ISRAEL JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS **257** (2023), 103–170 DOI: 10.1007/s11856-023-2536-2

CATEGORIES OF ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS II: ABELIAN VARIETIES OVER \mathbb{F}_q AND MORITA EQUIVALENCE

 $_{\rm BY}$

Tommaso Giorgio Centeleghe

Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing, Universität Heidelberg Im Neuenheimer Feld 368, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany e-mail: tommaso.centeleghe@gmail.com

AND

JAKOB STIX*

Institut für Mathematik, Goethe–Universität Frankfurt Robert-Mayer-Straße 6–8, 60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany e-mail: stix@math.uni-frankfurt.de

Dedicated to Moshe Jarden on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT

The category of abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q is shown to be anti-equivalent to a category of \mathbb{Z} -lattices that are modules for a non-commutative pro-ring of endomorphisms of a suitably chosen direct system of abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q . On full subcategories cut out by a finite set w of conjugacy classes of Weil q-numbers, the anti-equivalence is represented by what we call w-locally projective abelian varieties.

* The second author acknowledges support by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 326 "Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures", project number 444845124. Received December 21, 2021 and in revised form June 10, 2022

Contents

1.	Introduction	104
2.	Rational theory and choice of a lattice	111
3.	Integral local theory and locally projective abelian varieties	120
4.	Endomorphism rings of balanced abelian varieties	129
5.	Representable functors on AV_w	136
6.	The truncated anti-equivalence for finite Weil support $\$.	142
7.	Compatible truncated anti-equivalences $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	151
8.	The commutative case and optimal rank	157
9.	Abelian varieties isogenous to a power of an elliptic curve	163
Ref	erences	169

1. Introduction

1.1. THE SCENE. Let $q = p^r$ be a power of a prime number p, and let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements. In this paper we generalize [CS15] to the category $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q . Our main result says that there is a non-commutative pro-ring S_q and an anti-equivalence

(1.1)
$$T: \mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(\mathcal{S}_q)$$

between $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and the category of left S_q -modules that are free and of finite rank over \mathbb{Z} . The ring S_q arises in the construction of (1.1) and admits a description as a pro-ring

$$\mathcal{S}_q = \varprojlim_w S_w$$

for \mathbb{Z} -orders S_w of certain finite \mathbb{Q} -algebras. The construction of T involves several choices. As a consequence S_q is not unique, however, its center \mathcal{R}_q is unique and can be described explicitly in terms of the set of Weil q-numbers. Besides having its own interest, our result provides a non-commutative algebra setting into which potentially every question on $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ can be translated.

It will be clear to the reader that our work is permeated by Honda–Tate theory [Ho68, Ta68] and by Tate's result on the local structure of the Hom-groups [Ta66]. Since these milestones for the subject were placed in the 1960s, variants of the anti-equivalence (1.1) for several subcategories of $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ have been studied by many mathematicians, with reviving interest in recent years. We recall results on this classical topic in a similar spirit as ours, and apologize in advance for possible omissions. Waterhouse [Wa69] studied isomorphism classes and endomorphism rings of certain simple objects of $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. More recently, Yu [Yu12, Theorem 3.1] classified isomorphism classes of objects of $AV_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ whose characteristic polynomial of Frobenius is relatively prime to $x^2 - p$. Giraud [Gi68, §1] and Waterhouse [Wa69, Appendix] (see also [JKP+18, §4]) exploited a functor due to Serre and Tate in the converse direction as (1.1) to study elliptic curves. Deligne [De69] used the canonical lifting of Serre and Tate to give a complete description of the full subcategory of $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ consisting of ordinary objects. This construction was further developed by Howe [Ho95] to solve questions concerning polarizations, a topic also addressed in a similar fashion by Bergström, Karemaker and Marseglia [BKM23]. The canonical lifting technique was extended by Oswal and Shankar [OS20] and used to classify objects in isogeny classes of simple, almost ordinary abelian varieties. Classification of abelian varieties isogenous to powers of a given simple abelian variety (often an elliptic curve) has been the focus of attention in [Ka11], [Yu12], [JKP+18].

Our emphasis is on a uniform categorical description of all of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ in terms of modules.

1.2. FROBENIUS AND WEIL q-NUMBERS. Before giving more details on our method and stating a precise theorem we recall some notation and terminology. Two Weil q-numbers π and π' are **conjugate** if there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\pi')$$

sending π to π' . The set of conjugacy classes of Weil *q*-numbers is denoted by W_q . When no confusion is likely to arise, we may suppress the distinction between a Weil *q*-number and its conjugacy class. For any $\pi \in W_q$, we fix once and for all an \mathbb{F}_q -simple abelian variety B_{π} belonging to the isogeny class of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ defined by π according to Honda–Tate theory [Ho68, Ta68].

The Weil support w(A) of an object A of $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is the finite subset of W_q consisting of Weil numbers associated to the simple factors of A. This is to say that there is an \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny

$$A \longrightarrow \prod_{\pi \in w(A)} B_{\pi}^{n_{\pi}},$$

with positive multiplicities n_{π} . For a subset $w \subseteq W_q$, finite or infinite, we denote by AV_w the full subcategory of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ whose objects are those abelian varieties A such that $w(A) \subseteq w$.

For $w \subseteq W_q$ finite, the **minimal central order** R_w is the largest quotient through which the ring homomorphism

(1.2)
$$\mathbb{Z}[F,V]/(FV-q) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(w) := \prod_{\pi \in w} \mathbb{Q}(\pi),$$

sending F and V to the diagonal images of π and q/π respectively, factors. As w ranges through the finite subsets of W_q , the R_w form a pro-ring $\mathcal{R}_q = (R_w, r_{w,w'})$, where the transition maps $r_{w,w'} : R_{w'} \to R_w$ are the natural surjections, defined when $w \subseteq w'$. The category AV_w has a natural R_w -linear structure which varies compatibly as w increases. This datum forms what we refer to as the \mathcal{R}_q -linear structure on $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$.

1.3. THE MAIN RESULT. The strategy for constructing the anti-equivalence (1.1) is similar to that followed in [CS15]. We first construct, for any finite subset $w \subseteq W_q$, what we call a w-balanced abelian variety A_w ; see Definition 2.8. We then show that A_w represents an anti-equivalence

(1.3)
$$T_w \colon \operatorname{AV}_w \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(S_w), \quad T_w(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w),$$

where $S_w = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w)$ and $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}-\operatorname{tf}}(S_w)$ is the category of left S_w -modules that are free and of finite rank over \mathbb{Z} . The *w*-balanced object A_w and hence S_w is not unique. However the center of S_w is isomorphic to R_w under the map induced by the R_w -linear structure of AV_w .

The second step is to show that the objects A_w can be chosen compatibly as w increases. More precisely, we prove that there is a family of w-balanced abelian varieties A_w and an ind-object $\mathcal{A} = (A_w, \varphi_{w,w'})$ such that the corresponding ind-representable functor

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-,\mathcal{A}) = \varinjlim_w \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-,A_w)$$

interpolates the anti-equivalences (1.3) for each w. An important by-product of the construction of \mathcal{A} is that the endomorphism rings S_w form a projective system

$$\mathcal{S}_q = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}) = (S_w, s_{w,w'})$$

with $r_{w,w'}$ -linear and surjective transition maps $s_{w,w'}: S_{w'} \to S_w$, for $w \subseteq w'$. Denote by

$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}-\mathrm{tf}}(\mathcal{S}_q)$$

the category of S_q -modules for which the structural action factors through some S_w , and which as \mathbb{Z} -modules are free of finite rank. This category is \mathcal{R}_q linear and for each object there is a clear notion of support, parallel to that in AV_q. Our main result can be formulated as follows.

THEOREM 1.1: Let $q = p^r$ be a power of a prime number p. There exists an ind-abelian variety $\mathcal{A} = (A_w, \varphi_{w,w'})$ such that A_w is w-balanced for all finite $w \subseteq W_q$ and the transition maps are inclusions. With $\mathcal{S}_q = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A})$, the contravariant and \mathcal{R}_q -linear functor

$$T: \operatorname{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(\mathcal{S}_q),$$
$$T(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, \mathcal{A})$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories which preserves the support. Moreover, the \mathbb{Z} -rank of T(X) is equal to $4r \dim(X)$.

- Remark 1.2: (1) The main difference with [CS15] is that the varieties A_w can no longer be chosen to be multiplicity free in general (see Theorem 3.10), and so their endomorphism rings S_w are non-commutative, hence harder to describe explicitly; see Theorem 4.9. We consider the use of multiplicities as a major insight which is stimulated by and used here in the context of Morita equivalence. This explains how we decided the title of this note.
 - (2) The rank of the associated \mathbb{Z} -lattice T(X) exceeds the dimension of $\mathrm{H}^1(X)$ in any Weil cohomology by a factor of 2r. This cannot be avoided in general; see Proposition 8.9. However the ratio $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T(X))/2 \dim(X)$ can be lowered to r if r is even or by excluding real Weil q-numbers from the scope of the anti-equivalence; see Remark 7.2.
 - (3) The rational version of Theorem 1.1 follows easily from Honda–Tate theory. Here rational means − ⊗ Q for modules and working up to isogeny for abelian varieties. In fact, Theorem 1.1 can be seen as a categorification of an integral version of Honda–Tate theory.

1.4. GEOMETRY OF THE CATEGORY OF ABELIAN VARIETIES. Let w be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. Restricted to AV_w , our main result has a geometric interpretation on the 1-dimensional scheme $X_w = \operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$. The R_w -algebra S_w corresponds to a coherent sheaf of (in general) non-commutative \mathcal{O}_{X_w} algebras \mathscr{S}_w . Finitely generated modules for S_w are coherent sheaves on X_w endowed with an \mathcal{O}_{X_w} -linear \mathscr{S}_w -module structure. We call these coherent \mathscr{S}_w -modules. The anti-equivalence (1.3) describes abelian varieties from AV_w as coherent sheaves of \mathscr{S}_w -modules that are flat over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$.

With this point of view, it makes sense to talk about categorically local properties of an abelian variety X in AV_w at a prime $\ell \neq p$ (or at p) as properties of the ℓ -adic (or p-adic) completion of the module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$. With hindsight, one should be able to detect local structure of X in a more direct way. Indeed, Tate's theorems identify local structure of X in terms of the Galois module $T_{\ell}(X)$ (resp. the Dieudonné module $T_p(X)$). The proof of our main result compares the datum of the Tate module and the completion of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$ based on the special properties of w-balanced objects. We therefore adopt the terminology of local properties of abelian varieties if these are defined in terms of Tate modules only (see Definition 3.1 for a refinement which indicates that the present point of view is only semi-local).

The following definition is an important example of a local property. We use the notation \mathscr{D}_w to denote a certain quotient of the Dieudonné ring to be explained in (2.5) of Section 2.1.

Definition 1.3: Let $q = p^r$ be a power of a prime number p, and let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset of Weil q-numbers. An abelian variety $A \in \mathsf{AV}_w$ is w-locally **projective** if

- (i) for all $\ell \neq p$ the Tate module $T_{\ell}(A)$ is a projective $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module, and
- (ii) $T_p(A)$ is a projective \mathscr{D}_w -module.

The *w*-balanced abelian varieties, constructed in Theorem 2.7, are *w*-locally projective. The *w*-locally projective objects of AV_w can be characterized as follows.

THEOREM 1.4: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset, and let A in AV_w be an abelian variety with $S = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) A is w-locally projective with support w(A) = w.
- (b) The functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-, A)$: $\operatorname{AV}_w \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(S)$ is an anti-equivalence of categories.

This result will follow from Theorem 6.6. More on the classification of *w*-locally projective abelian varieties is explained by Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 8.5.

Remark 1.5: While w-locally projective is the decisive property for the representable anti-equivalence of AV_w with a suitable category of modules (see Theorem 6.6), we have formulated our main result Theorem 1.1 in the more restrictive setting using w-balanced abelian varieties. The w-balanced abelian varieties are easy-to-construct (see Theorem 2.7) examples of w-locally projective objects which fit well together to form an ind-object suitable to prove our main result, see Section 7.2. Furthermore, (reduced) w-balanced abelian varieties enjoy a minimality property among the larger set of w-locally projective ones, as long as w is large enough and Spec(R_w) is connected (see Theorem 3.10).

1.5. THE COMMUTATIVE CASE. Our method can be applied also to the study of any full subcategory of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of the form AV_W , where $W \subseteq W_q$ is any subset. The outcome is the existence of a pro-ring \mathcal{S}_W and an ind-representable anti-equivalence

(1.4)
$$T_W : \mathsf{AV}_W \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}-\mathrm{tf}}(\mathcal{S}_W)$$

between AV_W and the category $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}-tf}(\mathcal{S}_W)$ of modules over \mathcal{S}_W that are finite and torsion free over \mathbb{Z} . As in the case where $W = W_q$, the functor T_W and the pro-ring \mathcal{S}_W appearing in (1.4) are far from being unique. The center of any such \mathcal{S}_W is the quotient \mathcal{R}_W of \mathcal{R}_q defined by W.

We say that a subset $W \subseteq W_q$ is a **commutative** set of Weil *q*-numbers if there is a functor $T_W(-)$ as in (1.4) for which \mathcal{S}_W is commutative. There are two examples:

- the subset W_q^{ord} of ordinary Weil q-numbers, and
- for q = p, the set $W_p^{\text{com}} = W_p \setminus \{\pm \sqrt{p}\}$ given by the complement of the real conjugacy class of Weil *p*-numbers; see [CS15] and Section 7.2.

The existence of (1.4) for $W = W_q^{\text{ord}}$ was first shown by Deligne in [De69] (up to switching the variance of T_W), who exploited the existence of the Serre–Tate canonical lifting for ordinary objects. In §8.1, see Theorem 8.4, we reprove his result without involving lifts to characteristic zero. Instead, our argument relies on a calculation of an endomorphism ring. Then a Morita-equivalence trick deduces the claimed anti-equivalence of categories. These two cases W_q^{ord} and W_p^{com} are the only maximal commutative W, see Proposition 8.8, and in this case the anti-equivalence (1.4) reads as

(1.5) $T_W : \mathsf{AV}_W \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}-\mathrm{tf}}(\mathcal{R}_W)$

with the rank of $T_W(X)$ being equal to $2\dim(X)$.

1.6. OUTLINE. This article is structured as follows. In Section 2 we recall Honda–Tate theory which can be considered as the rational version of our antiequivalence of categories. This leads directly to the existence of w-balanced abelian varieties in Theorem 2.7, a choice of integral structure within the rational theory. The study of the local integral theory starts in Section 3 with the definition of the local Tate modules $T_{\lambda}(A)$ (resp. $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$) for maximal ideals λ (resp. \mathfrak{p}) of R_w . We moreover compute in Section 4 in Theorem 4.9 a description of the endomorphism ring of balanced abelian varieties and thus make the main theorem more concrete and potentially accessible to computations.

In Section 5 we provide a formal criterion (Morita equivalence, Theorem 5.4) for a representable functor (in our setting) to be an anti-equivalence. We subsequently apply the criterion in Section 6 to w-locally projective abelian varieties, in particular to w-balanced abelian varieties: these are injective cogenerators for the respective w-truncated category of abelian varieties AV_w . It should be emphasized that this step relies on Tate's theorems (2.4) and (2.7), and, for the prime-to-p part, on the minimal central order R_w being Gorenstein. We moreover determine the center of S_q in Section 6.3. Section 7 glues the truncated anti-equivalences by a limit argument and so completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Section 8 addresses special subcategories for which the multiplicity of the representing object can be lowered, and we show in Proposition 8.9 that multiplicities are unavoidable in general.

We conclude in Section 9 with an instructive example that shows why multiplicity for the injective cogenerator is important (there are two non-isomorphic simple objects) and that the cogenerator is not just a product of simple objects but involves a congruence (the product has a cyclic isogeny onto the injective cogenerator). Moreover, we classify isogeny classes of injective cogenerators for Weil *q*-numbers of supersingular elliptic curves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for numerous suggestions that helped improve the presentation of our results.

2. Rational theory and choice of a lattice

We recall Honda–Tate theory in order to fix notation and to prepare for Theorem 2.7.

2.1. MINIMAL CENTRAL ORDERS. For a Weil q-number π , denote by $P_{\pi}(x)$ its monic minimal polynomial over \mathbb{Q} , and by $h_{\pi}(F, V)$ the associated **symmetric polynomial**, which is uniquely determined by the equation

$$h_{\pi}(x, q/x) = x^{-\deg(P_{\pi})/2} \cdot P_{\pi}(x)$$

and being a linear combination of powers F^i and V^j with $i, j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $i, j \geq 0$. The symmetric polynomial $h_{\pi}(F, V)$ lies in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[F, V]$ if π is not rational, as then deg (P_{π}) is even. If π is rational, then r is even and $\pi = \varepsilon \sqrt{q}$ where $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ and \sqrt{q} is the positive rational square root of q. The symmetric polynomial then is $h_{\pi}(F, V) = F^{1/2} - \varepsilon V^{1/2}$ and lies in $\mathbb{Z}[F^{1/2}, V^{1/2}]$.

For a finite subset $w \subseteq W_q$, we define

$$P_w(x) = \prod_{\pi \in w} P_\pi(x)$$
 and $h_w(F,V) = \prod_{\pi \in w} h_\pi(F,V).$

We moreover define the degree of w as

$$\deg(w) = \deg(P_w) = [\mathbb{Q}(w) : \mathbb{Q}] = \sum_{\pi \in w} \deg(\pi).$$

The degree deg(w) is even unless $r = [\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_p]$ is even and w contains exactly one of the two rational Weil q-numbers \sqrt{q} and $-\sqrt{q}$.

Let us recall the structure of the minimal central order R_w from [CS15, §2.5]. If w either contains both or no rational Weil q-numbers, i.e., if deg(w) = 2d is even, then the natural map induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[F,V]/(FV-q,h_w(F,V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_w,$$

and shows that we have a \mathbb{Z} -basis of R_w given by the elements represented by (2.1) $F^d, \ldots, F, 1, V, \ldots, V^{d-1}$ or alternatively by $F^{d-1}, \ldots, F, 1, V, \ldots, V^d$.

If, on the other hand, we have w equal to $w_0 \cup \{\varepsilon \cdot p^m\}$, where r = 2m is even, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, and w_0 contains no rational Weil *q*-number, then the degree $\deg(w) = 2d_0 + 1$ is odd. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[F,V]/(FV-q, h_{w_0}(F,V)(F-\varepsilon p^m), h_{w_0}(F,V)(V-\varepsilon p^m)) \xrightarrow{\sim} R_w,$$

and a \mathbb{Z} -basis of R_w is given by the elements represented by

(2.2)
$$F^{d_0}, \dots, F, 1, V, \dots, V^{d_0}.$$

2.2. LOCALIZATIONS OF $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Let ℓ be a prime number different from p. As usual, for an object A of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ we denote the ℓ -adic Tate module of A by

 $T_{\ell}(A),$

and by $V_{\ell}(A)$ the \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} -vector space $T_{\ell}(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$. For any finite subset $w \subseteq W_q$, and any A in AV_w , the R_w -linear structure on AV_w induces on $T_{\ell}(A)$ the structure of an $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module. The ring $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is generated over \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} by the image of F, since $\ell \neq p$, and we have

(2.3)
$$R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell[x]/(P_w(x)).$$

Let $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a fixed algebraic closure of the prime field \mathbb{F}_p , and consider \mathbb{F}_q as a subfield of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. The Galois action on $T_{\ell}(A)$ can be recovered from the $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -structure, in that F acts on $T_{\ell}(A)$ as the arithmetic Frobenius

$$\operatorname{Frob}_q \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_q).$$

In particular, maps as Galois representations between ℓ -adic Tate modules for objects in AV_w are the same as $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module homomorphisms. The theorem of Tate [Ta66, Main Theorem] thus says that the functor $T_{\ell}(-)$ induces an isomorphism

(2.4)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A,B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(A), T_{\ell}(B)),$$

for all A, B in AV_w .

To study the localization of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ at p, consider the Dieudonné ring

$$\mathscr{D}_q = W(\mathbb{F}_q)\{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{V}\}/(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{V}-p).$$

Here $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the ring of Witt vectors of \mathbb{F}_q , the variable \mathscr{F} is σ -linear and \mathscr{V} is σ^{-1} -linear where σ is the arithmetic Frobenius of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover, \mathscr{F} and \mathscr{V} commute with each other. We introduce the notation

$$\mathscr{F}_i \coloneqq \begin{cases} \mathscr{F}^i, & i > 0, \\ 1, & i = 0, \\ \mathscr{V}^{-i}, & i < 0. \end{cases}$$

The ring \mathscr{D}_q is a free $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module with basis $(\mathscr{F}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$, and

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[F,V]/(FV-q) \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_q, \quad F \mapsto \mathscr{F}^r \text{ and } V \mapsto \mathscr{V}^r$$

is an isomorphism onto the center of \mathscr{D}_q . The contravariant Dieudonné module

 $T_p(A)$

of an object A of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is a left \mathscr{D}_q -module which is free of rank $2 \dim(A)$ as a $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module. The \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space $T_p(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ will be denoted by $V_p(A)$. For any finite subset $w \subseteq W_q$ and any A in AV_w , just like in the $\ell \neq p$ case, we deduce an $\mathbb{R}_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ -structure on $T_p(A)$ such that F acts on $T_p(A)$ as the central element $\mathscr{F}^r \in \mathscr{D}_q$ and V as \mathscr{V}^r .

Let $\deg(w) = 2d$ be even, i.e., w contains either both rational Weil q-numbers or none. Then \mathscr{D}_q acts on $T_p(A)$ via its quotient

(2.5)
$$\mathscr{D}_w \coloneqq W(\mathbb{F}_q)\{\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V}\}/(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{V} - p, \ h_w(\mathscr{F}^r, \mathscr{V}^r))\}$$

which is a free $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module with basis by the elements represented by

 $\mathscr{F}_{-dr}, \ldots, \mathscr{F}_{dr-1}$ or alternatively $\mathscr{F}_{-dr+1}, \ldots, \mathscr{F}_{dr}$.

Let now deg $(w) = 2d_0 + 1$ be odd, i.e., w is equal to $w_0 \cup \{\varepsilon p^m\}$, where r = 2m is even, $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, and w_0 contains no rational Weil q-number. Then \mathscr{D}_q acts on $T_p(A)$ via its quotient

$$\mathscr{D}_w \coloneqq W(\mathbb{F}_q)\{\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V}\}/(\mathscr{F}^{\mathscr{V}} - p, \ h_{w_0}(\mathscr{F}^r, \mathscr{V}^r)(\mathscr{F}^m - \varepsilon \mathscr{V}^m))$$

which is a free $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module with basis by the elements represented by

$$\mathscr{F}_{-(2d_0+1)m}, \dots, \mathscr{F}_{(2d_0+1)m-1}$$

(2.6) or alternatively

$$\mathscr{F}_{-(2d_0+1)m+1},\ldots,\mathscr{F}_{(2d_0+1)m}$$

We remark that

$$(\mathscr{F}^m - \varepsilon \mathscr{V}^m) \cdot \mathscr{F}^m = F - \sqrt{q}$$

and similarly

$$(\mathscr{F}^m - \varepsilon \mathscr{V}^m) \cdot \mathscr{V}^m = -\varepsilon (V - \sqrt{q}).$$

In both cases, even and odd degree deg(w), we find a natural map

$$R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow \mathscr{D}_w, \quad F \mapsto \mathscr{F}^r \text{ and } V \mapsto \mathscr{V}^r$$

LEMMA 2.1: The natural map $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathscr{D}_w$ identifies $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ with the center of \mathscr{D}_w .

Proof. Injectivity follows by comparing the \mathbb{Z}_p -basis of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ (arising from the \mathbb{Z} -basis of R_w described in (2.1) and (2.2)) with the $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -basis of \mathscr{D}_w described in (2.6). The image is also clearly contained in the center since the *r*-th power of the Frobeniuis σ of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ equals the identity.

The number $N = \deg(w)r/2$ is an integer and \mathscr{F}_i for $-N \leq i < N$ form a $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -basis of \mathscr{D}_w . Let $x = \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} x_i \mathscr{F}_i$ be an element of the center of \mathscr{D}_w . For an arbitrary $a \in W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ we have

$$\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} a x_i \mathscr{F}_i = a x = x a = \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} x_i \sigma^i(a) \mathscr{F}_i.$$

It follows that $x_i = 0$ for all *i* not divisible by *r*. Next, let $m_i = 1$ for i < 0and $m_i = 0$ for $i \ge 0$. Then the equation $\mathscr{F}x = x\mathscr{F}$ yields

$$\sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} \sigma(x_i) p^{m_i} \mathscr{F}_{i+1} = \sum_{i=-N}^{N-1} x_i p^{m_i} \mathscr{F}_{i+1}.$$

Since the \mathscr{F}_i for $-N < i \leq N$ also form a $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -basis of \mathscr{D}_w , we find that all x_i are in \mathbb{Z}_p . Hence x lies in the image of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathscr{D}_w$.

Using the language of Dieudonné modules, Tate's theorem [WM71, Part II Theorem 1] says that the natural map gives an isomorphism

(2.7)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A,B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_w}(T_p(B),T_p(A))$$

for all A, B in AV_w .

2.3. RATIONAL LOCAL MODULE STRUCTURE. For any $\pi \in W_q$, recall that B_{π} is an \mathbb{F}_q -simple abelian variety associated to π via Honda–Tate theory. The ring

$$E_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

of endomorphisms of B_{π} in the isogeny category of abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q is a central division ring over the subfield $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$. The index of E_{π} will be denoted by s_{π} , so that $[E_{\pi} : \mathbb{Q}(\pi)] = s_{\pi}^2$. While E_{π} is determined by π up to isomorphism, it is well known that its order $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi})$ is not an isogeny invariant in general. The structure of E_{π} as a central simple algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ is determined by its local invariants.

THEOREM 2.2 (Tate [Ta68, Theorem 1]): Let π be a Weil q-number.

(1) The dimension of the simple object B_{π} is computed by the dimension formula

$$2\dim(B_{\pi}) = s_{\pi}[\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}]$$

(2) The local invariant of $E_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ at a place v of its center $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ is the following element of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} :

$$\operatorname{inv}_{v}(E_{\pi}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } v \nmid p\infty \text{ or if } v \text{ is complex,} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } v \text{ is real,} \\ \frac{v(\pi)[K_{v}:\mathbb{Q}_{p}]}{v(q)} = \frac{v(\pi)f_{v}}{r} & \text{if } v \mid p, \end{cases}$$

where K_v is the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ at $v \mid p$ and f_v is the inertial degree of v. Here v(-) denotes the normalized additive valuation on K_v .

Let now ℓ be a prime number including for the moment possibly $\ell = p$. The completions K_v of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ at the places $v \mid \ell$ are factors of the product decomposition

(2.8)
$$\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = \prod_{v \mid \ell} K_v$$

The algebra $E_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ has center $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ and (2.8) similarly induces a decomposition

$$E_{\pi} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} = \prod_{v|\ell} E_{v},$$

where E_v is a central simple algebra over K_v . Since the idempotents cutting out K_v from $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ lie in the center of $E_\pi \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$, we have an analogous decomposition

(2.9)
$$V_{\ell}(B_{\pi}) = \bigoplus_{v|\ell} V_{v}(B_{\pi})$$

as a module under $E_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ with the summand $V_v(B_{\pi})$ being an E_v -module.

For a prime $\ell \neq p$, the rational Tate module $V_{\ell}(B_{\pi})$ has both an action by $E_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ and a commuting action by the absolute Galois group of \mathbb{F}_q . The latter was identified in Section 2.2 as the action of the center $R_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ of $E_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$. Hence the decomposition (2.9) is also a decomposition as Galois representations, with K_v acting on $V_v(B_{\pi})$ capturing the Galois action. The summands have the following structure. LEMMA 2.3: For a prime $\ell \neq p$ and a place $v \mid \ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$, there is an isomorphim

(2.10)
$$V_v(B_\pi) \simeq K_v^{\oplus s_\pi}$$

as Galois modules, i.e., as modules for K_v . In particular, there is an isomorphism

(2.11)
$$V_{\ell}(B_{\pi}) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus s_{\pi}}$$

as Galois modules, i.e., as modules for $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

Proof. From Tate's Theorem (2.4) for $A = B = B_{\pi}$ we deduce, by applying base change along $R_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \to K_v$, a natural K_v -algebra isomorphism

$$E_v \simeq \operatorname{End}_{K_v}(V_v(B_\pi)).$$

The algebra E_v has K_v -dimension s_{π}^2 as a base change of E_{π} . Thus

$$s_{\pi} = \dim_{K_v}(V_v(B_{\pi}))$$

and (2.10) follows because K_v is a field. The existence of the isomorphism (2.11) follows from (2.10) together with (2.8), since the exponents s_{π} are independent of v.

We now turn our attention to the local structure at p. The rational Dieudonné ring

$$\mathscr{D}_q^0 = W(\mathbb{F}_q)\{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{V}\}/(\mathscr{F}\mathscr{V}-p)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is central over the algebra $\mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}]$ with $F = \mathscr{F}^r$. We set

$$W(\mathbb{F}_q)^0 = W(\mathbb{F}_q) \Big[\frac{1}{p} \Big],$$

and observe that

$$W(\mathbb{F}_q)^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}]$$

is a cyclic étale $\mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}]$ -algebra of degree r and canonical generator $\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id} =: \sigma$ of its Galois group. This imposes on \mathscr{D}_q^0 the structure of a cyclic Azumaya algebra

$$\mathscr{D}_q^0 = (W(\mathbb{F}_q)^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}], \sigma, F)$$

over $\mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}]$. The action of \mathscr{D}_q^0 on $V_p(B_\pi)$ factors through the base change with

$$\mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p, \quad F \mapsto \pi,$$

namely the quotient

 $\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi} = \mathscr{D}^0_q \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p[F, F^{-1}]} (\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p) = W(\mathbb{F}_q)^0 \{\mathscr{F}\} / (P_{\pi}(\mathscr{F}^r)).$

The \mathbb{Q}_p -linear map sending π to $F = \mathscr{F}^r$ gives an isomorphism between $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ and the center of \mathscr{D}^0_{π} . As a base change of a cyclic algebra, the ring \mathscr{D}^0_{π} is itself a cyclic algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ as follows. Consider

$$L_{\pi} = W(\mathbb{F}_q)^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

as a cyclic étale $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra of degree r and $\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id} = \sigma$ as a distinguished generator of the Galois group. Then

(2.12)
$$\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi} = (L_{\pi}, \sigma, \pi) = L_{\pi} \{\mathscr{F}\} / (\mathscr{F} \text{ is } \sigma \text{-semilinear, and } \mathscr{F}^r = \pi)$$

as a cyclic Azumaya algebra of index r over $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. After decomposing \mathscr{D}^0_{π} according to the components K_v of its center, we obtain a decomposition

$$\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi} = \prod_{v|p} \mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v}$$

into the product over the *p*-adic places of \mathbb{Q}_p of certain central simple algebras $\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v}$ over K_v , each of index *r*.

The rational Dieudonné module $V_p(B_\pi)$ is simultaneously a left module for the Dieudonné ring \mathscr{D}^0_{π} and for the opposite endomorphisms actions by $E^{\rm op}_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Since the idempotents cutting out K_v commute with both these actions, we can decompose

$$V_p(B_\pi) = \bigoplus_{v|p} V_v(B_\pi)$$

as a module for both actions. The summands have the following structure.

LEMMA 2.4: For a place $v \mid p$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$, there is an isomorphism

(2.13)
$$V_v(B_\pi)^{\oplus r} \simeq \left(\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v}\right)^{\oplus s_\pi}$$

as Dieudonné modules, i.e., as modules for $\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v}$. In particular, there is an isomorphism

(2.14)
$$V_p(B_\pi)^{\oplus r} \simeq (\mathscr{D}^0_\pi)^{\oplus s_\pi}$$

as Dieudonné modules, i.e., as modules for \mathscr{D}^0_{π} .

Proof. From Tate's Theorem (2.7) for $A = B = B_{\pi}$ we deduce, by applying base change along $R_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to K_v$, a natural K_v -algebra isomorphism

$$E_v^{\mathrm{op}} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v}}(V_v(B_\pi)).$$

This means that E_v^{op} is the centralizer of $\mathscr{D}_{\pi,v}^0$ in $\operatorname{End}_{K_v}(V_v(B_{\pi}))$. A corollary to the double centralizer theorem, [Re75, Corollary 7.14], then states that

$$E_v^{\mathrm{op}} \otimes_{K_v} \mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v} = \mathrm{End}_{K_v}(V_v(B_\pi)).$$

In particular, comparing the degrees of these simple central K_v -algebras, we find

$$s_{\pi} \cdot r = \dim_{K_v}(V_v(B_{\pi})).$$

Since modules over $\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi,v}$ are determined, up to isomorphism, by their K_v dimension, assertion (2.13) follows by comparing dimensions

$$\dim_{K_v}(V_v(B_\pi)^{\oplus r}) = r \cdot \dim_{K_v}(V_v(B_\pi)) = s_\pi \cdot r^2 = \dim_{K_v}((\mathscr{D}_{\pi,v}^0)^{\oplus s_\pi}).$$

The existence of the isomorphism (2.14) follows from (2.13) together with (2.8), since the exponents s_{π} and r are independent of v.

2.4. CHOOSING GOOD MULTIPLICITIES AND CHOICE OF A LATTICE. The index s_{π} of E_{π} agrees with the period of E_{π} , defined to be the order of E_{π} in the Brauer group Br(Q(π)) of Q(π) (essentially due to [BHN32], see [Re75] Theorem 32.19 for a textbook reference). By the Hasse–Brauer–Noether Theorem the period of E_{π} is the least common multiple over all places of Q(π) of all local orders of E_{π} in the appropriate Brauer groups. Since the localization of E_{π} at any ℓ -adic place is trivial for $\ell \neq p$, only *p*-adic and real places (if any) of Q(π) must be taken into account to compute the global order s_{π} from the local ones.

The denominator of the local invariant of E_{π} at a *p*-adic place \mathfrak{p} divides r by Theorem 2.2(2). Because the local invariant of E_{π} at a real place of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ equals 1/2, we conclude that

$$s_{\pi} \mid \operatorname{lcm}(r,2),$$

and in particular s_{π} divides 2r in all cases. Notice that unless r is odd and π is the real conjugacy class $\{\pm \sqrt{q}\}$ of Weil q-numbers, then s_{π} divides r.

Definition 2.5: The **balanced multiplicity** of π is the integer

$$m_{\pi} = \frac{2r}{s_{\pi}}.$$

Unless r is odd and π is real we define the **reduced balanced multiplicity** of π to be the integer

$$\bar{m}_{\pi} = m_{\pi}/2 = \frac{r}{s_{\pi}}.$$

This choice of m_{π} (resp. \bar{m}_{π}) ensures that the ranks are independent of $\pi \in W_q$ in the following freeness statement for the rational Tate and Dieudonné modules of $B_{\pi}^{m_{\pi}}$ (resp. $B_{\pi}^{\overline{m}_{\pi}}$).

PROPOSITION 2.6: Let π be a Weil q-number. There is an isomorphism

- (1) $V_{\ell}(B_{\pi}^{m_{\pi}}) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -modules, for any prime $\ell \neq p$, and
- (2) $V_p(B^{m_{\pi}}_{\pi}) \simeq (\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi})^{\oplus 2}$ of \mathscr{D}^0_{π} -modules.

Unless r is odd and $\pi = \pm \sqrt{q}$ there is an isomorphism

- (3) $V_{\ell}(B_{\pi}^{\overline{m}_{\pi}}) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus r}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ -modules, for any prime $\ell \neq p$, and
- (4) $V_{p}(B_{\pi}^{\overline{m}_{\pi}}) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{\pi}^{0}$ of \mathscr{D}_{π}^{0} -modules.

Proof. The proposition follows from the fact that $V_{\ell}(B_{\pi})$ is a product of modules over simple algebras, and from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4.

Proposition 2.6 admits the following integral refinement.

THEOREM 2.7: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset. There is an abelian variety A_w , isogenous to $\prod_{\pi \in w} B_{\pi}^{m_{\pi}}$, such that

- (1) $T_{\ell}(A_w) \simeq (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}$ as Galois modules, for all primes $\ell \neq p$.
- (2) $T_p(A_w) \simeq (\mathscr{D}_w)^{\oplus 2}$ as Dieudonné module.

If r is even or w avoids $\pi = \pm \sqrt{q}$ there is an abelian variety \overline{A}_w , isogenous to $\prod_{\pi \in w} B_{\pi}^{\overline{m}_{\pi}}$, such that

- (3) $T_{\ell}(\bar{A}_w) \simeq (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus r}$ as Galois modules, for all primes $\ell \neq p$.
- (4) $T_p(\overline{A}_w) \simeq \mathscr{D}_w$ as Dieudonné module.

Proof. We first work rationally and set $A'_w = \prod_{\pi \in w} B^{m_{\pi}}_{\pi}$. Proposition 2.6 thus gives isomorphisms

- (1) $V_{\ell}(A'_w) \simeq (\mathbb{Q}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}$, for any $\ell \neq p$,
- (2) $V_p(A'_w) \simeq (\mathscr{D}^0_w)^{\oplus 2}.$

Both sides of these isomorphisms contain natural lattices: $T_{\ell}(A'_w)$ versus $(R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}$, and $T_p(A'_w)$ versus $(\mathscr{D}_w)^{\oplus 2}$. For abstract algebraic reasons we may modify all but finitely many of the isomorphisms forcing them to respect these lattices. The point is that for suitable $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ the localized ring $R_w[\frac{1}{N}]$ is a product of Dedekind rings, hence after completing at ℓ , for $\ell \nmid Np$, all torsion free modules are locally free. Since the rank of $T_{\ell}(A'_w)$ is constant and equal to 2r we may even deduce that it is necessarily free. A suitable modification of the isomorphism then maps one free lattice into the other.

It remains to deal with finitely many primes ℓ , and the prime p. But here the two lattices on both sides are commensurable, hence without loss of generality we can assume that one is contained in the other, after rescaling the isomorphisms. Then a suitable isogeny allows us to replace A'_w with the required A_w .

The construction of \bar{A}_w with reduced balanced multiplicities follows with the same proof.

Definition 2.8: Let A be an abelian variety in AV_w .

- (1) We say that A is w-balanced if A satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.7 with respect to the balanced multiplicities m_{π} . An abelian variety is **balanced** if it is w-balanced for some finite set w of Weil q-numbers (necessarily with w equal to the Weil support of the abelian variety).
- (2) We say that A is **reduced** w-balanced if A satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.7 with respect to the reduced balanced multiplicities \bar{m}_{π} .

A (reduced) w-balanced abelian variety is w-locally projective in the sense of Definition 1.3.

3. Integral local theory and locally projective abelian varieties

Let w be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. Let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number. The ring $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ is a semi-local \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -algebra, all maximal ideals λ lie over (ℓ) and there is a canonical product decomposition

$$R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell = \prod_\lambda R_{w,\lambda}$$

where $R_{w,\lambda} = (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\lambda}$ is the localization (isomorphic to the completion of R_w at the maximal ideal corresponding to λ). Similarly, we can decompose $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ with respect to the maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and the localization

$$R_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Definition 3.1: Let A be an abelian variety in AV_w . Let λ (resp. \mathfrak{p}) be a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ (resp. of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$) for some $\ell \neq p$.

(1) We define the λ -adic Tate module of A as the $R_{w,\lambda}$ -module

$$T_{\lambda}(A) = T_{\ell}(A) \otimes_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} R_{w,\lambda}.$$

(2) We define the **p-component** of the Dieudonné ring as

$$\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = \mathscr{D}_w \otimes_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}},$$

and the **p-adic Tate module** of A as the $\mathscr{D}_{w,p}$ -module

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) = T_p(A) \otimes_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p} R_{w,p}.$$

The analogs of Tate's theorems (2.4) and (2.7) continue to hold.

PROPOSITION 3.2: Let A and B be abelian varieties in AV_w .

(1) For all maximal ideals λ of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ with $\ell \neq p$, the functor $T_{\lambda}(-)$ induces an isomorphism

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A,B) \otimes_{R_w} R_{w,\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A), T_{\lambda}(B))$

of $R_{w,\lambda}$ -modules.

(2) For all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, the functor $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(-)$ induces an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A,B) \otimes_{R_w} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(B),T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A))$$

of $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules.

Proof. This follows at once from Tate's theorems (2.4) and (2.7) in view of base change by the flat map

$$R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to R_{w,\lambda}$$

(resp. the flat map $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \to R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$).

Isr. J. Math.

Definition 3.3: Let $q = p^r$ be a power of a prime number p, and let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset of Weil q-numbers. An abelian variety $A \in \mathsf{AV}_w$ is w-locally free if

- (i) for all maximal ideals λ of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ the Tate module $T_\lambda(A)$ is a free $R_{w,\lambda}$ -module, and
- (ii) for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ the Tate module $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ is a free $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module.

Remark 3.4: The notion of an abelian variety A in AV_w being w-locally projective, see Definition 1.3, is compatible with the point of view towards local properties taken in Definition 3.3, because $T_{\ell}(A)$ is projective if and only if $T_{\lambda}(A)$ is projective for all $\lambda \mid \ell$ and similarly $T_p(A)$ is projective if and only if $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ is projective for all $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$.

LEMMA 3.5: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that F and V are in \mathfrak{p} .

Let M be a finitely generated $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, and set $\overline{M} := M/(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})M$. Then the following hold.

- (1) The ideal \mathfrak{p} equals (p, F, V), and the ring $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})$ equals \mathbb{F}_q .
- (2) Elements $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in M$ generate M as a $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module if and only if their images generate \overline{M} as an \mathbb{F}_q -vector space.
- (3) M = 0 if and only if $\overline{M} = 0$.

Proof. Assertion (1) follows from the definition of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ and of $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$. The assumption implies that the constant term in $h_w(F, V)$ is divisible by p and thus $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})$ is actually a nontrivial two-sided ideal.

Assertion (2) follows from assertion (3) applied to the cokernel of the map $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus m} \to M$ induced by the tuple of elements x_1, \ldots, x_m . It therefore remains to show that $\overline{M} = 0$ implies M = 0.

Since $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a finite \mathbb{Z}_p -module, the \mathfrak{p} -adic topology agrees with the p-adic topology. Therefore $\mathfrak{p}^n \subseteq pR_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ for sufficiently large n. By assumption then

$$(\mathscr{F},\mathscr{V})^{nr} \subseteq (\mathscr{F}^r, p, \mathscr{V}^r)^n = \mathfrak{p}^n \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq p \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq (\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})$$

It follows that the *p*-adic topology on M agrees with the $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})$ -adic topology. Since M is finitely generated as a $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, it is also finitely generated as

a \mathbb{Z}_p -module and thus *p*-adically hausdoff. Therefore

$$0 = \bigcap_{t \ge 0} p^t M = \bigcap_{t \ge 0} (\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})^t M.$$

If $\overline{M} = 0$, then M equals $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})M$, and the latter intersection equals M.

PROPOSITION 3.6: Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. Up to isomorphism there is a unique non-zero finitely generated indecomposable projective $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ module $P_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$. All finitely generated projective $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules are isomorphic to multiples $P_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus,\mathfrak{p}}$ for some $m \geq 0$.

If \mathfrak{p} equals the supersingular maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}_o = (F, V, p)$, then the indecomposable projective module P_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o} is the free $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o}$ -module of rank 1.

Proof. There are three cases. If $F \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then F is a unit in $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$. So $V = qF^{-1}$ holds in $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ and

$$\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = (W(F_q) \otimes R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}) \{\mathscr{F}\} / (\mathscr{F}^r - F)$$

is a cyclic algebra over the complete local ring $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ with finite residue field. It follows from [Mi80, IV Proposition 1.4] and the fact that finite fields have trivial Brauer group that this cyclic algebra is trivial, i.e., there is an $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ algebra isomorphism

(3.1)
$$\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathrm{M}_r(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}).$$

By Morita equivalence, projective $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules are translated into projective $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules, which are free. So all projective $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules are multiples of a unique indecomposable one. This translates back by Morita equivalence to $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules. The module $P_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ corresponds to the $M_r(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$ -module of column vectors $(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}})^r$.

If $V \notin \mathfrak{p}$, then we have $F = qV^{-1}$ in $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ and the above holds with F and V interchanged. So again we have

(3.2)
$$\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \simeq \mathcal{M}_r(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$$

with the same conclusion.

In the third case both F and V are contained in \mathfrak{p} and we are in the situation of Lemma 3.5. Let P be a finitely generated projective $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, and let $m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} P/(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})P$. Then, by choosing an \mathbb{F}_q -basis of $P/(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})P$, Lemma 3.5(2) shows there is a surjection

$$f: \mathscr{D}^m_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \twoheadrightarrow P.$$

This surjection splits since P is projective. Therefore $Q = \ker(f)$ is a direct summand, and consequently also finitely generated as a $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. Since f modulo $(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})$ is an isomorphism, we deduce that $Q/(\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{V})Q = 0$. Lemma 3.5(3) implies Q = 0 and hence $P \simeq \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus m}$ is free. So in this case $P_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ equals $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$.

The connection between the notions 'w-locally projective' and 'w-locally free' is summarized by the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.7: Let $q = p^r$ be a power of a prime number p, and let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset of Weil q-numbers. Let A be an abelian variety in AV_w .

- (1) If A is w-locally free, then A is w-locally projective.
- (2) If A is w-locally projective, then there is an $n \ge 1$ such that A^n is w-locally free. In fact n = r always works.

Proof. Assertion (1) is obvious because free modules are projective. We now prove (2) and assume that A is w-locally projective. Tate modules are finitely generated modules, and finitely generated projective modules over a commutative local ring are free. Therefore, since for $\ell \neq p$ and all maximal ideals λ of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ the ring $R_{w,\lambda}$ is a commutative local ring, we find that $T_\lambda(A)$ is a free $R_{w,\lambda}$ -module and the same holds for multiples A^n .

It remains to discuss the local structure at all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. By Proposition 3.6, the \mathfrak{p} -adic Tate module $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ is a multiple of $P_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$. As in all cases considered in Proposition 3.6 the *r*-th multiple $P_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus r}$ is a free $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, the claim follows.

Example 3.8: Let w be a finite set of non-real Weil q-numbers, and set $P_w(x)$ to be the product of the minimal polynomials $P_{\pi}(x)$ for $\pi \in w$. Let 2d be the degree of $P_w(x)$. Since $x \mapsto q/x$ permutes the roots of $P_w(x)$, there is a Polynomial $Q_{\beta}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, the polynomial with roots $\beta = \pi + q/\pi$ for $\pi \in w$, with

$$P_w(x) = x^d Q_\beta(x + q/x).$$

Now $Q_{\beta}(x)$ has totally real roots of absolute value $|\beta| < 2\sqrt{q}$. Let us assume that $Q_{\beta}(x) + 1$ still is separable with totally real roots, all of which have absolute value bounded by $2\sqrt{q}$. This certainly can happen when d = 1, i.e., when $w = \{\pi\}$ and $P_{\pi}(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial. Then

$$P_w(x) + x^d = x^d (Q_\beta(x+q/x)+1)$$

is still a separable Polynomial with Weil q-numbers as roots. Let w' be the set of conjugacy classes of roots of $P_w(x) + x^d$, so that $P_{w'}(x) = P_w(x) + x^d$. It follows that

$$h_{w'}(F,V) = h_w(F,V) + 1.$$

Therefore the the natural map

$$R_{w\cup w'} \longrightarrow R_w \times R_w$$

is an isomorphism in this case. There are no 'congruences' between $\pi \in w$ and $\pi' \in w'$.

Let us consider balanced abelian varieties A_w in AV_w and $A_{w'}$ in $\mathsf{AV}_{w'}$. Then, for $n, n' \in \mathbb{N}$, the abelian variety $A = A_w^n \times A_{w'}^{n'}$ is $w \cup w'$ -locally projective. But $T_\ell(A)$ is free as $R_{w \cup w'} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -module only if n = n', while $T_\lambda(A)$ is a free $R_{w \cup w',\lambda}$ -module for all n, n'. This phenomenon occurs because $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{w \cup w',\lambda})$ is local while $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{w \cup w'} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ is only semi-local and not connected in the example. This illustrates the conceptual advantage of $T_\lambda(-)$ over $T_\ell(-)$, since $T_\lambda(-)$ is local in the sense of Section 1.4.

The above example exploits a case where $\operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$ is not connected. Now we analyse the connected case.

PROPOSITION 3.9: Let w be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. Let A and B be w-locally projective abelian varieties.

- (1) If w consists only of ordinary Weil q-numbers, then $\mathfrak{p}_o = (F, V, p)$ is not a maximal ideal of R_w and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_o}(A, B)$ is a projective R_w -module.
- (2) If w contains a non-ordinary Weil q-number, then p_o = (F, V, p) is a point in X_w = Spec(R_w), the coherent sheaf described by the R_w-module Hom_{Fq}(A, B) is locally free on X_w − {p_o}, and there is a Zariski open neighborhood U_w of p_o such that the rank is constant on U_w − {p_o}.

Proof. Since $X_w = \operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$ is the union of the $X_{\pi} = \operatorname{Spec}(R_{\pi})$ in

$$\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{Z}[F, V]/(FV - q),$$

the point \mathfrak{p}_o lies on X_w if and only if there is a $\pi \in w$ with \mathfrak{p}_o contained in X_{π} . The latter is equivalent to the existence of a quotient $R_{\pi} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ that sends F, V to 0. In other words, there is a prime ideal of the order R_{π} at which π and q/π lie in the maximal ideal. This happens if and only if π is not ordinary. The (completed) local structure as an R_w -module at a maximal ideal λ above a prime $\ell \neq p$ (resp. at \mathfrak{p} above p) is described by Proposition 3.2. Since A and Bare w-locally projective, at λ the modules $T_{\lambda}(A)$ and $T_{\lambda}(B)$ are projective and

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A,B) \otimes_{R_w} R_{w,\lambda} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A), T_{\lambda}(B))$$

is a projective $R_{w,\lambda}$ -module. To analyse the situation at \mathfrak{p} we may replace A and B by multiples according to Proposition 3.7 and thus assume that A and B are w-locally free. It then follows that

$$T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n}$$
 and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(B) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus m}$

for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and

(3.3)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A,B) \otimes_{R_w} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus m}, \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n})$$

is isomorphic to a space of matrices with entries in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\operatorname{op}}$.

The first claim follows if $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}_o$ is a free $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module. This follows if $F \notin \mathfrak{p}$ from (3.1) and if $V \notin \mathfrak{p}$ from (3.2). This completes the proof of (1).

We now prove (2). From the proof of (1) we learn that still the coherent sheaf on X_w associated to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A, B)$ is a locally free sheaf of finite rank on $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$. So it is locally away from \mathfrak{p}_o a vector bundle, but the rank a priori may depend on the connected component of $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$. Assertion (2) claims that this rank is actually the same on all components of $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$ whose Zariski closure passes through \mathfrak{p}_o . Therefore it remains to work locally at $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_o$, i.e., on the completion $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o})$, where our coherent sheaf is described by (3.3) as isomorphic to the coherent sheaf associated to the R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o} module $(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o})^{\oplus mn}$. Note that the R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o} -module structure is insensitive to passing to the opposite ring.

The generic points of $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o})$ correspond to the branches of X_w at \mathfrak{p}_o . We must therefore show that $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ has the same rank at each generic point of $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_0})$. These generic points correspond to $\pi \in w$ and places vof $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ above p with $0 < v(\pi) < v(q)$. But after inverting p, the \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra $\mathscr{D}_w \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is an Azumaya algebra of dimension r^2 over $\mathbb{Q}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, see (2.12), and so the same holds for the base change to $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_0} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. It follows that indeed the rank of $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ on the generic points of $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_0})$ is constant and equal to r^2 .

127

THEOREM 3.10: Let w be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. We assume that

- (i) $X_w = \operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$ is connected, and
- (ii) there exists $\pi \in w$ which is non-ordinary.

Let A_w be a w-balanced abelian variety. Then the following holds.

- (1) If r is even or w only consists of non-real Weil q-numbers, in which case a reduced w-balanced abelian variety \bar{A}_w exists, then any w-locally projective abelian variety A is isogenous to a power of \bar{A}_w .
- (2) If r is odd and the real conjugacy class of Weil q-numbers {±√q} is contained in w, then any w-locally projective abelian variety A is isogenous to a power of A_w.

Proof. Since w contains a non-ordinary Weil q-number by assumption, the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_o = (F, V, p)$ is a maximal ideal of R_w , see Proposition 3.9. By Proposition 3.6 there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(3.4)
$$T_{\mathfrak{p}_o}(A) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o}^{\oplus n}.$$

The R_w -module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w, A)$ is locally free on $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$ by Proposition 3.9. Moreover, by assertion (2) of Proposition 3.9 its rank is locally constant in a neighborhood of \mathfrak{p}_o . Since X_w is connected, such a neighborhood meets every connected component of $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$. It follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w, A)$ actually corresponds to a vector bundle on $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$ of some fixed rank. This rank can be computed over $R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_o} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ from the proof of Proposition 3.9 and (3.4) to be

$$\operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(A_{w},A)\otimes_{R_{w}}R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}) \\ = \operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}^{0}}(V_{\mathfrak{p}_{o}}(A),V_{\mathfrak{p}_{o}}(A_{w}))) \\ = \operatorname{rk}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}^{0}}(V_{\mathfrak{p}_{o}}(A)) \cdot \operatorname{rk}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}^{0}}(V_{\mathfrak{p}_{o}}(A_{w})) \cdot \operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}}^{0}) = 2nr^{2}.$$

For all maximal ideals λ of R_w over a prime $\ell \neq p$ we deduce that

$$2r \cdot \operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A)) = \operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A_{w})) \cdot \operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A))$$

= $\operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A_{w}), T_{\lambda}(A)))$
= $\operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(A_{w}, A) \otimes_{R_{w}} R_{w,\lambda})$
= $\operatorname{rk}_{R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p}}(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(A_{w}, A) \otimes_{R_{w}} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}_{o}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p}) = 2nr^{2},$

where we used that the rank of the vector bundle $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w, A)$ on $X_w - \{\mathfrak{p}_o\}$ is the same at λ and over a formal punctured neighborhood of \mathfrak{p}_o . In particular,

Isr. J. Math.

the $R_{w,\lambda}$ -rank of $T_{\lambda}(A)$ equals nr and is independent of λ , so that as $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ modules

$$T_{\ell}(A) \simeq \prod_{\lambda|\ell} T_{\lambda}(A) \simeq (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus nr}.$$

For a moment we assume that r is odd and the real Weil q-number $\{\pm\sqrt{q}\}$ is contained in w. We set $A(\pi)$ to be the maximal abelian subvariety of A with Weil support in π , and we let n_{π} denote the multiplicity up to isogeny of the simple B_{π} in $A(\pi)$. Let ℓ be a prime different from p. By performing base change along $R_w \to \mathbb{Q}(w) \to \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ we obtain the rational ℓ -adic Tate module

$$(3.5) V_{\ell}(A(\pm\sqrt{q})) = T_{\ell}(A) \otimes_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \simeq (\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus nr}.$$

Comparing \mathbb{Q}_{ℓ} -dimensions of (3.5) with the help of Theorem 2.2(1) yields

$$n_{\pm\sqrt{q}} \cdot s_{\pm\sqrt{q}} \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) : \mathbb{Q}] = n_{\pm\sqrt{q}} \cdot 2\dim(B_{\pm\sqrt{q}}) = 2\dim(A(\pm\sqrt{q}))$$
$$= \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(V_{\ell}(A(\pm\sqrt{q}))) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}((\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus nr})$$
$$= nr \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q}) : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Since r is odd and $s_{\pm\sqrt{q}} = 2$, it follows that n must be even in this case.

Now we come back to the general case. We set (note that we just proved that n/2 is an integer in the second case)

 $B = \begin{cases} \bar{A}_w^n & \text{if } r \text{ is even or } w \text{ only consists of non-real Weil } q\text{-numbers,} \\ A_w^{n/2} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd and } w \text{ contains the real Weil } q\text{-number.} \end{cases}$

It follows that as $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -modules and thus also as Galois modules

$$T_{\ell}(A) \simeq (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus nr} \simeq T_{\ell}(B),$$

Tate's theorem (2.4) and the usual arguments show that A and B are isogenous.

Remark 3.11: The connected components of $X_w = \text{Spec}(R_w)$ correspond to a partition $w = w_1 \amalg \ldots \amalg w_s$ such that the natural map

$$R_w \xrightarrow{\sim} R_{w_1} \times \cdots \times R_{w_s}$$

is an isomorphism. Since all connected components $X_i = \text{Spec}(R_{w_i})$ with a non-ordinary Weil *q*-number in w_i contain the point $\mathfrak{p}_o = (F, V, p)$, there is at most one such connected component: we call it the **non-ordinary** component. All the other connected components will be called **ordinary** connected components. Any *w*-locally projective abelian variety is the product of w_i -locally projective abelian varieties. Theorem 3.10 describes the factor arising from the non-ordinary component if that is present. The factor from ordinary components will be explained in Theorem 8.5.

4. Endomorphism rings of balanced abelian varieties

4.1. MODIFYING ENDOMORPHISM RINGS BY LOCAL CONJUGATION. In Section 8.1 we will benefit from a certain flexibility to modify the endomorphism ring of a balanced abelian variety. This technique already occurred in [Wa69] and we explain it here in a form suitable for our application.

Definition 4.1: Let A and B be abelian varieties in $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. We say that A and B are **Tate-locally isomorphic** if

- (i) for all primes $\ell \neq p$ the Tate modules $T_{\ell}(A)$ and $T_{\ell}(B)$ are isomorphic as Galois modules,
- (ii) and $T_p(A)$ is isomorphic to $T_p(B)$ as Dieudonné modules.

Versions of this notion have appeared with various terminology, e.g., Zarhin uses 'almost isomorphic' in the context of abelian varieties over finitely generated fields over \mathbb{Q} in [Za17].

Remark 4.2: Since we have canonical product decompositions

$$T_{\ell}(A) = \prod_{\lambda \mid \ell} T_{\lambda}(A) \quad \left(\text{resp. } T_{p}(A) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid p} T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A) \right),$$

as $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -modules (resp. as \mathscr{D}_w -modules), we do not get something different in Definition 4.1 if we replace $T_{\ell}(A)$ and $T_p(A)$ by their more local versions $T_{\lambda}(A)$ and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$.

PROPOSITION 4.3: Let w be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. Let A and B be isogenous abelian varieties in AV_w . Then, if two of the properties

- (a) A and B are Tate-locally isomorphic,
- (b) A is w-locally projective,
- (c) B is w-locally projective,

hold, then also the third holds. The same holds with 'w-locally projective' replaced by 'w-locally free', or (even without assuming A and B being isogenous) 'w-balanced' or 'reduced w-balanced'.

Proof. Projective $R_{w,\lambda}$ -modules (resp. projective $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules) are isomorphic to a multiple of a single indecomposable projective module, because $R_{w,\lambda}$ is a local ring (resp. by Proposition 3.6). Therefore it suffices to compare ranks to deduce (a) from (b) and (c). This is taken care of by the assumption that Aand B be isogenous. The other implications are easy.

LEMMA 4.4 (compare with [Za17, Lemma 2.3]): Let A and B be abelian varieties in $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Then A and B are Tate-locally isomorphic if and only if for every prime number ℓ (including $\ell = p$) there exists an isogeny $A \to B$ of degree prime to ℓ .

In particular, if $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to A$ are isogenies of coprime degree, then A and B are Tate-locally isomorphic.

Proof. This follows from Tate's theorems recalled in (2.4) and (2.7) as follows. Given an isomorphism $\varphi : T_{\ell}(A) \to T_{\ell}(B)$ as Galois or Dieudonné modules, there are isogenies $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to A$ such that $T_{\ell}(f)$ and $T_{\ell}(g)$ agree with φ respectively φ^{-1} modulo ℓ . It follows that f and g are bijective on ℓ -torsion, hence their degree is coprime to ℓ .

For the converse direction let $f : A \to B$ be an isogeny of degree prime to ℓ . Then there is an isogeny $g : B \to A$ such that $g \circ f$ is multiplication by an integer prime to ℓ , and consequently both $T_{\ell}(f)$ and $T_{\ell}(g)$ are isomorphisms.

Definition 4.5: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. An R_w -order in a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ -algebra E is an R_w -subalgebra $\mathfrak{O} \subseteq E$ which is finitely generated as an R_w -module and contains a $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ -basis of E.

- (1) Two R_w -orders $\mathfrak{O} \subseteq E$ and $\mathfrak{O}' \subseteq E'$ are called **Tate-locally isomorphic** if for every prime number ℓ (including $\ell = p$) the rings $\mathfrak{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ and $\mathfrak{O}' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ are isomorphic as $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -algebras.
- (2) Two R_w -orders $\mathfrak{O}, \mathfrak{O}' \subseteq E$ are called **Tate-locally conjugate** if for every prime number ℓ (including $\ell = p$) the rings $\mathfrak{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ and $\mathfrak{O}' \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ are conjugate inside $E \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$.

Remark 4.6: We will consider R_w -orders in $E = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for abelian varieties $A \in \mathsf{AV}_w$. Since these E are Azumaya algebras over $\mathbb{Q}(w)$, it follows from the Skolem–Noether Theorem [Mi80, IV, Proposition 1.4] that after identifying $E \simeq E'$ all isomorphisms to be considered are restrictions of inner automorphisms of E. In this case the term Tate-locally isomorphic coincides with the notion of being Tate-locally conjugate.

LEMMA 4.7: Let $f : A \to B$ be an isogeny in AV_w , and let ℓ be a prime number that is coprime to the degree of f. Then the isomorphism

$$f(-)f^{-1}: \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

maps the R_w -order $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ to an R_w -order that agrees with $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)$ after completion at ℓ , i.e., considered as coherent algebras on $\operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$, these orders agree Zariski locally at (ℓ) .

Proof. We may complete ℓ -adically and use Tate's theorem. We will only discuss the case $\ell \neq p$. The case of $\ell = p$ works mutatis mutandis. We are led to consider the isomorphism

$$f(-)f^{-1}$$
: End _{$R_w \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$} ($V_\ell(A)$) $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ End _{$R_w \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell$} ($V_\ell(B)$).

But by assumption and the proof of Lemma 4.4 the map

$$T_{\ell}(f): T_{\ell}(A) \to T_{\ell}(B)$$

is an isomorphism. The claim follows at once from Tate's theorems (2.4) (resp. (2.7)).

PROPOSITION 4.8: Let A be an abelian variety in AV_w . Let \mathfrak{O} be an R_w -order in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ that is Tate-locally isomorphic to $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$. Then there is an abelian variety B that is Tate-locally isomorphic to A and an \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny $f: A \to B$ such that the induced isomorphism of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ -algebras

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)\otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{f(-)f^{-1}} \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)\otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

restricts to an isomorphism $\mathfrak{O} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)$ of R_w -orders.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{O} and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ are both R_w -orders of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ there is only a finite set Σ of bad prime numbers ℓ (including potentially $\ell = p$) for which $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ and \mathfrak{O} do not agree after completion at ℓ . We argue by induction on the size $\#\Sigma$. If Σ is empty, then $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) = \mathfrak{O}$, and we are done.

We now assume that $\ell \in \Sigma$ and construct an isogeny $f : A \to B$ of degree a power of ℓ such that A and B are Tate-locally isomorphic and the set of bad primes for B with respect to

$$\mathfrak{O} \simeq f\mathfrak{O}f^{-1} \subseteq \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$$

is contained in $\Sigma \setminus \{\ell\}$.

By Remark 4.6 there is an element $\hat{\varphi} \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$ such that

$$\hat{\varphi}(\mathfrak{O}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})\hat{\varphi}^{-1}=\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)\otimes\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$$

in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$. Since the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is open, we may approximate $\hat{\varphi}$ by an element $\varphi \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ which still conjugates $\mathfrak{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ onto $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$. By primary decomposition of $\ker(\varphi)$ we may factor $\varphi : A \to A$ as

$$\varphi \colon A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A$$

such that f has degree a power of ℓ and g has degree prime to ℓ . It follows from Lemma 4.4 that B is Tate-locally isomorphic to A.

Moreover, Lemma 4.7 applied to f tells us that primes different from ℓ at which \mathfrak{O} and $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ agree locally, remain primes at which $f\mathfrak{O}f^{-1}$ agrees locally with $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B)$. In particular the bad primes for B with respect to $f\mathfrak{O}f^{-1}$ are contained in Σ .

It remains to show that the situation has improved at ℓ . For that we compare via g and again by Lemma 4.7, now applicable to ℓ since g is of degree coprime to ℓ . It follows that

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = g^{-1}(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})g = f(\varphi^{-1}(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})\varphi)f^{-1}$$
$$= f(\mathfrak{O} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})f^{-1} = f\mathfrak{O}f^{-1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}.$$

This concludes the inductive step and thus proves the proposition.

4.2. A STRUCTURE THEOREM FOR ENDOMORPHISM RINGS OF BALANCED OB-JECTS. We now describe the endomorphism ring $S_w = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w)$ for a particular choice of a *w*-balanced abelian variety A_w in $\operatorname{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. A similar description also holds for a reduced *w*-balanced abelian variety by cancelling a factor of 2 at various places. But first we need to choose some data and fix notation.

Let w be a finite set of Weil q-numbers. Recall that

$$\mathbb{Q}(w) = R_w \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \prod_{\pi \in w} \mathbb{Q}(\pi).$$

Then

$$\mathscr{S}^0(w) = \prod_{\pi \in w} \mathcal{M}_{m_\pi}(E_\pi)$$

is an Azumaya algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ of degree 2r (so locally a form of $M_{2r}(-)$) with the local invariants as specified by Tate's formulas recalled in Theorem 2.2(2). It follows that the desired S_w is an R_w -order in $\mathscr{S}^0(w)$.

Waterhouse proves in [Wa69, Theorem 3.13] that there is a simple abelian variety $B_{\pi} \in \mathsf{AV}_{\pi}$ such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi})$ is a maximal order \mathfrak{O}_{π} in E_{π} . We set $B_w = \prod_{\pi} B_{\pi}^{m_{\pi}}$ and define

$$\tilde{\mathscr{S}}(w) := \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_w) = \prod_{\pi \in w} \operatorname{M}_{m_\pi}(\mathfrak{O}_\pi) \subseteq \prod_{\pi \in w} \operatorname{M}_{m_\pi}(E_\pi) = \mathscr{S}^0(w).$$

Then $\tilde{\mathscr{S}}(w)$ is a maximal R_w -order of $\mathscr{S}^0(w)$. We next choose a splitting for all $\ell \neq p$ and for p as

$$\psi_{\ell}: \tilde{\mathscr{S}}(w) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{S}^{0}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \xrightarrow{\psi_{\ell}^{0}} \mathrm{M}_{2r}(\mathbb{Q}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}),$$
$$\psi_{p}: \tilde{\mathscr{S}}(w) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{S}^{0}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p} \xrightarrow{\psi_{p}^{0}} \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathscr{D}_{w}^{0}).$$

Now, for every prime number $\ell \neq p$, the proof of the Skolem–Noether theorem (see also Proposition 2.6) shows that there is an isomorphism of Galois modules

$$h_{\ell} \colon (\mathbb{Q}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\ell}(B_w)$$

such that conjugation $h_{\ell}(-)h_{\ell}^{-1}$ agrees with

$$\mathrm{M}_{2r}(\mathbb{Q}(w)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{\ell})\xleftarrow{\psi_{\ell}^{0}}{\sim}\mathscr{S}^{0}(w)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tate}}\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(w)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}(V_{\ell}(B_{w})).$$

And, similarly for p, we have an isomorphism of Dieudonné modules

 $h_p \colon V_p(B_w) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathscr{D}^0_w)^{\oplus 2}$

such that conjugation $h_p(-)h_p^{-1}$ agrees with (note that we have passed to the opposite rings)

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_w^0}((\mathscr{D}_w^0)^{\oplus 2})^{\operatorname{op}} = \operatorname{M}_2(\mathscr{D}_w^0) \xleftarrow{\psi_p^0}_{\sim} \mathscr{S}^0(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tate}}_{\sim} \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_w^0}(V_p(B_w))^{\operatorname{op}}.$$

Now we perform the construction of a *w*-balanced abelian variety from the proof of Theorem 2.7. We choose N coprime to p and large enough such that $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{Np}]$ is a product of Dedekind rings. For all prime numbers $\ell \nmid Np$ we may modify h_ℓ and perforce ψ_ℓ^0 and ψ_ℓ so that h_ℓ induces an isomorphism of integral structures

$$h_{\ell} \colon (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\ell}(B_w).$$

Moreover, we suitably scale h_{ℓ} for $\ell \mid N$ and h_p such that these maps restrict to maps

$$h_{\ell} \colon (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r} \hookrightarrow T_{\ell}(B_w)$$

and

$$h_p: T_p(B_w) \hookrightarrow (\mathscr{D}_w)^{\oplus 2}.$$

Using the standard dictionary translating lattices in Tate and Dieudonné modules into isogenies, it follows that there is a corresponding isogeny

$$h: A_w \to B_u$$

of degree a product of primes dividing Np, such that $h_{\ell} = T_{\ell}(h)$ for all $\ell \mid N$ and $h_p = T_p(h)$. It follows by construction and the proof of Lemma 4.4 that A_w is indeed w-balanced. Moreover, we have the following description of its endomorphism ring.

THEOREM 4.9: With the above notation the endomorphism ring $S_w = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w)$ of the w-balanced abelian variety A_w constructed above sits in the following cartesian square:

Proof. The cartesian square is essentially nothing but the obvious cartesian square (fpqc descent)

suitably translated by isomorphisms as follows. In view of

$$T_{\ell}(A_w) = (R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}$$

for $\ell \neq p$ (used here only for $\ell \mid N$) and

$$T_p(A_w) = \mathscr{D}_w^{\oplus 2}$$

134

as by construction, Tate's theorem translates the bottom row into the inclusion

$$\mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathscr{D}_{w}) \times \prod_{\ell \mid N} \mathrm{M}_{2r}(R_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}) \to \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathscr{D}_{w}^{0}) \times \prod_{\ell \mid N} \mathrm{M}_{2r}(\mathbb{Q}(w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}).$$

It remains to identify the right vertical arrow. We may compare A_w with B_w along $h : A_w \to B_w$ as in the following diagram, in which the top arrow is an isomorphism because deg(h) is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{Np}]$ in combination with Lemma 4.7

Now we translate the bottom row of the previous diagram into the top row of the following diagram due to Tate's theorem.

As the bottom square commutes by the definition of h_p and h_ℓ , we have identified the right vertical map in the asserted cartesian square as the map claimed in the theorem.

Remark 4.10: The ring S_w constructed in Theorem 4.9 considered as a coherent algebra over $\operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$ differs from a maximal order in the appropriate Azumaya algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ at most above prime numbers ℓ at which R_w is singular and those above p. The construction can be performed more carefully so that S_w only differs from a maximal order at most in the singularities of $\operatorname{Spec}(R_w)$ and in the supersingular locus, the vanishing locus of the ideal (F, V, p).

5. Representable functors on AV_w

In this section we spell out abstract Morita equivalence, originally formulated with abelian categories as for example in [Re75, Chapter 4], in the context of the additive category $AV_{\mathbb{F}_{a}}$.

Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite set of conjugacy classes of Weil q-numbers, and let A be any object of AV_w . Denote by

$$S(A) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$$

the R_w -algebra of \mathbb{F}_q -endomorphisms of A, and by

(5.1) $T_A: \operatorname{AV}_w \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(S(A)), \quad T_A(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A)$

the contravariant functor represented by A, viewed as valued in the category of left S(A)-modules that are torsion free as \mathbb{Z} -modules. Just like AV_w , the category $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}-tf}(S(A))$ has a natural R_w -linear structure, since the center of S(A)is an R_w -algebra in a natural way. Moreover, it is clear that the functor $T_A(-)$ is R_w -linear.

The main result of this section is Theorem 5.4, a twofold criterion for deciding when (5.1) induces an anti-equivalence.

5.1. THE MAPS I_A AND G_A . The following constructions go back to Waterhouse [Wa69]. Let X be any object of AV_w , and let $H \subseteq X$ be any closed subgroup scheme of X. Define the kernel of restriction to H:

$$I_A(H) = \{ f \in T_A(X) : H \subseteq \ker(f) \} = \ker(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(H, A)),$$

a left S(A)-submodule of $T_A(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A)$. Notice that the quotient X/Has group scheme is in fact an abelian variety in AV_w and the pull-back via the quotient map $\psi_H : X \to X/H$ gives an identification of left S(A)-modules

(5.2)
$$T_A(X/H) \xrightarrow{\sim} I_A(H).$$

Conversely, if $M \subseteq T_A(X)$ is a left S(A)-submodule, define

$$G_A(M) = \bigcap_{r \in M} \ker(r)$$

as the closed subgroup scheme of X given by the scheme-theoretic intersection of all kernels of elements of M. Notice that the above intersection equals an intersection of finitely many kernels, since M is a finitely generated abelian group. We collect in the next proposition the basic properties of the maps I_A and G_A .

PROPOSITION 5.1: Let X be any object of AV_w . For any closed subgroup schemes H, H' of X and any left S(A)-submodules M, M' of $T_A(X)$ the following properties hold:

- (1) $H \subseteq H' \implies I_A(H) \supseteq I_A(H'),$
- (2) $M \subseteq M' \implies G_A(M) \supseteq G_A(M'),$
- (3) $H \subseteq G_A(I_A(H)),$
- (4) $M \subseteq I_A(G_A(M)),$
- (5) $I_A(H) = I_A(G_A(I_A(H))),$
- (6) $G_A(M) = G_A(I_A(G_A(M))),$
- (7) $I_A(X[n]) = nT_A(X)$, for all integers $n \ge 1$,
- (8) $G_A(nT_A(X)) = X[n]$, for all integers $n \ge 1$.

Proof. The properties (1) to (4), (7) and (8) easily follow from the definition of I_A and G_A . To see (5) and (6), notice that the inclusions

 $I_A(H) \subseteq I_A(G_A(I_A(H)))$ and $G_A(M) \subseteq G_A(I_A(G_A(M)))$

are the special cases (4) for $M = I_A(H)$ and (3) for $H = G_A(M)$. The corresponding opposite inclusions can be deduced after applying properties (1) and (2) to the inclusions expressed by (3) and (4) respectively.

Remark 5.2: A consequence of Proposition 5.1 is that $I_A(H) \subseteq T_A(X)$ has finite index if and only if $H \subseteq X$ is finite, and that $G_A(M) \subseteq X$ is a finite subgroup if and only if $M \subseteq T_A(X)$ has finite index.

Remark 5.3: We point out that the map G_A has already been considered by Waterhouse for X = A, see [Wa69, §3.2]. In this circumstance, a finite index submodule $M \subseteq T_A(A) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ is an ideal containing an isogeny, and $G_A(M)$, denoted H(M) in loc. cit., is studied via the isogeny $A \to A/G_A(M)$. The converse construction $I_A(H)$ yields a kernel ideal in the terminology of [Wa69, p. 533]. Waterhouse proves that if M is a kernel ideal then the structure of A/H(M) depends only on M as an $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ -module [Wa69, Theorem 3.11]. He moreover proves in [Wa69, Theorem 3.15] that for an abelian variety Awhose endomorphism ring is a maximal order in $\operatorname{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ any finite index submodule $M \subseteq T_A(A)$ arises as a kernel ideal. 5.2. A CRITERION FOR A FUNCTOR TO BE AN ANTI-EQUIVALENCE OF CATE-GORIES. We now state and prove the main theorem of the section. Condition (c) of Theorem 5.4 is inspired by the use of injective cogenerators in embedding theorems for abelian categories; see [Fr64]. Note that the category AV_w is additive as a full subcategory of the abelian category of all finite type group schemes over the field \mathbb{F}_q ; see [SGA3, Exp. VI, §5.4 Théorème]. But AV_w is not an abelian category, because an isogeny of abelian varieties is both a monomorphism and an epimorphism in AV_w without necessarily being an isomorphism.

THEOREM 5.4: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset and let $A \in \mathsf{AV}_w$ be an abelian variety. Let

 $T_A: \operatorname{AV}_w \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(S(A)), \quad T_A(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A),$

be the functor represented by A. We consider the following statements.

(a) T_A is an anti-equivalence of categories:

(a₁) T_A is fully faithful,

- (a₂) T_A is essentially surjective.
- (b) For all $X \in AV_w$, the assignments $H \mapsto I_A(H)$ and $M \mapsto G_A(M)$ are mutually inverse maps that describe a bijection

 $\{H \subseteq X; \text{finite subgroup scheme}\}$

 $\longleftrightarrow \{ M \subseteq T_A(X); \text{ finite index } S(A) \text{-submodule} \}.$

More precisely:

(b₁) for all $X \in AV_w$ and all finite subgroup schemes $H \subseteq X$, we have $H = G_A(I_A(H)),$

(b₂) for all $X \in \mathsf{AV}_w$ and all S(A)-submodules $M \subseteq T_A(X)$ of finite index, we have

$$M = I_A(G_A(M)).$$

- (c) A is an injective cogenerator:
 - (c₁) for all $X \in AV_w$, there exist an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an injective homomorphism

$$X \hookrightarrow A^n$$
,

(c₂) for any $X, Y \in \mathsf{AV}_w$ and any injective homomorphism $\varphi : X \hookrightarrow Y$, the induced map

$$\varphi^*: T_A(Y) \twoheadrightarrow T_A(X)$$

is surjective.

139

We have the following two chains of equivalences:

(a)
$$\iff$$
 (b) \iff (c)

and

(a1) \iff (b1) \iff (c1).

Proof. (a1) \Longrightarrow (b1): Let $H \subseteq X$ be a finite subgroup scheme, and set $G = G_A(I_A(H))$. By Remark 5.2 also G is a finite subgroup scheme. Since the inclusion $H \subseteq G$ trivially holds, see Proposition 5.1, there is a natural isogeny

$$\varphi: X/H \longrightarrow X/G.$$

By the very definition of $G_A(-)$, it follows that the induced map

$$\varphi^*: T_A(X/G) \longrightarrow T_A(X/H)$$

is an isomorphism. Since by assumption the functor $T_A(-)$ is fully faithful, we conclude that φ is an isomorphism as well and therefore $H = G = G_A(I_A(H))$.

(b1) \Longrightarrow (c1): This is just the special case H = 0. Let $\{\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n\}$ be a set of generators of $T_A(X)$ as an S(A)-module and consider the homomorphism $\psi: X \to A^n$ whose *i*-th component is ψ_i . Since the ψ_i 's generate $T_A(X)$, we have

$$\ker(\psi) = G_A(T_A(X)).$$

Assuming (b1), we have $0 = G_A(I_A(0))$; hence we deduce

$$\ker(\psi) = G_A(T_A(X)) = G_A(I_A(0)) = 0,$$

which proves (c1).

(c1)=>(a1): We must show that for all abelian varieties $X,Y \in \mathsf{AV}_w$ the map

(5.3)
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X,Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S(A)}(T_A(Y),T_A(X))$$

is bijective.

We first show that (5.3) is injective. By assumption (c1) there is an injective map $\psi : Y \hookrightarrow A^n$; denote by ψ_i its *i*-th component. If $f : X \to Y$ is a homomorphism with

$$0 = f^* : T_A(Y) \longrightarrow T_A(X),$$

then $\psi_i \circ f = 0$ for all *i*, and thus $\psi \circ f = 0$. But since ψ is injective this implies f = 0.

We next address the surjectivity of (5.3). Let, as above, $\psi : Y \hookrightarrow A^n$ be an injection. Since $T_A(Y)$ is a finitely generated S(A)-module, we may also assume that ψ is chosen so that its components $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n : Y \to A$ generate $T_A(Y)$. Construct the quotient pr : $A^n \to Z = A^n/Y$, which is itself an object of AV_w, and choose an injection $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow A^m$, which exists again by assumption. Setting $\sigma = \iota \circ \text{pr} : A^n \to A^m$, we obtain a short exact sequence (a co-presentation)

$$0 \to Y \xrightarrow{\psi} A^n \xrightarrow{\sigma} A^m.$$

We may view σ as an $m \times n$ matrix $\sigma = (s_{ij}) \in M_{m \times n}(S(A))$ with entries in S(A). Let now

$$g: T_A(Y) \to T_A(X)$$

be any map of S(A)-modules, and let $\varphi : X \to A^n$ be the morphism whose *i*-th component is given by $\varphi_i = g(\psi_i) : X \to A$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $(\sigma \circ \varphi)_j$ be the *j*-th component of the composition $\sigma \circ \varphi$, where $1 \leq j \leq m$. From the S(A)-linearity of g we deduce for any j

$$(\sigma \circ \varphi)_j = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} s_{jk} \varphi_k = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} s_{jk} g(\psi_k) = g\left(\sum_{1 \le k \le n} s_{jk} \psi_k\right) = g((\sigma \circ \psi)_j) = 0.$$

Therefore φ factors as $\psi \circ f$, for a unique map $f: X \to Y$. Since

$$f^*(\psi_i) = \psi_i \circ f = \varphi_i = g(\psi_i),$$

the maps f^* and $g: T_A(Y) \to T_A(X)$ agree on a generating set, hence $g = f^*$ and (5.3) is indeed surjective.

After having established the equivalence of the assertions (a1), (b1) and (c1) we show that of (a), (b) and (c). We recall that A has Weil support equal to w by assumption.

(a) \Longrightarrow (b2): Let X be an object of AV_w and let $M \subseteq T_A(X)$ be a submodule of finite index. Thanks to the fact that $T_A(-)$ is essentially surjective by assumption, there is an object Y of AV_w and an isomorphism $T_A(Y) \simeq M$ of S(A)-modules. We deduce an injective S(A)-homomorphism

$$\iota: T_A(Y) \hookrightarrow T_A(X)$$

with finite cokernel and which is induced from a homomorphism $\varphi : X \to Y$, because $T_A(-)$ is assumed to be fully faithful. Since $\iota \otimes \mathbb{Q}$: $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism and A has Weil support equal to w, the map φ must be an isogeny. It then follows from the definition that $M = I_A(\ker(\varphi))$. Applying now property (5) of Proposition 5.1 to the subgroup $\ker(\varphi)$ we find

$$M = I_A(\ker(\varphi)) = I_A(G_A(I_A(\ker(\varphi)))) = I_A(G_A(M)).$$

(b) \Longrightarrow (c2): Let $\varphi : X \hookrightarrow Y$ be an injective homomorphism in AV_w . We have to show that an arbitrary map $f : X \to A$ extends to a certain $\tilde{f} : Y \to A$. By composing φ with an inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow A^n$, which exists by (c1), we may assume that $Y = A^n$.

Let $\varphi_i : X \to A$ be the *i*-th component of φ and denote by M the S(A)submodule of $T_A(X)$ generated by all the $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_n$. Poincaré's theorem of complete reducibility implies that X is a direct factor of A^n up to isogeny, i.e., there is an abelian subvariety $Z \subseteq A^n$ such that $\varphi : X \hookrightarrow A^n$ factors as the inclusion of $X \hookrightarrow X \times Z$ followed by an isogeny

$$\psi \colon X \times Z \to A^n$$
.

Then f extends as the composition $f \circ \operatorname{pr}_1$ with the first projection to a map $X \times Z \to A$, and the nonzero multiple g = mf with $m = \operatorname{deg}(\psi)$ extends to a morphism $\tilde{g} : A^n \to A$. This means precisely that g belongs to M. In this way we see that M has finite index in $T_A(X)$ and hence, by assumption (b2), we have

$$M = I_A(G_A(M)) = I_A\left(\bigcap_i \ker(\varphi_i)\right) = I_A(\ker(\varphi)) = I_A(0) = T_A(X).$$

Thus there are $s_i \in S(A)$ with $f = \sum_i s_i \varphi_i$ and therefore the map $s : A^n \to A$ defined as

$$s = \sum_{i} s_i \circ \mathrm{pr}_i,$$

where $pr_i: A^n \to A$ is the *i*-th projection, extends f as desired.

(c) =>(a2): Let M be an object of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(S(A))$ and choose a finite presentation

$$S(A)^{\oplus m} \xrightarrow{f} S(A)^{\oplus n} \to M \to 0.$$

By $S(A) = T_A(A)$ and additivity of $T_A(-)$ the map f comes from a $\varphi : A^n \to A^m$. Let Y be the image of φ and let $X = \ker(\varphi)^0$ be the reduced connected component of the kernel. Both X and Y are objects of AV_w . Let $G = \ker(\varphi)/X$ be the finite group scheme of connected components. Since the functor $T_A(-)$ is left exact as a functor on group schemes over \mathbb{F}_q , we obtain a diagram of S(A)-modules



with an exact middle row and exact columns. The map $S(A)^{\oplus m} \to T_A(Y)$ comes from $Y \hookrightarrow A^m$ and is surjective by assumption (c2). The same applies to $i^*: S(A)^{\oplus n} \to T_A(X)$ which comes from the inclusion $i: X \hookrightarrow \ker(\varphi) \hookrightarrow A^n$. It follows that the map denoted g induces an injective map $M \hookrightarrow T_A(\ker(\varphi))$. Because $T_A(G)$ is finite, we deduce that the composite h

$$h: M \longrightarrow T_A(X)$$

has finite kernel, and moreover is surjective due to i^* being surjective. But M is torsion free by assumption, hence h is an isomorphism showing that M lies in the essential image of $T_A(-)$.

6. The truncated anti-equivalence for finite Weil support

Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be any finite subset fixed throughout the section, and let A_w be a *w*-locally projective abelian variety (see Definition 1.3) with \mathbb{F}_q -endomorphism ring $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w)$ denoted by S_w . In this section we show that the functor represented by A_w

$$T_w \colon \mathsf{AV}_w \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(S_w), \quad T_w(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories if A_w has full support $w(A_w) = w$.

143

The ring S_w is a finite R_w -algebra and free as a \mathbb{Z} -module. If λ (resp. \mathfrak{p}) is a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ for $\ell \neq p$ (resp. of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$), we introduce notation

$$S_{w,\lambda} = S_w \otimes_{R_w} R_{w,\lambda}$$
 and $S_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = S_w \otimes_{R_w} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$.

It follows from the local version of Tate's theorem, Proposition 3.2, that $T_{\lambda}(-)$ and $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(-)$ induce natural isomorphisms

$$S_{w,\lambda} = \operatorname{End}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A_w)),$$

$$S_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{End}_{R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_w))^{\operatorname{op}}$$

6.1. PRELIMINARY LEMMATA. We now present four lemmata that are needed when proving that $T_w(-)$ is an anti-equivalence of categories. The first lemma clarifies an assumption on the support.

LEMMA 6.1: Let A be an abelian variety in AV_w with support w(A) = w.

- (1) $T_{\lambda}(A)$ is nontrivial for all maximal ideals λ of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ for $\ell \neq p$.
- (2) $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)$ is nontrivial for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proof. Since A has support w, there is an $n \ge 1$ and an isogeny $B \times A' \to A^n$ with B being a w-balanced abelian variety. By Definition 2.8 and Definition 3.1, we have $T_{\lambda}(B) \simeq (R_{w,\lambda})^{\oplus 2r}$. The induced map

$$(R_{w,\lambda})^{\oplus 2r} \simeq T_{\lambda}(B) \hookrightarrow T_{\lambda}(A^n) = T_{\lambda}(A)^{\oplus n}$$

is injective, and this shows claim (1). The argument for (2) is similar but uses an isogeny $A^n \to B \times A'$ and the map

$$(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}})^{\oplus 2} \simeq T_{\mathfrak{p}}(B) \hookrightarrow T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A^n) = T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A)^{\oplus n}.$$

We continue by introducing some notation. If λ (resp. \mathfrak{p}) is a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ for $\ell \neq p$ (resp. of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$), denote by

$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}-\operatorname{tf}}(R_{w,\lambda})$$
 (resp. $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}-\operatorname{tf}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$)

the category of finitely generated $R_{w,\lambda}$ -modules that are free over \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} (resp. finitely generated $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -modules that are free over \mathbb{Z}_{p}). Similarly, let

$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(S_{w,\lambda})$$
 (resp. $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{p}\text{-tf}}(S_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$)

be the category of finitely generated left modules over $S_{w,\lambda}$ that are free over \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} (resp. finitely generated $S_{w,p}$ -modules that are free over \mathbb{Z}_p). If N is any object of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}-\mathrm{tf}}(R_{w,\lambda})$, the formula

(6.1)
$$N^* := \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(N, T_{\lambda}(A_w))$$

defines a contravariant functor on $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(R_{w,\lambda})$ with values in $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(S_{w,\lambda})$, thanks to the identification $S_{w,\lambda} = \operatorname{End}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(T_{\lambda}(A_w))$ that follows from Proposition 3.2 (1).

LEMMA 6.2 (Local anti-equivalence at λ): Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be any finite subset, and let A_w be a w-locally projective abelian variety with support $w(A_w) = w$. Let λ be a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ for $\ell \neq p$. The functor

$$(-)^{\star} : \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \operatorname{-tf}}(R_{w,\lambda}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell} \operatorname{-tf}}(S_{w,\lambda})$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories.

Remark 6.3: In (2.3) we recalled that for a prime $\ell \neq p$ the ring $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}[x]/P_w(x)$. In particular, $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is a Gorenstein ring of dimension one, being a complete intersection. The relevant consequence for us is that any object of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}-\mathrm{tf}}(R_{w,\lambda})$ is reflexive, that is to say that the dual module functor

$$(6.2) \qquad (-)^{\vee} := \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(-, R_{w,\lambda})$$

is an anti-equivalence of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(R_{w,\lambda})$ to itself. For more details see [CS15, Lemma 13].

Proof of Lemma 6.2. For any object N of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}-\operatorname{tf}}(R_{w,\lambda})$ there is a natural isomorphism

$$\xi_N: N^\star \xrightarrow{\sim} N^\vee \otimes_{R_{w,\lambda}} T_\lambda(A_w)$$

which depends functorially on N, where N^{\vee} is the dual of N, as defined in (6.2). This is to say that $(-)^*$ is isomorphic to the composition of functors

(6.3)
$$\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(R_{w,\lambda}) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\vee}} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(R_{w,\lambda}) \\ \xrightarrow{-\otimes_{R_{w,\lambda}}T_{\lambda}(A_{w})} \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\text{-tf}}(S_{w,\lambda}).$$

Since $T_{\lambda}(A_w)$ is a free $R_{w,\lambda}$ -module, and moreover nontrivial by Lemma 6.1 due to the assumption on the Weil support, the functor $-\otimes_{R_{w,\lambda}} T_{\lambda}(A_w)$ is a Morita equivalence, and thus $(-)^*$ is an anti-equivalence of categories, being a composition of the anti-equivalence (6.2) with an equivalence.

For what concerns the situation at p, for an object N of $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p-\operatorname{tf}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$ the formula

$$N_{\star} := \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_w), N)$$

defines a covariant functor from $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p-\mathrm{tf}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$ to $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p-\mathrm{tf}}(S_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$. Notice that $S_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ acts on N_{\star} from the left thanks to the contravariance of Proposition 3.2 (2) and the natural right action of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_w))$ on N_{\star} .

LEMMA 6.4 (Local equivalence at \mathfrak{p}): Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be any finite subset, and let A_w be a w-locally projective abelian variety with support $w(A_w) = w$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. The functor

$$(-)_{\star} : \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p-\mathrm{tf}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}_p-\mathrm{tf}}(S_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$$

is an equivalence of categories.

Proof. Since A_w is assumed w-locally projective, by Proposition 3.7 there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that A_w^n is w-locally free. The functors $(-)_*$ for A_w and the power A_w^n are linked by a Morita equivalence. Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_w)$ is a free $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ -module.

Let $T_p(A_w)$ be free of rank n over $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$. By Lemma 6.1, due to the assumption on the Weil support, we have $n \geq 1$. A choice of a basis determines an isomorphism $T_p(A_w) \simeq \mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n}$ and a natural isomorphism

$$N_{\star} = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_w), N) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n}, N) = N^{\oplus n}$$

with the $S_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ action on N_{\star} corresponding to the $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$ -action on $N^{\oplus n}$ under the isomorphism

$$S_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(T_{\mathfrak{p}}(A_w))^{\operatorname{op}} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}^{\oplus n})^{\operatorname{op}} = \operatorname{M}_n(\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$$

of Proposition 3.2 (2) and induced by that very same choice of basis. Hence the functor $(-)_{\star}$ is isomorphic to a Morita equivalence restricted to modules that are free and finitely generated as \mathbb{Z}_p -modules.

While Lemmata 6.2 and 6.4 above enter in the proof of fully faithfulness of $T_w(-)$, the next lemma is needed to show that $T_w(-)$ is essentially surjective.

LEMMA 6.5: Let $A \hookrightarrow B$ be an injective homomorphism of abelian varieties in $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$, and let $\ell \neq p$ be a prime number. Then the following holds.

- The natural map T_ℓ(A) → T_ℓ(B) is injective and cotorsion free, i.e., the cokernel is a free Z_ℓ-module.
- (2) The natural map $T_p(B) \to T_p(A)$ is surjective.

Proof. The quotient C = B/A exists in the category of finite type group schemes over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and is an abelian variety. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ multiplication by n is an isogeny, hence surjective. It follows from the snake lemma in the abelian category of finite type group schemes over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, see [SGA3, Exp. VI, §5.4 Théorème], that we have an exact sequence

$$(6.4) 0 \longrightarrow A[n] \longrightarrow B[n] \longrightarrow C[n] \longrightarrow 0$$

of finite flat group schemes. By passing to the limit for $n = \ell^m$ one deduces from (6.4) the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\ell}(A) \longrightarrow T_{\ell}(B) \longrightarrow T_{\ell}(C) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now (1) follows because the cokernel $T_{\ell}(C)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module.

(2) Since $T_p(A)$ is the limit of the Dieudonné modules for the system of $A[p^m]$ and the Dieudonné module functor is exact on finite flat group schemes we also have an exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow T_p(C) \longrightarrow T_p(B) \longrightarrow T_p(A) \longrightarrow 0.$

6.2. EXISTENCE AND CHARACTERIZATION OF INJECTIVE COGENERATORS. We are now ready to prove the main result of the section.

THEOREM 6.6: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset, and let A_w in AV_w be an abelian variety. Then the following are equivalent.

- (a) A_w is w-locally projective with support $w(A_w) = w$.
- (b) A_w is an injective cogenerator for AV_w .
- (c) The functor¹

 $T_w \colon \mathsf{AV}_w \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(S_w), \quad T_w(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$

is an anti-equivalence of categories.

Proof. We start by showing (a) \Longrightarrow (c). So A_w is *w*-locally projective with support *w*. The first thing to show is that $T_w(-)$ is fully faithful. To this purpose

¹ To avoid double indices, we use the notation T_w for the functor that was previously denoted by T_{A_w} .

let X, Y be abelian varieties in AV_w , and consider the map

$$\tau : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{S_w}(T_w(Y), T_w(X))$$

induced by $T_w(-)$. Both source and target of τ are finitely generated R_w -modules. Hence it suffices to verify that $\tau \otimes R_{w,\lambda}$ and also $\tau \otimes R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ are bijective for all maximal ideals λ of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, for $\ell \neq p$, and all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ respectively.

The case λ : Consider the commutative diagram

whose arrows all are the natural ones. Thanks to the λ -adic version of Tate's isomorphism as in Proposition 3.2, and to flat localization along $R_w \to R_{w,\lambda}$, all vertical arrows of the diagram are isomorphisms. Thanks to Lemma 6.2, the bottom horizontal arrow is an isomorphism, hence the same is true for $\tau \otimes R_{w,\lambda}$.

The case $\mathfrak{p}\colon$ Similarly, the vertical and the bottom arrows of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(X,Y) \otimes_{R_{w}} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}} & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}} \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{w}}(T_{w}(Y),T_{w}(X)) \otimes_{R_{w}} R_{w,\mathfrak{p}} \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & \downarrow \\ & & \downarrow$$

are isomorphisms, thanks to the \mathfrak{p} -adic version of Tate's isomorphism as in Proposition 3.2, flat localization along $R_w \to R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$, and Lemma 6.4. This implies that $\tau \otimes R_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism, completing the proof that $T_w(-)$ is fully faithful. We now show that $T_w(-)$ is essentially surjective. Since we already know that $T_w(-)$ is fully faithful, it is enough to check that $T_w(-)$ satisfies condition (c2) of Theorem 5.4, i.e., we need to show that for all injections $i: X \hookrightarrow Y$ in AV_w the induced map

is surjective. We accomplish this by showing that $i^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is surjective for all primes ℓ .

The case $\ell \neq p$: By Tate's isomorphism (2.4) the scalar extension $i^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is identified with the induced map

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(Y), T_{\ell}(A_w)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(X), T_{\ell}(A_w)), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ T_{\ell}(i)$$

on ℓ -adic Tate modules. Define the $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module M by exactness of the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T_{\ell}(X) \longrightarrow T_{\ell}(Y) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since *i* is injective, Lemma 6.5 (1) shows that *M* is free as \mathbb{Z}_{ℓ} -module and hence reflexive as $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module; see Remark 6.3. The Ext-sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(Y), T_{\ell}(A_w)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(X), T_{\ell}(A_w))$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(M, T_{\ell}(A_w))$$

shows that surjectivity of $i^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ follows from the vanishing of $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(M, T_{\ell}(A_w))$. Since $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ is the product of the local rings $R_{w,\lambda}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R_{w}\otimes\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(M,T_{\ell}(A_{w}))=\bigoplus_{\lambda}\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(M\otimes R_{w,\lambda},T_{\lambda}(A_{w})).$$

Since A_w is locally projective, Proposition 3.7 shows that $T_{\lambda}(A_w)$ is a free $R_{w,\lambda}$ -module. Now the vanishing

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{1}_{R_{w,\lambda}}(M \otimes R_{w,\lambda}, T_{\lambda}(A_{w})) = 0$$

is a consequence of [CS15, Lemma 17] and the fact that $R_{w,\lambda}$ is a Gorenstein ring of dimension 1 as the localization of $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$.

THE CASE $\ell = p$: Using Tate's isomorphism (2.7), the surjectivity of $i^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ translates into the surjectivity of

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_w}(T_p(A_w), T_p(Y)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathscr{D}_w}(T_p(A_w), T_p(X)),$$

which is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.5 (2) and the fact that $T_p(A_w)$ is a projective \mathscr{D}_w -module.

The equivalence of (c) and (b) is proven as part of Theorem 5.4. It remains to show that (b) implies (a). For that we pick an auxiliary abelian variety A'_w that is *w*-balanced. Such an A'_w exists by Theorem 2.7, and A'_w is *w*-locally projective with support *w*. By what we have already shown, A'_w is an injective cogenerator. Therefore there exist an *n* and an embedding $i : A_w \hookrightarrow (A'_w)^n$ according to Theorem 5.4 (c1). Now we apply Theorem 5.4 (c2) to this embedding, but with respect to the injective cogenerator A_w . It follows that the map

 $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}((A'_w)^n, A_w) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w, A_w), \quad \varphi \mapsto \varphi \circ i$

is surjective. A preimage of the identity is a retraction $(A'_w)^n \to A_w$ which shows that A_w is a direct factor of $(A'_w)^n$. In particular, $T_\lambda(A_w)$ (resp. $T_\mathfrak{p}(A_w)$) is a direct factor of a projective $R_{w,\lambda}$ (resp. a projective $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}}$)-module and hence is itself projective. This shows that A_w is w-locally projective.

For all $\pi \in w$, applying Theorem 5.4 (c1) to a simple abelian variety B_{π} in the isogeny class associated to π yields an embedding $B_{\pi} \hookrightarrow A_w^n$ for some n. In particular, π is contained in the support of A_w . This completes the proof.

Remark 6.7: In an appendix to [La01] Serre proves a version of Theorem 6.6 for $w = \{\pi\}$ and an ordinary Weil *q*-number π associated to an ordinary elliptic curve *E* such that R_{π} is a maximal order in $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$. In contrast to Deligne's proof in [De69], Serre's proof uses the covariant functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E, -)$, so no multiplicities are used.

We reprove in Theorem 8.4 Deligne's result for the category of all ordinary abelian varieties. Also in this case the representing object A_w^{ord} is isogenous to $\prod_{\pi \in w} B_{\pi}$, so again no multiplicities are necessary. However, the general case requires multiplicities. In Section 9 we discuss the necessity of multiplicities in the case of AV_{π} for a Weil *q*-number π associated to an elliptic curve. The crucial result concerning multiplicities is Theorem 3.10.

COROLLARY 6.8: Let A in AV_w be an injective cogenerator. Then A is a direct factor of a power of a w-balanced abelian variety.

Proof. This was proved at the end of the proof of Theorem 6.6.

PROPOSITION 6.9: Let $w \subseteq W_q$ be a finite subset, and let A_w in AV_w be a w-balanced abelian variety. Then, for any X in AV_w , the \mathbb{Z} -rank of $T_w(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$ equals

$$\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} T_w(X) = 4r \dim(X).$$

Isr. J. Math.

Proof. Since both sides of the equation are isogeny invariant and additive with respect to products of abelian varieties, it suffices to consider \mathbb{F}_q -simple objects $X = B_{\pi}$ for $\pi \in w$. Then

$$\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(T_w(B_\pi)) = m_\pi \operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_\pi)) = \frac{2r}{s_\pi} \cdot s_\pi^2[\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4r \dim(B_\pi),$$

where the last equality uses Theorem 2.2(1) from Honda–Tate theory.

6.3. REALIZING R_w AS THE CENTER. If $w = \{\pi\}$ consists of a single ordinary Weil q-number π , then Waterhouse shows in [Wa69, Chapter 7] that the minimal endomorphism ring R_{π} arises as the endomorphism ring of a simple, ordinary abelian variety B_{π} . We will reprove this later as part of Proposition 8.3. In this section we will show that R_w agrees with the center of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ for any injective cogenerator A in AV_w . This verifies the claim made in the introduction that the center \mathcal{R}_q of \mathcal{S}_q can be described explicitly in terms of Weil q-numbers.

PROPOSITION 6.10: Let $A \in AV_w$ be an injective cogenerator. Then the natural map

$$R_w \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$$

identifies R_w with the center of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$.

Proof. We first prove the result for a w-balanced abelian variety A_w . In order to show that the map $R_w \to Z(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w))$ is an isomorphism, where Z(-)denotes the center, it suffices to show this after completion at all prime numbers. We deduced from Tate's theorems (2.4) and (2.7) that for all primes $\ell \neq p$

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = \operatorname{End}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(A_w))$$
$$\simeq \operatorname{End}_{R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}((R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}) = \operatorname{M}_{2r}(R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}),$$
$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p = \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_w}(T_p(A_w))^{\operatorname{op}} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_w}(\mathscr{D}_w^{\oplus 2})^{\operatorname{op}} = \operatorname{M}_2(\mathscr{D}_w).$$

In both cases we determine the center as indeed $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ (resp. $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$, see Lemma 2.1).

Now let A be an arbitrary injective cogenerator. Let $S = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ and denote the anti-equivalence $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-, A)$ by T(-). Then the composition of the natural injective maps

$$R_w \hookrightarrow Z(S) \hookrightarrow Z(\operatorname{End}_S(T(A_w))) = Z(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w)^{\operatorname{op}})$$

is an isomorphism due to the discussion of the w-balanced case. The result follows.

Remark 6.11: The converse to Proposition 6.10 does not hold for $q = p^4$ and $\pi = p^2$. The simple object in AV_{π} is an elliptic curve B_{π} with $End(B_{\pi})$ a maximal order in the quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q} ramified in $p\infty$. Then $R_{\pi} = \mathbb{Z}$ equals the center of $End(B_{\pi})$. But $Hom(-, B_{\pi})$ is not an anti-equivalence according to [JKP+18, Theorem 1.1]. Since π is non-ordinary, Theorem 3.10 says that all injective cogenerators of AV_{π} are isogenous to a power of a reduced π -balanced object. Thus injective cogenerators of AV_{π} have even multiplicity because of $\bar{m}_{\pi} = r/s_{\pi} = 2$.

7. Compatible truncated anti-equivalences

7.1. MAXIMAL SUBGROUP WITH PARTIAL WEIL SUPPORT. Recall that for an abelian variety A over \mathbb{F}_q we set

$$S(A) = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A),$$

and that S(A) is an R_w -algebra if $A \in AV_w$.

PROPOSITION 7.1: Let $v \subseteq w \subseteq W_q$ be finite sets of Weil q-numbers, and let $A_w \in AV_w$ be an injective cogenerator. Then the subgroup generated by all images

$$A_{v,w} := \langle \operatorname{im}(f); f : X \to A_w, X \in \mathsf{AV}_v \rangle \subseteq A_w$$

satisfies the following:

- (1) $A_{v,w}$ belongs to AV_v and is an abelian subvariety of A_w .
- (2) $A_{v,w}$ is an injective cogenerator in AV_v .
- (3) Restriction to $A_{v,w}$ induces a natural surjection

$$\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}: S(A_w) \twoheadrightarrow S(A_{v,w})$$

that is an $R_w \twoheadrightarrow R_v$ algebra map.

(4) We set $T_w(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$ and $T_{v,w}(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_{v,w})$. The following diagram naturally commutes:

Here the right inclusion is defined by pulling back the $S(A_{v,w})$ -module structure via $\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}$ to a $S(A_w)$ -module structure.

(5) If A_w is w-balanced, then $A_{v,w}$ is v-balanced.

Proof. Assertion (1) is trivial.

(2) Being an injective cogenerator means that property property (c) of Theorem 5.4 holds, so this holds for A_w . We are going to show that property (c) also holds for $A_{v,w}$. Now (c1) holds because for an arbitrary $X \in \mathsf{AV}_v$ there is an injection

$$X \hookrightarrow A_w^n$$

for a suitable n that factors through $A_{v,w}^n \subseteq X_w^n$ by the definition of $A_{v,w}$.

To show (c2) we start with an injection $X \hookrightarrow Y$ in AV_w and a homomorphism $f_0 : X \to A_{v,w}$. By composing with $i : A_{v,w} \hookrightarrow A_w$ we can extend $f = i \circ f_0$ to a $g : Y \to A_w$ since A_w is an injective object, i.e., A_w satisfies property (c2). By definition g factors through $g_0 : Y \to A_{v,w}$ and g_0 extends f_0 because i is injective.

(3) Restriction with $i : A_{v,w} \hookrightarrow A_w$ yields a surjection by Theorem 5.4 (c2) $\operatorname{pr}_{v,w} : S(A_w) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w, A_w)$

 $\twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_{v,w}, A_w) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_{v,w}, A_{v,w}) = S(A_{v,w})$

with the next to last equality due to the definition of $A_{v,w}$. Here $\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}(g)$ for a homomorphism $g: A_w \to A_w$ is the unique homomorphism so that the following commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_w & \xrightarrow{g} & A_w \\ & & & & & \uparrow \\ i & & & & \uparrow \\ A_{v,w} & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}(g)} & A_{v,w}. \end{array}$$

The map $\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}$ is indeed a ring homomorphism as for a composition $f \circ g$ of $f, g \in S(A_w)$ the composition $\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}(f) \circ \operatorname{pr}_{v,w}(g)$ completes the square as in the following diagram:

Moreover, the map $\operatorname{pr}_{v,w}$ is an $R_w \to R_v$ algebra map, because Frobenius and Verschiebung are natural with respect to $i: A_{v,w} \hookrightarrow A_w$.

Assertion (4) follows from the natural equality for every $X \in AV_v$

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_{v,w}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w),$$

since every morphism $f: X \to A_w$ takes values in the subvariety $A_{v,w} \subseteq A_w$.

Now we show (5). If A_w is w-balanced, then all simple abelian varieties B_{π} for $\pi \in w$ occur in A_w with multiplicity m_{π} . Since up to isogeny $A_{v,w}$ consists of all isogeny factors B_{π} of A_w with $\pi \in v$, we deduce that $A_{v,w}$ has the same multiplicities m_{π} for all $\pi \in v$. Thus $A_{v,w}$ is isogenous to a v-balanced abelian variety A_v . Being an injective cogenerator, $A_{v,w}$ is v-locally projective by Theorem 6.6. Since A_v is also v-locally projective, now Proposition 4.3 shows that $A_{v,w}$ and A_v are Tate-locally isomorphic. Again Proposition 4.3 then shows that $A_{v,w}$ is v-balanced, since A_v is v-balanced.

7.2. THE DIRECT SYSTEM. In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we construct a direct system

$$\mathcal{A} = \varinjlim_{w} A_w$$

consisting of abelian varieties A_w indexed by finite subsets $w \subseteq W_q$ of Weil q-numbers such that for all w the functors

$$T_w \colon \mathsf{AV}_w \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(S_w),$$
$$T_w(X) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_d}(X, A_w)$$

are anti-equivalences, that moreover are naturally compatible among each other.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any finite subset $w \subseteq W_q$ let Z(w) be the set of isomorphism classes [A] of w-balanced abelian varieties The set Z(w) is not empty by Theorem 2.7. The elements of Z(w) all belong to the same isogeny class, and so Z(w) is finite, since there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of abelian varieties over a finite field lying in a given isogeny class (in fact, Zarhin shows in [Za77, Theorem 4.1] that finiteness holds for isomorphism classes of abelian varieties of fixed dimension). For any pair $v\subseteq w$ of finite subsets of Weil q-numbers, we construct a map

$$\zeta_{v,w}: Z(w) \longrightarrow Z(v)$$

by

$$\zeta_{v,w}([A]) = [B]$$

where B is the abelian subvariety of A generated by the image of all $f: C \to A$ with $w(C) \subseteq v$. Proposition 7.1 (5) states that $\zeta_{v,w}$ indeed takes values in Z(v). These maps satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\zeta_{u,w} = \zeta_{u,v} \zeta_{v,w},$$

for all tuples $u \subseteq v \subseteq w$, hence they define a projective system

 $(Z(w), \zeta_{v,w})$

indexed by finite subsets $w \subseteq W_q$. Since the sets Z(w) are finite and non-empty, a standard compactness argument shows that the inverse limit is not empty:

$$Z = \varprojlim_{w} Z(w) \neq \emptyset.$$

We choose a compatible system $z = (z_w) \in Z$ of isomorphism classes of abelian varieties.

Now we would like to choose abelian varieties A_w in each class z_w and inclusions

$$\varphi_{w,v}: A_v \longrightarrow A_w$$

for every $v \subseteq w$ that are isomorphic to the inclusions $A_{v,w} \subseteq A_w$ discussed in Proposition 7.1 in a compatible way: for $u \subseteq v \subseteq w$ we want

$$\varphi_{w,u} = \varphi_{w,v}\varphi_{v,u}.$$

Because the set of Weil q-numbers is countable, we may choose a cofinal totally ordered subsystem of finite subsets of W_q

$$w_1 \subseteq w_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq w_i \subseteq \cdots$$
,

where cofinal implies $\bigcup_i w_i = W_q$. Working with this totally ordered subsystem, we can construct a direct system

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = (A_{w_i}, \varphi_{w_j, w_i})$$

of abelian varieties as desired by induction. If A_{w_i} is already constructed, then we choose $A_{w_{i+1}}$ in $z_{w_{i+1}}$ and deduce from $\zeta_{w_i,w_{i+1}}(z_{w_{i+1}}) = z_{w_i}$ that there is an injective homomorphism $\varphi_{w_{i+1},w_i} : A_{w_i} \to A_{w_{i+1}}$ as desired. By construction, the map φ_{w_{i+1},w_i} is an isomorphism of A_{w_i} with the abelian subvariety $A_{w_i,w_{i+1}}$ of $A_{w_{i+1}}$ as discussed in Proposition 7.1.

Once \mathcal{A}_0 is constructed, we may identify all transfer maps of the restricted system \mathcal{A}_0 with inclusions. Let now w be a general finite subset of W_q . Since $\bigcup_i w_i = W_q$ is a union of a totally ordered system of w_i , we find $w \subseteq w_i$ for all large enough i. Then we can define the abelian variety \mathcal{A}_w by means of the construction of Proposition 7.1 as the abelian subvariety

$$A_w := A_{w,w_i} \subseteq A_{w_i}.$$

This choice is well defined, i.e., independent of $i \gg 0$. Furthermore, there are compatible transfer maps $\varphi_{v,w} : A_v \to A_w$ for all $v \subseteq w$ that lead to the desired direct system

$$\mathcal{A} = (A_w, \varphi_{w,v}).$$

In the sense of ind-objects we have $\mathcal{A}_0 \simeq \mathcal{A}$ and so \mathcal{A}_0 would suffice for Theorem 1.1. But we prefer the more aesthetic ind-system \mathcal{A} indexed by all finite subsets $w \subseteq W_q$.

Let X be any element of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$, and set

$$T(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, \mathcal{A}) = \varinjlim_{w} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w) = \varinjlim_{w} T_w(X).$$

The groups $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$ are stable when w is large enough. More precisely, if w, w' are finite subsets of Weil q-numbers with $w(X) \subseteq w \subseteq w'$, then the map

$$\varphi_{w',w} \circ - : \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_{w'})$$

is an isomorphism; cf. Proposition 7.1 (4). Moreover, T(-) restricted to AV_w recovers the functor $T_w(-)$ of Theorem 6.6 constructed using the object A_w of \mathcal{A} . Furthermore, the functor $T_w(-)$ induces an anti-equivalence between AV_w and $Mod_{\mathbb{Z}-tf}(S_w)$ by Theorem 6.6 because A_w is w-balanced.

We next show that T(-) is an \mathcal{R}_q -linear functor. We denote the linear Frobenius isogeny for an abelian variety X over \mathbb{F}_q by $\pi_X : X \to X$. Observe that, since Frobenius is a natural transformation, for any finite subset $w \subseteq W_q$ and



is commutative. This implies that, for w sufficiently large so that

$$T(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(X, A_{w}), \quad w \text{ large},$$

the action $F(f) = \pi_{A_w} \circ f$ on $f \in T(X)$ of the image of F in $R_w \subseteq S_w$ is given by the morphism induced by the Frobenius isogeny π_X via functoriality of T:

$$T(\pi_X): f \mapsto f \circ \pi_X = \pi_{A_w} \circ f.$$

A similar consideration with the isogeny Verschiebung shows that indeed T(-) is \mathcal{R}_q -linear.

Compatibility in w shows that T(-) induces an anti-equivalence

$$T = \varinjlim_{w} T_w \colon \mathsf{AV}_q = \varinjlim_{w} \mathsf{AV}_w \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{w} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(S_w) = \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(\mathcal{S}_q).$$

Complemented by the rank computation of Proposition 6.9, this is precisely the claim of Theorem 1.1 and so its proof is complete.

Remark 7.2: When reduced w-balanced abelian varieties exist, i.e., if we avoid $\pi = \pm \sqrt{q}$ when r is odd, then the above proof of Theorem 1.1 can be applied mutatis mutandis to construct an anti-equivalence of categories represented by an ind-abelian variety $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = (\overline{A}_w, \varphi_{w,w'})$ consisting of reduced w-balanced abelian varieties. This anti-equivalence yields modules $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w)$ of \mathbb{Z} -rank $2r \dim(X)$. For r = 1, this reproves the main result of [CS15].

7.3. WEIL RESTRICTION OF SCALARS AND BASE CHANGE. Another form of compatibility can be established with respect to Weil restriction of scalars and with respect to base change. We will not need these compatibilities here and therefore only report the results, all of which have quite formal proofs.

PROPOSITION 7.3: Let w be a finite set of Weil q^m -numbers, and set

$$\sqrt[m]{w} := \{\pi; \pi^m \in w\}$$

(1) Let $A \in AV_w$ be an injective cogenerator. Then the Weil restriction $RA := R_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}|\mathbb{F}_q}(A)$ is an injective cogenerator for AV $_{\sqrt[m]{w}}$, and for all $X \in AV_{\sqrt[m]{w}}$ we have a natural isomorphism of functors in X

$$T_A(X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}) \simeq T_{RA}(X).$$

(2) Let $A \in AV_{m/w}$ be an injective cogenerator. The base change

$$A_m := A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$$

is an injective cogenerator for AV_w , and for all $X \in AV_w$ we have a natural isomorphism of functors in X

$$T_A(R_{\mathbb{F}_{a^m}|\mathbb{F}_a}(X)) = T_{A_m}(X).$$

(3) Let $A_{\sqrt[m]{w}} \in AV_{\sqrt[m]{w}}$ be $\sqrt[m]{w}$ -balanced. Then the scalar extension

$$A_w := A_{\sqrt[m]{w}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$$

in AV_w is w-balanced.

One can further show that an ind-representing object $\mathcal{A} = (A_{\omega})_{\omega \subseteq W_q}$ provides a similar ind-representing object $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ after base changing those with index $\sqrt[m]{w}$ for subsets $w \subseteq W_{q^m}$.

8. The commutative case and optimal rank

We discuss when a modified anti-equivalence takes values in modules over a commutative ring.

8.1. RECOVERING DELIGNE'S RESULT: THE ORDINARY CASE. In this section we will be concerned with lowering multiplicities of injective cogenerators for sets of ordinary Weil *q*-numbers.

LEMMA 8.1: For a finite set w of ordinary Weil q-numbers, we have

$$\mathscr{D}_w \simeq \mathrm{M}_r(R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Proof. It suffices to determine the structure locally at maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $R_w \otimes \mathfrak{p}$, because $R_w \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the product of these localizations. As w consists only of ordinary Weil q-numbers either $F \notin \mathfrak{p}$ or $V \notin \mathfrak{p}$. The claim $\mathscr{D}_{w,\mathfrak{p}} = M_r(R_{w,\mathfrak{p}})$ now follows from the local structure results (3.1) and (3.2) obtained in the proof of Proposition 3.6.

PROPOSITION 8.2: Let w be a finite set of ordinary Weil q-numbers. Then there exist a w-balanced abelian variety A_w and an R_w -linear isomorphism

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_a}(A_w) \simeq \operatorname{M}_{2r}(R_w).$$

Proof. Let A'_w be an arbitrary w-balanced abelian variety. Then $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A'_w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an Azumaya algebra of degree 2r over its center $\mathbb{Q}(w)$. This center is a product of number fields. The local-global principle for the Brauer group, see [BHN32], shows that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A'_w) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is split as an Azumaya algebra over $\mathbb{Q}(w)$, because all local invariants are trivial by Tate's formula as recalled in Theorem 2.2(2). Here we use that w only contains ordinary Weil q-numbers. The splitting translates into a $\mathbb{Q}(w)$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A'_w) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \operatorname{M}_{2r}(\mathbb{Q}(w)).$$

Moreover, the integral local structure of $S'_w = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A'_w)$ can be deduced from Tate's theorems (2.4) and (2.7) and Lemma 8.1 as

$$S'_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = \operatorname{End}_{R_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}(T_{\ell}(A'_{w})) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{R_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}}((R_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell})^{\oplus 2r}) = \operatorname{M}_{2r}(R_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}),$$

$$S'_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_{w}}(T_{p}(A'_{w}))^{\operatorname{op}} \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathscr{D}_{w}}(\mathscr{D}_{w}^{\oplus 2})^{\operatorname{op}} = \operatorname{M}_{2}(\mathscr{D}_{w}) = \operatorname{M}_{2r}(R_{w} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{p}).$$

Proposition 4.8 applied to the R_w -order $\mathfrak{O} = M_{2r}(R_w)$ shows that there exists an abelian variety A_w that is Tate-locally isomorphic to A'_w and such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w) \simeq M_{2r}(R_w)$. The abelian variety A_w is also w-balanced by Proposition 4.3.

PROPOSITION 8.3: Let w be a finite set of ordinary Weil q-numbers. Then there exists an abelian variety A_w^{ord} with the following properties.

- (i) The Weil support of A_w^{ord} is equal to w,
- (ii) the natural inclusion $R_w \subset \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\operatorname{ord}})$ is an isomorphism,
- (iii) A_w^{ord} is w-locally projective, and
- (iv) $2\dim(A_w^{\mathrm{ord}}) = [\mathbb{Q}(w) : \mathbb{Q}].$

In particular, the corresponding contravariant functor

$$T_{A_w^{\operatorname{ord}}} \colon \operatorname{AV}_w \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(R_w), \quad T_{A_w^{\operatorname{ord}}}(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A_w^{\operatorname{ord}})$$

gives an R_w -linear anti-equivalence of categories.

Proof. We choose a w-balanced A_w and fix an isomorphism $\operatorname{End}(A_w) \simeq M_{2r}(R_w)$ as in Proposition 8.2. Let $e_i \in \operatorname{End}(A_w)$ be the idemponent that corresponds in $\operatorname{End}(A_w) \simeq M_{2r}(R_w)$ to the matrix with a single 1 at the *i*th diagonal entry. These idempotents e_1, \ldots, e_{2r} commute and sum to the identity. Let A_i be the image of $e_i : A_w \to A_w$. It follows formally as in any additive category that

$$A_w \simeq A_1 \times \cdots \times A_{2r}.$$

Moreover, let $e_{ij} \in \text{End}(A_w) \simeq M_{2r}(R_w)$ correspond to the elementary matrix with a single 1 in the *i*th row and *j*th column. Then $e_{ij}|_{A_j} : A_j \to A_i$ is an isomorphism, so all direct factors of A_w are mutually isomorphic. We define

$$A_w^{\operatorname{ord}} = e_1(A_w) = A_1,$$

so that $A_w \simeq (A_w^{\text{ord}})^{2r}$. In particular, the support of A_w^{ord} is the support of A_w and equal to w. Moreover, we can compute as R_w -algebras

$$\operatorname{End}(A_w^{\operatorname{ord}}) = e_1 \operatorname{End}(A_w) e_1 = R_w.$$

Now A_w^{ord} is *w*-locally projective because a multiple $A_w = (A_w^{\text{ord}})^{2r}$ is *w*-locally projective, and direct summands of projective modules are again projective. As A_w^{ord} has full support, by Theorem 6.6 we have that A_w^{ord} indeed represents the desired anti-equivalence of the claim.

In order to compute the dimension of A_w^{ord} , we note that all $\pi \in w$ are ordinary and thus have commutative $E_{\pi} = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi})$. Hence $s_{\pi} = 1$, and the multiplicity of B_{π} in A_w is $m_{\pi} = 2r$. It follows that A_w^{ord} is isogenous to $\prod_{\pi \in w} B_{\pi}$. The dimension formula is a consequence of Theorem 2.2(1) and $\mathbb{Q}(w) = \prod_{\pi \in w} \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$.

We can now reprove Deligne's main result of [De69]. We choose to state a contravariant form in accordance with our overall choice. By precomposing with the functor dual abelian variety we can pass from contravariant to covariant equivalences.

Recall that $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ denotes the full subcategory of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ consisting of ordinary abelian varieties. Similarly, we denote by $\mathcal{R}_q^{\mathrm{ord}}$ the projective system $(R_w, r_{v,w})$ restricted to finite sets w of ordinary Weil q-numbers.

THEOREM 8.4 (Deligne [De69]): Let $q = p^r$ be a power of a prime number p. There exists an ind-abelian variety $\mathcal{A}^{\text{ord}} = (A_w^{\text{ord}}, \varphi_{w,w'})$ indexed by finite sets $w \subseteq W_q^{\text{ord}}$ of ordinary Weil q-numbers, such that A_w^{ord} is w-locally projective, the transition maps are inclusions, and $\text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\text{ord}}) = R_w$. The contravariant and $\mathcal{R}_q^{\text{ord}}$ -linear functor

$$T^{\operatorname{ord}} \colon \operatorname{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^{\operatorname{ord}} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(\mathcal{R}_q^{\operatorname{ord}}), \quad T^{\operatorname{ord}}(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, \mathcal{A}^{\operatorname{ord}})$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories which preserves the support. Moreover, the \mathbb{Z} -rank of $T^{\text{ord}}(X)$ is equal to $2\dim(X)$.

Proof. The construction of Proposition 7.1 applied to an A_w^{ord} produced by Proposition 8.3 yields an injective cogenerator $A_{v,w}^{\text{ord}}$ such that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_{v,w}^{\text{ord}})$ is an R_v -algebra that is a quotient of $R_w = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\text{ord}})$. It follows that also $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_{v,w}^{\text{ord}}) = R_v$.

Now the construction of the ind-abelian variety \mathcal{A}^{ord} works as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 by replacing *w*-balanced abelian varieties by varieties A_w^{ord} that are *w*-locally projective with $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\text{ord}}) = R_w$. This proves the claim.

The reduction of multiplicity achieved in Proposition 8.3 allows to complete the structure theory of *w*-locally projective abelian varieties begun in Section 3. Recall that there is a tensor product construction between abelian varieties with multiplication by a ring R and certain R-modules; see Serre's appendix to [La01] and [JKP+18, §4.1].

THEOREM 8.5: Let w be a finite set of ordinary Weil q-numbers, and let A_w^{ord} be a w-locally projective abelian variety with $R_w = \text{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\text{ord}})$ as in Proposition 8.3. Then any w-locally projective abelian variety A is of the form

$$A \simeq A_w^{\operatorname{ord}} \otimes_{R_w} P$$

where $P = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\operatorname{ord}}, A)$ is a finitely generated projective R_w -module.

Proof. Proposition 3.9 (1) shows that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\operatorname{ord}}, A)$ is indeed projective. The general properties of the tensor product yield an evaluation map

$$A_w^{\operatorname{ord}} \otimes_{R_w} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A_w^{\operatorname{ord}}, A) \longrightarrow A.$$

In order to show that this map is an isomorphism, it suffices to show this locally on ℓ -adic and p-adic Tate modules. There it follows because A and A_w^{ord} are w-locally projective and $T_\ell(A_w^{\text{ord}})$ (resp. $T_p(A_w^{\text{ord}})$) is locally free of rank 1 (resp. the unique indecomposable projective module, see Proposition 3.6). Remark 8.6: Using Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 8.5 one obtains a complete description of the isogeny classes of AV_w containing an injective cogenerator for AV_w . See also Remark 3.11.

8.2. INJECTIVE COGENERATORS WITH COMMUTATIVE ENDOMORPHISM RING. Let $W \subseteq W_q$ be a (possibly infinite²) set of Weil *q*-numbers. The proof of Theorem 1.1 adapts immediately to provide an ind-abelian variety \mathcal{A}_W that ind-represents an anti-equivalence of AV_W with $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(\mathcal{S}_W)$, where S_W equals the pro-ring $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathcal{A}_W)$.

We would like to determine all sets W for which there is such an \mathcal{A}_W as above with S_W commutative.

Recall that we denote by r the degree of \mathbb{F}_q over \mathbb{F}_p .

PROPOSITION 8.7: Let π be a Weil q-number, and let A_{π} be an injective cogenerator for AV_{π} that has a commutative ring of endomorphisms S_{π} . Then

- (1) π is ordinary, or
- (2) r = 1 and π is not the real conjugacy class of Weil *p*-numbers $\{\pm \sqrt{p}\}$.

Proof. In order to have a commutative ring of endomorphisms, A_{π} must be \mathbb{F}_{q} simple and $s_{\pi} = 1$. The last condition also imples that $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ has no real places
and thus that a reduced π -balanced object exists. If π is not ordinary, then by
Theorem 3.10 (note that $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{\pi})$ is connected), the abelian variety A_{π} must
be isogenous to a power of a reduced π -balanced abelian variety. The variety A_{π} being \mathbb{F}_{q} -simple, this in particular implies $\overline{m}_{\pi} = 1$, and thus $r = s_{\pi} \cdot \overline{m}_{\pi} = 1$.

We conclude that the examples of commutative cases as presented in Section 1.5 of the introduction cover all commutative cases.

PROPOSITION 8.8: The only sets of Weil q-numbers W with a commutative S_W and an ind-representable \mathcal{R}_W -linear anti-equivalence $AV_W \to Mod_{\mathbb{Z}-tf}(S_W)$ are contained in

- (i) the set $W^{\text{ord}} \subseteq W_q$ of ordinary Weil q-numbers, or
- (ii) the set $W_p^{\text{com}} = W_p \setminus \{\pm \sqrt{p}\}$ of non-real Weil p-numbers.

² We choose the notation W over w to distinguish the case of a distinctive finite set w of Weil q-numbers from the general case W.

Isr. J. Math.

Proof. Let \mathcal{A}_W be an inductive system of abelian varieties from AV_W that ind-represents an anti-equivalence of categories as in the proposition. By a diagonal process based on the technique of Proposition 7.1, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}_W = (A_w)_{w \subseteq W}$ is indexed by finite subsets of Weil *q*-numbers in W, and such that A_w represents the restriction of the anti-equivalence to AV_w . It follows from Theorem 6.6 that, for all $\pi \in W$, the abelian variety A_{π} must be an injective cogenerator for AV_{π} with a commutative ring of endomorphisms. Now the claim follows from Proposition 8.7.

8.3. LATTICES OF OPTIMAL RANK. A noticeable feature of the main result Theorem 1.1 is the necessity to work with lattices whose rank is a multiple of the first Betti number.

PROPOSITION 8.9: Let $\Lambda : AV_{\mathbb{F}_q} \to Mod_{\mathbb{Z}-tf}(\mathbb{Z})$ be an additive, contravariant functor that attaches to any abelian variety over \mathbb{F}_q a free \mathbb{Z} -module of finite rank. Assume that there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\Lambda(X)) = \gamma \cdot \dim(X)$$

for any X in $AV_{\mathbb{F}_q}$. Then γ is divisible by $2 \operatorname{lcm}(r, 2)$.

Proof. Let π be a Weil q-number, and B_{π} a simple object of $\mathsf{AV}_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ associated to π . The Q-vector space $\Lambda(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ defines, via functoriality of Λ , a right representation of $E_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

Since E_{π} is a division algebra, E_{π} is the unique simple object in the category of right representations of E_{π} . It follows that $\Lambda(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to a multiple of E_{π} . Therefore

 $\gamma \cdot \dim(B_{\pi}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Lambda(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$

is a multiple of (using Theorem 2.2(1))

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{\pi} = s_{\pi}^2[\mathbb{Q}(\pi) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2s_{\pi} \dim(B_{\pi}).$$

Hence γ is divisible by the least common multiple of all $2s_{\pi}$ for all Weil qnumbers π . It was discussed in Section 2.4 that s_{π} always divides lcm(r, 2).

To complete the proof it is enough to show that

- for any r > 2 there exists a Weil q-number π' such that $s_{\pi'} = r$, and
- for any $r \ge 1$ there exists a Weil q-number π'' with $s_{\pi''} = 2$.

The first statement is the content of Lemma 8.10 below. The second is proved after checking that any root π'' of $x^2 - q$ satisfies the required properties.

LEMMA 8.10: Let r > 2, and let π be a root of the polynomial $f(x) = x^2 - px + q$, which is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then π defines a Weil q-number such that the index s_{π} of E_{π} is r.

Proof. The discriminant of f(x) is $p^2 - 4q < 0$. Thus f(x) is irreducible with two complex conjugate roots and hence π is a Weil *q*-number.

In order to compute s_{π} we must compute the order of the local invariants at *p*-adic places as in Theorem 2.2(2). The assumption r > 2 ensures that the Newton polygon of $x^2 - px + q$ with respect to the *p*-adic valuation v_p has two different (negative) slopes 1 and r - 1. Therefore f(x) splits in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ into a product of two distinct linear factors corresponding to two distinct primes of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ above *p*. Moreover, by comparing with the slopes, we can choose a prime $\mathfrak{p} \mid p$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\pi) = 1$. By Theorem 2.2(2), the local invariant of the division ring E_{π} at \mathfrak{p} is $1/r \pmod{1}$, which suffices to ensure $s_{\pi} = r$.

9. Abelian varieties isogenous to a power of an elliptic curve

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q and $\pi = \pi_E$ the associated Weil q-number. The category AV_{π} defined in Section 1.2 is the full subcategory of AV_q whose objects are the abelian varieties over \mathbb{F}_q isogenous to a power of E. This category is the object of study of [JKP+18]. In this stimulating paper the authors give a characterization of those elliptic curves E for which the functor

$$T_E \colon \operatorname{AV}_{\pi} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\operatorname{-tf}}(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(E)),$$
$$T_E(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, E)$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories. Their main result [JKP+18, Theorem 1.1] says that T_E is an anti-equivalence precisely in the following cases (recall that $r = [\mathbb{F}_q : \mathbb{F}_p]$):

- π is ordinary and $\operatorname{End}(E) = R_{\pi}$;
- π is supersingular, r = 1, and $\operatorname{End}(E) = R_{\pi}$, or
- π is supersingular, r = 2, and End(E) is of \mathbb{Z} -rank 4.

Remark 9.1: This result provides the complete list of Weil q-numbers π for which an injective cogenerator of dimension 1 for AV_{π} exists.

For those supersingular Weil q-numbers π associated to an elliptic curve that are left out from the treatment of [JKP+18], it is natural to ask what the minimal dimension of an injective cogenerator of AV_{π} is. To this purpose we draw the following consequence of Theorem 3.10.

COROLLARY 9.2: Let π be a Weil q-number corresponding to an isogeny class of supersingular elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$\bar{m}_{\pi} = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } \pi \notin \mathbb{Q} \\ r/2 & \text{if } \pi \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

is an integer and equals the minimal dimension of an object $A \in AV_{\pi}$ such that the functor

$$T_A \colon \mathsf{AV}_{\pi} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(A)),$$

$$T_A(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(X, A),$$

is an anti-equivalence of categories.

Proof. The formula for \bar{m}_{π} follows from Theorem 2.2(1). If π is rational, then r is even, hence \bar{m}_{π} is a natural number.

Let A_{π} be a reduced π -balanced abelian variety; see Theorem 2.7 for the construction. Since $\operatorname{Spec}(R_{\pi})$ is connected and π is supersingular, Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 6.6 show that any injective cogenerator for AV_{π} is isogenous to a power of \overline{A}_{π} . Because \overline{A}_{π} is π -locally projective, it is an injective cogenerator by Theorem 6.6. In particular, the minimal dimension of an injective cogenerator is equal to $\dim(\overline{A}_{\pi})$, which equals $\overline{m}_{\pi} \cdot \dim(B_{\pi})$. Since B_{π} is 1-dimensional the corollary follows.

We conclude the section and the paper with the detailed analysis of an example of a Weil number π corresponding to a supersingular elliptic curve where the reduced π -balanced object \bar{A}_{π} is 2-dimensional. In this example, all local invariants of $E_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ are trivial and the endomorphism ring of \bar{A}_{π} is a non-maximal order of $M_2(\mathbb{Q}(\pi))$ that will be computed explicitly.

Let p be a prime number such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ and let r = 2, so that $q = p^2$. Let $\pi = ip$ be the Weil q-number whose minimal polynomial is $x^2 + p^2$. Let B_{π} be any \mathbb{F}_q -simple abelian variety over \mathbb{F}_q associated to π via Honda– Tate theory. Since p is inert in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(i) = \mathbb{Q}(\pi)$, we deduce from Theorem 2.2(2) that all local invariants of E_{π} are trivial. Hence

$$E_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(\pi) = \mathbb{Q}(i)$$

164

is commutative and B_{π} is an elliptic curve by Theorem 2.2(1). Since the trace $\pi + q/\pi$ of π is zero, the elliptic curve B_{π} is supersingular, however, not all of its geometric endomorphisms are defined over \mathbb{F}_q . The minimal central order associated to $\{\pi\}$ is

$$R_{\pi} = \mathbb{Z}[\pi, q/\pi] = \mathbb{Z}[ip],$$

which has index p in the maximal order $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. In particular, R_{π} is not maximal at p. On the other hand, any such supersingular elliptic curve B_{π} has an endomorphism ring which is maximal at p, cf. [Wa69, Theorem 4.2(3)]. Thus the inclusion of R_{π} in $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi})$ is proper and more precisely

$$\mathbb{Z}[ip] = R_{\pi} \subsetneq \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) = \mathbb{Z}[i].$$

This shows that the functor

$$T_{B_{\pi}} \colon \operatorname{AV}_{\pi} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(B_{\pi})),$$
$$T_{B_{\pi}}(X) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}(X, B_{\pi})$$

is not an anti-equivalence, for otherwise $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi})$ would have to agree with R_{π} (see Proposition 6.10). More directly, we can use that $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}) = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a principal ideal domain and therefore $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}\text{-tf}}(\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi}))$ has a unique simple object. On the other hand, it follows from [Wa69, Theorem 5.1] that AV_{π} has two non-isomorphic objects of dimension 1, which therefore are both simple objects (see also Remark 9.6). That also shows that $T_{B_{\pi}}(-)$ is not an antiequivalence.

The functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-, B_{\pi})$ has only a problem locally at p that prevents it from being fully faithful. We will calculate Dieudonné modules for B_{π} , for its Frobenius twist

$$B_{\pi}^{(p)} = B_{\pi} \times_{\mathbb{F}_q, \text{Frob}} \mathbb{F}_q$$

and for a choice of a reduced balanced \bar{A}_{π} in order to show what the obstacle is and how \bar{A}_{π} circumvents the local problem at p. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}_p(\pi)$ be the completion of $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ at the unique prime above p, fix an embedding $W(\mathbb{F}_q) \hookrightarrow K$ and denote by \mathfrak{o}_K the image of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$. The nontrivial Galois automorphism of K/\mathbb{Q}_p will be denoted by $a \mapsto \bar{a}$.

Isr. J. Math.

LEMMA 9.3: There is an isomorphism of K-algebras $\psi : \mathscr{D}^0_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_2(K)$,

$$\psi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ 0 & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } a \in W(\mathbb{F}_q),$$
$$\psi(\mathscr{F}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ ip & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \psi(\mathscr{V}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\\ p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The integral Dieudonné ring \mathscr{D}_{π} is identified by ψ with the \mathfrak{o}_{K} -subalgebra

(9.1)
$$\psi(\mathscr{D}_{\pi}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{2}(\mathfrak{o}_{K}); p | c \text{ and } a \equiv \bar{d} \pmod{p} \right\}$$

of index p^4 in the maximal order $M_2(\mathfrak{o}_K)$.

Proof. The minimal polynomial of $\pi = ip$ is $P_{\pi}(x) = x^2 + p^2$. As defined in Section 2.1, it follows that $h_{\pi}(F, V) = F + V$, and (2.5) implies the description

$$\mathscr{D}^0_{\pi} = W(\mathbb{F}_q)\{\mathscr{F},\mathscr{V}\}/\mathscr{F}\mathscr{V} - p,\mathscr{F}^2 + \mathscr{V}^2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

in terms of generators and relations. A computation shows that ψ is well defined. Since both \mathscr{D}^0_{π} and $M_2(K)$ are central simple algebras over K of degree 2, the map ψ must be an isomorphism.

It remains to compute the image $\psi(\mathscr{D}_{\pi})$. The elements $\mathscr{V}, 1, \mathscr{F}$ and \mathscr{F}^2 span \mathscr{D}_{π} as a left $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module. Hence $\psi(\mathscr{D}_{\pi})$ is the set of

$$\psi(u\mathscr{V} + x \cdot 1 + v\mathscr{F} + y\mathscr{F}^2) = \begin{pmatrix} x + yip & v - iu \\ p(-\bar{u} + i\bar{v}) & \bar{x} + \bar{y}ip \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $x, u, y, v \in W(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Now the claim follows from

$$\begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} x + yip \\ \bar{x} + \bar{y}ip \end{pmatrix}; x, y \in W(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ d \end{pmatrix}; a, d \in W(\mathbb{F}_q), \ a \equiv \bar{d} \pmod{p} \\ \end{cases}, \\ \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} v - iu \\ -\bar{u} + i\bar{v} \end{pmatrix}; u, v \in W(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} b \\ c' \end{pmatrix}; b, c' \in W(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \end{cases}.$$

Via the embedding $\mathscr{D}_{\pi} \subseteq M_2(K)$ induced by ψ of Lemma 9.3 we obtain two \mathscr{D}_{π} -modules

$$\Lambda_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{o}_K^{\oplus 2}; p \mid c \}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \mathfrak{o}_K^{\oplus 2},$$

as the first column, respectively the second column, of the matrix description. This results in the following embedding of \mathscr{D}_{π} -modules:

(9.2)
$$\mathscr{D}_{\pi} \subseteq \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2 \subseteq \mathrm{M}_2(\mathfrak{o}_K) \simeq \Lambda_2 \oplus \Lambda_2.$$

LEMMA 9.4: Up to K^{\times} -homothety, there are only two \mathscr{D}_{π} -lattices in $K^{\oplus 2}$, namely Λ_1 and Λ_2 .

Proof. Since we have

$$p \operatorname{M}_2(\mathfrak{o}_K) \subseteq \mathscr{D}_\pi \subseteq \operatorname{M}_2(\mathfrak{o}_K),$$

any \mathscr{D}_{π} -lattice Λ can by homothety be brought to a position

$$p\Lambda_2 \subseteq \Lambda \subseteq \Lambda_2.$$

The choice of Λ corresponds to a \mathscr{D}_{π} -stable subgroup of

$$\Lambda_2/p\Lambda_2 = \mathfrak{o}_K^{\oplus 2}/p\mathfrak{o}_K^{\oplus 2} = \mathbb{F}_q^{\oplus 2}$$

on which \mathscr{D}_{π} acts through the reduction mod p, i.e., the image of

$$\mathscr{D}_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathfrak{o}_{K}) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathfrak{o}_{K}/p\mathfrak{o}_{K}) \simeq \mathrm{M}_{2}(\mathbb{F}_{q}).$$

This image consists of

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{F}_q); a = \bar{d} \right\}.$$

Therefore the only nontrivial invariant subspace in $\Lambda_2/p\Lambda_2$ is the image of Λ_1 .

Let Frob : $B_{\pi} \to B_{\pi}^{(p)}$ be the \mathbb{F}_q -isogeny given by the relative (*p*-)Frobenius morphism. We set $T_p(B_{\pi}) = \Lambda$ and identify Frob via Dieudonné theory with the inclusion of \mathscr{D}_{π} -modules

$$T_p(B^{(p)}_\pi) = \mathscr{F}\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda = T_p(B_\pi),$$

which has a cokernel of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -length 1 corresponding to the kernel of Frobenius under the Dieudonné functor for finite group schemes. Up to exchanging B_{π} with its Frobenius twist, we may and will assume that

$$T_p(B_\pi) = \Lambda_2$$
 and $T_p(B_\pi^{(p)}) = \Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda_2$.

With these identifications in place, the \mathscr{D}_{π} -embedding $\mathscr{D}_{\pi} \hookrightarrow \Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2$ from (9.2) has cokernel of $W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ -length 1 and determines an isogeny

$$B^{(p)}_{\pi} \times B_{\pi} \longrightarrow \bar{A}_{\pi}$$

of degree p with $T_p(\bar{A}_{\pi}) = \mathscr{D}_{\pi}$.

PROPOSITION 9.5: The abelian variety \bar{A}_{π} constructed above is a reduced π -balanced object in AV_{π} and hence an injective cogenerator.

Proof. By construction, $T_p(\bar{A}_{\pi}) = \mathscr{D}_{\pi}$ is a free \mathscr{D}_{π} -module of rank 1. For $\ell \neq p$, the $R_{\pi} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ -module $T_{\ell}(\bar{A}_{\pi})$ is free of rank 2 because $R_{\pi} = \mathbb{Z}[ip]$ and the localization $R_{\pi}[\frac{1}{p}] = \mathbb{Z}[i, \frac{1}{p}]$ is a Dedekind ring, hence R_{π} is regular away from p.

Remark 9.6: We may formulate the failure for B_{π} to be an injective cogenerator as follows. There are failures in two steps:

- (1) There are two non-isomorphic \mathscr{D}_{π} lattices Λ_1 and Λ_2 that represent non-isomorphic simple objects in AV_{π} , while the target category of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(B_{\pi})$ -modules has only one isomorphism type of simple objects that those can be mapped to.
- (2) The reduced π -balanced abelian variety \bar{A}_{π} does a better job because first of all it combines both \mathscr{D}_{π} -lattices. But $B_{\pi}^{(p)} \times B_{\pi}$ also does this, and still $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(-, B_{\pi}^{(p)} \times B_{\pi})$ is not an anti-equivalence, because otherwise $B_{\pi}^{(p)} \times B_{\pi}$ was π -locally projective and then the same would apply to the direct factor B_{π} , contradiction.
- (3) The decisive improvement of \bar{A}_{π} over the product $B_{\pi}^{(p)} \times B_{\pi}$ comes from choosing an appropriate sublattice \mathscr{D}_{π} in the product $\Lambda_1 \oplus \Lambda_2$. Now, the abelian variety \bar{A}_{π} has $T_p(\bar{A}_{\pi}) = \mathscr{D}_{\pi}$ and so is also locally projective at p. The construction of the sublattice can be described in terms of a congruence as follows. Although Λ_1 is not isomorphic to Λ_2 as \mathscr{D}_{π} -modules, the Frobenius on \mathbb{F}_q induces an isomorphism of finite \mathscr{D}_{π} -modules

This congruence yields a description of \mathscr{D}_{π} as a fiber product of \mathscr{D}_{π} -modules

and in some sense it is this coincidence of a congruence between Λ_1 and Λ_2 as \mathscr{D}_{π} -lattices which endows $T_p(\bar{A}_{\pi})$ and a posteriori \bar{A}_{π} with its remarkable properties.

We conclude the example by computing $S_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\bar{A}_{\pi}).$

PROPOSITION 9.7: There is an isomorphism

$$S_{\pi} = \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\bar{A}_{\pi}) \simeq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{M}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]); p | c \text{ and } a \equiv \bar{d} \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Proof. This follows by the proof of Theorem 4.9 applied to the isogeny of *p*-power degree

$$\varphi: B_{\pi} \times B_{\pi} \xrightarrow{\text{Frob} \times \text{id}} B_{\pi}^{(p)} \times B_{\pi} \to \bar{A}_{\pi}.$$

As a consequence of Proposition 9.7 the category AV_{π} is anti-equivalent to the category of modules over the non-maximal order S_{π} of $M_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ which are finite and free as \mathbb{Z} -modules.

OPEN ACCESS. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the appropriate credit is given to the original authors and the source, and a link is provided to the Creative Commons license, indicating if changes were made (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

References

- [BKM23] J. Bergström, V. Karemaker and S. Marseglia, Polarizations of abelian varieties over finite fields via canonical liftings, International Mathematics Research Notices 2023 (2023), 3194–3248.
- [BHN32] R. Brauer, H. Hasse and E. Noether, Beweis eines Hauptsatzes in der Theorie der Algebren, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 167 (1932), 399–404.
- $\begin{array}{ll} [{\rm CS15}] & {\rm T. \ Centeleghe \ and \ J. \ Stix, \ Categories \ of \ abelian \ varieties \ over \ finite \ fields \ I: \ Abelian \ varieties \ over \ \mathbb{F}_p, \ Algebra \ \& \ Number \ Theory \ 9 \ (2015), \ 225-265. \end{array}$
- [De69] P. Deligne, Variétés abéliennes ordinaire sur un corps fini, Inventiones Mathematicae 8 (1969), 238–243.
- [Fr64] P. Freyd, Abelian Categories, Harper's Series in Modern Mathematics, Harper and Row, New York, 1964.
- [Gi68] J. Giraud, Remarque sur une formule de Shimura-Taniyama, Inventiones Mathematicae 5 (1968), 231–236.
- [Ho68] T. Honda, Isogeny classes of abelian varieties over finite fields, Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan 20 (1968), 83–95.
- [Ho95] E. W. Howe, Principally polarized ordinary abelian varieties over finite fields, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 347 (1995), 2361–2401.

- [JKP+18] B. W. Jordan, A. G. Keeton, B. Poonen, E. M. Rains, N. Shepherd-Barron and J. T. Tate, Abelian varieties isogenous to a power of an elliptic curve, Compositio Mathematica 154 (2018), 934–959.
- [Ka11] E. Kani, Products of CM elliptic curves, Collectanea Mathematica 62 (2011), 297–339.
- [La01] K. Lauter, The maximum or minimum number of rational points on genus three curves over finite fields, Compositio Mathematica 134 (2002), 87–111.
- [Mi80] J. S. Milne, *Étale Cohomology*, Princeton Mathematical Series, Vol. 33, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1980.
- [OS20] A. Oswal and A. N. Shankar, Almost ordinary abelian varieties over finite fields, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 101 (2020), 923–937.
- [Re75] I. Reiner, Maximal Orders, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, Vol. 28, The Clarendon press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [SGA3] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck (eds.), Schémas en groupes. I: Propriétés générales des schémas en groupes. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 151, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1970.
- [Ta66] J. Tate, Endomorphisms of Abelian varieties over finite fields, Inventiones Mathematicae 2 (1966), 134–144.
- [Ta68] J. Tate, Classes d'isogénie des variétés abéliennes sur un corps fini, in Sém. Bourbaki, Vol. 1968/69, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 175, Springer, Berlin, pp. 95–110.
- [Wa69] W. C. Waterhouse, Abelian varieties over finite fields, Annales Scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure 2 (1969), 521–560.
- [WM71] W. C. Waterhouse, J. S. Milne, Abelian varieties over finite fields, in 1969 Number Theory Institute, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1971, pp. 53–64.
- [Yu12] C.-F. Yu, Superspecial abelian varieties over finite prime fields, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 216 (2012), 1418–1427.
- [Za77] Yu. G. Zarhin, Endomorphisms of abelian varieties and points of finite order in characteristic p, Matematicheskie Zametki 21 (1977), 737–744; English translatiion in Mathematical Notes 21 (1978), 415–419.
- [Za17] Yu. G. Zarhin, Almost isomorphic abelian varieties, European Journal of Mathematics 3 (2017), 22–33.