

CERN-PH-EP-2012-012

31 Jan 2012

**J/ψ suppression at forward rapidity
in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV**

ALICE Collaboration*

Abstract

The ALICE experiment has measured the inclusive J/ψ production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV down to zero transverse momentum in the rapidity range $2.5 < y < 4$. A suppression of the inclusive J/ψ yield in Pb-Pb is observed with respect to the one measured in pp collisions scaled by the number of binary nucleon-nucleon collisions. The nuclear modification factor, integrated over the 0%–80% most central collisions, is $0.545 \pm 0.032(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.083(\text{syst.})$ and does not exhibit a significant dependence on the collision centrality. These features appear significantly different from measurements at lower collision energies. Models including J/ψ production from charm quarks in a deconfined partonic phase can describe our data.

arXiv:1202.1383v3 [hep-ex] 15 Apr 2017

*See Appendix A for the list of collaboration members

Ultra-relativistic collisions of heavy nuclei aim at producing nuclear matter at high temperature and pressure. Under such conditions Quantum Chromodynamics predicts the existence of a deconfined state of partonic matter, the quark-gluon plasma (QGP). Among the possible probes of the QGP, heavy quarks are of particular interest since they are expected to be produced in the primary partonic scatterings and to coexist with the surrounding medium. Therefore, the measurement of quarkonium states and hadrons with open heavy flavor is expected to provide essential information on the properties of the strongly-interacting system formed in the early stages of heavy-ion collisions [1]. In particular, according to the color-screening model [2], measuring the in-medium dissociation probability of the different quarkonium states is expected to provide an estimate of the initial temperature of the system. In the past two decades, J/ψ production in heavy-ion collisions was intensively studied at the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) and at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC), from approximately 20 to 200 GeV center of mass energy per nucleon pair ($\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$). At the SPS, a strong J/ψ suppression was found in the most central Pb-Pb collisions [3]. The observed suppression is larger than the one expected from Cold Nuclear Matter (CNM) effects, which include nuclear absorption and (anti-) shadowing. The dissociation of excited $c\bar{c}$ states like χ_c and $\psi(2S)$, which in pp collisions constitute about 40% of the inclusive J/ψ yield [1], is one possible interpretation of the observed suppression. A J/ψ suppression was also observed at RHIC, in central Au-Au collisions [4, 5], at a level similar to the one observed at the SPS when measured at mid-rapidity although it is larger at forward rapidity. Several models [6, 7, 8, 9] attempt to reproduce the RHIC data by adding to the direct J/ψ production a regeneration component from deconfined charm quarks in the medium, which counteracts the J/ψ dissociation in a QGP. A quantitative description of these final-state effects is however difficult at the present time because of the lack of precision in the CNM effects and in the open charm cross section determination. The measurement of charmonium production is especially promising at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) where the high-energy density of the medium and the large number of $c\bar{c}$ pairs produced in central Pb-Pb collisions should help to disentangle between the different suppression and regeneration scenarios. At the LHC, a suppression of inclusive J/ψ with high transverse momentum was observed in central Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV with respect to peripheral collisions or pp collisions at the same energy by ATLAS [10] and CMS [11], respectively.

In this Letter, we report ALICE results on inclusive J/ψ production in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV at forward rapidity, measured via the $\mu^+\mu^-$ decay channel. Our measurement encloses the low transverse momentum region that is not accessible to other LHC experiments and thus complements their observations. The J/ψ corrected yield in Pb-Pb collisions is combined with the one measured in pp collisions at the same center-of-mass energy [12] to form the J/ψ nuclear modification factor R_{AA} . The results are presented as a function of collision centrality and rapidity (y), and in intervals of transverse momentum (p_t).

The ALICE detector is described in [13]. At forward rapidity ($2.5 < y < 4$) the production of quarkonium states is measured in the muon spectrometer¹ down to $p_t = 0$. The spectrometer consists of a ten interaction length thick absorber filtering the muons in front of five tracking stations comprising two planes of cathode pad chambers each, with the third station inside a dipole magnet with a 3 Tm field integral. The tracking apparatus is completed by a triggering system made of four planes of resistive plate chambers downstream of a 1.2 m thick iron wall, which absorbs secondary hadrons escaping from the front absorber and low momentum muons coming mainly from π and K decays. In addition, the silicon pixel detector (SPD) and scintillator arrays (VZERO) were used in this analysis. The VZERO counters, two arrays of 32 scintillator tiles each, cover $2.8 \leq \eta \leq 5.1$ (VZERO-A) and $-3.7 \leq \eta \leq -1.7$ (VZERO-C). The SPD consists of two cylindrical layers covering $|\eta| \leq 2.0$ and $|\eta| \leq 1.4$ for the inner and outer layers, respectively. All these detectors have full azimuthal coverage. The minimum bias (MB) trigger requirement used for this analysis consists of a logical AND of the three following conditions: (i) a signal in two readout chips in the outer layer of the SPD, (ii) a signal in VZERO-A, (iii) a signal in VZERO-

¹In the ALICE reference frame, the muon spectrometer covers a negative η range and consequently a negative y range. We have chosen to present our results with a positive y notation.




Fig. 1: (Color online) Invariant mass spectrum of $\mu^+\mu^-$ pairs (solid black circles) with $p_t \geq 0$ and $2.5 < y < 4$ in the 0%–80% most central Pb-Pb collisions.

C, providing a high triggering efficiency for hadronic interactions. The beam induced background was further reduced by timing cuts on the signals from the VZERO and from the zero degree calorimeters (ZDC). The contribution from electromagnetic processes was removed with a cut on the energy deposited in the neutron ZDCs. The centrality determination is based on a fit of the VZERO amplitude distribution as described in [14]. A cut corresponding to the most central 80% of the nuclear cross section was applied; for these events the MB trigger is fully efficient. A data sample of 17.7×10^6 Pb-Pb collisions collected in 2010 satisfying all the above conditions is used in the following analysis. It corresponds to an integrated luminosity $\mathcal{L}_{int} \approx 2.9 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$. This data sample was further divided into five centrality classes from 0%–10% (central collisions) to 50%–80% (peripheral collisions).

J/ψ candidates are formed by combining pairs of opposite-sign (OS) tracks reconstructed in the geometrical acceptance of the muon spectrometer. To reduce the combinatorial background, the reconstructed tracks in the muon tracking chambers are required to match a track segment in the muon trigger system. The resulting invariant mass distribution of OS muon pairs for the 0%–80% most central Pb-Pb collisions is shown in Fig. 1, where a J/ψ signal above the combinatorial background is clearly visible. The J/ψ raw yield was extracted by using two different methods. The OS dimuon invariant mass distribution was fitted with a Crystal Ball (CB) function to reproduce the J/ψ line shape, and a sum of two exponentials to describe the underlying continuum. The CB function connects a Gaussian core with a power-law tail [15] at low mass to account for energy loss fluctuations and radiative decays. At high transverse momenta ($p_t \geq 3$ GeV/c), the sum of two exponentials does not describe correctly the underlying continuum; it was replaced by a third order polynomial. Alternatively, the combinatorial background was subtracted using an event-mixing technique. The resulting mass distribution was fitted with a CB function and an exponential or a first order polynomial to describe the remaining background. The event mixing was

preferred to the like-sign subtraction technique since it is less sensitive to correlated signal pairs present in the like-sign spectra and gives better statistical precision. The $\psi(2S)$ was not included in the signal line shape since its contribution is negligible. The width of the J/ψ mass peak depends on the resolution of the spectrometer which can be affected by the detector occupancy that increases with centrality. This effect, evaluated by embedding simulated $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decays into real events, was found to be less than 2%. This conclusion was confirmed by a direct measurement of the tracking chamber resolution versus centrality using reconstructed tracks. Therefore, the same CB line shape can be used for all centrality classes. The parameters of the CB tails were fixed to the values obtained either in simulations or in pp collisions where the signal to background ratio is much higher. For each of these choices, the mean and width of the CB Gaussian part were fixed to the value obtained by fitting the mass distribution in the centrality range 0%–80%. In addition, a variation of the width of ± 1 standard deviation was applied to account for uncertainties (varying the mean has turned out to have a negligible effect in comparison). The raw J/ψ yield in each centrality class was determined as the average of the results obtained with the two fitting approaches and the various CB parametrizations, while the corresponding systematic uncertainties were defined as the RMS of these results. It was also checked that every individual result differs from the mean value by less than three RMS. The raw J/ψ yield in our Pb-Pb sample is $2350 \pm 139(\text{stat.}) \pm 189(\text{syst.})$. The invariant mass resolution is around $78 \text{ MeV}/c^2$, in very good agreement with the embedded J/ψ simulations. The signal to background ratio integrated over $\pm 3 \sigma$ of the mass resolution varies from 0.1 for central collisions to 1.5 for peripheral collisions.

The measured number of J/ψ ($N_{J/\psi}^i$) was normalized to the number of events in the corresponding centrality class (N_{events}^i) and further corrected for the branching ratio (BR) of the dimuon decay channel, the acceptance A and the efficiency ε^i of the detector. The inclusive J/ψ yield in each centrality class i for our measured p_t and y ranges ($\Delta p_t, \Delta y$) is then given by:

$$Y_{J/\psi}^i(\Delta p_t, \Delta y) = \frac{N_{J/\psi}^i}{\text{BR}_{J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-} N_{\text{events}}^i A \varepsilon^i}. \quad (1)$$

The product $A\varepsilon$ was determined from Monte Carlo simulations. The generated J/ψ p_t and y distributions were extrapolated from existing measurements [16], including shadowing effects from EKS98 calculations [17]. As the measured J/ψ polarization in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV is compatible with zero [18], and J/ψ mesons produced from charm quarks in the medium are expected to be unpolarized, we presume J/ψ production is unpolarized. For the tracking chambers, the time-dependent status of each electronic channel during the data taking period was taken into account as well as the residual misalignment of the detection elements. The efficiencies of the muon trigger chambers were determined from data and were then applied in the simulations [19]. Finally, the dependence of the efficiency on the detector occupancy was included using the embedding technique. For J/ψ mesons emitted at $2.5 < y < 4$ and $p_t \geq 0$, a run-averaged value of $A\varepsilon = 0.176$ with a 8% relative systematic uncertainty was obtained. A $8\% \pm 2\%$ (syst.) relative decrease of the efficiency was observed when going from peripheral to central collisions.

The J/ψ yield measured in Pb-Pb collisions in centrality class i is combined with the inclusive J/ψ cross section measured in pp collisions at the same energy to form the nuclear modification factor R_{AA} defined as:

$$R_{AA}^i = \frac{Y_{J/\psi}^i(\Delta p_t, \Delta y)}{\langle T_{AA}^i \rangle \times \sigma_{J/\psi}^{\text{pp}}(\Delta p_t, \Delta y)}. \quad (2)$$

The inclusive J/ψ cross section in pp collisions $\sigma_{J/\psi}^{\text{pp}}(\Delta p_t, \Delta y)$ was measured using the same apparatus and analysis technique within the corresponding p_t and y range [12]. The reference value $\sigma_{J/\psi}^{\text{pp}}$ used for the calculation of R_{AA} integrated over p_t and y is $3.34 \pm 0.13(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.24(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.12(\text{lumi.})_{-1.07}^{+0.53}(\text{pol.}) \mu\text{b}$. The centrality intervals used in this analysis, the average number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ and

Table 1: The average number of participating nucleons $\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$ without and with $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$ weighting, the mid-rapidity charged-particle density $dN_{\text{ch}}^{\text{w}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ with $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$ weighting and the average value of the nuclear overlap function $\langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle$ for the centrality classes expressed in percentages of the nuclear cross section [14].

Centrality	$\langle N_{\text{part}} \rangle$	$\langle N_{\text{part}}^{\text{w}} \rangle$	$\frac{dN_{\text{ch}}^{\text{w}}}{d\eta _{\eta=0}}$	$\langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle$ (mb $^{-1}$)
0%–10%	356±4	361±4	1463±60	23.5±1.0
10%–20%	260±4	264±4	979±37	14.4±0.6
20%–30%	186±4	189±4	658±23	8.74±0.37
30%–50%	107±3	117±3	369±13	3.87±0.18
50%–80%	32±2	47±2	110±5	0.72±0.05
0%–80%	139±3	264±4	–	7.03±0.27

Table 2: Summary of the systematic uncertainties entering the R_{AA} calculation. The type I (II) stands for correlated (uncorrelated) uncertainties. The centrality dependence for the type II is given as a range.

source	value	type
signal extraction	5%–12%	II
input MC parametrization	5%	I
tracking efficiency	5% and 0%–1%	I and II
trigger efficiency	4% and 0%–2%	I and II
matching efficiency	2%	I
T_{AA}	4%–8%	II
$\sigma_{J/\psi}^{\text{pp}}$ at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV	9%	I

average value of the nuclear overlap function $\langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle$ derived from a Glauber model calculation [14] are summarized in Table 1. Since our most peripheral bin is rather large, the variables $\langle N_{\text{part}}^{\text{w}} \rangle$ and the charged-particle density measured at mid-rapidity $dN_{\text{ch}}^{\text{w}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ were weighted by the number of binary collisions $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$. Indeed in absence of nuclear matter effects, the J/ψ production cross section in nucleus-nucleus is expected to scale with $\langle N_{\text{coll}} \rangle$. The weighted values are given in Table 1 and are used for the ALICE data points in the following figures. All systematic uncertainties entering the R_{AA} calculation are listed in Table 2. In the figures below, the point to point uncorrelated systematic uncertainties are represented as boxes at the position of the data points while the statistical ones are indicated by vertical bars. Correlated systematic uncertainties are quoted directly on the figures.

The inclusive J/ψ R_{AA} measured by ALICE at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV in the range $2.5 < y < 4$ and $p_t \geq 0$ is shown in Fig. 2 as a function of $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ (left) and N_{part} (right). The charged-particle density closely relates to the energy density of the created medium whereas the number of participants reflects the collision geometry. The centrality integrated J/ψ R_{AA} is $R_{\text{AA}}^{0\%-80\%} = 0.545 \pm 0.032(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.083(\text{syst.})$, indicating a clear J/ψ suppression. The contribution from beauty hadron feed-down to the inclusive J/ψ yield in our y and p_t domain was measured by the LHCb collaboration to be about 10% in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [21]. Therefore, the difference between the prompt J/ψ R_{AA} and our inclusive measurement is expected not to exceed 11% if N_{coll} scaling of beauty production is assumed and shadowing effects are neglected. All R_{AA} results are presented assuming unpolarized J/ψ production in pp and Pb-Pb collisions. The comparison with the PHENIX measurements ² at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 200$ GeV at forward rapidity $1.2 < |y| < 2.2$ [5, 20] shows that our inclusive J/ψ R_{AA} is almost a factor of three larger for $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0} \gtrsim 600$ ($N_{\text{part}} \gtrsim 180$). In addition, our results do not exhibit a significant centrality dependence.

² The PHENIX mid-rapidity J/ψ R_{AA} was measured in centrality classes wider than the ones in which the mid-rapidity charged-particle density is given [20]. Therefore a linear interpolation was done to extract the mid-rapidity charged-particle density in the three most peripheral classes.




Fig. 2: (Color online) Inclusive J/ψ R_{AA} as a function of the mid-rapidity charged-particle density (top) and the number of participating nucleons (bottom) measured in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV compared to PHENIX results in Au-Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV at mid-rapidity and forward rapidity [4, 5, 20]. The ALICE data points are placed at the $dN_{ch}^w/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ and $\langle N_{part}^w \rangle$ values defined in Table 1.




Fig. 3: (Color online) Centrality integrated inclusive J/ψ R_{AA} measured in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV as a function of rapidity for two p_t ranges. The open boxes contain the total systematic uncertainties except the ones on the integrated luminosity in the pp reference and on the T_{AA} , i.e. 5.2% (8.3%) for the ALICE (CMS [11]) data. The two models [22, 23] predict the R_{AA} due only to shadowing effects for nDSg (shaded areas) and EPS09 (lines) nPDF respectively.

The rapidity dependence of the J/ψ R_{AA} is presented in Fig. 3 for two p_t domains, $p_t \geq 0$ and $p_t \geq 3$ GeV/c. The J/ψ reference cross sections in pp collisions³ and the R_{AA} total systematic uncertainties, indicated as open boxes in the figure, were evaluated in the same kinematic range. Our results are shown together with a measurement from CMS [11] of the inclusive J/ψ R_{AA} in the rapidity range $1.6 < |y| < 2.4$ with $p_t \geq 3$ GeV/c. No significant rapidity dependence can be seen in the J/ψ R_{AA} for $p_t \geq 0$. For $p_t \geq 3$ GeV/c, a decrease of R_{AA} is observed with increasing rapidity reaching a value of $0.289 \pm 0.061(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.078(\text{syst.})$ for $3.25 < y < 4$. At LHC energies, J/ψ nuclear absorption is likely to be negligible and the modification of the gluon distribution function is dominated by shadowing effects [24]. An estimate of shadowing effects is shown in Fig. 3 within the Color Singlet Model at Leading Order [22] and the Color Evaporation Model at Next to Leading Order [23]. The shadowing is respectively calculated with the nDSg and the EPS09 parametrizations [23] of the nuclear Parton Distribution Function (nPDF). For nDSg (EPS09) the upper and lower limits correspond to the uncertainty in the factorization scale (uncertainty of the nPDF). The effect of shadowing shows no dependence with rapidity and its overall amount is reduced by the addition of a transverse momentum cut. At most, shadowing effects are expected to lower the R_{AA} from 1 to 0.7. Recent Color Glass Condensate (CGC) calculations for LHC energies may indicate a larger initial state suppression ($R_{AA} \approx 0.5$) [25]. However, any J/ψ suppression due to initial state effects, CGC or shadowing, will be stronger at lower p_t contrary to the

³We report here $\sigma_{J/\psi}^{pp}(p_t \geq 3\text{GeV}/c, 2.5 < y \leq 3.25) = 0.34 \pm 0.03(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.02(\text{lumi.}) \mu\text{b}$ and $\sigma_{J/\psi}^{pp}(p_t \geq 3\text{GeV}/c, 3.25 < y < 4) = 0.50 \pm 0.04(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.04(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.02(\text{lumi.}) \mu\text{b}$ that can not directly be extracted from [12].




Fig. 4: (Color online) Inclusive J/ψ R_{AA} measured in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV compared to the predictions by Statistical Hadronization Model [26], Transport Model I [27] and II [28], see text for details. The ALICE data points are placed at the $\langle N_{part}^w \rangle$ values defined in Table 1.

data behavior.

In Fig. 4, our measurement is compared with theoretical models that include a J/ψ regeneration component from deconfined charm quarks in the medium. The Statistical Hadronization Model [6, 26] assumes deconfinement and a thermal equilibration of the bulk of the $c\bar{c}$ pairs. Then charmonium production occurs only at phase boundary by statistical hadronization of charm quarks. The prediction is given for two values of $d\sigma_{c\bar{c}}/dy$ in absence of a measurement for Pb-Pb collisions. The two transport model results [27, 28] presented in the same figure differ mostly in the rate equation controlling the J/ψ dissociation and regeneration. Both are shown as a band which connects the results obtained with (lower limit) and without (higher limit) shadowing. The width of the band can be interpreted as the uncertainty of the prediction. In both transport models, the amount of regenerated J/ψ in the most central collisions contributes to about 50% of the production yield, the rest being from initial production.

In summary, we have presented the first measurement of inclusive J/ψ nuclear modification factor down to $p_t = 0$ at forward rapidity in Pb-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. The J/ψ R_{AA} is larger than the one measured at the SPS and at RHIC for most central collisions and does not exhibit a significant centrality dependence. Statistical hadronization and transport models which respectively feature a full and a partial J/ψ production from charm quarks in the QGP phase can describe the data. Towards a definitive conclusion about the role of J/ψ production from deconfined charm quarks in a partonic phase, the amount of shadowing needs to be measured precisely in pPb collisions. In this context, the measurement of open charm and J/ψ elliptic flow will also help to determine the degree of thermalization for charm quarks.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex.

The ALICE collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector:

Calouste Gulbenkian Foundation from Lisbon and Swiss Fonds Kidagan, Armenia;

Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP);

National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Chinese Ministry of Education (CMOE) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (MSTC);

Ministry of Education and Youth of the Czech Republic;

Danish Natural Science Research Council, the Carlsberg Foundation and the Danish National Research Foundation;

The European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme;

Helsinki Institute of Physics and the Academy of Finland;

French CNRS-IN2P3, the 'Region Pays de Loire', 'Region Alsace', 'Region Auvergne' and CEA, France;

German BMBF and the Helmholtz Association;

General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Development, Greece;

Hungarian OTKA and National Office for Research and Technology (NKTH);

Department of Atomic Energy and Department of Science and Technology of the Government of India;

Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) of Italy;

MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research, Japan;

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna;

National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF);

CONACYT, DGAPA, México, ALFA-EC and the HELEN Program (High-Energy physics Latin-American-European Network);

Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) and the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands;

Research Council of Norway (NFR);

Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education;

National Authority for Scientific Research - NASR (Autoritatea Națională pentru Cercetare Științifică - ANCS);

Federal Agency of Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation, International Science and Technology Center, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federal Agency of Atomic Energy, Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations and CERN-INTAS;

Ministry of Education of Slovakia;

Department of Science and Technology, South Africa;

CIEMAT, EELA, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain, Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Educación), CEADEN, Cubaenergía, Cuba, and IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency);

Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW);

Ukraine Ministry of Education and Science;

United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC);

The United States Department of Energy, the United States National Science Foundation, the State of Texas, and the State of Ohio.

References

- [1] M. Bedjidian, D. Blaschke, G. T. Bodwin, N. Carrer, B. Cole, *et al.*, “Hard probes in heavy ion collisions at the LHC: Heavy flavor physics,” *arXiv:0311048*, 2004.
- [2] T. Matsui and H. Satz, “J/ψ Suppression by Quark-Gluon Plasma Formation,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B178, p. 416, 1986.
- [3] B. Alessandro *et al.*, “A new measurement of J/ψ suppression in Pb-Pb collisions at 158 GeV per nucleon,” *Eur. Phys. J.*, vol. C39, pp. 335–345, 2005.
- [4] A. Adare *et al.*, “J/ψ production vs centrality, transverse momentum, and rapidity in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}=200$ GeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 98, p. 232301, 2007.
- [5] A. Adare *et al.*, “J/ψ suppression at forward rapidity in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV,” *Phys.Rev.*, vol. C84, p. 054912, 2011.
- [6] P. Braun-Munzinger and J. Stachel, “(Non)thermal aspects of charmonium production and a new look at J/ψ suppression,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B490, pp. 196–202, 2000.
- [7] R. L. Thews, M. Schroedter, and J. Rafelski, “Enhanced J/ψ production in deconfined quark matter,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. C63, p. 054905, 2001.
- [8] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, “Evidence for charmonium generation at the phase boundary in ultra-relativistic nuclear collisions,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B652, pp. 259–261, 2007.
- [9] X. Zhao and R. Rapp, “Transverse Momentum Spectra of J/ψ in Heavy-Ion Collisions,” *Phys. Lett.*, vol. B664, pp. 253–257, 2008.
- [10] G. Aad *et al.*, “Measurement of the centrality dependence of J/ψ yields and observation of Z production in lead-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC,” *Phys.Lett.*, vol. B697, pp. 294–312, 2011.
- [11] S. Chatrchyan *et al.*, “Suppression of non-prompt J/ψ, prompt J/ψ, and Υ(1S) in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *JHEP*, vol. 1205, p. 063, 2012.
- [12] B. Abelev *et al.*, “Inclusive J/ψ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV,” *arXiv:1203.3641*, 2012.
- [13] K. Aamodt *et al.*, “The ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC,” *JINST*, vol. 3, p. S08002, 2008.
- [14] K. Aamodt *et al.*, “Centrality Dependence of the Charged-Particle Multiplicity Density at Midrapidity in Pb-Pb Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 106, p. 032301, Jan 2011.
- [15] J. E. Gaiser, *Charmonium Spectroscopy from Radiative Decays of the J/ψ and ψ′*. PhD thesis, Stanford, 1982. Appendix-F, SLAC-R-255.
- [16] F. Bossu, Z. del Valle, A. de Falco, M. Gagliardi, S. Grigoryan, *et al.*, “Phenomenological extrapolation of the inclusive J/ψ cross section to proton-proton collisions at 2.76 TeV and 5.5 TeV,” *arXiv:1103.2394*, 2011.
- [17] K. J. Eskola, V. J. Kolhinen, and C. A. Salgado, “The scale dependent nuclear effects in parton distributions for practical applications,” *Eur. Phys. J.*, vol. C9, p. 61, 1999.
- [18] B. Abelev *et al.*, “J/ψ polarization in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV,” *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, vol. 108, p. 082001, 2012.
- [19] D. Stocco *et al.*, “Efficiency determination of the MUON Spectrometer trigger chambers from real data,” *ALICE-INT-2008-004*, 2008.
- [20] S. S. Adler *et al.*, “Systematic studies of the centrality and $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ dependence of the $dE_T/d\eta$ and $dn_{ch}/d\eta$ in heavy ion collisions at midrapidity,” *Phys. Rev. C*, vol. 71, p. 049901, Apr 2005.
- [21] R. Aaij *et al.*, “Measurement of J/ψ production in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}=7$ TeV,” *Eur.Phys.J.*, vol. C71, p. 1645, 2011.
- [22] E. Ferreira, F. Fleuret, J. Lansberg, N. Matagne, and A. Rakotozafindrabe, “Cold Nuclear Matter

- Effects on extrinsic J/ψ production at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV at the LHC,” *Nucl.Phys.*, vol. A855, pp. 327–330, 2011. and Priv. Comm.
- [23] R. Vogt, “Cold Nuclear Matter Effects on J/ψ and Υ Production at the LHC,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. C81, p. 044903, 2010. and Priv. Comm.
- [24] C. Lourenço, R. Vogt, and H. K. Wöhri, “Energy dependence of J/ψ absorption in proton-nucleus collisions,” *JHEP*, vol. 02, p. 014, 2009.
- [25] F. Dominguez, D. Kharzeev, E. Levin, A. Mueller, and K. Tuchin, “Gluon saturation effects on the color singlet J/ψ production in high energy dA and AA collisions,” *Phys.Lett.*, vol. B710, pp. 182–187, 2012.
- [26] A. Andronic, P. Braun-Munzinger, K. Redlich, and J. Stachel, “The thermal model on the verge of the ultimate test: particle production in Pb-Pb collisions at the LHC,” *J.Phys.G*, vol. G38, p. 124081, 2011.
- [27] X. Zhao and R. Rapp, “Medium Modifications and Production of Charmonia at LHC,” *Nucl.Phys.*, vol. A859, pp. 114–125, 2011.
- [28] Y.-P. Liu, Z. Qu, N. Xu, and P.-F. Zhuang, “ J/ψ Transverse Momentum Distribution in High Energy Nuclear Collisions at RHIC,” *Phys.Lett.*, vol. B678, pp. 72–76, 2009. and Priv. Comm.

A The ALICE Collaboration

B. Abelev⁶⁸, J. Adam³³, D. Adamová⁷³, A.M. Adare¹¹⁸, M.M. Aggarwal⁷⁷, G. Aglieri Rinella²⁹, A.G. Agocs⁶⁰, A. Agostinelli²¹, S. Aguilar Salazar⁵⁶, Z. Ahammed¹¹⁴, A. Ahmad Masoodi¹³, N. Ahmad¹³, S.U. Ahn^{63,36}, A. Akindinov⁴⁶, D. Aleksandrov⁸⁸, B. Alessandro⁹⁴, R. Alfaro Molina⁵⁶, A. Alici^{97,9}, A. Alkin², E. Almaráz Aviña⁵⁶, J. Alme³¹, T. Alt³⁵, V. Altini²⁷, S. Altinpinar¹⁴, I. Altsybeev¹¹⁵, C. Andrei⁷⁰, A. Andronic⁸⁵, V. Anguelov⁸², J. Anielski⁵⁴, C. Anson¹⁵, T. Antičić⁸⁶, F. Antinori⁹³, P. Antonioli⁹⁷, L. Aphecetche¹⁰¹, H. Appelshäuser⁵², N. Arbor⁶⁴, S. Arcelli²¹, A. Arend⁵², N. Armesto¹², R. Arnaldi⁹⁴, T. Aronsson¹¹⁸, I.C. Arsene⁸⁵, M. Arslandok⁵², A. Asryan¹¹⁵, A. Augustinus²⁹, R. Averbeck⁸⁵, T.C. Awes⁷⁴, J. Äystö³⁷, M.D. Azmi¹³, M. Bach³⁵, A. Badalá⁹⁹, Y.W. Baek^{63,36}, R. Bailhache⁵², R. Bala⁹⁴, R. Baldini Ferroli⁹, A. Baldisseri¹¹, A. Baldit⁶³, F. Baltasar Dos Santos Pedrosa²⁹, J. Bán⁴⁷, R.C. Baral⁴⁸, R. Barbera²³, F. Barile²⁷, G.G. Barnaföldi⁶⁰, L.S. Barnby⁹⁰, V. Barret⁶³, J. Bartke¹⁰³, M. Basile²¹, N. Bastid⁶³, B. Bathen⁵⁴, G. Batigne¹⁰¹, B. Batyunya⁵⁹, C. Baumann⁵², I.G. Bearden⁷¹, H. Beck⁵², I. Belikov⁵⁸, F. Bellini²¹, R. Bellwied¹⁰⁹, E. Belmont-Moreno⁵⁶, G. Bencedi⁶⁰, S. Beole²⁵, I. Berceau⁷⁰, A. Bercuci⁷⁰, Y. Berdnikov⁷⁵, D. Berenyi⁶⁰, C. Bergmann⁵⁴, D. Berzano⁹⁴, L. Betev²⁹, A. Bhasin⁸⁰, A.K. Bhati⁷⁷, N. Bianchi⁶⁵, L. Bianchi²⁵, C. Bianchin¹⁹, J. Bielčík³³, J. Bielčíková⁷³, A. Bilandzic⁷², S. Bjelogrić⁴⁵, F. Blanco¹⁰⁹, F. Blanco⁷, D. Blau⁸⁸, C. Blume⁵², M. Boccioni²⁹, N. Bock¹⁵, A. Bogdanov⁶⁹, H. Bøggild⁷¹, M. Bogolyubsky⁴³, L. Boldizsár⁶⁰, M. Bombara³⁴, J. Book⁵², H. Borel¹¹, A. Borissov¹¹⁷, S. Bose⁸⁹, F. Bossú²⁵, M. Botje⁷², S. Böttger⁵¹, B. Boyer⁴², P. Braun-Munzinger⁸⁵, M. Bregant¹⁰¹, T. Breitner⁵¹, T.A. Browning⁸³, M. Broz³², R. Brun²⁹, E. Bruna^{25,94}, G.E. Bruno²⁷, D. Budnikov⁸⁷, H. Buesching⁵², S. Bufalino^{25,94}, K. Bugaiev², O. Busch⁸², Z. Buthelezi⁷⁹, D. Caballero Orduna¹¹⁸, D. Caffarri¹⁹, X. Cai³⁹, H. Caines¹¹⁸, E. Calvo Villar⁹¹, P. Camerini²⁰, V. Canoa Roman^{8,1}, G. Cara Romeo⁹⁷, F. Carena²⁹, W. Carena²⁹, N. Carlin Filho¹⁰⁶, F. Carminati²⁹, C.A. Carrillo Montoya²⁹, A. Casanova Díaz⁶⁵, J. Castillo Castellanos¹¹, J.F. Castillo Hernandez⁸⁵, E.A.R. Casula¹⁸, V. Catanescu⁷⁰, C. Cavicchioli²⁹, J. Cepila³³, P. Cerello⁹⁴, B. Chang^{37,121}, S. Chapeland²⁹, J.L. Charvet¹¹, S. Chattopadhyay⁸⁹, S. Chattopadhyay¹¹⁴, M. Cherney⁷⁶, C. Cheshkov^{29,108}, B. Cheynis¹⁰⁸, E. Chiavassa⁹⁴, V. Chibante Barroso²⁹, D.D. Chinellato¹⁰⁷, P. Chochula²⁹, M. Chojnacki⁴⁵, P. Christakoglou^{72,45}, C.H. Christensen⁷¹, P. Christiansen²⁸, T. Chujo¹¹², S.U. Chung⁸⁴, C. Cicalo⁹⁶, L. Cifarelli^{21,29}, F. Cindolo⁹⁷, J. Cleymans⁷⁹, F. Coccetti⁹, F. Colamaria²⁷, D. Colella²⁷, G. Conesa Balbastre⁶⁴, Z. Conesa del Valle²⁹, P. Constantin⁸², G. Contin²⁰, J.G. Contreras⁸, T.M. Cormier¹¹⁷, Y. Corrales Morales²⁵, P. Cortese²⁶, I. Cortés Maldonado¹, M.R. Cosentino^{67,107}, F. Costa²⁹, M.E. Cotallo⁷, E. Crescio⁸, P. Crochet⁶³, E. Cruz Alaniz⁵⁶, E. Cuautle⁵⁵, L. Cunqueiro⁶⁵, A. Dainese^{19,93}, H.H. Dalsgaard⁷¹, A. Danu⁵⁰, I. Das^{89,42}, K. Das⁸⁹, D. Das⁸⁹, S. Dash^{40,94}, A. Dash¹⁰⁷, S. De¹¹⁴, A. De Azevedo Moregula⁶⁵, G.O.V. de Barros¹⁰⁶, A. De Caro^{24,9}, G. de Cataldo⁹⁸, J. de Cuveland³⁵, A. De Falco¹⁸, D. De Gruttola²⁴, H. Delagrange¹⁰¹, E. Del Castillo Sanchez²⁹, A. Deloff¹⁰⁰, V. Demanov⁸⁷, N. De Marco⁹⁴, E. Dénes⁶⁰, S. De Pasquale²⁴, A. Deppman¹⁰⁶, G. D'Erasmus²⁷, R. de Rooij⁴⁵, D. Di Bari²⁷, T. Dietel⁵⁴, C. Di Giglio²⁷, S. Di Liberto⁹⁵, A. Di Mauro²⁹, P. Di Nezza⁶⁵, R. Divià²⁹, Ø. Djuvsland¹⁴, A. Dobrin^{117,28}, T. Dobrowolski¹⁰⁰, I. Domínguez⁵⁵, B. Dönigus⁸⁵, O. Dordic¹⁷, O. Driga¹⁰¹, A.K. Dubey¹¹⁴, L. Ducroux¹⁰⁸, P. Dupieux⁶³, A.K. Dutta Majumdar⁸⁹, M.R. Dutta Majumdar¹¹⁴, D. Elia⁹⁸, D. Emschermann⁵⁴, H. Engel⁵¹, H.A. Erdal³¹, B. Espagnon⁴², M. Estienne¹⁰¹, S. Esumi¹¹², D. Evans⁹⁰, G. Eyyubova¹⁷, D. Fabris^{19,93}, J. Faivre⁶⁴, D. Falchieri²¹, A. Fantoni⁶⁵, M. Fasel⁸⁵, R. Fearick⁷⁹, A. Fedunov⁵⁹, D. Fehler¹⁴, L. Feldkamp⁵⁴, D. Felea⁵⁰, G. Feofilov¹¹⁵, A. Fernández Téllez¹, R. Ferretti²⁶, A. Ferretti²⁵, J. Figiel¹⁰³, M.A.S. Figueredo¹⁰⁶, S. Filchagin⁸⁷, D. Finogeev⁴⁴, F.M. Fionda²⁷, E.M. Fiore²⁷, M. Floris²⁹, S. Foertsch⁷⁹, P. Foka⁸⁵, S. Fokin⁸⁸, E. Fragiaco⁹², M. Fragiadakis⁷⁸, U. Frankenfeld⁸⁵, U. Fuchs²⁹, C. Furget⁶⁴, M. Fusco Girard²⁴, J.J. Gaardhøje⁷¹, M. Gagliardi²⁵, A. Gago⁹¹, M. Gallio²⁵, D.R. Gangadharan¹⁵, P. Ganoti⁷⁴, C. Garabatos⁸⁵, E. Garcia-Solis¹⁰, I. Garishvili⁶⁸, J. Gerhard³⁵, M. Germain¹⁰¹, C. Geuna¹¹, A. Gheata²⁹, M. Gheata²⁹, B. Ghidini²⁷, P. Ghosh¹¹⁴, P. Gianotti⁶⁵, M.R. Girard¹¹⁶, P. Giubellino²⁹, E. Gladysz-Dziadus¹⁰³, P. Glässel⁸², R. Gomez¹⁰⁵, E.G. Ferreira¹², L.H. González-Trueba⁵⁶, P. González-Zamora⁷, S. Gorbunov³⁵, A. Goswami⁸¹, S. Gotovac¹⁰², V. Grabski⁵⁶, L.K. Graczykowski¹¹⁶, R. Grajcarek⁸², A. Grelli⁴⁵, A. Grigoras²⁹, C. Grigoras²⁹, V. Grigoriev⁶⁹, A. Grigoryan¹¹⁹, S. Grigoryan⁵⁹, B. Grinyov², N. Grion⁹², P. Gros²⁸, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus²⁹, J.-Y. Grossiord¹⁰⁸, R. Grosso²⁹, F. Guber⁴⁴, R. Guernane⁶⁴, C. Guerra Gutierrez⁹¹, B. Guerzoni²¹, M. Guilbaud¹⁰⁸, K. Gulbrandsen⁷¹, T. Gunji¹¹¹, A. Gupta⁸⁰, R. Gupta⁸⁰, H. Gutbrod⁸⁵, Ø. Haaland¹⁴, C. Hadjidakis⁴², M. Haiduc⁵⁰, H. Hamagaki¹¹¹, G. Hamar⁶⁰, B.H. Han¹⁶, L.D. Hanratty⁹⁰, A. Hansen⁷¹, Z. Harmanova³⁴, J.W. Harris¹¹⁸, M. Hartig⁵², D. Hasegan⁵⁰, D. Hatzifotiadou⁹⁷, A. Hayrapetyan^{29,119}, S.T. Heckel⁵², M. Heide⁵⁴, H. Helstrup³¹, A. Herghelegiu⁷⁰, G. Herrera Corral⁸, N. Herrmann⁸², K.F. Hetland³¹, B. Hicks¹¹⁸, P.T. Hille¹¹⁸, B. Hippolyte⁵⁸, T. Horaguchi¹¹², Y. Hori¹¹¹, P. Hristov²⁹, I. Hřivnáčová⁴², M. Huang¹⁴, S. Huber⁸⁵, T.J. Humanic¹⁵, D.S. Hwang¹⁶, R. Ichou⁶³, R. Ilkaev⁸⁷, I. Ilkiv¹⁰⁰,

M. Inaba¹¹², E. Incani¹⁸, G.M. Innocenti²⁵, P.G. Innocenti²⁹, M. Ippolitov⁸⁸, M. Irfan¹³, C. Ivan⁸⁵, M. Ivanov⁸⁵, A. Ivanov¹¹⁵, V. Ivanov⁷⁵, O. Ivanytskyi², A. Jachořkowski²⁹, P. M. Jacobs⁶⁷, L. Jancurová⁵⁹, H.J. Jang⁶², S. Jangal⁵⁸, M.A. Janik¹¹⁶, R. Janik³², P.H.S.Y. Jayarathna¹⁰⁹, S. Jena⁴⁰, R.T. Jimenez Bustamante⁵⁵, L. Jirden²⁹, P.G. Jones⁹⁰, H. Jung³⁶, W. Jung³⁶, A. Jusko⁹⁰, A.B. Kaidalov⁴⁶, V. Kakoyan¹¹⁹, S. Kalcher³⁵, P. Kaliňák⁴⁷, M. Kalisky⁵⁴, T. Kalliokoski³⁷, A. Kalweit⁵³, K. Kanaki¹⁴, J.H. Kang¹²¹, V. Kaplin⁶⁹, A. Karasu Uysal^{29,120}, O. Karavichev⁴⁴, T. Karavicheva⁴⁴, E. Karpechev⁴⁴, A. Kazantsev⁸⁸, U. Kebschull⁵¹, R. Keidel¹²², S.A. Khan¹¹⁴, M.M. Khan¹³, P. Khan⁸⁹, A. Khanzadeev⁷⁵, Y. Kharlov⁴³, B. Kileng³¹, M. Kim¹²¹, T. Kim¹²¹, S. Kim¹⁶, D.J. Kim³⁷, J.H. Kim¹⁶, J.S. Kim³⁶, S.H. Kim³⁶, D.W. Kim³⁶, B. Kim¹²¹, S. Kirsch^{35,29}, I. Kisel³⁵, S. Kiselev⁴⁶, A. Kisiel^{29,116}, J.L. Klay⁴, J. Klein⁸², C. Klein-Bösing⁵⁴, M. Kliemant⁵², A. Kluge²⁹, M.L. Knichel⁸⁵, A.G. Knospe¹⁰⁴, K. Koch⁸², M.K. Köhler⁸⁵, A. Kolojvari¹¹⁵, V. Kondratiev¹¹⁵, N. Kondratyeva⁶⁹, A. Konevskikh⁴⁴, A. Korneev⁸⁷, C. Kottachchi Kankanamge Don¹¹⁷, R. Kour⁹⁰, M. Kowalski¹⁰³, S. Kox⁶⁴, G. Koyithatta Meethalevedu⁴⁰, J. Kral³⁷, I. Králik⁴⁷, F. Kramer⁵², I. Kraus⁸⁵, T. Krawutschke^{82,30}, M. Krelina³³, M. Kretz³⁵, M. Krivda^{90,47}, F. Krizek³⁷, M. Krus³³, E. Kryshen⁷⁵, M. Krzewicki^{72,85}, Y. Kucheriaev⁸⁸, C. Kuhn⁵⁸, P.G. Kuijer⁷², P. Kurashvili¹⁰⁰, A.B. Kurepin⁴⁴, A. Kurepin⁴⁴, A. Kuryakin⁸⁷, V. Kuschpil⁷³, S. Kuschpil⁷³, H. Kvaerno¹⁷, M.J. Kweon⁸², Y. Kwon¹²¹, P. Ladrón de Guevara⁵⁵, I. Lakomov^{42,115}, R. Langoy¹⁴, C. Lara⁵¹, A. Lardeux¹⁰¹, P. La Rocca²³, C. Lazzeroni⁹⁰, R. Lea²⁰, Y. Le Bornec⁴², K.S. Lee³⁶, S.C. Lee³⁶, F. Lefèvre¹⁰¹, J. Lehnert⁵², L. Leistam²⁹, M. Lenhardt¹⁰¹, V. Lenti⁹⁸, H. León⁵⁶, I. León Monzón¹⁰⁵, H. León Vargas⁵², P. Lévai⁶⁰, J. Lien¹⁴, R. Lietava⁹⁰, S. Lindal¹⁷, V. Lindenstruth³⁵, C. Lippmann^{85,29}, M.A. Lisa¹⁵, L. Liu¹⁴, P.I. Loenne¹⁴, V.R. Loggins¹¹⁷, V. Loginov⁶⁹, S. Lohn²⁹, D. Lohner⁸², C. Loizides⁶⁷, K.K. Loo³⁷, X. Lopez⁶³, E. López Torres⁶, G. Løvholden¹⁷, X.-G. Lu⁸², P. Luettig⁵², M. Lunardon¹⁹, J. Luo³⁹, G. Luparello⁴⁵, L. Luquin¹⁰¹, C. Luzzi²⁹, K. Ma³⁹, R. Ma¹¹⁸, D.M. Madagodahettige-Don¹⁰⁹, A. Maevskaya⁴⁴, M. Mager^{53,29}, D.P. Mahapatra⁴⁸, A. Maire⁵⁸, M. Malaev⁷⁵, I. Maldonado Cervantes⁵⁵, L. Malinina^{59,1}, D. Mal'Kevich⁴⁶, P. Malzacher⁸⁵, A. Mamonov⁸⁷, L. Manceau⁹⁴, L. Mangotra⁸⁰, V. Manko⁸⁸, F. Manso⁶³, V. Manzari⁹⁸, Y. Mao^{64,39}, M. Marchisone^{63,25}, J. Mares⁴⁹, G.V. Margagliotti^{20,92}, A. Margotti⁹⁷, A. Marín⁸⁵, C.A. Marin Tobon²⁹, C. Markert¹⁰⁴, I. Martashvili¹¹⁰, P. Martinengo²⁹, M.I. Martínez¹, A. Martínez Davalos⁵⁶, G. Martínez García¹⁰¹, Y. Martynov², A. Mas¹⁰¹, S. Masciocchi⁸⁵, M. Maserà²⁵, A. Masoni⁹⁶, L. Massacrier^{108,101}, M. Mastroserio^{27,29}, Z.L. Matthews⁹⁰, A. Matyjka^{103,101}, D. Mayani⁵⁵, C. Mayer¹⁰³, J. Mazer¹¹⁰, M.A. Mazzoni⁹⁵, F. Meddi²², A. Menchaca-Rocha⁵⁶, J. Mercado Pérez⁸², M. Meres³², Y. Miake¹¹², L. Milano²⁵, J. Milosevic^{17,111}, A. Mischke⁴⁵, A.N. Mishra⁸¹, D. Miśkowiec^{85,29}, C. Mitu⁵⁰, J. Mlynarz¹¹⁷, B. Mohanty¹¹⁴, A.K. Mohanty²⁹, L. Molnar²⁹, L. Montaño Zetina⁸, M. Monteno⁹⁴, E. Montes⁷, T. Moon¹²¹, M. Morando¹⁹, D.A. Moreira De Godoy¹⁰⁶, S. Moretto¹⁹, A. Morsch²⁹, V. Muccifora⁶⁵, E. Mudnic¹⁰², S. Muhuri¹¹⁴, H. Müller²⁹, M.G. Munhoz¹⁰⁶, L. Musa²⁹, A. Musso⁹⁴, B.K. Nandi⁴⁰, R. Nania⁹⁷, E. Nappi⁹⁸, C. Natrass¹¹⁰, N.P. Naumov⁸⁷, S. Navin⁹⁰, T.K. Nayak¹¹⁴, S. Nazarenko⁸⁷, G. Nazarov⁸⁷, A. Nedosekin⁴⁶, M. Nicassio²⁷, B.S. Nielsen⁷¹, T. Niida¹¹², S. Nikolaev⁸⁸, V. Nikolic⁸⁶, S. Nikulin⁸⁸, V. Nikulin⁷⁵, B.S. Nilsen⁷⁶, M.S. Nilsson¹⁷, F. Noferini^{97,9}, P. Nomokonov⁵⁹, G. Nooren⁴⁵, N. Novitzky³⁷, A. Nyanin⁸⁸, A. Nyatha⁴⁰, C. Nygaard⁷¹, J. Nystrand¹⁴, A. Ochirov¹¹⁵, H. Oeschler^{53,29}, S.K. Oh³⁶, S. Oh¹¹⁸, J. Oleniacz¹¹⁶, C. Oppedisano⁹⁴, A. Ortiz Velasquez^{28,55}, G. Ortona²⁵, A. Oskarsson²⁸, P. Ostrowski¹¹⁶, J. Otwinowski⁸⁵, K. Oyama⁸², K. Ozawa¹¹¹, Y. Pachmayer⁸², M. Pachr³³, F. Padilla²⁵, P. Pagano²⁴, G. Paic⁵⁵, F. Painke³⁵, C. Pajares¹², S.K. Pal¹¹⁴, S. Pal¹¹, A. Palaha⁹⁰, A. Palmeri⁹⁹, V. Papikyan¹¹⁹, G.S. Pappalardo⁹⁹, W.J. Park⁸⁵, A. Passfeld⁵⁴, B. Pastirčák⁴⁷, D.I. Patalakha⁴³, V. Paticchio⁹⁸, A. Pavlinov¹¹⁷, T. Pawlak¹¹⁶, T. Peitzmann⁴⁵, E. Pereira De Oliveira Filho¹⁰⁶, D. Peresunko⁸⁸, C.E. Pérez Lara⁷², E. Perez Lezama⁵⁵, D. Perini²⁹, D. Perrino²⁷, W. Peryt¹¹⁶, A. Pesci⁹⁷, V. Peskov^{29,55}, Y. Pestov³, V. Petráček³³, M. Petran³³, M. Petris⁷⁰, P. Petrov⁹⁰, M. Petrovici⁷⁰, C. Petta²³, S. Piano⁹², A. Piccotti⁹⁴, M. Pikna³², P. Pillot¹⁰¹, O. Pinazza²⁹, L. Pinsky¹⁰⁹, N. Pitz⁵², F. Piuz²⁹, D.B. Piyarathna¹⁰⁹, M. Płoskoń⁶⁷, J. Pluta¹¹⁶, T. Pocheptsov^{59,17}, S. Pochybova⁶⁰, P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma¹⁰⁵, M.G. Poghosyan^{29,25}, K. Polák⁴⁹, B. Polichtchouk⁴³, A. Pop⁷⁰, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais⁶³, V. Pospíšil³³, B. Potukuchi⁸⁰, S.K. Prasad¹¹⁷, R. Preghenella^{97,9}, F. Prino⁹⁴, C.A. Pruneau¹¹⁷, I. Pshenichnov⁴⁴, S. Puchagin⁸⁷, G. Puddu¹⁸, J. Pujol Teixido⁵¹, A. Pulvirenti^{23,29}, V. Punin⁸⁷, M. Putiš³⁴, J. Putschke^{117,118}, E. Quercigh²⁹, H. Qvigstad¹⁷, A. Rachevski⁹², A. Rademakers²⁹, S. Radomski⁸², T.S. Rähä³⁷, J. Rak³⁷, A. Rakotozafindrabe¹¹, L. Ramello²⁶, A. Ramírez Reyes⁸, S. Raniwala⁸¹, R. Raniwala⁸¹, S.S. Räsänen³⁷, B.T. Rascanu⁵², D. Rathee⁷⁷, K.F. Read¹¹⁰, J.S. Real⁶⁴, K. Redlich^{100,57}, P. Reichelt⁵², M. Reicher⁴⁵, R. Renfordt⁵², A.R. Reolon⁶⁵, A. Reshetin⁴⁴, F. Rettig³⁵, J.-P. Revol²⁹, K. Reygers⁸², L. Riccati⁹⁴, R.A. Ricci⁶⁶, T. Richert²⁸, M. Richter¹⁷, P. Riedler²⁹, W. Riegler²⁹, F. Riggi^{23,99}, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi¹, K. Røed¹⁴, D. Rohr³⁵, D. Röhrich¹⁴, R. Romita⁸⁵, F. Ronchetti⁶⁵, P. Rosnet⁶³, S. Rosseger²⁹, A. Rossi¹⁹, F. Roukoutakis⁷⁸, C. Roy⁵⁸, P. Roy⁸⁹, A.J. Rubio Montero⁷, R. Rui²⁰,

E. Ryabinkin⁸⁸, A. Rybicki¹⁰³, S. Sadovsky⁴³, K. Šafařík²⁹, R. Sahoo⁴¹, P.K. Sahu⁴⁸, J. Saini¹¹⁴, H. Sakaguchi³⁸, S. Sakai⁶⁷, D. Sakata¹¹², C.A. Salgado¹², J. Salzwedel¹⁵, S. Sambyal⁸⁰, V. Samsonov⁷⁵, X. Sanchez Castro^{55,58}, L. Šándor⁴⁷, A. Sandoval⁵⁶, S. Sano¹¹¹, M. Sano¹¹², R. Santo⁵⁴, R. Santoro^{98,29}, J. Sarkamo³⁷, E. Scapparone⁹⁷, F. Scarlassara¹⁹, R.P. Scharenberg⁸³, C. Schiaua⁷⁰, R. Schicker⁸², C. Schmidt⁸⁵, H.R. Schmidt^{85,113}, S. Schreiner²⁹, S. Schuchmann⁵², J. Schukraft²⁹, Y. Schutz^{29,101}, K. Schwarz⁸⁵, K. Schweda^{85,82}, G. Scioli²¹, E. Scomparin⁹⁴, P.A. Scott⁹⁰, R. Scott¹¹⁰, G. Segato¹⁹, I. Selyuzhenkov⁸⁵, S. Senyukov^{26,58}, J. Seo⁸⁴, S. Serici¹⁸, E. Serradilla^{7,56}, A. Sevcenco⁵⁰, I. Sgura⁹⁸, A. Shabetai¹⁰¹, G. Shabratova⁵⁹, R. Shahoyan²⁹, N. Sharma⁷⁷, S. Sharma⁸⁰, K. Shigaki³⁸, M. Shimomura¹¹², K. Shtejer⁶, Y. Sibiriak⁸⁸, M. Siciliano²⁵, E. Sicking²⁹, S. Siddhanta⁹⁶, T. Siemiarczuk¹⁰⁰, D. Silvermyr⁷⁴, G. Simonetti^{27,29}, R. Singaraju¹¹⁴, R. Singh⁸⁰, S. Singha¹¹⁴, B.C. Sinha¹¹⁴, T. Sinha⁸⁹, B. Sitar³², M. Sitta²⁶, T.B. Skaali¹⁷, K. Skjerdal¹⁴, R. Smakal³³, N. Smirnov¹¹⁸, R.J.M. Snellings⁴⁵, C. Sjøgaard⁷¹, R. Soltz⁶⁸, H. Son¹⁶, J. Song⁸⁴, M. Song¹²¹, C. Soos²⁹, F. Soramel¹⁹, I. Sputowska¹⁰³, M. Spyropoulou-Stassinaki⁷⁸, B.K. Srivastava⁸³, J. Stachel⁸², I. Stan⁵⁰, I. Stan⁵⁰, G. Stefanek¹⁰⁰, G. Stefanini²⁹, T. Steinbeck³⁵, M. Steinpreis¹⁵, E. Stenlund²⁸, G. Steyn⁷⁹, D. Stocco¹⁰¹, M. Stolpovskiy⁴³, K. Strabykin⁸⁷, P. Strmen³², A.A.P. Suaide¹⁰⁶, M.A. Subieta Vásquez²⁵, T. Sugitate³⁸, C. Suire⁴², M. Sukhorukov⁸⁷, R. Sultanov⁴⁶, M. Šumbera⁷³, T. Susa⁸⁶, A. Szanto de Toledo¹⁰⁶, I. Szarka³², A. Szostak¹⁴, C. Tagridis⁷⁸, J. Takahashi¹⁰⁷, J.D. Tapia Takaki⁴², A. Tauro²⁹, G. Tejada Muñoz¹, A. Telesca²⁹, C. Terrevoli²⁷, J. Thäder⁸⁵, D. Thomas⁴⁵, R. Tieulent¹⁰⁸, A.R. Timmins¹⁰⁹, D. Tlusty³³, A. Toia^{35,29}, H. Torii^{38,111}, L. Toscano⁹⁴, F. Tosello⁹⁴, D. Truesdale¹⁵, W.H. Trzaska³⁷, T. Tsuji¹¹¹, A. Tumkin⁸⁷, R. Turrisi⁹³, T.S. Tveter¹⁷, J. Ulery⁵², K. Ullaland¹⁴, J. Ulrich^{61,51}, A. Uras¹⁰⁸, J. Urbán³⁴, G.M. Urciuoli⁹⁵, G.L. Usai¹⁸, M. Vajzer^{33,73}, M. Vala^{59,47}, L. Valencia Palomo⁴², S. Vallero⁸², N. van der Kolk⁷², P. Vande Vyvre²⁹, M. van Leeuwen⁴⁵, L. Vannucci⁶⁶, A. Vargas¹, R. Varma⁴⁰, M. Vasileiou⁷⁸, A. Vasiliev⁸⁸, V. Vechernin¹¹⁵, M. Veldhoen⁴⁵, M. Venaruzzo²⁰, E. Vercellin²⁵, S. Vergara¹, D.C. Vernekohl⁵⁴, R. Vernet⁵, M. Verweij⁴⁵, L. Vickovic¹⁰², G. Viesti¹⁹, O. Vikhlyantsev⁸⁷, Z. Vilakazi⁷⁹, O. Villalobos Baillie⁹⁰, A. Vinogradov⁸⁸, L. Vinogradov¹¹⁵, Y. Vinogradov⁸⁷, T. Virgili²⁴, Y.P. Viyogi¹¹⁴, A. Vodopyanov⁵⁹, K. Voloshin⁴⁶, S. Voloshin¹¹⁷, G. Volpe^{27,29}, B. von Haller²⁹, D. Vranic⁸⁵, G. Øvrebek¹⁴, J. Vrláková³⁴, B. Vulpescu⁶³, A. Vyushin⁸⁷, B. Wagner¹⁴, V. Wagner³³, R. Wan^{58,39}, D. Wang³⁹, M. Wang³⁹, Y. Wang⁸², Y. Wang³⁹, K. Watanabe¹¹², J.P. Wessels^{29,54}, U. Westerhoff⁵⁴, J. Wiechula¹¹³, J. Wikne¹⁷, M. Wilde⁵⁴, G. Wilk¹⁰⁰, A. Wilk⁵⁴, M.C.S. Williams⁹⁷, B. Windelband⁸², L. Xaplanteris Karampatsos¹⁰⁴, H. Yang¹¹, S. Yang¹⁴, S. Yasnopolskiy⁸⁸, J. Yi⁸⁴, Z. Yin³⁹, H. Yokoyama¹¹², I.-K. Yoo⁸⁴, J. Yoon¹²¹, W. Yu⁵², X. Yuan³⁹, I. Yushmanov⁸⁸, C. Zach³³, C. Zampolli^{97,29}, S. Zaporozhets⁵⁹, A. Zarochentsev¹¹⁵, P. Závada⁴⁹, N. Zaviyalov⁸⁷, H. Zbroszczyk¹¹⁶, P. Zelnicsek⁵¹, I.S. Zgura⁵⁰, M. Zhalov⁷⁵, X. Zhang^{63,39}, Y. Zhou⁴⁵, D. Zhou³⁹, F. Zhou³⁹, X. Zhu³⁹, A. Zichichi^{21,9}, A. Zimmermann⁸², G. Zinovjev², Y. Zoccarato¹⁰⁸, M. Zynovyev²

Affiliation notes

- ⁱ Also at: M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, D.V.Skobeltzyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Moscow, Russia
ⁱⁱ Also at: "Vinča" Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia

Collaboration Institutes

- ¹ Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico
- ² Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine
- ³ Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia
- ⁴ California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, California, United States
- ⁵ Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France
- ⁶ Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba
- ⁷ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain
- ⁸ Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico
- ⁹ Centro Fermi – Centro Studi e Ricerche e Museo Storico della Fisica "Enrico Fermi", Rome, Italy
- ¹⁰ Chicago State University, Chicago, United States
- ¹¹ Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, IRFU, Saclay, France
- ¹² Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain
- ¹³ Department of Physics Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India
- ¹⁴ Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

- 15 Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, United States
- 16 Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, South Korea
- 17 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway
- 18 Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy
- 19 Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy
- 20 Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy
- 21 Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy
- 22 Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università 'La Sapienza' and Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy
- 23 Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy
- 24 Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy
- 25 Dipartimento di Fisica Sperimentale dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
- 26 Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie Avanzate dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Alessandria, Italy
- 27 Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
- 28 Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden
- 29 European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland
- 30 Fachhochschule Köln, Köln, Germany
- 31 Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway
- 32 Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia
- 33 Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic
- 34 Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia
- 35 Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- 36 Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, South Korea
- 37 Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP) and University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
- 38 Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan
- 39 Hua-Zhong Normal University, Wuhan, China
- 40 Indian Institute of Technology, Mumbai, India
- 41 Indian Institute of Technology Indore (IIT), Indore, India
- 42 Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France
- 43 Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia
- 44 Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- 45 Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics and Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands
- 46 Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia
- 47 Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia
- 48 Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India
- 49 Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic
- 50 Institute of Space Sciences (ISS), Bucharest, Romania
- 51 Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- 52 Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany
- 53 Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany
- 54 Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany
- 55 Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
- 56 Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico
- 57 Institut of Theoretical Physics, University of Wrocław
- 58 Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France
- 59 Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia
- 60 KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
- 61 Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- 62 Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information
- 63 Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France

- ⁶⁴ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie (LPSC), Université Joseph Fourier, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut Polytechnique de Grenoble, Grenoble, France
- ⁶⁵ Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati, Italy
- ⁶⁶ Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, INFN, Legnaro, Italy
- ⁶⁷ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, United States
- ⁶⁸ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, United States
- ⁶⁹ Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia
- ⁷⁰ National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
- ⁷¹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁷² Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ⁷³ Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Řež u Prahy, Czech Republic
- ⁷⁴ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, United States
- ⁷⁵ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
- ⁷⁶ Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, Nebraska, United States
- ⁷⁷ Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
- ⁷⁸ Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁷⁹ Physics Department, University of Cape Town, iThemba LABS, Cape Town, South Africa
- ⁸⁰ Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India
- ⁸¹ Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India
- ⁸² Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁸³ Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, United States
- ⁸⁴ Pusan National University, Pusan, South Korea
- ⁸⁵ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany
- ⁸⁶ Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁸⁷ Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia
- ⁸⁸ Russian Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
- ⁸⁹ Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India
- ⁹⁰ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ⁹¹ Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru
- ⁹² Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy
- ⁹³ Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy
- ⁹⁴ Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
- ⁹⁵ Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy
- ⁹⁶ Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy
- ⁹⁷ Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy
- ⁹⁸ Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
- ⁹⁹ Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy
- ¹⁰⁰ Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland
- ¹⁰¹ SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France
- ¹⁰² Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia
- ¹⁰³ The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
- ¹⁰⁴ The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, TX, United States
- ¹⁰⁵ Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico
- ¹⁰⁶ Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹⁰⁷ Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil
- ¹⁰⁸ Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
- ¹⁰⁹ University of Houston, Houston, Texas, United States
- ¹¹⁰ University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, United States
- ¹¹¹ University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹¹² University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- ¹¹³ Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
- ¹¹⁴ Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India
- ¹¹⁵ V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ¹¹⁶ Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
- ¹¹⁷ Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, United States

¹¹⁸ Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, United States

¹¹⁹ Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

¹²⁰ Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

¹²¹ Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea

¹²² Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany