-expressing BeCyclOp fragments and the TAX-2/-4 channel in body wall muscle cells are summarized in table 10.
6.11. Investigation of a functional impact of BeCyclOp N-termini on guanylyl cyclase
activity

Recently, Gao et al., 2015 showed that a truncated version of BeCyclOp, lacking the first 90 amino acids, exhibited a higher dark activity and a lower light-evoked cGMP generation. Thus, it was expected that the BeCyclOp N -terminus participates in tight regulation of guanylyl cyclase activity. To shed light on a possible impact of the BeCyclOp N-terminus on guanylyl cyclase function, and to characterize the guanylyl cyclase domain with respect to its basal activity, the BeCyclOp fragments aa1-146 and aa397-626 were subcloned into a vector containing the myo-3 promoter. Subsequently, strains were generated that only express the guanylyl cyclase domain, or co-express the guanylyl cyclase and the N -terminal domain, or co-express the TAX-2/-4 CNGC and either the guanylyl cyclase domain or the guanylyl cyclase and N -terminal domain. To analyse the guanylyl cyclase activity under basal conditions and a possible regulation of its activity due to an interaction with the N -terminus, swimming behaviour analysis were performed. Here, animals only expressing the guanylyl cyclase domain exhibited a decreased swimming rate compared to the genetic background lite-1(ce314), that is comparable to the swimming rate of animals, co-expressing the guanylyl cyclase domain and the N -terminus, and animals that co-express TAX-2/-4 and the guanylyl cyclase domain. Animals, co-expressing TAX-2/-4, the guanylyl cyclase and N -terminal domains, showed a tendency for a further reduced swimming rate (Figure 102).


Figure 102: Characterization of a functional impact of BeCycIOp N-termini on guanylyl cyclase activity. Basal swimming frequencies of animals, only expressing TAX-2/-4 or BeCyclOp(aa397-626), or co-expressing BeCyclOp(aa1-146) and BeCycIOp(aa397-626), or TAX-2/-4 and BeCyclOp(aa397-626), or TAX-2/-4, BeCycIOp(aa1-146) and BeCyclOp(aa397-626) in body wall muscle cells. The interquartile range (IQR), median (-), mean values ( $\bullet$ ), individual measurements (o), and whiskers (1.5 *IQR) are depicted. $n=$ number of animals. $N$ (independent measurements per Graph) = 1. Abbreviations: aa, amino acid.
6.12. Abbreviations
6.12.1. Units and prefixes

| ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | degree Celsius | $\mathbf{M}$ | molar |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{f}$ | femto | $\mathbf{m i n}$ | minute |
| $\mathbf{g}$ | gram/earth`s gravitational force & \(\mathbf{n}\) & nano \\ \(\mathbf{h}\) & hour & rpm & Revolutions per minute \\ \(\mathbf{J}\) & Joule & \(\mathbf{s}\) & second \\ \(\mathbf{L}\) & liter & \(\mathbf{S}\) & Siemens \\ \(\boldsymbol{\mu}\) & micro & \(\mathbf{U}\) & Unit \\ \(\mathbf{m}\) & milli/meter & \(\mathbf{V}\) & Volt \end{tabular} 6.12.2. Acronyms \begin{tabular}{llll}  ACR & anion channel rhodopsin & iChloC & improved ChloC \\ AA & amino acid & IQR & interquartile range \end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{\|c|c|c|c|} \hline APS & ammonium persulfate & Kr2 & Krokinobacter eikastus rhodopsin 2 \\ \hline Arch & archaerhodopsin-3 & la & lipid-anchor \\ \hline ArchT & archaerhodopsin from the Halorubrum strain TP009 & LB & Lysogeny broth \\ \hline ATP & adenosine triphosphate & LDAO & \(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}\)-Dimethyldodecylamine N oxide \\ \hline ATR & all-trans retinal & LOV & light-oxygen-voltage \\ \hline BeCyclop & Blastocladiella emersonii guanylyl cyclase rhodopsin & mPAC & Microcoleus chthonoplastes photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase \\ \hline BLUF & blue light-sensors that use flavine adenine dinucleotide & NEB & New England Biolabs \\ \hline BR & bacteriorhodopsin & NGM & Nematode growth medium \\ \hline BSA & Bovine serum albumin & NpHR & Natronomonas pharaonis halorhodopsin \\ \hline CaCycIOp & Catenaria anguillulae guanylyl cyclase opsin & NTP & nucleoside triphosphate \\ \hline \begin{tabular}{l} C. \\ elegans \end{tabular} & Caenorhabditis elegans & OG & Octylglucoside \\ \hline cAMP & \(3^{`}, 5 `\)-cyclic adenosine monophosphate & OTG & Octylthioglucoside \\ \hline cGMP & \(3^{\prime}, 5^{`}\)-cyclic guanosine monophosphate | PA-BoNT | photoactivatable botulinum neurotoxin |
| cNMP | cyclic nucleoside-3`,5`monophosphate | PACmn | plasma <br> membrane-anchored photoactivatable adenylyl cyclase |
| CAPS | calcium-activated protein for secretion | PAP | Peroxidase-Anti-Peroxidase |
| CatCh | ChR2-L132C | PAS | Per-Arnt-Sim |


| CBP | calmodulin binding peptide | PBC | phosphate-binding cassette |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFP | cyan fluorescent protein | PCR | polymerase chain reaction |
| CGC | Caenorhabditis | PDE | phosphodiesterase |
|  | Genetics Center |  |  |
| ChETA | ChR2-E123T | PEG | Polyethylen glycol |
| ChETAtc | ChR2-E123T/T159C | PKA | protein kinase A |
| ChR | channelrhodopsin | PKC | protein kinase C |
| ChR2 | channelrhodopsin-2 | PKG | protein kinase G |
| Chronos | channelrhodopsin from Stigeoclonium helveticum | PMSF | Phenylmethane sulfonyl fluoride |
| CMC | critical micelle concentration | POPDC | popeye domain-containing |
| CNBD | cyclic nucleotide-binding domain | PsChR | channelrhodopsin from <br> Platymonias subcordiformus |
| CNG | cyclic nucleotide-gated | ProtA | Staphylococcus aureus protein A |
| CNGC | cyclic nucleotide-gated channel | PVDF | polyvinylidene fluoride |
| CyclOp | guanylyl cyclase rhodopsins | RFP | red fluorescent protein |
| DChR1 | channelrhodopsin from Dunaliella salina | RhGC | rhodopsin guanylyl cyclases |
| DDM | Dodecylmaltoside | RhoPDE | rhodopsin phosphodiesterases |
| DIC | Differential interference contrast | RSB | Retinal Schiff base |
| DM | Decylmaltoside | RT | room temperature |
| DMAR | Dimethylamino-retinal | SDS | Sodium dodecyl sulfate |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide | SDS- <br> PAGE | Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis |
| dNTP | deoxyribonucleoside triphosphate | SEWLB | Single egg/worm lysis buffer |


| DTT | Dithiotreitol | SFO | step-function opsins |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. coli | Escherichia coli | Soc | Super Optimal broth with Catabolite repression |
| Eag | ether-à-go-go | SthK | Spirochaeta thermophila cAMP-gated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$channel |
| EDTA | Ethylene-diamine-tetraacetic acid | TAE | TRIS acetate EDTA |
| EGTA | ethylene glycol-bis( $\beta$ aminoethyl ether)$\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-tetraacetic acid | TAME | Na-p-Tosyl-L-arginine methyl ester hydrochloride |
| EPAC | exchange protein directly activated by cAMP | TAP | Tandem affinity purification |
| FAD | flavin adenine dinucleotide | TBS | Tris-buffered saline |
| FMN | flavin mononucleotide | TBS-T | Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 (TBS-T) |
| GABA | Y -amino butyric acid | TCA | Trichloroacetic acid |
| GEF | guanine nucleotide exchange factor | TEMED | Tetramethylethylenediamine |
| GFP | green fluorescent protein | TEV | Tobacco etch virus |
| GirK | G-protein-gated inwardly rectifying potassium channel | TM | transmembrane |
| GPCR | G-protein-coupled receptors | TRIS | Tris-(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane |
| HCN | hyperpolarizationactivated cyclic nucleotide-gated | TsChR | ChR from Tetraselmis striata |
| hERG | human ether-a-go-gorelated gene | Tween20 | Polyethylene glycol sorbitan monolaurate |


| HKR | histidine kinase | vAChT | vesicular acetylcholine <br> rhodopsins |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IBMX | 3-isobutyl-1- <br> methylxanthine |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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