## SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES AND TABLES



Supplementary Figure 1: Absence of detectable PKC $\beta$  protein levels and PKC $\alpha$  and ABCB1 depletion in UKF-NB-3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells. (A) A Western blot for PKC $\beta$  did not result in detectable PKC $\beta$  protein levels in UKF-NB-3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells although PKC $\beta$  was readily detectable in K562 cells. (B) siRNA-mediated depletion of PKC $\alpha$  or ABCB1 in UKF-NB-3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells 48 h post- transfection determined by flow cytometry using specific antibodies. Values are means ± S.D. (\*P < 0.05) relative to non-targeting siRNA as indicated by *t*-test.



Supplementary Figure 2: Effects of siRNA-mediated PKCa depletion on PKC signalling as indicated by MARCKS phosphorylation and on ABCB1 function. (A) Effects of siRNA- mediated PKCa depletion on MARCKS phosphorylation determined in UKF-NB-3<sup>ABCB1</sup> cells 48 h after transfection; (B) siRNA-mediated PKCa depletion does not affect ABCB1 expression. \*P < 0.05 relative to non-treated control; (C) siRNA directed against ABCB1 (but not siRNA directed against PKCa) increases (1) accumulation of the fluorescent ABCB1 substrate rhodamine 123 (0.5  $\mu$ M) in ABCB1-expressing UKF-NB-3<sup>ABCB1</sup> cells and (2) the sensitivity of UKF-NB-3<sup>ABCB1</sup> cells to the cytotoxic ABCB1 substrate vincristine. \*P < 0.05 relative to non-trageting siRNA.



**Supplementary Figure 3:** Influence of enzastaurin on accumulation of mitoxantrone (40  $\mu$ M; a fluorescent ABCG2 substrate) in non-ABCG2-expressing UKF-NB-3 cells and UKF-NB-3 cells transduced with a control vector (UKF-NB-3<sup>iG2</sup>) as detected by flow cytometry (RFU = relative fluorescence units). The ABCG2 inhibitor Ko143 (1  $\mu$ M) served as positive control.

Cell line	Drug	IC <sub>50</sub> (nM)
UKF-NB-3 <sup>ABCB1</sup>	staurosporine	9.80 ± 2.49
	UCN-01	104.6 ± 23.7
	enzastaurin	8,365 ± 1,812
	GF109203X	5,244 ± 1,408
	RO-31-8220	4,996 ± 1950
	verapamil	45,606 ± 10,129
UKF-NB-3 <sup>Cer2</sup>	staurosporine	3.35 ± 0.98
	UCN-01	77.2 ± 20.3
	enzastaurin	7,862 ± 1,041
	GF109203X	2,477 ± 604
	RO-31-8220	972 ± 195
	verapamil	44,157 ± 9,211

Supplementry Figure 4A: Effects of staurosporine, enzastaurin, UCN-01, GF109203X, and RO-31-8220, and verapamil on neuroblastoma cell viability.

UKF-NB-3<sup>ABCB1</sup>



rhodamine 123 in the absence of drug)

**Supplementary Figure 4B:** (*Continued*) Effects of staurosporine, enzastaurin, UCN-01, GF109203X, RO-31-8220, and verapamil on accumulation of the fluorescent ABCB1 substrate rhodamin 123 in ABCB1-transduced UKF-NB-3 cells (UKF-NB-3ABCB1) and UKF-NB-3 cells transduced with a control vector (UKF-NB-3Cer2). The investigated drug concentrations did not affect cell viability in this short-term assay as indicated by MTT assay (data not shown). Results are expressed as fold change (rhodamine 123 fluorescence in the presence of drug/ rhodamine 123 in the absence of drug). \*P < 0.05 relative to rhodamine 123 alone.



rhodamine 123 in the absence of drug)

**Supplementary Figure 4C:** (*Continued*) Effects of staurosporine, enzastaurin, UCN-01, GF109203X, RO-31-8220, and verapamil on accumulation of the fluorescent ABCB1 substrate rhodamin 123 in ABCB1-transduced UKF-NB-3 cells (UKF-NB- $3^{ABCB1}$ ) and UKF-NB-3 cells transduced with a control vector (UKF-NB- $3^{Cer2}$ ). The investigated drug concentrations did not affect cell viability in this short-term assay as indicated by MTT assay (data not shown). Results are expressed as fold change (rhodamine 123 fluorescence in the presence of drug/rhodamine 123 in the absence of drug). \**P* < 0.05 relative to rhodamine 123 alone.

1)  $NLF^{r}VCR^{10}$  displays enhanced expression of ABCC1 relative to NLF but not of ABCB1 or ABCG2 (\* P < 0.05 relative to NLF).



2) The ABCC1 inhibitors MK571 (10 $\mu$ M) and verapamil (5 $\mu$ M) sensitise NLF<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells but not NLF cells to the ABCC1 substrate vincristine. MK571 or verapamil alone did not affect the viability of the investigated cells (\* P < 0.05 relative to vincristine alone (control)).



3) SiRNA directed against ABCC1 sensitises  $NLF^{r}VCR^{10}$  cells to vincristine (scr = scrambled non-targeting siRNA; \* P < 0.05 relative to no siRNA).



**Supplementary Figure 5A:** NLF<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> **as ABCC1 model. (1)** NLF<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> displays enhanced expression of ABCC1 relative to NLF but not of ABCB1 or ABCG2 (\*P < 0.05 relative to NLF). **(2)** The ABCC1 inhibitors MK571 (10 µM) and verapamil (5 µM) sensitise NLF<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells but not NLF cells to the ABCC1 substrate vincristine. MK571 or verapamil alone did not affect the viability of the investigated cells (\*P < 0.05 relative to vincristine alone (control)). **(3)** SiRNA directed against ABCC1 sensitises NLF<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells to vincristine (scr = scrambled non-targeting siRNA; \*P < 0.05 relative to no siRNA). (*Continued*)

#### NLF'VCR<sup>10</sup>

Enzastaurin (µM)	Viability in the presence of enzastaurin alone	vincristine IC <sub>50</sub> (ng/mL)	fold change*
0	100	36.44 ± 2.25	
0.3125	106 ± 8	24.10 ± 1.08	1.51
0.625	98 ± 6	22.71 ± 2.21	1.60
1.25	96 ± 6	15.52 ± 3.84	2.35
2.5	88 ± 5	$8.92 \pm 0.77$	4.09
5	52 ± 1	$2.03 \pm 0.33$	17.95

#### G62

Enzastaurin (µM)	Viability in the presence of enzastaurin alone	vincristine IC <sub>50</sub> (ng/mL)	fold change
0	100	1.95 ± 0.25	
0.3125	94 ± 4	1.61 ± 0.10	1.21
0.625	89 ± 4	1.51 ± 0.11	1.29
1.25	80 ± 2	$1.09 \pm 0.03$	1.79
2.5	73 ± 3	0.61 ± 0.07	3.20
5	$55 \pm 4$	$0.24 \pm 0.06$	8.13

#### PC3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>20</sup>

Enzastaurin (µM)	Viability in the presence of enzastaurin alone	vincristine IC <sub>50</sub> (ng/mL)	fold change
0	100	20.23 ± 1.41	
0.3125	84 ± 7	15.72 ± 4.44	1.29
0.625	80 ± 5	13.80 ± 4.23	1.47
1.25	71 ± 1	9.12 ± 1.95	2.22
2.5	62 ± 3	5.14 ± 0.26	3.94
5	32 ± 1	5.16 ± 1.30	3.92

 $^{\star}$  IC\_{\rm 50} vincristine/ IC\_{\rm 50} vincristine in the presence of enzastaurin

**Supplementary Figure 5B:** (*Continued*) Enzastaurin sensitises ABCC1-expressing NLF<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup>, G62, and PC3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells to the ABCC1 substrate vincristine. Cell viability was determined by MTT assay after 120 h of incubation ( $IC_{50}$  = concentration that reduces cell viability by 50%). (*Continued*)



Representative flow cytometry histograms



**Supplementary Figure 5C:** (*Continued*) Effects of enzastaurin on the accumulation of the fluorescent ABCC1 substrate 5-CFDA in ABCC1-expressing NLFrVCR<sup>10</sup> cells (\*P < 0.05 relative to non-treated control).





Supplementary Figure 6: ABCB1 expression in the project cell lines as determined by flow cytometry or Western blot; \*P < 0.05 relative to respective parental cell line, # P < 0.05 UKF-NB-3<sup>Cer2</sup>.

Supplementary Table 1: Influence of enzastaurin (1.25  $\mu$ M) on the vincristine sensitivity of neuroblastoma and rhabdomyosarcoma cells. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated.

			Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine $IC_{50}$		
Cell line	ABCB1status	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold change <sup>2</sup>
UKF-NB-3	-	$0.29 \pm 0.09$	99.5 ± 15.0	$0.30 \pm 0.16$	0.97
UKF-NB-3 <sup>r</sup> VCR <sup>10</sup>	+	53.0 ± 7.7	85.3 ± 12.2	$1.69 \pm 0.41^{3}$	31.37
UKF-NB-3 <sup>ABCB1</sup>	+	33.0 ± 8.2	99.9 ± 12.3	$0.77 \pm 0.11^3$	42.86
UKF-NB-3 <sup>Cer2</sup>	_	$0.56\pm0.06$	91.7 ± 10.9	$0.52 \pm 0.08$	1.08
UKF-NB-2	—	$0.61 \pm 0.28$	95.6 ± 19.8	$0.49\pm0.22$	1.24
UKF-NB-2 <sup>r</sup> VCR <sup>10</sup>	+	$50.6 \pm 9.0$	88.3 ± 9.6	$2.08\pm0.39^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$	24.34
KFR	_	$0.47 \pm 0.11$	97.4 ± 13.7	$0.26 \pm 0.04$	1.81
KFR <sup>r</sup> VCR <sup>10</sup>	+	51.6 ± 13.2	$106.8 \pm 11.2$	$2.46\pm0.37^3$	20.99
Rh30	_	$0.38 \pm 0.01$	$108.1 \pm 11.0$	$0.40 \pm 0.18$	0.95
Rh30 <sup>r</sup> VCR <sup>10</sup>	+	$76.7 \pm 14.5$	$104.6 \pm 8.2$	$1.97 \pm 0.28^{3}$	38.86

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{50}$  vincristine/ IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the presence of enzastaurin.

 ${}^{3}P \le 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

Supplementary Table 2: Influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of ABCB1-expressing UKF-NB-3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells to the cytotoxic ABCB1 substrates paclitaxel and actinomycin D. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the paclitaxel $IC_{50}$			
Enzastaurin	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> paclitaxel (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> paclitaxel (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>	
0.625 μM	$75.31 \pm 8.36$	87.74 ± 9.18	$5.62 \pm 0.74^{3}$	13.4	
1.25 μM	$91.00 \pm 10.27$	$87.96 \pm 8.83$	$3.04 \pm 0.41^3$	29.93	

		Influence of enzastaurin on the actinomycin D IC <sub>50</sub>			
Enzastaurin IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>4</sup> actinomycin D (ng/ml)		cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> actinomycin D (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>5</sup>	
0.625 μM	$2.10 \pm 0.27$	$90.30 \pm 6.38$	$0.38 \pm 0.05^{6}$	5.53	
1.25 μM	$2.28 \pm 0.15$	88.23 ± 4.16	$0.32 \pm 0.04^{6}$	7.13	

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{50}$  paclitaxel/ IC<sub>50</sub> paclitaxel in the presence of enzastaurin.

 ${}^{3}P \le 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> paclitaxel in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

<sup>4</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 $^5\mathrm{IC}_{_{50}}$  actinomycin D/  $\mathrm{IC}_{_{50}}$  actinomycin D in the presence of enzastaurin.

 ${}^{6}P < 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> actinomycin D in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

Supplementary Table 3A: Concentration-dependent influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of low ABCB1-expressing UKF-NB-3 and high ABCB1-expressing UKF-NB-3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> neuroblastoma cells to the ABCB1 substrate vincristine. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine $IC_{50}$ in UKF-NB-3 cells (low ABCB1)			
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>	
0.3125	$0.25 \pm 0.05$	$103.45 \pm 12.22$	$0.23 \pm 0.07$	1.09	
0.625	$0.22 \pm 0.08$	$91.84 \pm 10.73$	$0.31 \pm 0.04$	0.71	
1.25	$0.33 \pm 0.10$	86.91 ± 11.79	$0.21 \pm 0.08$	1.57	
2.5 µM	$0.27 \pm 0.06$	$74.29 \pm 9.18$	$0.20 \pm 0.04$	1.35	

## Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine IC<sub>50</sub> in UKF-NB-3<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells (high ABCB1)

Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>
0.3125	$45.21 \pm 6.09$	$95.35 \pm 9.93$	$8.93 \pm 1.16^{3}$	5.06
0.625	$47.27 \pm 5.89$	$100.92 \pm 14.51$	$4.43 \pm 0.55^{3}$	10.67
1.25	$53.02 \pm 7.68$	85.32 ± 12.17	$1.69 \pm 0.41^{3}$	31.37
2.5 μM	$56.51 \pm 6.75$	65.71 ± 9.74	$0.60 \pm 0.12^{3}$	94.18

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{_{50}}$  vincristine/ IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the presence of enzastaurin.  ${}^{3}P < 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

Supplementary Table 3B: (Continued) Concentration-dependent influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of low ABCB1-expressing UKF-NB-2 and high ABCB1-expressing UKF-NB-2<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> neuroblastoma cells to the ABCB1 substrate vincristine. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% ( $IC_{50}$ ) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine IC <sub>50</sub> in UKF-NB-2 cells (low ABCB1)			
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>	
0.3125	$0.55\pm0.16$	$91.52 \pm 17.21$	$0.57 \pm 0.12$	0.96	
0.625	$0.65 \pm 0.11$	94.48 ± 8.13	$0.54 \pm 0.10$	1.20	
1.25	$0.53\pm0.09$	$72.32 \pm 9.95$	$0.48 \pm 0.06$	1.10	
2.5 µM	$0.56 \pm 0.13$	58.13 ± 8.37	$0.45 \pm 0.04$	1.24	

Influence of enzastaurin on	the vincristine IC.	in UKF-NB-2	2 <sup>r</sup> VCR <sup>10</sup> cells	(high ABCB1)

Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>
0.3125	$57.98 \pm 10.73$	$93.00 \pm 10.26$	$11.86 \pm 1.71^3$	4.89
0.625	$40.80 \pm 8.43$	$90.97 \pm 6.21$	$5.72 \pm 1.13^{3}$	7.13
1.25	$50.62 \pm 8.97$	88.28 ± 9.61	$2.08\pm0.39^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$	24.34
2.5 μM	$50.09 \pm 6.92$	69.81 ± 7.86	$0.46 \pm 0.09^{3}$	108.41

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{50}$  vincristine/ IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the presence of enzastaurin.  ${}^{3}P < 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

Supplementary Table 3C: (Continued) Concentration-dependent influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of low ABCB1-expressing KFR and high ABCB1-expressing KFR<sup>10</sup> rhabdomyosarcoma cells to the ABCB1 substrate vincristine. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine $IC_{50}$ in KFR cells (low ABCB						
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine <sup>1</sup> (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>				
0.3125	$0.54 \pm 0.12$	$101.13 \pm 6.27$	$0.60\pm0.09$	0.90				
0.625	$0.59\pm0.15$	$107.91 \pm 11.84$	$0.55\pm0.08$	1.07				
1.25	$0.47 \pm 0.11$	97.37 ± 13.72	$0.26\pm0.04$	1.81				
2.5 µM	$0.54 \pm 0.16$	88.61 ± 7.99	$0.27 \pm 0.09$	2.00				

Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine	IC <sub>50</sub> i	n KFR <sup>r</sup> VCR <sup>10</sup>	cells (high ABCB1)
---	--------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------

Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>
0.3125	$47.10\pm6.24$	$108.29 \pm 13.41$	$20.50 \pm 2.15^3$	2.30
0.625	$48.74 \pm 2.81$	$111.34 \pm 7.26$	$5.90 \pm 1.96^{3}$	8.25
1.25	$51.63 \pm 13.18$	$108.83 \pm 11.27$	$2.46 \pm 0.37^{3}$	20.99
2.5 μM	$52.44 \pm 8.50$	84.28 ± 8.82	$0.93 \pm 0.25^{3}$	56.27

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{_{50}}$  vincristine/ IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the presence of enzastaurin.  ${}^{3}P < 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

### www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/

Supplementary Table 3D: (*Continued*) Concentration-dependent influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of low ABCB1-expressing Rh30 and high ABCB1-expressing Rh30<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> rhabdomyosarcoma cells to the ABCB1 substrate vincristine. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% ( $IC_{50}$ ) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine IC <sub>50</sub> in Rh30 cells (low ABCB1)						
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>				
0.3125	$0.33 \pm 0.05$	88.79 ± 13.73	$0.30 \pm 0.04$	1.10				
0.625	$0.23 \pm 0.03$	$97.40 \pm 8.91$	$0.31 \pm 0.03$	0.74				
1.25	$0.38 \pm 0.01$	$108.08 \pm 11.00$	$0.40\pm0.18$	0.95				
2.5 μM	$0.37 \pm 0.04$	97.41 ± 1.24	$0.25 \pm 0.05$	1.46				

## Influence of enzastaurin on the vincristine IC<sub>50</sub> in Rh30<sup>r</sup>VCR<sup>10</sup> cells (high ABCB1)

Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> vincristine (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> vincristine (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>
0.3125	71.13 ± 8.46	$92.98 \pm 10.76$	$11.40 \pm 1.21^3$	6.24
0.625	$77.88 \pm 4.10$	$113.21 \pm 11.09$	$8.13 \pm 1.27^{3}$	9.58
1.25	$76.68 \pm 14.54$	$104.63 \pm 8.21$	$1.97\pm0.28^{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$	38.86
2.5 μM	$68.45 \pm 15.37$	$91.82\pm8.20$	$0.48 \pm 0.30^3$	142.61

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{50}$  vincristine/ IC<sub>50</sub> vincristine in the presence of enzastaurin.

 ${}^{3}P < 0.05$  relative to  $IC_{50}$  vincristine in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

Supplementary Table 4: Protein interaction energies (kcal/mol) of the top five scoring poses for the docking of enzastaurin into the different binding pockets of several ABCB1 structures; the best interaction energies are highlighted in bold

ABCB1 Structure	Docking energy of the five top scoring poses (left to right)						Binding site used
3G60	-14.04	-12.74	-12.71	-12.25	-11.76	-12.70	Upper QZ59-SSS binding site
3G60	-13.15	-12.96	-12.86	-12.80	-12.71	-12.90	Lower QZ59-SSS binding residues
3G60	-16.60	-12.81	-12.38	-11.61	-11.47	-12.97	QZ59-RRR binding residues
3G60	-12.33	-12.77	-12.52	-12.27	-11.70	-12.32	QZ59-RRR and upper and lower QZ59-SSS residues
3G60	-12.62	-12.56	-11.95	-11.90	-11.63	-12.13	Verapamil binding residues
3G60	-15.79	-13.65	-13.03	-12.93	-12.72	-13.62	Lower QZ59-SSS binding site <sup>a</sup>
3G60	-11.20	-11.00	-10.87	-10.23	-10.09	-10.68	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
3G61	-10.39	-9.99	-9.84	-9.76	-9.66	-9.93	Lower QZ59-SSS binding site <sup>a</sup>

(Continued)

Structure							
3G61	-13.43	-13.24	-12.72	-12.20	-11.90	-12.70	Upper QZ59-SSS binding site
3G61	-11.14	-10.81	-10.37	-9.98	-9.77	-10.41	Lower QZ59-SSS binding site
3G61	-12.33	-12.17	-11.78	-11.36	-10.81	-11.69	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues
3G61	-10.71	-10.01	-9.89	-9.82	-9.30	-9.95	Verapamil binding residues
3G61	-9.66	-8.53	-8.53	-8.33	-8.01	-8.61	QZ59-RRR binding residues
3G61	-12.80	-12.74	-12.58	-12.55	-12.50	-12.63	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
3G5U	-10.58	-9.79	-9.36	-9.00	-8.99	-9.54	Upper and lower QZ59-SSS residues
3G5U	-12.98	-12.85	-11.95	-10.52	-10.35	-11.73	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues
3G5U	-11.89	-11.64	-11.23	-11.08	-10.87	-11.34	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
3G5U	-9.01	-8.95	-8.93	-8.76	-8.59	-8.85	Verapamil binding residues
3G5U	-13.67	-12.20	-10.51	-10.43	-10.12	-11.39	QZ59-RRR binding residues
Human	-12.06	-11.96	-11.39	-10.59	-10.13	-11.23	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding residues
Human	-13.30	-12.37	-9.28	-9.18	-9.01	-10.63	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding and QZ59-RRR residues
Human	-11.84	-10.75	-10.22	-9.80	-9.77	-10.48	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
Human	-10.42	-9.58	-9.07	-9.05	-8.93	-9.41	Verapamil binding residues
Human	-11.37	-10.35	-10.22	-9.77	-9.35	-10.21	QZ59-RRR binding residues

ABCB1 Docking energy of the five top scoring poses (left to right) Average

Binding site used

<sup>a</sup>Conformational search was performed prior to docking and 100 docking poses were retained.

# Supplementary Table S5: Ligand interaction report for the interaction of enzastaurin with ABCB1 binding sites listing the important interactions for the top poses

ABCB1 Structure	Pose	Ligand	Atom/group in residue/	interaction	Distance (Å)	E (kcal/mol)	Binding site used
3G60	1	C8 21	6-ring/ Phe728	Н-рі	4.26	-1.1	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding site
	2	6–6ing	CG2/ Val978	pi-H	3.82	-0.6	
3G60	1	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe724	pi-pi	3.58	-0.0	Lower QZ59-SSS binding residues
	2	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe974	pi-pi	3.71	-0.0	
	3	C 29	SD/ Met68	H-donor	4.49	-0.7	
	3	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe728	pi-pi	3.83	-0.0	
3G60	1	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe71	pi-pi	3.89	-0.0	QZ59-RRR binding residues
	1	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe71	pi-pi	3.23	-0.0	
	2	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe728	pi-pi	3.78	-0.0	
3G60	1	N 15	OE1/ Gln986	H-donor	2.69	-1.5	QZ59-RRR and upper and lower QZ59-SSS residues
3G60	1	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe974	pi-pi	3.91	-0.0	Verapamil binding residues
3G60	1	N15	OG/ Ser975	H-donor	2.7	-1.1	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding site <sup>a</sup>
	1	6-ring	CA/ Gln721	pi-H	4.06	-0.7	
	2	5-ring	CG2/ Val978	pi-H	3.96	-0.6	
	2	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe728	pi-pi	3.48	-0.0	
3G60	1	C 32	6-ring/ Tyr303	Н-рі	3.72	-0.6	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
	2	N 15	OE1/ Gln721	H-donor	3.49	-0.7	
	2	C 29	6-ring/ Phe299	H-pi	4.58	-0.6	
	2	C 31	6-ring/ Tyr303	H-pi	4.06	-0.6	
	2	5-ring	CD1/Leu300	pi-H	4.02	-0.6	
3G61	1	O 17	ND2/ Asn717	H-acceptor	2.78	-1.3	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding site <sup>a</sup>
	2	N 15	6-ring/ Phe728	H-pi	4.27	-1.5	
	2	5-ring	CZ/ Phe332	pi-H	3.86	-0.6	
	2	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe974	pi-pi	3.88	-0.0	
3G61	1	N 30	6-ring/ Phe974	cation-pi	3.4	-2	Upper QZ59-SSS binding site
	1	C 31	6-ring/ Phe728	H-pi	4.5	-0.7	
	1	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe953	pi-pi	3.75	0	
3G61	1	O 17	ND2/Asn717	H-acceptor	2.92	-1	Lower QZ59-SSS binding site
3G61	1	5-ring	CD2/ Tyr494	pi-H	4.39	-1.2	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues
	1	6-ring	6 ring/ Phe974	pi-pi	3.75	-0.0	

(Continued)

ABCB1 Structure	Pose	Ligand	Atom/group in residue/	interaction	Distance (Å)	E (kcal/mol)	Binding site used
	2	N 15	SD/ Met67	H-donor	3.9	-3	
	2	N 30	6-ring/ Phe974	caton-pi	3.45	-1.8	
	2	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe953	pi-pi	3.73	-0.0	
	2	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe953	pi-pi	3.9	-0.0	
3G61	1	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe953	pi-pi	3.72	-0.0	Verapamil binding residues
	2	C 31	6-ring/ Phe974	H-pi	4.58	-1	
	2	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe728	pi-pi	3.66	-0.0	
3G61	1	6-ring	CA/ Gly342	pi-H	4.34	-0.6	QZ59-RRR binding residues
	2	N 23	6-ring/ Phe728	H-pi	4.06	-2.2	
	2	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe974	pi-pi	3.7	-0.0	
3G61	1	6-ring	CE2/ Phe332	pi-H	3.56	-0.7	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
	2	6-ring	CA/ Phe974	pi-H	3.61	-0.6	
	2	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe71	pi-pi	3.64	-0.0	
	2	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe71	pi-pi	3.55	-0.0	
3G5U	1	6-ring	NE2/ Gln191	pi-H	3.6	-1.9	Upper and lower QZ59-SSS residues
	1	5-ring	CB/ Ala981	pi-H	3.86	-1	
	1	6-ring	CA/ Met982	pi-H	4.11	-0.6	
3G5U	1	O 17	ND2/ Asn717	H-acceptor	2.85	-1.8	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues
	1	6-ring	OH/ Tyr303	pi-H	3.84	-2.1	
	1	5-ring	NE2/ Gln721	pi-H	3.78	-3.8	
	2	5-ring	CD1/ Leu300	pi-H	3.74	-0.6	
3G5U	1	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe71	pi-pi	3.42	-0.0	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
	2	6-ring	6-ring/ Phe71	pi-pi	3.98	-0.0	
3G5U	1	C 28	6-ring/ Phe299	H-pi	3.67	-1	Verapamil binding residues
	1	5-ring	CB/ Ala338	pi-H	4.4	-0.6	
	1	6-ring	CB/ Ala338	pi-H	4.23	-0.7	
3G5U	1	6-ring	OH/ Tyr303	pi-H	3.63	-1.5	QZ59-RRR binding residues
	2	5-ring	NE2/ Gln721	pi-H	4.12	-0.6	
Human	1	6-ring	CA/ Gly346	pi-H	3.68	-0.9	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding residues
Human	1	O 17	NE2/ Gln725	H-acceptor	3.2	-1.6	Upper and Lower QZ59-SSS binding and QZ59-RRR residues
	1	6-ring	CB/ Ile868	pi-H	4.46	-0.9	

(Continued)

ABCB1 Structure	Pose	Ligand	Atom/group in residue/	interaction	Distance (Å)	E (kcal/mol)	Binding site used
	1	6-ring	CD1/ Ile868	pi-H	4.28	-0.9	
Human	1	6-ring	CB/ Phe303	pi-H	4.03	-0.7	QZ59-SSS upper and lower and QZ59-RRR residues <sup>a</sup>
	1	5-ring	6-ring/ Phe303	pi-pi	3.97	-0.0	
Human	1	N 30	OG/ Ser992	H-donor	3.15	-0.7	Verapamil binding residues
	1	5-ring	CA/ Gly872	pi-H	4.37	-1.2	
Human	1	5-ring	CA/ Tyr310	pi-pi	3.54	-0.6	QZ59-RRR binding residues

<sup>a</sup>Conformational search was performed prior to docking and 100 docking poses were retained.

Supplementary Table 6A: Concentration-dependent influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of ABCG2-expressing UKF-NB-3<sup>ABCG2</sup> cells to the ABCG2 substrate mitoxantrone. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the mitoxantrone IC <sub>50</sub>						
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> mitoxantrone (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>				
0.3125	$59.28 \pm 19.01$	$91.50 \pm 8.35$	$16.51 \pm 3.52^3$	3.59				
0.625	$66.16 \pm 12.63$	$92.31 \pm 14.51$	$11.23 \pm 2.61^3$	5.89				
1.25	$54.91 \pm 10.27$	$90.42 \pm 13.62$	$6.17 \pm 0.08^{3}$	8.90				
2.5 μM	$61.74 \pm 11.42$	84.89 ± 7.86	$2.90 \pm 0.04^{3}$	21.29				

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}\text{IC}_{50}$  mitoxantrone/ IC<sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone in the presence of enzastaurin.  ${}^{3}P < 0.05$  relative to IC<sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone in the absence of enzastaurin as indicated by *t*-test.

Supplementary Table 6B: (*Continued*) Influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of non-ABCG2expressing UKF-NB-3 cells to the ABCG2 substrate mitoxantrone. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% ( $IC_{50}$ ) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the mitoxantrone IC <sub>50</sub>						
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> mitoxantrone (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>				
0.3125	$0.23 \pm 0.05$	$102.17 \pm 17.44$	$0.19\pm0.03$	1.21				
0.625	$0.20\pm0.02$	$95.52 \pm 18.65$	$0.18 \pm 0.01$	1.11				
1.25	$0.19\pm0.04$	81.79 ± 10.46	$0.19\pm0.03$	1.00				
2.5 µM	$0.22 \pm 0.03$	$67.59 \pm 5.08$	$0.18 \pm 0.05$	1.22				

 $^{1}$ Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}IC_{50}$  mitoxantrone/ IC<sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone in the presence of enzastaurin.

Supplementary Table 6C: (*Continued*) Influence of enzastaurin on the sensitivity of non-ABCG2expressing UKF-NB-3 transduced with a control vector (UKF-NB- $3^{iG2}$ ) cells to the ABCG2 substrate mitoxantrone. Cell viability was determined after a 5 day incubation period by MTT assay. Concentrations that reduced cell viability by 50% (IC<sub>50</sub>) were calculated.

		Influence of enzastaurin on the mitoxantrone IC <sub>50</sub>		
Enzastaurin (µM)	IC <sub>50</sub> <sup>1</sup> mitoxantrone (ng/ml)	cell viability enzastaurin (% control)	IC <sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone (ng/ml)	Fold sensitisation <sup>2</sup>
0.3125	$0.25 \pm 0.06$	$97.81 \pm 12.27$	$0.22\pm0.05$	1.14
0.625	$0.22 \pm 0.05$	$105.13 \pm 9.84$	$0.23 \pm 0.04$	0.96
1.25	$0.21 \pm 0.06$	$86.02 \pm 11.70$	$0.17\pm0.02$	1.24
2.5 μM	$0.23 \pm 0.02$	$63.67 \pm 10.74$	$0.17 \pm 0.06$	1.35

<sup>1</sup>Values are mean  $\pm$  S.D. of three independent experiments.

 ${}^{2}IC_{50}$  mitoxantrone/ IC<sub>50</sub> mitoxantrone in the presence of enzastaurin.