

Measuring the light meson nuclear modification factor in p–Pb collisions over an unprecedented p_T range with ALICE

Joshua König^{1*} for the ALICE collaboration

¹ Goethe-Universität Frankfurt am Main

* joshua.konig@cern.ch

August 12, 2021



*Proceedings for the XXVIII International Workshop
on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects,
Stony Brook University, New York, USA, 12-16 April 2021
doi:10.21468/SciPostPhysProc.?*

Abstract

Differential invariant cross sections of light neutral mesons in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV and in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV have been measured up to very high transverse momentum (p_T). By combining independent reconstruction techniques available in ALICE using the EMCal and PHOS calorimeters as well as the central barrel tracking detectors, the combined spectra cover almost two orders of magnitude in p_T for the π^0 meson. The nuclear modification factor R_{pPb} has been measured for the π^0 and η mesons and is found to be consistent with NLO pQCD, CGC and energy loss calculations. Comparisons to the R_{pPb} of π^0 measured in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV hint at a stronger suppression at low p_T with increasing collision energy.

1 Introduction

Ultrarelativistic collisions of protons and nuclei provide an ideal environment to study the influence of initial-state effects on particle production. In contrast to Pb-Pb collisions it is expected that in p–Pb collisions the energy density is not high enough to form a quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Modifications of the particle production in p–Pb collisions compared to pp collisions can therefore be attributed to a modification of the parton distribution functions (PDFs), describing the fractional momentum (x) of the partons in the nucleon. Measurements of the PDFs show that in a nucleus, the PDFs of the partons (nPDFs) are modified compared to the PDF of a single proton or neutron: At small x , a significant depletion of the nPDFs is observed, commonly known as shadowing. In addition to nuclear shadowing, effects of gluon saturation in the heavy nucleus can be described by the Color-Glass-Condensate (CGC) model [1]. Furthermore, parton energy loss in the cold nuclear matter can also play a role in the modification of particle production [2]. By comparing particle production in pp collisions and p–Pb collisions at the same center of mass

27 energy, the influence of the nuclear environment can be measured via the nuclear modification
28 factor:

$$R_{pA} = \frac{1}{A} \frac{d^2\sigma_{Pb}}{dp_T dy} / \frac{d^2\sigma_{pp}}{dp_T dy} \quad (1)$$

29 where A is the nuclear mass number, $d^2\sigma_{Pb}/dp_T dy$ the measured cross section in p–Pb collisions
30 and $d^2\sigma_{pp}/dp_T dy$ the measured cross-section in pp collisions at the same center of mass energy.
31 Any deviation from unity indicates a modification in particle production in p–Pb compared to pp.

32 2 Detector setup and datasets

33 The neutral meson measurements were performed using the dominant decay channel of the π^0 and
34 η meson into two photons. The photons can be reconstructed with the ALICE detector system
35 either via one of the electromagnetic calorimeters or with the photon-conversion method (PCM).
36 The latter makes use of the conversion probability of photons of about 8.9% before they reach
37 the main tracking detector, the time projection chamber (TPC). These converted photons can
38 be reconstructed by measuring the resulting e^\pm tracks with the central tracking detectors ITS
39 (inner tracking system) and TPC, providing an excellent energy resolution down to very low p_T .
40 Furthermore, photons can be measured using the electromagnetic calorimeter (EMCal), which
41 provides a large acceptance and can measure photons up to very high energies. Additionally, the
42 photon spectrometer (PHOS) complements the EMCal, having a fine cell granularity and therefore
43 providing a good energy resolution. A detailed description of the detector systems can be found
44 in [3, 4].

45 The datasets used for the analysis presented in this article are from p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$
46 TeV recorded in 2016 during the LHC run 2 data taking and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV recorded in
47 2012 during the LHC run 1. The data was recorded using the minimum-bias trigger which relies
48 on a coincident signal in both V0 detectors. Additionally calorimeter triggers are used, which
49 are based on a large energy deposit in the EMCal or PHOS in a small array of cells. Using these
50 triggers, integrated luminosities of $\mathcal{L} = 11 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ in p–Pb and $\mathcal{L} = 657 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ in pp are obtained.

51 3 Neutral Meson reconstruction

52 The reconstruction of the neutral mesons from their decay photons is performed using invariant
53 mass based methods and a purity based method.

54 3.1 Invariant Mass based methods

55 Using the energies (E_1, E_2) and positions of the measured photons, the invariant mass (m_{inv}) for
56 each photon-pair in the event can be calculated using $m_{inv} = \sqrt{2E_{\gamma 1}E_{\gamma 2} \cdot (1 - \cos(\Theta_{1,2}))}$, where
57 $\Theta_{1,2}$ is the opening angle between the two photons. Both photons can be measured by the same
58 photon reconstruction technique (PCM, EMCal, PHOS) or a hybrid approach (PCM-EMCal) is
59 used, where one photon is reconstructed with PCM and one is measured with the EMCal. The
60 background is estimated using a mixed-event technique. After background subtraction, the raw
61 yield is obtained by integration of the m_{inv} distribution around the meson mass which is estimated
62 by a combined parametrization of a Gaussian and exponential function.




Figure 1: π^0 (a) and η (d) meson differential invariant cross section for pp at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and for p-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV together with PYTHIA 8 and NLO calculations. (b), (c) and (e) show ratios of the data and theory calculations to the two component model (TCM) parametrizations [6] and (f) shows the η/π^0 ratio for both pp and p-Pb collisions together with theory calculations. Figure from [7].

63 3.2 Purity based methods

64 With rising p_T , the opening angle of the decay photons of a π^0 meson decreases. Beyond $p_T \approx 16$
 65 GeV/c, the cell granularity of the EMCAL does not allow separating the two photon showers any-
 66 more and as a result, only a single cluster containing both π^0 decay photons is measured. To be
 67 able to reconstruct neutral pions with the EMCAL up to very high p_T , the merged clusters have
 68 to be selected and the resulting raw yield has to be corrected for contamination. The merged
 69 π^0 clusters typically have an elliptical shape compared to circle-shaped single photon clusters.
 70 The shape is quantified by σ_{long}^2 which can be interpreted as the long axis of the cluster ellipse. A
 71 cut of $\sigma_{long}^2 > 0.27$ is used to select merged π^0 candidates while rejecting a large fraction of single
 72 photon clusters. The purity of the selected cluster sample exceeds 80% in all analyzed p_T intervals
 73 from $p_T = 16$ to 200 GeV/c.

74 3.3 Corrections and Combination

75 The raw π^0 and η meson yields are corrected for detector effects and contamination using the
 76 PYTHIA 8 Monte Carlo event generator together with GEANT3 detector simulations. In addition
 77 to acceptance, reconstruction efficiency and purity corrections, the π^0 spectra are corrected for
 78 secondary decays from K_s^0 , K_L^0 and Λ .
 79 The different invariant π^0 and η spectra obtained with the reconstruction techniques described
 80 in section 3.1 and 3.2 are combined using the BLUE [5] method which takes the statistical and
 81 systematic uncertainties into account.




Figure 2: R_{pPb} for π^0 and η mesons for p–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV as function of p_T together with CGC and FCEL calculations and two NLO calculations using different nPDFs. Figure from [7].

82 4 Results

83 Fig. 1 shows the differential invariant π^0 (a) and η (d) meson cross sections in p–Pb collisions
 84 at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV and in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7]. In p–Pb the π^0 (η) spectrum covers
 85 $0.4 \leq p_T < 200$ GeV/c ($1.0 \leq p_T < 50$ GeV/c) making it the highest p_T -reach for identified particles
 86 and η mesons to date. The pp π^0 reference measurement was extended to $p_T = 200$ GeV/c to
 87 match the p_T -reach of the p–Pb spectrum for the calculation of the R_{pPb} . The spectra obtained in p–
 88 Pb collisions are compared to pQCD NLO calculations using nCTEQ15 [8] together with DSS14 [9]
 89 for the π^0 and nCTEQ15 together with AESSS [10] for the η . Furthermore, comparisons to PYTHIA
 90 8 using nCTEQ15 are shown. While the NLO calculations overshoot the data especially for the η ,
 91 PYTHIA 8 matches the absolute magnitude of the data better. Using the π^0 and η meson spectra,
 92 the η/π^0 ratio can be calculated and is shown in Fig. 1(f) for p–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV and for
 93 pp at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. The two ratios are in very good agreement and a high p_T constant fit above
 94 3 GeV/c gives a value of 0.48 ± 0.01 for p–Pb and 0.47 ± 0.01 for pp. Both the NLO calculation
 95 as well as PYTHIA 8 predict a slightly lower η/π^0 ratio.

96 4.1 Nuclear modification factor

97 Fig. 2 shows the measured R_{pPb} for π^0 and η mesons in p–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV as function
 98 of p_T [7] together with pQCD NLO calculations using nPDFs EPPS16 [11] and nCTEQ15 as well
 99 as a CGC [12] and an FCEL [13] calculation. The pp reference spectrum at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV was
 100 corrected for the energy and rapidity shift to match the p–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV measurement.
 101 The π^0 measurement shows a strong suppression at low p_T which is described by all calculations
 102 except the NLO calculation using the nCTEQ15 nPDF which predicts a lower R_{pPb} compared to
 103 the data. At $p_T \approx 3$ GeV/c a Cronin-peak-like structure is visible, however it is not as pronounced
 104 as for charged hadrons [14]. Above $p_T = 10$ GeV/c the R_{pPb} of π^0 is compatible with unity which
 105 is consistent with all theoretical predictions shown. The η meson R_{pPb} is in agreement with the
 106 π^0 measurement within the uncertainties.




Figure 3: Left: R_{pPb} for neutral pions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV and at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV as well as the R_{pPb} of charged hadrons measured with ALICE and CMS at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. Right: Ratio of the R_{pPb} in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV to $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV together with theory calculations. Figures from [7].

107 4.2 Comparison to other measurements

108 Fig. 3 (a) shows R_{pPb} for π^0 at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV [7] compared to R_{pPb} for π^0 and charged hadrons
 109 at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV [14–16]. The charged hadron measurement exhibits a larger Cronin-peak-like
 110 structure compared to both π^0 measurements which is attributed to a stronger Cronin effect for
 111 baryons. From $p_T = 10$ GeV/c onward, the measurements of ALICE are in agreement and are com-
 112 patible with unity. The charged hadron measurement from CMS indicates a higher R_{pPb} than the
 113 π^0 measurement at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV, however the two measurements are still compatible within
 114 their respective uncertainties. To study a possible energy dependence of the R_{pPb} , the ratio of the
 115 R_{pPb} for π^0 at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV and at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV is shown in Fig. 3 (b) [7]. A constant
 116 fit to the data gives 0.93 ± 0.02 but taking the normalization uncertainty of 6.2% into account,
 117 which mostly originates from an interpolated π^0 reference measurement for the $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV
 118 results, the two R_{pPb} measurements are compatible. The theory calculations predict a mild energy
 119 dependence with at most 2-3% difference between the two collision energies.

120 5 Conclusion

121 The π^0 and η meson cross sections for p–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV have been measured up to
 122 very high transverse momentum. The π^0 reference measurement for pp at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV has been
 123 extended to match the p_T reach of the p–Pb measurement. The R_{pPb} at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV exhibits a
 124 strong suppression at low p_T and no suppression or enhancement at high p_T . It is compatible with
 125 most of the pQCD NLO, CGC and energy loss calculations. A comparison to the R_{pPb} at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} =$
 126 5.02 TeV hints at a larger suppression at low p_T with rising collision energy however the data is
 127 still compatible within the given uncertainty.

128 Acknowledgements

129 We thank W. Vogelsang, T. Lappi and H. Mäntysaari and F. Arleo et. al for proving the theory
 130 calculations.

131 The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable
132 contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the
133 outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges
134 the resources and support provided by all Grid centres and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid
135 (WLCG) collaboration.

136 **Funding information** Supported by BMBF and the Helmholtz Association

137 References

- [1] F. Gelis, E. Iancu, J. Jalilian-Marian, and R. Venugopalan, *The Color Glass Condensate*, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 60 (2010) 463–489, doi:[10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.nucl.010909.083629)
- [2] I. Vitev, *Non-Abelian energy loss in cold nuclear matter*, Phys. Rev. C 75 (2007) 064906, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevC.75.064906](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.75.064906)
- [3] D. Blau, *Performance of the ALICE electromagnetic calorimeters in LHC Runs 1 and 2 and upgrade projects*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.02928>
- [4] ALICE Collaboration, K. Aamodt et al., *The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC*, JINST 3 (2008) S08002, doi:[10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08002](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-0221/3/08/S08002)
- [5] A. Valassi and R. Chierici, *Information and treatment of unknown correlations in the combination of measurements using the BLUE method*, Eur. Phys. J. C 74 (2014) 2717, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2717-6](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-014-2717-6)
- [6] A. Bylinkin, N. S. Chernyavskaya, and A. A. Rostovtsev, *Predictions on the transverse momentum spectra for charged particle production at LHC-energies from a two component model*, Eur. Phys. J. C 75 (2015) 166, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3392-y](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-015-3392-y)
- [7] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya et al., *Nuclear modification factor of light neutral-meson spectra up to high transverse momentum in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 8.16$ TeV*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2104.03116>
- [8] K. Kovarik et al., *nCTEQ15 - Global analysis of nuclear parton distributions with uncertainties in the CTEQ framework*, Phys. Rev. D 93 no. 8, (2016), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.93.085037](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.93.085037)
- [9] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Epele, R. J. Hernández-Pinto, and M. Stratmann, *Parton-to-pion fragmentation reloaded*, Phys. Rev. D 91 no. 1, (2015) 014035, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.91.014035](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.91.014035)
- [10] C. A. Aidala, F. Ellinghaus, R. Sassot, J. P. Seele, and M. Stratmann, *Global analysis of fragmentation functions for η mesons*, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 034002, doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034002](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.83.034002)
- [11] K. J. Eskola, P. Paakkinen, H. Paukkunen, and C. A. Salgado, *EPPS16: Nuclear parton distributions with LHC data*, Eur. Phys. J. C 77 no. 3, (2017), doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4725-9](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-017-4725-9)

- [12] T. Lappi and H. Mäntysaari, *Single inclusive particle production at high energy from HERA data to proton-nucleus collisions*, Phys. Rev. D 88, 114020 (2013), doi:[10.1103/PhysRevD.88.114020](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.88.114020)
- [13] F. Arleo, F. Cougoulic, and S. Peigné, *Fully coherent energy loss effects on light hadron production in pA collisions*, JHEP 09 (2020) 190, doi:[10.1007/JHEP09\(2020\)190](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP09(2020)190)
- [14] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya et al., *Transverse momentum spectra and nuclear modification factors of charged particles in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC*. JHEP 11 (2018) 013, doi:[10.1016/j.physletb.2018.10.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2018.10.052)
- [15] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan et al., *Charged-particle nuclear modification factors in Pb–Pb and p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, JHEP 04 (2017) 039, doi:[10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2017.05.098](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2017.05.098)
- [16] ALICE Collaboration, S. Acharya et al., *Neutral pion and η meson production in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV*, Eur. Phys. J. C 78 no. 8, (2018) 624, doi:[10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6013-8](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-018-6013-8)