New Phytologist Supporting Information

Article title: Forest wildflowers bloom earlier as Europe warms: Lessons from herbaria and spatial modeling

Authors: Franziska M. Willems, J. F. Scheepens and Oliver Bossdorf.

Article acceptance date: 21 February 2022.

Table S1: The 20 studied forest understories herbs, their respective families and the number of herbarium specimens of each species that were included in the analyses.

Species	Family	Ν
Adoxa moschatellina	Adoxaceae	415
Alliaria petiolata	Brassicaceae	252
Allium ursinum	Amaryllidaceae	206
Anemone nemorosa	Ranunculaceae	661
Anemone ranunculoides	Ranunculaceae	165
Arum maculatum	Araceae	46
Cardamine bulbifera	Brassicaceae	272
Euphorbia amygdaloides	Euphorbiaceae	93
Ficaria verna	Ranunculaceae	445
Galium odoratum	Rubiaceae	295
Lathyrus vernus	Fabaceae	343
Mercurialis perennis	Euphorbiaceae	349
Oxalis acetosella	Oxalidaceae	85
Paris quadrifolia	Melanthiaceae	409
Polygonatum verticillatum	Asparagaceae	64
Primula elatior	Primulaceae	193
Pulmonaria obscura	Boraginaceae	460
Ranunculus auricomus agg.	Ranunculaceae	330
Stellaria holostea	Caryophyllaceae	448
Viola reichenbachiana	Violaceae	600

	Estimate	SD	95% CI
Precision for the Gaussian observations	4.27E-03	1.00E-04	4.09E-03, 4.48E-03
Precision for RE species intercepts	8.47E-03	3.99E-03	3.72E-03, 1.88E-02
Precision for RE species slopes	1.83E+04	1.93E+04	2.07E+03, 6.91E+04
Range for spatial RE [km]	214.07	64.94	92.06, 331.46
SD for spatial RE [m]	1.88	1.89	14.8, 22.11

Table S2. Estimates, standard deviations and 95% credible intervals for the hyperparameter values in model A with spatial correlation. RE = random effect.

Table S3. Model estimates, standard deviations and 95% credible intervals for the parameters in model A without spatial correlation. RE = random effect.

	Estimate	SD	95% CI
Intercept	135.10	2.55	130.09, 140.10
Years [Decades]	-1.43	0.09	-1.60, -1.25
Elevation [100 m]	-0.34	0.10	-0.53, -0.14
Precision for the Gaussian observations	2.56E-03	4.07E-05	2.49E-03, 2.65E-03
Precision for RE species intercepts	7.86E-03	2.98E-04	7.29E-03, 8.48E-03
Precision for RE species slopes	1.58E+04	5.41E+02	1.48E+04, 1.70E+04

	Estimate	SD	95% CI
Precision for the Gaussian observations	0.0047	0.0001	0.0046, 0.0048
Precision for RE species intercepts	0.0089	0.0033	0.0043, 0.0170
Precision for RE species slopes	481.9	361.4	76.5, 1414.8
Range for spatial RE [km]	113.61	20.64	75.13, 162.53
SD for spatial RE [m]	16.23	1.23	13.70, 18.94

Table S4. Estimates, standard deviations and 95% credible intervals for the hyperparametervalues in model B with spatial correlation. RE = random effect.

Table S5. Estimates, standard deviation and 95% credible intervals for the parameters and hyperparameters in the model B version without spatial correlation. RE = random effect.

	Estimate	SD	95% CI
Intercept	135.90	2.50	130.94, 140.77
Spring Temperature [°C]	-0.21	0.08	-0.37, -0.06
Winter Temperature [°C]	-5.39	0.16	-5.70, -5.09
Precipitation [mm/10]	-0.53	0.14	-0.81, -0.26
Elevation [100 m]	0.52	0.10	0.33, 0.71
Years [Decade]	-0.05	0.11	-0.27, 0.18
SpTemp:Year	0.06	0.04	-0.01, 0.13
SpTemp:Elevation	0.13	0.04	0.06, 0.20
SpTemp:Precipitation	-0.04	0.05	-0.15, 0.06
SpTemp:WinterTemperature	-0.07	0.02	-0.12, -0.02
Precision for the Gaussian observations	4.0e-03	< 0.001	4.00e-03, 4.00e-03
Precision for RE species intercepts	7.0e-03	2.00e-03	4.00e-03, 1.10e-03
Precision for RE species slopes	4.8e+04	1.52e+04	2.56e+04, 8.45e+04



Figure S1: The mesh – based on refined Delaunay triangulation – used to estimate spatial autocorrelation of herbarium specimen data across Europe. The covariance matrix is estimated only for the inner area (see Methods section), and the outer area is a buffer zone against potential boundary effects. The sampling locations (red dots) are projected from latitude/longitude values onto the UTM coordinate system.



Figure S2. The spatial correlation of flowering time data in models A and model B (after the effect of the covariates have been accounted for).

Residuals: without spatial correlation

Residuals: with spatial correlation



Figure S3. Spatial patterns in the residuals of the model A (top panels) and model B (bottom panels), without (left) and with (right) accounting for spatial correlation in the models. Larger points indicate larger residuals and point color indicates whether residuals were negative (red) or positive (blue).



Figure S4. Residuals plotted against each covariate in model A without (left) and with spatial correlation (right). The red lines are thin plate regression spline smoothers, fitted with the *mgcv* package (Wood 2006), with 95% confidence intervals in gray, to aid visual interpretation.



Figure S5. Residuals of Model A plotted against covariate that were not included in model A, without (left) and with spatial correlation (right). The red lines are thin plate regression spline smoothers, fitted with the *mgcv* package (Wood 2006), with 95% confidence intervals in gray, to aid visual interpretation.



Figure S6. DIC values (left panel) and model estimates (regression coefficients; right panel) of model B without spatial correlation and with spatial correlation, using different mesh sizes. For our analyses with spatial correlation we chose the finest mesh100 (number indicates the assumed range of spatial correlation).

Without spatial correlation

With spatial correlation





Figure S7. Observed vs. fitted values for model A (top) and model B (bottom), without (left) and with (right) spatial correlation. The red diagonal is the identity line. In both models that included spatial correlation the fitted values were much closer to the observed values (r = 0.78 vs. 0.57 for Model A and r = 0.82 vs 0.70 for Model B; Fig. S7).



Figure S8: Residuals vs. fitted values (top) and histogram of the residuals (bottom) of model A without (left) and with spatial correlation (right). Residuals and fitted values of model B showed similar patterns.



Figure S9: Residuals of model A for each species (included as a random factor) without (top) and with spatial correlation (bottom). The standard box plots consist of: horizontal lines = medians; boxes = interquartile ranges; whiskers = min to max values, excluding (potential) outliers, open circles = potential outliers. The box widths are proportional to the sample sizes.

References

Wood SN. 2006. *Generalized additive models: An introduction with R.* Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.